## CMSC303 Introduction to Theory of Computation, VCU Spring 2017, Assignment 2

Total marks: 29 marks + 5 bonus marks + 3 bonus marks for LaTeX

Unless otherwise noted, the alphabet for all questions below is assumed to be  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ .

- 1. (6 marks) This question tests your comfort with "boundary cases" of DFA's. Draw the state diagrams of DFA's recognizing each of the following languages.
  - (a) (2 marks)  $L = \{\epsilon\}$  for  $\epsilon$  the empty string.
  - (b) (2 marks)  $L = \emptyset$ .
  - (c)  $(2 \text{ marks}) L = \{0, 1\}^*$ .
- 2. (8 marks) This question tests your ability to design DFAs and NFAs.
  - (a) (2 marks) Draw the state diagram for a DFA recognizing language  $L_1 = \{x \mid x \text{ contains at least two 1s}\}$ .
  - (b) (2 marks) Draw the state diagram for a DFA recognizing language  $L_2 = \{x \mid x \text{ contains at most one } 0\}$ .
  - (c) (4 marks) Draw the state diagram for a NFA recognizing language  $L_3 = L_1 \cup L_2$ .
- 3. (3 marks) In this question, you will study closure of regular languages under certain operations. Specifically, show that if M is a DFA that recognizes language B, then swapping the accept and nonaccept states in M yields a new DFA M' recognizing the complement of B,  $\overline{B}$ . Which operation does this imply the regular languages are closed under?
- 4. (6 marks) This question tests your ability to prove a language is regular using the closure properties of regular languages. Given languages A and B, define the operation  $\cdot$  as

$$A \cdot B := \{x \mid x \in A \text{ and } x \text{ does not contain any string in } B \text{ as a substring.} \}.$$

Prove that the class of regular languages is closed under the  $\cdot$  operation. (Hint: Recall that by DeMorgan's law, for any sets X and Y, one has  $X \cap Y = \neg(\neg X \cup \neg Y)$ .)

5. (6 marks) This question tests your understanding of the equivalence between DFAs and NFAs. Consider NFA  $M=(\{q_1,q_2\},\{0,1\},\delta,q_1,\{q_1\})$  for  $\delta$  defined as:

| $\delta$ | 0              | 1         | $\epsilon$ |
|----------|----------------|-----------|------------|
| $q_1$    | $\{q_1, q_2\}$ | $\{q_2\}$ | Ø          |
| $q_2$    | Ø              | $\{q_1\}$ | $\{q_1\}$  |

Draw both the state diagrams for M and for a DFA M' equivalent to M based on the construction of Theorem 1.39 in the text (recall the latter proves that DFAs and NFAs are equivalent).

6. (Bonus, 5 marks) This question demonstrates that although DFAs and NFAs are equivalent in terms of the sets of languages they recognize, they are *provably not* equivalent in terms of efficiency (i.e. DFAs may require **many** more states to recognize a language than an NFA). Consider the language  $C_k = \Sigma^* 0 \Sigma^{k-1}$  for  $k \ge 1$ . Convince yourself that an NFA with k+1 states for recognizing  $C_k$  exists (no need to include this in your assignment answer). Now, prove that for any k,  $C_k$  cannot be recognized by a DFA with less than  $2^k$  states.