

>

Here we denote  $\overline{N\_A}$  as  $Na2$  etc

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu := (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \rightarrow \frac{(Ra \cdot Nb + Rb \cdot Na + Ra + Ra2 + Rb + Rb2)}{Na \cdot Nb + Na + Nb + Na2 + Nb2} \\ & \mu := (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \mapsto \frac{Ra \cdot Nb + Rb \cdot Na + Ra + Ra2 + Rb + Rb2}{Na \cdot Nb + Na + Nb + Na2 + Nb2} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu e := (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \rightarrow \frac{(Ra \cdot Nb + Rb \cdot Na - Na \cdot Nb + Ra2 + Rb2)}{Na \cdot Nb + Na2 + Nb2} \\ & \mu e := (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \mapsto \frac{Ra \cdot Nb + Rb \cdot Na - Na \cdot Nb + Ra2 + Rb2}{Na \cdot Nb + Na2 + Nb2} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & Pos := (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \rightarrow \text{simplify} \left( 2 \cdot (Na \cdot Nb + Na2 + Nb2) \cdot (Na \cdot Nb \right. \\ & \quad \left. + Na + Nb + Na2 + Nb2) \cdot \left( \mu(Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) - \mu e(Ra, Na, Rb, \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \\ & Pos := (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \mapsto \text{simplify} \left( (2 \cdot Na \cdot Nb + 2 \cdot Na2 + 2 \cdot Nb2) \cdot (Na \cdot Nb \right. \\ & \quad \left. + Na + Nb + Na2 + Nb2) \cdot \left( \mu(Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) - \mu e(Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We verify that the function is increasing in Rb.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{collect}(\text{simplify}(\text{expand}(Pos(1, 1, Rb, Nb, Rb2, 0, 0, Nb2))), Rb) \\ & \quad (2 Nb2 - 2) Rb - Nb2^2 + (-Nb + 1) Nb2 + (-2 Rb2 + 1) Nb - 2 Rb2 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

It is thus sufficient to consider a lowerbound for Rb.

We consider two cases.

**ell <=2**

In this case, we have an lower bound equal to  $\frac{Rb2 \cdot Nb}{Nb2} + \frac{4}{3} \cdot Nb$

$$\begin{aligned} & G(Nb, Rb2, Nb2) := \text{simplify} \left( \text{expand} \left( Pos \left( 1, 1, \frac{Rb2 \cdot Nb}{Nb2} + \frac{4}{3} \cdot Nb, Nb, Rb2, 0, 0, Nb2 \right) \right) \right) \\ & G := (Nb, Rb2, Nb2) \mapsto \text{simplify} \left( \text{expand} \left( Pos \left( 1, 1, \frac{Rb2 \cdot Nb}{Nb2} + \frac{4 \cdot Nb}{3}, Nb, Rb2, 0, 0, Nb2 \right) \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{diff}(G(Nb, Rb2, Nb2), Rb2) \\ & \quad - \frac{2 Nb}{Nb2} - 2 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

This function is decreasing in Rb2, so it is sufficient to consider an upper bound.

One upperbound is  $Rb2 \leq Nb2 \cdot \mu_b$ , where  $\mu_B < 3/2 \cdot \log_2(N_b) - 1$

$$F(Nb, Nb2) := G \left( Nb, \left( \frac{3}{2} \cdot \log_2(Nb) - 1 \right) \cdot Nb2, Nb2 \right)$$

$$F := (Nb, Nb2) \mapsto G\left(Nb, \left(\frac{3 \cdot \log_2(Nb)}{2} - 1\right) \cdot Nb2, Nb2\right) \quad (7)$$

> collect(F(Nb, Nb2), Nb2)

$$-Nb2^2 + \left(-3 \log_2(Nb) + \frac{5 Nb}{3} + 3\right) Nb2 - 3 Nb \log_2(Nb) + \frac{Nb}{3} \quad (8)$$

The extrema are attained when Nb2 is at one of its boundaries.

Now the conclusion follows as the following two expressions are always positive as  $Nb \geq 2^9$ .

>

> F(Nb, Nb)

$$\frac{2 Nb (Nb + 5 - 9 \log_2(Nb))}{3} \quad (9)$$

> F(Nb,  $\frac{Nb}{4}$ )

$$\frac{Nb (17 Nb + 52 - 180 \log_2(Nb))}{48} \quad (10)$$

>

>

In the other case, we have **ell**  $\geq 3$ .

In this case, we have an lower bound equal to  $\frac{Rb2 \cdot Nb}{Nb2} + \frac{7}{3} \cdot Nb$

$$\begin{aligned} > G(Nb, Rb2, Nb2) := \text{simplify}\left(\text{expand}\left(\text{Pos}\left(1, 1, \frac{Rb2 \cdot Nb}{Nb2} + \frac{7}{3} \cdot Nb, Nb, Rb2, 0, 0, Nb2\right)\right)\right) \\ G := (Nb, Rb2, Nb2) \mapsto \text{simplify}\left(\text{expand}\left(\text{Pos}\left(1, 1, \frac{Rb2 \cdot Nb}{Nb2} + \frac{7 \cdot Nb}{3}, Nb, Rb2, 0, 0, Nb2\right)\right)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

> diff( G(Nb, Rb2, Nb2), Rb2)

$$-\frac{2 Nb}{Nb2} - 2 \quad (12)$$

This function is decreasing in Rb2, so it is sufficient to consider an upper bound.

One upperbound is  $Rb2 \leq Nb2 \cdot \mu_B$ , where  $\mu_B < 3/2 \cdot \log_2(Nb) - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} > F(Nb, Nb2) := G\left(Nb, \left(\frac{3}{2} \cdot \log_2(Nb) - 1\right) \cdot Nb2, Nb2\right) \\ F := (Nb, Nb2) \mapsto G\left(Nb, \left(\frac{3 \cdot \log_2(Nb)}{2} - 1\right) \cdot Nb2, Nb2\right) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

> collect(F(Nb, Nb2), Nb2)

$$-Nb2^2 + \left(-3 \log_2(Nb) + \frac{11 Nb}{3} + 3\right) Nb2 - 3 Nb \log_2(Nb) - \frac{5 Nb}{3} \quad (14)$$

> diff(F(Nb, Nb2), Nb2)

$$-3 \log_2(Nb) - 2 Nb2 + \frac{11 Nb}{3} + 3 \quad (15)$$

The extrema are attained when Nb2 is at one of its boundaries.

Now the conclusion follows as the following two expressions are always positive as  $Nb \geq 2^9$ .

>

$$\begin{aligned} & \geq F(Nb, Nb) \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \frac{8 Nb^2}{3} - 6 Nb \log_2(Nb) + \frac{4 Nb}{3} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \geq F(Nb, \log_2(Nb)) \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \frac{2 Nb \log_2(Nb)}{3} - 4 \log_2(Nb)^2 - \frac{5 Nb}{3} + 3 \log_2(Nb) \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \geq \\ & \geq \end{aligned}$$