

$$\alpha = a_1 e'_1 + a_2 e'_2 + \dots + a_m e'_m + \underbrace{b_1 e'_1 + b_2 e'_2 + \dots + b_m e'_m}_{\text{let's say } (A) \in W}$$

as $\{e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_m\}$ is
basis of W .

$$\alpha = (a_1 e'_1 + a_2 e'_2 + \dots + a_m e'_m) + A$$

$$\Rightarrow w + \alpha = (w + A) + (a_1 e'_1 + a_2 e'_2 + \dots + a_m e'_m)$$

$$\Rightarrow w + \alpha = w + (a_1 e'_1 + a_2 e'_2 + \dots + a_m e'_m) \quad [\because \text{since } A \in W, \text{ thus } w + A = w]$$

$$\Rightarrow w + \alpha = a_1 (w + e'_1) + a_2 (w + e'_2) + \dots + a_m (w + e'_m)$$

Here we can see that $w + \alpha$ is expressed as an
l.c. of $\{w + e'_1, w + e'_2, \dots, w + e'_m\} = S_1$.

so by (i) and (ii),

S_1 is basis for V/W , i.e.,

$$\dim(V/W) = m. \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

By (1) and (2) we get

$$\dim(V/W) = \dim(V) - \dim(W)$$

Now we need to show that $\dim(V/W) = m$
Thus let us assume that $\{w+e'_1, w+e'_2, \dots, w+e'_m\}$ be a subset of V/W , where $e'_1, e'_2, e'_3, \dots, e'_m \in V$ defined by

$$(i) (w+\alpha_1) + (w+\alpha_2) = w + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$$

$$(ii) a(w+\alpha) = w + a\alpha$$

Now, we will show

a) S_1 is L.I.

Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in F$ and

$$a_1(w+\alpha_1) + a_2(w+\alpha_2) + \dots + a_m(w+\alpha_m) = w + \vec{0}$$

$$a_1(w+e'_1) + a_2(w+e'_2) + \dots + a_m(w+e'_m) = w + \vec{0}$$

$$\Rightarrow (w+a_1e'_1) + (w+a_2e'_2) + \dots + (w+a_me'_m) = w$$

$$\Rightarrow w + (a_1e'_1 + a_2e'_2 + \dots + a_me'_m) = w$$

[by definition of vector addition and scalar multiplication for quotient space]

$$\Rightarrow (a_1e'_1 + a_2e'_2 + \dots + a_me'_m) \in W \quad [\because w+\alpha = w \Rightarrow \alpha \in W]$$

But since S is basis for W , thus,

$$a_1e'_1 + a_2e'_2 + \dots + a_me'_m = b_1e_1 + b_2e_2 + \dots + b_ne_n$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1e'_1 + a_2e'_2 + \dots + a_me'_m - (b_1e_1 + b_2e_2 + \dots + b_ne_n) = \vec{0}$$

But again $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_m\}$ is basis for $V(F)$, thus, it will be L.I. So

$$a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_m = b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_n = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_m = 0$$

$$\text{Thus } a_1(w+e'_1) + a_2(w+e'_2) + \dots + a_m(w+e'_m) = \vec{0}$$

where, $S_1 = \{w+e'_1, w+e'_2, \dots, w+e'_m\}$ is L.I.

(ii) $\dim(S_1) = m$

Let $(w+\alpha) \in V/W$, $\alpha \in V$.

$\Rightarrow \alpha \in V$ can be expressed as a linear combination of elements of S' i.e.,

(x) $1(w+\alpha) = (w+\alpha)$

$\forall (w+\alpha) \exists 1 \in F$ s.t. $1(w+\alpha) = w+\alpha$

$1.(w+\alpha) = w+1.\alpha = w+\alpha$

Since all 10 axioms/postulates for vector space are satisfied that V/W is a vector space also called Quotient space.

Theorem:- If W is any vector subspace of vector space $V(F)$ and $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ form the basis of W and $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m, e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_m\}$ the basis of V , then:

(i) $w+e'_1, w+e'_2, w+e'_3, \dots, w+e'_m$ generates V/W

(ii) $w+e'_1, w+e'_2, \dots, w+e'_m$ is L.I

(iii) $\dim(V/W) = \dim V - \dim W$.

proof:- $(x+w) + (y+w) = (x+y) + (w+w) = (x+y) + w$ (iii)

If W is any vector space $V(F)$

If W is any vector subspace of the vector space $V(F)$, then $(x+w) + (y+w) = (x+y) + (w+w) = (x+y) + w$

$\dim(V/W) = \dim V - \dim W$

proof:- Let $V(F)$ be finite dimensional vector space and W be its any vector subspace.

So, W will also be F.D.V.S. then, let

$\dim(W) = n \Rightarrow \exists S = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\} \subseteq W$ is basis.

Now since S is L.I. it can be extended as basis for V . i.e., say

$S' = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_m\}$

So, $\dim(V) = m+n$

Thus $\dim(V) - \dim(W) = (m+n) - n$

$\boxed{\dim(V) - \dim(W) = m.} \quad \text{--- (1)}$

(vi) Scalar multiplication:-

Let $a \in F$ and $w + \alpha \in V/W$.

Thus $a(w + \alpha) = w + a\alpha$ (by ②)

Here by closure for scalar multiplication \forall in V , $a \in F, \alpha \in V \Rightarrow a\alpha \in V$.

So, $w + a\alpha \in V/W$ (set of all vector additive vector cosets of w in V)

So, V/W is also closed for scalar multiplication.

(vii) $(ab)(w + \alpha) = a(b(w + \alpha))$ [Associative law w.r.t scalar multiplication]

$(ab)(w + \alpha) = w + ab\alpha$ (by ②)

$= a(w + b\alpha)$

$= a[b(w + \alpha)]$

(viii) $a[(w + \alpha_1) + (w + \alpha_2)] = a(w + \alpha_1) + a(w + \alpha_2)$

$$\begin{array}{l|l} L.H.S = a[(w + \alpha_1) + (w + \alpha_2)] & R.H.S = a(w + \alpha_1) + a(w + \alpha_2) \\ = a[w + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)] & = a(w + \alpha_1) + (w + a\alpha_2) \\ = w + a(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) & = w + (a\alpha_1 + a\alpha_2) \\ = w + (a\alpha_1 + a\alpha_2) & \end{array}$$

~~$L.H.S = R.H.S$~~

(ix) $(a+b)(w + \alpha) = a(w + \alpha) + b(w + \alpha)$

$L.H.S = (a+b)(w + \alpha)$

$= w + (a+b)\alpha$ (by ②)

$= w + (a\alpha + b\alpha)$

$= (w + a\alpha) + (w + b\alpha)$

$= a(w + \alpha) + b(w + \alpha)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= w + [\alpha_1 + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)] \quad [\because \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in V] \\
 &= (w + \alpha_1) + [w + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)] \quad [\because V \text{ is a vector space}] \\
 &= (w + \alpha_1) + [(w + \alpha_2) + (w + \alpha_3)] \quad [\text{property of } (V, +) \text{ abelian group}]
 \end{aligned}$$

\therefore Associative law holds

(iii) Existence of identity :-

\therefore always $\vec{0} \in V$ (additive

identity of V)

$\therefore w + \vec{0} \in V/w$. Thus $\forall (w + \alpha) \in V/w$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (w + \alpha) + (w + \vec{0}) &= w + (\alpha + \vec{0}) \quad [\because \alpha, \vec{0} \in V] \\
 &= w + \alpha \quad [\because V \text{ is a vector space}]
 \end{aligned}$$

so, additive identity exists.

(iv) Existence of additive inverse :-

Let $(w + \alpha) \in V/w$ where $\alpha \in V$. Since V is a vector space, thus $\forall \alpha \in V \exists (-\alpha) \in V$ s.t., $\alpha + (-\alpha) = \vec{0}$

$\therefore (w - \alpha) \in V/w$ [set of all additive cosets of w in V]

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (w + \alpha) + (w + (-\alpha)) &= w + (\alpha + (-\alpha)) \quad (\text{by } \textcircled{1}) \\
 &= w + \vec{0} \quad [\text{Additive identity}]
 \end{aligned}$$

so, we can say that additive inverse exists

in V/w $\forall (w + \alpha) \in V/w$.

(v) Commutative law :-

$\forall (w + \alpha_1), (w + \alpha_2) \in V/w$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (w + \alpha_1) + (w + \alpha_2) &= w + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \quad [\because \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in V] \\
 &= w + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_1) \quad [\because V \text{ is v.s.}] \\
 &= (w + \alpha_2) + (w + \alpha_1) \quad [\because (V, +) \text{ is abelian group}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus commutative law holds

so $(V/w, +)$ is abelian group.

Quotient Space

Let $V(F)$ be any vector space and $W(F)$ be any subspace of V , then the set V/W of all vector additive cosets of W in V (generated by member of V and W itself) forms a vector space w.r.t. operation of vector addition and scalar multiplication called Quotient space.

Proof:- Let us consider W be a subspace of v.s. $V(F)$.
 $\therefore W$ is a subspace, Thus W is itself a ~~group~~^{vector} space and so it satisfies all the postulates of v.s.
 Let V/W be the set of all the vector additive cosets of W in V s.t.

$$V/W = \{ W + \alpha : \alpha \in V \}$$

Now we need to show that V/W forms a v.s.
 w.r.t. Vector addition and scalar multiplication.

$$\text{defined as } (W + \alpha_1) + (W + \alpha_2) = W + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$a(W + \alpha) = W + a\alpha \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Now assume $(W + \alpha_1), (W + \alpha_2), (W + \alpha_3) \in V/W$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in V$. But since V is a v.s. it satisfies all its properties. now we prove V/W is v.s. :-

(i) Closure:- Now,

$$\forall (W + \alpha_1) \text{ and } (W + \alpha_2) \in V/W$$

$$(W + \alpha_1) + (W + \alpha_2) = W + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \quad (\text{By (1)})$$

Also, $W + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \in V/W$ [$\because (V, +)$ is abelian, \therefore closure property for α_1 and α_2]

(ii) Associative property:-

$$\forall (W + \alpha_1), (W + \alpha_2), (W + \alpha_3) \in V/W$$

$$[(W + \alpha_1) + (W + \alpha_2)] + (W + \alpha_3) = [W + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)] + (W + \alpha_3)$$

$$= W + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$$

- by (1)

Again let $\alpha \in W_1 + W_2$ and s_1 and s_2 are basis of W_1 and W_2 . Then α can be expressed as

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \beta_i + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \alpha_j + \sum_{k=1}^p (c_k + d_k) \gamma_k$$

$\therefore \alpha$ is an element of $W_1 + W_2 = \{\alpha + \beta : \alpha \in W_1, \text{ and } \beta \in W_2\}$

$$\text{so, } \alpha \in L(S') \Rightarrow W_1 + W_2 \subseteq L(S') \quad \text{--- (8)}$$

By (7) and (8) we can say that,

$$L(S') = W_1 + W_2.$$

So, S' forms the basis for $W_1 + W_2$ and hence,

$$\dim(W_1 + W_2) = k + n + m. \quad \text{--- (9)}$$

By (1) & (9),

$$\dim(W_1 + W_2) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2) - \dim(W_1 \cap W_2).$$

Special Case : $\dim(W_1 \oplus W_2) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2)$
 because in case of $W_1 \oplus W_2 = V$, $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$

(i) S' is L.I. :-

Let $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_K, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in F$ be the scalars s.t.,

$$c_1 \gamma_1 + c_2 \gamma_2 + \dots + c_K \gamma_K + a_1 \alpha_1 + a_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + a_n \alpha_n + b_1 \beta_1 + \dots + b_m \beta_m = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\Rightarrow b_1 \beta_1 + b_2 \beta_2 + \dots + b_m \beta_m = -(c_1 \gamma_1 + c_2 \gamma_2 + \dots + c_K \gamma_K + a_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + a_n \alpha_n) \quad (3)$$

Here, $-(c_1 \gamma_1 + c_2 \gamma_2 + \dots + c_K \gamma_K + a_1 \alpha_1 + a_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + a_n \alpha_n) \in W_1$ [$\because S$ is L.H.S. = R.H.S. in eq (3), so, $b_1 \beta_1 + b_2 \beta_2 + \dots + b_m \beta_m \in W_1$]

But, $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m \in W_2$ - (4)

By (3) and (4) we can say that

$$b_1 \beta_1 + b_2 \beta_2 + \dots + b_m \beta_m \in W_1 \cap W_2$$

Again since $S = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_K\}$ is basis for W_1 and W_2 ,

$$b_1 \beta_1 + b_2 \beta_2 + \dots + b_m \beta_m = d_1 \gamma_1 + d_2 \gamma_2 + \dots + d_K \gamma_K \quad [\because d_1, d_2, \dots, d_K \in F]$$

$$\Rightarrow b_1 \beta_1 + b_2 \beta_2 + \dots + b_m \beta_m - (d_1 \gamma_1 + d_2 \gamma_2 + \dots + d_K \gamma_K) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow b$ is a L.C. in between $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_K$ which forms basis for W_2 so, L.O.I. i.e., $b_1 \beta_1 + b_2 \beta_2 + \dots + b_m \beta_m = 0$

$$b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_m = d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_K = 0 \quad (5)$$

Now by (2) and (5)

$$c_1 \gamma_1 + c_2 \gamma_2 + \dots + c_K \gamma_K + a_1 \alpha_1 + a_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + a_n \alpha_n = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_K = a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = 0 \quad [\because S_2 \text{ is L.O.I.}] \quad (6)$$

Now by (5) and (6) we can say that

S' is linearly independent.

(ii) $L(S') = W_1 + W_2$:- In order to prove this we need to prove that $L(S') \subseteq W_1 + W_2$ & $L(S') \supseteq W_1 + W_2$

So, let

$$L(S') = c_1 \gamma_1 + c_2 \gamma_2 + \dots + c_K \gamma_K + a_1 \alpha_1 + a_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + a_n \alpha_n + b_1 \beta_1 + \dots + b_m \beta_m$$

since $S_1 = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_K, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ and $S_2 = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_K, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$ are basis their L.C. $\in W_1$ and W_2 respectively. Then we

can say that $L(S') \in W_1 + W_2$

$$\Rightarrow L(S') \subseteq W_1 + W_2 \quad (7)$$

Dimension of sum of subspaces:

Theorem:- If w_1 and w_2 are the two subspace of the vector space $V(F)$, then,

$$\dim(w_1 + w_2) = \dim w_1 + \dim w_2 - \dim(w_1 \cap w_2)$$

proof:-

Let us consider $V(F)$ be a vector space over the field F and w_1 and w_2 are its two subspace such that,

$$\dim(w_1 \cap w_2) = K.$$

Then, \exists a basis say $S = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_K\}$ for $w_1 \cap w_2$ i.e., S is L.I. and $L(S) = w_1 \cap w_2$.

Also, $S \subseteq w_1$ and $S \subseteq w_2$ [$\because S \subseteq w_1 \cap w_2 \subseteq w_1$ & w_2]

We know it is not necessary that S also form the basis for w_1 and w_2 . But by the extension theorem S can be extended to form the basis for w_1 and w_2 . Thus,

$$S_1 = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_K, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$$

$$S_2 = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_K, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m\}$$

be the extended basis for w_1 and w_2 respectively i.e., $L(S_1) = w_1$ and $L(S_2) = w_2$ and S_1, S_2 are L.I.

$$\therefore \dim(w_1) + \dim(w_2) - \dim(w_1 \cap w_2) = (K+n) + (K+m) - K = K+n+m \quad (1)$$

(Ag In Order to prove the theorem we need to show that $\dim(w_1 + w_2) = K+n+m$).

for the, Let's assume

$$S' = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_K, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m\}$$

To show: (i) S' is L.I.

(ii) $L(S') = w_1 + w_2$. Thus forms basis for $w_1 + w_2$