

MATH 3101 Assignment One:

1a) let $a, b, c, & d$ be integers such that $a|b$ & $c|d$. Prove that $ac|bd$:

$$a|b \text{ thus } b = az_1, z_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$c|d \text{ thus } d = cz_2, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$ac|bd \text{ thus } bd = (z_1 a)(z_2 c) \\ = (z_1 z_2) ac$$

For $z_1 z_2$:

by the properties of integers, we know \mathbb{Z} is closed under multiplication.

Since $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, & all integers are closed under multiplication:

$$z_1 \cdot z_2 = z, z \in \mathbb{Z}$$

thus, by the above:

$$bd = (z_1 z_2)(ac)$$

$$= z(ac) \quad [z_1 \cdot z_2 \text{ makes integer } z]$$

therefore, we can say $ac|bd$ by definition

b) let a be an integer. Prove that $2|a(a+1)$:

firstly, let's state the division algorithm:

$$a = bq + r \text{ w/ } 0 \leq r < b, q, r, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Since we divide by two, let $b = 2$, thus:

$$a = 2q + r, 0 \leq r < 2$$

As r is $[0, 2)$, w/ $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we know:

$$r = 0 \text{ & } r = 1$$

Case one ($r=0$):

If $r=0$, then $a = 2q + 0 = 2q$, so:

$$a(a+1) = 2q, \text{ thus:}$$

$$a(a+1) = 2q(a+1) = 2q(2q+1)$$

As $q, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ & integers are closed under multiplication & Addition:

$$2q(a+1) = 2q(2q+1) = 2c \text{ then:}$$

$$q(a+1), q(2q+1) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ thus } 2|a(a+1) \text{ in Case 1}$$

Case Two ($r=1$):

If $r=1$, then $a = 2q + 1$, so:

$$a(a+1) = (2q+1)(2q+1+1) = (2q+1)(2)(q+1) [= 2a(q+1)]$$

$$\text{So, } 2c = 2(2q+1)(q+1) [= 2a(q+1)]$$

As $q, a \in \mathbb{Z}$, & \mathbb{Z} is closed under Add./mult., we know:

$$a(q+1), (2q+1)(q+1) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ thus } 2|a(a+1) \text{ by definition in Case 2}$$

Since both Case 1 & 2 are Proven, we can conclude the Statement is true by exhaustion:

$$\therefore 2|a(a+1) \text{ is true}$$

2) Let $a = -921$ & $b = 18$, Find the integers q & r that satisfy the conditions given in the division algorithm:

the division algorithm has the following form:

$$\frac{a}{b} = q + \frac{r}{b}, \text{ we know } a = -921 \text{ \& } b = 18$$

$$\text{Lower}\left(\frac{-921}{18}\right)$$

So:

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{-921}{18}, \text{ the modulo of } \frac{a}{b} \text{ is } 15, \text{ \& the lower division is } 52$$

$$\frac{-921}{18} \doteq -51.17 \text{ So, rounding to the lowest integer}$$

we get $-52 = q$ & $r = 15$, we can also find this by:

$$4) -921 = -51(18) + (-3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -921 &= -51(18) + (-1)(18) + (-3) + 18 \\ &= -52(18) + (18 - 3) \\ &= -52(18) + 15 \text{ where } \frac{a}{b} = q + \frac{r}{b} \end{aligned}$$

thus:

$$(q = -52, r = 15) \text{ in the division Algorithm with } a = -921 \text{ \& } b = 18$$

Notes:

$$0 \leq r < b \rightarrow 0 \leq 15 < 18 \text{ is true, so } r \text{ is within valid Range}$$

3) In each pair, find the greatest common divisor (a, b) as well as integers m & n such that $(a, b) = am + bn$. Show your work:

a) $a = 382, b = 26$:

$$(a, b) = am + bn; m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

use the euclidean Algorithm:

$$a = bq_0 + r_1, 0 \leq r_1 < b$$

$$b = r_1q_1 + r_2, 0 \leq r_2 < r_1$$

$$r_1 = r_2q_2 + r_3, 0 \leq r_3 < r_2$$

\vdots

\vdots

$$r_k = r_{k+1}q_{k+1} + r_{k+2}, 0 \leq r_{k+2} < r_{k+1}$$

So:

$$382 = (26)q_0 + r_1$$

$$\hookrightarrow = 26(14) + 18 \quad (q_0 = 14, r_1 = 18)$$

$$26 = (18)q_1 + r_2$$

$$\hookrightarrow = (18)(1) + 8 \quad (q_1 = 1, r_2 = 8)$$

Hibon

$$18 = 8q_2 + r_3$$

$$\hookrightarrow 18 = 8(2) + 2 \quad (q_2 = 2, r_3 = 2)$$

$$8 = 2q_3 + r_4$$

$$\hookrightarrow 8 = 2(4) + 0$$

$$(q_3 = 4, r_4 = 0)$$

\hookrightarrow last non-zero remainder

\hookrightarrow remainder is zero

thus, the greatest common divisor is $\textcircled{2}$ (the last non-zero remainder)

$$\text{So: } (382, 26) = 2$$

We know that $(a, b) = am + bn$, thus:

$$(382, 26) = 2 = am + bn; a = 382, b = 26$$

$$\hookrightarrow 2 = 382m + 26n$$

We must now use the euclidean Algorithm:

Since $r_0 = 18, r_1 = 8, r_2 = 2$, the remainders can be shown as:

$$18 = 382(1) + (26)(-14)$$

$$8 = 26(1) + (18)(-1)$$

$$2 = 18(1) + (8)(-2)$$

by letting: $r_{k+2} = r_k(1) + (r_{k+1})(-2_{k+1})$

If we substitute the remainders from the previous questions:

$$2 = 18 + 8(-2)$$

$$= 18 - 8(2)$$

$$= 18 - (26(1) + 18(-1))(2) \quad [8 = 26(1) + (18)(-1)]$$

$$= 18 - (26 - 18)(2)$$

$$= 18 - (26 - 18)(2) + 18(2)$$

$$= 18(1+2) - 26(2)$$

$$= 18(3) - 26(2)$$

$$= (382 + 26(-14))(3) - 26(2) \quad [18 = 382(1) + 26(-14)]$$

$$= 382(3) + (3)(26)(-14) - 2(26)$$

$$= 382(3) + (26)(-42) - 2(26)$$

$$= 382(3) + 26(-44)$$

So, Since: $2 = am + bn = 382m + 26n$ & $2 = 382(3) + 26(-44)$

$$\boxed{m=3, n=-44}$$

In Conclusion, we can see that:

$$(382, 26) = 2, m=3, n=-44$$

b) $a=382, b=-26$:

Assume: $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(a, |b|)$:

Proof:

We Suppose that $z|b, z \in \mathbb{Z}$

then: $b = qz$

So:

$$|b| = \pm qz = (\pm 1)z \rightarrow z| |b|$$

thus, it follows that every divisor of b is also one for $|b|$

Since $b = \pm |b|$, it also follows every divisor of $|b|$ is one for b

\therefore the common divisors for (a, b) are also the same for $(a, |b|)$

Since in a we found $(382, 26) = 2$, we can conclude w/ the

above proof that $(382, -26) = 2$

thus:

$$(a, b) = am + bn \text{ so } 2 = 382m + (-26)n$$

In part a we see that $m=3$ & $n=-44$

Since in b we reverse the sign value of b turning it to -26 , we must do the same to n in the equation $(am+bn)$:

Since in a $m=3$ & $n=-44$, & as stated above $am+b(-n)$ relative to a, n in b is $-n$ so $n = -(-44) = 44$, thus:

$$(a, b) = am + bn \Rightarrow 2 = 3m + 44n = 3(382) + (44)(-26) = 2$$

$$\therefore m=3, n=44$$

In Conclusion:

$$(a, b) = (382, -26) = 2$$

$$m=3, n=44$$

4) Determine whether the following statements are true or false. Justify your responses:

a) The Well-ordering Principle implies that the set of odd integers contains a least element:

the well ordering principle requires a nonempty set of positive integers. However, the set of all odd integers contains negative numbers take for example, -1

$$-1 \in \mathbb{O} \text{ (the set of odd integers is } \mathbb{O})$$

-1 is not positive

\therefore We cannot say the well ordering principle implies that the set of odd integers contains a least element, as the set of odd ints. has negatives which cannot apply to the well ordering principle by definition.

\therefore The Statement is False

b) Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $a|(b-c)$, then $a|b$ or $a|c$:

Since we know that $a|b$ means $b=ac$, we know:

$$a|(b-c) \rightarrow (b-c) = aZ_0, Z_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$a|b \rightarrow b = aZ_1, Z_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$a|c \rightarrow c = aZ_2, Z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

When we let $a=2, b=3, c=3$, we can see:

$$a|(b-c) \rightarrow 2|(3-3) \rightarrow 2|0 \quad \therefore \text{True}$$

$$a|b \rightarrow 2|3, 2 \nmid 3 \quad \text{is always true, so } 2|0 \text{ is true}$$

However, we see:

$$a|b = a|c = 2|3, \text{ \& 2 doesn't divide 3 to any integer}$$

\therefore there's a contradiction as $a|(b-c)$ is true yet $a|b$ & $a|c$ is false

\therefore the Statement is False

c) Let a and b be integers, not both zero, such that $1=ax+by$, for some integers x & y . Then $(a,b)=1$:

We can take the following example:

by the euclidean Algorithm, there is integers x & y such:

$$1=ax+by$$

Case one: $x=0$ $\therefore 1=by$ So:

If $x=0$ then $by=1$ thus

$$y=b=\pm 1 \text{ as } (0, \pm 1)=1 \text{ [for } (a,b)]$$

\therefore Case one's True

Case Two: $y=0$

If $y=0$ then $ax=1$ thus

$$a=x=\pm 1 \text{ as } (\pm 1, 0) = 1 \text{ [for } (a,b)]$$

\therefore Case two's True

Case Three: $x \neq 0$ & $y \neq 0$

Let $(a,b) = z$, then:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} z|a \Rightarrow a = k_1 z \\ z|b \Rightarrow b = k_2 z \end{array} \right\} k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

then:

$$\text{If } ax+by=1 \Rightarrow 1 = k_1 z x + k_2 z y = z(k_1 x + k_2 y)$$

$$\therefore z = \pm 1$$

Since z must be the greatest common divisor,
we know $z=1$, not -1 .

$$\therefore (a,b) = z = 1$$

\therefore Case three's True

Since we have shown all cases to be true, we know that the statements are True!

The statement outlined in c is true

d) Let a, b , & c be integers. If $c|ab$, then $c|a$ or $c|b$:

Since:

$$c|ab \rightarrow ab = cZ_0, Z_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$c|a \rightarrow a = cZ_1, Z_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$c|b \rightarrow b = cZ_2, Z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

take the following case:

Let $a=7, b=2$, & $c=7$ then:

$$c|ab \rightarrow 7|(7)(2) = 7|14 \text{ So } 14 = 7c, \text{ true if } c=2$$

$$c|a \rightarrow 7|7 \text{ So } 7 = 7c, \text{ true if } c=1$$

$$c|b \rightarrow 7|2 \text{ So } 2 = 7c, \text{ false as } c \text{ must be } \frac{2}{7} \notin \mathbb{Z}$$

Since $c \nmid b$ when $a=c=7, b=2$ yet $c|ab$ under the same conditions,
we know that this is false by Contradiction

\therefore The Statement is False