

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Miriam Aranoff	Team: Team # 5	CCRB Case #: 200715195	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday, 10/12/2007 7:50 AM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED]		Precinct: 44	18 Mo. SOL 4/12/2009	EO SOL 4/12/2009
Date/Time CV Reported Thu, 10/18/2007 11:14 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Thu, 10/18/2007 11:14 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Witness(es)	Home Address
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. Officers			
2. CPT Lorenzo Johnson	00000	894081	VED BX

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. DT3 Alfred Santersiro	05194	919676	NARCBBX
2. SGT Edward Wynne	01781	915029	NARCBBX

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.CPT Lorenzo Johnson	Abuse: CPT Lorenzo Johnosn supervised the entry and search of § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED], in the Bronx.	[REDACTED]
B. Officers	Abuse: Officers drew their guns.	[REDACTED]

## Synopsis

On October 12, 2007, at approximately 7:50 AM, at § 87(2)(b), in the Bronx, within the confines of the 44<sup>th</sup> Precinct, about seven to eight officers entered § 87(2)(b) in which § 87(2)(b) son § 87(2)(b)-old daughter § 87(2)(b) were occupants. § 87(2)(b) the resident of the apartment was not present. The officers had their guns drawn, handcuffed the adults, asked for an individual named “§ 87(2)(b),” and searched the bedrooms and closets of the apartment. The incident file report lists Captain Miriam Lorenzo as the entry supervisor and Sergeant Edward Wynne as the executing supervisor. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

On October 18, 2007, § 87(2)(b) called the CCRB to file a complaint on behalf of § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) was not present during the incident. § 87(2)(b) initially placed the call but gave § 87(2)(b) the phone to supply the narrative. § 87(2)(b) said that § 87(2)(b) could be reached on § 87(2)(b)'s phone. Between October 24, 2007 and October 31, 2007, Investigator Aranoff called § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) three times and left a voicemail each time. On October 24, 2007, Investigator Aranoff sent § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) please call letters to the addresses provided by § 87(2)(b). On November 1, 2007, Investigator Aranoff received the results for a Lexis Nexis search for § 87(2)(b). A Lexis Nexis search was required because Investigator Aranoff could not reach § 87(2)(b) through § 87(2)(b)'s phone number. The results provided two Bronx addresses and one Maryland phone number and address. On November 1, 2007, Investigator Aranoff called § 87(2)(b) and left a voicemail. On November 2, 2007, Investigator Aranoff called § 87(2)(b), and § 87(2)(b) left a voicemail, and sent final please call letters to § 87(2)(b) and to all of the addresses that Lexis Nexis provided for § 87(2)(b). Investigator Aranoff then called § 87(2)(b) at a Maryland telephone number and left a message. Later that day, Investigator Aranoff spoke with § 87(2)(b) who provided another number for § 87(2)(b) and confirmed that § 87(2)(b) was a resident of New York. Investigator Aranoff then called § 87(2)(b)'s number which was provided by § 87(2)(b) but the number was disconnected. Later in the day, § 87(2)(b) left a voicemail but then reached Investigator Aranoff in the afternoon. Investigator Aranoff scheduled an appointment for November 13, 2007. On November 9, 2007, Investigator Aranoff received results from a Verizon subpoena that they do not have any phone numbers for the addresses that Lexis Nexis provided for § 87(2)(b). On November 13, 2007, § 87(2)(b) missed her appointment. On November 14, 2007, Investigator Aranoff sent § 87(2)(b) a missed appointment letter, called § 87(2)(b) at the number provided by § 87(2)(b) and left a voicemail on that number saying that if § 87(2)(b) does not call back, the investigator will be forced to close the case without conducting a full investigation. This number which was previously disconnected was working. No letters had returned to Investigator Aranoff. Investigator Aranoff also called § 87(2)(b)'s workplace and her supervisor said to call back on November 15, 2007. On November 15, 2007, Investigator Aranoff called § 87(2)(b) and left a message on her supervisor's voicemail and at her home. A search on the NYC Department of Correction Inmate Lookup Service, conducted November 21, 2007, revealed that neither § 87(2)(b) is incarcerated. § 87(2)(g)

Investigator:

Date:

Supervisor:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date: