## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force		Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Michael Talisayan		Squad #11	202000077	☑ Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Thursday, 12/12/2019 6:00 PM		52nd Precinct stationhouse		52	6/	/12/2021	1/27/2022
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	e Rece	eived at CCI	RB
Mon, 12/23/2019 2:30 PM		CCRB	In-person	Mon, 12/23/2019 2:30 PM			
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess				
Witness(es)	Vitness(es) Home Address						
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command				
1. LT Kevin Phelan	00000	919558	052 PCT				
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name				
1. POM Manuel Estrada	27546	941277	052 PCT				
Officer(s)	Allegatio	)n		Inve	estiga	tor Recon	nmendation
A.LT Kevin Phelan	Abuse: Lieutenant Kevin Phelan did not process § 87(2)(b) complaint regarding police officers.						

## **Case Summary**

On December 23, 2019, \$87(2)(b) filed this complaint during her in-person interview for CCRB case #\$87(2)(b) On December 12, 2019, at approximately 6:00 p.m., \$87(2)(b) and her father, \$87(2)(b) spoke to PO Manuel Estrada of the 52nd Precinct and informed him that she wanted to file a complaint against PO Victor Wilson and PO Rhona Hall, also of the 52nd Precinct. PO Estrada described the incident to Lt. Kevin Phelan of the 52nd Precinct. Lt. Phelan refused to process \$87(2)(b) complaint (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(g) was not issued a summons nor was she arrested during the incident.

## **Findings and Recommendations**

## Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Lieutenant Kevin Phelan did not process complaint regarding police officers. S87(2)(b) and S87(2)(c) entered the 52<sup>nd</sup> Precinct stationhouse. After waiting two hours S87(2)(c) mat PO Estrada and Lt. Phelan who were sected behind computers.

hours, \$87(2)(b) met PO Estrada and Lt. Phelan who were seated behind computers. \$87(2)(b) whose father stood beside her, described her earlier encounter with PO Victor Wilson and PO Rhona Hall on December 2, 2019. PO Estrada relayed the information to Lt. Phelan. Lt. Phelan asked \$87(2)(b) "What you want? [sic] Your door is already fixed." \$87(2)(b) described the incident again and stated that she wanted to file a report. Lt. Phelan told \$87(2)(b) that she did not have a right to file a report because her door was already repaired. During the conversation, \$37(2)(b) said she had filed a complaint with the CCRB. She played the voicemail left from a CCRB investigator. Lt. Phelan told \$87(2)(b) to let the CCRB handle it and to not come here for that.

On February 20, 2020, in Inv. Jessica Russo's telephone call to \$87(2)(5) who speaks Spanish, he stated that he believed \$87(2)(5) was upset with the officers in the stationhouse. He did not understand their conversation [Board Review 02].

PO Estrada testified he was behind a divider, located near the entrance of the stationhouse, when he observed \$87(2)(b) He did not recall anyone else with her. She walked up to the divider and told PO Estrada she wanted to file a complaint against an officer. PO Estrada did not recall any information about her complaint. PO Estrada turned to his supervisor, Lt. Phelan, who was sitting beside him. PO Estrada told Lt. Phelan that \$87(2)(b) wanted to file a complaint against an officer. Lt. Phelan and \$87(2)(b) spoke near the divider for about two or three minutes. PO Estrada did not recall their conversation. Lt. Phelan told \$87(2)(b) to call the CCRB's telephone number to file a complaint. PO Estrada did not know if Lt. Phelan told her to call 311 or IAB or any other method to file a complaint. PO Estrada did not recall Lt. Phelan filing any paperwork for \$87(2)(b) complaint. He was unaware of Lt. Phelan offering to file the complaint for \$87(2)(b) in person. He was unaware of Lt. Phelan refusing to file \$87(2)(b) complaint against officers she encountered on December 2, 2019 [Board Review 03].

Lt. Phelan did not recall any interaction with \$\frac{897(2)(b)}{200}\$ He was presented with a photograph of \$\frac{897(2)(b)}{200}\$ he did not recognize her and was unable to answer whether he ever refused to process her complaint. Lt. Phelan did not recall PO Estrada having any interaction with her. Lt. Phelan did not recall any other officers working within the proximity of the desk other than PO Estrada [Board Review 04].

The investigation obtained stationhouse footage, dated December 12, 2019, for the lobby area of the 52<sup>nd</sup> Precinct stationhouse. It is unclear whether any of the civilians in the footage are \$87(2)(0) The officers behind the desk are not visible at any point. [Board Review 05].

The Command Log for the 52<sup>nd</sup> Precinct for December 12, 2019 does not have any entries

NYPD complaints recomplaint in percomplaint report. Officers	Patrol Guide Proceducived by uniformed merson, officers must in the art, and assist or allow a must also prepare a communication.	[Board Review 06].  The 207-31 provides guidelines on put the service. For civilians atterview the complainant, provide hanother person to assist the complain civilian complaint report and information.	s who wish to file a him or her with a civilian hinant with completing the
Review Board § 87(2)(9)	[Board Review 11].		
§ 87(2)(g)			
	G: III		
• This is \$ 87(2)(b)	the third complaint to	an and Officer CCRB Histories  o which \$87(2)(b) has been a	a party [Board Review 07]
forty-ty	wo allegations, have b ntiated. § 87(2)(9)	er of service for twenty-three years been filed against him. None of thes	se allegations were
	declined media otember 9, 2020, the O	ation, Civil and Criminal Historication.  Office of the New York City Computed to this incident [Board Review (	troller indicated that there
● § 87(2)(b), §			
Squad No.:	11		
Investigator:	Mike Talisayan Signature	Inv. Mike Talisayan Print Title & Name	02/22/21 Date
Squad Leader:	Edwin Pena Signature	IM Edwin Pena Print Title & Name	
Reviewer:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date