

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Investigator: Minsuk Kim | Team: Team # 1 | CCRB Case #: 201012749 | <input type="checkbox"/> Force | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt. | <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. |
| | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse | <input type="checkbox"/> O.L. | <input type="checkbox"/> Injury |
| Incident Date(s) Sunday, 09/19/2010 10:00 AM | Location of Incident: over telephone call | Precinct: 13 | 18 Mo. SOL 3/19/2012 | EO SOL 3/19/2012 | |
| Date/Time CV Reported Sun, 09/19/2010 10:19 AM | CV Reported At: CCRB | How CV Reported: Call Processing System | Date/Time Received at CCRB Sun, 09/19/2010 10:19 AM | | |

| Complainant/Victim | Type | Home Address |
|--------------------|------|--------------|
| | | |

| Subject Officer(s) | Shield | TaxID | Command |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| 1. DT3 Severino Concordia | 00397 | 910614 | 013 DET |

| Officer(s) | Allegation | Investigator Recommendation |
|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| A.DT3 Severino Concordia | Abuse: Det. Severino Concordia threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b) . | |
| B.DT3 Severino Concordia | Discourtesy: Det. Severino Concordia spoke obscenely and/or rudely to § 87(2)(b) . | |

Case Summary

On September 20, 2010, § 87(2)(b) filed the following complaint with the CCRB through the agency's Call Processing System.

On September 19, 2010, at approximately 10am, § 87(2)(b) received a phone call from Detective Severino Concordia of the 13th Precinct Detective Squad who was investigating a car accident and an ensuing altercation in which § 87(2)(b) had been involved. Over the course of the telephone conversation, Detective Concordia threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b) and called him a "motherfucker."

§ 87(2)(b) provided Detective Concordia's information from a business card that had been given to him previously by the detective. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b) was initially contacted by the undersigned investigator by telephone on September 22, 2010. The call was answered by § 87(2)(b) who requested another call at a more convenient time. Following the initial phone call, six additional calls were made to § 87(2)(b) between September 22, 2010, and October 13, 2010. Contact was made with § 87(2)(b) in four of these phone calls. In each instance, § 87(2)(b) requested a deferral to give a telephone statement, citing the uncertainty of his legal situation. A final call was placed to § 87(2)(b) on October 13, 2010. § 87(2)(b) did not answer the telephone and the undersigned investigator left a voicemail message stating that if § 87(2)(b) did not return the call within a week, his case may be closed. In addition to these telephone calls, two please call letters were mailed to § 87(2)(b). The first was sent on October 7, 2010, and the second was sent on October 13, 2010. As of October 20, 2010, § 87(2)(b) has not responded to either the voicemail message or the letters. A search for § 87(2)(b) using the Department of Correction's inmate look-up service did not yield any matches; it is presumed that § 87(2)(b) is not currently incarcerated. § 87(2)(g)

Team: _____

:

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Date

Supervisor: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

