CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	Force		Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Olga Bentin		Squad #4	201803168	☑ Abuse		O.L.	Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinct	: 18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Thursday, 04/12/2018 6:20 AM		Southeast corner Wood West 16th Street	lycrest Avenue and	44	44 10/12/2019 10/12/201		10/12/2019
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Ti	Date/Time Received at CCRB		
Fri, 04/13/2018 1:30 PM		IAB	Phone	Tue, 04	Tue, 04/24/2018 12:15 PM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess				
Witness(es) Home Address							
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command				
1. POM Andrew Alvarado	23931	962919	044 PCT				
2. POM Ryan Bradley	22450	962267	044 PCT				
Officer(s)	Allegation	on		In	vestiga	ator Recon	nmendation
A.POM Andrew Alvarado	Discourtesy: Police Officer Andrew Alvarado spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)						
B.POM Andrew Alvarado	Abuse: Police Officer Andrew Alvarado threatened with the use of force.						
C.POM Andrew Alvarado	Force: Police Officer Andrew Alvarado used physical force against § 87(2)(b)						
D.POM Ryan Bradley	Force: Police Officer Ryan Bradley used physical force against § 87(2)(b)						
E.POM Andrew Alvarado	Abuse: Police Officer Andrew Alvarado damaged § 87(2)(b) s property.						
F.POM Ryan Bradley	Abuse: Police Officer Ryan Bradley damaged \$87(2)(b) s property.						

On April 13, 2018, \$57(2)(b) contacted the IAB Command Center by phone and filed this complaint in Spanish. On April 24, 2018, this complaint was received at the CCRB. On April 25, 2018, a call was placed to \$57(2)(b) at the telephone number listed for hi in the initial IAB complaint. \$57(2)(b) confirmed his contact information, but then asked to speak to a Spanish-speaking investigator. On April 25, 2018, Inv. Alfredo Gonzalez contacted as per his earlier request and obtained a telephone statement. During the telephone statement, \$57(2)(b) stated that he wanted to be reimbursed the \$160 he had to spend to obtain his vehicle back from Cromwell Collision Body Shop. Inv. Gonzalez explained to \$57(2)(b) the CCRB would be unable to assist him in obtaining compensation and that he would have to pursue that matter separately. The investigative process was then explained to \$57(2)(b) but he refused to schedule an in-person interview and ultimately decided not to pursue his complaint, because he did not believe it would benefit him. \$57(2)(b) added that he filed this complaint only because he wanted to recover the money he spent. When asked whether he wanted to withdraw his complaint, \$57(2)(b) refused and said that he just wanted his complaint to be closed. On May 1, 2018, BWC footage of this incident was received from IAB, which does not depict manifest misconduct.					
Squad No.:	<u>4</u>				
Investigator:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date		
Squad Leader:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date		
Reviewer:					

Page 2

Print Title & Name

Date

Signature

CCRB Case # 201803168