## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
William Begeny		Team # 1	200708090	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Wednesday, 06/06/2007 5:30 PM		28th Precinct, inside the prisoner transport vehicle		28	12/6/2008	12/6/2008
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At: How CV Reported:		Date/Time Received at CCRB		
Thu, 06/07/2007 8:00 PM		CCRB Call Processing System		Fri, 06/08/	Fri, 06/08/2007 10:55 AM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Type Home Address				
Witness(es) Home Address						
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Brian Davis	25667	931624	028 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	No Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. SGT Kenan Sheppard	05264	918324	028 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegati	on		Inve	estigator Recon	mendation
A.POM Brian Davis		Abuse: PO Brian Davis damaged § 87(2)(b) s property.				
B.POM Brian Davis	Abuse: I	Abuse: PO Brian Davis damaged an individual's property.				

### **Synopsis**

on June 8, 2007, \$37(2)(b) filed a complaint against PO Brian Davis from the 28 <sup>th</sup> Precinct using the CCRB call processing system. On June 6, 2007, \$37(2)(b) was arrested for possession of untaxed cigarettes and transported to the 28 <sup>th</sup> Precinct. While inside the prisoner transport vehicle, pending transport to Manhattan Central Booking, PO Brian Davis searched \$37(2)(b) s property, as well as the property of multiple other prisoners, and determined what items were to be vouchered for safekeeping. Prior to searching \$37(2)(b) s wallet, PO Davis asked \$37(2)(b) if he had any contraband inside it. \$40 and cut several pockets of the wallet open and emptied their contents (allegation A). \$37(2)(b) protested PO Davis's decision to cut his wallet. Following this, PO Davis emptied the contents of \$50 asked \$57(2)(b) s backpack, which included disposable razor blades, toothbrushes and toothpaste, and full prescription medication dispensers. The toiletries had never been used and were still inside their original packages. Allegedly, PO Davis then took these items, and despite \$37(2)(b) s protests, told \$50 asked \$50
§ 87(2)(g)
·
Summary of Complaint
ASI William Begeny and Inv. Kate Brattin interviewed \$87(2)(b) on June 26, 2007 at the CCRB. \$\frac{1}{2}(2)(b)\$
15 L'88 67(2)(U)
On June 6, 2007, at an unspecified time before 12:30 PM, \$87(2)(5) went to Wal-Mart and purchased several toothbrushes, toothpaste, and two disposable Gillette razor cartridges. He also had Plavex and a different prescription filled. At the store these items were placed in a Wal-Mart shopping bag, along with a receipt, which \$87(2)(5) then placed inside his green bag (approximately 12" by 8") with a shoulder strap. Each of the items was in its original packaging. \$87(2)(5) wore the bag. Also inside the bag at the time were approximately six packs of Newport cigarettes, his heart medication, assorted papers and notes and lottery tickets. At approximately 12:30 PM, PO Luis Pichardo from the 28 <sup>th</sup> Precinct arrested \$87(2)(5) at West 125 <sup>th</sup> Street and 7 <sup>th</sup> Avenue. \$87(2)(5) was found to be in possession of a carton of untaxed Newport cigarettes. Incident to the arrest, \$87(2)(5) was searched on the scene, and all of the items inside his pockets were removed, placed inside his green bag, and his bag was removed from him. He was transferred to the 28 <sup>th</sup> Precinct for processing.
Between 1:00 PM and 3:00 PM, an officer, whom suspected was Dominican, brought outside and to the rear of the 28 <sup>th</sup> Precinct (in the general vicinity of Morningside Avenue and West 123 <sup>rd</sup> Street) and transferred him to a marked prisoner truck. The truck was approximately thirty feet long, had two benches for the prisoners on either side in the back, and had no windows. There was a section between the driver and the inmates, which contained everyone's property behind a screen door. A table and a trashcan were inside the partitioned area at the front of the truck. An officer, identified as PO Brian Davis of the 28 <sup>th</sup> Precinct, was standing inside the truck in the partitioned area behind the driver. He was conducting property searches of each of the prisoners. There was a second African American male police officer also inside the same area inside the truck with PO Davis. This officer was later identified from the investigation as Sgt. Kenan Sheppard. Sgt. Sheppard gave samples the impression that he "did not really care."
Three prisoners were also inside the van at the time \$87(2)(b) was transferred inside. These individuals were all African American males, and were aged approximately early 50's, 40's, and 30's respectively.

#### **Photo Array**

At the time of his interview, \$87(2)(b) was presented with photographs of seven police officers. Photos 1, 2, 5, 6 and 7 were filler photographs of dark skinned males with black hair and moustaches. Photo 3 was a photograph of PO Dwayne Davis from the 28<sup>th</sup> Precinct and photo 4 was a photograph of PO

Brian Davis, also from the 28<sup>th</sup> Precinct. [887(2)(b)] identified PO Brian Davis as the subject officer and indicated this in writing. He also identified the officer pictured in photo 1 as a possible witnesses officer, though this was a filler photograph. He did not recognize any of the other officers.

#### **Results of Investigation**

on August 15, 2007, ASI William Begeny interviewed \$87(2)(b) by phone regarding the above referenced incident. \$87(2)(b) is a \$87(2)(b) . He provided the following statement (encl. 4A).
On June 6, 2007, at approximately 4:15 PM, \$87(2)(b) was arrested by PO Brian Davis for selling untaxed cigarettes without a license in the vicinity of 210 West 125 <sup>th</sup> Street. He was subsequently transported to the 28 <sup>th</sup> Precinct for processing.
At approximately 5:30 PM, \$37(2)(b) was inside the prisoner truck, waiting outside the stationhouse and pending transport to Central Booking. While inside this vehicle, \$37(2)(b) observed a young, black, male officer with a tapered haircut, identified from the investigation as PO Davis, use a knife to cut open the pants pockets of light-skinned Hispanic male who appeared to be approximately 48 years old. The individual informed the officer that he did not have any contraband inside his pockets, but the officer stated that he did not want to reach his hand inside the pants, which was why the officer decided to cut open the pockets. As a result of the officer cutting the individual's pants open, he stated that the officer and the individual began to argue, during which time they both became heated. The prisoner argued that the officer did not need to cut open his pants pockets, to which the officer replied that if the prisoner wanted to be compensated for the property, he could file a claim about it. During this interaction, a heavy built black male officer, later identified as Sgt. Sheppard, stood outside the prisoner tuck.
Attempts to Contact Additional Witnesses
The undersigned made several attempts to locate and interview additional witnesses to this case. Police

The undersigned made several attempts to locate and interview additional witnesses to this case. Police documents indicated multiple individuals who were transported to Central Booking at approximately same time as \$87(2)(b) However, the investigation could not conclusively determine who, among these individuals, were actually present at the time the alleged misconduct occurred. Given the prisoner roster and command log, the investigation determined that the following individuals had the potential of being inside the vehicle at the time the misconduct occurred: \$87(2)(b) 

s. The undersigned sent letters to each of these individuals, given the information listed for their address on the arrest report, DMV database, and Lexus Nexus search. The letters were returned from \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) as unable to be delivered. The undersigned also searched the above referenced resources, in addition to superpages.com in an effort to establish phone numbers for the given individuals. Using this information, the undersigned called \$87(2)(b) on August 10, 17 and 23, 2007, and left him messages. On August 10, 2007, \$87(2)(b) stated that he was not sure if he would like to cooperate with the investigation, and refused to provide any information about what he remembered in the time following his arrest. With the exception of \$87(2)(b) no individual provided any further testimony or made any effort to contact the undersigned.

#### **PO Brian Davis**

On July 26, 2007, ASI William Begeny interviewed PO Brian Davis in the presence of his PBA council, Hugo Ortega (encl. 5A-5C).

On June 6, 2007, PO Brian Davis worked a 1:00 PM by 9:35 PM tour, assigned as the prisoner van operator with a team of officers including members of the New York City Sheriff's Department. Sgt. Kenan Sheppard supervised him. He noted in his memo book that at 4:00 PM, two males were under arrest from 251 and 246 West 125th Street for cigarette sale.

On June 6, 2007 at approximately 4:00 PM, PO Davis was inside the prisoner van in the vicinity of the 28<sup>th</sup> Precinct. His assignment was to supervise the prisoners as they're brought to the prisoner van. When a prisoner was transported into the van, PO Davis was responsible for obtaining their pedigree information

and for reviewing and vouchering their property. PO Davis sat behind a desk, which he used to separate the property and determine what the defendant can or can not take with him to central booking, and youcher any property that he's unable to take with him for safekeeping. Certain items, pending the defendant's consent, PO Davis would dispose of, such as miscellaneous papers and cigarette lighters. PO Davis did not recall disposing of any toiletries, such as any toothbrushes or toothpaste. If there are any items that contain any needles or other contraband that could injure an officer, PO Davis might cut open the property to ensure his own safety. Prior to searching any items, PO Davis routinely questions every defendant about what items are inside their property, and would specifically ask what the contents of a bag or item is and if there are any sharp objects or other contraband inside. For this purpose, PO Davis carries a small knife with him, which he described as a folding knife with a black handle and a straight blade on one side and ridges on the opposite side. PO Davis remembers seeing \$87(2)(b) though he did not recall anything significant about the interaction between them. PO Davis did not have any dispute with \$87(2)(5) regarding his property or anything else. \$37(2)(b) asked PO Davis for phone calls and food while inside the vehicle and PO Davis obliged him. At no point did PO Davis cut open any of \$87(2)(b) property. When asked about the items inside \$87(2)(b) property, \$87(2)(b) stated to PO Davis that he did not have any contraband. When directly questioned, PO Davis stated that he did not cut open s wallet. He would completely empty a wallet to ensure that there are no blades or contraband inside, but would empty the contents and then return all of the items to the defendant for them to reorganize inside the wallet. PO Davis stated that if § 87(2)(b) did not answer his questions about the contents of their property, he would ask the individual again. If they persisted to refuse to provide an answer, and the object required that he needed to reach his hand inside, he would attempt to empty all of the items onto the table without cutting or damaging the property.

#### Sgt. Kenan Sheppard

On August 22, 2007, ASI William Begeny interview Sgt. Kenan Sheppard in the presence of SBA representative Patrick Logan (encl. 6A-6D).

On June 6, 2007, PO Kenan Sheppard from the 28<sup>th</sup> Precinct worked an 11:15 PM by 8:12 PM tour and was assigned as the supervising sergeant on an operation targeting individuals selling illegal cigarettes. He did not recall whether he was working in uniform or in plainclothes. Sgt. Sheppard is a black male, \$37(2)(6)

He noted in his memo book that at 6:00 PM, the officers transported eleven arrests to the stationhouse for processing.

Sgt. Sheppard has no recollection of his involvement at the 28<sup>th</sup> Precinct with any individuals. He did not directly complete any paperwork regarding any of the arrests, and he did not personally secure any property. He stated that he was the supervisor on scene. He remembered working with PO Brian Davis on that day, though he had no memory of PO Davis damaging any individuals' property. He did not recall any disputes between PO Davis and any of the prisoners. He was never inside the prisoner transport vehicle for any extended period of time. PO Davis was assigned to the prisoner van, and during the time of the incident, Sgt. Sheppard was overseeing the processing procedures within the stationhouse.

#### **Police Documents**

The **property vouchers** for \$87(2)(6) prepared by his arresting officer PO Luis Pichardo, lists that the following items were vouchered from him: 13 packs of cigarettes, 1 watch, 1 mini-video camera, 1 cell phone, 1 cell phone battery, 1 blue tool, 3 plastic mediation bottles (Plavix), and 1 plastic mediation bottle (Viagra).

The 28<sup>th</sup> Precinct **roll call** and **arrest reports** indicated the following officers as being involved in the operation targeting individuals selling untaxed cigarettes: Sgt. Sheppard, PO Davis, PO Pichardo, PO Tanisha Gunnwelsh, and PO Victor Pena (encl. 7A-7W).

#### **CCRB** and Criminal Conviction History

has no prior CCRB complaints on file. \$87(2)(b)

In his five years with the NYPD, PO Davis has no prior substantiated CCRB complaints (encl. 8A).

#### **Conclusions and Recommendations**

# Officer Identification There is no dispute that PO Davis was the officer responsible for searching and vouchering \$87(2)(5) property while inside the prisoner transport vehicle. As such, allegation A was pled against him. **Undisputed Facts** It is undisputed that \$87(2)(b) was arrested and his property was searched and vouchered at the stationhouse incident to his arrest. Whether or not PO Davis damaged or disposed of any of his property is § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g) Allegation A: PO Brian Davis damaged § 87(2)(6) Though the investigation found the PO Davis did cut open \$87(2)(b) swallet, he did so only after refused to tell PO Davis whether or not there was any contraband inside. As such, in order to ensure PO Davis's safety, it was necessary to cut open the wallet and ensure that there were no items, such as a razor blade, that could potentially have hurt the officer's fingers where he to search it without sufficient warning.

Allegation B: PO Brian Davis damaged §87(2)(b)	s property.
87(2)(g)	
Investigator:	Date:
~ .	-
Supervisor:	Date:
Reviewed by:	Date:
	2 4.0.
Reviewed by:	Date: