

Formae reformandae

For a reorganisation of verb form annotation
in **Universal Dependencies** illustrated by the
specific case of Latin

Flavio Massimiliano Cecchini

flavio.cecchini@unicatt.it



Sofia, March 21-25 2022



Syntaxfest 2021 | Sofia, Bulgaria & rest of the world | March 23rd 2022

- ▶ **Universal:** “theory”
 - critical discussion of the *verbal inflectional feature* VerbForm in Universal Dependencies (UD)
- ▶ **Language-specific:** “practice”
 - demonstration of the results applying them to the **Latin** verbal system

Universal



Sofia, March 21-25 2022

How many forms can a verb take?

In UD, **VerbForm** is a *universal*, mostly verbal feature with the following *universal values*:

- ▶ **Fin** **finite** ↗ employed by 74 languages
- ▶ **Conv** **converb** ↗ employed by 36 languages
- ▶ **Gdv** **gerundive** ↗ employed by 4 languages
- ▶ **Ger** **gerund** ↗ employed by 21 languages
- ▶ **Inf** **infinitive** ↗ employed by 75 languages
- ▶ **Part** **participle** ↗ employed by 75 languages
- ▶ **Sup** **supine** ↗ employed by 9 languages
- ▶ **Vnoun** **verbal noun** ↗ employed by 15 languages



Other **non** universal values (not discussed): Cop, FinRel, PartFut, PartPast, PartPres, PartRes, Post, Prov, Ser, Stat, Stem

No explicit definition, but (from the guidelines):

*Some verb forms in some languages actually form a **gray zone** between verbs and **other parts of speech** (nouns, adjectives and adverbs)*

Universal verb forms?

An extremely specific form



Supine: what is it?

Latin: a 4th-declension **verbal noun** occurring in accusative after “directional” verbs or in ablative after adjectives of evaluation

★ *potum dedi* (< *poto* ‘to drink’ accusative, “active”)

→ ‘I have given (it for you) **to drink**’

★ *difficile factu* (< *facio* ‘to do’; ablative, “passive”)

→ ‘(something) difficult **to do**’

Swedish: invariable participial form used in **composite tenses** (Faroese and Icelandic too?)

★ Jag har **ätit** maten (< *äta* ‘to eat’)

→ ‘I have **eaten** dinner’

! Maten är **äten** (< *äta* ‘to eat’; common gender in *-en* agreeing with *mat* ‘dinner’)

→ ‘Dinner is **eaten**’

These two verb forms have **completely different** distributions and properties!

Universal verb forms?

Absent and conflicting standards



From the guidelines...

Inf **no definition**: a primitive notion?

! **Irish** documentation: “[t]he infinitive verb form *is the same as the verbal noun*.”

→ <https://universaldependencies.org/ga/feat/VerbForm.html#inf-infinitive>

! Traditional **Latin** grammars: “a neuter singular *verbal noun*”, “properly a *noun*”

Vnoun “verbal nouns other than infinitives”: recursive **non-definition**

! **Turkish** documentation: “Note that the forms with -mAK are often called the *infinitive* (Göksel and Kerslake, p. 412) and used as the citation form of the verb. Nevertheless, *we annotate them as VerbForm=Vnoun, not Inf.*”

⇒ The **Inf** label does **not** appear in the Turkish documentation

→ <https://universaldependencies.org/tr/feat/VerbForm.html#vnoun-verbal-noun>

Only 9 languages use them **both**, most prefer **Inf**: **complementary** labels?

⇒ Are **Inf** and **Vnoun** not **the same**?

Issue

Most of these terms are **transferred directly** from language-specific, often **Latin-influenced** grammatical traditions to UD's labels!

Too many labels, too **inconsistent**

⇒ They do **not** allow for **meaningful** typological comparisons

Another example:

Italian use of **Ger** (from it. *gerundio*) for a clear **converb**:

★ **Arrivando** tardi si perde il treno (< arrivare 'to come'; uninflectable form)

→ '**Arriving** late you miss the train'

! Only **diachronically** from Latin **gerund(ive)** (neuter ablative form, e.g. *veniendo* ~ 'by the coming', from *veniendum*, from *veniendus/a/um*, from *venio* 'to come'); **synchronically completely different** properties

So, if (from the guidelines)...

*Some verb forms in some languages actually form a **gray zone** between verbs and **other parts of speech** (nouns, adjectives and adverbs)*

...then as **VerbForms** we may want to consider **only** correspondences with...

ADJ	ADV	NOUN
adjectives	adverbs	nouns
<i>“participles”</i>	<i>converbs</i>	<i>“infinitives”/“masdars”/verbal nouns</i>

⇒ **lexical, nominal** parts of speech in UD

The **new** typological framework for VerbForms:

- ▶ (Fin finite \sim VERB, i. e. **never acting** as another part of speech)
- ▶ Conv **converb** \sim ADV
- ▶ ~~Gdt/getundiv~~ – **too language-specific** definition
- ▶ ~~Gdt/getund~~ – **too language-specific** (and unclear) definition
- ▶ ~~Iinf/infinitive~~ – a **better label** already exists for the same form
- ▶ Part **participle** \sim ADJ – **NB: renaming** might be desirable
- ▶ ~~Sup/supine~~ – **too language-specific** definition
- ▶ Vnoun **verbal noun** \sim NOUN

No other labels are needed!

↪ Traditional labels might be kept for ease of reference as a special “Traditional” feature

Other labels can be subsumed by **other features**, e. g. **Aspect** for Hungarian PartFut, PartPast and PartPres; or simply by **syntactic dependencies** (e. g. Mbya Guarani Post, Prev?); or be simply replaced.

Latin



Sofia, March 21-25 2022

Latin is represented by five corpora in Universal Dependencies:

LLCT **Early Medieval** bureaucratic Latin from Tuscia

ITTB **Late Medieval** theological Latin by Thomas Aquinas

UDante **Late Medieval** Latin of poetry, treatises and letters by Dante Alighieri

PROIEL **Classic, Late and Christian** Latin from various sources

Perseus **Classic, Late and Christian** Latin from various sources

LLCT, **ITTB** and **UDante** are **developed** and **maintained** by the **CIRCSE** research centre

- **improvement** and convergence of annotation
- development of **guidelines** for Latin (work in progress!)

PROIEL and **Perseus** have “**neglected**” status

<http://quest.ms.mff.cuni.cz/udvalidator/cgi-bin/unidep/validation-report.pl>

Traditional grammars (usually) distinguish:

- ▶ **Finite forms:** those displaying **person** and **tense**

→ **Fin:** *amo, amas, amat...*

- ▶ **Non finite forms:**

Part **Perfect participle:** “action of the verb in the form of an adjective; completed”

→ *amatus, amata, amatum...*

Part **Present participle:** “action of the verb in the form of an adjective; in progress”

→ *amans, amantis, amantem...*

Part **Future participle:** “action of the verb in the form of an adjective; still to take place”

→ *amaturus, amatura, amaturum...*

Inf **Present infinitive:** “properly a noun denoting the action of the verb abstractly; in progress”

→ *amare / amari*

Inf **Perfect infinitive:** “properly a noun denoting the action of the verb abstractly; completed”

→ *amavisse*

Ger **Gerund:** “a verbal noun”

→ *amandum, amando, amandi*

Gdv **Gerundive:** “adjective implying obligation, necessity, or propriety”

→ *amandus, amanda, amandum...*

Sup **Supine:** “4th-declension noun used after verbs or adjectives”

→ *amatum, amatu*

(example *amo* ‘to love’; definitions from the *Latin Grammar* by Allen & Greenough, 1903)

Participles and gerundives

Aspect as key



Both **adjectival** forms \Rightarrow **Part** also for gerundives

Same **morphology**:

- ★ **complete inflection** per Case, Gender, Number
- ★ same **inflectional classes** as adjectives
- ★ can take **Degree**

Same **functions**:

- ★ attributive
 - \rightarrow *oppidum* **amatum** 'the loved town', *oppidum* **amandum** 'the town to be loved'
- ★ periphrastic tenses:
 - \rightarrow *oppidum* **amatum** *est* 'the town has been loved', *oppidum* **amandum** *est* 'the town is (~needs) to be loved'
- ★ heads of embedded clauses:
 - \rightarrow **amato** *oppido*... 'as the town has/had/will have been loved...' (ablativus absolutus),
voluntas **amandi** *oppidi* 'the desire that the town will be loved' ~ 'to love the town' (adnominal clause)

All **distinguished** by different combinations of **Aspect** and **Voice** values

gerundive: Prosp and Pass

Gerundives and gerunds

Syntactic and diachronic differences



Gerunds are **neuter singular forms** of the **gerundive** ⇒ **Part** also for gerunds

However, **diachronically** we observe a **shift to transitive constructions** despite its originally **passive Voice** and subsequent **loss of agreement** with a subject:

- ▶ *[potestas] remittendi peccata* '[the power] to forgive sins' (Early Medieval Latin, LLCT)
- *remittendi* is genitive (_{nmod}), *peccata* is accusative (_{obj}), no agreement in Case/Number

instead of **Classically expected**

- ▶ *[potestas] remittendorum peccatorum* lit. '[the power] of sins being forgiven'
- *peccatorum* genitive (_{nsubj:pass}), agreement in Case/Gender/Number

⇒ in some **later varieties** of Latin the gerund can be analysed as a **Vnoun**

What is a supine?

Keeping it synchronic



“Passive” (ablative) supine **cannot be distinguished** from other deverbal nouns ⇒ **NOUN**

- ▶ *difficile* **factu** ‘difficult to do’, i. e. ‘with regard to the doing’ (*factus*)
- **ablative of specification** as in *virtute* *praecedunt* ‘they excel in courage’
- **deverbal nominals** are otherwise **never** annotated as VERBs in Latin
e. g. *amabilis* ‘lovely’ ADJ from *amo* ‘to love’; *visio* NOUN from *video* ‘to see’

“Active” (accusative) supine is a **crystallised case form** always **associated to other verbs**
⇒ Latin’s only (marginal) **Conv**

- ▶ *Tigranem* *ires* **salutatum** ‘(so that) you would go to greet Tigranes’
- keeps the possibility to have **arguments** (e. g. here *Tigranem* **obj**)
- **same or different** subject as main clause (e. g. *potum* *dedi* ‘I have given so that **you** drink’)

► **Finite forms** → **Fin** (...if it still makes sense)

→ *amo, amas, amat...*

► **Non finite forms**

ADJ Perfect participle → **Part** with Aspect=Perf and Voice=Pass

→ *amatus, amata, amatum...*

ADJ Present participle → **Part** with Aspect=Imp and Voice=Act

→ *amans, amantis, amantem...*

ADJ Future participle → **Part** with Aspect=Prosp and Voice=Act

→ *amaturus, amatura, amaturum...*

ADJ Gerundive → **Part** with Aspect=Prosp and Voice=Pass

→ *amandus, amanda, amandum...*

ADJ/NOUN Gerund → **Part** with Aspect=Prosp and Voice=Pass **or** (Late Latin) **Vnoun** with Case

→ *amandum, amando, amandi*

NOUN Present infinitive → **Vnoun** with Aspect=Imp and Voice=Act | Pass

→ *amare / amari*

NOUN Perfect infinitive → **Vnoun** with Aspect=Perf and Voice=Act

→ *amavisse*

ADV Supine → (“active”) **Conv** with Aspect=Prosp and Voice=Act **or** (“passive”) simply a **NOUN**

→ *amatum, amatu*

Vobis pro auditu gratiae agendaee sunt!

Thank you for your attention!

Flavio Massimiliano **Cecchini**

 **Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore di Milano**

 **CIRCSE research centre**

 Largo Gemelli 1, 20123 Milano

 `flavio.cecchini@unicatt.it`

 <https://github.com/CIRCSE>

 <https://centridiricerca.unicatt.it/circse-home>

 <https://itreebank.marginalia.it/>

 <https://lila-erc.eu>





Sofia, March 21-25 2022