Exercise 1 –

wired.com website they using top bar which no one really using that anymore and it’s a bit harder for users to use that. Also that page have so many advertisements like when u open up the page instead of information u see 3,4 ads. Too much white spaces too. I think the font is not really working made the page look not perfect. To many information boxes in the page. The scale of the design doesn’t really work, the logo is not great for the page in my opinion. Speak the user language. not clearly marked for the exit. Its good when making the page smaller it look good when the boxes are under each other instead of have all the all over the page. Enough and good shortcuts. There was no Good Error Messages.

The webMD website has so many white spaces also the advertisement is moving a long anywhere you go up and down, also have big footer, basically too much information it’s a bit hard to find one thing. Also I notice sometimes advertisement doesn’t always move up and down. The information is new and well updated. The nav bar at the top is full of information so I don’t think we need extra box of same in the end. Speak the user language, its simple, not clearly marked for the exit. Enough and good shortcuts. There was no Good Error Messages.

My favourite one is the book one which has enough information, not much white spaces, not too much advertisement, advertisement won’t follow you. Well-designed website, also they have the live chat, if anyone have a problem so they can help them to find their book enough information, if need more u can click on the book and read more about it, easy to find the way to main page. Also when u click on the book u can see everything about it even the categories, gives you the sell basket option. Reading the reviews. It’s a clear and nice website. Speak the user language, its simple. Enough and good shortcuts. There was no Good Error Messages.

Exercise 2 –

no, it showed me the result which I was thinking and talked about it at the top

Exercise 3 –

Yes all the three website are accessible its easy enough to use it for example if someone cant use the mouse can use the tab button to get through all the content. In the book website you have drop down boxes which is good for people who cant type, there is option which u can narrow your searches. You can make it bigger if someone have eyes issue.

Webmd the scale when u make it bigger is bad and it’s not clear to read.

Wired is better in the scale but when u make it too big then there is problems

All the three website have at least 3 errors. For example all have Empty link

They all have features but it’s not good enough for example wired.com have only 18.

They all have alerts and the most common one is redundant link.

Exercise 4 –

webMD:

The tested page performed well scoring 2.85 out of 5 but there is considerable room for improvement. Many significant test failures were detected, these are likely to directly impact the user. The page download weight is acceptably low, this is likely to improve user experience.

106KB - Page weight is acceptably low.

Have 6 major fails, 8 minor fails, and 24 passes.

The must major one was DOM too large

The total number of DOM elements should be less than 700 to avoid complexity that will affect rendering and user experience

DOM element count is high at 993.

Bookdepository:

The tested page performed well scoring 2.52 out of 5 but there is considerable room for improvement. Many significant test failures were detected, these are likely to directly impact the user. The page download weight is acceptably low, this is likely to improve user experience.

0KB - Page weight is acceptably low.

Have 6 major fails, 10 minor fails, 22 passes.

The must major one was DOM too large

The total number of DOM elements should be less than 700 to avoid complexity that will affect rendering and user experience

DOM element count is high at 4745.

Wired:

The tested page performed well scoring 2.64 out of 5 but there is considerable room for improvement. Many significant test failures were detected, these are likely to directly impact the user. The page download weight is acceptably low, this is likely to improve user experience.

0KB - Page weight is acceptably low.

Have 8 major fails, 9 minor fails, 21 passes.

The must major one was DOM too large

The total number of DOM elements should be less than 700 to avoid complexity that will affect rendering and user experience

DOM element count is high at 1199.

Website I provided:

Google.com

The tested page scored very well at 3.4 out of 5 but could still be made more mobile mobiReady. Some serious test failures were detected and should be addressed. The page download weight is acceptably low, this is likely to improve user experience.

0KB - Page weight is acceptably low.

4 major fails, 7 minor fails, 27 passes.

Must major fail was ETag support

All page assets should use appropriate Etag headers to enable client-side caching and speed up future page loads

No Etag header found.