## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	<b>✓</b> Force	☐ Discourt.	U.S.
Jenzo Duque		Squad #8	201807225	☐ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Thursday, 08/30/2018 7:22 PM				79	2/29/2020	2/29/2020
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCF	tΒ
Thu, 08/30/2018 8:39 PM		CCRB	On-line website	Thu, 08/30	/2018 8:39 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	SS			
Witness(es) Home Address						
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. SGT Emile Pratt	04876	935535	079 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM Arnold Chow	27983	921211	079 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	stigator Recon	mendation
A.SGT Emile Pratt	Force: Sergeant Emile Pratt used physical force against \$87(2)(b)					
B.SGT Emile Pratt	Force: Sergeant Emile Pratt used physical force against \$87(2)(b)					

## **Case Summary**

filed this complaint, on behalf of \$87(2)(b) with the CCRB via the On-line System on August 30, 2018.

On August 30, 2018, at approximately 7:22 p.m., in front of the McDonald's located at 1531 Fulton Street in Brooklyn, Sergeant Emile Pratt and Police Officer Arnold Chow of the 79<sup>th</sup> Precinct dispersed a group of disorderly students. Sgt. Pratt approached street pushed him, and then restrained him against the wall of the McDonald's (Allegation A: Force, After Sgt. Pratt restrained street against the wall, he then pulled him down to the ground (Allegation B: Force, Str(2)(9)

There was no video footage available for this incident. §87(2)(6) was arrested and issued a juvenile report as a result of this incident.

## **Findings and Recommendations**

Allegation (A) Force: Sergeant Emile Pratt used physical force against §87(2)(6) It is undisputed that on August 30, 2018, at approximately 7:22 p.m., in front of the McDonald's located at 1531 Fulton Street in Brooklyn, Sgt. Pratt pushed [87(2)(6)] has no prior relationship with \$87(2)(b) and filed this complaint on his behalf as an intendent third party. According to \$87(2)(b) s sworn statement (Board Review stood across the street from the McDonald's when she observed approximately 15 individuals standing on the sidewalk near a parking meter, an NYPD vehicle parked in front of the McDonald's, and both Sgt. Pratt and PO Chow standing beside the vehicle. The 15 individuals remained standing and did not obstruct pedestrian traffic nor vehicular traffic. Sgt. Pratt and PO Chow approached the 15 individuals and they began dispersing in two separate directions: one group westbound and the other group eastbound on Fulton Street. 307(2)(5) then crossed the street and stood on the northeast corner of Fulton Street. Sgt. Pratt approached the westbound portion of the group and PO Chow stood in the vicinity. Sgt. Pratt commanded the individuals to disperse once; however, they had begun dispersing prior to his statement. No one in the group made statements to Sgt. Pratt. No one in the group made any sort of physical contact who had been walking with Sgt. Pratt or PO Chow. Sgt. Pratt then approached § 87(2)(b) westbound. Sgt. Pratt pushed § 87(2)(b) with two open hands in the upper torso and shoulder area from behind. § 87(2)(b) did not respond to Sgt. Pratt's push and continued walking. Sgt. Pratt made no statements to \$87(2)(b) nor did he issue him commands. Sgt. Pratt then again in a similar fashion, but with more force, as § 87(2)(b) balance and stumbled forward. § 87(2)(b) did not respond to Sgt. Pratt's second push, and neither Sgt. Pratt nor § 87(2)(b) made statements to each other at that point in time. Sgt. Pratt then grabbed § 87(2)(b) s forearms, turned him around, and pushed him against the wall of the McDonald's.

declined to provide a statement for this incident.

In Sgt. Pratt's statement to the CCRB (Board Review 02), Sgt. Pratt and PO Chow received a radio call regarding a disorderly group of students who refused to leave the location. Sgt. Pratt and PO Chow did not respond to the location immediately because his tour was short staffed. The call did not include a description of specific individuals, nor did the call specify that weapons were at the location. No additional information was provided between when the officers received

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201807225

the call and when they responded to the location. Sgt. Pratt is familiar with the location, as his command receives calls during the school year regarding disorderly students on a weekly basis. Sgt. Pratt estimated he has received 911 calls at the location approximately 20 times, though he has not responded personally in each instance. Sgt. Pratt was not familiar with 887(2)(5) nor had he interacted with him previously.

Sgt. Pratt and PO Chow drove the location and upon exiting their vehicle, were approached by a security guard, who stated he had asked the group of students to leave. Sgt. Pratt observed the group of students inside throwing items, not making purchases, and play-fighting. As Sgt. Pratt approached the entrance, the group of approximately 40-50 students began exiting the location. Sgt. Pratt and PO Chow commanded the group to disperse, as the students were standing on the sidewalk and blocking pedestrian traffic. Sgt. Pratt stood near the portion of the group that traveled westbound, while PO Chow stood closer to the portion of the group that traveled eastbound on Fulton Street. Both officers raised their voices and repeated commands to disperse. As students walked by the officers, they stated they knew their rights and could not be touched. Neither Sgt. Pratt nor PO Chow made physical contact with any of the students at that point in time.

The officers had been at the location for approximately 10-15 minutes and most of the group had dispersed. Sgt. Pratt observed one student, §87(2)(b) who stood in the middle of the group and ignored his commands. \$87(2)(6) turned away from Sgt. Pratt and remained on the sidewalk, while also moving closer to the McDonald's entrance. §87(2)(b) approach Sgt. Pratt at any point in time. Sgt. Pratt then approached §87(2)(b) commanded him to disperse at least three times. [807(2)(6)] drank from a soft drink in his left hand and continued to ignore Sgt. Pratt's commands. Sgt. Pratt then pushed \$87(2)(5) backwards in the shoulder and chest area with both hands while commanding him to move. The push caused § 87(2)(b) to take a step back, but he did not stumble or lose his balance. extended his arms outwards and his chest forward while asking Sgt. Pratt if he was pushing him. § 87(2)(b) held the soft drink in his left hand while his right hand remained open. Sgt. Pratt commanded § 87(2)(b) to disperse once more and §87(2)(b) respond. Sgt. Pratt then pushed § 87(2)(b) again and in a similar fashion, causing to take a step back once more. § 87(2)(b) asked again if Sgt. Pratt was pushing him. Sgt. Pratt commanded §87(2)(b) to disperse once more and §87(2)(b) respond. Sgt. Pratt pushed §87(2)(b) in a similar fashion for the third time, and stated if Sgt. Pratt pushed him again, he would spill his soft drink in Sgt. Pratt's face. Sgt. Pratt then grabbed § 87(2)(b) by the right shoulder and right arm, turned him around, and attempted to handcuff him. \$37(2)(b) flailed his arms from the elbow downward, in a swaying motion and away from his body. Sgt. Pratt pushed §87(2)(b) against the wall of the McDonald's to reduce his movement, as he was resisting arrest.

According to PO Chow's statement to the CCRB (Board Review 03), PO Chow and Sgt. Pratt were on a conditions patrol in the vicinity of the location, which has a chronic condition of disorderly students. The officers observed a group of students inside the McDonald's as they drove down Fulton street. Upon arriving at the location, both officers exited their vehicle and observed approximately 20 individuals both inside and outside the McDonald's. The officers did not speak with staff members prior to engaging with the group. PO Chow did not know if individuals within the group approached Sgt. Pratt. PO Chow did not hear statements Sgt. Pratt made to his portion of the group. PO Chow did not hear Sgt. Pratt say anything to his portion of the group, nor did he hear the group say anything to Sgt. Pratt group made to Sgt. Pratt. PO Chow

did not see or hear anything from Sgt. Pratt's portion of the group that isolated from the other individuals. PO Chow denied witnessing Sgt. Pratt push \$87(2)(6)
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation (B): Sergeant Emile Pratt used physical force against §87(2)(b)
It is undisputed that after pushing \$87(2)(b) against the wall of the McDonald's, Sgt.
Pratt then pulled him to the ground.  According \$87(2)(0) are so verified statement (Board Review 01), Sgt. Pratt crossed \$10.0000.
s arms while pushing him against the wall of the McDonald's. §87(2)(b) rotated
his wrists, but otherwise did not respond in any other physical manner to Sgt. Pratt's pushing. A
crowd compromised of the group of students and other passersby formed next to Sgt. Pratt and
could not heart statements made by Sgt. Pratt at that point in time, as
individuals within the crowd were yelling. Sgt. Pratt and \$87(2)(0) then moved from the wall
to the ground. [87(2)(5)] acknowledged she did not know how they moved to the ground, as her view was obstructed by two to three individuals who stood in between her and what occurred at
that point in time.
In Sgt. Pratt's statement to the CCRB (Board Review 02), Sgt. Pratt commanded
to stop resisting and §87(2)(b) did not respond. Sgt. Pratt held onto
s right arm while \$87(2)(b) s left shoulder, left arm, and chest contacted the wall.
Sgt. Pratt then grabbed \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{\text{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulling \$\frac{\sqrt{37(2)(0)}}{lid not recell if PO Chevy assisted him in pulli
did not recall if PO Chow assisted him in pulling \$87(2)(b) to the ground.  In PO Chow's statement to the CCRB (Board Review 03), PO Chow heard a commotion near
where Sgt. Pratt was addressing the group. Sgt. Pratt and \$87(2)(5) were both lying on the
ground. PO Chow did not see how Sgt. Pratt restrained \$87(2)(5) prior to moving to the
ground. PO Chow did not see how Sgt. Pratt and \$87(2)(b) moved to the ground. PO Chow
did not make physical contact with ser(2)(b) until he and Sgt. Pratt were on the ground. PO
Chow did not know if Sgt. Pratt pulled \$87(2)(b) down to the ground.
Sgt. Pratt acknowledged pulling \$87(2)(6) to the ground; however, he did so because did not comply with lawful commands and resisted arrest, thereby justifying his
usage of force. \$87(2)(b) stated \$87(2)(b) did not resist while Sgt. Pratt restrained him
against the wall. However, \$87(2)(b) acknowledged not being able to hear any statements due
to the crowd, nor being able to see how Sgt. Pratt and [87(2)(b)] moved to the ground.
§ 87(2)(g)
Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories
• This is the first CCRB complaint to which §87(2)(b) has been a party (Board
Review 04)

Page 4

CCRB Case # 201807225

Review • Sgt. Pra	att has been a member of	to which \$87(2)(b) has been service for 14 years and has been one of which were substantiated and	
<ul> <li>As of N Notice of</li> <li>According of convertible</li> <li>According</li> </ul>	mplaint was not suitable for ovember 7, 2018, the New of Claim being filed in rega- ing to the Office of Court A ictions in New York City ( ing to the Office of Court	York City Office of the Comptrolle ards to this complaint (Board Review Administration (OCA), §87(2)(b)	
Squad No.:			
Investigator: _	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader: _	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Print Title & Name

Date

Page 5

CCRB Case # 201807225

Reviewer:

Signature