

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Kelly Lyon	Team: Squad #10	CCRB Case #: 201904820	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Monday, 05/27/2019 11:54 PM	Location of Incident: Kings Highway and Glenwood Road	Precinct: 63	18 Mo. SOL 11/27/2020	EO SOL 7/14/2021	
Date/Time CV Reported Tue, 05/28/2019 12:21 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Tue, 06/04/2019 11:19 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Peter Provenzano	02084	963703	063 PCT
2. DI Jesse Lance	00000	923789	H BKLYN
3. POM Christophe Schlitt	11229	952221	063 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. CPT Khandakar Abdullah	00000	942924	069 PCT
2. SGT Christophe Muller	04155	925795	063 PCT
3. POF Annette Grant	29111	939650	063 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A. DI Jesse Lance	Abuse: Deputy Inspector Jesse Lance stopped the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were occupants.	
B. DI Jesse Lance	Abuse: Deputy Inspector Jesse Lance detained § 87(2)(b)	
C. DI Jesse Lance	Force: Deputy Inspector Jesse Lance pointed his gun at § 87(2)(b)	
D. DI Jesse Lance	Force: Deputy Inspector Jesse Lance pointed his gun at § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b)	
E. DI Jesse Lance	Abuse: Deputy Inspector Jesse Lance searched § 87(2)(b)	
F. DI Jesse Lance	Discourtesy: Deputy Inspector Jesse Lance spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	
G. DI Jesse Lance	Discourtesy: Deputy Inspector Jesse Lance spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	
H. DI Jesse Lance	Abuse: Deputy Inspector Jesse Lance threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)	
I. POM Peter Provenzano	Abuse: Police Officer Peter Provenzano searched the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were occupants.	
J. POM Christophe Schlitt	Abuse: Police Officer Christophe Schlitt searched the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were occupants.	

### **Case Summary**

On May 28, 2019, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with IAB by phone. It was received at the CCRB on June 4, 2019, under IAB log #2019-21016.

On June 17, 2019, contact was established with § 87(2)(b) stated that she wished to remain anonymous and asked to be called back. On June 28, 2019, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) stated that she wanted to withdraw the complaint for unspecified personal reasons. § 87(2)(b) only observed the incident and did not know any of the victims involved in the incident.

§ 87(2)(b) withdrew the complaint of her own free will and was not coerced to do so by any member of the NYPD or CCRB. The withdrawal decision is located in IA 18 at the 1:40 mark, and the recording was reviewed by Manager Eric Rigie to ensure its adherence with agency policy. § 87(2)(b) did not wish to provide a mailing address.

The investigation identified § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) as the victims in this complaint. On July 17, 2019, contact was established with § 87(2)(b) by phone. He confirmed his contact information and stated that he wanted to be contacted on a later date. On July 18, 2019, § 87(2)(b) provided a brief phone statement but did not schedule an interview. Between July 24, 2019, and August 2, 2019, three additional calls were placed to § 87(2)(b) and messages were left. Between July 31, 2019, and August 8, 2019, two letters and two email were sent to § 87(2)(b)s confirmed addresses, none of which were returned as undeliverable.

During his phone statement, § 87(2)(b) named his girlfriend, § 87(2)(b) as a possible additional victim and provided her phone number. On October 11, 2019, a call was placed to the number provided by § 87(2)(b). A female who identified herself by first name as § 87(2)(b) answered the phone and stated that she did not know § 87(2)(b) and was not aware of any incidents involving the NYPD on May 27, 2019, or May 28, 2019.

On September 5, 2019, contact was established with § 87(2)(b) by phone. § 87(2)(b) provided a brief phone statement, confirmed his contact information, stated he did not have a mailing address, and asked to be called back on a later date. On September 6, 2019, § 87(2)(b) scheduled an interview for September 11, 2019. On September 11, 2019, § 87(2)(b) failed to appear for his interview and did not all ahead to cancel or reschedule. Between September 13, 2019, and October 22, 2019, four additional calls were placed to § 87(2)(b) picked up two of the calls but was unable to speak or reschedule his interview. Between August 19, 2019, and September 6, 2019, three emails were sent to § 87(2)(b)s confirmed email address.

On November 13, 2019, searches of the New York City and New York State inmate databases showed that neither § 87(2)(b) nor § 87(2)(b) were incarcerated. Body-worn camera footage was obtained for this incident.

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

---

Squad No.: 10

Investigator: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Squad Leader: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Reviewer:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print Title & Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date