

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Kristen Lanham-Hostetter	Team: Team # 1	CCRB Case #: 201305122	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Saturday, 06/01/2013 12:23 AM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 28	18 Mo. SOL 12/1/2014	EO SOL 12/1/2014	
Date/Time CV Reported Sat, 06/01/2013 12:00 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 06/10/2013 11:05 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Justin Hartigan	01007	933835	028 PCT
2. POM Paul Scott	16416	942527	028 PCT
3. POM Ian Abramowitz	00891	941307	028 PCT
4. POF Ashley Ricci	10013	946158	028 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Paul Scott	Force: PO Paul Scott used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM Ian Abramowitz	Force: PO Ian Abramowitz used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
C.POF Ashley Ricci	Force: PO Ashley Ricci used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
D.POM Justin Hartigan	Force: PO Justin Hartigan used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	

### Case Summary

On June 12, 2013, the undersigned investigator conducted a search via the Department of Corrections inmate locator, which showed that § 87(2)(b) was incarcerated on § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b). The same day, the investigator placed a call to § 87(2)(b) lawyer, § 87(2)(b) and left a voicemail asking for permission to interview § 87(2)(b). On June 12, 2013, the investigator received a voicemail from § 87(2)(b) asking the investigator not to obtain any statements from § 87(2)(b) for the time being, as statements provided to the CCRB could be used in court. The same day, the investigator returned § 87(2)(b) call and left a voicemail asking for what frame of time § 87(2)(b) would not allow § 87(2)(b) to provide a statement, and explaining that if it was a lengthy period of time, § 87(2)(b) case would be closed and that he could later ask to have it reopened. On June 17, 2013, the investigator received a voicemail from § 87(2)(b) explaining that § 87(2)(b) would not be able to provide a statement to the CCRB for a long period of time, and that she would let him know that he could ask to have his CCRB case reopened once his criminal case was resolved.

Since § 87(2)(b) is currently incarcerated for charges related to this incident, and since his lawyer does not provide permission for him to participate in a CCRB investigation, § 87(2)(g)

---

Team: 1

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print	Date
Supervisor:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date