

>

In this document, we verify the essential inequality necessary to prove $2M_T > \mu(T;v)$ by considering the critical values of μ^\bullet , when there are 2 sub-k-trees

We first write the formulas in general

> $k := 2$

$$k := 2 \quad (1)$$

> $LHS := (N1, N2, \mu1, \mu2) \rightarrow (N1 \cdot N2 + 1) \cdot (1 + \mu1 + \mu2 + k) + k + \mu1 \cdot (1 + k + \mu1) \cdot N1 + \mu2 \cdot (1 + k + \mu2) \cdot N2$

$$LHS := (N1, N2, \mu1, \mu2) \mapsto (N2 \cdot N1 + 1) \cdot (1 + \mu1 + \mu2 + k) + k + \mu1 \cdot (1 + k + \mu1) \cdot N1 + \mu2 \cdot (1 + k + \mu2) \cdot N2 \quad (2)$$

> $RHS := (N1, N2, \mu1, \mu2) \rightarrow (1 + \mu1 + \mu2) \cdot ((1 + k + \mu1) \cdot N1 + (1 + k + \mu2) \cdot N2)$

$$RHS := (N1, N2, \mu1, \mu2) \mapsto (1 + \mu1 + \mu2) \cdot ((1 + k + \mu1) \cdot N1 + (1 + k + \mu2) \cdot N2) \quad (3)$$

> $Dif := (N1, N2, \mu1, \mu2) \rightarrow LHS(N1, N2, \mu1, \mu2) - RHS(N1, N2, \mu1, \mu2)$

$$Dif := (N1, N2, \mu1, \mu2) \mapsto LHS(N1, N2, \mu1, \mu2) - RHS(N1, N2, \mu1, \mu2) \quad (4)$$

Next, we consider the three extremes and note that these are positive

> $simplify(Dif(N1, N2, 0, 0))$

$$(3 N2 - 3) N1 - 3 N2 + 5 \quad (5)$$

$3(N1-1)(N2-1)+2 \geq 5$

> $simplify\left(Dif\left(N1, N2, \frac{N1}{2}, 0\right)\right)$

$$\frac{(N2 - 1) N1^2}{2} + \frac{(3 N2 - 5) N1}{2} - 3 N2 + 5 \quad (6)$$

This is increasing in $N1$, so it is sufficient to check $N1=2$.

> $Dif(2, x, 1, 0)$

$$2 x - 2 \quad (7)$$

> $simplify\left(4 \cdot Dif\left(N1, N2, \frac{N1 - 1}{2}, \frac{N2 - 1}{2}\right) - ((N1 + N2) \cdot ((N1 - 1) \cdot (N2 - 1) - 4) + 16)\right)$

$$0 \quad (8)$$

When $\min(N1, N2) \geq 3$,

$((N1 + N2) \cdot ((N1 - 1) \cdot (N2 - 1) - 4) + 16)$ is clearly positive. When $N2 = 2$, $N1 = x$, we have $x^2 - 3x + 6 > 0$