CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	U.S.
Frank Montgoris		Squad #7	201710512	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Friday, 12/15/2017 5:30 PM		§ 87(2)(b)		114	6/15/2019	6/15/2019
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCF	RB
Wed, 12/20/2017 11:45 AM		CCRB	In-person	Wed, 12/2	Wed, 12/20/2017 11:45 AM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM John Peppard	19035	952103	114 PCT			
2. POM Kevin Brennan	21355	959510	114 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.POM John Peppard		Abuse: Police Officer John Peppard refused to provide his shield number to § 87(2)(5)				
B.POM Kevin Brennan	Abuse: Police Officer Kevin Brennan refused to provide his shield number to \$87(2)(b)					

Case Summary

<u>Case Summary</u>						
filed this complaint with the CCRB in person on December 20, 2017.						
On December 15, 2017, at approximately 5:30 p.m., PO John Peppard and PO Kevin						
Brennan, from the 114 th Precinct, arrived at \$87(2)(b) s apartment, located at \$87(2)(b)						
, in Manhattan, in regards to a dispute. PO Peppard and PO Brennan allegedly						
refused to provide their names and shield numbers to §87(2)(b) (Allegations A and B: Abuse						
of Authority, S87(2)(9) No arrests or summonses resulted from this incident.						
Findings and Recommendations						
Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: Police Officer John Peppard refused to provide his						
shield number to § 87(2)(b)						
Allegation B – Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Kevin Brennan refused to provide his						
shield number to § 87(2)(b)						
It is undisputed that PO Peppard, who is assigned shield number "19035," and PO						
Brennan, who is assigned shield number "21355," informed \$87(2)(b) who utilizes a						
motorized wheelchair and has limited use of his hands, that they were not taking a police report						
for his complaint. It is also undisputed that \$87(2)(b) subsequently asked both officers for						
their shield numbers, and that both officers wrote their information down for §87(2)(6)						
Lastly, it is undisputed that, after the incident, § 37(2)(b) called the 114 th Precinct Telephone						
Switchboard Operator, who provided the officers' shield numbers to him.						
denied that either officer verbally provided their shield numbers. He alleged						
that the officers immediately left the location after writing down their information, and, after they						
had left, \$87(2)(b) observed that the shield numbers written down were not legible (Board						
Review 01).						
Both PO Peppard and PO Brennan testified that they each verbally provided their names						
and shield numbers to \$87(2)(5) and each wrote down their respective information after						
further requested for them to do so. PO Peppard and PO Brennan both testified that						
never expressed to them that he could not read what they had written. Upon seeing the						
photo evidence of the white sticker where their names and shield numbers were provided, both						
officers identified the sticker to be the area where they each had written their own names and						
shield numbers, and both consistently identified PO Peppard's name and shield number to be on						
the bottom-most part of the sticker, with PO Brennan's name and shield number immediately						
above his. Both PO Peppard and PO Brennan acknowledged that PO Brennan received a phone						
call from the Telephone Switchboard Operator after the incident, who had informed them that						
had called requesting the officers' names and shield numbers (Board Reviews 02)						
and 03).						
provided the white sticker where the officers wrote their shield numbers						
down to the CCRB. The two five-digit numbers and names at the bottom of the sticker are						
unclearly written, and cannot be clearly deciphered (Board Review 04).						
Police officers must clearly state, or otherwise provide, their shield number to anyone						
who requests them to do so. An officer must also allow the person ample time to note this						
information. NYPD Patrol Guide, Section 203-09 (Board Review 05).						
\$ 87(2)(g)						

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201710512

§ 87(2)(g)								
§ 87(2)(g)								
	Mediation, Ci	ivil, and Criminal Histories						
	This case was unsuitable for mediation.							
	36(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]							
• As of January 16, 2018, \$87(2)(b) has not filed a Notice of Claim against the City of New York for this incident (Board Review 07).								
Civilian and Subject Officer CCRB Histories								
• \$87(2)(b) has six prior complaints, two of which were investigated by the CCRB.								
§ 87(2)(b)								
PO Peppard has been a member of the NYPD for five years. PO Peppard does not have								
any prior allegations against him. • PO Brennan has been a member of the NYPD for two years. \$87(2)(b)								
Squad No.: 7								
Investigator:	G:	Deine Title 0 Nieme						
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date					
Canadiaadam								
Squad Leader:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date					
	-							
Reviewer:								
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date					

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201710512

Page 4

CCRB Case # 201710512