

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Volha Shauchenka	Team: Squad #15	CCRB Case #: 201704095	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday, 05/12/2017 7:30 PM	Location of Incident: In front of 365 West 125th Street	Precinct: 26	18 Mo. SOL 11/12/2018	EO SOL 11/12/2018	
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 05/15/2017 12:28 PM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 05/24/2017 1:54 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. An officer			026 PCT
2. POM Anthony Guglielmo	15654	957647	026 PCT
3. POM Murtaza Mandliyadav	00721	925660	026 PCT
4. POM Edson Brutus	27303	932325	026 PCT
5. POM Daniel Rogers	08157	950699	026 PCT
6. POM Brian Knudsen	11155	942017	ESS 02
7. DTS Martin Duffy	03381	918985	ESS 02

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. SGT Yerlin Moya	02772	937147	026 PCT
2. POM Harold Nunez	28069	925840	026 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Anthony Guglielmo	Force: Police Officer Anthony Guglielmo used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM Murtaza Mandliyadav	Force: Police Officer Murtaza Mandliyadav used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
C.POM Edson Brutus	Force: Police Officer Edson Brutus used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
D.POM Daniel Rogers	Force: Police Officer Daniel Rogers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
E.POM Edson Brutus	Force: Police Officer Edison Brutus used a Taser against § 87(2)(b)	
F.POM Murtaza Mandliyadav	Force: Police Officer Murtaza Mandliyadav used a Taser against § 87(2)(b)	
G. An officer	Force: An officer used a Taser against § 87(2)(b)	
H.POM Brian Knudsen	Force: Police Officer Brian Knudsen used restraining straps against § 87(2)(b)	
I.DTS Martin Duffy	Force: Detective Martin Duffy used restraining straps against § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On May 15, 2017, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with IAB via the telephone, generating log #2017-18141, which contained an address and a phone number for him. The case was received by the CCRB on May 24, 2017.

The undersigned investigator received the case on May 25, 2017. It was determined that the phone number listed for § 87(2)(b) in the IAB log belonged to § 87(2)(b) Hospital in Manhattan. § 87(2)(b) was not reached at that phone number after four phone calls placed between May 30 and June 7, 2017. Two please call letters were also sent to § 87(2)(b) on May 30 and June 5, 2017. CCRB's CTS and NYPD's BADS databases searches conducted on June 1, 2017, did not yield any recent addresses or phone numbers for § 87(2)(b).

On June 8, 2017, § 87(2)(b) called the undersigned in response to one of the letters from the CCRB, confirmed his address and provided his new phone number. During the call, § 87(2)(b) was scheduled for an in-person interview on June 16, 2017. On June 13, 2017, the undersigned called § 87(2)(b) returning his two missed calls and reminded him of his scheduled appointment. During the call, § 87(2)(b) agreed to receive text message reminders from the CCRB. On June 16, 2017, before his scheduled interview, § 87(2)(b) called the undersigned and requested to reschedule it since he had a cold and was in pain that resulted from the incident. § 87(2)(b) was rescheduled for June 23, 2017, since he had scheduled parole officer visits prior to that. On June 22, 2017, § 87(2)(b) called the undersigned and confirmed he would be at the CCRB for his appointment. On the day of his second scheduled appointment, prior to it, the undersigned received two missed phone calls from him. The investigator returned § 87(2)(b)'s phone calls, and § 87(2)(b) informed her he would not be able to appear at the CCRB since he had no financial means to pay the subway fare and a friend who was supposed to take him to the agency did not come. The undersigned offered to mail § 87(2)(b) a MetroCard or meet with him at a neutral location in the Bronx. § 87(2)(b) did not accept the offered options and elected to wait until July 1, 2017, when he would receive his benefits. It was explained to § 87(2)(b) that his verified statement was essential for the investigation if he wished the CCRB to investigate his complaint, although his participation is voluntary. § 87(2)(b) was rescheduled for a third interview on July 3, 2017. On the day of his third scheduled appointment, before the interview, § 87(2)(b) left a voice message for the undersigned stating he would not be able to come to the CCRB and requesting the undersigned to contact him. The investigator returned § 87(2)(b)'s phone call, and his phone rang continuously without an option to leave a voice message. Three letters reminding § 87(2)(b) of his appointments and three text messages were sent to him before each scheduled interview.

Searches of the Department of Correction for New York City and New York State databases conducted on July 6, 2017 confirmed § 87(2)(b) is not incarcerated. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

Squad: 15

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print	Date
Squad Leader:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date