Capacitated Arc Routing Problem (CARP) Report

Wentao Ning 11610914

Computer Science and technology Southern University of Science and Technology 11610914@mail.sustc.edu.cn

1. Preliminaries

The capacitated arc routing problem (CARP) has attracted much attention during the last few years due to its wide applications in real life. Since CARP is NP-hard and exact methods are only applicable to small instances, heuristic and metaheuristic methods are widely adopted when solving CARP[1] In this project, I used Genetic Algorithm to solve this NP-hard problem

1.1. Software

In this project, I used Pycharm mainly and Sublime Text 3 cosmetically. I wrote this project in Python3.

1.2. Algorithm

I used genetic algorithm to solve this problem. At first, I used Path-Scanning algorithm to get some initial results. I wrote 5 rules to decide which edge should be chosen when some edges has the same distance from my current position. The I wrote 5 operators to make crossover and mutation of the initial results. I choose about 300 optimal results every time and continue mutation until time over.

2. Methodology

2.1. Representation

There are several variables in my python file.

- args: Lists of command-line arguments
- **co**: NumPy 2D adjacent matrix
- required_edges_num: Number of required edges
- vertices num: Number of vertices
- **depot num**: Depot of this dataset
- capacity: Capacity of each vehicle

2.2. Architecture

There are also several methods in my file.

 floyd: Using Floyd algorithm to calculate distances between points and points

- **path_scanning**: To produce initial solutions of this problem
- **better**: Choose edge when multiple edges are equal distances from the current point using following 5 rules
 - maximize the distance from the task to the depot;
 - minimize the distance from the task to the depot;
 - maximize the term dem(t)/sc(t), where dem(t) and sc(t) are demand and serving cost of task t, respectively;
 - minimize the term dem(t)/sc(t);
 - use rule 1) if the vehicle is less than half-full, otherwise use rule 2)
- **select**: Select the 300 optimal routes.
- **print list**: Print the routes in given format
- cal path cost: Calculate the cost of a route
- **check route**: check if the route is legal
- **mutation**: change the routes by following 5 methods
 - single insertion
 - double insertion
 - swap
 - 2opt
 - better 2opt
- solve_CARP: Calculate the cost of a route

2.3. Detail of Algorithm

At first, I use Path-Scanning algorithm to produce initial solution.

```
 k ← 0

    copy all required arcs in a list free
    repeat
         k \leftarrow k+1; R_k \leftarrow \emptyset; load(k), cost(k) \leftarrow 0; i \leftarrow 1
4.
5.
         repeat
            d \leftarrow \infty
6.
             for each u \in f ree \mid load(k) + q_u \leq Q do
7.
8.
               if d_{\underline{i},beg(u)} < d then
                   \tilde{d} \leftarrow d_{i,beg(u)}
9.
10.
11.
               else if (d_{i,beg(u)} = d) and better(u, \bar{u}, rule)
12.
                   \bar{u} \leftarrow u
                endif
13.
            endfor
14.
15.
            add \bar{u} at the end of route R_b
            remove arc \tilde{u} and its opposite \tilde{u} + m from f ree
16.
            load(k) \leftarrow load(k) + q_{ii}
17.
18.
            cost(k) \leftarrow cost(k) + d + c_{ii}
            i \leftarrow end(\bar{u})
19.
         until (free = \emptyset) or (d = \infty)
20.
         cost(k) \leftarrow cost(k) + d_{i1}
21.
22. until free = \emptyset
```

Then, I run this methods thousands of times and sort by cost to get initial solutions

```
costList = list()
    for i in range (20):
        costList.append(path_scanning(rt,
    cost_matrix, 1))
        costList.append(path\_scanning(rt,
    cost_matrix, 2))
    for i in range (200):
        costList.append(path_scanning(rt,
    cost_matrix, 3))
        costList.append(path_scanning(rt,
    cost_matrix, 4))
    costList = select(costList, 300)
    for i in range (2500):
        costList.append(path_scanning(rt,
    cost_matrix, 5))
    costList = select(costList, 300)
```

initial-solution

Next, I use mutation method to change the initial solutions to get better solution.

```
def mutation(cost_matrix, paths):
    rule = random.randint(1, 5)
    if rule == 1:
        return single_insertion(cost_matrix, paths)

if rule == 2:
        return double_insertion(cost_matrix, paths)

if rule == 3:
    return swap(cost_matrix, paths)

if rule == 4:
    return _2opt(cost_matrix, paths)

if rule == 5:
    return better_2opt(cost_matrix, paths)
```

mutation

mutation-main

Before each mutation, I will check whether the population is mature or not. If it have matured, I will use 2opt method and better 2opt method to jump out of the convergence state!

check-mature-main

After that, I will sort the population by cost and print the least cost route.

What's more, I use multiprocessing in this project. I run 8 times at the same time. Then I choose the best solution to print.

```
worker = []
   worker_num = 8
   seed = int(sys.argv[5])
   create_worker(worker_num)
   Task = [seed + i + random.randint(60, 300)] for
    i in range (0, 24, 3)
   # print('Task', Task)
   for i, t in enumerate(Task):
       worker[i % worker_num].inQ.put(t)
   result = []
   for i, t in enumerate (Task):
       result.append(worker[i % worker_num].outQ.
   get())
   result = sorted(result, key=lambda x: sum(x
   [1]))
   print_list(result[0])
   # print_list(result[7])
   finish_worker()
```

multiprocessing-main

3. Empirical Verification

3.1. Design

Since CARP is NP-hard and exact methods are only applicable to small instances, heuristic and metaheuristic methods are widely adopted when solving CARP.[1]

3.2. Data and data structure

Data: Data is the 7 given datasets. For example, "gdb10.dat", "egl-s1-A.dat", "egl-e1-A.dat", "val7A.dat", "val4A.dat" and so on.

Data Structure: I used list, 2D NumPy matrix, dict, tuple and so on.

3.3. Performance

The time complexity is O(n3) and it mainly results from floyd algorithm.

3.4. Result

I got a good result in CARP OJ system and this is my results.

RunTime(s)	Cost	Dataset
119.04	5313	egl-s1-A
59.51	279	val7A

RunTime(s)	Cost	Dataset
59.44	402	val4A
118.82	3687	egl-e1-A
58.80	275	gdb10
59.20	173	val1A
58.80	316	gdb1

3.5. Analysis

This project is an application of genetic algorithm. Through this project, I have a better understanding of genetic algorithm and I gained a lot by reading relative papers.

Acknowledgments

References

 K. Tang, Y. Mei and X. Yao, "Memetic Algorithm With Extended Neighborhood Search for Capacitated Arc Routing Problems", IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 1151-1166, 2009.