

TTK4190 Guidance and Control of Vehicles

Assignment 3 - Part 1: Marine Craft Modeling

October 14, 2020 Group 02

1 Problem 1: Rigid-Body Kinetics of a Rectangular Prism

1.a Moments of Intertia about CG

$$\rho_m = \frac{m}{LBH} = \frac{17.0677 \times 10^6}{161 \times 21.8 \times 15.8} = 307.78 \quad (1)$$

Now we can calculate the moments of inertia:

$$\mathbf{I}_z^{CG} = \int_V (x^2 + y^2) \rho_m dV \quad (2)$$

$$= \rho_m H \int_{-B/2}^{B/2} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} (x^2 + y^2) dx dy \quad (3)$$

$$= 3.7544 \times 10^{10} \quad (4)$$

With similar formulas it's easy to see why all the cross term inertias are 0:

$$\mathbf{I}_{yx}^{CG} = \mathbf{I}_{xy}^{CG} = \int_V xy \rho_m dV \quad (5)$$

$$= \rho_m H \int_{-B/2}^{B/2} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} xy dx dy \quad (6)$$

$$= 0 \quad (7)$$

Intuitively this also makes sense as the "ship" is symmetric about CG.

1.b Moment of Inertia about CO

With the distance vector given by

$$\mathbf{r}_{bg}^b = [-3.7 \quad 0 \quad H/2]^\top$$

the moment of inertia about the z in CO is given by:

$$\mathbf{I}_z^{CO} = \mathbf{I}_z^{CG} + m(x^2 + y^2) \quad (8)$$

$$= 3.7544 \times 10^{10} + 17.0677 \times 10^6 \times (-3.7)^2 \quad (9)$$

$$= 3.7778 \times 10^{10} \quad (10)$$

1.c Mass and Coriolis matrices

The analytical expressions for the rigid body mass, M_{RB} , and the rigid body Coriolis, C_{RB} for the three DOGs surge, sway and yaw, using \mathbf{r}_{bg}^b from task b, were found to be

$$\mathbf{M}_{RB} = \begin{bmatrix} m & 0 & -my_g \\ 0 & m & mx_g \\ -my_g & mx_g & I_z \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{RB} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -m(x_g r + v) \\ 0 & 0 & -m(y_g r - u) \\ m(x_g r + v) & m(y_g r - u) & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

where u and v describes the linear velocity of the CO relative to o_n expressed in the body frame.

1.d Skew-Symmetric Coriolis

We see that $\mathbf{C}_{RB} = \mathbf{C}_{RB}^T$, which implies that the rigid body Coriolis matrix is skew symmetric. This is desired because the quadratic form $\boldsymbol{\nu}^T \mathbf{C}_{RB}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) \boldsymbol{\nu} = 0$, which is exploited in energy based designs where the Lyapunov function is important.

1.e Other property of Coriolis matrix

The expression for $\mathbf{C}_{RB}(\boldsymbol{\nu})$ can be made independent of linear velocity \mathbf{v}_1 . This is done by using the cross-product property $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{v}_1)\mathbf{v}_2 = -\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{v}_2)\mathbf{v}_1$, and move $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{v}_1)\mathbf{v}_2$ from \mathbf{C}_{RB}^{12} to \mathbf{C}_{RB}^{11} . This property becomes useful when irrational ocean currents enter the EoM, as $\mathbf{C}_{RB}(\boldsymbol{\nu})$ is independent on linear velocity \mathbf{v}_1 and only uses the angular velocity \mathbf{v}_2 and lever arm \mathbf{r}_{bg}^b .

2 Problem 2: Hydrostatics

2.a Volume displacement

With the constant draft, we assume the ship is neutrally buoyant so the volume displacement can be calculated with Archimedes' principle:

$$mg = \rho g \nabla \quad (13)$$

$$\implies \nabla = \rho/m \quad (14)$$

Seeing as our ship model is a prism an easier way of calculating the volume displacement would simply be:

$$\nabla = LBT = 1.66 \times 10^4 \quad (15)$$

2.b Waterplane and hydrostatic forces

From (4.14) in Fossen we have:

$$Z_{HS} \approx -\rho g A_{wp} z^n \quad (16)$$

$$= -\rho g LBT = -3.14 \times 10^8 \quad (17)$$

2.c Heave period

$$T_3 \approx 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{2T}{g}} = 8.46 \quad (18)$$

2.d Metacentric heights

The metacentric heights are given by:

$$GM_T = \frac{I_T}{\nabla} + z_g - z_b, \quad GM_L = \frac{I_L}{\nabla} + z_g - z_b \quad (19)$$

Where the intertias are given by:

$$I_T = \frac{1}{A_{wp}} \int \int_{A_{wp}} y^2 dA = L \int_{-B/2}^{B/2} y^2 dy = \frac{1}{12} BL^3 \quad (20)$$

$$I_L = \frac{1}{12} B^3 L \quad (21)$$

With the center of bouyancy in $T/2$ we have that $z_g - z_b = T/2 - H/2$. Inserting this in equation 19 together with equation 15 we get:

$$GM_T = \frac{L^2}{12T} + \frac{T}{2} - \frac{H}{2} = 0.9998 \quad (22)$$

$$GM_L = \frac{B^2}{12T} + \frac{T}{2} - \frac{H}{2} = 239.26 \quad (23)$$

2.e Metacentric stability

By looking at the numerical values for GM_T and GM_L in d) we see that the vessel is more metacentrically stable in the longitudinal plane than the transverse plane. The value for GM_L implies stiffness in this plane, which makes logical sense considering the shape of the vessel. GM_T on the other hand is much lower, implying less metacentric stability, however as it is not negative or excessively low there is not a risk of the vessel capsizing in rough weather.

3 Problem 3: Added Mass and Coriolis

3.a Reduced added mass

With the assumption of no coupling between subsystems the added mass matrix for surge, sway and yaw will simply be:

$$\mathbf{M}_A = \mathbf{M}_A^T = - \begin{bmatrix} X_{\dot{u}} & X_{\dot{v}} & X_{\dot{r}} \\ Y_{\dot{u}} & Y_{\dot{v}} & Y_{\dot{r}} \\ N_{\dot{u}} & N_{\dot{v}} & N_{\dot{r}} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} X_{\dot{u}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y_{\dot{v}} & Y_{\dot{r}} \\ 0 & N_{\dot{v}} & N_{\dot{r}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (24)$$

3.b Coriolis forces

From Property 6.2 in Fossen we have the full Coriolis matrix given by:

$$\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & -\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A}_{11}\boldsymbol{\nu}_1 + \mathbf{A}_{12}\boldsymbol{\nu}_2) \\ -\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A}_{11}\boldsymbol{\nu}_1 + \mathbf{A}_{12}\boldsymbol{\nu}_2) & -\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A}_{21}\boldsymbol{\nu}_1 + \mathbf{A}_{22}\boldsymbol{\nu}_2) \end{bmatrix} \quad (25)$$

Picking out the components corresponding to surge, sway and yaw we get:

$$\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -a_1 \\ -a_2 & a_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (26)$$

Where a_1 and a_2 are given by:

$$a_1 = X_{\dot{u}}u + X_{\dot{v}}v + X_{\dot{r}}r = X_{\dot{u}}u \quad (27)$$

$$a_2 = Y_{\dot{u}}u + Y_{\dot{v}}v + Y_{\dot{r}}r = Y_{\dot{v}}v + Y_{\dot{r}}r \quad (28)$$

4 Problem 4: Implementing the Maneuvering Model

4.a Mass and Coriolis matrices

4.b Diagonal linear damping

4.c Simulation Plots