## CMSC 303 Introduction to Theory of Computation, VCU Assignment: 6

Name: Steven Hernandez

3.d uses http://cseweb.ucsd.edu/classes/sp06/cse105/homework8.pdf as reference Total marks: 59 marks + 6 marks bonus for typing your solutions in LaTeX.

Unless otherwise noted, the alphabet for all questions below is assumed to be  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ . This assignment will get you primarily to practice reductions in the context of decidability.

- 1. [10 marks] We begin with some mathematics regarding uncountability. Let  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$  denote the set of natural numbers.
  - (a) [5 marks] Prove that the set of integers  $\mathbb{Z} = \{\ldots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3 \ldots\}$  has the same size as  $\mathbb{N}$  by giving a bijection between  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Proof: By bijection, we create a function  $f: N \mapsto Z$  such that all even numbers in N map into a some even number in Z and odd numbers in N map to negative numbers in Z.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{x+1}{2} & \text{if } x \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{x}{2} & \text{if } x \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

(b) [5 marks] Let B denote the set of all infinite sequences over  $\{0,1\}$ . Show that B is uncountable using a proof by diagonalization.

Proof: We can prove this by contradiction.

Let's begin by only concern ourselves with very the large (infinite) strings. We assume  $\exists$  list L of all these strings.

$$L = 011001100...$$
 $100110011...$ 
 $100100100...$ 
 $111111111...$ 
 $......$ 

We can construct a string  $x \in B$  which is not in L by taking the ith symbol of x to be the opposite symbol from the ith entry of L. Thus, contradiction.

- 2. [9 marks] We next move to a warmup question regarding reductions.
  - (a) [2 marks] Intuitively, what does the notation  $A \leq B$  mean for problems A and B?  $A \leq B$  means that B is harder than A (or equally hard).

(b) [2 marks] What is a mapping reduction  $A \leq_m B$  from language A to language B? Give both a formal definition, and a brief intuitive explanation in your own words.

A mapping reduction gives us a way to handle deciding whether a problem is decidable or not from knowing that some other problem is decidable or not.

So for example with an example of adding and multiplying. Multiplying  $\leq$  adding because multiplying can be achieved by simply using adding. Thus, adding is a more powerful construct.

With this, we can say that if A is not decidable, B is not decidable either because B is harder than A. As well as, if B is decidable, A is also decidable, because A is not as hard as B.

- (c) [2 marks] What is a computable function? Give both a formal definition, and a brief intuitive explanation in your own words.
- (d) [3 marks] Suppose  $A \leq_m B$  for languages A and B. Please answer each of the following with a brief explanation.
  - i. If B is decidable, is A decidable?A is decidable because B is 'harder', yet is decidable.
  - ii. If A is undecidable, is B undecidable?B is undecidable because B is 'harder' than A and A is not decidable.
  - iii. If B is undecidable, is A undecidable?It is unknown whether A is decidable or not, because while B is not decidable, it is also said to be harder than A.
- 3. [40 marks] Prove using reductions that the following languages are undecidable.
  - (a) [8 marks]  $L = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) = \Sigma^* \}.$

Proof: By contradiction. Assume  $\exists$  TM R deciding L.

If we can construct TM S to decide  $A_{TM}$  with R, this would be a contradiction.

First, given  $\langle M, x \rangle$ , we want to decide if  $\langle M, x \rangle \in A_{TM}$ . We will construct a new TM  $M_x$  such that If  $x \in L(M)$ , then  $L(M_x) = \Sigma^*$  and

if 
$$x \notin L(M)$$
, then  $L(M_x) \neq \Sigma^*$ .

$$\begin{split} M_x &= \text{"On input } t \in L(M): \\ &\text{if } t = x, accept \\ &\text{if } t \neq x, \text{run } M \text{ on } x \text{ and accept if } M \text{ does."} \end{split}$$

This means if M accepts,  $L(M_x) = \Sigma^*$ , and if M does not accept,  $L(M_x) = \Sigma^* - \{x\}$  thus  $L(M_x) \neq \Sigma^*$ . Now we show how if we can create a TM S from R and  $M_x$  which decides  $A_{TM}$ , we will have a contradiction.

$$S = \text{"On input } \langle M, x \rangle \text{ for } A_{TM}:$$
 construct TM  $M_x$  Run  $R$  on  $\langle M_x \rangle$  (3) If R accepts, (this means  $L(M_x) = \Sigma^*$ ), accept If R rejects, reject."

(b) [8 marks]  $L = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } \{000, 111\} \subseteq L(M) \}.$ 

Proof: By contradiction. Assume  $\exists \text{ TM } R$  deciding L.

If we can construct TM S to decide  $A_{TM}$  with R, this would be a contradiction.

$$S = \text{"On input } \langle M \rangle$$
: (4)

- (c) [8 marks]  $L = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM which accepts all strings of even parity}\}$ . (Recall the *parity* of a string  $x \in \{0,1\}$  is the number of 1's in x.)
- (d) [8 marks]  $L = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts } w^R \text{ whenever it accepts } w\}$ . Recall here that  $w^R$  is the string w written in reverse, i.e.  $011^R = 110$ .

Using http://cseweb.ucsd.edu/classes/sp06/cse105/homework8.pdf as reference:

Proof: By contradiction. Assume  $\exists \text{ TM } R$  deciding L.

If we can construct TM S to decide  $A_{TM}$  with R, this would be a contradiction.

We begin by creating a TM  $M_x$  which defined as such:

$$M_x=$$
 "On input  $\langle x \rangle$ :

If  $x$  is not 01 or 10, reject

If  $x$  is 01, accept

Otherwise  $x=01$ , so we run  $M$  on  $w$  and accept if  $M$  accepts.

Note for this above machine, we could use any pairs x and y such that  $x = y^R$ .  $L(M_x) = \{01\}$  if M does not accept input 10 or  $L(M_x) = \{01, 10\}$  if M does accept input 10. We can use this to show that building a TM S which uses both R and  $M_x$ , we would be able to decide  $A_{TM}$ , a contradiction.

$$S=$$
 "On input  $\langle M,w \rangle$ : construct TM  $M_x$  Run  $R$  on  $\langle M_x \rangle$  (6) If R accepts, accept If R rejects, reject."

(e) [8 marks] Consider the problem of determining whether a TM M on an input w ever attempts to move its head left when its head is on the left-most tape cell. Formulate this problem as a language and show that it is undecidable.