

Partial Differential Equations

Edited by Stewart Nash

2026

Preface

This material is taken from “Schaum’s Outline of Theory and Problems of Partial Differential Equations” by Paul DuChateau and David W. Zachmann. The footnotes are taken from content gathered from Wikipedia.

Contents

1	DM for Parabolic Equations	1
1.1	Difference Equations	1
2	DM for Hyperbolic Equations	3
2.1	One-Dimensional Wave Equation	3
3	Variational Formulation of BVP	5
3.1	Introduction	5
3.2	The Function Space $L^2(\Omega)$	5
4	Variational Approximation Methods	7
4.1	The Rayleigh-Ritz Procedure	7
4.2	The Galerkin Procedure	8
5	FEM Introduction	9
5.1	Finite Element Spaces in One Dimension	9

Chapter 1

Difference Methods for Parabolic Equations

1.1 Difference Equations

The various partial derivatives of a function $u(x, t)$ can be expressed as a *difference quotient* plus a *truncation error* $\mathcal{O}(k)$.

$$u_t(x, t) = \frac{u(x, t+k) - u(x, t)}{k} + \mathcal{O}(k), \quad (1.1)$$
$$\mathcal{O}(k) \equiv -\frac{k}{2}u_{tt}(x, \bar{t}), \quad t < \bar{t} < t+k. \quad (\text{Forward Difference for } u_t)$$

$$u_x(x, t) = \frac{u(x+h, t) - u(x-h, t)}{2h} + \mathcal{O}(h), \quad (1.2)$$
$$\mathcal{O}(h^2) \equiv -\frac{h^2}{6}u_{xxx}(\bar{x}, t), \quad x-h < \bar{x} < x+h.$$

(Centered Difference for u_x)

$$u_{xx}(x, t) = \frac{u(x+h, t) - 2u(x, t) + u(x-h, t)}{h^2} + \mathcal{O}(h), \quad (1.3)$$
$$\mathcal{O}(h^2) \equiv -\frac{h^2}{12}u_{xxxx}(\bar{x}, t), \quad x-h < \bar{x} < x+h.$$

(Centered Difference for u_{xx})

$$u_{xt}(x, t) = \frac{u(x+h, t+k) - u(x+h, t-k) - u(x-h, t+k) + u(x-h, t-k)}{4hk} + \mathcal{O}(h), \quad (1.4)$$

$$\mathcal{O}(h^2, k^2) \equiv -\frac{h^2}{6}u_{xxxx}(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) - \frac{k^2}{6}u_{tttt}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}), \quad x-h < \bar{x}, \tilde{x} < x+h, t-k < \bar{t}, \tilde{t} < t+k.$$

(Centered Difference for $u_x t$)

Usually it is only the order of magnitude of the truncation error which is of interest. A function $f(h)$ is said to be of the *order of magnitude* $g(h)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$, where g is a nonnegative function, if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h)}{g(h)} = \text{constant}$$

In the \mathcal{O} -notation we write $f(h) = \mathcal{O}(g(h))$ ($h \rightarrow 0$). It is easy to see that if, as $h_1, h_2 \rightarrow 0$, $f_1 = \mathcal{O}(g_1)$ and $f_2 = \mathcal{O}(g_2)$, then $f_1 + f_2 = \mathcal{O}(g_1 + g_2)$.

Example 1.1. For (9.1), the truncation error is $\mathcal{O}(k)$ ($k \rightarrow 0$), provided u_{tt} is bounded. For (9.2), the truncation error is $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ ($h \rightarrow 0$), provided u_{xxx} is bounded. For (9.4), the truncation error is $\mathcal{O}(h^2 + k^2)$ ($h \rightarrow 0$), provided u_{xxxxt} and u_{tttt} are bounded; we omit as understood the $(h, k \rightarrow 0)$.

Chapter 2

Difference Methods for Hyperbolic Equations

2.1 One-Dimensional Wave Equation

Methods similar to those given in Section 9.4 may be used to approximate smooth solutions to

$$u_{tt} = c^2 u_{xx} \tag{2.1}$$

Let $(x_n, t_j) = (nh, jk)$ ($n, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) and write $s \equiv k/h$; we have as representatives of the two sorts of methods:

Chapter 3

Variational Formulation of Boundary Value Problems

3.1 Introduction

In certain cases, the solution of a boundary value problem for a PDE is also a solution of an associated calculus of variations problem. A typical problem in the calculus of variations is to find, for a function u belonging to a prescribed set \mathcal{A} , the extreme values of the integral expression

$$J[u(\mathbf{x})] = \int_{\Omega} F(\mathbf{x}, u(\mathbf{x}), \nabla u(\mathbf{x})) d\Omega \quad (3.1)$$

where F denotes a given function. Hence we shall begin by describing some of the structure of the domain \mathcal{A} of J .

3.2 The Function Space $L^2(\Omega)$

In Chapter 6 we considered the space $L^2(a, b)$ of functions $f(x)$ that are defined and square integrable on (a, b) in \mathbb{R}^1 . More generally, let Ω denote a bounded region in \mathbb{R}^n and consider the set $L^2(\Omega)$ of all real-valued functions $u(\mathbf{x})$ defined on Ω which satisfy

$$\int_{\Omega} u^2(\mathbf{x}) d\Omega < \infty \quad (3.2)$$

Like $L^2(a, b)$, $L^2(\Omega)$ is a vector space over the real numbers, and the expected definition

$$\langle u, v \rangle \equiv \int_{\Omega} u(\mathbf{x})v(\mathbf{x}) d\Omega \quad (3.3)$$

makes it an inner product space.

A subset of $L^2(\Omega)$ is said to be a *subspace* of $L^2(\Omega)$ if the subset is closed under the operation of forming linear combinations.

Example 3.1. (a) For k a nonnegative integer, the subset $C^k(\bar{\Omega})$ of all u in $L^2(\Omega)$ which, together with all derivatives of order k or less, are continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$ is a subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$. (b) Let u_1, \dots, u_N denote N elements of $L^2(\Omega)$. The subset \mathcal{M} of all linear combinations of u_1, \dots, u_N is a subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$ —the subspace *spanned* by the u_i . (c) For m a positive integer, the subset $H^m(\Omega)$ of all u in $L^2(\Omega)$ whose derivative of order m or less are also in $L^2(\Omega)$ is a subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$.

A subspace \mathcal{M} of $L^2(\Omega)$ is *dense in* $L^2(\Omega)$ if for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any f in $L^2(\Omega)$ there exists a v in \mathcal{M} such that

$$\|v - f\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} (v - f)^2 d\Omega < \epsilon \quad (3.4)$$

i.e., if any f in $L^2(\Omega)$ can be approximated with arbitrary precision in the least-squares sense by a function from \mathcal{M} .

Theorem 3.1. For each positive integer m , the following subspaces are dense in $L^2(\Omega)$: $C^m(\bar{\Omega})$, $H^m(\Omega)$, and the set of all u in $C^m(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $u = 0$ on S , the boundary of Ω .

Theorem 3.2. If \mathcal{M} is a dense subspace in $L^2(\Omega)$ and if an element u of $L^2(\Omega)$ satisfies $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ for all v in \mathcal{M} , then $u = 0$.

Chapter 4

Variational Approximation Methods

This chapter presents some techniques for constructing approximate solutions to boundary value problems. These techniques are based on the ideas of Chapter 12 and differ markedly from the finite-difference methods of Chapters 9, 10, and 11.

4.1 The Rayleigh-Ritz Procedure

This approximation procedure is limited to boundary value problems admitting the variational formulation “Find u^* in \mathcal{A} such that functional $J[u]$ is minimized over \mathcal{A} by u^* .” It was seen in Chapter 12 that such boundary value problems arise in connection with self-adjoint elliptic PDEs.

We suppose \mathcal{A} to be some subset of $L^2(\Omega)$, where Ω denotes a bounded set in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary S consisting of complementary portion S_1 and S_2 . Specifically, we take $\mathcal{A} = \{u \text{ in } H^1(\Omega) : u = g \text{ on } S_1\}$ for a given g in $C(\bar{\Omega})$; the associated subspace of comparison functions is taken as $\mathcal{M} = \{v \text{ in } H^1(\Omega) : v = 0 \text{ on } S_1\}$.

Let ϕ_0 denote an arbitrary function from \mathcal{A} (e.g., $\phi_0 = g$) and let ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_N denote N linearly independent functions \mathcal{M} . Then,

$$u_N(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \phi_0(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) \quad (4.1)$$

belongs to \mathcal{A} for *all* choices of the constants c_1, \dots, c_N ; we denote by \mathcal{A}_N the subset of \mathcal{A} consisting of all such functions u_N . Let u_N^* denote the function

in \mathcal{A}_N that minimizes J over \mathcal{A}_N . It can be shown that u_N^* represents the best approximation, in the least-squares sense, from \mathcal{A}_N to the exact solution u^* . This function u_N^* is called the *Rayleigh-Ritz approximation* to the solution of the boundary value problem.

The minimization of J over \mathcal{A}_N is tantamount to the minimization over all \mathbf{c} in \mathbb{R}^N of the ordinary function

$$H(c_1, \dots, c_N) \equiv J \left[\phi_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \phi_j \right] \quad (4.2)$$

The minimizing constants $c_1^*, c_2^*, \dots, c_N^*$ must satisfy

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial c_m}(c_1, \dots, c_N) = 0 \quad (m = 1, \dots, N) \quad (4.3)$$

which is a system of N equations in N unknowns.

The Rayleigh-Ritz procedure may also be applied to eigenvalue problems of the sort treated in Section 12.4. In any eigenvalue problem the boundary conditions are all homogeneous. Hence, we take $\phi_0 \equiv 0$ in (13.1) and minimize the Rayleigh quotient J over the subspace $\mathcal{A}_N = \mathcal{M}_N$.

4.2 The Galerkin Procedure

This approximation method is employed when the boundary value problem admits only a weak formulation; e.g., in the case of a linear elliptic PDE containing odd-order derivatives. In the event that conditions (12.24) hold in the weak formulation, so that a variational formulation also exists, it can be shown (see Problem 13.4(b)) that the Galerkin and Rayleigh-Ritz procedure coincide.

Consider, then, a boundary value problem with the weak formulation “Find u^* in \mathcal{A} such that $K[u^*, v] = F[v]$ for all v in \mathcal{M} .” Here we suppose that Ω , S , \mathcal{A} , and \mathcal{M} are as described in Section 13.1. Let ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_N denote N linearly independent *trial functions* in \mathcal{M} and let ϕ_0 denote an arbitrary function in \mathcal{A} . As in the Rayleigh-Ritz procedure, we seek an approximation u_N^* to the weak solution u^* of the form (13.1). In addition, let ψ_1, \dots, ψ_N denote N linearly independent *weight functions* in \mathcal{M} ; these may or may not be the same as the trial functions. The Galerkin approximate solution is required to satisfy

$$K[u_N^*, \psi_j] = F[\psi_j] \quad (j = 1, \dots, N) \quad (4.4)$$

This is a set of N equations in the N unknowns c_1^*, \dots, c_N^* .

Chapter 5

The Finite Element Method: An Introduction

The success of the approximation methods presented in Chapter 13 is largely dependent on the selection of an effective collection of trial functions ϕ_j and/or weight functions ψ_j . If these functions are chosen from certain families of piecewise polynomials, called *finite element spaces*, the following advantages are realized:

- (i) It is possible to deal in a systematic fashion with regions Ω having curved boundaries of rather arbitrary shape.
- (ii) One can systematically estimate the accuracy of the approximate solution in terms of the adjustable parameters associated with the finite element family.
- (iii) The coefficient matrix and data vector for the system of algebraic equations defining the approximate solution can be efficiently generated by computer.

5.1 Finite Element Spaces in One Dimension

Suppose that the interval $[0, 1]$ is subdivided into N subintervals each of length $h = 1/N$. Let $x_j = jh$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, N$) denote the nodes in the interval $[0, 1]$. Then the finite element space denoted by $S^h[k, r]$ shall consist all functions $\phi(x)$ defined on $[0, 1]$ such that (i) on each subinterval $[x_j, x_{j+1}]$, $\phi(x)$ is a polynomial of degree at most k ; (ii) $\phi(x)$ has r continuous derivatives on $[0, 1]$, which is to say, ϕ belongs to $C^r[0, 1]$.

If $r = 0$, ϕ is continuous but not necessarily differentiable at nodes. If ϕ is to be allowed to be discontinuous at nodes, we set $r = -1$. Evidently, $S^h[k, r]$ is a finite-dimensional vector space (a subspace of $L^2(0, 1)$) and so may be characterized by giving a *basis*; i.e., a linearly independent set of elements $\{\phi_j\}$ that spans the space.

Modifications for the case of nonuniform grids are easily developed.

Example 5.1. A basis for $S^h[0, -1]$, the *piecewise constants*, is given by

$$\phi_j(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x_{j-1} \leq x \leq x_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ($Nh = 1$). See Fig. 14-1. The functions in $S^h[0, -1]$ are in $L^2(0, 1)$ but are not continuous.