

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Santosh Prakash	Team: Squad #8	CCRB Case #: 201707119	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Saturday, 08/05/2017 1:15 AM	Location of Incident: Rear of § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 42	18 Mo. SOL 2/5/2019	EO SOL 2/5/2019	
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 08/21/2017 9:45 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 08/28/2017 4:25 PM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address			
Witness(es)	Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command		
1. LT Eric Dym	00000	933762	PSA 7		
Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name		
1. POM Brian Greaige	13811	960616	PSA 7		
Officer(s)	Allegation			Investigator Recommendation	
A.LT Eric Dym	Force: Lieutenant Eric Dym used physical force against § 87(2)(b)				

Case Summary

On August 28, 2017, the CCRB received the following case from IAB via original log # 17-32183.

After multiple contact attempts, the undersigned reached the complainant/victim § 87(2)(b) on September 19, 2017. An interview appointment was scheduled for September 25, 2017. § 87(2)(b) missed this appointment and did not make contact with the CCRB thereafter, despite sustained attempts.

On October 17, 2017, the undersigned made contact with § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(b)'s criminal defense attorney. § 87(2)(b) stated that he was eager for § 87(2)(b) to provide a statement to the CCRB, but wanted to wait for the related criminal charges against him to be dismissed before proceeding. At the time, § 87(2)(b) expected the case to conclude swiftly. But the undersigned conferred with § 87(2)(b) several times in the following months and found that the criminal case was still pending; during a phone conversation dated November 13, 2017, § 87(2)(b) confirmed that the court case was ongoing and restated that § 87(2)(b) would not provide a statement until the matter was resolved; he later memorialized the same by letter dated December 6, 2017.

On December 18, 2017, the undersigned advised § 87(2)(b) by letter that the CCRB would close the complaint, and that a re-open request could be submitted in writing. A copy of the letter was sent to § 87(2)(b) as well. To date, neither § 87(2)(b) nor § 87(2)(b) have contacted the CCRB. On January 3, 2018, the New York State Office of Court Administration's electronic docket system confirmed that the court case is still open. § 87(2)(g)

Squad No.: 08

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date