CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:		CCRB Case #:	1	Force		☐ Discourt. ☐ U.S		
Lydia Obasi		Team # 4		200506336	☐ Abuse			O.L.		Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location	of Incident:		Pı	recinct:	181	Mo. SOL	I	EO SOL
Wednesday, 06/01/2005 8:30 PM		outside of §87(2)(b) , in Brooklyn			73		12/1/2006		1	2/1/2006
Date/Time CV Reported	orted		orted At:	How CV Reported:		Date/Time Received at CCRB				
Mon, 06/06/2005 6:19 PM		CCRB		Call Processing System		Mon, 06/06/2005 6:19 PM				
Complainant/Victim	Type		ess							
Subject Officer(s)	Shield		TaxID	Command						
1. SGT William Burke	01707		885312	073 PCT						
2. POM William Warren	30397		933467	073 PCT						
3. POM Timothy Schultz	10651		934158	073 PCT						
Officer(s)	Allegation					Investigator Recommendation				
A.POM William Warren	Force: PO William Warren used physical force against \$87(2)(b)									
B.POM Timothy Schultz	Force: PO Timothy Schultz used physical force against \$87(2)(6)									
C.SGT William Burke	Force: PO William Burke used physical force against \$87(2)(b)									

Synopsis

in Brooklyn. According to \$37(2)(6) grandmother's apartment and saw three properties of the company of the comp	on the indicated date and time, he walked out of his colice officers, Sgt. William Burke, PO William Warren and PO door. According to \$87(2)(b) the officers twisted his arm. they were grabbing him, they proceeded to put force on his wrist. Indmother's door and his aunt answered the door. Although she walked out of the house, he was handcuffed and placed in a police da summons \$37(2)(a) 160.50 complained of pain in his shoulder and stated that he might have a later received medical attention at \$87(2)(b) s initial complaint, which was made 105. The undersigned investigator received the complaint on June
because his phone was disconnected, the strong left a voicemail message for the scall was returned on the same dath is daughter. On June 14, 2005, initial compared as a brief description of the inciminate of the several attempts were made to compared to several attempts were made t	via telephone was made on June 9, 2005; however, first "please call" letter was sent to his home. On June 10, 2005, ne undersigned investigator, asking that his call be returned. Let, however, because he was unavailable, a message was left with ontact was made with \$37(2)(b) During this conversation, dent, including the contact information for his attorney, \$37(2) During this conversation, however, he was not contacted until June 29, being interviewed at the CCRB. On June 29, 2005, an for July 1, 2005. On July 1, 2005, \$37(2)(b) failed to appear at thout giving prior notice of his inability to appear. A "missed on the same date. A telephone message was left for \$37(2)(b) and a second "please call" letter telephone message was left for \$37(2)(b) On July 11, 2005, and a third "please call" letter was sent to his home. On July 18, and a fourth "please call" letter was sent to his home. On July 21, 2005 and July 25, 2005, and the final "please call" 05.
§ 87(2)(g)	
Investigator:	Date:
Supervisor:	Date:
Reviewed by:	Date:
Reviewed by:	Date: