## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
StaceyAnn McFarlane-Ross		Squad #15	201710433	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Friday, 12/08/2017 5:38 PM		Parking lot of Exposure 1434C Richmond Road		122	6/8/2019	6/8/2019
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCF	RB
Sun, 12/17/2017 6:10 PM		CCRB	On-line website	Sun, 12/17	//2017 6:10 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POF Kristen Caldararo	30512	938148	122 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM Robert Ruhl	03485	953350	122 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	stigator Recon	nmendation
A.POF Kristen Caldararo	Abuse: P § 87(2)(b)	Police Officer Kristen Ca	ldararo threatened to	o arrest		
B.POF Kristen Caldararo		Police Officer Kristen Ca e to § 87(2)(b)	ldararo refused to pr	rovide		

## **Case Summary**

On December 17, 2017, \$87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board via its online website.

On December 8, 2017, at approximately 5:38 p.m., §87(2)(b) contacted the police to aid in family dispute, which involved her ex-boyfriend, §87(2)(b) and their §87(2)(b) and their daughter, in the parking lot of 1434 C Richmond Road in Staten Island. PO Kristen Caldararo and PO Robert Ruhl, from the 122<sup>nd</sup> Precinct responded to the location and spoke to [Section 2016] who was standing outside of his car. A few minutes later, PO Caldararo and PO Ruhl approached who was still inside of her car. PO Caldararo asked § 87(2)(b) identification and asked her whose visitation day it was. After handing PO Caldararo her driver's explained that it was \$ 87(2)(b) visitation day and that she has numerous domestic incident reports in the system as their daughter refuses to go with \$807(2)(b) only informed PO Caldararo that her right hand was in pain During that time, § 87(2)(b) and PO Caldararo informed her that she was not filing a report. After that PO Caldararo asked to speak with her daughter who was inside of her car. After speaking with § 87(2)(b) s daughter, PO Caldararo informed § 87(2)(b) she would file a custody report for \$ 87(2)(b) as \$ 87(2)(b) would not allow their daughter to go with \$ \$87(2)(b) PO Caldararo and PO Ruhl left \$87(2)(b) to speak with § § 87(2)(b) went back inside of her car. A few minutes later, PO Caldararo and PO Ruhl returned to § 87(2)(b) and PO Caldararo handed § 87(2)(b) her driver's license. At that point, § 87(2)(b) asked PO Caldararo why she would not file a complaint as she was in pain, and PO Caldararo responded, "Because he's making allegations and so are you, and if you're both making allegations then both of you will be arrested and your daughter can go to ACS" (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(9) After PO Caldararo made the statement, § 87(2)(b) asked her for her name but PO Caldararo did not respond (Allegation B: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(9)

The investigation was unable to obtain video footage for this case.

## **Findings and Recommendations**

## Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Kristen Caldararo threatened to arrest § 87(2)(5)

(BR 01, 02, 03), testified that she and (STO) were in a dispute which led to a physical altercation that resulted with her right hand being in pain and being choked. When PO Caldararo and PO Ruhl responded to the location she asked PO Caldararo to file a report as she was in pain. PO Caldararo threatened to arrest her when she made the statement, "Because he's making allegations and so are you, and if you're both making allegations then both of you will be arrested and your daughter can go to ACS." PO Caldararo did not inform (STO) of the allegations made by (STO) but explained a few days later she learned from ACS that (STO) was arrested the day after the incident and he made an allegation that (STO) was arrested to run him over with her car.

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201710433

PO Caldararo (BR 04) acknowledged threatening to arrest \$87(2)(b) by making
the statement, "Because he's making allegations and so are you, and if you're both making
allegations then both of you will be arrested and your daughter can go to ACS," as
could be arrested for assaulting 8 87(2)(b) PO Caldararo explained when she
spoke to \$ \$87(2)(b) he informed her that he entered \$87(2)(b) s vehicle and they got into
a physical altercation resulting with both of them hitting one another. She explained neither
nor same informed her that they had any visible bruises or injuries resulting
from the physical altercation that they had. PO Caldararo explained since both §87(2)(b)
and \$37(2)(b) were making cross complaints without visible injuries they both could have been
arrested. PO Caldararo explained this to both \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b)
was more concerned about getting his daughter.
PO Ruhl (BR 05) testified he heard PO Caldararo make the statement, "Because he's
making allegations and so are you, and if you're both making allegations then both of you will be
arrested and your daughter can go to ACS." PO Ruhl explained to the undersigned investigator
that \$87(2)(b) could have been arrested for endangering the welfare of a child. PO Ruhl
explained \$ 887(2)(b) informed him and PO Caldararo that \$ 87(2)(b) had punched and
kicked him out of her car prior to their arrival.
According to the NYPD Patrol Guide 208-01, Section 3B, an officer may make an arrest without a warrant for a crime (within presence or not) and reasonable cause exists that arrested
person committed the crime (BR 08).
§ 87(2)(g)
5 or (=/(g)
$\underline{Allegation~(B)~Abuse~of~Authority: Police~Officer~Kristen~Caldararo~refused~to~provide~her}$
Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Kristen Caldararo refused to provide her name to §87(2)(b)
<u>name to</u> §87(2)(b)
name to \$87(2)(b) explained that after PO Caldararo threatened to arrest her, she asked her
name to \$87(2)(b) explained that after PO Caldararo threatened to arrest her, she asked her for her name but PO Caldararo did not respond. \$87(2)(b) explained by this time, she was
name to \$87(2)(b) explained that after PO Caldararo threatened to arrest her, she asked her for her name but PO Caldararo did not respond. \$87(2)(b) explained by this time, she was inside of her car while \$87(2)(b) remained 30 to 40 feet away from her.
explained that after PO Caldararo threatened to arrest her, she asked her for her name but PO Caldararo did not respond. \$87(2)(b) explained by this time, she was inside of her car while \$250 \$87(2)(b) remained 30 to 40 feet away from her.  PO Caldararo initially explained she did not recall if \$87(2)(b) asked her for her
explained that after PO Caldararo threatened to arrest her, she asked her for her name but PO Caldararo did not respond. \$\frac{8}{87(2)(0)}\$ explained by this time, she was inside of her car while \$\frac{8}{37(2)}\$ remained 30 to 40 feet away from her.  PO Caldararo initially explained she did not recall if \$\frac{8}{37(2)(0)}\$ asked her for her name. PO Caldararo later stated, she did not hear \$\frac{8}{37(2)(0)}\$ ask her for her name. During
name to \$87(2)(b) explained that after PO Caldararo threatened to arrest her, she asked her for her name but PO Caldararo did not respond. \$87(2)(b) explained by this time, she was inside of her car while \$37(2)(b) remained 30 to 40 feet away from her.  PO Caldararo initially explained she did not recall if \$87(2)(b) asked her for her name. PO Caldararo later stated, she did not hear \$87(2)(b) ask her for her name. During this time, PO Caldararo stood directly in front of \$87(2)(b)
name to \$87(2)(b)  explained that after PO Caldararo threatened to arrest her, she asked her for her name but PO Caldararo did not respond. \$87(2)(b)  explained by this time, she was inside of her car while \$250 \$87(2)(b)  remained 30 to 40 feet away from her.  PO Caldararo initially explained she did not recall if \$87(2)(b)  asked her for her name. PO Caldararo later stated, she did not hear \$87(2)(b)  ask her for her name. During this time, PO Caldararo stood directly in front of \$87(2)(b)  PO Ruhl explained although he stood approximately five feet away from \$27(2)(c)
name to \$87(2)(b) explained that after PO Caldararo threatened to arrest her, she asked her for her name but PO Caldararo did not respond. \$87(2)(b) explained by this time, she was inside of her car while \$37(2)(b) remained 30 to 40 feet away from her.  PO Caldararo initially explained she did not recall if \$87(2)(b) asked her for her name. PO Caldararo later stated, she did not hear \$87(2)(b) ask her for her name. During this time, PO Caldararo stood directly in front of \$87(2)(b)  PO Ruhl explained although he stood approximately five feet away from \$27(2)(c) ask PO Caldararo for her name.
explained that after PO Caldararo threatened to arrest her, she asked her for her name but PO Caldararo did not respond. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{27(2)}\$ explained by this time, she was inside of her car while \$\frac{8}{27(2)}\$ \$\frac{877(2)(b)}{27(2)}\$ remained 30 to 40 feet away from her.  PO Caldararo initially explained she did not recall if \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{27(2)}\$ asked her for her name. PO Caldararo later stated, she did not hear \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{27(2)}\$ ask her for her name. During this time, PO Caldararo stood directly in front of \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ and PO Caldararo, he did not hear \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ ask PO Caldararo for her name.  During this time, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{27(2)}\$ remained at his car, approximately 60 feet away from \$\frac{8}{27(2)}\$.
name to \$87(2)(b) explained that after PO Caldararo threatened to arrest her, she asked her for her name but PO Caldararo did not respond. \$87(2)(b) explained by this time, she was inside of her car while \$37(2)(b) remained 30 to 40 feet away from her.  PO Caldararo initially explained she did not recall if \$87(2)(b) asked her for her name. PO Caldararo later stated, she did not hear \$87(2)(b) ask her for her name. During this time, PO Caldararo stood directly in front of \$87(2)(b)  PO Ruhl explained although he stood approximately five feet away from \$27(2)(c) ask PO Caldararo for her name.

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201710433

	<u>Civilian a</u>	and Officer CCRB Histories	
• § 87(2)(b) victim of	has been par two allegations (BR 07):	rty to two CCRB complaints and has	been named a
PO Kriste		ember of service for 12 years and has allegations, none of which were subst	
	Mediation, C	Civil and Criminal Histories	
Notice of	rch 8, 2018, the New York Claim (BR 09). 36(1)(3)&(4)][§ 87(2)(0)]	k City Office of the Comptroller has	no record of a
quad No.:			
quad No.:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
	C	Print Title & Name Print Title & Name	Date

Page 4

CCRB Case # 201710433