

# Ouroboros

Neural Cryptanalysis of Linear Feedback Shift Registers

Stochastic Batman

February 18, 2026

## 1 Linear Feedback Shift Registers

### Definition

A **Linear Feedback Shift Register** (LFSR) of degree  $n$  is a finite-state machine whose state at time  $t$  is a vector of bits

$$\mathbf{s}^{(t)} = (s_1^{(t)}, s_2^{(t)}, \dots, s_n^{(t)}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$$

where  $\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$  is the field of integers modulo 2, with addition defined as XOR ( $\oplus$ ) and multiplication as AND ( $\wedge$ ).

The state evolves by a linear recurrence over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ :

$$s_n^{(t+1)} = c_1 s_1^{(t)} \oplus c_2 s_2^{(t)} \oplus \dots \oplus c_n s_n^{(t)}, \quad c_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$$

and then the register shifts:  $s_i^{(t+1)} = s_{i+1}^{(t)}$  for  $i < n$ . The output (keystream) at each step is the bit that falls off the end:

$$b^{(t)} = s_1^{(t)}.$$

### Characteristic Polynomial and Taps

The recurrence is encoded by the *characteristic polynomial*

$$p(x) = x^n + c_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + c_1x + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$$

The non-zero coefficients indicate which bit positions feed back into the computation; these positions are called **taps**.

**Ouroboros** uses a degree-32 LFSR with the maximal-length polynomial

$$p(x) = x^{32} + x^{22} + x^2 + x + 1,$$

giving taps at positions  $\{32, 22, 2, 1\}$ .

## Maximal Length and Periodicity

If  $p(x)$  is *primitive* (can produce every single non-zero element in that field through repeated multiplication) over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , the LFSR cycles through every non-zero state exactly once before repeating. The period is then

$$T = 2^n - 1$$

For  $n = 32$  this gives  $T = 4,294,967,295 \approx 4.3 \times 10^9$  bits - astronomically long, yet the entire sequence is determined by the  $n$ -bit seed and the tap set.

## State Transition as a Matrix

Over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  the one-step transition is a linear map. Writing the state as a column vector, the update rule is

$$\mathbf{s}^{(t+1)} = A \mathbf{s}^{(t)}, \quad A \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n \times n},$$

where  $A$  is the *companion matrix* of  $p(x)$ :

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \cdots & c_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

After  $k$  steps:  $\mathbf{s}^{(t+k)} = A^k \mathbf{s}^{(t)}$ , all arithmetic mod 2. This is why the sequence is completely predictable given the seed and tap set: the RNN's job is to *infer* this linear structure from the raw bitstream alone.

## The Berlekamp-Massey Theorem

A classical result states that  $2n$  consecutive output bits are sufficient to reconstruct both the tap polynomial and the internal state of any degree- $n$  LFSR exactly. For our 32-bit register, 64 bits of observed output are *provably enough* to break it analytically. The neural approach instead attempts to learn this structure implicitly via gradient descent.

## 2 Recurrent Neural Networks: A Recap

### The Elman RNN

Given a sequence of inputs  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T$  (scalars here, since each input is one bit), an **Elman RNN** maintains a hidden state  $\mathbf{h}_t \in \mathbb{R}^H$  and produces an output  $y_t \in \mathbb{R}$  at each step according to:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_t &= \tanh(W_{xh}x_t + W_{hh}\mathbf{h}_{t-1} + \mathbf{b}_h) \\ y_t &= \sigma(W_{hy}\mathbf{h}_t + b_y) \end{aligned}$$

where the learnable parameters are:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{xh} &\in \mathbb{R}^{H \times 1}, & W_{hh} &\in \mathbb{R}^{H \times H}, & \mathbf{b}_h &\in \mathbb{R}^H, \\ W_{hy} &\in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times H}, & b_y &\in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

The initial state is set to  $\mathbf{h}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ .

## Activation Functions

**Hyperbolic tangent** is used for the hidden layer because it is zero-centered, has gradient in  $(-1, 1)$ , and gives the network the ability to represent both excitation and inhibition:

$$\tanh(z) = \frac{e^z - e^{-z}}{e^z + e^{-z}}.$$

**Sigmoid** is used at the output to produce a valid probability:

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}} \in (0, 1).$$

## Loss Function

Since the network predicts the probability that the next bit is 1, we use **Binary Cross-Entropy** (BCE):

$$\mathcal{L}(y_t, \hat{y}_t) = -\left[y_t \log \hat{y}_t + (1 - y_t) \log(1 - \hat{y}_t)\right],$$

where  $y_t \in \{0, 1\}$  is the true next bit and  $\hat{y}_t$  is the predicted probability. The total loss over a sequence of length  $T$  is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{total}} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathcal{L}(y_t, \hat{y}_t).$$

## 3 Backpropagation Through Time (BPTT)

### Overview

BPTT unrolls the RNN across  $T$  time steps and treats the result as a deep feedforward network, then applies the chain rule. Gradients flow backwards from the loss at each step all the way to the parameters.

### Output Layer Gradient

The fused BCE + sigmoid gradient has a particularly clean form. Let  $z_t = W_{hy}\mathbf{h}_t + b_y$  so that  $\hat{y}_t = \sigma(z_t)$ . Then:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial z_t} = \hat{y}_t - y_t.$$

This is the gradient used directly in the code as `dy`.

From this, the parameter gradients for the output layer are:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial W_{hy}} &= (\hat{y}_t - y_t) \mathbf{h}_t^\top, \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b_y} &= \hat{y}_t - y_t. \end{aligned}$$

## Hidden Layer Gradient

The gradient of the loss w.r.t.  $\mathbf{h}_t$  receives two contributions: one from the output at step  $t$ , and one propagated from step  $t + 1$ :

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_t} = W_{hy}^\top (\hat{y}_t - y_t) + W_{hh}^\top \boldsymbol{\delta}_{t+1},$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\delta}_t$  is the *delta* flowing into the hidden pre-activation.

Since  $\mathbf{h}_t = \tanh(\mathbf{a}_t)$  with  $\mathbf{a}_t$  the pre-activation, the chain rule through  $\tanh$  gives:

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}_t = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_t} \odot (1 - \mathbf{h}_t^2),$$

where  $\odot$  denotes element-wise multiplication and  $1 - \mathbf{h}_t^2$  is the element-wise derivative of  $\tanh$ .

The remaining parameter gradients follow:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial W_{xh}} &= \boldsymbol{\delta}_t x_t^\top, \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial W_{hh}} &= \boldsymbol{\delta}_t \mathbf{h}_{t-1}^\top, \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{b}_h} &= \boldsymbol{\delta}_t, \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{t-1}} &= W_{hh}^\top \boldsymbol{\delta}_t \quad \leftarrow \text{propagated to previous step.} \end{aligned}$$

Gradients accumulate by summing across all  $T$  steps before updating weights.

## Gradient Clipping

Repeated matrix products  $W_{hh}^T$  in deep unrollings cause gradients to grow exponentially with  $T$  (*exploding gradients*). The code applies element-wise clipping:

$$g \leftarrow \text{clip}(g, -c, c) = \max(-c, \min(c, g)), \quad c = 5.0.$$

## Weight Initialisation

Weights are initialised with **Xavier (Glorot) uniform** initialisation:

$$W_{ij} \sim \mathcal{U}\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\text{in}}}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\text{in}}}}\right),$$

where  $n_{\text{in}}$  is the fan-in of the layer. This keeps the variance of activations roughly constant across layers at initialisation, avoiding saturation of  $\tanh$  from the first forward pass.

## Parameter Update (SGD)

After accumulating and clipping gradients, a vanilla SGD step is applied:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \eta \nabla_\theta \mathcal{L}, \quad \eta = 0.005.$$

## 4 The Cryptanalysis Objective

Let  $\mathcal{S} = (b^{(0)}, b^{(1)}, b^{(2)}, \dots)$  be the LFSR keystream. The network is trained on the supervised task

$$\hat{y}_t \approx \mathbb{P}\left(b^{(t+1)} = 1 \mid b^{(0)}, \dots, b^{(t)}\right).$$

Since the LFSR is deterministic, this probability is degenerate: it is either 0 or 1. Perfect prediction corresponds to the network having implicitly learned the characteristic polynomial  $p(x)$  and the tap set  $\{32, 22, 2, 1\}$  from raw observations alone.

The hidden state  $\mathbf{h}_t \in \mathbb{R}^H$  can be interpreted as the network's learned *proxy* for the LFSR's internal state  $\mathbf{s}^{(t)} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{32}$ . If  $H \geq 32$ , there is sufficient capacity to represent the full register, and we would expect accuracy to approach 100% given enough training.