CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force	V	Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Robert Bryan		Squad #13	202003255	v	Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•]	Precinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Sunday, 05/03/2020 5:15 PM		§ 87(2)(b)		Ī	43	1	1/3/2021	5/4/2022
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	:	Date/Time	Reco	eived at CCI	RB
Sun, 05/03/2020 7:09 PM		IAB	Phone		Wed, 05/1	3/202	20 11:17 AN	А
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess					
Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess					
Withess(cs)		Trome rudic						
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. POM Freddy Difo	08770	957544	PSA 8					
2. POM William Corchado	13567	957487	PSA 8					
3. POF Tiffany Cannon	08651	962776	PSA 8					
Officer(s)	Allegati	on			Inve	stiga	ator Recon	nmendation
A.POM Freddy Difo	Abuse: F	Police Officer Freddy Di	fo searched § 87(2)(b)					
B.POM Freddy Difo	Discourtesy: Police Officer Freddy Difo spoke discourteously to \$87(2)(0)							
§ 87(4-b) § 87(2)(g)	§ 87(4-b) § 87	7(2)(g)						
	§ 87(4-b) § 8	7(2)(g)						
	§ 87(4-b) § 8	7(2)(g)						
					_			

Case Summary

On May 3, 2020, § 87(2)(b) called IAB and filed this complaint, which was received by the CCRB on $\overline{\text{May } 13, 2020.}$ At approximately 5:15 p.m. on May 3, 2020, § 87(2)(b) was smoking marijuana in front of his apartment building, located at § 87(2)(b) in the Bronx, when Police Officer Freddy Difo of PSA 8 approached and stopped him. PO Difo was accompanied by Police Officer William Corchado and Police Officer Tiffany Cannon, both of PSA 8. PO Difo searched inside s pockets (Allegation A – Abuse of Authority; § 87(2)(9)) and told § 87(2)(b) a bystander, to "shut the fuck up" (Allegation B – Discourtesy; § 87(2)(9) PO Difo wrote § 87(2)(b) a summons for § 87(2)(b) (Board Review ()1) [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)] A cell phone video of the incident provided by §87(2)(b) is attached to IA #13 (Board Review 02) and summarized in IA #20 (Board Review 03). BWC footage from PO Difo, PO Corchado, and PO Cannon was received from NYPD Legal. The footage is in IAs # 44-46 (Board Review 04-06) and summarized in IAs #47-49 (Board Review 07-09). Findings and Recommendations Allegation (A) Search: Police Officer Freddy Difo searched § 87(2)(b) A cell phone video taken by an unidentified individual and provided to the investigation by \$87(2)(b) begins with PO Difo having already begun his search of \$87(2)(b) while standing in the courtyard of the Bronx River Houses. § 57(2)(b) stands approximately 10 feet away, facing the camera with his hands raised (**Board Review 02**). At 00:10, PO Difo takes an unidentified item s left pocket and places it on a nearby ledge. At 00:22, PO Difo puts his hands s waistband. At 00:20, §87(2)(b) says, "I'm not collecting no inside the front of § 87(2)(b) attention. I'm chilling, bro." PO Difo says, "I was going to leave you alone, but since you want to start running your mouth..." At 00:26, \$87(2)(b) says, "I don't care, bro. You can search me. I don't got nothing on me. I got weed. Whatever you want to do, that's your choice." At 00:36, PO Difo places both his hands in \$37(2)(b) s right pants pocket. At 00:42, PO Difo pats down the s pants and reaches around him to pat down his buttocks area. At 00:47, PO Difo stands behind \$87(2)(b) and appears to continue to pat down \$97(2)(b) s buttocks over his pants, though PO Difo's hands cannot be seen. At 00:53, PO Difo places several of the fingers of his right hand under § 87(2)(b) s waistband and §87(2)(b) says, "What are you doing? You're not even supposed to do all that. I just said I don't got none of that. You all in my ass, bro. You bugging out." At 00:56, PO Difo stops searching \$87(2)(b) points to a bench, and says, "Sit." The camera pans down to the bench before \$87(2)(b) sits down and the video ends at 01:04. The BWC footage of PO Difo, PO Corchado, does not begin until after this portion of the incident and only captures PO Difo writing \$87(2)(b) a summons as \$87(2)(b) sits on the same bench PO Difo pointed to at the end of the aforementioned cell phone video (Board Review 04-06). s statement was generally consistent with the cell phone footage (Board Review 10). He stated that he was sitting on a bench in front of his building smoking a marijuana cigarette when

CCRB Case # 202003255

he saw PO Difo, PO Corchado, and PO Cannon approach him on foot. PO Difo said, \$187(2)(5)

you're staying out of trouble today?" PO Difo knew \$87(2)(b) s full name from previous encounters in the neighborhood. \$87(2)(b) replied, "Officer, I got weed on me today. I'm not in the mood for all the back and forth right now. It's a beautiful day. I want to enjoy my day. If you're going to write me a ticket, write me a ticket, because I know I'm wrong." PO Difo recovered two clear baggies during the search, one in \$87(2)(b) s left sweatpants pocket and one in his right, each containing an eighth of an ounce of marijuana.
PO Difo stated that he, PO Corchado, and PO Cannon were performing a routine patrol in the Bronx River Houses when he noticed \$\frac{877(2)(6)}{2}\$ took the marijuana cigarette (Board Review 11). PO Difo approached \$\frac{877(2)(6)}{2}\$ took the marijuana cigarette from his hand, and frisked him over his jacket and pants while looking for a firearm or other weapon. Besides his memory of a prior arrest on \$\frac{877(2)(6)}{2}\$, during which PO Difo found a gun in one of \$\frac{877(2)(6)}{2}\$ spants pockets, PO Difo had no reason to believe \$\frac{877(2)(6)}{2}\$ was carrying a weapon. Initially, PO Difo stated that he did not recall placing his hands inside of \$\frac{877(2)(6)}{2}\$ spockets. After being shown the cell phone footage, he stated that the video refreshed his memory and that he did place his hands inside of \$\frac{877(2)(6)}{2}\$ spockets to recover marijuana. Aside from \$\frac{877(2)(6)}{2}\$ possessed any more marijuana on his person prior to the search. PO Difo found marijuana in \$\frac{877(2)(6)}{2}\$ possessed any more marijuana on his person prior to the search. PO Difo found marijuana in \$\frac{877(2)(6)}{2}\$ spocket, but he did not recall how much or in which pocket. He believed he had discretion in deciding whether to bring \$\frac{877(2)(6)}{2}\$ back to the stationhouse to issue the summons there, because marijuana was illegal at the time of the incident and because \$\frac{877(2)(6)}{2}\$ did not have ID on his person, so he could have been brought back to the stationhouse for identification purposes. PO Difo issued the summons at the incident location instead "in lieu of arrest." PO Difo said he remembered \$\frac{877(2)(6)}{2}\$ s first and last name from their prior interaction and that he was able to confirm \$\frac{877(2)(6)}{2}\$ s date of birth for the summons by looking him up on his NYPD-issued cell phone.
A search is not incident to arrest for Fourth Amendment purposes if it is only incident to probable cause that might have led to an arrest but did not. People v Reid, 24 N.Y.3d 615 (Board Review 12). "An officer's mere unscientific guess as to a person's propensity to commit crimes, without some objective indicia that a crime has taken, will take, or may be taking place, is simply insufficient to justify isolating that person from a group of people and making inquiry as to his conduct. People v. Boulware, 130 A.D.2d 370 (Board Review 13).
NYPD Patrol Guide, Procedure 209-09 states that when issuing a summons returnable to criminal court, officers may remove the violator to the stationhouse for investigation if doubt concerning the person's identity exists (Board Review 20).
The NYPD Legal Bureau Bulletin that went into effect in August of 2019 and was enforced until the legalization of marijuana in March of 2021 states that "Possession of small amounts of marihuana, up to and including 2 ounces, will be a violation" and that "smoking marihuana or possessing marihuana open to public view will be downgraded from a misdemeanor to a violation"

PO Difo's stated reasons for searching \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ were twofold: PO Difo had previously found a gun in \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ s possession and \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ could have been arrested for marijuana possession, so the search was performed incident to this hypothetical arrest. \$\frac{87(2)(g)}{2}\$

(Board Review 21).

§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation (B) Discourtesy: Police Officer Freddy Difo spoke discourteously to 887(2)(b)
In PO Corchado's BWC footage, at the 05:10 minute-mark of the media player timestamp (visible at the bottom of screen), as PO Difo is writing the summons, an unidentified onlooker standing approximately 50 feet away from the officers (later identified by PO Difo during his interview as (asys, "It's like the hundredth time they stopped you. It's some bullshit. These two. No other cops. Literally these two" (Board Review 05). At 05:15, PO Difo replies, "Will you shut the fuck up and walk away?" PO Difo continues to write the summons and says, "I can talk all I want to. You can't say nothing to me. I can talk all I want. I know my rights." He tells PO Difo he studied government justice in school, and in response, at 05:35, PO Difo repeatedly asks (37(2)(b) "Do you want a cake?" At no point does (37(2)(b) approach the officers or impede PO Difo's ability to issue the summons.
stated that while PO Difo wrote summons for stated that while PO Difo wrote did not know. PO Difo used profanity toward someone in the crowd, though stated that while PO Difo wrote did not know. PO Difo used profanity toward could not recall exactly what he said.
was unavailable to the investigation (see IAs for contact attempts).
PO Difo stated that while he was writing \$\frac{\mathbb{S}^{87(2)(b)}}{\mathbb{S}}\$ s summons, \$\frac{\mathbb{S}^{87(2)(b)}}{\mathbb{S}^{87(2)(b)}}\$ started cursing at the officers. PO Difo yelled at him, "Shut the fuck up. Walk away." \$\frac{\mathbb{S}^{87(2)(b)}}{\mathbb{S}^{87(2)(b)}}\$ said, "Just give me the summons so I can leave." PO Difo told \$\frac{\mathbb{S}^{87(2)(b)}}{\mathbb{S}^{87(2)(b)}}\$ "You're right. I apologize to you."
Officers must respect the dignity of each individual and render their services with courtesy and civility. NYPD Patrol Guide, Procedure 200-02 (Board Review 14). NYPD's disciplinary decisions "have consistently held that when a police officer uses an otherwise impolite word during a stressful street encounter where that officer is attempting to maintain control of the situation, the police officer's verbal slip does not rise to the level of actionable misconduct." NYPD Disciplinary Case No. 2018-18951 (Board Review 19).
§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)				
				_
§ 87(4-b) § 8	7(2)(g)		_	
			_	
			_	
		Civilian	and Officer CCRB Histories	
•	§ 87(2)(b)	1	o one subsequent CCRB compl	laints and has been named as
	a vicum	in eight allegations (Bo §87(2)(b)	Dard Review 10).	
	This is t	the first CCDD assets y	which § 87(2)(b) has been a	norty (Poard Doview 22)
•			service for six years and was n	
	allegation	ons in one additional cas	se, which remains open. § 87(2)(9)	
•			er of service for six years and v	•
	five alle	egations in three addition	nal cases, none of which were s	substantiated. § 87(2)(9)
•			of service for four years and the	nis is the first CCRB
	compiai	int in which she was nar	ned a subject.	
•	§ 87(2)(b)	Mediation declined to media	on, Civil and Criminal Histor	ries_
•	A reque	est for Notice of Claim v	vas sent to the NYC Comptroll	
	The req		response will be added to the o	case file upon receipt (Board
•		§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]		
•				
Squad 1	13			
-				5 (9 T (9) 5 :
Investig	gator: _	Robert Bryan Signature	Inv. Bryan Print Title & Name	6/25/2021 Date

Squad Leader:Laura KastnerIM Laura Kastner06/25/2021SignaturePrint Title & NameDate