

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Jeffrey Mulinelli (S)	Team: Squad #1	CCRB Case #: 201606639	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Wednesday, 07/27/2016 9:46 PM	Location of Incident: Gates Avenue and Patchen Avenue	Precinct: 81	18 Mo. SOL 1/27/2018	EO SOL 1/27/2018	
Date/Time CV Reported Tue, 08/02/2016 2:38 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Tue, 08/02/2016 2:38 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Sean McDonnell	25832	955162	081 PCT
2. POM Douglas Connolly	10261	954665	081 PCT
3. LT Roman Israilov	00000	932816	081 PCT
4. POM Michael Swift	26931	931288	081 PCT
5. An officer			
6. Officers			

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.LT Roman Israilov	Force: Lieutenant Roman Israilov used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM Michael Swift	Force: Police Officer Michael Swift used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
C.POM Sean McDonnell	Force: Police Officer Sean McDonnell used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
D.POM Douglas Connolly	Force: Police Officer Douglas Connolly used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
E. An officer	Force: An officer struck § 87(2)(b) with a blunt instrument.	
F. Officers	Force: Officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
G. An officer	Force: An officer used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
H. Officers	Force: Officers hit § 87(2)(b) against the ground.	
I.POM Douglas Connolly	Force: Police Officer Douglas Connolly used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	

### Case Summary

§ 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via phone on August 2, 2016. At the time he reported the incident, § 87(2)(b) did not know the incident date. Specifically, he stated that it occurred “no less than a week ago.” In addition, § 87(2)(b) provided the incident location only as in the vicinity of Gates Avenue. The AIDED report prepared for this incident revealed that it occurred on July 27, 2016, at 9:46 p.m., near the intersection of Gates Avenue and Patchen Avenue in Brooklyn.

On July 27, 2016, at approximately 9:46 p.m., Lt. Roman Israilov and PO Michael Swift, of the 81<sup>st</sup> Precinct, were conducting routine patrol in the vicinity of Gates Avenue and Patchen Avenue in Brooklyn when Lt. Israilov observed § 87(2)(b) lying on the sidewalk. § 87(2)(b)'s cousin, § 87(2)(b) was standing near § 87(2)(b). The officers stopped their RMP and approached § 87(2)(b). As § 87(2)(b) attempted to stand up, Lt. Israilov and PO Swift grabbed § 87(2)(b)'s arms and attempted to handcuff him. At some point, PO Sean McDonnell and PO Douglas Connolly, also of the 81<sup>st</sup> Precinct, arrived. The officers took § 87(2)(b) to the ground, where he landed face down (**Allegations A through D**). An officer allegedly struck § 87(2)(b) on his left ribcage with an unknown object (**Allegation E**). As the struggle continued, officers reportedly placed their bodyweight on top of § 87(2)(b)'s torso (**Allegation F**). An officer allegedly punched § 87(2)(b) and then grabbed § 87(2)(b)'s right arm and intentionally struck § 87(2)(b)'s right elbow against the ground, causing an abrasion to his right elbow (**Allegations G and H**). An officer reportedly pressed § 87(2)(b)'s head into the ground, causing an abrasion near § 87(2)(b)'s right temple (**also Allegation H**). Once § 87(2)(b) was handcuffed, officers reportedly rolled him onto his back, at which point PO Connolly allegedly placed his foot on § 87(2)(b)'s chest (**Allegation I**). When § 87(2)(b) asked if he could get up, the officers allowed him to sit up. § 87(2)(b) was ultimately transported to § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) reported that he was treated for a fractured left rib and lacerations to his elbows and right hip. He was not arrested or summonsed.

Following the CCRB's identification of the incident date, time, and location via the AIDED report, the CCRB's Field Team visited the incident location on August 25, 2016, and found there to be no cameras at the nearby deli. Field Team investigators discovered a nearby building with a camera but were unable to access it or glean any contact information for the management company or superintendent. The undersigned investigator's attempts to reach the management company or superintendent were unsuccessful. As such, no video footage was obtained for this incident [see IAs].

### Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

§ 87(2)(b) declined to mediate this complaint. § 87(2)(b)

As of September 28, 2016, no Notice of Claim has been filed in regards to this incident [01 Board Review].

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(c)

### **Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories**

This is the first CCRB case featuring § 87(2)(b) [03 Board Review].

This complaint marks the third CCRB case filed against Lt. Israilov in his 13 year tenure. He is the subject of a physical force allegation in case 201605265, which is pending investigation. In 2015, a vehicle search allegation was substantiated against him, and he received formalized training.

This is the seventh CCRB complaint filed against PO Swift during his 14 year tenure. No allegations have been substantiated against him. He was the subject of a physical force allegation, which was exonerated, in 2006. He is also the subject of a physical force allegation in case 201605265, which is pending investigation.

This is the third CCRB case filed against PO McDonnell during his three year tenure. There have been no allegations substantiated against him. A physical force allegation pled against him in case 201600432 was withdrawn.

This is the first CCRB case filed against PO Connolly during his three year tenure.

### **Findings and Recommendations**

#### **Subject Officer Identification**

§ 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) had poor memories of this incident and struggled to accurately describe the officers who participated in it. § 87(2)(b) was unable to provide any description of the subject officers in his statement to the CCRB's Intake Unit [04 Board Review]. A week later, § 87(2)(b) provided a sworn statement and gave vague descriptions for the four officers on scene. Specially, § 87(2)(b) described the first of the four officers as a Hispanic male in his 40s who was taller than 5'5" and had a muscular build. All of the officers on scene were white males who stood taller than 5'5". § 87(2)(b) stated that the officer who placed his foot on § 87(2)(b)'s chest late in the incident was taller than all of the other officers. PO Connolly's MOS photo revealed that he is 6'2", while the next tallest officer, PO Swift, was 6'0". The others were 5'10" tall [08-11 Board Review]. § 87(2)(b) described the other two officers as follows: one was a white or Hispanic male who stood 5'8" or 5'9" and was heavy set, while the other was a male, possibly of Italian descent, who was taller than 5'5" and was not slim [05 Board Review].

§ 87(2)(b) described only one subject officer. While he stated that this officer used force against § 87(2)(b) he did not specify that the officer placed his foot on § 87(2)(b)'s chest. He described this officer as looking like Private Ryan from the movie Saving Private Ryan [06 Board Review]. Private Ryan, played by actor Matt Damon, had light colored hair [07 Board Review]. PO Connolly was the only officer present with light brown hair. PO Swift, PO McDonnell, and Lt. Israilov were bald or nearly bald. Lt. Israilov, PO Swift, PO McDonnell, and PO Connolly all testified that no additional units responded. § 87(2)(g)

**Allegation A – Force: Lieutenant Roman Israilov used physical force against § 87(2)(b)**

**Allegation B – Force: Police Officer Michael Swift used physical force against § 87(2)(b)**

**Allegation C – Force: Police Officer Sean McDonnell used physical force against § 87(2)(b)**

**Allegation D – Force: Police Officer Douglas Connolly used physical force against § 87(2)(b)**

§ 87(2)(b) filed this complaint via phone with the CCRB. During his initial statement to the CCRB’s Intake Unit, § 87(2)(b) remembered neither the exact incident date nor its location. Rather, he stated that the incident happened no less than a week prior to his call, and that the location of occurrence was near Gates Avenue in Brooklyn. § 87(2)(b) acknowledged that he had been drinking alcohol that day but was not drunk. He then revealed that he felt light headed upon exiting the store, at which time he sat down on the sidewalk. According to § 87(2)(b) four to five uniformed officers suddenly appeared, got on top of him, and restrained him. § 87(2)(b) was unable to describe any of these officers. § 87(2)(b) denied resisting but stated that he did not want to be handcuffed. § 87(2)(b) never described being taken to the ground by officers [04 Board Review].

In his sworn statement, § 87(2)(b) testified that he was with his cousin, § 87(2)(b) at the time of the incident. He denied drinking alcohol or using any drugs prior to the incident. According to § 87(2)(b) he purchased non-alcoholic beverages from a deli, which he did not remember the location of, and stepped outside. § 87(2)(b) reported that he was feeling somewhat dehydrated at that moment. § 87(2)(b) reported that he listened to some music upon exiting the deli. He shook his head and danced. § 87(2)(b) then described that he voluntarily lowered himself to the ground to “do a move.” § 87(2)(b) first assumed a seated position and then lay on his back with his hands behind his head for a few minutes. § 87(2)(b) was standing near § 87(2)(b). There were other people around, but § 87(2)(b) did not know them.

§ 87(2)(b) initially stated that he was on the ground when four officers arrived. He did not remember if the officers were uniformed. § 87(2)(b) later revealed that he was in the process of standing up when officers arrived. § 87(2)(b) reported that the officers grabbed his arms as he was standing up. The officers said nothing during this time. The officers pulled § 87(2)(b)’s right arm behind his back and secured a handcuff on it. § 87(2)(b) denied having any memory of resisting. The officers reportedly took § 87(2)(b) to the ground after less than one minute by pulling him by his arms. § 87(2)(b) reported that he landed face down. According to § 87(2)(b) s account, only the one handcuff was secured at the time he was taken to the ground.

§ 87(2)(b) stated that his poor memory of the incident stemmed from his head striking the ground during the struggle. He also claimed that he had been stabbed in the right temple years earlier, though he did not state that this affected his memory [05 Board Review].

During his phone statement to the CCRB, § 87(2)(b) initially denied knowing who § 87(2)(b) was. When asked if he was present for a recent incident involving § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) replied, § 87(2)(b) “Who is that? I don’t know who you’re talking about.” § 87(2)(b) then asked if the individual in question had dark hair and was short. When the undersigned

investigator replied positively, § 87(2)(b) asked, “Oh, it’s for the case?” § 87(2)(b) then revealed that § 87(2)(b) was his cousin and that he was, in fact, present during the incident.

§ 87(2)(b) denied that either he or § 87(2)(b) was intoxicated at the time of the incident. § 87(2)(b) stated that he was inside of the deli when he heard a commotion outside. According to § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) who was already outside, may have yelled something to the effect of “screw you” at officers. § 87(2)(b) did not see the moment at which officers first made physical contact with § 87(2)(b) but he stated that he stepped outside and observed officers handcuff § 87(2)(b) while § 87(2)(b) was still standing. According to § 87(2)(b) the officers then slammed § 87(2)(b) the the ground and assaulted him using a variety of strikes [06 Board Review].

Lt. Israilov testified that he and his partner, PO Swift, were patrolling on Gates Avenue prior to the incident. Lt. Israilov reportedly observed § 87(2)(b) who appeared to be unconscious, lying on the sidewalk. The officers stopped their vehicle and approached § 87(2)(b) At that time, Lt. Israilov spoke with a male who reportedly identified himself as § 87(2)(b) s cousin. This individual is known to the investigation as § 87(2)(b) Lt. Israilov stated that § 87(2)(b) appeared to be intoxicated or on drugs as his eyes were red and his speech was slightly slurred. Due to a recent K2 epidemic in the 81<sup>st</sup> Precinct, Lt. Israilov suspected that § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) had used K2. Lt. Israilov reported that he inquired with § 87(2)(b) about this, and that § 87(2)(b) revealed that he and § 87(2)(b) had taken PCP or angel dust, which had ultimately resulted in § 87(2)(b) losing consciousness.

Lt. Israilov stated that he or PO Swift requested an ambulance, and that § 87(2)(b) regained consciousness about a minute later. § 87(2)(b) reportedly looked dazed and confused as he sat up and asked the officers what had happened. According to Lt. Israilov, § 87(2)(b) then stood up, at which point Lt. Israilov told him that he was in no condition to walk away. Lt. Israilov reportedly advised § 87(2)(b) that he would be transported to the hospital to be examined.

§ 87(2)(b) reportedly walked away from Lt. Israilov and PO Swift with an unsteady gait. Lt. Israilov described that § 87(2)(b) s movements suggested that he was intoxicated in some way. He stated that § 87(2)(b) walked into the traffic lanes of Gates Avenue, at which time Lt. Israilov or PO Swift grabbed § 87(2)(b) s hand and pulled him back onto the sidewalk. Lt. Israilov did not remember which officer did this. When Lt. Israilov told § 87(2)(b) that he could not leave because he was not well, § 87(2)(b) told the officers to leave him alone.

Lt. Israilov described that he was concerned for his own safety as well as § 87(2)(b) s based on his past experience with individuals high on PCP. He further detailed that PCP users often have extraordinary strength while high. Lt. Israilov stated that, with the abovementioned safety concern in mind, he instructed PO Swift to handcuff § 87(2)(b) Both officers allegedly told § 87(2)(b) that he was not under arrest but was being handcuffed for safety reasons. Lt. Israilov described that § 87(2)(b) tensed his arms and attempted to walk away from the officers.

Seconds after the struggle began, PO McDonnell and PO Connolly arrived on scene. Lt. Israilov had not called for backup but believed that they were patrolling in the area. He issued them no

instructions but believed that they were aware that the situation demanded that they physically assist immediately. The officers then reportedly executed a takedown and brought § 87(2)(b) to the sidewalk. Lt. Israilov did not remember how § 87(2)(b) landed but stated that the maneuver was not an explosive takedown. He did not remember the exact details of how they lowered § 87(2)(b) to the ground [12 Board Review].

PO Swift testified that he and Lt. Israilov were flagged by a few women who were standing around § 87(2)(b). His testimony was otherwise generally consistent with Lt. Israilov's. PO Swift also described § 87(2)(b) as lying on the sidewalk and appearing unconscious. PO Swift stated that he was concerned for his safety because he suspected that § 87(2)(b) had used K2. According to PO Swift, K2 use had become common in the 81<sup>st</sup> Precinct. PO Swift reported that K2 users can become violent at the drop of a dime.

Like Lt. Israilov, PO Swift stated that § 87(2)(b) acknowledged that he and § 87(2)(b) had smoked PCP prior to § 87(2)(b) losing consciousness. According to PO Swift, § 87(2)(b)'s eyes were half shut as he appeared to be staring into space, and he appeared to have no reaction to § 87(2)(b)'s condition. PO Swift did not remember having any PCP related experience but was still suspicious that § 87(2)(b) could have used K2 and could become violent.

PO Swift corroborated Lt. Israilov's testimony that § 87(2)(b) stood up, and he added that § 87(2)(b) stumbled, swayed, and appeared to have poor balance. Although PO Swift did not state that § 87(2)(b) walked into the traffic lanes of Gates Avenue, he stated that he was concerned that § 87(2)(b) would do so had he not been restrained. PO Swift reported that he and Lt. Israilov grabbed § 87(2)(b) by his arms and instructed him to sit on the ground. PO Swift also reportedly told § 87(2)(b) that they were there to help him and that an ambulance was en route.

According to PO Swift, § 87(2)(b) began to swing his arms. PO Swift did not know if § 87(2)(b) was trying to pull away or if he was about to have an episode of some kind. PO Swift then reportedly told Lt. Israilov that he believed that they should handcuff § 87(2)(b) for his safety and their own. The officers maintained their grips on § 87(2)(b)'s arms as he allegedly pulled his arms toward the front of his body and moved his body around. PO Swift revealed that he realized within a second or two that they would not be able to handcuff § 87(2)(b) while standing.

PO Swift asserted that he and Lt. Israilov attempted to take § 87(2)(b) to the ground by using an "arm bar" technique, which involved each officer placing one hand on § 87(2)(b)'s wrists and one hand on his triceps and pushing downward. According to PO Swift, § 87(2)(b) continued to resist in the manner described above, and he and Lt. Israilov were unable to bring § 87(2)(b) to the ground prior to the arrival of PO McDonnell and PO Connolly. PO Swift described that either PO McDonnell or PO Connolly grabbed § 87(2)(b) around his waist, preventing him from moving his legs, as the other officers worked to push him down by his arms. According to PO Swift, § 87(2)(b) was not taken down in an explosive fashion but was lowered to the ground and landed face down. He denied that § 87(2)(b) struck his face on the ground. Rather, he believed that § 87(2)(b) landed on his shoulder. PO Swift denied that a handcuff was ever placed on § 87(2)(b) prior to him being taken to the ground [13 Board Review].

PO McDonnell and PO Connolly corroborated the testimonies of Lt. Israilov and PO Swift in stating that they learned from § 87(2)(b) that § 87(2)(b) had taken PCP. They also both testified that they arrived as the struggle was already underway in a standing position. PO McDonnell corroborated PO Swift's testimony that § 87(2)(b) was swinging his arms, but PO Connolly made no mention of this action. Rather, PO Connolly stated that § 87(2)(b) was spitting. Although both PO McDonnell and PO Connolly acknowledged physically assisting their fellow officers, their accounts concerning their initial observations were different. PO McDonnell acknowledged that both participated in assisting Lt. Israilov and PO Swift in taking § 87(2)(b) to the ground, and he described that § 87(2)(b) was lowered to the ground in a controlled fashion as the officers held his arms. PO Connolly stated that § 87(2)(b) was already on the ground and resisting the efforts of Lt. Israilov and PO Swift by kicking his legs and rolling around when PO Connolly and PO McDonnell arrived. PO Connolly did not remember if either of § 87(2)(b)'s arms was handcuffed at the time he physically engaged in the struggle [14-15 Board Review].

Patrol Guide procedure 216-01 states that officers at the scene of an incident at which an individual is acting in a deranged or erratic manner apparently caused by drug use must have the individual transported to an appropriate medical facility. According to Patrol Guide procedure 216-05, an EDP whose behavior is likely to result in injury to himself or others must be taken into protective custody. Patrol Guide procedure 221-02 defines bracing or tensing as active resistance and states that an officer must apply no more than the reasonable force necessary to gain control of an individual [16-18 Board Review].

§ 87(2)(g)  
[REDACTED]

§ 87(2)(g)  
[REDACTED]

§ 87(2)(g)

**Allegation E – Force: An officer struck § 87(2)(b) with a blunt instrument.**

**Allegation F – Force: Officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)**

**Allegation G – Force: An officer used physical force against § 87(2)(b)**

**Allegation H – Force: Officers hit § 87(2)(b) against the ground.**

In his sworn testimony, § 87(2)(b) described that the officers rolled him back and forth from his stomach to his back while on the ground, and that a Hispanic male officer struck him on his left ribcage with an unknown object. § 87(2)(b) estimated that the officer struck him with said object fewer than five times. § 87(2)(b) did not remember if his left hand had been handcuffed at this point. According to § 87(2)(b) the struggle continued for a few more minutes, and three of the four officers were literally on top of his torso. One officer was reportedly placing his weight on the center of § 87(2)(b)'s torso, while the other two respectively placed their weight on his left and right sides. § 87(2)(b) believed that an officer punched him at some point but denied knowing which one did so as the events transpired quickly. § 87(2)(b) also reported that, at some point, an officer, who § 87(2)(b) was unable to describe, grabbed § 87(2)(b)'s right arm and banged it against the sidewalk, causing a laceration. After that, an officer allegedly pressed § 87(2)(b)'s head in the ground, which caused swelling near his right temple. § 87(2)(b) did not remember whether he was handcuffed at that time, though he was rear handcuffed at some point.

During his in-person interview, § 87(2)(b) displayed a two-inch long scar on his left elbow as well as a mark in the area of his right temple, which he stated was from an abrasion sustained during the incident, and scarring on his right hip [05 Board Review].

§ 87(2)(b) made no mention of being struck with an object, punched, or having his head pushed into the ground during his 24 minute and 55 second statement to Intake. In this version of events provided by § 87(2)(b) four officers reportedly held his limbs while a fifth held his head. § 87(2)(b) stated that the officers applied pressure to keep him on the ground and that he was in severe pain. Officers repeated that they were restraining § 87(2)(b) for his own safety. § 87(2)(b) stated that he tried to get up at some point but was unable to do so. He denied resisting being handcuffed but, as noted above, stated that he did not wish to be handcuffed. § 87(2)(b) stated that he was placed into an ambulance at the end of the incident, where he refused to sit down. § 87(2)(b) reported that he was bleeding from his right eyebrow, left elbow, and from the right side of his waist. He also reported that he suffered a cracked ninth rib on his left side [04 Board Review].

§ 87(2)(b) stated that officers slammed § 87(2)(b) to the ground and then kicked him in his ribs a couple of times. He also alleged that an officer placed his hand onto § 87(2)(b)'s ribs and then transitioned to placing his knee on § 87(2)(b)'s ribs. § 87(2)(b) made no mention of any officer punching § 87(2)(b) striking him with an object, striking his elbow into the ground, or pressing his head into the ground [06 Board Review].



§ 87(2)(b) provided a diagnostic imaging report from § 87(2)(b) which detailed an X-ray which found a suspected non-displaced fracture of the lateral ninth rib. § 87(2)(b) provided only one of the two pages of this report [See Privileged Medical Documents]. Medical documents from § 87(2)(b) were not available at the time of this report. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

PO Swift was the only officer to acknowledge placing his bodyweight on § 87(2)(b)'s upper body in any way. Specifically, he testified that § 87(2)(b) held his right arm under his chest as PO Swift attempted to pull it free. PO Swift revealed that he leaned his shoulder into § 87(2)(b)'s body as he attempted to pull § 87(2)(b)'s right arm out from under § 87(2)(b)'s body, and that he did this to control § 87(2)(b)'s movement. Lt. Israilov stated that he placed his weight, via his hands, on § 87(2)(b)'s legs during the struggle in order to control his movement. PO McDonnell and PO Connolly stated that the only force used to handcuff § 87(2)(b) was pulling on his arms. The officers universally denied striking § 87(2)(b) with an object, punching or kicking § 87(2)(b), striking § 87(2)(b)'s elbow against the sidewalk, pressing his head into the ground, placing a knee on § 87(2)(b)'s torso, or causing him to bear their weight in any way.

PO McDonnell testified that he observed an abrasion to § 87(2)(b)'s elbow, which he documented on the AIDED report. He believed this injury to be consistent with a struggle with someone who resisted arrest and maintained that no officer intentionally struck § 87(2)(b)'s arm against the ground. PO McDonnell stated that he observed no injuries to § 87(2)(b)'s head. Lt. Israilov stated that § 87(2)(b) suffered scrapes during the incident but did not remember the location of said injuries. PO Swift denied observing any injuries to § 87(2)(b)'s person, and PO Connolly made no mention of any injury to § 87(2)(b) [12-15, 20 Board Review].

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

**Allegation I – Force: PO Douglas Connolly used physical force against § 87(2)(b)**

§ 87(2)(b) stated that he was rear handcuffed at some point during the struggle, and that he was then flipped on to his back. According to § 87(2)(b) an officer then placed his foot in the center of § 87(2)(b)'s chest. § 87(2)(b) initially stated that he did not know which officer did this, but moments later he stated that he believed that the officer who did so was taller than the other officers. The officer allegedly kept his foot on § 87(2)(b)'s chest until § 87(2)(b) asked if he could get up. The officers reportedly told § 87(2)(b) that he could sit down, and they lifted him to a seated position [05 Board Review].

§ 87(2)(b) made no mention of an officer placing a foot on his chest during his statement to Intake. § 87(2)(b) did not allege that any officer placed his foot on § 87(2)(b)'s chest [04, 06 Board Review].

PO Connolly stated that there was no need to control § 87(2)(b)'s movement after he was handcuffed. He denied that he or any other officer placed a foot on § 87(2)(b)'s chest after he was handcuffed. Lt. Israilov, PO Swift, and PO McDonnell denied that any officer placed his foot on § 87(2)(b)'s chest. PO Swift also clarified that § 87(2)(b) never lay on his back after being handcuffed [12-15 Board Review].

§ 87(2)(g)

Squad: 1

Investigator: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Print Date

Squad Leader: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date

