

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Emily Hainze	Team: Team # 6	CCRB Case #: 200615954	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Monday, 11/27/2006 11:45 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 30	18 Mo. SOL 5/27/2008	EO SOL 5/27/2008	
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 11/27/2006 12:35 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 11/29/2006 4:46 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Raymond Kwong	31559	932870	030 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Raymond Kwong	Force: PO Raymond Kwong used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Synopsis

On November 27, 2006, § 87(2)(b) called into the Internal Affairs Bureau to file a complaint about an incident that occurred on the same day. This case was forwarded to the CCRB on November 29, 2006. Two officers came to § 87(2)(b)'s residence, located at § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, because he and his roommates were arguing. § 87(2)(b) alleges that PO Raymond Kwong told him to leave the location and then pushed him into the apartment's television set. PO Kwong also told § 87(2)(b) to shut up and then pushed him again, for no reason apparent to § 87(2)(b). PO Kwong's partner interacted with § 87(2)(b)'s roommates and had no negative interaction with § 87(2)(b). PO Kwong was identified as the subject officer because § 87(2)(b) provided his shield number to IAB and described him to be a "Chinese" officer.

On December 12, 2006, the investigator reached the new resident of the apartment where the incident occurred. This resident, § 87(2)(b), informed the investigator that § 87(2)(b) had moved and gave the investigator the phone number for § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) s § 87(2)(b). The same day, the investigator spoke with § 87(2)(b); because of confidentiality issues, § 87(2)(b) could not provide § 87(2)(b)'s new contact information, but agreed to give § 87(2)(b) a message from the investigator. On December 20, the investigator left a follow up message for § 87(2)(b). On January 3, 2007 the investigator called § 87(2)(b) and was able to speak with § 87(2)(b) as he was meeting with § 87(2)(b) at the time of the call. § 87(2)(b) provided his new address and phone number and asked the investigator to call him later in the day. When the investigator called § 87(2)(b) at his new number, § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b).

§ 87(2)(b) He asked to withdraw his complaint, asserting that his withdrawal was of his own free will and not coerced by any party. This statement was reviewed by Supervisor Vanessa Rosen. § 87(2)(b) was sent a complaint withdrawal form, which will be included in the case file upon receipt. ■ § 87(2)(g)

Investigator:

Date:

Supervisor:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date: