

CMSC 303 Introduction to Theory of Computation, VCU

Assignment: 6

Name: Steven Hernandez

Total marks: 59 marks + 6 marks bonus for typing your solutions in LaTeX.

Unless otherwise noted, the alphabet for all questions below is assumed to be $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$. This assignment will get you primarily to practice reductions in the context of decidability.

1. [10 marks] We begin with some mathematics regarding uncountability. Let $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ denote the set of natural numbers.

- (a) [5 marks] Prove that the set of integers $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ has the same size as \mathbb{N} by giving a bijection between \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{N} .

Proof: By bijection, we create a function $f : \mathbb{N} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}$ such that all even numbers in \mathbb{N} map into a some even number in \mathbb{Z} and odd numbers in \mathbb{N} map to negative numbers in \mathbb{Z} .

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{x+1}{2} & \text{if } x \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{x}{2} & \text{if } x \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

- (b) [5 marks] Let B denote the set of all infinite sequences over $\{0, 1\}$. Show that B is uncountable using a proof by diagonalization.

Proof: We can prove this by contradiction.

Let's begin by only concern ourselves with very the large (infinite) strings. We assume \exists list L of all these strings.

$$\begin{array}{l} L = 011001100\dots \\ \quad 100110011\dots \\ \quad 100100100\dots \\ \quad 111111111\dots \\ \quad \dots\dots\dots \end{array} \tag{1}$$

We can construct a string $x \in B$ which is not in L by taking the i th symbol of x to be the opposite symbol from the i th entry of L . Thus, contradiction.

2. [9 marks] We next move to a warmup question regarding reductions.

- (a) [2 marks] Intuitively, what does the notation $A \leq B$ mean for problems A and B ?

$A \leq B$ means that B is harder than A (or equally hard).

- (b) [2 marks] What is a mapping reduction $A \leq_m B$ from language A to language B ? Give both a formal definition, and a brief intuitive explanation in your own words.

A mapping reduction gives us a way to handle deciding whether a problem is decidable or not from knowing that some other problem is decidable or not.

So for example with an example of adding and multiplying. Multiplying \leq adding because multiplying can be achieved by simply using adding. Thus, adding is a more powerful construct.

With this, we can say that if A is not decidable, B is not decidable either because B is harder than A . As well as, if B is decidable, A is also decidable, because A is not as hard as B .

- (c) [2 marks] What is a computable function? Give both a formal definition, and a brief intuitive explanation in your own words.
- (d) [3 marks] Suppose $A \leq_m B$ for languages A and B . Please answer each of the following with a brief explanation.
 - i. If B is decidable, is A decidable?
 A is decidable because B is 'harder', yet is decidable.
 - ii. If A is undecidable, is B undecidable?
 B is undecidable because B is 'harder' than A and A is not decidable.
 - iii. If B is undecidable, is A undecidable?
 It is unknown whether A is decidable or not, because while B is not decidable, it is also said to be harder than A .

3. [40 marks] Prove using reductions that the following languages are undecidable.

- (a) [8 marks] $L = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) = \Sigma^*\}$.
- (b) [8 marks] $L = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } \{000, 111\} \subseteq L(M)\}$.
- (c) [8 marks] $L = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM which accepts all strings of even parity}\}$. (Recall the *parity* of a string $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ is the number of 1's in x .)
- (d) [8 marks] $L = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts } w^R \text{ whenever it accepts } w\}$. Recall here that w^R is the string w written in reverse, i.e. $011^R = 110$.
- (e) [8 marks] Consider the problem of determining whether a TM M on an input w ever attempts to move its head left when its head is on the left-most tape cell. Formulate this problem as a language and show that it is undecidable.