CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force		Discourt.	U.S.
Rolando Vasquez		Squad #8	201502592	☑ Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct	:: 18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Tuesday, 03/03/2015 10:15 AM		§ 87(2)(b)		30	ç	0/3/2016	9/3/2016
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/T	ime Rec	eived at CCI	RB
Mon, 04/06/2015 4:35 PM		CCRB	Phone	Mon, 0	4/06/201	15 4:35 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess				
Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command				
1. POM George Layng	06732	911985	030 PCT				
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name				
1. POM Michael Roma	10677	953333	030 PCT				
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		I	nvestiga	ator Recon	nmendation
A.POM George Layng	Abuse: P	Abuse: PO George Layng refused to provide his shield number to \$87(2)(b)					

Case Summary

On March 3, 2015, at approximately 10:15 a.m., §87(2)(b)	went to a Duane
Reade pharmacy at 3387 Broadway, Manhattan to pick up a prescription. A dispu	ute ensued
between \$87(2)(b) and the pharmacist when she refused to provide him with	his medication.
The pharmacist called 911 and PO George Layng and PO Michael Roma arrived	on the scene.
The officers spoke with \$87(2)(b) and the pharmacist. PO Layng eventually	asked §
to leave the store. Outside the store, \$87(2)(b) asked PO Layng for	
number. PO Layng did not provide his shield number and instead said to \$87(2)(b)	"Call the
precinct and get it" (Allegation A). The officers then left. No summons was issued	ed and no arrest
was made.	

Mediation, Criminal, and Civil Histories

- This case was eligible for mediation and it was offered to \$87(2)(b) during his initial intake call and during his in-person interview at the CCRB. \$87(2)(b) declined mediation \$87(2)(b)
- On June 2, 2015, a notice of claim request was filed and will be added to the case file upon receipt.
- [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the first complaint filed by \$87(2)(b) (Board Review 01).
- PO Laying has been a member of the service for 21 years, has had four previous CCRB allegations none of which were substantiated. [837(2)(9)]

 (Board Review 08).

Identification of Subject Officers

described the subject officer as a white male, mid-late 40s, standing at least 5'8" tall or a little taller, medium build, with receding brown hair/semi-bald, and wearing a uniform. PO Layng described himself in the Officer Interview Sheet as a \$37(2)(5) old white male, 6'0" tall, 185 pounds, with brown hair and blue eyes.

observed that the officers got into marked squad car number $4656 - 30^{th}$ Precinct. The 30^{th} Precinct Daily Vehicle Assignment Sheet on the day of the incident indicates that this car was assigned to patrol in sector AB (Board Review 02).

The event report for this incident specified that the primary responding unit was 30A-2 (Board Review 03). The 30th Precinct Roll Call on the day of the incident showed that PO Layng and PO Michael Roma were assigned to patrol in sector AB on the day of the incident (Board Review 04).

PO Laying lost his memo book and prepared a 61 regarding this. PO Laying notified IAB about his lost memo book. PO Roma had an entry in his memo book regarding this incident in which he noted that \$887(2)(b) asked PO Laying for his name (Board Review 05).

Page 2 CCRB Case # 201502592

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation Not Pleaded

During his in-person interview at the CCRB on April 14, 2015, \$87(2)(b) said that he went to the 30 th Precinct stationhouse to file a complaint against the officer who refused to provide his shield number. The officer who assisted \$87(2)(b) at the stationhouse told him that he had to call the CCRB to file a complaint. \$887(2)(b) was asked during his interview if
he was satisfied with this response. §87(2)(b) indicated that he was satisfied and that he did
not want to file a complaint against the officer at the stationhouse. § 87(2)(9)
<u>Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: PO George Layng refused to provide his shield number</u>
<u>to</u> § 87(2)(b)
During his in-person interview, \$87(2)(b) acknowledged engaging in a dispute with a Duane Reade employee over prescription medicine (Board Review 09). When the officers responded, they did not resolve the dispute to his satisfaction and he left the store upon request to avoid further conflict. \$87(2)(b) stated that he asked for PO Layng's shield number after exiting the Duane Reade store. \$87(2)(b) alleged that PO Layng heard this request because he turned around and said, "Call the precinct and get it." \$87(2)(b) alleged that PO Layng never provided him with his shield number. He had asked for this information so that he could include it in his complaint against Duane Reade. \$87(2)(b) testified that nobody was in the vicinity outside of the store to hear the interaction that occurred. The pharmacist involved in the dispute with \$87(2)(b) and the store manager, \$87(2)(b) were both interviewed by phone (Board Review 10, Board Review 11). Neither witnessed what occurred outside of the store after \$7(2)(b) and the officers left.
PO Layng could not recall \$87(2)(6) asking him for his shield number (Board Review 12). PO Layng could not recall ever providing his name or shield number to \$87(2)(6)
PO Roma's memo book noted that he responded to a call for threats made at the incident location. PO Roma noted that the call was changed to a dispute between an employee and a customer regarding a prescription. The store wanted the customer to leave. The customer left the store and asked PO Layng for his name (Board Review 05). During his interview at the CCRB, PO Roma testified that PO Layng verbally stated his name in response to this request (Board Review 07). PO Roma did not remember if PO Layng ever said, "Call the precinct and get it." PO Roma had a clear recollection of this incident but could not recall this specific aspect about calling the precinct. PO Roma did not know if \$87(2)(5) provided any acknowledgement that he had received PO Layng's name.
Patrol Guide rule 203-09 states that officers must "courteously and clearly state your rank, name, shield, and command, or otherwise provide them, to anyone who requests you to do so. Allow the person ample time to note this information" (Board Review 13). [837(2)(9)
o - t visi -

Page 3 CCRB Case # 201502592

§ 87(2)(g)			
Pod: 8			
T			
Investigator:	D.:	Data	
Signature	Print	Date	
Supervisor:			
Title/Signature	Print	Date	
Title, Signature	11111	Duce	
Reviewer:			
Title/Signature	Print	Date	
_			
Reviewer:			
Title/Signature	Print	Date	