Lab Report for Software Engineering course Lab 3: Starbubucks coffee online retailing system v2.0

April 1, 2019

Contents

1	Ove	erview of this Lab					
	1.1	The Objectives of the Project					
	1.2	Specifications of the Lab					
	1.3	The division of work in the team					
		1.3.1 Division of work: Huang, Jiani					
		1.3.2 Division of work: Liu, Jiaxing					
		1.3.3 Division of work: Wang, Chen					
		1.3.4 Division of work: Tang, Xinyue					
2	Tools adopted for quality analysis 5						
	2.1	Junit					
		2.1.1 Description of JUnit					
		2.1.2 Test fixture of JUnit					
	2.2	JMock					
3	Fea	tures added to the project 7					
•	3.1	User name checking					
	0	3.1.1 Requirements for user name checking					
		3.1.2 Interface for the checking method					
	3.2	Password checking					
	•	3.2.1 Requirements for user name checking					
		3.2.2 Interface for the checking method					
4	Fea	tures tested in the project					
_	4.1	Login method					
	1.1	4.1.1 Login successfully					
		4.1.2 Login failed					
	4.2	Sign up method					
		4.2.1 signUp successfully					
		4.2.2 signUp failed					
	4.3	Username checking method					
		4.3.1 Legal name					
		4.3.2 Illegal name					
	4.4	Password checking method					
		4.4.1 Legal password					
		4.4.2 Illegal password					
	4.5	Status checking method					
	-	4.5.1 status is logged in					

CONTENTS 2

	4.5.2	status is not logged in	11
4.6	Cost c	checking method	11
	4.6.1	testCostOK for cappuccino and espresso	12
	4.6.2	testCost for NumberError	12
	4.6.3	testCost for CupErrors	12
	464	testCost for Null	12

Overview of this Lab

1.1 The Objectives of the Project

We are going to try to learn to use the code unit testing tools, e.g. JUnit/JMock, in this lab so as to experience test-driven development and the influence of the change in code quality and requirements on the process of development.

The specifications, division of work and the detailed implementation of the work is shown in the sections below.

1.2 Specifications of the Lab

In this lab, we are required to accomplish the tasks including designing and implementing new interfaces, performing unit tesing design and development and some other works. Specifically, the works are in the form of the following parts:

- 1. Implement the interfaces as required in the lab requirements;
- 2. Perform code unit testing design and develop code unit test;
- 3. Link the code commits with the project work items in the project planning;
- 4. Develop in groups based on Git and hand in the lab report.

 Our group members acted actively in their own roles together to finish the whole project and below are the detailed working results of our group.

1.3 The division of work in the team

1.3.1 Division of work: Huang, Jiani

Build the JUnit environment and write all required environmental methods except the @test method, such as @before and @after methods; write the @test method for the login() method.

1.3.2 Division of work: Liu, Jiaxing

Complete the *checkName()* and *checkPassword()* test method.

1.3.3 Division of work: Wang, Chen

Implement the checkName() and checkPassword() methods.

1.3.4 Division of work: Tang, Xinyue

Finish the test method of signUp(), checkStatus() and cost().

Tools adopted for quality analysis

2.1 Junit

2.1.1 Description of JUnit

JUnit is a unit testing framework for the Java programming language. JUnit has been important in the development of test-driven development, and is one of a family of unit testing frameworks which is collectively known as xUnit that originated with SUnit.

JUnit is linked as a JAR at compile-time; the framework resides under package *junit.framework* for JUnit 3.8 and earlier, and under package *org.junit* for JUnit 4 and later.

2.1.2 Test fixture of JUnit

A JUnit test fixture is a Java object. With older versions of JUnit, fixtures had to inherit from *junit.framework.TestCase*, but the new tests using JUnit 4 should not do this. Test methods must be annotated by the @Test annotation. If the situation requires it, it is also possible to define a method to execute before (or after) each (or all) of the test methods with the @Before (or @After) and @BeforeClass (or @AfterClass) annotations.

We have adopted *JUnit version 4.12* and the library is from *Maven* remote repository. In our implementation of JUnit test fixture, we have well utilized the *@Before* and *@After* method to initialize and discard objects that will be used in all other *@Test* methods.

2.2 JMock

JMock is a library that supports test-driven development of Java code with mock objects.

Mock objects help you design and test the interactions between the objects in your programs.

The jMock library:

- 1. makes it quick and easy to define mock objects, so you don't break the rhythm of programming.
- $2.\,$ lets you precisely specify the interactions between your objects, reducing the brittleness of your tests.
- 3. works well with the autocompletion and refactoring features of your IDE
- 4. plugs into your favourite test framework is easy to extend.

Features added to the project

3.1 User name checking

3.1.1 Requirements for user name checking

The username should satisfy the following requirements:

- 1. The username must start with **starbb**_;
- 2. The username can consist of **letters**, **numbers** and **underline**, excluding any other symbols;
- 3. The username should have a length greater than or equal to 8 and less than 50.

3.1.2 Interface for the checking method

```
/**

* Check whether the given name is valid

*

* @param name the given name to check

* @return whether the name is valid

*/

boolean checkName(String name);
```

3.2 Password checking

3.2.1 Requirements for user name checking

The password should satisfy the following requirements:

- 1. The password can consist of **letters**, **numbers** and _, excluding any other symbols;
- The password must consist of all the three types, i.e. letters, numbers and _, excluding any other symbols;

3. The password should have a length greater than or equal to 8 and less than 100.

3.2.2 Interface for the checking method

```
/**

* Check whether the given password is valid

*

* @param password the given password to check

* @return whether the password is valid

*/

boolean checkPassword(String password);
```

Features tested in the project

4.1 Login method

The whole test for login can be divided into two functions: the one for login successfully and the other for login failure.

4.1.1 Login successfully

assertTrue method is used in this test function. If the login() method returns true, then the test method will be passed.

4.1.2 Login failed

If we fail to login, a runtime exception will be thrown. AssertEquals method is used in this test function to handle the exception message. By comparing the two strings, we successfully test th login failure situation.

User Is Null

If the username input doesn't exist in the database, the exception message will be " Entity not found."

Password Is Incorrect

If the username matches but password does not in the database, the exception message will be "password or username error.".

4.2 Sign up method

The test for signUp can be divided into two functions: the one for signUp successfully and the other for signUp failure.

4.2.1 signUp successfully

assertTrue method is used in this test function.

To make sure we use a name that doesn't exist in user.csv, I used the *Date* object to construct a new name.

```
Date date = new Date();
String name = (new SimpleDateFormat("yyyy_MM_dd_hh_mm_ss" 2
)).format(date);
```

4.2.2 signUp failed

assertFalse method is used in this test function. SignUp function will return false if the user name already exists.

4.3 Username checking method

The test for username checking method consists of two parts: the one for legal one and the other for illegal one.

4.3.1 Legal name

I construct a string- $starbb_{-}12AC$ -and it begins with the string starbb_, and contains letters and digits at the right length. Therefore, it's legal.

4.3.2 Illegal name

It's very easy to construct an illegal name. A null string, a string with other special characters, a string not beginning with the specified string and a much shorter or longer one all are wrong names. So I design 5 methods to cover all situations. In the beginning, I forgot to consider the situation that the name may be null. Thanks to **Wang**, **Chen**'s advice, I take it into account.

Username checking methods are more complex than other test methods, and they contain many situations. But in fact, they are very normal and easy to implement. According to the classification method mentioned in class, I should design 5 methods to check whether the length of tested name is legal. But to be easy, I just implement 3 methods for three types of name: the shorter one, the right one and the longer one, which don't include the two methods for just right ones.

```
@Test
public void testNameShorterThan8() {
    //length here:7
}

@Test
public void testNameOK() {
    //length here:11
}
```

1

@Test	1
<pre>public void testNameLongerThan49() {</pre>	2
$//length\ here:50$	3
}	4

4.4 Password checking method

The test for password checking method consists of two parts: the one for legal one and the other for illegal one.

4.4.1 Legal password

I construct a string–123_abc12—and it contains letters, digits and underline at the right length. Therefore, it's legal.

4.4.2 Illegal password

A legal password must contain all the three types: **letters**, **numbers** and .. So in order to get a illegal password, there are many ways. Null password, a string without digits, a string without letters, a string without underline, a string with other special characters and a much shorter or longer one all are OK. So I design 7 methods to test.

There maybe a small trap in checking password. Well, if we couldn't find the differences between legal name and legal password, we'll write some wrong codes. It's because a legal password must contain all the three types but a legal name is unnecessary to satisfy the need.

4.5 Status checking method

The test for status checking method can be divided into two functions: the one for user login successfully and the other for user has not logged in.

4.5.1 status is logged in

We let a true user login and then call the *checkStatus()* method. In this test, we *assertTrue* on the return value of this method.

4.5.2 status is not logged in

assertFalse method is used in this test function. this test works well when runing separately, but failed when runing the whole Lab2ApplicationTests program. Liu, Jiaxing fixed this problem by adding:

@FixMethodOrder(MethodSorters.NAME_ASCENDING)

4.6 Cost checking method

The test for cost method can be divided into five functions.

4.6.1 testCostOK for cappuccino and espresso

we assertEquals the cost's result and the manually calculated value;

4.6.2 testCost for NumberError

catch a COFFEE NUMBER ERROR when the number of coffee is less than zero.

4.6.3 testCost for CupErrors

catch a CUP SIZE ERROR when the cup SIZE of coffee is less than 1 or more than 3.

4.6.4 testCost for Null

catch a ORDER Null when passing in a null value to the cost function

Bibliography

- [1] Wikipedia contributors. (2018, December 24). Version control. In Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Retrieved 06:12, March 10, 2019, from https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Version_control&oldid=875227317
- [2] Wikipedia contributors. (2019, March 10). Systems development life cycle. In *Wikipedia*, *The Free Encyclopedia*. Retrieved 06:13, March 10, 2019, from https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Systems_development_life_cycle&oldid=887015682
- [3] Stolen, L. H. (1999). Distributed control system. international telecommunications energy conference.
- [4] Murayama, T. (1991). Distributed Control System. international conference on advanced robotics robots in unstructured environments.
- [5] Wikipedia contributors. (2019, March 6). Distributed control system. In Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Retrieved 06:18, March 10, 2019, from https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Distributed_control_system&oldid=886468871