## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Emma Stydahar		Squad #13	202004644	☑ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Thursday, 06/04/2020 7:24 PM		Johnson Street and Gol	d Street	84	12/4/2021	5/4/2022
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Tim	e Received at CCF	RB
Fri, 06/05/2020 4:08 PM		CCRB	Call Processing System	Fri, 06/05	5/2020 4:08 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Туре	Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Mohammed Uddin	14787	964323	BX CT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM Philip Clottin	15012	954647	084 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inv	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.POM Mohammed Uddin	Abuse: Police Officer Mohammed Uddin refused to provide his name to §87(2)(b)					
B.POM Mohammed Uddin	Abuse: Police Officer Mohammed Uddin refused to provide his shield number to §87(2)(b)					

## **Case Summary**

On June 5, 2020, \$87(2)(6) filed this complaint via the CCRB's call processing system.

On June 4, 2020, at 7:21pm, on Johnson Street and Gold Street in Brooklyn, 37(2)(b) who was on his way to a police brutality protest at the Barclay's Center, began filming Police Officer Mohammad Uddin of the Bronx Court section, who was standing behind a barricade in front of the 84<sup>th</sup> Precinct stationhouse dressed in uniform, but not wearing his name plate or precinct pin, and his shield number was covered by a black "mourning band." 387(2)(b) asked PO Uddin why he did not have a visible shield number, name plate, or precinct pin. PO Uddin stated that those items fell off (Allegations A and B: Abuse of Authority, 387(2)(9)). No arrest or summons resulted from this incident.

The Body Worn Camera ("BWC") footage request for this incident was returned negative. The nature of the incident did not require the use of BWC as per the Patrol Guide. [S87(2)(b)] recorded this incident on his cell phone and he provided the video to the investigation. The footage is attached to IA # 20 and summarized in IA # 22 (Board Review 01; Board Review 02).

## **Findings and Recommendations**

Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Mohammed Uddin refused to provide his name to \$37(2)(b)

Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Mohammed Uddin refused to provide his shield number to § 87(2)(b)

Both \$57(2)(b) and PO Uddin testified that the extent of their interaction was captured on the cell phone video recorded by \$57(2)(b) and both of their CCRB statements were consistent with the video (Board Review 03; Board Review 04). The 41-second video depicts \$57(2)(b) approaching PO Uddin and asking, "Who are you with?" twice, to which PO Uddin responds, "What do you mean?" \$57(2)(b) says, "I mean, you have no badge number, no name tag, no precinct pin." PO Uddin points his finger toward his left [in the direction of the 84th Precinct stationhouse], and says, "The precinct's right here." \$57(2)(b) says, "But why do you have no badge number, no name tag, no precinct pin?" to which PO Uddin replies, "It fell off." \$57(2)(b) comments that he has noticed a trend of officers without visible identifying information on their uniforms, to which PO Uddin responds, "We were running around, been busy." \$57(2)(b) says, "The Attorney General is going to love this, believe me," and walks away (Board Review 01).

Upon reviewing the video during his CCRB interview, which depicts his shield number covered by a mourning band, PO Uddin said he did not believe he had been intentionally trying to cover his shield number, and that mourning bands are not supposed to cover the shield number. He deduced that on the incident date, his mourning band may have shifted downward from the correct position above his shield number if he brushed up against something, though he was unsure if this happened. Following this incident, PO Uddin said he inspected his uniform and realized that his name plate was in fact missing. PO Uddin did not know why his name plate was missing – it may have fallen off, or he may have forgotten to replace it, because at the time of the incident, there were extended tours and no regular days off. PO Uddin kept several uniform shirts at the stationhouse because he did not have time to do laundry, which made it hard for him to keep track of his uniform.

PO Uddin did not interpret \$87(2)(b) referring to his name and shield number not being displayed on his uniform as a request to provide that information. PO Uddin did not believe \$87(2)(b) asked him for his name or shield number during this incident. PO Uddin did not believe that his behavior constituted a refusal to provide that information to \$87(2)(b) (Board Review 04).

Officers must courteously and clearly state their rank, name, shield number, and command, or

otherwise provide that information, to anyone who requests they do so. <u>NYPD Patrol Guide</u>, Procedure 203-09 (**Board Review 05**).

§ 87(2)(g)			
● § 87(2)(b)	<u>Civilian a</u>	and Officer CCRB Histories	
		member of the NYPD for three aint, comprised of one allegation	•
<ul><li>This case</li><li>As of Sep City Com</li><li>According</li></ul>	was not suitable for motember 22, 2020, \$57(2) aptroller's Office regard	has not filed a Notice of ding this incident ( <b>Board Revie</b> art Administration (OCA), \$87(2)(6)	f Claim with the New York ew <b>07</b> ).
Squad No.:	13		
Investigator: <u>In</u>	v. Emma Stydahar Signature	Inv. Emma Stydahar Print Title & Name	09/22/2020 Date
Squad Leader: II	M Laura Kastner Signature	IM Laura Kastner Print Title & Name	09/25/2020 Date