Exercise session notes - Week 5

Strong Duality + KKT points

Let's consider a general mathematical program

$$\min \quad f(x)$$

$$g_i(x) \le 0 \quad \forall i$$

$$h_i(x) = 0 \quad \forall j$$

Last week we constructed the Lagrange Dual Program as follows

$$\min \quad \widehat{L}(\lambda, \nu)$$
$$\lambda > 0$$

where the objective function is the Lagrange dual function, defined as

$$\widehat{L}(\lambda, \nu) = \inf_{x} \left\{ f(x) + \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} g_{i}(x) + \sum_{i} \nu_{j} h_{j}(x) \right\}$$

We now list some properties about duality.

Proposition. (Weak Duality) For any program we have

$$\max \widehat{L}(\lambda, \nu) < \min f(x)$$

Definition. (Strong Duality) We say strong duality holds if the duality gap is 0, i.e.

$$\max \widehat{L}(\lambda, \nu) = \min f(x)$$

Proposition. (Complementary Slackness) If strong duality holds, then for any optimal primal-dual solution (x^*, λ^*, ν^*) we have

$$\lambda_i^* \cdot q_i(x^*) = 0 \quad \forall i$$

This means that for each i, either the ith primal constraint is tight $(g_i(x) = 0)$ or the ith dual constraint is tight $(\lambda_i = 0)$, or both of them are tight.

Definition. (KKT-conditions) A point (x, λ, ν) is a KKT-point if:

1 Primal feasible : $g_i(x) \le 0$; $h_i(x) = 0$

(3) Comp. slackness : $\lambda_i \cdot g_i(x) = 0$

(4) Gradient vanishes : $\nabla_x L(x, \lambda, \nu) = 0$

Convex Optimization Jonathan Schnell

Proposition. For any mathematical program:

Strong Duality holds $+(x,\lambda,\nu)$ is optimal $\implies (x,\lambda,\nu)$ is KKT point

Proposition. For <u>convex</u> mathematical programs:

Strong Duality holds $+(x,\lambda,\nu)$ is optimal $\iff (x,\lambda,\nu)$ is KKT point

Now we show an example of a convex mathematical program for which Strong duality does not hold.

Example. Consider the following program (P)

$$\min \quad e^{-x}$$

$$\frac{x^2}{y} \le 0$$

where we set the domain as $\mathcal{D} = \{(x,y) \mid y > 0\}$. Note that in this domain, the program is a convex program (Exercise). Moreover the optimal value is $f^* = 1$. We now compute the dual problem: Let $\lambda \geq 0$, then

$$L(x, y, \lambda) = e^{-x} + \lambda \frac{x^2}{y}$$
$$\Longrightarrow \widehat{L}(\lambda) = \inf_{x, y} \left\{ e^{-x} + \lambda \frac{x^2}{y} \right\}$$

Since y is positive, we have $L(x, y, \lambda) \geq 0$. Moreover if we let $x = n, y = n^3$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and tend $n \to \infty$ we obtain

$$L(n, n^3, \lambda) = e^{-n} + \lambda \frac{1}{n} \to 0$$

In particular we have $\widehat{L}(\lambda) = 0$. With this, we can write the dual program (D) of (P) by

$$\max \quad \widehat{L}(\lambda) = 0$$
$$\lambda > 0$$

with optimal value $\hat{L}^* = 0$. For this pair of programs we have a duality gap of 1, so strong duality does not hold. By the previous proposition there exists no KKT point, so let's see what goes wrong. Consider a point (x, y, λ) in the domain of the primal/dual programs and assume it is a KKT-point.

- 1 We have $\frac{x^2}{y} = 0$ so x = 0.
- (2) We have $\lambda \geq 0$.
- (3) We have $\lambda \frac{x^2}{y} = \lambda \frac{0}{y} = 0$.
- 4 We have $\nabla_{x,y}L = 0$ so

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}L = 0 - \lambda \frac{x^2}{y^2} = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}L=-e^{-x}+2\lambda\frac{x}{y}=-e^0=-1\neq 0 \quad \textbf{X}$$

Therefore there are no KKT-points for this problem.