CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Tahreem Khan		Squad #11	201907030	☑ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Thursday, 08/08/2019 5:15 PM		71 Saint Nicholas Avenue		28	2/8/2021	9/25/2021
Date/Time CV Reported	CV Reported At:		How CV Reported	: Date/Time	Date/Time Received at CCRB	
Thu, 08/08/2019 6:25 PM	CCRB		On-line website	Thu, 08/08	Thu, 08/08/2019 6:25 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess			
Witness(es)	Vitness(es) Home Address					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. SGT Opeyemi Sapara	03980	935701	028 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. DI Christophe Mcintosh	00000	922752	028 PCT			
2. CPT Edison Gutierrez	00000	932763	028 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.SGT Opeyemi Sapara	Abuse: Sergeant Opeyemi Sapara seized § 87(2)(b) s property.					
B.SGT Opeyemi Sapara	Abuse: S	ergeant Opeyemi Sapara				
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)						

Case Summary

On August 8, 2019, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint online. On August 8, 2019 at approximately 5:15 p.m. on 71 Saint Nicholas Avenue in Manhattan. was outside with some friends when he was approached by Deputy Inspector Christopher McIntosh, Captain Edison Gutierrez, of the 28th Precinct, and Sergeant Opeyemi Sapara, of the 28th Precinct Detective Squad. After approaching § 87(2)(b) Sgt. Sapara seized § 87(2)(b) s speaker, which was on the ground (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(9) Sgt. Sapara then asked for s identification (Allegation B: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(9) and § refused to provide it. § 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g) was not arrested during this incident, nor was he issued any summonses. There is no body-worn camera (BWC) footage regarding this incident as Deputy Inspector McIntosh did not have a BWC at the time, and Sgt. Sapara did not have his BWC because he was assigned to administrative duties. Cell phone video footage was obtained from \$87(2)(6) on September 18, 2019. Since the date of this incident, Deputy Inspector McIntosh has been promoted to the rank of Inspector. For the purpose of this closing report, he will be addressed by his former rank. **Findings and Recommendations** Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Opeyemi Sapara seized § 87(2)(b) property. On September 18, 2019, § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) s girlfriend, sent the CCRB the video (Board Review 4) that \$87(2)(b) recorded on \$87(2)(b) on August 8, 2019. At 00:13, an officer in a white shirt reaches towards the ground where \$87(2)(b) s bag of clothes and a speaker are. The officer picked up the speaker. At the 00:19 mark, a plainclothes officer made a hand gesture towards § 87(2)(b) signaling him to come closer, to which § 87(2)(b) responded, "No I'm not giving it. I'm out of here." At the 00:28 mark, the white shirt officer says something inaudible, to which § 37(2)(b) responds, "It's my girlfriend's. She wants it and she's going to come get it too--with the Cop Watch. She'll come with Cop Watch. We're coming with Cop Watch." (Board Review 1) stated that while recording his friend—§87(2)(b)—on Instagram Live doing pushups, three officers exited their unmarked vehicle, one of whom recognized as, "McIntosh," since he has had multiple interactions in the past with Deputy Insp. McIntosh. The other two officers were identified via investigation as Capt. Edison Gutierrez and Sergeant Opeyemi Sapara. Capt. Gutierrez approached \$87(2)(b) s bag and speaker, both The speaker was not playing. Capt. of which were on the ground away from §87(2)(b) Gutierrez picked up the speaker and asked whose speaker that was. §87(2)(b) stated that it was his girlfriend's speaker. Capt. Gutierrez told §87(2)(b) to "come and get it at the 28th Precinct." responded that his girlfriend would come with "Cop Watch" to retrieve her speaker. § 87(2)(b) however, did not return to claim the speaker as he believed that the officers were trying to arrest him. Deputy Insp. McIntosh (Board Review 2) stated that when he reached Saint Nicholas Avenue and possible between West 112th Street and West 114th Street, from approximately 10 to 15 feet away from \$87(2)(b) he observed \$87(2)(b) drinking alcohol. Deputy Insp. McIntosh with a clear plastic "party" cup that had brown liquid in it. Deputy Insp. McIntosh also saw empty alcohol bottles on the ground and \$87(2)(b) with a group of 5-6 individuals. Deputy Insp. McIntosh observed \$87(2)(b) for maybe a minute. It is possible that

he shared his observation with someone else in his vehicle, but he could not remember if he did so. Deputy Insp. McIntosh was unable to state if he smelled alcohol as he never got close enough to

§ 87(2)(b)
When Deputy Insp. McIntosh exited his vehicle to tell \$87(2)(6) that he cannot drink,
walked away. Deputy Insp. McIntosh did not recall issuing \$87(2)(b) any
commands or having any type of interaction. He observed there was also a speaker on the ground
that was playing loud enough to attract attention. Deputy Insp. McIntosh did not know what
happened with the speaker or know whether it was invoiced as he was the Commanding Officer and
did not deal with issues at that level. He did not observe any officer take \$87(2)(b) s speaker.
Sgt. Sapara (Board Review 3) stated that when the officer approached the intersection of
West 113 th Street on Saint Nicholas Avenue, Sgt. Sapara and Deputy Insp. McIntosh heard the
music one block away. They drove to the corner of West 114 th Street and observed a male—
S87(2)(b) ——playing music over the loudspeaker. Sgt. Sapara and Deputy Insp. McIntosh did not
have any conversation prior to exiting their vehicle. Sgt. Sapara could not estimate how long he
heard the music for. Once they exited the car, \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ walked away and started recording the
officers on his cell phone. At the time, the music playing loudly was a noise issue. As
was recording and walking away, he made statements, but Sgt. Sapara could not recall
what those statements were. Sgt. Sapara had not made any statements or issued any commands to
\$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(c) left his medium-sized speaker behind on the corner of the street. Sgt.
Sapara or Deputy Insp. McIntosh picked up the speaker, took it back to the station house, and gave
to another officer at the station house to voucher the speaker as "determine true owner" or "found
property." Sgt. Sapara did not personally voucher the speaker. §87(2)(b) had not made any statements regarding the speaker.
Invoice #\$87(2)(b) (Board Review 5) notes that the speaker was found by Sgt. Sapara
and was vouchered to "determine true owner."
Officers can take property into custody if its owner cannot be determined and no arrest is
made. NYPD Patrol Guide Procedure 218-19 (Board Review 6).
§ 87(2)(g)
3 01 (2)(9)
Allegation (R) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Onevemi Sanara questioned \$87270
Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Opeyemi Sapara questioned The video footage did not capture this allegation
The video footage did not capture this allegation.
The video footage did not capture this allegation. [S87(2)(b)] (Board Review 1) asked the officers why they were bothering him. Sgt.
The video footage did not capture this allegation. [Sa7(2)(b) (Board Review 1) asked the officers why they were bothering him. Sgt. Sapara told [Sa7(2)(b) that he was drinking. During his interview, [Sa7(2)(b) that he
The video footage did not capture this allegation. [887(2)(b) [Board Review 1) asked the officers why they were bothering him. Sgt. Sapara told [887(2)(b) [Board Review 1] that he was drinking. During his interview, [887(2)(b) [Board Review 1] told Sgt. Sapara told [887(2)(b) [Board Review 1] that he was drinking. During his interview, [887(2)(b) [Board Review 1] told Sgt.
The video footage did not capture this allegation. [Sa7(2)(b)] (Board Review 1) asked the officers why they were bothering him. Sgt. Sapara told [Sa7(2)(b)] that he was drinking. During his interview, [Sa7(2)(b)] stated that he held a half-filled cup with iced tea with the New Life Juice Bar logo on it. [Sa7(2)(b)] told Sgt. Sapara that if he was drinking, then he should have been issued a summons. Sgt. Sapara asked for
The video footage did not capture this allegation. [Board Review 1) asked the officers why they were bothering him. Sgt. Sapara told [887(2)(b)] that he was drinking. During his interview, [887(2)(b)] stated that he held a half-filled cup with iced tea with the New Life Juice Bar logo on it. [887(2)(b)] told Sgt. Sapara that if he was drinking, then he should have been issued a summons. Sgt. Sapara asked for sidentification. [887(2)(b)] sidentification. [887(2)(b)] said "no," told Sgt. Sapara that he was leaving, and
The video footage did not capture this allegation. [Board Review 1) asked the officers why they were bothering him. Sgt. Sapara told [887(2)(6)] that he was drinking. During his interview, [887(2)(6)] stated that he held a half-filled cup with iced tea with the New Life Juice Bar logo on it. [887(2)(6)] told Sgt. Sapara that if he was drinking, then he should have been issued a summons. Sgt. Sapara asked for sidentification. [887(2)(6)] sidentification. [887(2)(6)] said "no," told Sgt. Sapara that he was leaving, and walked away.
The video footage did not capture this allegation. [Board Review 1) asked the officers why they were bothering him. Sgt. Sapara told [887(2)(6)] that he was drinking. During his interview, [887(2)(6)] stated that he held a half-filled cup with iced tea with the New Life Juice Bar logo on it. [887(2)(6)] told Sgt. Sapara that if he was drinking, then he should have been issued a summons. Sgt. Sapara asked for [887(2)(6)] sidentification. [887(2)(6)] said "no," told Sgt. Sapara that he was leaving, and walked away. Sgt. Sapara (Board Review 3) testified that he did not ask [887(2)(6)] for his
The video footage did not capture this allegation. Sa7(2)(b) (Board Review 1) asked the officers why they were bothering him. Sgt. Sapara told \$87(2)(b) that he was drinking. During his interview, \$87(2)(b) stated that he held a half-filled cup with iced tea with the New Life Juice Bar logo on it. \$87(2)(b) Sapara that if he was drinking, then he should have been issued a summons. Sgt. Sapara asked for \$87(2)(b) s identification. \$87(2)(b) Sgt. Sapara (Board Review 3) testified that he did not ask \$87(2)(b) for his identification. Sgt. Sapara viewed the video footage (Board Review 4), where \$87(2)(b) stated that he held a half-filled cup with iced tea with the New Life Juice Bar logo on it. \$87(2)(b) stated that he was leaving, and walked away. Sgt. Sapara (Board Review 3) testified that he did not ask \$87(2)(b) stated, where \$87(2)(b) stated that he was drinking. Sgt. Sapara viewed the video footage (Board Review 4), where \$87(2)(b) stated that he held a half-filled cup with iced tea with the New Life Juice Bar logo on it. \$87(2)(b) stated that he was leaving as the complex of the c
The video footage did not capture this allegation. [Board Review 1) asked the officers why they were bothering him. Sgt. Sapara told [87(2)(b)] that he was drinking. During his interview, [87(2)(b)] stated that he held a half-filled cup with iced tea with the New Life Juice Bar logo on it. [87(2)(b)] told Sgt. Sapara that if he was drinking, then he should have been issued a summons. Sgt. Sapara asked for sidentification. [87(2)(b)] said "no," told Sgt. Sapara that he was leaving, and walked away. Sgt. Sapara (Board Review 3) testified that he did not ask [87(2)(b)] for his identification. Sgt. Sapara viewed the video footage (Board Review 4), where [87(2)(b)] stated, "I'm not giving it." Sgt. Sapara did not recall what he may have asked [87(2)(b)]
The video footage did not capture this allegation. [Board Review 1) asked the officers why they were bothering him. Sgt. Sapara told [887(2)(b)] that he was drinking. During his interview, [87(2)(b)] stated that he held a half-filled cup with iced tea with the New Life Juice Bar logo on it. [887(2)(b)] told Sgt. Sapara that if he was drinking, then he should have been issued a summons. Sgt. Sapara asked for sidentification. [887(2)(b)] said "no," told Sgt. Sapara that he was leaving, and walked away. Sgt. Sapara (Board Review 3) testified that he did not ask [87(2)(b)] for his identification. Sgt. Sapara viewed the video footage (Board Review 4), where [887(2)(b)] stated, "I'm not giving it." Sgt. Sapara did not recall what he may have asked [887(2)(b)] Deputy Insp. McIntosh (Board Review 2) did not observe any officer asking [887(2)(b)]
The video footage did not capture this allegation. [Board Review 1) asked the officers why they were bothering him. Sgt. Sapara told [887(2)(6)] that he was drinking. During his interview, [887(2)(6)] stated that he held a half-filled cup with iced tea with the New Life Juice Bar logo on it. [887(2)(6)] told Sgt. Sapara that if he was drinking, then he should have been issued a summons. Sgt. Sapara asked for said "no," told Sgt. Sapara that he was leaving, and walked away. Sgt. Sapara (Board Review 3) testified that he did not ask [887(2)(6)] for his identification. Sgt. Sapara viewed the video footage (Board Review 4), where [887(2)(6)] stated, "I'm not giving it." Sgt. Sapara did not recall what he may have asked [87(2)(6)] Deputy Insp. McIntosh (Board Review 2) did not observe any officer asking [87(2)(6)] walked away. Deputy Insp. McIntosh did not recall any
The video footage did not capture this allegation. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ (Board Review 1) asked the officers why they were bothering him. Sgt. Sapara told \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ that he was drinking. During his interview, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ stated that he held a half-filled cup with iced tea with the New Life Juice Bar logo on it. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ told Sgt. Sapara that if he was drinking, then he should have been issued a summons. Sgt. Sapara asked for \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ sidentification. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ said "no," told Sgt. Sapara that he was leaving, and walked away. Sgt. Sapara (Board Review 3) testified that he did not ask \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ for his identification. Sgt. Sapara did not recall what he may have asked \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ stated, "I'm not giving it." Sgt. Sapara did not recall what he may have asked \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ peputy Insp. McIntosh (Board Review 2) did not observe any officer asking \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ walked away. Deputy Insp. McIntosh did not recall any officers interacting with \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ walked away. Deputy Insp. McIntosh did not recall any
The video footage did not capture this allegation. [Board Review 1) asked the officers why they were bothering him. Sgt. Sapara told [887(2)(6)] that he was drinking. During his interview, [887(2)(6)] stated that he held a half-filled cup with iced tea with the New Life Juice Bar logo on it. [887(2)(6)] told Sgt. Sapara that if he was drinking, then he should have been issued a summons. Sgt. Sapara asked for said "no," told Sgt. Sapara that he was leaving, and walked away. Sgt. Sapara (Board Review 3) testified that he did not ask [887(2)(6)] for his identification. Sgt. Sapara viewed the video footage (Board Review 4), where [887(2)(6)] stated, "I'm not giving it." Sgt. Sapara did not recall what he may have asked [87(2)(6)] Deputy Insp. McIntosh (Board Review 2) did not observe any officer asking [87(2)(6)] walked away. Deputy Insp. McIntosh did not recall any
The video footage did not capture this allegation. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ (Board Review 1) asked the officers why they were bothering him. Sgt. Sapara told \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ that he was drinking. During his interview, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ stated that he held a half-filled cup with iced tea with the New Life Juice Bar logo on it. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ told Sgt. Sapara that if he was drinking, then he should have been issued a summons. Sgt. Sapara asked for \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ sidentification. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ said "no," told Sgt. Sapara that he was leaving, and walked away. Sgt. Sapara (Board Review 3) testified that he did not ask \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ for his identification. Sgt. Sapara did not recall what he may have asked \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ stated, "I'm not giving it." Sgt. Sapara did not recall what he may have asked \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ peputy Insp. McIntosh (Board Review 2) did not observe any officer asking \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ walked away. Deputy Insp. McIntosh did not recall any officers interacting with \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ walked away. Deputy Insp. McIntosh did not recall any
The video footage did not capture this allegation. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ (Board Review 1) asked the officers why they were bothering him. Sgt. Sapara told \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ that he was drinking. During his interview, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ stated that he held a half-filled cup with iced tea with the New Life Juice Bar logo on it. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ told Sgt. Sapara that if he was drinking, then he should have been issued a summons. Sgt. Sapara asked for \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ sidentification. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ said "no," told Sgt. Sapara that he was leaving, and walked away. Sgt. Sapara (Board Review 3) testified that he did not ask \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ for his identification. Sgt. Sapara did not recall what he may have asked \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ stated, "I'm not giving it." Sgt. Sapara did not recall what he may have asked \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ peputy Insp. McIntosh (Board Review 2) did not observe any officer asking \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ walked away. Deputy Insp. McIntosh did not recall any officers interacting with \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ walked away. Deputy Insp. McIntosh did not recall any
The video footage did not capture this allegation. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ (Board Review 1) asked the officers why they were bothering him. Sgt. Sapara told \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ that he was drinking. During his interview, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ stated that he held a half-filled cup with iced tea with the New Life Juice Bar logo on it. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ told Sgt. Sapara that if he was drinking, then he should have been issued a summons. Sgt. Sapara asked for \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ sidentification. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ said "no," told Sgt. Sapara that he was leaving, and walked away. Sgt. Sapara (Board Review 3) testified that he did not ask \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ for his identification. Sgt. Sapara did not recall what he may have asked \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ stated, "I'm not giving it." Sgt. Sapara did not recall what he may have asked \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ peputy Insp. McIntosh (Board Review 2) did not observe any officer asking \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ walked away. Deputy Insp. McIntosh did not recall any officers interacting with \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ walked away. Deputy Insp. McIntosh did not recall any
The video footage did not capture this allegation. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ (Board Review 1) asked the officers why they were bothering him. Sgt. Sapara told \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ that he was drinking. During his interview, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ stated that he held a half-filled cup with iced tea with the New Life Juice Bar logo on it. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ told Sgt. Sapara that if he was drinking, then he should have been issued a summons. Sgt. Sapara asked for \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ sidentification. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ said "no," told Sgt. Sapara that he was leaving, and walked away. Sgt. Sapara (Board Review 3) testified that he did not ask \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ for his identification. Sgt. Sapara did not recall what he may have asked \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ stated, "I'm not giving it." Sgt. Sapara did not recall what he may have asked \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ peputy Insp. McIntosh (Board Review 2) did not observe any officer asking \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ walked away. Deputy Insp. McIntosh did not recall any officers interacting with \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ walked away. Deputy Insp. McIntosh did not recall any

§ 87(2)(g)	
5 - ()(3)	
§ 87(2)(g), §	<u>-</u>
	Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories
	
•	This was \$87(2)(b) s first complaint. He was party to one other case since filing this complaint (Board Review 7).
•	Sgt. Sapara has been a member of service for 16 years and has been a subject in two other CCRB complaints – cases 201708780 and 201809522 – none of which have been substantiated.
	Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories
•	This case was not suitable for mediation. As of January 24, 2020, the Office of the New York City Comptroller has no record of a Notice of Claim being filed regarding this incident (Board Review 8). [§ 87(2)(5)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(5)]
Squad N	No.: <u>11</u>
Investig	gator: Tahreem Khan Inv. Tahreem Khan November 17, 2020 Signature Print Title & Name Date

Squad Leader:	Edwin Pena	IM Edwin Pena	11/17/20
•	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:			
Reviewei.	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date