## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:	Т	eam:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	□ U.S.	
Casey McCann		quad #14	201902318	☐ Abuse	 □ O.L.	☐ Injury	
						` ` `	
Incident Date(s)		ocation of Incident:	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL		
Friday, 03/15/2019 8:00 PM	West 42nd Street N/Q/R train subway station			9/15/2020	5/2/2021		
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At: How CV Reported:		d: Date/Tin	Date/Time Received at CCRB		
Fri, 03/15/2019 9:50 PM		CCRB Call Processing System		Fri, 03/1	5/2019 9:50 PM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Add	ress				
Witness(es)		Home Add	ress				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command				
1. SGT Kenneth Miller	04564	918005	TB M/TF				
2. POF Samantha Humburg	21750	957691	TB M/TF				
3. POM Taejin Bae	15974	957347	TB M/TF				
4. POM Marcus Delgado	29360	930023	TB M/TF				
Officer(s)	Allegation			Inv	vestigator Recon	nmendation	
A.POM Marcus Delgado	Abuse: Police Officer Marcus Delgado stopped [5 87(2)(b)]						
B.POM Taejin Bae	Abuse: Police Officer Taejin Bae stopped \$87(2)(b)						
C.POM Marcus Delgado	Abuse: Police Officer Marcus Delgado refused to provide his shield number to \$87(2)(5)						
D.POM Taejin Bae	Abuse: Police Officer Taejin Bae refused to provide his shield number to \$87(2)(5)						
E.POF Samantha Humburg	Abuse: Police Officer Samantha Humburg searched § 87(2)(b)						
F.POM Marcus Delgado	Abuse: Police Officer Marcus Delgado threatened to remove to the hospital.						
G.SGT Kenneth Miller	Abuse: Sergeant Kenneth Miller forcibly removed § 87(2)(b) to the hospital.						
H.SGT Kenneth Miller	Abuse: Sergeant Kenneth Miller failed to provide § 87(2)(b) with a business card.						
I.POF Samantha Humburg	Abuse: Police Officer Samantha Humburg failed to provide with a business card.						

## **Case Summary**

On March 15, 2019, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint via the CCRB's call processing
system on behalf of herself and §87(2)(b) whom she did not know prior to this incident.
On March 15, 2019, at approximately 8 p.m., §87(2)(b) entered the N/Q/R subway
station at West 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street in Manhattan. Police Officer Marcus Delgado and Police Officer Taejin
Bae, both of the Transit Borough Manhattan Task Force, stopped (Allegations A
and B: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(9) PO Delgado and PO Bae allegedly refused to provide
with their shield numbers (Allegation C: Abuse of Authority, §87(2)(g)
and Allegation D: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(g) Police Officer Samantha Humburg, also
of the Transit Borough Manhattan Task Force, searched §87(2)(b) (Allegation E: Abuse of
Authority, 887(2)(g) PO Delgado allegedly threatened to remove 887(2)(b) to the hospital
(Allegation F: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(g) Sergeant Kenneth Miller of the Transit
Borough Manhattan Task Force forcibly removed \$87(2)(0) to the hospital and failed to
provide her with a business card (Allegations G and H: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(g) PO
Humburg failed to provide §87(2)(b) with a business card (Allegation I: Abuse of Authority,
§ 87(2)(g)
Neither \$87(2)(b) nor \$87(2)(b) were arrested or summonsed during this incident.
Cell phone video footage was obtained and will be discussed in further detail below.
Findings and Recommendations
Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Marcus Delgado stopped 887(2)(b)
Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Taejin Bae stopped
Allegation (C) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Marcus Delgado refused to provide his
shield number to §87(2)(b)
Allegation (D) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Taejin Bae refused to provide his shield
number to §87(2)(b)
The investigation established the following facts:
On March 15, 2019, at approximately 8 p.m., \$87(2)(5) who had just left a work
happy hour where she consumed alcohol, entered the N/Q/R subway station at West 42 <sup>nd</sup> Street in
Manhattan. § 87(2)(b) stepped over the turnstile without paying her fare and boarded a train.
PO Delgado and PO Bae boarded the train and asked \$87(2)(b) to exit. When \$87(2)(b)
exited the train, PO Delgado and PO Bae handcuffed \$87(2)(6) and brought her to the
mezzanine level of the train station, where Sgt. Miller was standing. §87(2)(b) became
extremely upset and Sgt. Miller called for EMS. While the officers were waiting for EMS to arrive,
a crowd began to form around \$87(2)(b) which included \$87(2)(b) and
\$87(2)(b) EMTs \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) arrived and transported \$87(2)(b) to
Hospital less than an hour later.
It is undisputed that \$87(2)(b) jumped the turnstile without paying the fare and that PO
Delgado and PO Bae stopped her.
(BR 01) testified that after she jumped the turnstile, she boarded the subway
car. PO Delgado and PO Bae boarded the train and ordered \$87(2)(b) off the train.
said, "Are you police? Show me your badge," but neither officer showed \$87(2)(b)
their badges. \$87(2)(b) approached the officers and they grabbed both of her arms. PO
Delgado and PO Bae immediately handcuffed \$87(2)(6) after she was off the train.
PO Delgado (BR 02) testified that he, PO Bae, and Sgt. Miller were working near the booth
directly in front of the southbound turnstile to the N/R/W train at 40 <sup>th</sup> Street and Broadway in
Manhattan. PO Delgado looked through the vents of the booth and observed \$87(2)(b) jump
the turnstile and board the train. PO Delgado and PO Bae exited the booth and walked to the
platform to stop 887(2)(b) PO Delgado ordered 887(2)(b) off the train, but she refused.
her. PO Bae approached \$87(2)(b) on the train, grabbed her arm, and escorted her off the train.

**CCRB Case # 201902318** 

began to scream and curse, but PO Delgado did not remember any particular statements she made. \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{87(2)(0)}\$ started to move her arms, but after a few seconds, PO Delgado and PO Bae handcuffed \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{87(2)(0)}\$ Sgt. Miller (BR 03) testified that PO Bae informed him that he observed \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{97(2)(0)}\$ jump the turnstile. Sgt. Miller remained at the mezzanine level while PO Delgado and PO Bae were down to the platform and could not see \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{97(2)(0)}\$ yelling and proceeded down to the platform, where PO Delgado and PO Bae were handcuffing \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{97(2)(0)}\$ yelling and proceeded down to the platform, where PO Delgado and PO Bae were handcuffing \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{97(2)(0)}\$ yelling and proceeded down to the platform, where PO Delgado and PO Bae were handcuffing \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{97(2)(0)}\$ yelling and proceeded down to the platform, where PO Delgado and PO Bae were handcuffing \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{97(2)(0)}\$ yelling and proceeded down to the platform, where PO Delgado and PO Bae were handcuffing \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{97(2)(0)}\$ yelling and proceeded down to the platform, where PO Delgado and PO Bae were handcuffing \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{97(2)(0)}\$ yelling and proceeded down to the platform, where PO Delgado and PO Bae were handcuffing \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{97(2)(0)}\$ yelling and proceeded down to the platform, where PO Delgado and PO Bae were handcuffing \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{97(2)(0)}\$ yelling and proceeded down to the platform, where PO Delgado and PO Bae were handcuffing \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{97(2)(0)}\$ yelling and proceeded down to the platform and PO Bae were handcuffing \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{97(2)(0)}\$ yelling and proceeded down to the platform, where PO Delgado and PO Bae were handcuffing \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{97(2)(0)}\$ yelling and proceeded down to the platform and the platform and proceeded down to the platform and proc
\$ 87(2)(b), \$ 87(2)(g)
• § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation (E) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Samantha Humburg searched
The footage begins with \$37(2)(b) speaking with a uniformed officer about what was going on with \$37(2)(b) is in the background of the footage, standing handcuffed near a wall. PO Humburg picks up \$37(2)(b) s backpack and puts it down in front of \$37(2)(b) PO Humburg leans over, opens the main compartment of the bag, and enters it briefly. PO Humburg then stands back up and speaks with \$37(2)(b) The conversation is not audible (see time stamp on player <<00:00 - 00:41>>) (BR 07-08).  \$37(2)(b) did not allege that an officer entered her bag. The undersigned attempted to contact \$37(2)(b) upon receipt of the video, but \$37(2)(b) did not return said calls.  PO Humburg (BR 09) testified that she responded to Sgt. Miller's radio transmission for an additional unit and upon her arrival, \$37(2)(b) was handcuffed and screaming. Sgt. Miller informed PO Humburg that \$37(2)(b) was going to be removed in an ambulance once EMTs arrived. PO Humburg stood with \$37(2)(b) who began "fidgeting" to get something out of her sweater pockets. PO Humburg went into \$337(2)(b) s sweater and removed \$337(2)(b) in the ambulance, as "even individuals in handcuffs could harm themselves." However, PO Humburg did not believe \$337(2)(b) was at risk to potentially harm herself. PO Humburg reviewed the cell phone footage provided by \$337(2)(b) but could not identify herself as the officer searching \$337(2)(b) s bag
and did not recall doing so.  Sgt. Miller testified that after PO Delgado and PO Bae handcuffed [887(2)(b)] and began walking her up the stairs, he requested that a female officer respond to the location to calm

CCRB Case # 201902318

CCRB CTS – Confidential Page 2

down strived, she stood with strived state of the strived state of th
it. Sgt. Miller noted that it was possible that an officer entered the bag to ensure that \$87(2)(b) did not have anything in it that could harm herself or the EMTs or to obtain \$87(2)(b) s
identification or medical cards, but had no further recollection that this occurred in this incident.
Sgt. Miller reviewed the video \$87(2)(b) took and confirmed that PO Humburg was the officer
depicted entering \$87(2)(6) s bag.  Where a sufficient basis or detention exists, an officer may conduct a patdown [sic] frisk as
a routine safety measure preliminary to transporting a suspect. <u>People v. Gamble</u> 210 A.D.2d 903
(1994) (BR 10).  In the absence of particularized suspicion or any grounds on the part of the officer to fear
for his safety or that of his fellow officers, the officer lacked any justification for searching the
pocket of the defendant's pants. People v. 277 A.D.2d 875 (2000) (BR 11).  When an emotionally disturbed person (EDP) has been restrained, remove property that is
dangerous to life or will facilitate escape. <u>Patrol Guide</u> Procedure 221-13 (BR 12).
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
·
Allegation (F) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Marcus Delgado threatened to remove
to the hospital.  (BR 13) testified that she saw three officers walking \$87(2)(b) down the
platform as \$87(2)(b) yelled, "Stop!" The officers led \$87(2)(b) to the mezzanine level
and \$87(2)(b) followed. A crowd began to gather, the size of which varied from six to 20 people.
began to speak with the other witnesses to gather their contact information. As she had her phone out, PO Delgado asked [87(2)(5)] "Do you need to go to the hospital, too? Your hands
are shaking." \$87(2)(b) interpreted this statement as a threat to take her to the hospital.
and [87(2)(b)] and [87(2)(b)] (BR 14-15), who both provided telephone statements, said that they did not hear an officer threaten to take [87(2)(b)] to the hospital.
PO Delgado testified that \$87(2)(b) stuck out to him as a member of the crowd because
she was an older woman and was standing closest to PO Delgado. 887(2)(b) asked PO Delgado for his shield number, which he provided. 887(2)(b) hand was shaking, though PO Delgado did not
recall which one, and he asked \$87(2)(b) are if she needed medical attention due to her shaking hand.
PO Delgado did not recall how \$87(2)(b) responded to his question.
Sgt. Miller and PO Humburg provided consistent testimony that they did not hear an officer ask \$87(2)(6) and if she needed to go to the hospital or see her hands shaking, as their attention was on
§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
·
Allegation (G) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Kenneth Miller forcibly removed \$87(2)(b)
to the hospital.  It is undisputed that \$87(2)(6) was forcibly removed to \$87(2)(6) Hospital and had
consumed alcohol prior to this incident.
testified that she had two beers at a work-sponsored happy hour and did not
use any other drugs or substances prior to this incident. After she was handcuffed, \$87(2)(b) described that she was hysterically crying and felt like she was going to have a panic attack, but did

not make any statements about potentially having a panic attack to the officers.
\$ 87(2)(b) and \$ 87(2)(b) testified that it was possible \$ 87(2)(b) was intoxicated,
due to her agitated and "frantic" demeanor during the incident, but neither witnessed [887(2)(b)]
slur her words or have trouble standing.
During her telephone statement, \$87(2)(b) said that \$87(2)(b) was not intoxicated.
Sgt. Miller and PO Delgado provided consistent testimony that §87(2)(b) had a plastic
cup filled with alcohol in her hand prior to being handcuffed. The officers knew that the liquid was
alcohol due to its odor. The officers also both smelled alcohol emanating from §87(2)(b)
breath.
Sgt. Miller testified that other than §87(2)(b) smelling of alcohol, he had no other
indications that §87(2)(b) was intoxicated, as she was not swaying or unable to stand.
However, because she was intoxicated, Sgt. Miller deemed that \$87(2)(6) could not care for
herself and therefore was an emotionally disturbed person (EDP). Sgt. Miller did not think
was a threat to herself or others, but because \$87(2)(b) was intoxicated, he did not
want to summons or arrest her and therefore decided the best course of action would be to have
"checked out" at the hospital and to "forget about" the transit violation. Approximately
30 minutes later, EMTs arrived, but Sgt. Miller did not see the EMTs evaluate \$87(2)(b) nor
did the EMTs tell Sgt. Miller that they concurred that §87(2)(b) needed to go to the hospital.
PO Humburg testified that upon her arrival, Sgt. Miller informed her that §87(2)(b)
was to be removed in an ambulance once EMTs arrived. Similarly, PO Delgado testified that Sgt.
Miller made the decision that § 87(2)(b) needed to go to the hospital.
Neither EMT § 87(2)(b) (BR 16) nor EMT § 87(2)(b) (BR 17) had independent recollections of
this incident. However, EMT §87(2)(b) testified that he has never taken a patient to the hospital if he
"feels like [the patient] is okay." Both EMT \$87(2)(b) and EMT \$87(2)(b) testified that EMTs are
responsible for deciding whether a patient needs to be removed from the hospital.
An officer must take into protective custody, and have removed to the hospital in an
ambulance, any person who appears to be mentally ill or temporarily deranged and is conducting
himself in a manner which a police officer reasonably believes is likely to result in serious injury to
himself or others. NYPD Patrol Guide Procedure 221-13.
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
Allegation (H) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Kenneth Miller failed to provide \$87(2)(b)
with a business card.
Allegation (I) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Samantha Humburg failed to provide
with a business card.
testified that she was not summonsed during the incident and never received
a business card.
Sgt. Miller testified that he provided his badge number and identification to \$87(2)(b)
at \$87(2)(6) Hospital, but had no knowledge of a business card being provided to her. PO Humburg
did not recall if a business card was provided to §87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

Officers are required to offer a business card to a person at the conclusion of a law

enforcement activity, including pedestrian stops where an officer has a reasonable suspicion that the person stop has committed, is committing, or is about to commit a crime, and searches of persons, when the activity does not result in an arrest or summons. New York City Administrative Code § 14-174 (BR 18).

14-1/4	(BK 18). § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)								
	3 07(2)(0), 3 07(2)(6)								
•	19-20). Sgt. Miller has been to which he has been PO Delgado has bee	Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories his is the first complaint to which \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) have been a party (BR 0-20).  gt. Miller has been a member of service for 23 years and this is the first CCRB complaint which he has been a subject.  D Delgado has been a member of service for 17 years and has been a subject in four CRB cases and 11 allegations, none of which was substantiated.							
•	<ul> <li>PO Bae has been a member of service for five years and this is the first CCRB complaint t which he has been a subject.</li> <li>PO Humburg has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in one prior CCRB case, which is pending Board review.</li> </ul>								
•	As of November 19, Notice of Claim bein According to the Oft convictions in New According to OCA, 23).	dec 2019, the Ne ag filed in reg fice of Court A York City (Bl	gard to this comp. Administration (9 R 22).	this complai fice of the Co laint (BR 21) OCA), §87(2)(b	int. omptroller has no record).				
Squad 1	No.:								
Investiş	gator:Signatu	re	Print Title &	Name	Date				
Squad l	Leader:Signatus	re	Print Title &	Name	Date				

Print Title & Name

Reviewer:

Signature

Date