

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Ariel Courage	Team: Squad #6	CCRB Case #: 201603531	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday, 04/15/2016 4:30 AM	Location of Incident: northwest corner of Blake Avenue and Rockaway Avenue; unknown location	Precinct: 73	18 Mo. SOL 10/15/2017	EO SOL 10/15/2017	
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 04/18/2016 1:21 PM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 04/25/2016 11:10 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Jonathan Taveras	30195	953469	073 PCT
2. Officers			073 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Jonathan Taveras	Abuse: At the northwest corner of Blake Avenue and Rockaway Avenue in Brooklyn, Police Officer Jonathan Taveras searched the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) was an occupant.	
B.POM Jonathan Taveras	Abuse: At the northwest corner of Blake Avenue and Rockaway Avenue in Brooklyn, Police Officer Jonathan Taveras threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.	
C. Officers	Force: At an unknown location, officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
D.POM Jonathan Taveras	Force: At an unknown location, Police Officer Jonathan Taveras used a chokehold against § 87(2)(b)	
E. Officers	Abuse: At the 73rd Precinct stationhouse, officers did not obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On April 18, 2016, § 87(2)(b) called IAB to file this complaint. His girlfriend, § 87(2)(b) also called IAB to file this complaint on § 87(2)(b)'s behalf about his arrest, but did not herself witness it. On April 25, 2016, this complaint was received at the CCRB.

On April 25, 2016, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) at the number included on the IAB referral. The outgoing message identified the owner of the number as § 87(2)(b) and a voice message was left for him. On April 25, 2016, BADS, CTS, Lexis Nexis, and CLEAR searches were performed, which revealed three additional possible addresses and a total of six additional possible phone numbers. Between April 25, 2016, and April 29, 2016, between two and three calls were placed to each of these additional numbers, which were found to be wrong numbers, to be disconnected, or voice messages were left. In addition, on April 28, 2016, an attempt was made to reach § 87(2)(b) through § 87(2)(b) and a voice message was left with her. On April 25, 2016, a please-call letter was mailed to § 87(2)(b). An email was also sent to an email address that § 87(2)(b) provided in a previous CCRB complaint, which was not returned as undeliverable.

On April 29, 2016, § 87(2)(b) contacted the CCRB from the number listed on the IAB referral. § 87(2)(b) was extremely irate, raised his voice, cursed, and then abruptly terminated the call. When contacted again shortly afterwards, § 87(2)(b) was again irate, and again abruptly terminated the call.

On May 4, 2016, § 87(2)(b) was contacted again. § 87(2)(b) provided a telephone statement, but when an attempt was made to schedule him to provide an in-person statement, § 87(2)(b) became irate, refused to schedule an appointment, and requested to speak to a supervisor. § 87(2)(b) spoke with TM Jessica Peña via phone, but again refused to schedule an appointment with the CCRB, stating that he wanted to speak with a male investigator before again abruptly terminating the call. § 87(2)(b) also repeatedly said that his sole goal was to retrieve property that was seized by the NYPD during his arrest, and was informed multiple times that the CCRB cannot help him retrieve his property. § 87(2)(b) already reported this to IAB when he initially filed the complaint.)

This case was then closed as complainant uncooperative. On May 18, 2016, § 87(2)(b) filed a new complaint (CCRB case 201604292). This case was consequently reopened.

On May 19, 2016, contact was established with § 87(2)(b) who scheduled to provide an in-person statement on May 23, 2016. § 87(2)(b) did not appear for this appointment and did not contact the CCRB to cancel. On May 23, 2016, contact was again established with § 87(2)(b) who rescheduled to provide an in-person statement on May 24, 2016. On May 24, 2016, a text message reminder of § 87(2)(b)'s appointment was sent to him. On May 24, 2016, § 87(2)(b) did not appear for his appointment and did not contact the CCRB in advance to cancel. After missing this appointment, § 87(2)(b) sent a text requesting to reschedule his appointment. On May 26, 2016, § 87(2)(b) rescheduled to appear on May 31, 2016. On May 29, 2016, a text message was sent to § 87(2)(b) reminding him of his appointment. On May 31, 2016, § 87(2)(b) did not appear for his appointment and did not contact the CCRB to cancel.

On May 31, 2016, approximately two hours after his scheduled appointment, § 87(2)(b) appeared at the CCRB. § 87(2)(b) reiterated that his only goal was to retrieve his property. When informed that the CCRB does not have the authority to help him retrieve his property, § 87(2)(b) became irate, raised his voice, and did not allow the investigator to explain the CCRB's purpose and procedures. § 87(2)(b) refused to provide a statement and left the agency.

§ 87(2)(g)

