

In this file, we prove the following proposition

Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n$  for which no vertex has degree  $\geq 2$ , except possibly the vertex  $v$ .  
Then  $\overline{N_v} R_v - N_v \overline{R_v} \leq N_v(N_v + 2\overline{N_v})$ .

when  $\deg v \geq 2$ .

First, we compute  $N_v \cdot R_v - N_v \cdot R_v$  in terms of quantities of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ .

Here  $N_v$  is  $\overline{N_v}$  and analogous.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{aligned} & N_v := N_1 \cdot N_2; \\ & N_v := N_1 N_2 \end{aligned} \tag{1} \\
 & \begin{aligned} & N_{vb} := N_{1b} + N_{2b}; \\ & N_{vb} := N_{1b} + N_{2b} \end{aligned} \tag{2} \\
 & \begin{aligned} & R_v := N_1 \cdot R_2 + N_2 \cdot R_1 - N_1 \cdot N_2; \\ & R_v := -N_1 N_2 + N_1 R_2 + N_2 R_1 \end{aligned} \tag{3} \\
 & \begin{aligned} & R_{vb} := R_{1b} + R_{2b} \\ & R_{vb} := R_{1b} + R_{2b} \end{aligned} \tag{4} \\
 & \begin{aligned} & \text{simplify}(N_{vb} \cdot R_v - N_v \cdot R_{vb}) \\ & ((-N_{1b} - N_{2b} - R_{1b} - R_{2b}) N_2 + R_2 (N_{1b} + N_{2b})) N_1 + N_2 R_1 (N_{1b} + N_{2b}) \end{aligned} \tag{5} \\
 & \begin{aligned} & \\ & \end{aligned}
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we use estimates to prove most cases.

For this, we rewrite  $N_{vb} \cdot R_v - N_v \cdot R_{vb}$  as  $N_1(N_{2b} \cdot R_2 - N_2 \cdot R_{2b}) + N_2(N_{1b} \cdot R_1 - N_1 \cdot R_{1b}) + N_{2b} \cdot N_2 \cdot R_1 + N_{1b} \cdot N_1 \cdot R_2 - N_1 N_2(N_{1b} + N_{2b})$  and use the induction hypothesis to get :

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_{vb} \cdot R_v - N_v \cdot R_{vb} & \leq N_1 N_2(N_2 + 2 N_{2b}) + N_1 \cdot N_2(N_1 + 2 \cdot N_{1b}) + N_{2b} \cdot N_2 \cdot R_1 + N_{1b} \cdot N_1 \cdot R_2 \\
 & - N_1 N_2(N_{1b} + N_{2b}) = N_{2b} \cdot N_2 \cdot R_1 + N_{1b} \cdot N_1 \cdot R_2 + N_1 \cdot N_2 \cdot (N_{1b} + N_1 + N_{2b} + N_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the latter, we derive the following lower bound for  $N_v(N_v + 2 \cdot N_{vb}) - (N_{vb} \cdot R_v - N_v \cdot R_{vb})$  which we need to prove to be positive.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{aligned} & f(N_{1b}, N_1, R_1, N_{2b}, N_2, R_2) := \text{simplify}(N_1 \cdot N_2 \cdot (N_1 \cdot N_2 + 2 \cdot (N_{1b} + N_{2b})) - (N_{2b} \cdot N_2 \cdot R_1 \\ & + N_{1b} \cdot N_1 \cdot R_2 + N_1 \cdot N_2 \cdot (N_{1b} + N_1 + N_{2b} + N_2))) \\ & f := (N_{1b}, N_1, R_1, N_{2b}, N_2, R_2) \mapsto \text{simplify}(N_1 \cdot N_2 \cdot (N_1 \cdot N_2 + 2 \cdot N_{1b} + 2 \cdot N_{2b}) - N_{2b} \cdot N_2 \cdot R_1 \\ & - N_1 \cdot N_{1b} \cdot R_2 - N_1 \cdot N_2 \cdot (N_{1b} + N_1 + N_{2b} + N_2)) \end{aligned} \tag{6} \\
 & \begin{aligned} & f(N_{1b}, N_1, R_1, N_{2b}, N_2, R_2) \\ & (N_2^2 - N_2) N_1^2 + (-N_2^2 + (N_{1b} + N_{2b}) N_2 - R_2 N_{1b}) N_1 - N_2 N_{2b} R_1 \end{aligned} \tag{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

The latter is positive if  $R_i \leq \frac{N_i^2}{4}$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  since  $f$  is decreasing in  $R_2$  and  $R_1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{aligned} & \text{simplify}\left(f\left(N_{1b}, N_1, \frac{N_1^2}{4}, N_{2b}, N_2, \frac{N_2^2}{4}\right)\right) \\ & \left(\left(N_2 - \frac{N_{2b}}{4} - 1\right) N_1 + \left(-\frac{N_{1b}}{4} - 1\right) N_2 + N_{1b} + N_{2b}\right) N_2 N_1 \end{aligned} \tag{8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note **for** this that  $\frac{2 \cdot N_2 - N_2 b - 4}{4}$  **and**  $\frac{N_1}{2} - \frac{N_1 b}{4} - 1$  are both nonnegative (a lemma says that  $N_v b \leq 2 N_v - 4$ )

There are 7 choices **for** subtrees  $T_i$  **to not** satisfy  $R_i \leq \frac{N_i^2}{4}$   
 ( **for** this, we checked trees with at most 10 vertices **in** the document *Relations\_N&R\_smalltrees*,  
 concluding as **for** larger trees  $N_i \geq 32$  **and then**  $\mu_i < \frac{3}{2} \log_2(N_i) < \frac{N_i}{4}$  )

We first exclude the 5 larger ones.

If  $T_2$  is one of these 5 larger trees, **and**  $T_1$  is a larger subtree (satisfying  $R_1 \leq \frac{N_1^2}{4}$ ),  
 we can conclude using that  $N_1 b \leq 2 N_1$  **and**  $R_1 \leq \frac{N_1^2}{4} \cdot (\text{and } f \text{ being decreasing in } R_1)$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} > f\left(N_1 b, N_1, \frac{N_1^2}{4}, 17, 11, 42\right) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \frac{253}{4} N_1^2 - 31 N_1 N_1 b + 66 N_1 \end{array} \right] \quad (9)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} > f\left(N_1 b, N_1, \frac{N_1^2}{4}, 3, 8, 20\right) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad 2 N_1 (25 N_1 - 6 N_1 b - 20) \end{array} \right] \quad (10)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} > f\left(N_1 b, N_1, \frac{N_1^2}{4}, 11, 9, 29\right) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \frac{N_1 (189 N_1 - 80 N_1 b + 72)}{4} \end{array} \right] \quad (11)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} > \text{simplify}\left(f\left(N_1 b, N_1, \frac{N_1^2}{4}, 2, 4, 8\right)\right) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad 2 N_1 (5 N_1 - 2 N_1 b - 4) \end{array} \right] \quad (12)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} > \text{simplify}\left(f\left(N_1 b, N_1, \frac{N_1^2}{4}, 7, 10, 31\right)\right) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \frac{N_1 (145 N_1 - 42 N_1 b - 60)}{2} \end{array} \right] \quad (13)$$

If both  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are one of the five, we just checking the remaining 15 combinations of them.

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} > f(3, 8, 20, 2, 4, 8) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad 448 \end{array} \right] \quad (14)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} > f(3, 8, 20, 7, 10, 31) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad 3616 \end{array} \right] \quad (15)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} > f(3, 8, 20, 17, 11, 42) \end{array} \right] \quad (16)$$

	3084	(16)
> $f(3, 8, 20, 11, 9, 29)$		
	2292	(17)
>		
> $f(2, 4, 8, 2, 4, 8)$		
	64	(18)
> $f(7, 10, 31, 7, 10, 31)$		
	5060	(19)
> $f(17, 11, 42, 17, 11, 42)$		
	385	(20)
> $f(11, 9, 29, 11, 9, 29)$		
	1143	(21)
> $f(2, 4, 8, 7, 10, 31)$		
	592	(22)
> $f(2, 4, 8, 17, 11, 42)$		
	280	(23)
> $f(2, 4, 8, 11, 9, 29)$		
	272	(24)
> $f(7, 10, 31, 17, 11, 42)$		
	3693	(25)
> $f(7, 10, 31, 11, 9, 29)$		
	2911	(26)
> $f(17, 11, 42, 11, 9, 29)$		
	1012	(27)
>		

For the case where  $T_i$  is  $P_2$  or  $S_4$ , we do not estimate from the start and use the exact formula (h). Hereby, we consider the expression in terms of the quantities of  $T_w = T_1 \setminus v$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{> } h(N1b, R1b, N1, R1, N2b, R2b, N2, R2) := N1 \cdot N2 \cdot (N1 \cdot N2 + 2 \cdot (N1b + N2b)) - ((-N1b \\
 & \quad - N2b - R1b - R2b) N2 + R2 (N1b + N2b)) N1 + N2 R1 (N1b + N2b) \\
 & \text{> } h := (N1b, R1b, N1, R1, N2b, R2b, N2, R2) \mapsto N1 \cdot N2 \cdot (N1 \cdot N2 + 2 \cdot N1b + 2 \cdot N2b) - ((-N1b \\
 & \quad - N2b - R1b - R2b) \cdot N2 + R2 \cdot (N1b + N2b)) \cdot N1 - N2 \cdot R1 \cdot (N1b + N2b) \quad (28)
 \end{aligned}$$

case  $T2 = P2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{> } \text{simplify}(h(Nw + Nwb, Rw + Rwb, Nw + 1, Rw + Nw + 1, 1, 1, 2, 3)) \\
 & \quad 5 Nw^2 + (Nwb + 2 Rwb + 12) Nw + (-2 Rw + 1) Nwb + 2 Rwb + 7 \quad (29) \\
 & \text{> } \text{simplify}(2 \cdot (Nw \cdot (Nw + Nwb) - (Rw \cdot Nwb - Rwb \cdot Nw)) + Nw \cdot (Nw - Nwb) + 12 \cdot Nw + 2 \\
 & \quad \cdot Nw^2 + Nwb + 2 \cdot Rwb + 7)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} 5 Nw^2 + (Nwb + 2 Rwb + 12) Nw + (-2 Rw + 1) Nwb + 2 Rwb + 7 \end{array} \right] \quad (30)$$

The first expression is always positive, as  $Rw \cdot Nwb - Rwb \cdot Nw \leq Nw(Nw + Nwb)$  and  $Nw(Nw - Nwb) \geq 0$ .

case T2=S4

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{> } \text{simplify}(h(Nw + Nwb, Rw + Rwb, Nw + 1, Rw + Nw + 1, 6, 10, 5, 13)) \\ 22 Nw^2 + (-3 Nwb + 5 Rwb + 79) Nw + (-5 Rw - 3) Nwb - 25 Rw + 5 Rwb + 57 \end{array} \right] \quad (31)$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{> } \text{simplify}(17 Nw^2 - 13 Nw \cdot Nwb - 25 Rw + 5 \cdot (Nw \cdot (Nw + Nwb) - (Rw \cdot Nwb - Rwb \\ \cdot Nw)) - h(Nw + Nwb, Rw + Rwb, Nw + 1, Rw + Nw + 1, 6, 10, 5, 13)) \\ (-5 Nw + 3) Nwb - 79 Nw - 5 Rwb - 57 \end{array} \right] \quad (32)$$

The second expression  $h(\dots, 6, 10, 5, 13)$  is larger than  $17Nw^2 - 13Nw \cdot Nwb - 25Rw$ , which is positive when  $Rw \leq 4/25Nw^2$  (since  $Nwb \leq Nw$ ).

Since  $Rw \leq Nw \cdot (3/2 \log_2(N_w) - 1)$ , the last is satisfied whenever  $Nw \geq 64$ , which is the case when  $T_2 \setminus v$  has at least order 11.

For the remaining trees with less than 10 vertices, the expression can be checked by ranging in a brute force way over all of them (again in the document `Relations_N&R_smalltrees`)