

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
ONE HOGAN PLACE
New York, N. Y. 10013
(212) 335-9000**

OFFICER: RONNIE MEJIA
TAX NUMBER: 949317
DISCLOSURE ADVISORY

For the person named above, whom the People may call as a witness, please be advised as follows.

1. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated an allegation against RONNIE MEJIA that on or about February 21, 2011 RONNIE MEJIA committed a department rules violation. On February 21, 2011, a person called the central command to report that a defendant was detained by either SNEU or School Safety and brought to the 47th Precinct in regards to a stabbing. The caller reported that when the defendant entered the command, his money was counted in front of Sergeant REDACTED, and was documented in the Command Log as \$261.00 in U.S. Currency. The defendant was taken to the 47th Squad and a bag of cocaine was found in his possession. The defendant was arrested and charged with Criminal Possession of a Controlled Substance in the 7th Degree, and the arrest was assigned to RONNIE MEJIA. Detective REDACTED gave the defendant's property to RONNIE MEJIA in order to be vouchered. RONNIE MEJIA searched the defendant in front of the "desk" and counted \$162.00 in U.S. Currency. RONNIE MEJIA brought it to the attention of a supervisor that a discrepancy of \$99.00 in U.S. Currency had been found. The defendant alleged that he originally had \$261.00 in U.S. Currency in his possession. A second count was done in front of Sergeant REDACTED. On February 18, 2011 at approximately 6:09 AM, Sergeant REDACTED reported that he interviewed the defendant, who stated that he was picked up by an officer in regards to a stabbing investigation. The defendant stated He was brought into the 47th Precinct and went directly to the cell area. He never stopped at the desk and he was never interviewed in regards to the stabbing. When the defendant was asked how much currency he had, he stated that he had \$261.00 in U.S. currency that was given to him by a friend. The defendant was unaware that any of his money was missing at the time. The investigating officer counted the currency that was in the defendant's wallet, that was with the arresting officer, and there was \$162.00 in U.S. currency in the wallet.
2. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated an allegation against RONNIE MEJIA that on or about July 18, 2012 RONNIE MEJIA was unprepared for a scheduled appearance at the Traffic Violation Bureau (TVB) in that he had no recollection of the event, no memo book, and no copy of the summons.
3. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated an allegation against RONNIE MEJIA that on or about December 30, 2017, RONNIE MEJIA committed a restricted use of a parking plaque. On December 30, 2017 a person reported that RONNIE MEJIA's vehicle was parked at a bus stop with its parking permit number redacted. The person also reported that the vehicle did not have a registration sticker and had a rear license plate cover.

4. RONNIE MEJIA is a named defendant in the Civil Action, WALTER HUGHES V, THE CITY OF NEW YORK ET AL., 300 370/2015, filed in the New York State Supreme Court, Bronx County arising from an incident on February 26, 2012.

Various publicly available websites and databases contain disciplinary information for certain law enforcement officers. Two of these are online databases maintained by the New York City Police Department (NYPD) (<https://nypdonline.org/link/1026>) and the New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board (<https://www1.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/policy/MOS-records.page>).

Information in such databases about this officer is not necessarily included in this advisory. For some NYPD disciplinary matters in which a departmental trial was held for an officer, the decision in the trial is included in the NYPD database under the “Documents” tab for that officer.

Any information herein regarding civil lawsuits against an officer is not necessarily a complete list of civil lawsuits in which that officer is a defendant.

Allegations of misconduct that have not been substantiated and are not pending (including, but not limited to, findings of unsubstantiated, unfounded, and exonerated), and allegations of technical infractions, are not subject to disclosure and are not included in this advisory.

The decision to include information in this advisory does not represent a conclusion by the People that it is required to be disclosed.

The People reserve the right to oppose or move to limit the use of any information included herein or disclosed in the future.

If you have any questions, please contact the Assistant District Attorney assigned to the case on which you are receiving this advisory.

August 20, 2021