

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Angel Rendon	Team: Team # 1	CCRB Case #: 201104573	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Monday, 04/11/2011 6:00 PM	Location of Incident: Marcus Garvey Boulevard and Halsey Street	Precinct: 79	18 Mo. SOL 10/11/2012	EO SOL 10/11/2012	
Date/Time CV Reported Tue, 04/12/2011 10:06 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Tue, 04/12/2011 10:06 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. SGT William Glynn	00034	932718	079 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM James Richardson	15253	947397	079 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.SGT William Glynn	Abuse: SGT William Glynn supervised the stop of § 87(2)(b) <span style="background-color: black; color: black;">[REDACTED]</span>	
B.SGT William Glynn	Abuse: SGT William Glynn supervised the search of § 87(2)(b) <span style="background-color: black; color: black;">[REDACTED]</span>	

### Case Summary

On April 12, 2011, § 87(2)(b) filed the following complaint with the CCRB over the telephone.

On April 11, 2011, at approximately 6:31 p.m., § 87(2)(b) walked out of a barber shop at the corner of Marcus Garvey Boulevard and Halsey Street in Brooklyn. Six uniformed officers approached him from three marked patrol cars. PO1 (described as a white male, who stood 5'8", had a medium build, was in his mid to late 20s, and was dressed in uniform) approached § 87(2)(b) asked for identification and told him to lift both pant legs. § 87(2)(b) complied and asked several times why he was being stopped. The officers never provided a reason. A sergeant identified by the investigation as SGT William Glynn (identified by nametag as "Glynn" and shield number "34", and described as a white male, who stood 6'0", had a medium build, was in his early 30s, and was dressed in uniform with a gold badge) told § 87(2)(b) to "Step back" several times while PO1 called in a warrant check on § 87(2)(b)'s identification over a cell phone. PO2 (described as a white male, who stood 6'0", had a slim build, was in his mid 30s, and was dressed in uniform), PO3, PO4, and PO5 (all three described as black males in uniform) stood in the background and never verbally or physically engaged § 87(2)(b). One of the white officers was named "Richardson," which § 87(2)(b) read off of a nametag and had the shield number 15253, however § 87(2)(b) could not recall which of the remaining white officers this was. The patrol cars were from the 79<sup>th</sup> Precinct and the officers' collars featured 79<sup>th</sup> Precinct pins. § 87(2)(b) never received a Stop, Question, and Frisk card. The entire encounter lasted approximately ten to fifteen minutes.

SGT William Glynn of the 79<sup>th</sup> Precinct was identified by name and shield number, which § 87(2)(b) provided in his statements. § 87(2)(b) also identified PO James Richardson of the 79<sup>th</sup> Precinct by name and shield number; § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

On April 14, 2011, § 87(2)(b) provided a statement over the telephone to the undersigned investigator. On April 27, 2011, the undersigned investigator called § 87(2)(b) to schedule an interview at the CCRB. § 87(2)(b) opted to withdraw his complaint, citing the fact that he had recently obtained information from an unidentified friend who was in the vicinity at the time of the incident. § 87(2)(b)'s friend explained that officers were looking for an individual who was dressed in clothes very similar to § 87(2)(b)'s. § 87(2)(b) did not want to pursue a complaint against the police officers for carrying out what he now believed were lawful procedures. § 87(2)(b) confirmed that he was withdrawing his complaint of his own volition and confirmed that no one from the CCRB or the NYPD coerced him into doing so. The withdrawal conversation was digitally recorded and attached to Investigative Action #16. It was reviewed by SI Samuel Bufter and confirmed to adhere to agency standards. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b)

---

Team: \_\_\_\_\_

:

Investigator: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Print Date

Supervisor: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date