CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	☑ Discourt.	☐ U.S.	
Spencer Migotsky		Squad #1	201505530	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury	
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL	
Saturday, 07/04/2015 2:15 PM				52	1/4/2017	1/4/2017	
Date/Time CV Reported C		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time Received at CCRB		RB	
Sun, 07/05/2015 1:49 PM CO		CCRB	Call Processing System		Sun, 07/05/2015 1:49 PM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess			_	
Witness(es) Home Address						_	
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command				
1. POM Juan Gomez	27475	953916	PBBX				
2. POM James Hannigan	9586	954913	PBBX				
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	stigator Recon	nmendation	
A.POM James Hannigan	Discourtesy: PO James Hannigan spoke rudely to \$87(2)(b)						
B.POM James Hannigan	Abuse: PO James Hannigan stopped \$87(2)(b)						
C.POM Juan Gomez	Abuse: PO Juan Gomez stopped § 87(2)(b)						
D.POM Juan Gomez	Force: PO Juan Gomez used physical force against § 87(2)(b)						
E.POM James Hannigan	Force: PO James Hannigan used physical force against						

Case Summary

<u>Case Summary</u>
On July 4, 2015 at approximately 2:15 pm, \$87(2)(b) was outside talking to his friend, through the window of \$87(2)(b) building located on the first floor of \$7,000 pulled in the Bronx. \$87(2)(b) went back into his apartment and \$87(2)(b) pulled his head back from the window. \$87(2)(b) crossed the street, stood on the sidewalk, smoked a Newport cigarette, and played with his phone when he saw a police car patrolling the street. PO Juan Gomez and PO James Hannigan of Patrol Borough Bronx pulled up and PO Gomez told \$7,000 that he was watching him and that he knew what \$87(2)(b) was doing. \$87(2)(b) told PO Gomez that he was not doing anything. \$87(2)(b) s \$87(2)(b) old nephew, \$87(2)(b) then arrived and the officers left.
The officers passed by \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) again and PO Hannigan allegedly told asked why and PO Hannigan told him to leave again. \$87(2)(b) asked what would happen if he stayed and PO Hannigan told him that he would write him a summons. \$87(2)(b) waited for his brother, \$87(2)(b) and when his brother approached the officers followed behind him.
PO Gomez and PO Hannigan approached \$37(2)(b) and asked him why he did not leave and asked why he had to leave. PO Hannigan asked for \$37(2)(b) as ID and \$37(2)(b) as
As PO Hannigan wrote the summons, PO Gomez continued to speak with \$87(2)(b) told PO Gomez something to the effect of "without that badge and that gun, it would be a different story, I'll fuck you up." PO Gomez then took his handcuffs out, pushed \$87(2)(b) against a car, and tried to handcuff \$87(2)(b) (Allegation D). \$87(2)(b) moved his arms away and asked why he was being arrested. \$87(2)(b) did not make any attempt to strike an officer. It is disputed whether he assumed a fighting stance. A scuffle ensued between and the officers and PO Hannigan allegedly pushed \$87(2)(b) (Allegation E). As a result, \$87(2)(b) fell on top of PO Gomez, who landed with his back to the ground. As tried to get up, PO Gomez tried to pull him to the ground by grabbing \$87(2)(b) s leg. \$87(2)(b) then allowed the officers to arrest him and PO Gomez handcuffed him. \$37(2)(b) sustained a scrape and bruise to his arm and PO Gomez's shirt was ripped on the back.
There was no video or audio recording of this incident.
Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories This complaint was unsuitable for mediation because \$87(2)(b) was arrested \$87(2)(b) A notice of claim was
returned with negative results. The charges against \$87(2)(b) for \$87(2)(b), \$87(2)(a) 160.50 were dropped while the charges for \$87(2)(b) t are pending. His next court date is set for \$87(2)(b) (Board Review 01).
Page 2

CCRB Case # 201505530

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories • This is the first and only complaint filed by §87(2)(b) (Board Review 02). • PO Hannigan has been a member of the NYPD for 2 years and has 5 allegations over 3 previous cases. All allegations were closed as complainant uncooperative. § 87(2)(9) • PO Gomez has been a member of the NYPD for 2 years and has 6 allegations over 2 previous cases. PO Gomez has one open complaint for a use of force. § 87(2)(9) **Potential issues** When PO Gomez and PO Hannigan exited their vehicle, § 37(2)(b) started recording. § also recorded from across the street, however, when asked to s friend, § 87(2)(b) provide the video, neither of them did. provided \$87(2)(6) 87(2)(6) s number but it was out of service. He did not provide any other contact information for him or \$87(2)(b) 87(2)(b) and when called in order to obtain more information, \$87(2)(b) stated that he did not know either of their dates of birth, addresses, or other phone numbers. § 87(2)(b) was also called several times in order to get a statement from him, however, he either did not answer or said that he would need to check his schedule and would call back to schedule and never did.

Two requests for the summons by number yielded negative results.

Finding and Recommendations

Explanation of Subject Officer Identification

PO Gomez and PO Hannigan both acknowledged in their interviews that they were the officers who stopped and interacted with (Board Review 03 and 04). Furthermore, was clear in differentiating as to which officer took which action (Board Review 05). PO Gomez is Hispanic with brown skin and PO Hannigan is white with light skin. Therefore Allegations A, B, and D are pleaded against PO Hannigan and Allegations C and E are pleaded against PO Gomez.

Recommendations

Allegation A – PO James Hannigan spoke rudely to §87(2)(b)

stated that PO Hannigan told him "get the fuck out of here" when he was standing on a sidewalk near a public park (Board Review 05). PO Gomez did not recall PO Hannigan making this statement and while PO Hannigan denied making the alleged statement, he could not recall whether he used any profanity more generally (Board Review 03 and 04). No witnesses to this allegation were cooperative and no video or audio evidence was provided. [S87(2)(9)

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201505530

Allegation B – PO James Hannigan stopped 887(2)(b)
Allegation C – PO Juan Gomez stopped \$87(2)(5) It is undisputed that \$87(2)(5) was standing on a public sidewalk fidgeting with his phone when PO Hannigan and PO Gomez told him to leave. \$87(2)(5) did not leave and he was standing with at least two other people when PO Gomez and PO Hannigan approached him to issue a summons for disorderly conduct. \$87(2)(5) said that he was with two or three other people while PO Hannigan said he was with five or six and PO Gomez said that he was with more than four people. PO Gomez also said that his nephew was riding a bike on the sidewalk and that when they approached he was cooperative and let off with a warning. PO Hannigan corroborated that \$87(2)(5) was with a civilian who was on a bike. PO Hannigan asked for \$87(2)(5) saft PO Hannigan obtained \$87(2)(5) saft PO Hannigan and PO Gomez stated that people were passing through the area where \$87(2)(5) was standing (Board Review 03, 04, and 05).
While \$37(2)(b) did not mention that there were any people in the vicinity of the area, PO Gomez stated that there were people passing through the area and PO Hannigan stated that there were a couple people coming through (Board Review 03, 04, and 05). The arrest report and the UF-61 both state that \$87(2)(b) was standing with a large group which caused multiple people to walk around him into the street (Board Review 08 and 09).
According to New York State penal law, an individual is guilty of disorderly conduct when one "with intent to cause public inconvenience, annoyance or alarm, or recklessly creating a risk thereof obstructs vehicular or pedestrian traffic" (Board Review 07).
Allegation D – PO Juan Gomez used physical force against \$87(2)(b) Allegation E – PO James Hannigan used physical force against \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b)

CCRB Case # 201505530

Page 4

	hen attempted to hand	without that badge and that gun, I'll cuff \$37(2)(b) who flailed his and him (Board Review 04 and 05).	
A scrape to \$87(2)(b) s arm wa incident. \$87(2)(9)	s photographed during	g his interview, five days after the	
incident.			
Squad:			
Investigator:			
Signature	Print	Date	
Supervisor: Title/Signature	 Print	 Date	
<u> </u>	Time	Date	
Reviewer:	Print	Date	
Reviewer:			
Title/Signature	Print	Date	

Page 5

CCRB Case # 201505530