Implementation of Type Theory based on Dependent Inductive and Coinductive Types

Florian Engel

November 28, 2020

Inductive Types in Coq

- defined over their constructors
- each constructor has to give back this type

Examples

Booleans

```
Inductive bool : Set :=
| True : bool
| False : bool.
```

Natural numbers

```
Inductive nat : Set :=
| 0 : nat
| S : nat -> nat.
```

Destructing Inductive Types in Coq

- also called recursion
- pattern matches on the constructor
- gives back values of same type in each match

Examples

negation

```
Definition neg (b : bool) :=
  match b with
  | True => False
  | False => True
  end.
```

isZero

```
Definition isZero (n : nat) :=
  match n with
  | 0 => True
  | S _ => False
  end.
```

Coinductive Types

- positive coinductive types
- negative coinductive types

Positive Coinductive Types

- treats recursive occurrence like a value
- otherwise like inductive types
- functions which produce such types have to be productive

Example

Stream in coq

```
CoInductive Stream (A : Set) : Set :=
Cons : A -> Stream A -> Stream A.
```

repeat function

```
CoFixpoint repeat (A : Set) (x : A) : Stream A := Cons A x (repeat A x).
```

What is wrong about Positive Coinductive Types

- Symmetry with inductive types not clear
- Breaks subject reduction
 - Subject reduction: types are preserved after reduction

Ourys Counterexample

```
CoInductive U : Set := In : U -> U.
CoFixpoint u : U := In u.
Definition force (x: U) : U :=
  match x with
    In y \Rightarrow In y
  end.
Compute u.
> cofix Fcofix : U := In Fcofix : U
Compute (force u).
> In (cofix Fcofix : U := In Fcofix) : U
Definition eq (x : U) : x = force x :=
  match x with
    In y => eq_refl
  end.
Definition eq_u : u = In u := eq u
```

Negative Coinductive Types

- defined over their destructors
- functions use copattern matching

Examples

Stream

```
CoFixpoint repeat (A : Set) (x : A) : Stream A := \{ | hd := x; t1 := repeat A x | \}.
```

repeat function

```
CoFixpoint repeat (A : Set) (x : A) : Stream A := \{| hd := x; tl := repeat A x|\}.
```

Type Theory Based on Dependent Inductive and Coinductive Types

- ▶ inductive types: $\mu(X : \Gamma \rightarrow *; \overrightarrow{\sigma}; \overrightarrow{A})$
- ▶ coinductive types $\nu(X : \Gamma \rightarrow *; \overrightarrow{\sigma}; \overrightarrow{A})$
- ightharpoonup constructors: α_i^{μ}
- destructors: ξ_i^{μ}
- recursion: rec $(\Gamma_k, y_k).g_k$
- ightharpoonup corecursion: corec $(\Gamma_k, y_k).g_k$

Symmetry betweeen Inductive and Coinductive Types

```
Product A B = \mu(X : *; (()); \top)
                             \Gamma_1 = (x : A, y : B)
data Product\langle A,B\rangle : Set where
   MkProduct : (x : A, x : B) \rightarrow Unit \rightarrow Product
fst\langle A : Set, B : Set \rangle =
  rec Product < A, B > to A where
      \{ MkProduct x y u = x \}
snd\langle A : Set, B : Set \rangle =
  rec Product < A, B > to B where
      \{ MkProduct \times y u = y \}
                 Product A B = \nu(X : *; ((), ()); (A, B)
                                \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \emptyset
codata \ Product(A : Set, B : Set) : Set \ where
    Fst · Product → A
   Snd : Product → B
mkProduct(A : Set, B : Set) (x:A, y:B) =
  corec Unit to Product < A, B> where
     \{ Fst u \rightarrow x \}
     : Snd u \rightarrow y \} @ \Diamond
                                                      4 D > 4 B > 4 B > 4 B > 9 Q P
```

Dependent Coinductive Types

Partial streams which depend on their definition depth

PStr A =
$$\nu(X:(k:Conat) \rightarrow *;((succ@k),(succ@k));(A,X@k)$$

$$\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = (k:Conat)$$

codata
$$PStr\langle A:Set\rangle:(n:Conat) \rightarrow Set$$
 where $Hd:(k:Conat) \rightarrow PStr(succ@k) \rightarrow A$ $TI:(k:Conat) \rightarrow PStr(succ@k) \rightarrow PStr@k$

Dependent functions

Pi A B =
$$\nu(X : *; (()); (B@x)$$

 $\Gamma_1 = (x : A)$

codata
$$Pi\langle A: Set, B: (x:A) \rightarrow Set \rangle : Set where Inst: (x:A) \rightarrow Pi \rightarrow B@x$$



Demo

Other Topics in the Thesis

- ► Comparison with Agda
- ► Termination and productivity checking with sized types
- ▶ Difference between paper and implementation
 - ▶ Rules rewritten to syntax directed one
 - Added type "polymorphism"
 - De-Brujin indexes