CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Rebecca Ho		Squad #3	201501960	V	Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		P	recinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Monday, 01/19/2015 4:45 PM		§ 87(2)(b)			81	7/19/2016	7/19/2016
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At: How CV Reported:		d:	Date/Time Received at CCRB		
Wed, 03/18/2015 11:48 AM		CCRB	Phone		Wed, 03/18/2015 11:48 AM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	ype Home Address					
Witness(es)		Home Add	ress				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command				
1. DT3 John Mchugh	00581	948163	NARCBBN				
2. SGT Eric Francis	01705	933784	NARCBBN				
3. DT3 Steve Lafortune	02570	928609	NARCBBN				
Officer(s)	Allegation	on			Inve	stigator Recon	nmendation
A.DT3 John Mchugh	Abuse: Γ § 87(2)(b)	Abuse: Det. John McHugh entered and searched in Brooklyn.					
B.SGT Eric Francis	Abuse: S § 87(2)(b)	Abuse: Sgt. Eric Francis entered and searched in Brooklyn.					
C.DT3 Steve Lafortune	Abuse: I	Abuse: Det. Steve LaFortune entered and searched in Brooklyn.					

Case Summary

On January 19, 2015, members of the tactical response unit of Narcotics Borough
Brooklyn North observed a narcotics transaction between \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) in front
of 887(2)(b) was arrested at the corner of Lewis
Avenue and Hart Street. At 4:45 p.m., officers knocked on §87(2)(b) to arrest §87(2)(b)
When \$87(2)(b) opened the door, officers entered the residence without consent to arrest
(Allegation A). Three officers went upstairs, where they spoke with \$87(2)(b)
mother \$87(2)(b) and brother, \$87(2)(b) Det. McHugh and Sgt. Eric Francis recalled
had requested officers retrieve sneakers from his bedroom. Prior to entering \$87(2)(b)
s bedroom, Det. McHugh observed loose marijuana in plain view on a TV dinner table.
Sgt. Francis observed loose marijuana on a coffee table, money stacked up like books, a firearm
on the shelf, and somewhere he could not recall, crack-cocaine. Once officers secured the
location, Det. McHugh and Det. Steve LaFortune left to obtain a search warrant for the premises
(search warrant encl. 13H-I). Officers searched §87(2)(b) s bedroom from 7:45 p.m. to 8:20
p.m., and seized a firearm, marijuana, crack cocaine, drug paraphernalia and US currency as
arrest evidence (property vouchers encl. 11G-CC).

called the CCRB shortly after the incident but, as she initially could not come in to be interviewed, did not file the complaint until March 18, 2015 (complaint encl. 4A-B; CCRB statements encl. 5A-7E; arrest/complaint reports encl. 11A-E).

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Conviction Histories

- This case was ineligible for mediation as \$87(2)(b) was arrested.
- On April 15, 2015, a request to determine if a Notice of Claim was filed was submitted; confirmation from the Office of the Comptroller will be forwarded upon receipt (encl. 17F).

•	[§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]
	(encl. 14E-T).

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the first CCRB complaint filed by \$87(2)(b) (encl. 3A).
- §87(2)(b) and §87(2)(b) have not filed any complaints with the CCRB (encl. 3B-C).
- Det. John McHugh has been a member of the service for 11 years and there are no substantiated CCRB allegations against him (encl. 2A).
- Sgt. Eric Francis has been a member of the service for 11 years and there are no substantiated CCRB allegations against him (encl. 2B).
- Det. Steve LaFortune has been a member of the service for 13 years and there is 1 substantiated CCRB allegations against him (encl. 2C).
 - In case 201013036, an allegation that Det. LaFortune conducted a vehicle stop was substantiated; charges were recommended and instructions imposed.

Finding and Recommendations

Explanation of Subject Officer Identification

§ 87(2)(b) initially noted that three officers entered her home. § 87(2)(9)

Page 2 CCRB Case # 201501960

§ 87(2)(g)
Recommendations
• Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: Det. John McHugh entered and searched
<u>.</u>
• Allegation B – Abuse of Authority: Sgt. Eric Francis entered and searched [887(2)(6)]
• Allegation C – Abuse of Authority: Det. Steve LaFortune entered and §87(2)(b)
It is undisputed that \$87(2)(b) was in the residence and that the officers were
informed he had participated in a drug transaction with \$87(2)(6), five minutes prior to the
officers entry. It is undisputed that Det. John McHugh, Sgt. Eric Francis, and Det. Steve
LaFortune entered \$87(2)(b) with the intention to arrest an individual matching the
description they were provided and that the purpose of the entry was to effect his arrest.
However, Det. LaFortune explained that officers did not know if \$87(2)(b) or \$87(2)(b)
was the perpetrator at the time they handcuffed \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(e)
Pot Malluck and Cat
§ 87(2)(e) Det. McHugh and Sgt.
Francis stated they proceeded to go upstairs and into \$87(2)(b) s bedroom to obtain sneakers
he had requested. §87(2)(6) testified that he had been fully dressed (with shoes on) as he had been prepared to go outside when he answered the door and officers immediately crossed the
threshold to arrest him while another officer went directly upstairs. §87(2)(b) denied
providing the officers with any permission to enter his home or to go upstairs. §87(2)(b) denied
ever being asked by officers where her son's bedroom was, or that any officer asked her
permission to obtain his sneakers from his bedroom.
Only after officers had cross the threshold into \$87(2)(b) gone upstairs, into \$27(2)
\$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) s bedroom, did officers go to obtain a search warrant to seize the
aforementioned items and conduct a further search of §87(2)(b) s bedroom.
The courts have established that warrantless entries into private homes are presumed
unconstitutional. Exceptions to this rule include consent, hot pursuit, exigent circumstances, as
established in People v. McBride, 14 N.Y.3d 440 (2010), and an emergency situation, as
established in People v. Dallas, 8 N.Y.3d 890 (2007). In regards to emergency circumstances,
courts utilize a three-part test: "(1) The police must have reasonable grounds to believe that there
is an emergency at hand and an immediate need for their assistance for the protection of life or
property; (2) The search must not be primarily motivated by intent to arrest and seize evidence;
(3) There must be some reasonable basis, approximating probable cause, to associate the
emergency with the area or place to be searched." <u>Dallas, at 891</u> (encl. 1H-I). In regards to the
exigent circumstances exception, the courts utilize a six-part test: "(1) the gravity or violent
nature of the offense with which the suspect is to be charged; (2) whether the suspect is
reasonably believed to be armed; (3) a clear showing of probable causeto believe that the
suspect committed the crime; (4) strong reason to believe that the suspect is in the premises being
entered; (5) a likelihood that the suspect will escape if not swiftly apprehended; and (6) the
peaceful circumstances of the entry." McBride, at 446 (encl. 1A-G).
§ 87(2)(g)

87(2)(g)		
§ 87(2)(g)		
(C 07/2)/ _m)		
§ 87(2)(g)		
		•
Pod: <u>3</u>		
Investigator:	Rebecca Ho	06/02/2015
Signature	Print	Date
Supervisor:	D.:4	Dete
Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:		
Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:		
Title/Signature	Print	Date