

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Rolando Vasquez	Team: Squad #13	CCRB Case #: 201903528	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Tuesday, 04/23/2019 6:00 PM	Location of Incident: PSA 6 stationhouse	Precinct: 32	18 Mo. SOL 10/23/2020	EO SOL 6/9/2021	
Date/Time CV Reported Thu, 04/25/2019 9:19 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Thu, 04/25/2019 9:19 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Rafael Aquino	28432	952417	PSA 6
2. POM Erick Clarck	13492	952593	PSA 6

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Rafael Aquino	Force: Police Officer Rafael Aquino used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM Rafael Aquino	Discourtesy: Police Officer Rafael Aquino acted discourteously toward § 87(2)(b)	
C.POM Rafael Aquino	Force: Police Officer Rafael Aquino used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
D.POM Erick Clarck	Force: Police Officer Erick Clarck used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On April 25, 2019, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB by phone. On May 6, 2019, the CCRB received IAB Log #2019-16838 regarding this incident which was reported to IAB by Sgt. Julian Harris of PSA6 by phone on behalf of § 87(2)(b)

On April 25, 2019, § 87(2)(b) told the CCRB intake investigator that he wanted to speak with his criminal defense attorney before scheduling an appointment to provide a sworn statement. Between April 29, 2019, and May 28, 2019, five phone call attempts were made to § 87(2)(b) and three unreturned letters were mailed to him. § 87(2)(b) stated he would contact his attorney and advise the CCRB about the outcome, however he ultimately became unresponsive while contact attempts were being made to him.

§ 87(2)(b) was identified as § 87(2)(b)'s criminal defense attorney. On June 27, 2019, the undersigned investigator spoke with § 87(2)(b) by phone and she requested that the CCRB refrain from obtaining a statement from § 87(2)(b) due to his pending criminal litigation. § 87(2)(b) was advised that § 87(2)(b)'s complaint would be closed and that he could submit a request for the complaint to be reopened at the conclusion of his court case if he chose to do so.

§ 87(2)(g)

Squad No.: 13

Investigator:	_____ Signature	_____ Print Title & Name	_____ Date
Squad Leader:	_____ Signature	_____ Print Title & Name	_____ Date
Reviewer:	_____ Signature	_____ Print Title & Name	_____ Date