CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	Ιп	Force	V	Discourt	U.S.
		Squad #9	201710310	1	Abuse	_	O.L.	_
Miriam Lynch		Squau #9	201710310		Abuse		U.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	P	Precinct:	18 N	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Wednesday, 12/06/2017 9:00 PM		Opposite of 854 Myrt	le Avenue		79	6/	6/2019	6/6/2019
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	:	Date/Time	e Recei	ved at CC	RB
Wed, 12/06/2017 9:30 PM		IAB	Call Processing System		Wed, 12/1	3/2017	12:27 PN	Л
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addr	ess					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. POM Anthony Bomparola	15054	955761	079 PCT					
2. POM Mohamed Elhanafi	15082	955897	079 PCT					
3. POM Moses Lebron	09546	956831	079 PCT					
4. POM Clint Elie	07909	955899	079 PCT					
Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	o Tax No	Cmd Name					
1. POM Greydy Mercedes	09619	956926	079 PCT					
2. POM James Lester	942	956839	079 PCT					
3. POM Devin Fitzgerald	12999	955919	079 PCT					
Officer(s)	Allegatio	n			Inve	estigat	or Recor	nmendation
A.POM Anthony Bomparola		olice Officer Anthony which § 87(2)(b)	Bomparola stopped t was an occupant.	he				
B.POM Anthony Bomparola		esy: Police Officer Ant	hony Bomparola spo	ke				
C.POM Anthony Bomparola	Abuse: Po	olice Officer Anthony	Bomparola frisked § 8	87(2)(b)			
D.POM Anthony Bomparola	Abuse: Po	olice Officer Anthony	Bomparola searched	§ 87(2)(b)			
E.POM Anthony Bomparola		olice Officer Anthony which § 87(2)(b)	Bomparola searched was an occupant.	the				
F.POM Moses Lebron	Abuse: Powhich § 87	olice Officer Moses Le		hicle	e in			
G.POM Anthony Bomparola		esy: Police Officer Ant ously to § 87(2)(b)	hony Bomparola spo	ke				
H.POM Mohamed Elhanafi		esy: Police Officer Mol ously to § 87(2)(b)	hamed Elhanafi spok	e				
I.POM Mohamed Elhanafi		esy: Police Officer Mol ously to § 87(2)(b)	hamed Elhanafi spok	e				
J.POM Mohamed Elhanafi	Discourte discourte	esy: Police Officer Mol ously to § 87(2)(b)	hamed Elhanafi spok	e				

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
K.POM Mohamed Elhanafi	Abuse: Police Officer Mohamed Elhanafi threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b)	
L.POM Mohamed Elhanafi	Abuse: Police Officer Mohamed Elhanafi threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b)	
M.POM Mohamed Elhanafi	Abuse: Police Officer Mohamed Elhanafi threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b)	
N.POM Mohamed Elhanafi	Abuse: Police Officer Mohamed Elhanafi threatened to remove § 87(2)(b) to the hospital.	
O.POM Clint Elie	Abuse: Police Officer Clint Elie refused to provide his name and shield number to \$87(2)(b)	
§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)		

Case Summary

On December 6, 2017, at approximately 9:00 p.m. PO Anthony Bomparola and PO Moses
Lebron of the 79 th Precinct stopped \$87(2)(b) in his vehicle across the street from 854 Myrtle
Avenue in Brooklyn for tinted windows (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(9)
upon being stopped, furnished PO Bomparola with the Department of Correction
identification of his late wife, \$87(2)(b) . Upon seeing this identification, PO Bomparola
ordered \$87(2)(b) out of the vehicle, allegedly saying to "get the fuck out" of his car (Allegation
B: Discourtesy, \$87(2)(g) frisked him (Allegation C: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(g)
and allegedly searched him (Allegation D: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(g) He also
allegedly stated of and about \$87(2)(b) "Come over here and check this shit out," that he did not
know "what the fuck" \$87(2)(6) was doing with a correction shield, and that he would not call a
"fucking sergeant" (Under Allegation B: Discourtesy, § 87(2)(g)
'C IDOD I dad a la calla da la
informed PO Bomparola that documentation allowing him to carry the shield was in
the trunk of the car, and PO Bomparola searched the main body of his car (Allegation E: Abuse
of Authority, \$87(2)(8) During this search, \$87(2)(6) asked PO Bomparola if he needed
permission to search his vehicle. PO Bomparola then searched the trunk of \$87(2)(6) s vehicle,
and PO Lebron examined the contents of the trunk (Allegation F: Abuse of Authority,
During this investigation, PO Lester and PO Fitzgerald, also of the 79 th Precinct,
briefly appeared on scene, and then left. Later, PO Clint Elie, PO Mohamed Elhanafi, and PO
Greydy Mercedes, all of the 79 th Precinct arrived on scene to assist with the stop.
As the investigation of \$87(2)(b) was occurring, \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b)
all residents of the neighborhood, stood across the street, in front of 854 Myrtle Avenue,
and asked the officers present if they were legally detaining \$87(2)(b) and searching his car. PO
Bomparola allegedly told \$87(2)(b) to get her "ass" onto her side of the street, and referred
to her walking as "waddling" (Allegation G: Discourtesy, \$87(2)(9) PO Elhanafi allegedly
told all of the bystanders present that he would, "put cuffs on [their] asses," "arrest all," "fucking
lock [their] asses up." He also allegedly stated, "I don't care what the fuck you think you are, get
the fuck out of here, or we'll arrest your asses too," and used the word "damn" (Allegations H-J:
Discourtesy, \$87(2)(9) Allegations K-M: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(9) He allegedly
stated that he would remove \$87(2)(b) to the hospital for psychiatric evaluation (Allegation
stated that he would remove [87(2)(0)] to the hospital for psychiatric evaluation (Allegation N: Abuse of Authority, [87(2)(0)] PO Elie allegedly refused to provide his name and shield
number to \$87(2)(b) (Allegation O: Abuse of Authority). \$87(2)(g). \$87(4-b)
PO Bomparola, PO Lebron, PO Mercedes, and PO Elhanafi were equipped with body-worn
cameras at the time of the incident. PO Elie was not equipped with a body-worn camera at the
time of the incident, and has not been equipped subsequently with a body-worn camera (Board
time of the meldent, and has not occur equipped subsequently with a body-worn camera (Board

Reviews 4, 9, 29, and 30).

was arrested for second-degree criminal impersonation of a public servant.

Page 2

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Anthony Bomparola stopped the vehicle in which 887(2)(b) was an occupant.

It is not in dispute that PO Bomparola and PO Lebron stopped the vehicle that \$87(2)(b) was driving.

stated that the windows of his vehicle have retractable sunshades. He also stated that these sunshades resemble dark window tints (Board Review 1).

PO Bomparola stated that he made the decision to stop the vehicle after he saw that the vehicle had excessive tints on its windows (Board Review 2).

<u>People v. De Bour</u> 40 N.Y. 2d 210, 1976 allows officers to stop individuals for whom they have reasonable suspicion are engaged in criminality (Board Review 3). Section 375 (12-a) of the New York State Vehicle and Traffic Law prevents window tints that prevent more than seventy percent of light from passing through the windows of the vehicle (Board Review 11).

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)	
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)	

Allegation (C): Police Officer Anthony Bomparola frisked 887(2)(b) Allegation (D): Police Officer Anthony Bomparola searched 887(2)(b)

It is undisputed that \$87(2)(b) upon being stopped by PO Bomparola, he showed PO Bomparola his late wife, \$87(2)(b) correction shield. It is not in dispute that immediately after \$87(2)(b) did this, \$87(2)(b) was ordered out of his vehicle and frisked by PO Bomaparola.

stated in his CCRB statement that PO Bomparola frisked his arms, chest, groin, waist, and legs. He also stated that PO Bomparola placed his hands in his front pockets (Board Review 1).

PO Bomparola stated in his interview that he "probably" frisked "the usual parts," which were \$87(2)(b) as a sampits, pockets, waist, and legs. When asked if he had any belief that \$1,700 was armed, PO Bomparola stated, "You mean, like a bulge or something? No." He denied that anything on \$1,87(2)(b) as person evinced that he was armed (Board Review 2). He did not recall searching \$1,97(2)(b) (Board Review 19).

PO Bomparola's BWC footage does not show whether he only frisked (Board Review 4). PO Lebron's BWC footage is similarly inconclusive (Board Review 9). PO Bomparola frisked (Board Review 9). PO Bomparola frisked (Board Review 9). at approximately 9:02 p.m., and he directed other officers to handcuff (Board Review 9). at approximately 9:31 p.m., after he had already taken a number of steps, including searching (Board Review 9). So car and calling the Department of Correction, to verify whether he was a corrections officer.



bomparolafrisk.mp4

Page 3



<u>People v. De Bour</u> 40 N.Y. 2d 210, 1976 allows officers to frisk individuals when they have a reasonable suspicion that the person is a danger to the officer by virtue of carrying a weapon (Board Review 3).

PO Bomparola denied seeing any indication on \$87(2)(b) s person that \$87(2)(b) was	S
armed. § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)	
	ì
	I
	-
	
Allegation (E) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Anthony Bomparola searched the vehic	le
in which § 87(2)(b) was an occupant.	
Allegation (F) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Moses Lebron searched the vehicle in	
which §87(2)(b) was an occupant.	
It is undisputed that shortly after \$87(2)(b) was frisked, his vehicle was searched. It is	
undisputed that \$87(2)(b) told officers, after he was ordered out of the vehicle, that there was	
Department of Correction paperwork in his trunk.	
During his phone statement, §87(2)(b) said that he initially allowed officers to go into	
his trunk, where he was holding his Department of Correction paperwork. He then revoked	
consent when PO Bomparola insisted on searching the main body of the car, and then officers	
searched his trunk (Board Review 5). During his initial in-person statement, §87(2)(b) said that	t
only PO Lebron went into the trunk of his vehicle, and only after he gave him permission to do	
so. After watching body-worn camera footage, however, §87(2)(b) stated that he revoked	
permission, and that the officers entered the trunk without his consent (Board Review 1).	
PO Bomparola stated that he went into the main body of the vehicle after frisking	
and he stated that he did so to search for weapons. He said that upon approaching the ca	r,
he could see into the car, and could see outlines of the contents of the vehicle because the	
windows were down upon PO Bomparola's approach. He said that he saw "shadows," but could	f
not describe the shape of the shadows. He said that he did not see anything in the main body of	
the vehicle that indicated that there was a firearm there. PO Bomparola said that he searched the	Э
"lungable, grabbable" area of the car, which he described as the entirety of the body of the	
vehicle: "Basically the entire inside of the car is somewhere you could lunge or grab at." He sai	
that he "probably" searched the floor, center console, and glove compartment (Board Review 2	
PO Bomparola confirmed that he searched the trunk of the vehicle after he searched the	,
main body of \$87(2)(b) s vehicle. He stated that he searched \$87(2)(b) s trunk to locate the	
documents that §87(2)(b) indicated would prove that he was a correction officer. He stated that	t
he placed § 87(2)(b) under arrest once he conducted a secondary investigation, consisting of	
phone calls to the Department of Correction, that confirmed that §87(2)(b) was not an active	
Page 4	

correction officer (Board Review 2). It was after these calls that \$87(2)(b) was handcuffed (Board Review 4). PO Bomparola stated that if his investigation had proven that \$87(2)(b) was a correction officer, then he would have released him (Board Review 2).

PO Lebron stated that he examined the contents of ser(2)(0) strunk, but he did not remember where he did so—whether it was within the confines of the trunk itself, or whether the contents he was examining had been removed. He did not have any part in initially entering the trunk.

PO Lebron asserted that he had probable cause to search the trunk once provided an identification that did not belong to him: "We had probable cause to arrest, which gave us the search of the vehicle." He indicated that stelling the officers where the documents were amounted to strong consenting to the vehicle search (Board Review 8).

PO Bomparola's body-worn camera footage showed PO Bomparola declaring to that he is about to search his car before he entered the main body of the vehicle. Ser(2)(b) said "You gotta ask permission to search my car," to which PO Bomparola responded, "I don't." PO Bomparola the front seat of the vehicle. His camera is obscured by his jacket during most of the search of the interior of the vehicle.

He then entered and searched the trunk of the vehicle. [887(2)(6)] was handcuffed over 20 minutes after this search was initiated (Board Review 4 and 6). Once PO Elhanafi, PO Mercedes, and PO Elie arrived on scene, PO Lebron removed some of the contents of the trunk to show to PO Elie (Board Review 9).







lebronsearch.mp4

For the search of the main body of the vehicle. PO Bomparola invoked the concept of a protective vehicle search, that is, one designed to remove dangerous articles from a vehicle. People v. Newman 96.A.D. 3d 34 (2012) limits a protective search of a vehicle to when officers perceive that there is a "substantial likelihood" of a weapon that poses a threat to their safety (Board Review 7). In addition, protective vehicle searches extend only to where there is a substantial likelihood that there is a weapon, and not to the entire interior body of a car.

In regards to the search of the trunk, officers may indeed search an arrested driver's vehicle without a warrant if they have probable cause, "provided there is a nexus between the arrest and the probable cause for the search" per <u>People v. Anderson</u> 104 A. D. 3d 968 (2013) (Board Review 10).

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

Page 5

He stated that the windows of the car were down, which allowed
him to see into the vehicle. Furthermore, at the time, § 87(2)(6) was not inside his vehicle, and
consequently was not within reach of any weapons that he could have used against PO
Bomparola.
*
PO Bomparola also admitted that he likely searched the entire "lungable, grabbable area"
of the car, which he defined as the whole interior of the car. He could not and did not specifically
limit his search of the car to where he thought there were weapons. Furthermore, he did not
specify where any potential weapon could be.
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
POP 1 POY 1
PO Bomparola, PO Lebron, and \$87(2)(b) all stated that \$87(2)(b) stated to the officers
that he had exculpatory evidence in his trunk, that is, documents that showed that he was a
correction officer. Both PO Bomparola and PO Lebron stated that the search of the trunk of the
vehicle was conducted to examine this evidence. § 87(2)(g)
DO Dominardo atatad that had there have avidence during the course of this investigation
PO Bomparola stated that had there been evidence during the course of this investigation
that showed that \$87(2)(b) was a correction officer, then \$87(2)(b) would have been released.
At the time that the trunk was searched, §87(2)(b) was not in handcuffs, and a supervisor had not
been contacted to verify the arrest. § 87(2)(b) was handcuffed, and the process of conclusively
taking him into custody, was initiated over 20 minutes after the search of the trunk started. PO
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
9 at (=)(=), 3 at (=)(B)
. Furthermore, PO Bomparola's BWC footage showed
retracting consent to search his car.
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
·
Allegation (B) Discourtesy: Police Officer Anthony Bomparola spoke discourteously to
§ 87(2)(b)
Allegation (G) Discourtesy: Police Officer Anthony Bomparola spoke discourteously to
§ 87(2)(b)
Allegation (H) Discourtesy: Police Officer Mohamed Elhanafi spoke discourteously to
<u> </u>
§ 87(2)(b)
Allegation (I) Discourtesy: Police Officer Mohamed Elhanafi spoke discourteously to \$87(2)(b)
Allegation (J) Discourtesy: Police Officer Mohamed Elhanafi spoke discourteously to \$87(2)(6)

Allegation (K) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Mohamed Elhanafi threatened to arrest
§ 87(2)(b)
Allegation (L) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Mohamed Elhanafi threatened to arrest
§ 87(2)(b)
Allegation (M) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Mohamed Elhanafi threatened to arrest
§ 87(2)(b)
Allegation (N) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Mohamed Elhanafi threatened to remove
to the hospital.
It is undisputed that PO Elhanafi, PO Elie, and PO Mercedes arrived on scene to assist
PO Bomparola during the vehicle stop. It is undisputed that residents of the neighborhood, \$87(2)(b)
and saw what was happening, and began speaking with
officers from across the street. It is undisputed that PO Elhanafi, PO Bomparola, and PO Elie
responded to these individuals. § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(g)
in his initial statement, said that PO Bomparola was liberally using profanity
during the incident. He claimed that PO Bomparola told him to "get the fuck out" of his vehicle,
said to other officers, "come over here and check this shit out," that he did not know "what the
fuck" 887(2)(5) was doing with a correction shield, and that he would not call a "fucking
sergeant." He stated that PO Elhanafi said that he would "put cuffs on [their] asses," "arrest
[them] all," "fucking lock [their] asses up," to the bystanders, and that he also said "I don't care
what the fuck you think you are, get the fuck out of here, or we'll arrest your assest too." He
viewed body-worn camera footage during his interview, and then denied that officers had used
profanity, but said that their demeanor had been rough, which affected his recollection of the incident (Board Review 1).
s ^{87(2)(b)} alleged that PO Bomparola told s ^{87(2)(b)} to get her "ass" onto her side
of the street, and referred to her walking as "waddling." She denied that any officer spoke
discourteously or threatened her (Board Review 12).
alleged that PO Elhanafi used the word "damn" in speaking with her and
\$87(2)(b) and threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b) and threatened to remove \$87(2)(b) to
a psychiatric hospital (Board Review 13).
also stated that PO Elhanafi said "damn" to her during the incident. She
denied other profanity, or that any officer threatened to arrest her or threatened to remove her to a
psychiatric hospital (Board Review 14).
All of the officers interviewed denied that they or their fellow officers made any of these
statements (Board Reviews 2, 8, 15, 16, 17, 19, and 20).
DOD 11 1DOEH C111 1

PO Bomparola's and PO Elhanafi's body-worn camera footage shows them speaking with both and all of the bystanders present. This footage conclusively does not show the use of any profanity towards any civilians during the incident (Board Reviews 4 and 29).



Page 7

§ 87(2)	(g)	
	(O) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Clint Elie refused to provide his number to \$87(2)(b) alleged that she asked PO Elie for his name and shield number, ar	
PO asked (Boar	provide it upon request. No other civilian repeated this allegation (Board Felie denied that he or any other officer refused to provide their information d Review 20). He was the only officer on scene at the time who was not was, and he was standing too far from the officers to be audible on their came	Review 13). n when earing a
§ 87(2)(
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(4-b), §	87(2)(g)	
• This 22).	So is the first CCRB complaint to which \$87(2)(5) has been a party (Bo	oard Review
• This	s is the first CCRB complaint to which §87(2)(b) has been a party (Bo	ard Review
	has been a party (Biew 24).	oard
§ 87(2)((b)	
prio	Bomparola has been a member of service for four years, and has been a sur complaints and six prior allegations, of which four were substantiated (B iew 26). [887(2)(g)	
	 Case 201704022 involved substantiated allegations of a vehicle stop, a search, and a frisk. The Board recommended Command Discipline A 	

	 Case 201707955 involved a si 	ubstantiated allegation of a refusa	l to provide name
		. The Board recommended comm	
•	PO Elhanafi has been a member of ser case and one allegation, which was no		
•	PO Lebron has been a member of serve case and three allegations, none of wh		
•	PO Elie has been a member of service and one allegation, which was not sub		ject in one case
		l and Criminal Histories	
•	This case was not suitable for mediations \$ 87(2)(b)	on.	
•	On April 23, 2018, the New York City civilians in this case filed a notice of c		med that no
Abuse: determinanter PC body-widocume not initiback into	alleged that PO Elie search alleged, however, that any incursion PO Elie Search orn camera footage revealed that the irents back into his trunk after PO Lebron ate or participate in the initial search of the trunk after PO Lebron showed the allegation against PO Elie.	dy opened and searched the trunk icursion consisted of PO Elie place in had pass them along to him. Because of \$100,000 are strunk, and simply page 150,000 are strunk.	s trunk occurred. Furthermore, sing \$87(2)(b) s cause PO Elie did blaced documents
Squad #	49		
Investig	sator:Signature	Print Title & Name	 Date

Print Title & Name

Date

CCRB Case # 201710310

Squad Leader: ___

Signature

Reviewer:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date