CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Fo	rce		Discourt.	U.S.
Stanislav Sazonov		Team # 4	201200877	☑ Ab	ouse		O.L.	☐ Injury
						1		,
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Prec	inct:	18 N	Io. SOL	EO SOL
Friday, 01/13/2012 10:00 PM		in front of § 87(2)(b)			90 7/13/2013			7/13/2013
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At: How CV Reported:			Date/Time Received at CCRB			
Tue, 01/17/2012 12:00 AM		IAB Phone			Fri, 01/20/2012 12:29 PM			
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess					
Witness(es) Home Address								
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. SGT Daniel Campbell	00940	932403	090 PCT					
2. An officer			090 PCT					
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name					
1. POM Bijou Mathew	12287	938960	090 PCT					
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inve	stigat	or Recon	nmendation
A.SGT Daniel Campbell	Abuse: Sgt. Daniel Campbell supervised the stop of the car in which \$87(2)(b) was an occupant.							
B. An officer	Force: An officer used physical force against § 87(2)(b)							
C.SGT Daniel Campbell	Abuse: Sgt. Daniel Campbell frisked § 87(2)(b)							
D.SGT Daniel Campbell	Abuse: Sgt. Daniel Campbell searched §87(2)(b)							
E.SGT Daniel Campbell	Abuse: Sgt. Daniel Campbell supervised the search of the car in which §87(2)(b) was an occupant.							

Case Summary

filed his complaint with the Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB) by phone on
January 16, 2012, generating log number 12-03118. This complaint was received by the Civilian
Complaint Review Board (CCRB) on January 20, 2012. 887(2)(6) provided a telephone statement
to the CCRB on January 26, 2012.
On January 13, 2012, at approximately 10:00 p.m., \$87(2)(b) was a passenger in a
black or blue Lincoln Town Car, provided by \$87(2)(b) . \$87(2)(b) was riding with his
friends, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) The cab was pulled over in the vicinity of § 87(2)(b)
, in Brooklyn, by Sgt. Daniel Campbell, PO Bijou Mathew and PO1 of the 90th Precinct
(Allegation A).
Sgt. Campbell opened the door of the cab, where \$87(2)(b) was sitting, and PO1
instructed him to, "Get out." §87(2)(b) asked why, and was informed that there was a call about
an illegal gun. §87(2)(b) said that neither him nor his associates did anything wrong. PO1 said,
"Get out of the car or I am going to pull you out of the car." [S87(2)(b)] responded, "No, I am not
getting out of the car." PO1 grabbed §87(2)(b) s left arm and pulled him out of the cab
(Allegation B). PO1 placed \$87(2)(b) against the cab and handcuffed him. Sgt. Campbell
performed a pat down of the outer part of clothing of \$87(2)(b) and then went inside \$87(2)(b) s
coat pocket (Allegation C and D). Sgt. Campbell did not find anything illegal on §87(2)(b) He
instructed § 87(2)(b) to sit down on the sidewalk, and § 87(2)(b) complied. The officers proceeded
to search the cab by looking on and under the back seat (Allegation E). The officers did not look
inside the glove compartment or inside the trunk. The officers did not find a gun in the car and
released § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) PO Mathew issued § 87(2)(b) a
summons for "disorderly conduct." The officers left the scene after issuing the summons.
identified the officer who issued § 87(2)(b) a summons as PO Mathew,
tax number 938960, by reading his name and tax number off of the summons. \$87(2)(b) also
identified Sgt. Campbell by name from previous interactions and said his tax number was
932403. A search of CTS confirmed that tax numbers 938960 and 932403 belonged to PO Bijou
Mathew and Sgt. Daniel Campbell of the 90 th Precinct. Additionally, the IAB log identified PO
Mathew and Sgt. Campbell as subject officers in this complaint. Furthermore, PO Mathew and
Sgt. Campbell fit the description provided by \$87(2)(b) said that the name of PO1 was
PO Spring, shield number 1228. A search of CTS for PO Spring, shield number 1228, yielded
negative results. Absent additional statements or documentary evidence, the CCRB was unable to
identify PO1. Consequently, vehicle stopped, vehicle searched, frisk and search of person
allegations are pleaded against Sgt. Daniel Campbell of the 90 th Precinct and a physical force
allegation is pleaded against "An officer" from the 90th Precinct.
The CCRB was ultimately unable to obtain a formal statement from §87(2)(b) for the
following reasons. On January 26, 2012, \$87(2)(b) scheduled an appointment to provide a formal
statement on January 30, 2012. \$87(2)(b) failed to appear for that appointment, and he did not call
the CCRB in advance to cancel or reschedule. On January 30, 2012, a missed appointment letter
was sent to \$87(2)(6) As of February 13, 2012, the US Postal Service has not returned the letter
to the CCRB. Two phone calls were made between February 2, 2012 and February 7, 2012, and
two voice messages were left with instructions to call the CCRB. As of February 13, 2012, the
messages were not returned. A search of the NYC Department of Correction on February 13,
2012, showed that \$87(2)(b) was not incarcerated. \$87(2)(g)

Team: 4		
Investigator: Signature	Print	Date
Supervisor:	Print	Date
Reviewer:	Print	 Date
Reviewer:Title/Signature	Print	Date