CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

| Investigator: | | Team: | CCRB Case #: | Г | Force | $\overline{}$ | Discourt. | |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------|---|-------------|---------------|--------------|------------|
| | | | 201903565 | - | | | | _ |
| Madeleine Ayer | | Squad #13 | 201903363 | | Abuse | | O.L. | ☐ Injury |
| Incident Date(s) | | Location of Incident: | • | F | Precinct: | 18 | Mo. SOL | EO SOL |
| Tuesday, 01/01/2019 5:25 PM | | 1472 Inwood Avenue | | | 44 | 7 | 7/1/2020 | 2/15/2021 |
| Date/Time CV Reported | | CV Reported At: | How CV Reported: | | Date/Time | Rec | eived at CCI | RB |
| Sat, 04/13/2019 2:06 PM | | IAB | Phone | | Fri, 04/26/ | 2019 | 11:16 AM | |
| Complainant/Victim | Туре | Home Addre | ess | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Witness(es) | | Home Addre | ess | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Subject Officer(s) | Shield | TaxID | Command | | | | | |
| 1. POM Kenny Ortiz | 20334 | 959021 | 044 PCT | | | | | |
| 2. POM Roberto Vargas | 04043 | 954392 | 044 PCT | | | | | |
| 3. POM Ariel Gonzalez | 21041 | 958646 | 044 PCT | | | | | |
| 4. An officer | | | | | | | | |
| Witness Officer(s) | Shield N | o Tax No | Cmd Name | | | | | |
| 1. POM Jeyson Bisono | 12353 | 960252 | 044 PCT | | | | | |
| 2. POM Julio Nunezcompres | 23506 | 959852 | CW T TF | | | | | |
| 3. POF Nicole Spinelli | 12688 | 961320 | 044 PCT | | | | | |
| Officer(s) | Allegatio | on | | | Inve | stiga | ator Recon | nmendation |
| A.POM Roberto Vargas | Abuse: Police Officer Roberto Vargas searched the vehicle in which ser(2)(b) was an occupant. | | | | | | | |
| B.POM Kenny Ortiz | Abuse: Police Officer Kenny Ortiz searched the vehicle in which \$87(2)(b) was an occupant. | | | | | | | |
| C.POM Ariel Gonzalez | Abuse: Police Officer Ariel Gonzalez searched the vehicle in which §87(2)(b) was an occupant. | | | | | | | |
| D. An officer | Abuse: An officer searched the vehicle in which was an occupant. | | | | | | | |

Case Summary

filed this complaint with IAB by phone. The CCRB

received this complaint on April 26, 2019. On January 1, 2019, at approximately 5:25 p.m., \$87(2)(b) was driving an SUV on Inwood Avenue in the Bronx, while his friend, § 87(2)(b) was standing on the SUV's running board. In front of 1472 Inwood Avenue, Police Officers Roberto Vargas and Kenny Ortiz, both of the 44th Precinct, pulled over §87(2)(b) Police Officer Ariel Gonzalez and Police Officer Jeyson Bisono, both of the 44th Precinct, and additional officers also arrived. PO Vargas, PO Ortiz, PO Gonzalez, and an unidentified officer searched the vehicle § 87(2)(b) been driving (Allegations A-B – Abuse of Authority: Vehicle Search, §87(2)(9) Allegation C - Abuse of Authority: Vehicle Search, § 87(2)(9) Allegation D $\overline{}$ Abuse of Authority: Vehicle Search, § 87(2)(9) § 87(2)(b) was arrested for § 87(2)(b) , and § 87(2)(b) was summonsed for 87(2)(b)

Several officers' Body Worn Camera (BWC) footage was obtained by the investigation. The videos are attached to IAs #45-52 and summarized in IA #110 (**Board Review 01**). There is no other video evidence in this case.

Findings and Recommendations

| Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Roberto Vargas searched the vehicle in |
|--|
| which §87(2)(b) was an occupant. |
| Allegation B – Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Kenny Ortiz searched the vehicle in which |
| was an occupant. |
| Allegation C – Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Ariel Gonzalez searched the vehicle in |
| which §87(2)(b) was an occupant. |
| Allegation D – Abuse of Authority: An officer searched the vehicle in which |
| was an occupant. |
| testified that he was driving a Nissan Pathfinder that belonged to his brother, |
| along Inwood Avenue. The vehicle had a dead engine. As § 87(2)(b) |
| steered the vehicle toward a parking spot nearby, §87(2)(b) and §87(2)(b) pushed |
| the vehicle from behind. § 87(2)(b) was pulled over by PO Vargas and PO Ortiz in front of |
| 14/2 Inwood Avenue. \$87(2)(6) had not realized at the time he was pulled over, but later |
| learned, that \$87(2)(b) had walked across the street and \$87(2)(b) had been standing |
| on the vehicle's running board (the narrow step fitted under the doors). §87(2)(b) exited |
| his vehicle and explained what the civilians were doing to PO Vargas and PO Ortiz. He and |
| were promptly handcuffed and placed in the back of the police vehicle. PO Vargas |
| radioed for backup and, after several minutes, about six additional officers arrived in two or three |
| cars. From the back of the police vehicle, \$87(2)(b) saw PO Vargas, PO Ortiz, and two of |
| the officers who had just arrived lean into the front and back seats of \$87(2)(b) s vehicle |
| and look around its interior. §87(2)(b) was transported from the scene, but later learned |
| that the vehicle had been released into §87(2)(b) s custody (Board Review 02). |
| |
| § 87(2)(g) |
| testified that only two officers who were |

CCRB Case # 201903565

On April 13, 2019, § 87(2)(b)

neither PO Vargas nor PO Ortiz searched the vehicle, including the front and backseats, but not the trunk. Either PO Vargas or PO Ortiz told him to take the vehicle (**Board Review 03**). Ser(2)(b) testified that he did not witness the vehicle search, though Ser(2)(b) later told him about it (**Board Review 04**).

PO Vargas and PO Ortiz's BWC footage capture the entire time they were present during this incident (Board Review 05-06, Board Review 07). In part two of PO Vargas' BWC footage (Board Review 06), (Board Review 07), (Board Review 08), (Board

PO Vargas and PO Ortiz testified that they pulled over § 87(2)(b) because they saw him run a red light and because \$87(2)(b) was "hanging off" the passenger-side running board, with his hands on the vehicle's roof rack. PO Vargas testified that when he stopped the vehicle, he for reckless driving. Shortly after pulling him over, PO intended to arrest § 87(2)(b) Vargas and PO Ortiz handcuffed § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) Either PO Vargas or PO Ortiz called for backup in case they needed someone to take the vehicle back to the stationhouse. and PO Gonzalez and his unidentified partner arrived shortly thereafter. Another police vehicle drove past the scene and stopped. Two officers got out of that vehicle, one of whom was PO Bisono, and approached the scene. §87(2)(b) also appeared at some point during the vehicle stop. PO Vargas and PO Ortiz testified they left the vehicle with \$87(2)(b) that it was never taken back to the stationhouse. Neither PO Vargas nor PO Ortiz recalled if the vehicle was searched. When presented with part two of his BWC footage, PO Vargas testified that he said, "If you want to check the car real quick," because he wanted an officer to check the vehicle to see if there was anything that was "not supposed to be there" before handing it over to \$87(2)(5) I though he testified that he did not have any reason to believe the vehicle contained contraband. PO Vargas did not know to whom he addressed the comment, though he said it might have been PO Gonzalez (Board Review 09, Board Review 10).

PO Gonzalez did not recall this incident. He identified himself as the officer visible in PO Vargas's BWC footage searching the vehicle, but said he still did not have an independent recollection of the incident. However, PO Gonzalez testified that it is procedure to search a vehicle after an arrest, even if that vehicle is not brought back to the stationhouse (**Board Review 11**).

The "automobile exception" to the warrant requirement of the Fourth Amendment permits a warrantless search of a vehicle if there is probable cause to believe that the vehicle contains evidence or contraband (<u>People v. Galak</u>, 81 N.Y.2d 463, 1993) (**Board Review 12**). In addition, whenever any property comes into police custody, an inventory search is to be conducted. If the contents to be inventoried are in a vehicle, that vehicle is subject to a search (NYPD Patrol Guide, Section 218-13) (**Board Review 13**).

§ 87(2)(g

| 2)(g) | |
|-------|---|
| 2)(g) | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
|)(g) | |
| | |
| | |
| | · |
| • | Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories This is the first CCRB complaint to which \$87(2)(b) has been a party (Board Review 14). |
| | PO Vargas has been a member of the NYPD for seven years. He has been a subject in twadditional complaints, totaling two allegations, neither of which were substantiated. |
| | PO Ortiz has been a member of the NYPD for four years. He has been a subject in six additional complaints, totaling eight allegations, two of which were substantiated. |
| | Case 201804152 involved a substantiated allegation of verbal discourtesy agains PO Ortiz. The Board recommended Command Discipline A, which the NYPD imposed. |
| | Case 201900058 involved a substantiated allegation of refusal to obtain medical treatment against PO Ortiz. The Board recommended Command Discipline A. T. NYPD has not yet imposed discipline. |
| | PO Gonzalez has been a member of the NYPD for four years. This is the first complaint which he has been a subject. |
| | Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories |
| | declined to mediate this complaint. As of February 13, 2020, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of a Notice of Claim being filed regarding this incident (Board Review 15). |

| Squad No.: | 13 | | |
|-----------------|-----------|--|------|
| Investigator: | Signature | Inv. Madeleine Ayer Print Title & Name | Date |
| Squad Leader: _ | Signature | Print Title & Name | Date |
| Reviewer: | Signature | Print Title & Name | Date |