CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	Discourt.	U.S.
Simon Wang		Squad #2	201404485	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Tuesday, 05/06/2014 9:00 PM		1078 Castleton Avenue	2	120	11/6/2015	11/6/2015
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCR	lB
Thu, 05/08/2014 1:54 PM		CCRB	Phone	Thu, 05/08	3/2014 1:54 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Type Home Address					
Witness(es)	Home Address					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Ernan Vega	04893	948153	120 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM Anthony Sciusco	04989	949644	120 PCT			
2. POM Eduardo Ochoa	02850	917142	120 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on .		Inve	stigator Recom	mendation
A.POM Ernan Vega	Abuse: PO Ernan Vega frisked § 87(2)(b)					
B.POM Ernan Vega	Force: PO Ernan Vega used physical force against (5) (2)					
C.POM Ernan Vega	Abuse: PO Ernan Vega searched § 87(2)(b) s cell phone.					

Case Summary

On May 6, 2014, at approximately 9:00 p.m., \$87(2)(b) was approached by PO Ernan Vega, PO Eduardo Ochoa and PO Anthony Sciusco of the 120 th Precinct for leaving his car idling in front of 1078 Castleton Avenue in Staten Island. When asked for his identification, \$100 stated that he would retrieve his identification from the vehicle. PO Vega allegedly frisked \$87(2)(b) before allowing him to enter the car (Allegation A). \$87(2)(b) was arrested by PO Vega for \$37(2)(b) was being transported to the 120 th Precinct stationhouse, PO Vega sat in the rear seat next to \$100 stated by PO Vega allegedly body-checked \$100 stated by PO Vega allegedly body-checked \$100 stated by PO Vega allegedly erased a video that \$100 stated by PO Vega allegedly erased by PO Veg
Mediation, Civil and Criminal Conviction Histories
This case was not eligible for mediation. As of October 30, 2014, which was after the 90 day deadline for filing a notice of claim, § 87(2)(b) had not filed a notice of claim regarding this incident (encl.9b). [\$ 87(2)(b)] [\$ 87(2)(b)] [\$ 87(2)(c)]
Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories
• \$87(2)(b) has been involved in a total of six CCRB complaints, \$87(2)(b)
• PO Vega has been a member of the service for five years and has had two previous CCRB complaints involving seven allegations (encl. 2a). None of the complaints were substantiated \$87(2)(9)
Findings and Recommendations
Explanation of Subject Officer Identification Ser(2)(b) alleged that the same officer who frisked him later used force against him in the backseat of the patrol car. PO Vega admitted that he sat in the back seat with Ser(2)(b) PO Vega also matches the description PO Vega admitted that he had possession of Ser(2)(b) seed the cell phone with Ser(2)(b) seed the cell phone with Ser(2)(c) seed the cell phone with Ser(2)(d) seed the cell phone seed the cell phone with Ser(2)(d) seed the cell phone see
Allegations not Pleaded
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation A - PO Ernan Vega frisked \$87(2)(5) alleged that when he was asked for identification, he told the officers that his identification was inside his car. PO Vega allegedly frisked him before allowing him to retrieve his identification from inside his car. PO Vega, PO Sciusco and PO Ochoa all claimed that \$2.70 refused to provide identification and cited that as the reason for arresting him. They

Page 2 CCRB Case # 201404485

ntil later in the incident when he was under not witness this portion of the incident.
gainst § 87(2)(b)
at of the patrol car, PO Vega stuck him on ten times, causing him to hit his head and PO Sciusco claimed that down after becoming irate. PO Ochoa also atrol car window, but admitted that he did was treated at \$87(2)(b) complained of, "right sided the police car." Although \$87(2)(b) a scale, it was also noted that there was no al injuries. \$87(2)(b) was given
s cell phone. ncident on his cell phone. He also claimed the video was deleted. \$87(2)(b) or its deletion. PO Vega stated \$7(2)(c) the phone number of his wife, which he ont of the front desk. PO Vega denied ing from the phone. Although PO Vega would have been able to unlock the phone, the officers saw \$87(2)(b) recording

Pod: _____2___

Investigator:	Simon Wang	12/31/14
Signature	Print	Date
Supervisor:		
Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:		
Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:		
Title/Signature	Print	Date