VE280 Programming and Elementary Data Structures

Paul Weng UM-SJTU Joint Institute

Operator Overloading

MY NEW LANGUAGE IS GREAT, BUT IT HAS A FELL QUIRKS REGARDING TYPE:

```
[i]> 2+"2"

=> "4"

[2]> "2"+[]

=> "[2]"

[3] (2/0)

=> NAN

[4]> (2/0)+2

=> NAP

[5]> "" + ""

=> '"+" '

[6]> [1,2,3]+2

=> FALSE

[7]> [1,2,3]+4

=> TRUE
```

```
[8] > 2/(2-(3/2+1/2))

= > NaN.0000000000000013

[9] > RANGE(" ")

= > (' " ', "!", " ", "!", ' "')

[10] > + 2

= > 12

[11] > 2+2

= > DONE

[14] > RANGE(1,5)

= > (1,4,3,4,5)

[13] > FLOOR(10.5)

= > |

= > |

= > |

= > |

= > |
```

Learning Objectives

- Review and master operator overloading.
- Understand what is friendship.
- Study some examples of operator overloading, e.g., +, +=,
 [], <<, >>.

Introduction

- C++ lets us **redefine** the meaning of the operators when applied to objects of **class type**.
- This is known as operator overloading.
- We have already seen the overloading of the assignment operator.
- Operator overloading makes programs much easier to write and read:

Basics

- Overloaded operators are functions with special names: the keyword **operator** followed by the symbol (e.g., +,-, etc.) of the operator being redefined.
- Like any other function, an overloaded operator has a return type and a parameter list.

```
A operator+(const A &1, const A &r);
```

Basics

 Most overloaded operators may be defined as ordinary nonmember functions or as class member functions.

```
A operator+(const A &1, const A &r);
// returns l "+" r
A A::operator+(const A &r);
// returns *this "+" r
```

- Overloaded functions that are members of a class may appear to have **one fewer** parameter than the number of operands.
 - Operators that are member functions have an implicit **this** parameter that is bound to the **first operand**.

Basics

• An overloaded **unary** operator has **no** (explicit) parameter if it is a member function and **one** parameter if it is a nonmember function.

• An overloaded **binary** operator would have **one** parameter when defined as a member and **two** parameters when defined as a nonmember function.

• Overload operator+= for a class of complex number.

```
class Complex {
  // OVERVIEW: a complex number class
  double real;
  double imag;
public:
  Complex (double r=0, double i=0); // Constructor
  Complex &operator += (const Complex &o);
  // MODIFIES: this
  // EFFECTS: adds this complex number with the
  // complex number o and return a reference
  // to the current object.
```

```
Complex &Complex::operator += (const Complex &o) {
    real += o.real;
    imag += o.imag;
    return *this;
}
```

- operator+= is a member function.
- We can also define a nonmember function that adds two numbers.

```
Complex operator + (const Complex &o1,
        const Complex &o2) {
    Complex rst;
    rst.real = o1.real + o2.real;
    rst.imag = o1.imag + o2.imag;
    return rst;
}
```

?

What's the issue with the non-member version, if any?

```
Complex operator + (const Complex &o1,
        const Complex &o2) {
    Complex rst;
    rst.real = o1.real + o2.real;
    rst.imag = o1.imag + o2.imag;
    return rst;
}
```

Select the correct answer.

- A. There's an extra space between operator and +.
- B. The const keyword shouldn't be used here.
- C. The code wouldn't compile as is.
- **D.** There's no issue.

- So, we'll need some other mechanism to make the function as a "friend".
- The "friend" declaration allows you to expose the **private** state of one class to another function (and only that function) explicitly.

```
class foo {
   friend void baz();
   int f;
};
void baz() { ... }
```

The function **baz** has access to **f**, which would otherwise be private to class **foo**.

- So, we'll need some other mechanism to make the function as a "friend".
- The "friend" declaration allows you to expose the **private** state of one class to another function (and only that function) explicitly.

```
class foo {
   friend void baz();
   int f;

Note: a friend function is
NOT a member function; it
   is an ordinary function.

Note: NOT void foo::baz() { . . . }
```

- So, we'll need some other mechanism to make the function as a "friend".
- The "friend" declaration allows you to expose the **private** state of one class to another function (and only that function) explicitly.

```
class foo {
  friend void baz();
  int f;
};

void baz() { ... }

Note: "friend void
  baz(); "goes inside foo. It
  means foo gives friendship to
  function baz().
```

• Besides function, we can also declare a class to be friend.

```
class foo {
  friend class bar;
  int f;
};
class bar {
  ...
};
```

Then, objects of class bar can access private member f of foo.

```
class foo {
  friend class bar;
  friend void baz();
  int f;
};
class bar { . . . . };
void baz() { . . . . }
```

Friendship of both class and function.

- Note: Although "friendship" is declared inside foo, bar and baz () are not members of foo!
- "friend" declaration may appear anywhere in the class.
 - It is a good idea to **group** friend declarations **together** either at the beginning or end of the class definition.

• In our example of complex number class, we will declare operator+ as a friend:

```
class Complex {
  // OVERVIEW: a complex number class
  double real;
  double imag;
public:
  Complex (double r=0, double i=0);
  Complex &operator += (const Complex &o);
  friend Complex operator+(const Complex &o1,
        const Complex &o2);
       Its implementation is the same as before.
```

Overloading Operator []

- We want to access each individual element in the IntSet through subscript operator [], just like how we access an ordinary array.
 - For example, is [5] accesses the sixth element in the IntSet is.
- We need to overload the **operator**[].
 - It is a binary operator: The first operand is the IntSet object and the second one is the index.

Overloading Operator []

We write two versions with bound checking

```
const int &IntSet::operator[](int i) const {
    if(i >= 0 && i < numElts) return elts[i];</pre>
    else throw -1;
      const version returning a const reference to int
int &IntSet::operator[](int i) {
    if(i >= 0 && i < numElts) return elts[i];</pre>
    else throw -1;
     nonconst version returning a reference to int
```

Overloading Operator []

- Why we need a nonconst version that returns a reference to int?
 - We need to assign to an element through subscript operation
 is[5] = 2;
- Why we need a const version that returns a const reference to int?
 - We may call the subscript operator with some const IntSet objects or within some const member function. Const objects/const member function can only call their const member functions.
 - Furthermore, the return type should be const reference because we cannot use a const object (elts[i] in this case is a const int) to initialize a non-const reference.
- Which version is called if both are OK?
 - <u>Answer</u>: the non-const version.

Overloading Output Operator <<

- We want to redefine the **operator**<< for the IntSet class, so that it prints all the elements in the set in sequence.
- Convention of the IO library
 - The **operator**<< should take an **ostream&** as its first parameter and a **const** reference to a object of the class type as its second.

```
os << obj;
```

• The **operator**<< should return a reference to its **ostream** parameter.

```
ostream &operator<<(ostream &os, const IntSet &is){
   ...
   return os;
}</pre>
```

Overloading Output Operator <<

```
ostream &operator<<(ostream &os, const IntSet &is) {</pre>
  return os;

    Why should operator<< return a reference to its</li>

  ostream parameter?
  • Because operator<< can be chained together:
     cout << "hello " << "world!" << endl;</pre>
  • It is equivalent to
        cout << "hello ";</pre>
        cout << "world!";</pre>
        cout << endl;</pre>
```

Overloading Output Operator <<

- operator<< must be a nonmember function!
 - The first operand is not of the class type.
- We can implement **operator**<< as follows

```
ostream &operator<<(ostream &os, const IntSet &is) {
  for(int i = 0; i < is.size(); i++)
    os << is[i] << " ";
  return os;
   Question: Which version of operator[] is called?
}</pre>
```

Now we can write cout << is << endl;

Do we need to declare << as a friend of IntSet?



Select the correct statements.

```
ostream & operator << (ostream & os, const
IntSet &is) {
  for(int i = 0; i < is.size(); i++)
    os << is[i] << " ";
  return os;
```

Select all the correct answers.

- A. In is [i], the const version of operator [] is called.
- **B.** In is [i], the non-Const version of operator [] is called.
- C. Operator << needs to be friend with IntSet.
- **D.** Operator << need not be friend with IntSet.

Overloading Input Operator >>

- Convention of the IO library
 - The **operator>>** should take an **istream&** as its first parameter and a **nonconst** reference to a object of the class type as its second.

 Question: why nonconst?

 Question: why nonconst?
 - The operator>> should return a reference to its istream
 parameter.
 Question: why returning reference?

```
istream &operator>>(istream &is, foo &obj){
    ...
    return is;
}
```

Reference

- C++ Primer (4th Edision), by Stanley Lippman, Josee Lajoie, and Barbara Moo, Addison Wesley Publishing (2005)
 - Chapter 12.5 Friends
 - Chapter 14 Overloaded Operations and Conversions