

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Samuel Ross	Team: Squad #7	CCRB Case #: 201710222	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Wednesday, 12/06/2017 8:00 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b) PSA 4 Stationhouse	Precinct: 09	18 Mo. SOL 6/6/2019	EO SOL 6/6/2019	
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 12/11/2017 3:41 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 12/11/2017 3:41 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Jason Pulver	27393	951089	PSA 4
2. Officers			PSA 4
3. SDS Robert Clark	00253	914322	PSA 4
4. POM Daniel Caparelli	06269	930334	PSA 4
5. SGT Stephen Loud	00924	932923	NARCBMS
6. DT3 Roy Abounaoum	01848	945448	NARCBMS
7. An officer			PSA 4

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. SGT Dion Gaspard	01230	945749	PSA 4
2. DT3 Caleef Mcclean	2530	942157	NARCBMS
3. SGT Robert Corrado	03026	948321	PSA 4

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A. Officers	Abuse: Officers entered § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan.	
B. Officers	Abuse: Officers searched § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan.	
C.DT3 Roy Abounaoum	Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Detective Roy Abounaoum struck § 87(2)(b) with a police shield.	
D.DT3 Roy Abounaoum	Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Detective Roy Abounaoum used a chokehold against § 87(2)(b)	
E.DT3 Roy Abounaoum	Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Detective Roy Abounaoum forcibly took § 87(2)(b) to the ground.	
F.DT3 Roy Abounaoum	Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Detective Roy Abounaoum used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
G.DT3 Roy Abounaoum	Abuse: At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Detective Roy Abounaoum did not obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b)	
H. An officer	Abuse: At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, an officer did not obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b)	

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
I. Officers	Abuse: At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, officers refused to show the search warrant to § 87(2)(b)	
J.DT3 Roy Abounaoum	Abuse: At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Detective Roy Abounaoum refused to provide his name and/or shield number to § 87(2)(b)	
K.SDS Robert Clark	Abuse: At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Sergeant Robert Clark refused to provide his name and/or shield number to § 87(2)(b)	
L.SGT Stephen Loud	Abuse: At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Sergeant Stephen Loud refused to provide his name and/or shield number to § 87(2)(b)	
M.POM Daniel Caparelli	Abuse: At the PSA 4 stationhouse in Manhattan, Police Officer Daniel Caparelli strip-searched § 87(2)(b)	
N.POM Jason Pulver	Abuse: At the PSA 4 stationhouse in Manhattan, Police Officer Jason Pulver strip-searched § 87(2)(b)	
O. An officer	Abuse: At the PSA 4 stationhouse in Manhattan, an officer strip-searched § 87(2)(b)	
P. Officers	Abuse: At the PSA 4 stationhouse in Manhattan, officers did not obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b)	
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)	§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)	

Case Summary

§ 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via telephone on December 11, 2017.

On December 6, 2017, at approximately 8:00 PM, officers from Narcotics Borough Manhattan South (NBMS) and PSA 4 entered and searched § 87(2)(b)'s home, located at § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan (**Allegation A: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g); **Allegation B: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g)). No one other than § 87(2)(b) was home at the time. Inside of the apartment, Det. Roy Abounaoum of NBMS allegedly struck § 87(2)(b) with a ballistic shield (**Allegation C: Force**, § 87(2)(g)), used a chokehold against § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation D: Force**, § 87(2)(g)), took § 87(2)(b) to the ground (**Allegation E: Force**, § 87(2)(g)), and struck § 87(2)(b) in the face (**Allegation F: Force**, § 87(2)(g)). Additionally, Det. Abounaoum and another officer allegedly failed to obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation G: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g); **Allegation H: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g)). Officers allegedly refused to show the search warrant to § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation I: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g)), and Det. Abounaoum, Sgt. Robert Clark of PSA 4, and Sgt. Stephen Loud of NBMS allegedly refused to provide their shield numbers to § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation J: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g); **Allegation K: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g); **Allegation L: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g)).

Subsequently, at the PSA 4 stationhouse in Manhattan, PO Daniel Caparelli of PSA 4, PO Jason Pulver of PSA 4, and a third officer allegedly strip-searched § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation M: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g); **Allegation N: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g); **Allegation O: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g)). Lastly, at the PSA 4 stationhouse, officers allegedly refused to obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation P: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g)).

§ 87(2)(b) was arrested for § 87(2)(b). There is no video associated with this case. An attorney was consulted with regards to Allegations A, B, M, N, and O.

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation A: Abuse of Authority—Officers entered § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan.

Allegation B: Abuse of Authority—Officers searched § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan.

It is undisputed that officers assigned to NBMS forced entry into § 87(2)(b)'s residence and that officers assigned to PSA 4 subsequently searched that location.

§ 87(2)(b) (Board Review 01) stated that on December 6, 2017, at approximately 8:00 PM, as he sat in his bedroom watching television, he heard three loud bangs – two from the apartment door and one at his bedroom door. Officers then entered the bedroom and placed § 87(2)(b) in handcuffs. An officer told § 87(2)(b) that they believed there were guns and narcotics in his apartment and that narcotics were being sold from the location. When § 87(2)(b) asked if the officers had a search warrant, they confirmed the presence of a search warrant. § 87(2)(b) was

subsequently removed from the apartment. Upon his return the following day, he discovered unspecified damage to the doorframe of the apartment door, his kitchen sink, a PlayStation, and various unspecified sentimental items.

Sgt. Robert Clark (Board Review 02), the PSA 4 Field Intelligence Officer, confirmed that he obtained a search warrant for § 87(2)(b)'s residence. Further, he confirmed that a narcotics team forced entry into the apartment and apprehended § 87(2)(b) within, and that PSA 4 officers then searched the apartment.

Section 690.50 of the New York State Criminal Procedure Law governs the lawful execution of search warrants. It states that a police officer may enter and search a location for which they have a valid search warrant; that officers may do so without notice if expressly authorized on the search warrant; and that officers may forcibly enter a location (Board Review 03).

Search warrant § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 04) bears the address § 87(2)(b) New York, NY. The warrant was issued in New York County on § 87(2)(b) and was signed by the Honorable Judge § 87(2)(b). The warrant was for crack cocaine and included a no-knock provision. As noted, it is undisputed that the warrant was executed on December 6, 2017.

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation C: Force—At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Detective Roy Abounaoum struck § 87(2)(b) with a police shield.

Allegation D: Force—At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Detective Roy Abounaoum used a chokehold against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation E: Force—At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Detective Roy Abounaoum forcibly took § 87(2)(b) to the ground.

Allegation F: Force—At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Detective Roy Abounaoum used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) stated that when he saw the officers' flashlights at his bedroom door, he stood up from his chair and held both hands above his shoulders. Upon entering § 87(2)(b)'s bedroom, Det. Abounaoum immediately rushed at § 87(2)(b) and shoved him with a ballistic shield, causing the corner of the ballistic shield to strike the left side of § 87(2)(b)'s face. Det. Abounaoum then took hold of § 87(2)(b)'s neck and then threw him onto the floor. Lastly, Det. Abounaoum again struck § 87(2)(b) on the left side of his face, but § 87(2)(b) was unable to determine what Det. Abounaoum used to strike him at this time. At no time did Det. Abounaoum issue any verbal command to § 87(2)(b). When § 87(2)(b) subsequently asked Det. Abounaoum why he had used force against him, Det. Abounaoum replied that § 87(2)(b) had resisted arrest. § 87(2)(b) later learned that he was bleeding from his face as a result of the force which Det. Abounaoum had used against him.

§ 87(2)(b)'s arrest photo shows abrasions and possible bruising to the left side of his face (Board Review 05). Additionally, on January 11, 2018, § 87(2)(b) provided photographs of the facial injuries which he reportedly sustained during the incident in question (Board Review 06).

(Note that the first of the three photographs which § 87(2)(b) provided appears to have been reversed across the vertical axis.)

§ 87(2)(b) visited § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan on § 87(2)(b). According to the pertinent medical records (Board Review 07; Privileged Documents), § 87(2)(b) informed medical personnel that his head was injured when an NYPD officer struck him in the face with a “riot shield.” The records make note of the abrasions to § 87(2)(b)’s left forehead and cheek and state that § 87(2)(b) reported vomiting following his injury. Additionally, the records note that § 87(2)(b) specifically asked medical staff to photograph his facial injuries. Ultimately, § 87(2)(b) was diagnosed with post-concussion syndrome and was discharged to self-care.

Det. Abounaoum (Board Review 08) was the entry team’s first bunker, and as such he was the first officer into the apartment. Det. Caleef McClean of the NBMS was assigned to first bunker security and followed directly behind Det. Abounaoum. When Det. Abounaoum entered § 87(2)(b)’s bedroom, § 87(2)(b) was standing, facing away from the bedroom door, so that Det. Abounaoum could see only his back. Det. Abounaoum yelled out, “Police. Search Warrant. Turn Around. Let me see your hands.” § 87(2)(b) seemed confused and was slow to comply but eventually did so. Det. McClean approached and placed § 87(2)(b) in handcuffs without any struggle. When Det. Abounaoum saw that § 87(2)(b) was not behaving in a threatening manner, he exited the bedroom in order to assist in securing other areas of the apartment, leaving § 87(2)(b) with Det. McClean. Det. Abounaoum never shoved § 87(2)(b) with a ballistic shield, took § 87(2)(b) to the ground, struck § 87(2)(b) or choked § 87(2)(b). Det. Abounaoum did not recall observing any abrasion, bruising or bleeding on § 87(2)(b)’s person. Det. Abounaoum did not prepare a TRI report in regard to this incident (Board Review 09).

Det. McClean (Board Review 10) stated that he was immediately behind Det. Abounaoum when Det. Abounaoum opened the bedroom door and entered. Det. Abounaoum yelled, “Police. Let me see your hands. Get on the ground.” Det. McClean was still behind Det. Abounaoum and could not see anyone in the bedroom. Det. McClean then moved around Det. Abounaoum and saw § 87(2)(b) leaning against a table with both hands in his pants pockets. He was standing still and looking at the officers. Det. Abounaoum repeated the same verbal commands multiple times within a span of thirty seconds. § 87(2)(b) did not remove his hands from his pockets or get on the ground. He also did not say anything or make any sudden movement, and there was no indication that he was armed. Det. McClean approached § 87(2)(b) and pulled his hands from his pockets. § 87(2)(b) stiffened his body, but Det. McClean turned him around, pulled his hands behind his back, and placed him in handcuffs. § 87(2)(b) did not actively resist. At this time, Det. Abounaoum exited the bedroom to continue securing other areas of the apartment. Det. McClean never saw Det. Abounaoum strike § 87(2)(b) with a ballistic shield or in any other manner, or take § 87(2)(b) to the ground. Additionally, Det. Abounaoum did not use a chokehold against § 87(2)(b) or make contact with § 87(2)(b)’s neck in any manner.

Sgt. Loud (Board Review 11) stated that he was the fifth officer to entry the apartment, behind the two bunkers and the two officers assigned to bunker security. From outside of § 87(2)(b)’s bedroom, Sgt. Loud heard Det. Abounaoum yell either “Get Down” or “Get on the ground.” When he entered the bedroom, he saw § 87(2)(b) on the ground in front of Det. Abounaoum. Someone then helped § 87(2)(b) to his feet. However, Sgt. Loud did not observe any struggle or use of force and he never observed any injury on § 87(2)(b)’s person. He did not know how § 87(2)(b) came to be on the ground.

Sgt. Clark, Sgt. Dion Gaspard of PSA 4 (Board Review 12), PO Pulver (who acted as § 87(2)(b)'s arresting officer) (Board Review 13), and PO Daniel Caparelli of PSA 4 (Board Review 14) each stated that they entered the apartment after § 87(2)(b)'s apprehension and did not witness any officer use force against § 87(2)(b). Sgt. Gaspard, Sgt. Clark, and PO Caparelli each stated that they never observed any injury on § 87(2)(b)'s person. PO Pulver stated that he observed an abrasion on the left side of § 87(2)(b)'s face when he first entered § 87(2)(b)'s bedroom, but he never learned what had caused the abrasion.

A Medical Treatment of Prisoner Report prepared in regard to § 87(2)(b)'s arrest (Board Review 15) indicates that § 87(2)(b) exhibited bruising and a superficial abrasion on the left side of his face, as well as swelling to the left side of his lip. A second note reads, "Def. stated injuries happened a few days ago." According to this document, § 87(2)(b) refused medical attention.

According to NYPD Patrol Guide Procedure 221-01, an officer may use force when it is reasonable to place a person in custody. However, any application of force must be reasonable under the circumstances (Board Review 16).

§ 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

§ 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

§ 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

Allegation G: Abuse of Authority—At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Detective Roy Abounaoum did not obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b)

Allegation H: Abuse of Authority—At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, an officer did not obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) stated that immediately after Det. Abounaoum used force against him, he announced the he felt dizzy and might need medical attention. Det. Abounaoum asked § 87(2)(b) if he was sure that he needed medical attention and told § 87(2)(b) that he looked fine. § 87(2)(b) replied that he was certain, as had just been struck in the face. § 87(2)(b) then heard a voice from outside of the bedroom state, “You’re going to get that when you leave.” § 87(2)(b) did not know to whom this voice belonged. § 87(2)(b) did not receive medical attention while in police custody.

As noted above, § 87(2)(b) visited § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan on § 87(2)(b) and complained of head and facial injuries caused by a member of the NYPD. He was diagnosed with post-concussion syndrome and was discharged to self-care.

Det. Abounaoum denied using physical force against § 87(2)(b) or observing any injury on his person. Further, he stated that he did not recall § 87(2)(b) ever requesting medical attention.

Sgt. Clark, PO Pulver, PO Caparelli, and Det. McClean each stated that § 87(2)(b) never complained of pain or injury and that they did not hear him request medical attention. Sgt. Loud stated that he did not recall § 87(2)(b) making any statement whatsoever, and Sgt. Gaspard stated that he never saw § 87(2)(b) within the apartment.

As noted above, the Medical Treatment of Prisoner Report prepared in regard to § 87(2)(b)'s arrest indicates that § 87(2)(b) had bruising and a superficial abrasion on the left side of his face, as well as swelling to the left side of his lip. However, this document also notes that § 87(2)(b) refused medical attention.

§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation I: Abuse of Authority—At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, officers refused to show the search warrant to § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) stated that after officers questioned him as to the suspected presence of narcotics and weapons within the apartment, § 87(2)(b) asked officers if they had a search warrant. The officers stated that they were in possession of a search warrant but subsequently refused to show a

copy to § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) was unable to describe which officers specifically refused to do so.

Sgt. Clark stated that after narcotics officers apprehended § 87(2)(b) he approached § 87(2)(b) and explained to § 87(2)(b) that he and the other officers were present in order to execute a search warrant. § 87(2)(b) asked to see a copy of the search warrant. Sgt. Clark complied and presented the search warrant to § 87(2)(b). However, § 87(2)(b) never acknowledged that Sgt. Clark had satisfied his request.

PO Pulver stated that he never heard § 87(2)(b) ask to see the warrant. However, both he and Sgt. Clark were carrying copies of the warrant during the search warrant execution, and they left a copy of the warrant within the residence before departing.

Det. Abounaoum, Det. McClean, and PO Caparelli stated that they did not hear § 87(2)(b) ask to see the warrant. Sgt. Loud stated that he never heard § 87(2)(b) speak to any officer, and Sgt. Gaspard stated that he never saw § 87(2)(b) within the apartment.

§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation J: Abuse of Authority—At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Detective Roy Abounaoum refused to provide his name and/or shield number to § 87(2)(b)

Allegation K: Abuse of Authority—At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Sergeant Robert Clark refused to provide his name and/or shield number to § 87(2)(b)

Allegation L: Abuse of Authority—At § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Sergeant Stephen Loud refused to provide his name and/or shield number to § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) stated that shortly before officers removed him from the apartment, he asked Det. Abounaoum, Sgt. Clark, and Sgt. Loud for their shield numbers. However, none of these officers provided him with identifying information.

Sgt. Clark stated that he identified himself by name to § 87(2)(b) when they first spoke. Then, after he showed a copy of the search warrant to § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) asked him for his shield number. Sgt. Clark showed his shield to § 87(2)(b) and verbally provided his shield number. Sgt. Clark did not recall if § 87(2)(b) ever asked any other officer for his or her shield number.

Sgt. Loud and Det. Abounaoum each stated that § 87(2)(b) never asked for their shield numbers and that they did not refuse to provide them. Further, Det. Abounaoum stated that he never heard § 87(2)(b) ask for any other officer's name or shield number.

PO Pulver stated that he did not hear § 87(2)(b) ask for any other officer's shield number. However, § 87(2)(b) requested his shield number numerous times, and in response to each request, PO Pulver verbally provided his shield number. PO Caparelli and Det. McClean each stated that they did not hear § 87(2)(b) ask for any officer's name or shield number. As noted, Sgt. Gaspard stated that he never saw § 87(2)(b) within the apartment.

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation M: Abuse of Authority—At the PSA 4 stationhouse in Manhattan, Police Officer Daniel Caparelli strip-searched § 87(2)(b)

Allegation N: Abuse of Authority—At the PSA 4 stationhouse in Manhattan, Police Officer Jason Pulver strip-searched § 87(2)(b)

Allegation O: Abuse of Authority—At the PSA 4 stationhouse in Manhattan, an officer strip-searched § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) stated that after PO Caparelli transported him to the PSA 4 stationhouse, PO Caparelli brought him to a small room. Two other officers were present. § 87(2)(b) believed that one of these two officers was PO Pulver. He did not recall the identify of the third officer. The officers instructed § 87(2)(b) to remove his shoes and shirt, and he complied. After the officers allowed him to put his shirt back on, they instructed him to remove his shorts, which he lowered to his ankles. The officers instructed him to turn, bend over, and cough three times. He did so. Lastly, the officers instructed § 87(2)(b) to lift his genitals, and he again complied.

PO Pulver and PO Caparelli each denied strip-searching § 87(2)(b)

PO Caparelli confirmed that he transported § 87(2)(b) from § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan to the PSA 4 stationhouse. At least one other officer was in the vehicle with PO Caparelli but he did not recall who accompanied him. During his CCRB interview, PO Caparelli reviewed the PSA 4 roll call, but this document did not refresh his recollection as to who joined him in transporting § 87(2)(b). Upon arrival at the PSA 4 stationhouse, PO Caparelli brought § 87(2)(b) to the desk, where a sergeant entered the arrest in the command log. PO Caparelli did not recall who was at the desk at this time. Neither the desk sergeant nor any other sergeant instructed PO Caparelli to strip-search § 87(2)(b) or authorized PO Caparelli to conduct a strip-search. § 87(2)(b) was subsequently lodged in a holding cell, where he awaited his arresting officer, PO Pulver. PO Caparelli did not recall if he was present when officers lodged § 87(2)(b) in a cell. He did not recall any interaction with § 87(2)(b) in a holding cell or in the cell area. PO Caparelli did not participate in strip-searching § 87(2)(b) and did not know if any officers strip-searched § 87(2)(b). He never heard § 87(2)(b) complain that officers had strip-searched him. There was no indication at any time that § 87(2)(b) was secreting a weapon or contraband.

PO Pulver stated that he did not transport § 87(2)(b) to the stationhouse but met § 87(2)(b) there after completing the search of § 87(2)(b)'s apartment. PO Pulver believed that upon arrival at the stationhouse, an officer informed him that § 87(2)(b) had been strip-searched to negative results. PO Pulver believed that if any officer strip-searched § 87(2)(b) this would have taken place immediately before officers lodged § 87(2)(b) in a holding cells, while PO Pulver was still at § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan. However, PO Pulver had no further information in regard and did not recall who reported this information to him.

Sgt. Clark stated that he did not participate in a strip search and was not aware of any officer ever strip-searching § 87(2)(b). Further, no officers sought authorization to perform a strip search

from Sgt. Clark or, to his knowledge, from any other supervisor. § 87(2)(b) never complained that had been strip-searched by any officer.

Neither Sgt. Loud, nor Det. Abounaoum, nor Det. McClean visited the PSA 4 stationhouse after apprehending § 87(2)(b) at § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan.

The arrest report pertaining to this incident indicates that § 87(2)(b) was not strip-searched (Board Review 17). § 87(2)(b)'s NYSID history reveals that he was arrested once prior to the incident in question, in § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 18). According to the report pertaining to that arrest, he was not strip-searched (Board Review 19).

§ 87(2)(b)'s arrest stamp appears in the PSA 4 command log at 8:20 PM (Board Review 20). The command log does not indicate that officers strip-searched § 87(2)(b). On the page preceding § 87(2)(b)'s arrest stamp, at 7:30 PM, an illegible signature indicates which sergeant was at the desk as of 8:20 PM. The first letter appears to be a "C." The PSA 4 roll call for tour 3 on December 6, 2017 (Board Review 21) reveals that five sergeants were working at the time of the incident, and that two had last names beginning with the letter "C": Sgt. Brendan Cassidy and Sgt. Robert Corrado. Sgt. Cassidy is no longer a member of the NYPD. Sgt. Corrado (Board Review 22) had no recollection of the incident in question, did not recognize his handwriting in the command log, and did not recall any officer requesting authorization to perform a strip-search.

§ 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

Allegation P: Abuse of Authority— At the PSA 4 stationhouse in Manhattan, officers did not obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) stated that he developed a headache while waiting in a holding cell at the PSA 4 stationhouse and informed two "random" officers that he required medical attention. § 87(2)(b) believed that neither of these officers were involved in the execution of the search warrant at his residence. One of the officers replied that § 87(2)(b) would see a nurse when he arrived at central booking. The officers did not obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) was able to describe one of the two officers in question only as a tall, young, white male in uniform, and the other only as a male.

Roll call for tour 3 of PSA 4 on December 6, 2017 reveals that, excluding the officers known to § 87(2)(b) there were thirty-three male officers on duty during that shift, seventeen of whom are white (Board Review 21). Roll call for tour 1 of PSA 4 on December 7, 2017 reveals that there were an additional fifteen male officers on duty, seven of whom are white (Board Review 23).

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the first CCRB complaint to which § 87(2)(b) has been a party (Board Review 25).
- Sgt. Clark, who has been a member of service for twenty-five years, has been a subject in nine prior CCRB cases with twenty-five allegations, of which one was substantiated (Board Review 26). Case #201407432 involved a substantiated allegation of name/shield refusal. The Board recommended Instruction and the NYPD imposed Command Level Instructions. § 87(2)(g)
- Sgt. Loud, who has been a member of service for fifteen years, has been a subject in three prior CCRB cases with six allegations, none of which were substantiated (Board Review 27). § 87(2)(g)
- Det. Abounaoum, who has been a member of service for ten years, has been a subject in three prior CCRB cases with nine allegations, of which one was substantiated (Board Review 28). Case #201303165 involved a substantiated discourtesy allegation against Det. Abounaoum. The Board recommended and the NYPD imposed Instructions. § 87(2)(g)
- PO Pulver, who has been a member of service for seven years, has been a subject in two prior CCRB cases with five allegations, none of which were substantiated (Board Review 29). § 87(2)(g)
- PO Caparelli, who has been a member of service for sixteen years, has been a subject in three prior CCRB cases with three allegations, none of which were substantiated (Board Review 30). § 87(2)(g)

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- This complaint was not suitable for mediation.
- As of September 4, 2018, the New York City Office of the Comptroller had no record of a Notice of Claim being filed in regard to this incident (Board Review 31).
- § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Squad No.: _____

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Squad Leader: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Reviewer: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date