

>

Here we denote  $\overline{N\_A}$  as  $Na2$  etc

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu := (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \rightarrow \frac{(Ra \cdot Nb + Rb \cdot Na + Ra + Ra2 + Rb + Rb2)}{Na \cdot Nb + Na + Nb + Na2 + Nb2} \\ & \mu := (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \mapsto \frac{Ra \cdot Nb + Rb \cdot Na + Ra + Ra2 + Rb + Rb2}{Na \cdot Nb + Na + Nb + Na2 + Nb2} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu e := (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \rightarrow \frac{(Ra \cdot Nb + Rb \cdot Na - Na \cdot Nb + Ra2 + Rb2)}{Na \cdot Nb + Na2 + Nb2} \\ & \mu e := (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \mapsto \frac{Ra \cdot Nb + Rb \cdot Na - Na \cdot Nb + Ra2 + Rb2}{Na \cdot Nb + Na2 + Nb2} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & Pos := (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \rightarrow \text{simplify} \left( 2 \cdot (Na \cdot Nb + Na2 + Nb2) \cdot (Na \cdot Nb \right. \\ & \quad \left. + Na + Nb + Na2 + Nb2) \cdot \left( \mu(Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) - \mu e(Ra, Na, Rb, \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) - \frac{11}{20} \right) \right) \\ & Pos := (Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) \mapsto \text{simplify} \left( (2 \cdot Na \cdot Nb + 2 \cdot Na2 + 2 \cdot Nb2) \cdot (Na \cdot Nb \right. \\ & \quad \left. + Na + Nb + Na2 + Nb2) \cdot \left( \mu(Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) - \mu e(Ra, Na, Rb, Nb, \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. Rb2, Ra2, Na2, Nb2) - \frac{11}{20} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We verify that the function is increasing in Rb.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{collect}(\text{simplify}(\text{expand}(Pos(8, 4, Rb, Nb, Rb2, 2, 2, Nb2))), Rb) \\ & (2 Nb2 - 28) Rb + \frac{14}{5} - \frac{11 Nb2^2}{10} + \frac{(-19 Nb + 72) Nb2}{10} + 2 Nb^2 + \frac{(33 - 10 Rb2) Nb}{5} \\ & - 8 Rb2 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

It is thus sufficient to consider a lowerbound for Rb.

We use that  $R_b \geq N_b + N_b \cdot Rb2 / Nb2$

$$\begin{aligned} & G(Nb, Rb2, Nb2) := \text{simplify} \left( \text{expand} \left( Pos \left( 8, 4, \frac{Rb2 \cdot Nb}{Nb2} + Nb, Nb, Rb2, 2, 2, Nb2 \right) \right) \right) \\ & G := (Nb, Rb2, Nb2) \mapsto \text{simplify} \left( \text{expand} \left( Pos \left( 8, 4, \frac{Rb2 \cdot Nb}{Nb2} + Nb, Nb, Rb2, 2, 2, Nb2 \right) \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{diff}(G(Nb, Rb2, Nb2), Rb2) \\ & - \frac{28 Nb}{Nb2} - 8 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

This function is decreasing in Rb2, so it is sufficient to consider an upper bound.

One upperbound is  $Rb2 \leq Nb2 \cdot \mu_B$ , where  $\mu_B < 3/2 \cdot \log_2(N_b) - 1$

$$F(Nb, Nb2) := G \left( Nb, \left( \frac{3}{2} \cdot \log_2(Nb) - 1 \right) \cdot Nb2, Nb2 \right)$$

$$F := (Nb, Nb2) \mapsto G\left(Nb, \left(\frac{3 \cdot \log_2(Nb)}{2} - 1\right) \cdot Nb2, Nb2\right) \quad (7)$$

> collect(F(Nb, Nb2), Nb2)

$$-\frac{11 Nb^2}{10} + \left(-12 \log_2(Nb) + \frac{Nb}{10} + \frac{76}{5}\right) Nb2 + \frac{14}{5} - 42 Nb \log_2(Nb) + 2 Nb^2 + \frac{33 Nb}{5} \quad (8)$$

The extrema are attained when Nb2 is at one of its boundaries.

Now the conclusion follows as the following two expressions are always positive as  $Nb \geq 2^9$ .

>

> F(Nb, Nb)

$$Nb^2 - 54 Nb \log_2(Nb) + \frac{109 Nb}{5} + \frac{14}{5} \quad (9)$$

> F(Nb, 1)

$$2 Nb^2 - 42 Nb \log_2(Nb) + \frac{67 Nb}{10} - 12 \log_2(Nb) + \frac{169}{10} \quad (10)$$

>