

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Shevani Patel	Team: Squad #03	CCRB Case #: 201504200	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force <input type="checkbox"/> Discourt. <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. <input type="checkbox"/> Abuse <input type="checkbox"/> O.L. <input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Monday, 05/18/2015 11:00 PM	Location of Incident: 4111 Laconia Avenue	18 Mo. SOL 11/18/2016	Precinct: 47
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 05/18/2015 11:00 PM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Tue, 05/26/2015 2:20 PM

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. SGT Joseph Spalding	05171	927545	047 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Manuel Paulino	25148	947824	047 PCT
2. SGT Ronaldy Ventura	02373	944298	047 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A . SGT Joseph Spalding	Force: Sgt. Joseph Spalding used a taser on § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On May 18, 2015, Sgt. Ronaldy Ventura of the 47th Precinct called the command center to report the use of a taser on a prisoner, § 87(2)(b) IAB generated log number 15-13558 and referred the case to the CCRB on May 26, 2015. The IAB log contained no civilian complaint.

On May 28, 2015, a letter was sent to § 87(2)(b)'s address listed on both the IAB log and the arrest report, which noted no telephone numbers. The letter was not returned by the United States Postal Service. § 87(2)(b) had no prior arrests or CCRB cases. On June 3, 2015, a search of the White Pages revealed one telephone number associated with his address. On that same day, the number was called, but there was no answer or voicemail capability. On June 3, 2015, the IAB Liaison's Unit stated that they did not have any telephone number listed for § 87(2)(b). A search of the COLES directory of Westchester on that same day revealed no listing. On June 4, 2015, a Lexis Nexis search yielded one additional address for § 87(2)(b) but no telephone number. On that same day, a letter was sent to this address. It was not returned by the United States Postal Service. On June 8, 2015, § 87(2)(b)'s attorney at § 87(2)(b) was called. It was explained to her that the case was generated by the NYPD. § 87(2)(b) stated that because § 87(2)(b)'s criminal case was pending, she wanted to speak with him directly to see if he was interested in pursuing any complaint and requested that the undersigned not call him. She agreed to call back after she met with him for a court appearance on § 87(2)(b). On June 11, 2015 and June 12, 2015, § 87(2)(b) was called. Messages were left each time. To date, § 87(2)(b) has not responded to the undersigned's further attempts to reach her. On June 17, 2015, a search of the NYC Department of Correction website did not indicate that § 87(2)(b) was incarcerated. § 87(2)(g)

Team: _____

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Date

Supervisor: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date