CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:	Т	eam:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	e	✓ Discourt	. U.S.
Stephen DiFiore	S	quad #5	202002611	✓ Abu	se	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)	L	ocation of Incident:		Precin	ict:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Tuesday, 04/07/2020 8:20 PM	c	Outside 1305 Avenue	R in Brooklyn	61		10/7/2021	5/4/2022
Date/Time CV Reported	C	CV Reported At:	How CV Reporte	d: Date	/Time I	Received at CC	CRB
Tue, 04/07/2020 9:01 PM	C	CCRB	Call Processing System	Tue,	04/07/2	2020 9:01 PM	[
Complainant/Victim	Туре	Home Addi	ress				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command				
1. POM Felix Chabanov	30733	953739	061 PCT				
2. An officer			061 PCT				
3. SGT Daniel Mcguinness	05581	953086	061 PCT				
Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name				
1. POM Fausto Moisessantos	15637	967612	061 PCT				
2. PO Cameron Wong	15998	967725	061 PCT				
Officer(s)	Allegation				Inves	tigator Reco	mmendation
A.POM Felix Chabanov	Force: Poli against § 87(ce Officer Felix Cha	banov used physical	l force			
B.POM Felix Chabanov	Force: Poli against § 87(ce Officer Felix Cha	banov used physical	l force			
C.POM Felix Chabanov		y: Police Officer Feli Isly toward ^{§ 87(2)(b)}	ix Chabanov acted				
D.POM Felix Chabanov		y: Police Officer Feli usly to ^{§ 87(2)(b)}	ix Chabanov spoke				
E.POM Felix Chabanov	Off. Langu to § 87(2)(b)	age: Police Officer F	Felix Chabanov mad sed upon religion.	le remarks			
F.POM Felix Chabanov	Abuse: Pol name to § 87	ice Officer Felix Cha	abanov refused to pr	rovide his			
G.SGT Daniel Mcguinness		y: Sergeant Daniel Masly to individuals.	Icguinness spoke				
H.POM Felix Chabanov	Abuse: Pol name to § 87	ice Officer Felix Cha (2)(b)	abanov refused to pr	rovide his			
I.POM Felix Chabanov	Force: Poli against § 87(ce Officer Felix Cha 2)(b)	banov used physical	l force			
J.POM Felix Chabanov	Abuse: Pol § 87(2)(b)	ice Officer Felix Cha	abanov threatened to	o arrest			

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
K. An officer	Abuse: An officer refused to provide his name to (887(2))	
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)		

Case Summary

<u>Case Summary</u>
On April 7, 2020, \$87(2)(b) filed this complaint on the CCRB call processing
On April 7, 2020, at approximately 8:20 p.m. \$87(2)(6) and other unidentified individuals were present for a
religious gathering that took place outside the synagogue located at 1305 Avenue R in Brooklyn. The assorted men and boys were filling a variety of vessels, including a number of large plastic jugs, with ritually significant (or "holy") water in preparation for the beginning of Passover. It was early in the COVID-19 pandemic, and a 911 caller reported that the men were holding a prohibited social
gathering. Sergeant Daniel McGuinness and Police Officers Felix Chabanov, Fausto Moisessantos, and Cameron Wong of the 61 st Precinct responded to the location.
While instructing everyone to leave, PO Chabanov allegedly pushed [887(2)(b)]
(Allegation A: Force, \$87(2)(9)). PO Chabanov also allegedly used physical force against \$87(2)(9) (Allegation B: Force, \$87(2)(9)). PO Chabanov kicked a pair of water jugs
down the sidewalk (Allegation C: Discourtesy, § 87(2)(9)). PO Chabanov allegedly said, "Fuck
Jews," and referred to the religious gathering using the words, "Crap of Jews" (Allegation D:
Discourtesy, \$87(2)(9); Allegation E: Offensive Language, \$87(2)(9)). PO
Chabanov allegedly refused to provide his name to \$87(2)(b) (Allegation F: Abuse
of Authority, \$87(2)(9)). Sgt. McGuinness told unidentified members of the group, "I see
four fucking people here," while instructing members of the crowd to spread out and socially distance
(Allegation G: Discourtesy, \$87(2)(9)). PO Chabanov allegedly refused to provide his name to
(Allegation H: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(9)). PO Chabanov allegedly
used physical force against \$87(2)(b) (Allegations I: Force, \$87(2)(9)). PO
Chabanov threatened §87(2)(b) with arrest (Allegation J: Abuse of Authority,
). An officer allegedly refused to provide his name to \$87(2)(b) (Allegation K:
Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(9). The incident did not result in any arrests or
summonses. § 87(4-b), § 87(2)(9)
The investigation obtained BWC footage for this incident from PO Chabanov, PO Moisessantos, and PO Wong (Board Review 01-03, summarized at BR 20-21). All references to video evidence below refer to the timestamp in the video player and not to the videos' on-screen timestamps. As noted throughout the report, the investigation was unable to determine if the available BWC captured the entire incident from beginning to end.
PO Chabanov has since been promoted to the rank of sergeant and reassigned to the 76 th

PO Chabanov has since been promoted to the rank of sergeant and reassigned to the 76th Precinct.

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation (A) Force: Police Officer Felix Chabanov used physical force against \$87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)	alleged that PO Chabanov instructed the men to le	ave, and he pushed
§ 87(2)(b)	on his side as he turned away (Board Review 04). §87(2)(b)	did not have
a detailed recolled	ction of the incident, but he indicated that PO Chabanov pus	hed him before PO
Chabanov first kic	ked a water jug down the sidewalk.	

PO Chabanov's BWC footage captures the first 30 seconds of his interaction with the members of the crowd, during which time he does not appear to shove, or otherwise use physical force against, any civilian on scene. He does appear to tap one of the men on the back as the man

begins to walk down the sidewalk (Board Review 01, at approximately 00:45). STOPIO is not the man whom PO Chabanov tapped. PO Chabanov's BWC was inadvertently deactivated shortly afterward. However, PO Wong's BWC footage provides a good view of PO Chabanov's actions after that point (Board Review 03, beginning at approximately 00:40), and it also does not show PO Chabanov appearing to use force against anyone, either before or after he began kicking the water jugs.

PO Chabanov denied that he shoved anyone during the incident (Board Review 05). He explained that, while telling civilians to leave the scene, he did place his hand flat on civilians' shoulders or backs and applied pressure to prompt them to move and to guide them where he wanted them to go. PO Wong did not see PO Chabanov push anyone (Board Review 06). PO Moisessantos was not sure what PO Chabanov did because he was focused on the members of the crowd, but he denied ever seeing PO Chabanov physically interact with anyone (Board Review 07). Sgt. McGuinness was not sure if PO Chabanov pushed anyone (Board Review 08).

Allegation (B) Force: Police Officer Felix Chabanov used physical force against 887(2)(b)

who had a full bottle of holy water in his hand, punched him in the stomach, and grabbed the water from him (Board Review 09). \$87(2)(b) testified to seeing PO Chabanov shove someone when he took the water, though he could not recall if it was \$87(2)(b) or \$87(2)(b) testified to seeing PO Chabanov shove someone (Board Review 10). However, \$87(2)(b) recounted that PO Chabanov merely pushed him very slightly while taking the water (Board Review 11). \$87(2)(b) did not suffer any injury.

As noted above, PO Chabanov denied that he pushed or shoved anyone in order to gain compliance and that the extent of his physical contact was placing a hand on someone's back or shoulder to guide them away from the scene.

The BWC footage shows that \$\frac{8}{27(2)(b)}\$ was holding a hose and using it to fill a jug when PO Chabanov approached him and pulled the hose out of his grasp (Board Review 01 at 00:55; Board Review 02 at 00:48). PO Chabanov told him, "You're not taking anything. Let's go. Out." It is clear from the footage that PO Chabanov did not punch \$\frac{8}{27(2)(b)}\$ and it also does not appear to show him pushing \$\frac{8}{27(2)(b)}\$ It cannot be determined from the footage whether PO Chabanov made incidental physical contact with \$\frac{8}{27(2)(b)}\$

Allegation (C) Discourtesy: Police Officer Felix Chabanov acted discourteously toward

all recounted that PO Chabanov kicked at least one of the vessels with which they were retrieving the water. [\$87(2)(b)] added that he pleaded with PO Chabanov not to spill the water as it was essential to the celebration, and PO Chabanov responded by kicking several empty bottles. [\$87(2)(b)] noted that he went to retrieve one of the bottles PO Chabanov kicked, and PO Chabanov then knocked over another one. As [\$87(2)(b)] was leading the gathering, he is the

PO Wong's BWC footage clearly captured PO Chabanov kicking two large plastic jugs during the incident (Board Review 03). At approximately 00:49, shortly after PO Chabanov takes the hose from \$\frac{887(2)(5)}{2}\$ he kicks one jug hard enough to send it flying approximately ten feet down the sidewalk. \$\frac{897(2)(5)}{2}\$ walks away to retrieve it. At 01:01, PO Chabanov

kicks a second empty jug hard enough to send it flying into the street.

PO Chabanov, interviewed nearly eleven months since the incident, did not remember ever kicking any bottles down the sidewalk or otherwise knocking them over. After viewing the BWC footage described above, PO Chabanov claimed that he did not remember kicking the water jugs down the sidewalk, and he denied knowing why the jugs moved. He testified that he was unable to identify himself in the footage because it was too blurry for him to see clearly. PO Chabanov acknowledged that he did not recall there being any need during the incident to move the water jugs.

§ 87(2)(g)
Patrol Guide Procedure 200-02 requires officers to "render [their] services with civility and courtesy" (Board Review 12).
\$87(2)(g)
3 01 (z)(g)
Allegation (D) Discourtesy: Police Officer Felix Chabanov spoke discourteously to \$87(2)(b)
Allegation (E) Offensive Language: Police Officer Felix Chabanov made remarks to \$87(2)(6)
based upon religion.
Allegation (F) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Felix Chabanov refused to provide his name
to § 87(2)(b)

All four civilians recounted hearing PO Chabanov use profanity and make remarks based on the religious beliefs of the congregation.

stated that the officers were initially calm when they first approached the scene during the ceremony. He further stated that officers were telling members of the congregation to spread out and that only one person at a time may receive the water. However, PO Chabanov said that no one may receive the holy water even after other officers said they could. He further stated that all of this took place before the PO Chabanov kicked the bottle of holy water. [207(2)(1)) further stated that when the rabbi tried to say that they are trying to practice a Jewish tradition, PO Chabanov responded with "Get out with this crap," and "Fuck Jewish people." PO Chabanov also told everyone to leave.

testified that PO Chabanov approached him shortly after he arrived on scene and removed the water from his hand. [887(2)(b)] testified similarly to [887(2)(b)] testified similarly to [887(2)(b)] in that PO Chabanov said, "Fuck Jews," and referred to the water as "crap" when he tried to explain the purpose of the ceremony. [887(2)(b)] further testified that he asked PO Chabanov for his name around the time that PO Chabanov kicked the water bottles, but PO Chabanov ignored him. [887(2)(b)] was so embarrassed by PO Chabanov's actions that he left the scene and did not see anything that took place afterwards.

similarly testified to PO Chabanov's use of profanity and offensive

language. He stated that PO Chabanov took the water from Fuck Jews," and said something about a "crap of Jews."	and then yelled,
who again cited that he did not have a good is similarly stated that around the time PO Chabanov kicked the bottles, I Jews," and referred to the ceremony as a "crap of Jews" or as a "kind of	PO Chabanov yelled, "Fuck
As previously noted, PO Chabanov's BWC footage captured interaction with the members of the crowd, but was then inadvertently d took the hose from §87(2)(b) PO Moisessantos' BWC (the first 30 seconds of his leactivated at the moment he Board Review 02, beginning
at approximately 00:45) and PO Wong's BWC (Board Review 03, begins captured what took place after the deactivation of PO Chabanov's BW the footage shows that he quicky walked aways are shown to be s	VC. With specific regard to
PO Chabanov remained in front of it, so any of the alleged statements we during the brief time period when they were both in front of the syna	ould had to have taken place agogue. The BWC does not
capture PO Chabanov yelling, using profanity, or saying the word "Jev The footage also does not capture any of the civilians making any conte PO Chabanov having spoken offensively about their religion. However	emporaneous remarks about
throughout that time, and PO Chabanov is not immediately beside PO throughout, so it remains possible that PO Chabanov made a comment w BWC footage. None of the footage captures anyone asking for any office	hich was not captured in the
PO Chabanov denied that he used profanity or made any remark of the members of the congregation. He also volunteered during his CCR	ks about the religious beliefs B interview that he is Jewish
himself. PO Chabanov did not remember anyone asking him for his nam PO Moisessantos, Sgt. McGuinness, and PO Wong denied hea any profanity or make any comments about the religious beliefs of those	ring PO Chabanov ever use
PO Moisessantos did not remember anyone ask any officers for their remember anyone asking PO Chabanov specifically for his name. Sgr	r names. PO Wong did not
anyone ask PO Chabanov for his name. The investigation pleaded the discourteous and offensive languages as the victim because the rabbi was leading the cong	gregation and because § 87(2)(b)
and sar(2)(b) all testiff made either directly to the rabbi or around the time that PO Chabanov rethe bottles.	ied that the comments were emoved the hose and kicked
The available BWC footage does not capture PO Chabanov yelling offensive phrases at the specific times described by the civilians. §87(2)(9)	ng the particular profane and
§ 87(2)(g)	
Allogation (C) Discourtesy: Sargaant Daniel McCuinness spake disc	pourteously to individuals

Allegation (G) Discourtesy: Sergeant Daniel McGuinness spoke discourteously to individuals.

None of the interviewed civilians recounted hearing Sgt. McGuinness use profanity during the incident.

PO Wong's BWC footage shows that, as the officers were ordering the civilians to spread out down the block, Sgt. McGuinness approached some of them and told them, "I see four fucking

people here" (Board review 03, at approximately 1:40).
§ 87(2)(g)
Set McGuinness calmovaled and that he made the profess remark. He initially testified the
Sgt. McGuinness acknowledged that he made the profane remark. He initially testified that he did not know why he used profanity, and later explained that it was a frustrating situation because
none of the civilians were listening to the officers.
Patrol Guide Procedure 200-02 requires officers to "render [their] services with civility and
courtesy" (Board Review 12). <u>DCT Case 2017-17005</u> holds that discourteous remarks are permissible
in situations that are "so chaotic that the duty of courteousness and professional behavior should be
overridden by the immediate need to maintain order." The profanity itself must still be in furtherance
of a "legitimate police purpose" (Board Review 13).
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation (H) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Felix Chabanov refused to provide his name
<u>to</u> § 87(2)(b)
alleged that he asked PO Chabanov for his name at some point during the
incident, and that PO Chabanov did not respond. §87(2)(b) could not recall the timing of this
interaction with any greater specificity. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b)
did not testify to this allegation. None of the BWC videos captured any civilian asking any officer for his name. It appeared
that the officers were readying to leave when PO Moisessanntos and PO Wong deactivated their
BWCs, but the officers were still on scene at that point. The 911 log for this incident shows that in
was not closed in the system until approximately 13 minutes after the end of the BWC footage (Board
Review 14). It is not clear whether officers actually remained on scene for that extended period of
time, or whether there was simply a delay in the 911 dispatcher marked the final disposition for the
incident.
PO Chabanov did not remember anyone asking him for his name. PO Wong did not
remember anyone asking PO Chabanov specifically for his name. PO Wong said that he deactivated
his BWC because the incident was already over, and he was about to go back to his vehicle, though
he could not remember if he did anything else first. Sgt. McGuinness did not hear anyone ask PC
Chabanov for his name. PO Moisessantos did not remember anyone ask any officers for their names
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation (I) Force: Police Officer Felix Chabanov used physical force against \$87(2)(b)
testified that after officers told the crowd that only one person could
receive the holy water, he went over to retrieve the holy water. Nonetheless, PO Chabanov ran over
to him and pushed him hard in the chest to stop him from retrieving the water. § 87(2)(b)

was hard enough that \$87(2)(b) could feel some pain when he lifted his arm for the next week or two.

As noted above, \$87(2)(b) said that PO Chabanov shoved \$87(2)(b) or \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) did not testify to this allegation.

refused to give him the water, so PO Chabanov punched [87(2)(b)] in the stomach. The push

PO Wong's BWC shows Sgt. McGuinness saying, "If you need the water, then all of you leave, and one person gets it" (Board Review 03, at approximately 00:38). At 01:46, Sgt. McGuinness approaches a few members of the group and says, "One person." At this point, almost all of the congregants begin to walk down the sidewalk toward the corner. There is one person holding a water jug who remains near PO Chabanov at the table. At 01:18, PO Wong turns away and walks toward the corner so there is no view of PO Chabanov. During this time in PO Moisessantos' BWC video, PO Moisessantos has walked in the opposite direction to tell someone else to leave. PO Wong's next view of PO Chabanov is at 01:35. No one is standing near the table and PO Chabanov is standing in between everybody and the crowd.

PO Moisessantos' BWC shows that only one person went to the table after Sgt. McGuinness reiterates, "One person" (Board Review 02, at approximately 02:27). PO Chabanov is around ten feet away from this individual and is backing up. No officer interacts with this individual until the end of both PO Moisessantos' and PO Wong's BWC videos.

PO Chabanov denied shoving or punching anyone, denied that anyone complained of pain to him, and affirmed that people were generally compliant as he guided them away from the scene. PO Wong denied that he ever saw PO Chabanov punch anyone in the stomach. As noted above, PO Moisessantos did not see PO Chabanov physically interact with anyone but noted that he was focused on the members of the crowd. Sgt. McGuinness similarly did not see PO Chabanov punch anyone in the stomach.

As noted above, there are only two instances captured on video where PO Chabanov appea to physically interact with members of the congregation: one where he briefly taps someone on the back, and one where he removes a hose from \$87(2)(5) shand. The footage does not be a small property of the congregation of the back, and one where he removes a hose from \$87(2)(5) shand.
capture him using force against anyone. § 87(2)(9)

Allegation (J) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Felix Chabanov threatened to arrest

testified that when he told the officers that they had done nothing wrong and asked why they had to leave, PO Chabanov said, "Because I said so. If you don't leave, we're going to arrest you."

PO Chabanov testified generally that he advised members of the crowd that they could be summonsed or arrested if they did not leave. He further testified that the members of the crowd could have been arrested for disorderly conduct for blocking the sidewalk, making excessive noise, and refusing to obey a lawful order to disperse.

Executive Order 202.10, issued March 23, 2020, states, "Non-essential gatherings of individuals of any size for any reason ... are canceled or postponed at this time." Executive Order 202.14, issued April 7, 2020, "continue[s] the suspensions and modifications of law, and any directives ... made by Executive Order 202 and each successor Executive Order to 202, for thirty days

until May 7, 2020" (Board Review 15-16).
S OT (2)(9)
Allegation (K) Abuse of Authority: An officer refused to provide his name to
alleged that he asked an officer for his name and the officer ignored He described the officer as skinny white man who was approximately 5'5" tall. \$\frac{87(2)(5)}{2}\$ could not tell provide any further description, beyond that the officer was not PO Chabanov. As noted above, the BWC videos do not capture anyone asking any officer for his name McGuinness is white, 5'10" tall, and weighs 215 pounds (Board Review 17). PO Moisessant Hispanic, 6'0" tall, and weighs 176 pounds (Board Review 18, page 2). PO Wong is Asian, 5'9' and weighs 160 pounds (Board Review 19). None of them matches the description provided by
PO Wong denied that anyone asked for his name and noted that he would have provided asked. PO Moisessantos did not remember anyone asking him for his name. Sgt. McGuinness di see or hear anyone ask for an officer's name.
see of flear anyone ask for an officer's flame.
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)
Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories This is the first CCRB associated to which SOCONS
This is the first CCRB complaint to which \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) have been a party (Board Review 22).
PO Felix Chabanov has been a member of the NYPD for nine years and has been a subject in si
other CCRB complaints and 14 other allegations, one of which was substantiated:
 201905987 involved a substantiated allegation of physical force against PO Chabanov. The Board recommended Formalized Training and the NYPD imposed Formalized Training.
o \$87(2)(9)
Sgt. Daniel McGuinness has been a member of the NYPD for nine years and has been a subject
four other CCRB complaints and four other allegations, one of which was substantiated:
 201304546 involved a substantiated allegation of vehicle search against Sgt. McGuinness. 'Board recommended Charges and the NYPD imposed Formalized Training.
o \$87(2)(g)
S 87(2)(g)
Mediation, Civil, and Criminal Histories
did not opt to mediate this complaint.

CCRB Case # 202002611

§ 87(2)(b)			
3 07 (2)(0)			
87(2)(b)			
87(2)(b)			
87(2)(b)			
Squad:	5		
Squad:	5		
		Inv. Stephen DiFiore	February 16, 20
	Stephen J. DiFiore Signature	Inv. Stephen DiFiore Print Title & Name	February 16, 20 Date
Squad:	Stephen J. DiFiore		February 16, 20 Date
Investigator:	Stephen J. DiFiore Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Investigator:	Stephen J. DiFiore		
Investigator:	Stephen J. DiFiore Signature Daniel Giansante	Print Title & Name IM Daniel Giansante	Date February 17, 20
nvestigator: _	Stephen J. DiFiore Signature Daniel Giansante	Print Title & Name IM Daniel Giansante	Date February 17, 20