CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

CC	KR IN	ESTIGATIVE	RECOMMEN	NDATIO	•		
Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	☑ Discourt.	☐ U.S.	
Stacy Paver		Team # 4	201203040	✓ Abuse	O.L.	✓ Injury	
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	1	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL	
Sunday, 03/04/2012 4:07 AM		1181 Bedford Avenue and the 79th Precinct stationhouse		79	9/4/2013	9/4/2013	
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	: Date/Tim	e Received at CCI	RB	
Thu, 03/08/2012 1:11 PM		CCRB Phone		Thu, 03/0	Thu, 03/08/2012 1:11 PM		
Complainant/Victim	Туре	Home Addre	ess	•			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command				
1. POM Shibu Madhu	13609	944754	079 PCT				
2. An officer			079 PCT				
3. Officers			079 PCT				
Officer(s)	Allegati	on		Inv	estigator Recon	nmendation	
A. Officers		esy: At 1181 Bedford A	venue in Brooklyn,	officers			
	spoke ob and ^{§ 87(2)}	oscenely to § 87(2)(b)					
B. Officers		t 1181 Bedford Avenue	in Brooklyn and at t	the 79th			
B. Officers	Precinct	stationhouse, officers st nanimate objects.		une 75th			
C. Officers	Force: At 1181 Bedford Avenue in Brooklyn, officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)						
D.POM Shibu Madhu	Discourtesy: En route to the 79th Precinct stationhouse, PO Shibu Madhu spoke obscenely to \$87(2)(b)						
E. An officer	Discourtesy: En route to the 79th Precinct stationhouse, an officer spoke obscenely to \$87(2)(b)						
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Abuse: At the 79th Precinct stationhouse, PO Shibu Madhu did not obtain medical treatment for \$87(2)(b)

F.POM Shibu Madhu

Case Summary

on March 8, 2012, \$\frac{\$\sqrt{20}\to \}{\sqrt{20}\to \} \text{filed a complaint with the CCRB via telephone against NYPD officers on her behalf as well as on behalf of her brothers, \$\frac{\$\sqrt{20}\to \}{\sqrt{20}\to \} \text{and \$\sqrt{20}\to \} \text{also exited the club. PO Shibu Madhu, who is assigned to the \$79\text{in Precinct and who was identified by \$\sqrt{20}\to \} \text{by name and shield number, and his unidentified male partner stood outside of their marked patrol car. As \$\sqrt{20}\to \} \text{and her brothers waited for a cab, the officers told them to leave the "fucking" location and to get back on the "fucking sidewalk" (Allegation A). The officers placed \$\sqrt{20}\to \] \text{sort{20}\to \} \text{told the officers to stop doing what they did. Approximately eight officers threw \$\sqrt{20}\to \] \text{told the officers to stop doing what they did. Approximately eight officers threw \$\sqrt{20}\to \] \text{was placed in PO Madhu's patrol car. En route to the 79th Precinct stationhouse PO Madhu called \$\sqrt{20}\to \] \text{was placed in PO Madhu's patrol car. En route to the 79th Precinct stationhouse PO Madhu called \$\sqrt{20}\to \] \text{asked about her arrest and PO Madhu's partner told her to "shut the fuck up" (Allegation E). In the 79th Precinct parking lot, PO Madhu and his partner twisted \$\sqrt{20}\to \] \text{arms above her head and "rammed" her head into the patrol car. PO Madhu and his partner escorted \$\sqrt{20}\to \] \text{into the 79th Precinct stationhouse and slammed her head into a glass door (Allegation B). \$\sqrt{20}\to \text{stated that sustained back pain, abrasions to her wrists, pain in her knees, and cuts to her left foot as a result of this incident. \$\sqrt{20}\to \text{was arrested for }\sqrt{20}\to \text{was arrested for }\sqrt{20}\to \text{was arrested for }\sqrt{20}\to \text{was arrested for }\sqrt{20}\t
Several attempts were made to obtain a sworn statement from scheduled an appointment to provide a sworn statement at the CCRB on March 27, 2012. scheduled an appointment information and the undersigned did so. On March 27, 2012, screen did not appear for her scheduled appointment and did not call to cancel or reschedule her appointment. screen was sent a missed appointment letter on March 27, 2012. The letter was not returned to the CCRB by the United States Postal Service. During a telephone conversation on April 30, 2012, scheduled an appointment to provide a sworn statement at the CCRB on May 10, 2012. On May 10, 2012, scheduled. This was her second missed appointment. A search of the NYC Department of Correction database on July 20, 2012, showed that screen was not incarcerated in New York City. To date, screen did not contact the CCRB.
Several attempts were made to obtain a sworn statement from \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ During a telephone conversation with \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ on April 30, 2102, she provided \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ s new cellular telephone number. On June 6, 2012, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ was contacted on his cellular telephone number at which time he scheduled an appointment to provide a sworn statement at the CCRB. On June 18, 2012, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ missed his scheduled appointment. He did not call to cancel or reschedule. On July 12, 2012, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ scheduled an appointment to provide a sworn statement at the CCRB on July 17, 2012. On July 17, 2012, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ did not appear for his scheduled appointment. He did not call to cancel or reschedule. This was his second missed appointment. A search of the NYC Department of Correction database on July 20, 2012, showed

that § 87(2)(b) was not incarce from § 87(2)(b)	rated in New York City.	To date, there has been r	o response
Several attempts were made to ob filed this complaint, she stated that \$87(2)(b) During a telephone convex would attempt to obtain \$37(2)(b) CCRB with this information and she telephone conversation with \$37(2)(b) brother, \$37(2)(b) s contact information at telephone conversation with \$37(2)(b) a telephone conversation with \$37(2)(b) often and did not have an reach out to \$37(2)(b) on Face LexisNexis for \$37(2)(b) yielded possible findings without additional database on July 20, 2012, showed	she did not have any corsation with \$87(2)(b) s contact information are missed two scheduled on June 6, 201 rmation and would attempt on July 12, 20 y of his contact informatebook, and \$87(2)(b) d five possible findings. I information. A search of	on April 30, 2012, she start on April 30, 2012, she start on. §87(2)(6) did not put appointments at the CCRB 2, he stated that he did not put to obtain this information of the NYC Department of the	rother, seed that she brovide the corovide the corovide the corovide the corovide the corovide tempted to search of correction
§ 87(2)(g)			
Team:Four			
Investigator:Signature	Stacy Paver Print	July 20, 2012 Date	
Supervisor:		Date	
Title/Signature	Print	Date	
Reviewer:Title/Signature	Print	Date	
Reviewer:			

Print

Date

Title/Signature