CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	V	Force		Discourt.	☐ U	.S.
Abigail Marean		Team # 3	200400691	Ø	Abuse		O.L.	☐ In	ijury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		l l	Precinct:	18	Mo. SOL	ЕО	SOL
Thursday, 12/04/2003 12:45 PM		§ 87(2)(b)		Ī	101	ϵ	5/4/2005	6/4/	2005
Date/Time CV Reported	Reported CV Reported At:		How CV Reported:	Date/Time Received at CCR			RB		
Thu, 01/15/2004 7:30 PM		IAB	Phone		Thu, 01/22/2004 4:58 PM				
Complainant/Victim	Type Home Address								
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command						
1. POM John Occhipinti	04010	930866	101 PCT						
2. LT Gary Messina	00000	874530	101 PCT						
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inve	stiga	ator Recon	nmend	ation
A.LT Gary Messina	LT Gary Messina Abuse: LT Gary Messina stopped and questioned §87(2)(b)								
B.LT Gary Messina Force: LT Gary Messina used physical force against § 87(2)(b)									
C.POM John Occhipinti	Force: PO John Occhipinti used physical force against \$87(2)(b)								
D.LT Gary Messina	Abuse: L	T Gary Messina frisked	and searched § 87(2)(b))					
E.LT Gary Messina		LT Gary Messina refused Imber to ^{§ 87(2)(b)}	l to provide his name	e an	nd				

Synopsis

On December 4, 2003, at approximately 12:45 PM, \$87(2)(b) was inside \$37(2)(b) a residential building. \$87(2)(b) alleged that LT Messina and PO Occhipinti entered the building, stopped and questioned him (Allegation A, \$87(2)(g)). A physical altercation ensued, in which alleged that the officers grabbed him, threw him onto the ground and jumped on him (Allegations B and C, \$87(2)(g)). \$87(2)(b) was arrested for criminal trespassing and resisting arrest. He was brought to the stationhouse, where he asked LT Messina for his name and shield number, which LT Messina refused to provide (Allegation E, \$87(2)(g)).
Summary of Complaint
This complaint was a spin-off of IAB log #04-01893 (Enc. 3A-B), filed on January 20, 2004. \$57(2)\$ \$7(2)\$ provided a telephone statement on February 2, 2004 (Enc. 3D). He provided an official statement on February 5, 2004 (Enc. 3E-G). These statements are consistent, except where noted below. On December 4, 2003, at approximately 12:45 PM, \$7(2)\$ was walking to \$7(2)\$ was walking to \$7(2)\$ to visit a female occupant, \$7(2)\$ \$7(2)\$ has been there many times. \$7(2)\$ had called \$7(2)\$ earlier to tell her he was coming. \$7(2)\$ had called \$7(2)\$ earlier to tell her he was coming. \$7(2)\$ minutes. There was no one else waiting in the hallway. Two men entered and went up the stairway. About 2-3 minutes later, two officers came into the building; \$7(2)\$ minutes later, two officers came into the building; \$7(2)\$ had heard the door open, then turned around slightly and saw the officers enter the building. One officer, whom \$7(2)\$ if he lived there, he told them he did not and the officers asked what he was doing. \$7(2)\$ \$7(2)\$ if he lived there, he told them he did not and the officers saked what he was doing. \$7(2)\$ \$7(2)\$ told them that he was going to apartment 2B. The officers then asked \$7(2)\$ onto the ground by grabbing \$7(2)\$ two arms with his two hands and knocking him to the ground. PO Occhipinti then jumped on top of \$7(2)\$ \$7(2)\$ asked them what the problem was and what they were doing. Both officers waren on the ground. \$7(2)\$ asked them what the problem was and what they were doing. Both officers wall the did not termember who handcuffed him or the manner in which they did). They patted him down. \$7(2)\$ was walking to \$7(2)\$ was walking to \$7(2)\$ to anot remember if he was face down or on his back. Both officers were on top of him \$7(2)\$ asked them what the problem was and what they were doing. Both officers wall that he did not the manner in which they did). They patted him down. \$7(2)\$ said that he did not the member who handcuffed him or the manner in which they did). They patt
The officers took him out to the patrol car, which was a marked RMP. There were no other officers around. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ was searched again. They did not take anything out of his pockets. They did not ask him to take any clothing off. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ was standing outside for about 4-5 minutes. He was taked to the precinct, where he was searched again. His pockets were emptied, which included keys, gum, and money did not have anything illegal. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ asked LT Messina for his name and shield number, but he just turned and walked away. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ then asked PO Occhipinti for LT Messina's name and shield numbers (In his telephone statement, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ stated that when he asked PO Occhipinti for LT Messina's name and shield number, PO Occhipinti approached LT Messina, who wrote down his name on paper and gave it to PO Occhipinti, who then gave it to \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ at the stated that this officer was filling out the paperwork, therefore the name would be accessible later. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ later got his name off of the voucher.
was in the holding cell about nine hours. \$87(2)(b) told an officer that as soon as he got out he was going to file a complaint. PO Occhipinti told him that he had looked like he had a gun in his back pocket, that he resisted arrest and was loitering. \$87(2)(b) went to central booking; PO Occhipinti went with him. He was charged with loitering and resisting arrest. \$87(2)(b) has an

attorney. § 37(2)(b) cell phone and about \$800 were vouchered. § 37(2)(b) was carrying \$800 on him because it was the beginning of December and he needed to pay bills. § 87(2)(b) got the vouchered items back several days later.					
Results of Investigation					
Officer Identification §87(2)(b) identified LT Messina by asking PO Occhipinti for his name, which was provided. He identified PO Occhipinti by the arrest paperwork he received.					
Officer Statements LT Messina was interviewed at the CCRB on April 16, 2004 (Enc. 4A-E). PO Occhipinti was interviewed at the CCRB on April 20, 2004 (Enc. 4F-J).					
On December 4, 2003, at approximately 12:40 PM, LT Messina observed three individuals, one known to him as a drug dealer in that location (\$37(2)(b) LT Messina has had prior interactions with him, he is a known drug dealer in the command), entering into (\$37(2)(b) They were walking from the direction of 1530 Hassock Avenue. At that time there was a dispute going on between two drug factions. Shawn Colley belonged to the faction on the north side of the projects, and (\$37(2)(b) is considered the south side. When the two factions meet each other, it usually results in violence. That is why LT Messina followed them to the building. LT Messina entered the building about 30 seconds after the group of three did. (\$37(2)(b) If the was already inside the building, opened the door for the three individuals and let them in (\$37(2)(b) If the was standing by the staircase. The elevator is before the staircase, about 15 feet ahead, on the right. The lobby is about 20 ft x 20 ft. When LT Messina entered, (\$37(2)(b) If the was standing by the staircase, looking up the staircase, about 15 feet ahead, on the right. The lobby. He was standing by the staircase, looking up the staircase, about 15 feet ahead, on the lobby. He was standing by the staircase, looking up the staircase, about 15 feet ahead, on the right. The lobby is about 20 ft x 20 ft. When LT Messina entered, (\$37(2)(b) If the was standing by the staircase, looking up the staircase, about 15 feet ahead, on the right. The lobby is about 20 ft x 20 ft. When LT Messina entered, (\$37(2)(b) If the was standing by the staircase, looking up the staircase, about 15 feet ahead, on the right. The lobby is about 20 ft x 20 ft. When LT Messina entered, (\$37(2)(b) If the was standing by the staircase, looking up the staircase, about 15 feet ahead, on the right. The lobby is about 20 ft x 20 ft. When LT Messina entered, (\$37(2)(b) If the was standing by the staircase, looking up the staircase, about 15 feet ahead, on the right. The lobby, (\$37(2)(b) If the was standing by the stai					
LT Messina decided that he needed to make sure \$87(2)(b) didn't have anything in his pocket that could hurt him. He then reached around \$87(2)(b) body and grabbed his hand and kept it inside his pocket. PO Occhipinti helped him do this. \$87(2)(b) began struggling with them; he was swinging with his one free arm, although he did not punch LT Messina. He refused to be taken under control. LT Messina wrestled him to the ground and handcuffed him. LT Messina brought \$87(2)(b) to the ground because he was resisting and that was the only way to get him under control. \$87(2)(b) resisted up until he was handcuffed, at which point he became compliant. The officers then frisked \$87(2)(c) \$87(2)(b) wallet was in his back pocket. LT Messina again asked him why he was in					
the building, \$87(2)(b) could not give a legitimate reason for being in the building. He was arrested for criminal trespassing and resisting arrest. \$87(2)(b) was compliant when being removed from the building. He was searched out at the car. \$87(2)(b) was searched again when he was brought into the cell. When \$87(2)(b) was standing in front of the desk, he asked LT Messina for his name and shield number, to which LT Messina replied, "LT Gary Messina." He did not provide a shield number because					

LT Messina explained that criminal trespassing is entering or remaining in a building without permission. This location was a housing building and there are signs posted in every housing building, stating that you cannot loiter or remain in the building without permission or authority. According to the Penal Law of

lieutenants do not have them. Initially, \$87(2)(b) was belligerent. After he was handcuffed and

brought into the stationhouse, he was reasonable. §87(2)(b) did not raise his voice.

the State of New York, the police department is the agent for the housing authority, so they determine if people belong in the building or not. Tenants are allowed in the building, and other would be allowed as well if they provided a legitimate reason for being there, such as visiting someone in a specific apartment. LT Messina did not remember \$87(2)(b) mentioning anything about visiting apartment 2B or anyone named \$87(2)(b)
PO Occhipinti PO Occhipinti corroborated LT Messina's account of stopping \$87(2)(b) PO Occhipinti added that \$87(2)(b) Began to move, as if he was going to run away. LT Messina put him up against the wall by putting his hands against \$87(2) \$87(2)(b) Shoulders to keep him from running. \$87(2)(b) Solve the lost his footing and they all fell to the ground. LT Messina was on top of \$87(2)(b) PO Occhipinti was next to both of them with his hand on his arm. PO Occhipinti added that \$87(2)(b) PO Occhipinti was next to both of them with his hand on his arm. PO Occhipinti added that \$87(2)(b) PO Occhipinti added that \$87(2)(b) asked him for his name and shield number at the stationhouse, which he verbally provided. He also stated that he provided LT Messina's name to \$87(2) \$87(2)(b) and told LT Messina that \$87(2)(b) had made the request. LT Messina then went into cell to provide his name as well.
Police Documentation The Stop and Frisk Report (Enc. 5B-C) was filed on the date of incident and signed by both PO Occhipinti and LT Messina. It states that \$87(2)(b) was acting as a lookout, had his hand in his pocket with a suspicious bulge and was arrested for criminal trespassing and resisting arrest. It also documents that force was used, with an officer using his hands and putting \$87(2)(b) against a wall.
Bisposition of this Case S87(2)(b) Disposition of this Case S87(2)(b) has a court appearance scheduled for \$87(2)(b) regarding this case.
LT Messina's CCRB History LT Messina has one Abuse – Property Damaged allegation, one Force – Push/Shove allegation and one Discourtesy – Curse allegation substantiated in case #9601222 (Enc. 2A-E). LT Messina received charges for these allegations.
PO Occhipinti's CCRB History PO Occhipinti has no prior CCRB allegations (Enc. 2F).
Conclusions and Recommendations
Undisputed Facts Undisputed in this case is that \$87(2)(b) was near the elevator in \$87(2)(b) when he was stopped and questioned by LT Messina and PO Occhipinti. A physical altercation ensued, which resulted in all three individuals on the floor. Not is dispute that \$87(2)(b) was handcuffed and arrested for criminal trespassing and resisting arrest.
Disputed Facts In dispute is whether \$87(2)(b) provided a reason as to why he was inside \$87(2)(b) Also in dispute is whether \$87(2)(b) had his hand in his rear pocket while being questioned by the two officers. Disputed is whether LT Messina and PO Occhipinti grabbed \$87(2)(b) and

brought him to the floor. Disputed is whether § 87(2)(b) asked LT Messina and PO Occhipinti for their names and shield numbers, and whether the officers provided them.
Credibility
§ 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(g)
I.T. Massing and DO Oaskining
LT Messina and PO Occhipinti § 87(2)(g)
All and the A. I.T. Marrier and an all and the state of t
Allegation A: LT Messina stopped and questioned \$87(2)(b) alleged that LT Messina unjustly stopped and questioned him. LT Messina stated that he had reasonable suspicion to enter the building, based on his observation of a known drug dealer, accompanied by two others, enter a location in which he did not live, indicating a possible criminal trespassing violation. He stated that \$87(2)(b) was inside the building and could not provide a reason as to why he was there. As outlined by Penal Law 140.10 (e), "A person is guilty of criminal trespass in the third degree when he knowingly enters or remains unlawfully in a building or upon real property, where a building is used as a public housing project in violation of conspicuously posted rules or regulations governing entry and use thereof," (Enc. 1A). \$87(2)(9)
Allegation B: LT Messina used physical force against § 87(2)(b)
Allegation C: PO Occhipinti used physical force against § 87(2)(b)
Allegation D: LT Messina searched \$87(2)(b) alleged that LT Messina and PO Occhipinti grabbed him, knocked him to the ground, jumped on top of him and searched him. LT Messina and PO Occhipinti acknowledge that there was a physical altercation which transpired with \$87(2)(b) They both stated that \$87(2)(b) withheld his hand in his rear pocket and refused to remove it while being detained, which was documented in the Stop and Frisk Report (Enc. 5B-C), filed on the date of incident. Both officers thought that \$87(2)(b) have had a weapon concealed in the pocket, and therefore feared for their safety. \$87(2)(b) stated that while at the precinct, the officers told him that he had looked as though he was concealing a weapon. After multiple requests for \$87(2)(b) to remove his hand, the officers decided that they needed to restrain him in order to determine what was in \$87(2)(b) pocket, their physical safety in mind. Both officers stated that an effort was made to keep \$87(2)(b) resisted and was brought to the ground, where he was searched and handcuffed. \$87(2)(b) claimed that he did nothing to resist against the officers, \$87(2)(g)

Allegation E: LT Messina refused to provide his name and shield number to \$87(2)(b)

Messina refused to do. He then asked PO Occhip Occhipinti provided the name. LT Messina stated and that lieutenants do not have shield numbers. Is \$87(2)(b) had LT Messina's name and shield received it from PO Occhipinti, as he claimed, or statement, \$87(2)(b) stated that LT Messina's name and shield received it from PO Occhipinti, as he claimed, or statement, \$87(2)(b) stated that LT Messina's name and shield received it from PO Occhipinti, as he claimed, or statement, \$87(2)(b) stated that LT Messina's name and shield received it from PO Occhipinti, as he claimed, or statement, \$87(2)(b) stated that LT Messina's name and shield received it from PO Occhipinti, as he claimed, or statement, \$87(2)(b) stated that LT Messina's name and shield received it from PO Occhipinti, as he claimed, or statement, \$87(2)(b) stated that LT Messina's name and shield received it from PO Occhipinti, as he claimed, or statement, \$87(2)(b) stated that LT Messina's name and shield received it from PO Occhipinti, as he claimed, or statement, \$87(2)(b) stated that LT Messina's name and shield received it from PO Occhipinti, as he claimed, or statement, \$87(2)(b) stated that LT Messina's name and shield received it from PO Occhipinti, as he claimed, or statement, \$87(2)(b) stated that LT Messina's name and shield received it from PO Occhipinti, as he claimed that LT Messina's name and shield received th	PO Occhipinti corroborated LT Messina's statement. \$87(2) number when he filed this complaint, indicating he either from LT Messina, who did provide it. In his telephone sina eventually wrote down his name on paper, when gave it to PO Occhipinti, who then gave it to \$87(2)
Investigator:	Date:
Supervisor:	Date:
Reviewed by:	Date:
Reviewed by:	Date: