CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	V	Force		Discourt.		U.S.
Santosh Prakash		Squad #8	201801268		Abuse		O.L.		Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	P	recinct:	18	Mo. SOL	E	EO SOL
Friday, 02/09/2018 1:00 AM		Inside PSA2 stationhor	use		75	8	/9/2019	8	/9/2019
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	ported: Date/Time Received at CCRB					
Fri, 02/09/2018 1:30 AM		IAB	Phone		Thu, 02/15/2018 11:03 AM				
Complainant/Victim	Туре	Home Addre	is						
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command						
1. POM Abir Noor	21625	959847	PSA 2						
2. POM Jason Kwan	10201	961874	PSA 2						
3. POM Nicholas Salvadori	21881	959963	PSA 2						
4. POM Anthony Pascual	16321	962000	PSA 2						
5. POM Gregory Andre	19779	958249	PSA 2						
6. SGT Gary Vanzanten	03736	934234	PSA 2						
Officer(s)	Allegation	on			Inve	estiga	tor Recon	nmei	ndation
A.SGT Gary Vanzanten	Force: So § 87(2)(b)	ergeant Gary Vanzanten	used physical force	e agai	nst				
B.POM Jason Kwan	Force: Police Officer Jason Kwan used physical force against \$87(2)(b)								
C.POM Nicholas Salvadori	Force: Police Officer Nicholas Salvadori used physical force against \$87(2)(b)								
D.POM Anthony Pascual	Force: Police Officer Anthony Pascual used physical force against \$87(2)(b)								
E.POM Gregory Andre	Force: Police Officer Gregory Andre used physical force against \$87(2)(b)								
F.POM Abir Noor	Force: Police Officer Abir Noor used physical force against § 87(2)(b)								

Case Summary

	ncarcerated alleged vio	ctim § 87(2)(b) There is no BWC	
statement regardin	g the incident due to ponyed truncation of the control	termined that he would not allow \$87(2)(ending litigation on the matter. But values until July 2018, by which time \$87	rious
August 13, 2018, a appointment remir and never attempte letter mailed on August 13, 2018, a appointment remire and never attempte and never attempte and never attempted and never attempted at a second remainder of the seco	and scheduled him to a der was sent by SMS. ed to contact the CCRE ugust 23, 2018 (an ema	the investigation eventually reached ppear for an interview on August 23, 2 However, \$87(2)(5) failed to appear 3 thereafter. He did not respond to a mailed copy was returned as undeliverable to be disconnected from service on mailed copy was returned as undeliverable.	2018. An for the interview issed appointment le). And through
after being arrested see if he still want	d on § 87(2)(b) ed to provide a stateme	had been incated to the CCRB ent, the investigation then arranged through to video-conference with \$87(2)(b)	complaint). To
participate as he d documentation of	id not wish to speak wi	led call, DOC staff advised that \$87(2)(b) ith the CCRB. DOC relayed the same is \$87(2)(b) stated "he didn't feel explain."	in its
§ 87(2)(g)			
Squad No.: 08			
Investigator:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
		Page 2	

CCRB Case # 201801268