

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Greg Finch	Team: Squad #14	CCRB Case #: 201603737	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Saturday, 04/23/2016 2:50 AM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 73	18 Mo. SOL 10/23/2017	EO SOL 10/23/2017	
Date/Time CV Reported Sat, 04/23/2016 3:20 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 04/29/2016 11:01 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Paul Dawkins	06674	953803	073 PCT
2. POM Jonathan Taveras	30195	953469	073 PCT
3. POM Jorge Castro	09689	952559	073 PCT
4. SGT Matthew Cahill	01163	932397	073 PCT
5. Officers			073 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A. Officers	Abuse: Officers stopped § 87(2)(b) Nicolas and § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
B. Officers	Abuse: Officers drew their guns.	§ 87(2)(b)
C. SGT Matthew Cahill	Force: Sergeant Matthew Cahill pointed his gun at § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
D. POM Jonathan Taveras	Abuse: Police Officer Jonathan Taveras frisked § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
E. POM Jonathan Taveras	Abuse: Police Officer Jonathan Taveras searched § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
F. POM Jorge Castro	Abuse: Police Officer Jorge Castro frisked § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
G. POM Jorge Castro	Abuse: Police Officer Jorge Castro searched § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
H. SGT Matthew Cahill	Abuse: Sergeant Matthew Cahill frisked § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
I. SGT Matthew Cahill	Abuse: Sergeant Matthew Cahill searched § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
J. POM Jonathan Taveras	Abuse: Police Officer Jonathan Taveras seized § 87(2)(b)'s property.	§ 87(2)(b)
K. SGT Matthew Cahill	Abuse: Sergeant Matthew Cahill searched the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) was an occupant.	§ 87(2)(b)
L. Officers	Abuse: Officers refused to provide their names and shield numbers to § 87(2)(b) Nicolas.	§ 87(2)(b)
M. POM Paul Dawkins	Abuse: Police Officer Paul Dawkins damaged § 87(2)(b)'s property.	§ 87(2)(b)

Case Summary

On April 23, 2016, § 87(2)(b) filed a complaint on behalf of herself, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) via telephone with IAB generating log #16-14694. On April 29, 2016, the CCRB received the case.

The IAB referral sheet indicated a phone number and address for § 87(2)(b). On May 4, 2016, LexisNexis and Clear searches resulted in four addresses and one phone number for § 87(2)(b). Between May 4, 2016, and May 18, 2016, eleven phone calls were placed to § 87(2)(b) phone numbers, and all calls were unanswered or not in service. Between May 3, 2016, and May 16, 2016, three letters were mailed to the address § 87(2)(b) confirmed as hers. Of these, only one letter was returned by the USPS as “return to sender.”

On May 2, 2016, Arrest Report #§ 87(2)(b) was obtained and provided an address for § 87(2)(b). On May 4, 2016, LexisNexis and Clear searches resulted in four addresses and five telephone numbers for § 87(2)(b). Between May 4, 2016, and May 23, 2016, a total of sixteen phone calls were placed to all obtained phone numbers for § 87(2)(b) and all were not in service, wrong numbers or unanswered. Between May 3, 2016, and May 16, 2016, three letters were mailed to the address § 87(2)(b) confirmed as his.

On May 4, 2016, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) provided a phone statement to the undersigned investigator. § 87(2)(b) provided an email address to the undersigned investigator. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) scheduled an appointment to appear at the CCRB for May 6, 2016. However, on May 6, 2016, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) failed to appear for their interview. Neither contacted the CCRB ahead of time to cancel or reschedule. The same day, the undersigned called § 87(2)(b) and left a voice message, and also mailed her a missed appointment letter. The same day, the undersigned spoke with § 87(2)(b) who said he missed his appointment because of relationship trouble with § 87(2)(b) and said he would get § 87(2)(b)'s availability and reschedule his appointment. On May 24, 2016, the undersigned investigator contacted § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) who rescheduled their appointments to appear at the CCRB for that afternoon. § 87(2)(b) provided the undersigned investigator with an email address. Following this conversation, two email reminders were sent to § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) email addresses. § 87(2)(b) email returned as “failed to send” and the undersigned investigator left a voicemail with § 87(2)(b) asking to clarify her email address and reminding her of the appointment. However, on May 24, 2016, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) failed to appear for their interview.

During § 87(2)(b)'s phone statement, he provided the name and phone number of § 87(2)(b). On May 5, 2016, LexisNexis and Clear searches resulted in three addresses, one phone number and one email address for § 87(2)(b). Between May 4, 2016, and May 18, 2016, five letters were mailed to the various addresses obtained for the § 87(2)(b). Of these, only one letter was returned by the USPS as “return to sender.” Between May 5, 2016, and May 18, 2016, thirteen calls were placed to all obtained phone numbers for § 87(2)(b) and all were not in service or unanswered. On May 9, 2016, the undersigned investigator spoke with § 87(2)(b)'s mother, who confirmed § 87(2)(b)'s home phone number, transcribed the undersigned investigator's contact information and pledged to pass it on to § 87(2)(b). As of May 24, 2016, § 87(2)(b) has not contacted the CCRB.

According to a search of the New York City Department of Correction inmate locator on May 23, 2016, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) are not currently incarcerated.

§ 87(2)(g)

Squad:

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Date

Squad Leader: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date