

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
ONE HOGAN PLACE
New York, N. Y. 10013
(212) 335-9000**

OFFICER: Luis Pagan
TAX NUMBER: 928915

DISCLOSURE ADVISORY

For the person named above, whom the People may call as a witness, please be advised as follows.

1. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated an allegation(s) that on or about July 25, 2010, Luis Pagan A) failed to properly safeguard prisoner's funds and B) failed to make proper entries in his activity log as mandated by Patrol Guide 212-08.
2. Luis Pagan is a named defendant in the civil action Sean Dyson v. City of New York, et al, 11CV5679, filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, for an incident that occurred on August 19, 2010.
3. The New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) noted the following misconduct: In reference to an incident that took place on December 17, 2011, Luis Pagan failed to prepare a memo book entry as required by Patrol Guide procedure 212-08. The incident involved another member of service using physical force against a complainant during an Occupy Wall Street march and Luis Pagan being involved with the arrest. CCRB concluded that the physical force used by above mentioned member of service was appropriate for the given situation as the complainant had earlier interfered with an arrest of a resisting person, grabbing arrest evidence and the scene was chaotic with a large crowd, directing the complainant to the ground was an appropriate way to make the arrest. Luis Pagan acknowledged his involvement with the physical arrest of the prisoner, but he failed to make a memo book entry reflecting the event, therefore CCRB noted Other Misconduct for failure to prepare a proper memo book entry.
4. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated an allegation that on or about August 17, 2012, Luis Pagan failed to appear for a scheduled traffic court hearing at the Manhattan North Traffic Violations Bureau to testify regarding the issuance of one summons to one motorist.
5. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated an allegation that on or about September 5, 2012, Luis Pagan failed to appear for a scheduled traffic court hearing at the Traffic Violations Bureau.
6. The New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) noted the following misconduct: Luis Pagan failed to prepare a memo book entry as required by Patrol Guide procedure 212-08 in reference to an incident that took place on September 7, 2016. Luis Pagan alongside several other members of service went to an address looking for a perpetrator in a robbery complaint filed earlier that day at the 23rd Precinct stationhouse. The officers allegedly kicked and banged on the door, attempted to force it open by sticking an object between the door and its frame and threatened to break the door down. They allegedly used a peephole to check the interior of the apartment. CCRB did not substantiate any of the above-mentioned allegations. It was

undisputed, however, that Luis Pagan was present at the scene and failed to produce a memo book entry in reference to the incident, thus CCRB cited Luis Pagan for other misconduct.

Various publicly available websites and databases contain disciplinary information for certain law enforcement officers. Information in such databases about this officer is not necessarily included in this advisory.

Any information herein regarding civil lawsuits against an officer is not necessarily a complete list of civil lawsuits in which that officer is a defendant.

Allegations of misconduct that have not been substantiated and are not pending (including, but not limited to, findings of unsubstantiated, unfounded, and exonerated), and allegations of technical infractions, are not subject to disclosure and are not included in this advisory.

The decision to include information in this advisory does not represent a conclusion by the People that it is required to be disclosed.

The People reserve the right to oppose or move to limit the use of any information included herein or disclosed in the future.

If you have any questions, please contact the Assistant District Attorney assigned to the case on which you are receiving this advisory.

Date: December 12, 2020