## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force	☐ Dis	court.	U.S.
Wassim Abedrabbo		Squad #9	201903406		Abuse	□ O.I	۷.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Pı	recinct:	18 Mo.	SOL	EO SOL
Thursday, 04/11/2019 10:00 AM		in front of \$\frac{8}{8}7(2)(b)  Pct. stationhouse, at \$\frac{8}{8}7(2)(b)	at the 52 inside \( \frac{\mathbb{8}^{87(2)}}{\tau_{(5)}} \)		52	10/11/2	2020	5/28/2021
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:		Date/Time	Received	at CCF	RB
Sun, 04/21/2019 3:50 AM		CCRB	On-line website	,	Sun, 04/21	/2019 3::	50 AM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess	•				
Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. POM Jose Cepeda	19206	958389	052 PCT					
2. POM Anthony Saline	12924	962081	052 PCT					
3. SGT Jonathan Rivera	02049	949550	052 PCT					
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name					
1. POM Jose Fernandez	00582	960529	052 PCT					
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inve	stigator	Recon	nmendation
A.SGT Jonathan Rivera		n front of <sup>§ 87(2)(b)</sup> Jonathan Rivera stoppe	in the Bronx	,				
B.SGT Jonathan Rivera		n front of § 87(2)(b) Jonathan Rivera stoppe	in the Bronx d § 87(2)(b)	,				
C.SGT Jonathan Rivera	Abuse: In Sergeant	n front of § 87(2)(b) Jonathan Rivera stopped	in the Bronx d § 87(2)(b)	,				
D.SGT Jonathan Rivera	Abuse: In Sergeant	n front of § <sup>87(2)(b)</sup> Jonathan Rivera frisked	in the Bronx s 87(2)(b)	,				
E.SGT Jonathan Rivera	Abuse: In Sergeant	n front of <sup>§ 87(2)(b)</sup> Jonathan Rivera frisked	in the Bronx [§ 87(2)(b)	,				
F.SGT Jonathan Rivera	Abuse: Ir Sergeant § 87(2)(b)	n front of \$\frac{8.87(2)(b)}{2.000}  Jonathan Rivera searche was an occu						
G.SGT Jonathan Rivera	Abuse: In Sergeant property.	n front of \$\frac{8.87(2)(b)}{1}  Jonathan Rivera seized	in the Bronx § 87(2)(b)	s				
H.SGT Jonathan Rivera		at the 52nd Precinct static earched the vehicle in what we will be a compant.		onatl	nan			

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
I.POM Anthony Saline	Abuse: At the 52nd Precinct stationhouse, Police Officer Anthony Saline did not obtain medical treatment for \$87(2)(b)	
J.SGT Jonathan Rivera	Abuse: Sergeant Jonathan Rivera entered § 87(2)(b) in the Bronx.	
K.SGT Jonathan Rivera	Abuse: Sergeant Jonathan Rivera searched § 87(2)(b) in the Bronx.	
§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)	§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)	
§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)	§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)	
§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)	§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)	

## **Case Summary**

Cast Summary
On April 21, 2019, \$\frac{\\$87(2)(b)}{\} filed this complaint on behalf of himself, his friends, and his uncle, \$\frac{\\$87(2)(b)}{\} via the CCRB's online
complaint system.
On April 11, 2019, at approximately 10:00 a.m., in front of \$87(2)(b) in the Bronx,
Sergeant Jonathan Rivera, along with his partner Police Officer Anthony Saline, both of the
52 <sup>nd</sup> Precinct, stopped \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) who were sitting in a
parked vehicle. (Allegations A, B, and C: Abuse of Authority, Stop S87(2)(g)  After
approach, PO Saline smelled marijuana coming from the vehicle, and he asked the occupants to
exit. Upon taking \$87(2)(b) and and \$87(2)(b) from the vehicle, Sgt. Rivera frisked them
(Allegations D and E: Abuse of Authority, Frisk S87(2)(g) Sgt. Rivera and PO Saline
searched services searched services searched services (Allegation F: Abuse of Authority, Search of vehicle –
After All three individuals were arrested and removed to the 52nd Precinct
stationhouse and \$87(2)(b) s vehicle was seized and also removed to the stationhouse and
searched (Allegation G: Abuse of Authority, Seizure of property – \$87(2)(g) (Allegations H:
Abuse of Authority, Search of vehicle – \$87(2)(8)
At the stationhouse, \$87(2)(b) requested to be taken to the hospital because he was not
allowed to use his cane inside the holding cell; however, he was not taken to the hospital
(Allegation I: Abuse of Authority, Refusal to provide medical attention – \$87(2)(9)).
After lodging the individuals at the stationhouse, Sgt. Rivera, PO Saline, Police Officer Jose
Cepeda, and additional officers returned to \$87(2)(b) in the Bronx,
s apartment to investigate allegations that he had an illegal firearm. There, they
asked \$57(2)(5) to sign a consent to search form, and ultimately entered and searched the apartment (Allegation J: Abuse of Authority, Entry of Premises – \$57(2)(5) (Allegations
K: Abuse of Authority, Search of Premises – \$87(2)(9)
The investigation obtained NYPD BWC footage captured by cameras worn by Sgt. Rivera, PO
Cepeda, and PO Saline ( <b>Board Review 03</b> ). §87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)
cepeda, and i o banne (board neview 05).
Additionally, investigation obtained stationary video footage from a building neighboring [87(2)]
(Board Review 01) and (Board Review 02).
§ 87(2)(b) was arrested § 87(2)(b) , §
was arrested \$87(2)(b) , and \$87(2)(b) was a issued a Desk
Appearance Ticket for possessing marijuana during this incident.
Findings and Recommendations
Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: In front of \$87(2)(6) in the Bronx, Sergeant
Jonathan Rivera stopped § 87(2)(b)
It is undisputed that at the time officers approached \$87(2)(b) s vehicle, they were
aware that [887(2)(b)] was wanted on an open I-Card with probable cause to arrest because of
an assault he committed in September of 2018 ( <b>Board Review 27</b> ). During the investigation of the
assault, the 52 <sup>nd</sup> Precinct Detective Squad determined that \$87(2)(b) drove a \$87(2)(b)
(Board Review 04).
PO Saline stated that at the time of the incident, he, Sgt. Rivera, PO Cepeda, and PO Fernandez
were investigating an allegation that \$87(2)(b) had a firearm ( <b>Board Review 06</b> ). He knew
that \$87(2)(b) and that he owned a black car with red
flames on the side of it. PO Cepeda and PO Fernandez were on foot, posted by a black vehicle with
red flames on \$87(2)(6) in the Bronx. PO Saline and Sgt. Rivera were in the area in their
marked police vehicle.

PO Saline added that after being informed by PO Cepeda and	
got into the vehicle they were watching; he and Sgt. Rivera appro	
upon approach of the driver side window, PO Saline positively ide	entified § 87(2)(b)
was arrested for third degree assault (Board	Review 28). § 87(2)(g)
<b>People v. Debour</b> , 28 A.D.2d 694 (1967) ( <b>Board Review 29</b> )	Officers may stop an individual
when they reasonably believe that the person is committing, has c	committed, or is about to commit a
crime.	
§ 87(2)(g)	
Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: In front of \$87(2)(b)	in the Bronx, Sergeant
Jonathan Rivera stopped \$87(2)(b)	m one 21 only 5 or gowne
Allegation (C) Abuse of Authority: In front of \$87(2)(6)	in the Bronx, Sergeant
Jonathan Rivera stopped \$87(2)(b)	in the Bronx, sergeunt
Allegation (D) Abuse of Authority: In front of \$87(2)(6)	in the Bronx, Sergeant
Jonathan Rivera frisked \$ 87(2)(6)	in the bronx, sergeant
Allegation (E) Abuse of Authority: In front of \$87(2)(b)	in the Drony Corgoont
	in the Bronx, Sergeant
Jonathan Rivera frisked 857(2)(b)	in the Duener Congress
Allegation (F) Abuse of Authority: In front of \$87(2)(6)	in the Bronx, Sergeant
Jonathan Rivera searched the vehicle in which	was an occupant.
It is undisputed that PO Saline approached the driver's side an	
passenger side of \$87(2)(b) s vehicle. It is also undisputed	
s parked vehicle, PO Saline told \$87(2)(b)	
marijuana in the vehicle. He then instructed all occupants out of the	
of the vehicle; ultimately, recovering marijuana that belonged to	
stated to the investigation that he did not sm	
have marijuana on his person at that time; however, he does smok	te marijuana in his home.
stated that his clothes did not have an odor of ma	arijuana at the time of this
incident. (Board Review 05)	
added that he believed that \$87(2)(b) and	§ 87(2)(6) were frisked by
the officers after being taken out of his vehicle; however, he did n	ot see that happen.
Both § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) failed to cooperate with	n this investigation.
The video surveillance footage obtained from \$87(2)(b)	
Rivera approach the vehicle and take the occupants out ( <b>Board R</b>	eview 30). Before the 45 second
mark, only \$87(2)(b) is taken out of the vehicle. Between	
frame freezes, and no movement is depicted. At 1:54, \$87(2)(6)	is standing at the back of the
•	at of the vehicle, at which time he
frisks \$ 87(2)(b)	to of the vennere, at which this he
Because \$87(2)(b) stated that he smokes marijuana in	his home and acknowledged that
stated that he shrokes marijuana in \$87(2)(b) had marijuana on his person at the time of the incider	_
nad marjuana on ms person at the time of the melder	11, 5
Additionally because Sat Divers is deniated frieling November	8 97/2 V (a)
Additionally, because Sgt. Rivera is depicted frisking §87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(g)

<u>People v. Chestnut, 43 A.D.2d 260</u> (Board Review 08). The smell of marijuana alone gives officers probable cause to search an automobile and its occupants.

§ 87(2)(g)	
Allegation (C) Always of Authority In front of Microsoft	in the Dream Concernt
Allegation (G) Abuse of Authority: In front of \$87(2)(6)	
Jonathan Rivera seized \$87(2)(b) s proper	
Allegation (H) Abuse of Authority: At the 52 <sup>nd</sup> Prec	
Rivera searched the vehicle in which 887(2)(b)	was an occupant.
§ 87(2)(b)	
WI CORD I	M 20 2010 1 4 4 14 41 11 11 211
When \$87(2)(b) appeared at the CCRB on M	
had not been returned to him after it was taken to the st	
was taken from the front of \$87(2)(b)	
stationhouse, \$87(2)(b) saw Sgt. Rivera give the	
While at the stationhouse, \$87(2)(b) later learner	
"imitation pistol" was found inside (Board Review 05)	
PO Cepeda prepared Invoice No. 8 87(2)(b) for 8	
arrest evidence ( <b>Board Review 09</b> ). Additionally, prop	perty Invoice Nos. \$87(2)(6) for an
imitation firearm and \$87(2)(b) for "general propert	y" were prepared.
Patrol Guide Procedure 218-19 (Board Review 1	
must be seized and invoiced as arrest evidence when ar	rests are made for leaving the scene of a
collision.	07 337
Patrol Guide Procedure 218-13 (Board Review C	
custody of the NYPD, officers are to find, via a full sea	
automobile, cause minimal reasonable damage to the v	enicle, and invoice all property in a separate
invoice.	
§ 87(2)(g)	
All4' (T) Al & A4l'4 A4-4l - 52nd D'	
Allegation (I) Abuse of Authority: At the 52 <sup>nd</sup> Precing	
Saline refused to provide medical attention to \$87(2)(6)	
*	edical attention at the stationhouse, and that
he was not given medical attention.	d that he was a series of from a surreum of
During his CCRB statement, \$87(2)(b) state	
the time of the incident and was using a cane to walk. Very that he maded the same to excit him on an ambu-	
Saline that he needed the cane to assist him or an ambu	nance because there was the possibility he d
fall without the cane ( <b>Board Review 05</b> ).	-1
At 24:58 of PO Saline's BWC footage, \$87(2)(b)	
while in the holding cell at the stationhouse (Board Re	
that he could not have a cane in the he	-
says, "Alright, I need to go to the hospital, then, because	
Patrol Guide Procedure 210-04 (Board Review 1	
attention, officers must request an ambulance to the loc	
	oility that he would get injured, and not
because he needed medical attention. §87(2)(g)	

Allegation (J) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Jonathan Rivera entered \$87(2)(6)
in the Bronx.
Allegation (K) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Jonathan Rivera searched
§ 87(2)(b) in the Bronx.
It is undisputed that Sgt. Rivera, PO Cepeda, and additional officers returned to \$87(2)(b)
to investigate an allegation that §87(2)(b) had an illegal weapon. There,
PO Cepeda requested permission from \$87(2)(b) s uncle, to search the
location.
The portion of the officers' time at \$87(2)(b) captured by PO Cepeda's
BWC depicts PO Cepeda request to search the apartment and \$87(2)(b) consent to the officers
s bedroom (Board Review 13). At the 44 second VLC-timestamp
says, "You wanna go into \$87(2)(b) room, you're more than welcome. The
rest of my house, you're not touching." The officers then immediately entered the apartment and
s bedroom without further inquiry of \$87(2)(b) s and \$87(2)(b) s living
circumstances.
PO Cepeda independently stated that when he spoke with \$87(2)(b) at the door of \$87(2)(b)
gave them permission to search "only" \$87(2)(b) s bedroom ( <b>Board Review</b>
15). Sgt. Rivera stated that he did not know whose bedroom s87(2)(b) gave the officers
permission to search ( <b>Board Review 24</b> ). Sgt. Rivera confirmed that it was PO Cepeda who spoke
to \$87(2)(b) at the door.
Because \$ \$7(2)(b) is depicted identifying the room as \$ \$7(2)(b) is and PO Cepeda
independently stated that \$87(2)(b) gave the officers permission to search "only"
s room, the investigation determined that the officers knew the room belonged to
before they entered the apartment.
People v. Gonzalez, 88 N.Y.2d 289 (Board Review 16). "Searching officers" may obtain
consent to search where they rely in good faith on the apparent capability of a third-party individua
to consent to a search. The burden of establishing "apparent authority" rests upon the state, and a
preponderance of the evidence proving that the facts available at the moment "warrant a [person] o
reasonable caution" to believe that the consenting party had authority over the target of the search
must be shown. If the burden cannot be satisfied, then warrantless entry without further inquiry is
unlawful. A mistaken belief as to the law, no matter how reasonable, is not sufficient.
Because Sgt. Rivera and PO Cepeda knew that the room belonged to \$87(2)(b) before
officers entered and searched it, they did not have reason to believe that \$87(2)(b) had the
requisite authority over \$87(2)(b) s private space. \$87(2)(g)
s private space.
§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)
_
-

<ul> <li>This is the first CCRB complaint to which \$87(2)(0) has been a party (Board Ref. 19).</li> <li>PO Saline has been a member of service for four years and has been a subject in eight of CCRB complaints and 22 allegations, none of which were substantiated. \$87(2)(0)</li> <li>Sgt. Rivera has been a member of service for 10 years and has been a subject in 12 oth CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated. \$87(2)(0)</li> <li>PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. \$87(2)(0)</li> <li>Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories</li> <li>\$87(2)(0)</li> <li>the sole participating civilian, declined to mediate this complaint.</li> </ul>			
• This is the first CCRB complaint to which street  Review 17). • This is the first CCRB complaint to which street  18). • This is the first CCRB complaint to which street  19). • PO Saline has been a member of service for four years and has been a subject in eight of CCRB complaints and 22 allegations, none of which were substantiated.  19 Sgt. Rivera has been a member of service for 10 years and has been a subject in 12 other CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated.  19 Sqt. Rivera has been a member of service for 10 years and has been a subject in 12 other CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated.  10 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated.  10 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated.  10 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated.  11 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated.  12 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated.  12 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated.  12 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated.  12 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated.  12 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in			
has been a party (Board Review 17).  This is the first CCRB complaint to which state that the first CCRB complaint to which state to which state that the first CCRB complaint to which state to which state the first CCRB complaint to which state to which state to which state the first CCRB complaint to which state to which state the first CCRB complaint to which state to which were substantiated. State to the CCRB complaints and 22 allegations, none of which were substantiated. State to the CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated. State to the CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated. State to the CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. State to the CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. State to the CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. State to the CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. State to the CCRB complaint the sole participating civilian, declined to mediate this complaint.  As of January 10, 2020, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of Notice of Claim being filed in regards to this complaint (Board Review 20).			
• This is the first CCRB complaint to which street  Review 17). • This is the first CCRB complaint to which street  18). • This is the first CCRB complaint to which street  19). • PO Saline has been a member of service for four years and has been a subject in eight of CCRB complaints and 22 allegations, none of which were substantiated.  19 Sgt. Rivera has been a member of service for 10 years and has been a subject in 12 othe CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated.  10 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated.  10 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated.  11 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated.  12 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated.  12 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated.  13 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated.  18 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated.  18 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated.  18 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated.  18 PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in			
• This is the first CCRB complaint to which step has been a party (Board Review 17). • This is the first CCRB complaint to which step has been a party (Board Review 18). • This is the first CCRB complaint to which step has been a party (Board Review 19). • PO Saline has been a member of service for four years and has been a subject in eight of CCRB complaints and 22 allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations and five allega			
• This is the first CCRB complaint to which step has been a party (Board Review 17). • This is the first CCRB complaint to which step has been a party (Board Review 18). • This is the first CCRB complaint to which step has been a party (Board Review 19). • PO Saline has been a member of service for four years and has been a subject in eight of CCRB complaints and 22 allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations and five allega			
• This is the first CCRB complaint to which step has been a party (Board Review 17). • This is the first CCRB complaint to which step has been a party (Board Review 18). • This is the first CCRB complaint to which step has been a party (Board Review 19). • PO Saline has been a member of service for four years and has been a subject in eight of CCRB complaints and 22 allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations and five allega			
• This is the first CCRB complaint to which step has been a party (Board Review 17). • This is the first CCRB complaint to which step has been a party (Board Review 18). • This is the first CCRB complaint to which step has been a party (Board Review 19). • PO Saline has been a member of service for four years and has been a subject in eight of CCRB complaints and 22 allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. Step of CCRB complaints and five allegations and five allega			
**Review 17).  This is the first CCRB complaint to which **TOTO** has been a party (Board Review 18).  This is the first CCRB complaint to which **TOTO** has been a party (Board Review 19).  PO Saline has been a member of service for four years and has been a subject in eight of CCRB complaints and 22 allegations, none of which were substantiated. **STOTO**  Sgt. Rivera has been a member of service for 10 years and has been a subject in 12 oth CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated. **STOTO**  PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. **STOTO**  Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories  Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories  As of January 10, 2020, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of Notice of Claim being filed in regards to this complaint (Board Review 20).  **STOTO**		Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories	
has been a party (Board Review 18).  This is the first CCRB complaint to which state that is the first CCRB complaint to which state that the first CCRB complaint and 22 allegations, none of which were substantiated. State to the CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated. State to the CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated. State to the CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated. State the sole participating civilian, declined to mediate this complaint.  Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories  Mediation, Civil office of the Comptroller has no record of Notice of Claim being filed in regards to this complaint (Board Review 20).		at CCRB complaint to which § 87(2)(b) has been a party (Bo	oard
• This is the first CCRB complaint to which street to this is the first CCRB complaint to which street to the first CCRB complaint to which street to the first CCRB complaint to which street to the first CCRB complaints and 22 allegations, none of which were substantiated.  • Sgt. Rivera has been a member of service for 10 years and has been a subject in 12 oth CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated.  • PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two cCCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated.  • Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories  • Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories  • As of January 10, 2020, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of Notice of Claim being filed in regards to this complaint (Board Review 20).		st CCRB complaint to which \$87(2)(b) has been a party ( <b>Board</b>	Revie
PO Saline has been a member of service for four years and has been a subject in eight of CCRB complaints and 22 allegations, none of which were substantiated.  Sgt. Rivera has been a member of service for 10 years and has been a subject in 12 other CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated.  PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated.  Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories  Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories  the sole participating civilian, declined to mediate this complaint.  As of January 10, 2020, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of Notice of Claim being filed in regards to this complaint (Board Review 20).	<b>18</b> ).		
• Sgt. Rivera has been a member of service for 10 years and has been a subject in 12 othe CCRB complaints and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated.  • PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated.  • Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories  • Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories  • As of January 10, 2020, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of Notice of Claim being filed in regards to this complaint (Board Review 20).  • \$37(2)(5)	<b>19</b> ).		
PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated.  Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories  Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories  the sole participating civilian, declined to mediate this complaint.  As of January 10, 2020, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of Notice of Claim being filed in regards to this complaint (Board Review 20).			eignt (
<ul> <li>PO Cepeda has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in two of CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated.  Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories  Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories  the sole participating civilian, declined to mediate this complaint.  As of January 10, 2020, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of Notice of Claim being filed in regards to this complaint (Board Review 20).</li> <li>\$87(2)(5)</li> </ul>			12 oth
Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories  Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories  the sole participating civilian, declined to mediate this complaint.  As of January 10, 2020, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of Notice of Claim being filed in regards to this complaint (Board Review 20).	CCRB complain	and 24 allegations, none of which were substantiated. § 87(2)(g)	
Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories  the sole participating civilian, declined to mediate this complaint.  As of January 10, 2020, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of Notice of Claim being filed in regards to this complaint (Board Review 20).  887(2)(b)			n two c
<ul> <li>\$87(2)(b)</li> <li>As of January 10, 2020, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of Notice of Claim being filed in regards to this complaint (Board Review 20).</li> <li>\$87(2)(b)</li> </ul>	CCKB complain	and five anegations, none of which were substantiated.	
• As of January 10, 2020, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of Notice of Claim being filed in regards to this complaint ( <b>Board Review 20</b> ).  • \$87(2)(b)		Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories	
Notice of Claim being filed in regards to this complaint ( <b>Board Review 20</b> ).  • \$87(2)(b)	• § 87(2)(b)	the sole participating civilian, declined to mediate this compla	int.
§ 87(2)(b)			ord of
§ 87(2)(b)		omg med in regards to this complaint (Board Review 20).	
● § 87(2)(b)			
§ 87(2)(b)			
	& 87(2)(b)		
	\$ 07(2)(0)		

§ 87(2)(b)			
Squad No.:	<u>09</u>		
Investigator:	Wassim Abedrabbo Signature	SI Wassim Abedrabbo Print Title & Name	October 8, 2020 Date
Squad Leader	: Monique West Signature	IM Monique West Print Title & Name	10/08/2020 Date
Reviewer:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date