

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Arie Braizblot	Team: Team # 2	CCRB Case #: 201301842	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Thursday, 03/07/2013 2:30 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 30	18 Mo. SOL 9/7/2014	EO SOL 9/7/2014	
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 03/18/2013 3:25 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: In-person	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 03/18/2013 3:25 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Witness(es)	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Xavier Reynoso	02586	939302	030 PCT
2. SGT Scott Welsh	02882	899986	030 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Xavier Reynoso	Force: PO Xavier Reynoso used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
B.SGT Scott Welsh	Discourtesy: Sgt. Scott Welsh spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
C.SGT Scott Welsh	Abuse: Sgt. Scott Welsh refused to provide his name and shield number to § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Case Summary

On March 18, 2013, § 87(2)(b) appeared at the CCRB as a walk-in and indicated that he had a complaint against officers but did not have identification because he misplaced his ID. § 87(2)(b) was therefore not interviewed. Later that day, § 87(2)(b) called the CCRB and provided names for two witnesses. On March 19, 2013, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) and he reiterated that he lost his ID and passport and was in the process of obtaining a new form of identification. The undersigned investigator informed § 87(2)(b) that a photo ID is required to provide a statement and that he would receive a call in two weeks for an update on the status of his new ID. On March 22, 2013, § 87(2)(b) called the CCRB and provided additional details about his complaint.

On April 11, 2013, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) and he indicated that he was released from the hospital on § 87(2)(b) and did not yet have ID. § 87(2)(b) stated that he applied for an ID but that it was a lengthy process. § 87(2)(b) was provided the option of having his case re-opened at a later date once he obtained his ID. § 87(2)(b) responded that this would be a waste of his time and hung up the phone. On April 13, 2013, Supervisor Rita Bobe-Saleh called § 87(2)(b) and reiterated that an ID is required to proceed with his complaint. § 87(2)(b) explained that he is unable to obtain a new ID because he requires an additional ID to do so.

To date, § 87(2)(b) has not contacted the CCRB to provide an update on the status of his new ID. Given that § 87(2)(b) does not have identification and did not indicate that he would be receiving an ID in the near future, he could not be scheduled for an interview. Furthermore, § 87(2)(b) rejected the option of having his case re-opened at a later date once he obtained ID. Given § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

Team: 2

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Date

Supervisor: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date