

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Morgan Galloway	Team: Squad #8	CCRB Case #: 201705732	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Thursday, 07/06/2017 3:28 AM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 73	18 Mo. SOL 1/6/2019	EO SOL 1/6/2019	
Date/Time CV Reported Thu, 07/06/2017 3:28 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Thu, 07/13/2017 3:03 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Jonathan Taveras	30195	953469	073 PCT
2. POM Philip Tantillo	9988	955560	073 PCT
3. SGT Louis Martinez	03242	949266	073 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. SGT Beatrice Shafidiya	04079	939456	073 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Philip Tantillo	Abuse: Police Officer Philip Tantillo refused to provide his name and shield number to § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
B.POM Jonathan Taveras	Abuse: Police Officer Jonathan Taveras refused to provide his name and shield number to § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
C.SGT Louis Martinez	Abuse: Sergeant Louis Martinez refused to provide his name and shield number to § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

### Case Summary

On July 11, 2017, § 87(2)(b) filed the following complaint with IAB, generating original log number 2017-26594. The CCRB received the complaint on July 13, 2017.

Investigator Giansante was assigned to the case originally, and he called § 87(2)(b) on July 18. § 87(2)(b) answered, but requested Investigator Giansante call him back the following day at 1:00 pm because he did not have time to talk. His address was confirmed, and § 87(2)(b) refused to provide an email address. On July 19, Investigator Giansante returned his call as requested; the call went straight to voicemail, and he left a message asking for a call back. Investigator Giansante mailed a “please call” letter on July 20. On July 21, Investigator Giansante called § 87(2)(b) for a third time and left a message. On July 27 the case was reassigned to the undersigned.

On July 28, the undersigned attempted to call § 87(2)(b) at the provided telephone number. The call went straight to voicemail, and a message was left. On August 1, the undersigned left another message after the phone rang several times. A final “please call” letter was sent the same day. On August 8, the undersigned spoke with § 87(2)(b) and explained to him that he is not able to pursue a lawsuit through the CCRB. § 87(2)(b) seemed unwilling to continue with the complaint, but the undersigned told him she would call him back after he’s spoken to a lawyer. She advised him that she would call back on August 15 if did not contact her before. On August 15, the undersigned left a message requesting a call back with whether the CCRB should move forward with the case as per their conversation on August 8. § 87(2)(b) did not call back. On August 24, § 87(2)(b) answered the undersigned’s call, but said he would call back in two minutes. He did not. Finally, on August 28, the undersigned left a message asking for a call back with whether she should move forward or close the complaint.

§ 87(2)(g)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

---

Squad:

Investigator: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Print Date

Squad Leader: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date