

>

In this file, we verify that  $\mu(T) - \mu(T-e) > 0.5$  if  $e$  is a pendent edge of a series-reduced tree  $T$  of order at least 19.

Here we denote  $\overline{N}_A$  as  $Na2$  etc

$$\begin{aligned} > \mu := (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \rightarrow \frac{(Ra \cdot Nb + Rb \cdot Na + Ra + Ra2 + Rb + Rb2)}{Na \cdot Nb + Na + Nb + Na2 + Nb2} \\ \mu &:= (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \mapsto \frac{Ra \cdot Nb + Rb \cdot Na + Ra + Ra2 + Rb + Rb2}{Na \cdot Nb + Na + Nb + Na2 + Nb2} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} > \mu e := (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \rightarrow \frac{(Ra \cdot Nb + Rb \cdot Na - Na \cdot Nb + Ra2 + Rb2)}{Na \cdot Nb + Na2 + Nb2} \\ \mu e &:= (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \mapsto \frac{Ra \cdot Nb + Rb \cdot Na - Na \cdot Nb + Ra2 + Rb2}{Na \cdot Nb + Na2 + Nb2} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} > Pos := (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \rightarrow \text{simplify} \left( 2 \cdot (Na \cdot Nb + Na2 + Nb2) \cdot (Na \cdot Nb \right. \\ &\quad \left. + Na + Nb + Na2 + Nb2) \cdot \left( \mu(Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) - \mu e(Ra, Na, Rb, \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \\ Pos &:= (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \mapsto \text{simplify} \left( (2 \cdot Na \cdot Nb + 2 \cdot Na2 + 2 \cdot Nb2) \cdot (Na \cdot Nb \right. \\ &\quad \left. + Na + Nb + Na2 + Nb2) \cdot \left( \mu(Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) - \mu e(Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We verify that the function is increasing in  $Rb$ .

$$\begin{aligned} > \text{collect}(\text{simplify}(\text{expand}(Pos(1, 1, Rb, Nb, Rb2, 0, 0, Nb2))), Rb) \\ &\quad (2 Nb2 - 2) Rb - Nb2^2 + (-Nb + 1) Nb2 + (-2 Rb2 + 1) Nb - 2 Rb2 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

It is thus sufficient to consider a lowerbound for  $Rb$ .

We consider two cases.

**ell <= 2**

In this case, we have an lower bound equal to  $\frac{Rb2 \cdot Nb}{Nb2} + \frac{4}{3} \cdot Nb$

$$\begin{aligned} > G(Nb, Rb2, Nb2) := \text{simplify} \left( \text{expand} \left( Pos \left( 1, 1, \frac{Rb2 \cdot Nb}{Nb2} + \frac{4}{3} \cdot Nb, Nb, Rb2, 0, 0, Nb2 \right) \right) \right) \\ G &:= (Nb, Rb2, Nb2) \mapsto \text{simplify} \left( \text{expand} \left( Pos \left( 1, 1, \frac{Rb2 \cdot Nb}{Nb2} + \frac{4 \cdot Nb}{3}, Nb, Rb2, 0, 0, Nb2 \right) \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} > \text{diff}(G(Nb, Rb2, Nb2), Rb2) \\ &\quad - \frac{2 Nb}{Nb2} - 2 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

This function G is decreasing in Rb2, so it is sufficient to consider an upper bound.

One upper bound is  $Rb2 \leq Nb2 \cdot \mu_b$ , where  $\mu_B \leq 3/2 \cdot \log_2(N_b) - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &> F(Nb, Nb2) := G\left(Nb, \left(\frac{3}{2} \cdot \log_2(Nb) - 1\right) \cdot Nb2, Nb2\right) \\ &\quad F := (Nb, Nb2) \mapsto G\left(Nb, \left(\frac{3 \cdot \log_2(Nb)}{2} - 1\right) \cdot Nb2, Nb2\right) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &> collect(F(Nb, Nb2), Nb2) \\ &\quad -Nb2^2 + \left(-3 \log_2(Nb) + \frac{5 Nb}{3} + 3\right) Nb2 - 3 Nb \log_2(Nb) + \frac{Nb}{3} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The minima are attained when Nb2 is at one of its boundaries.

Now the conclusion follows as the following two expressions are always positive as  $Nb \geq 2^9$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &> \\ &> F(Nb, Nb) \\ &\quad \frac{2 Nb (Nb + 5 - 9 \log_2(Nb))}{3} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &> F\left(Nb, \frac{Nb}{4}\right) \\ &\quad \frac{Nb (17 Nb + 52 - 180 \log_2(Nb))}{48} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

>

>

In the other case, we have **ell**  $\geq 3$ .

In this case, we have an lower bound equal to  $\frac{Rb2 \cdot Nb}{Nb2} + \frac{7}{3} \cdot Nb$

$$\begin{aligned} &> G(Nb, Rb2, Nb2) := simplify\left(expand\left(Pos\left(1, 1, \frac{Rb2 \cdot Nb}{Nb2} + \frac{7}{3} \cdot Nb, Nb, Rb2, 0, 0, Nb2\right)\right)\right) \\ &\quad G := (Nb, Rb2, Nb2) \mapsto simplify\left(expand\left(Pos\left(1, 1, \frac{Rb2 \cdot Nb}{Nb2} + \frac{7 \cdot Nb}{3}, Nb, Rb2, 0, 0, Nb2\right)\right)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &> diff(G(Nb, Rb2, Nb2), Rb2) \\ &\quad -\frac{2 Nb}{Nb2} - 2 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

This function G is decreasing in Rb2, so it is sufficient to consider an upper bound.

One upperbound is  $Rb2 \leq Nb2 \cdot \mu_b$ , where  $\mu_B < 3/2 \cdot \log_2(N_b) - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &> F(Nb, Nb2) := G\left(Nb, \left(\frac{3}{2} \cdot \log_2(Nb) - 1\right) \cdot Nb2, Nb2\right) \\ &\quad F := (Nb, Nb2) \mapsto G\left(Nb, \left(\frac{3 \cdot \log_2(Nb)}{2} - 1\right) \cdot Nb2, Nb2\right) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &> collect(F(Nb, Nb2), Nb2) \\ &\quad -Nb2^2 + \left(-3 \log_2(Nb) + \frac{11 Nb}{3} + 3\right) Nb2 - 3 Nb \log_2(Nb) - \frac{5 Nb}{3} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &> diff(F(Nb, Nb2), Nb2) \\ &\quad \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$-3 \log_2(Nb) - 2 Nb^2 + \frac{11 Nb}{3} + 3 \quad (15)$$

The extrema are attained when  $Nb^2$  is at one of its boundaries.

Now the conclusion follows as the following two expressions are always positive as  $Nb \geq 2^9$ .

(note that  $Nb^2 \geq$  number of vertices different from B, and  $Nb$  is bounded by  $2^{\{\text{number of vertices different from B}\}}$ )

>

>  $F(Nb, Nb)$

$$\frac{8 Nb^2}{3} - 6 Nb \log_2(Nb) + \frac{4 Nb}{3} \quad (16)$$

>  $F(Nb, \log_2(Nb))$

$$\frac{2 Nb \log_2(Nb)}{3} - 4 \log_2(Nb)^2 - \frac{5 Nb}{3} + 3 \log_2(Nb) \quad (17)$$

>

>