1	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF KINGS CRIMINAL TERM PART 19
2	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
3	Indict. No.
4	-against- Proceedings
5	
6	DEFENDANT.
7	X Supreme Courthouse
9	(Proceedings via Teams) Brooklyn, New York 11201 May 17, 2021
10	BEFORE:
11	THE HONORABLE DANNY K. CHUN Justice, Supreme Court
12	APPEARANCES:
13	OFFICE OF LETITIA JAMES
14	NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL For the People
15	28 Liberty Jay Street New York, New York 10005
16	BY: STEPHANIE SWENTON, ESQ. BY: JOSEPH D'ARRIGO, ESQ.
17	BY: CAREY SPITALNICK, ESQ.
18	DAVID WIKSTROM, ESQ.
19	Attorney for the Defendant
20	26 Broadway New York, New York 10004
21	
22	
23	SUSAN K. LYNCH
24	Official Court Reporter
25	

1	THE CLERK: Calling number f
2	, Indictment No.
3	Counsel, appearances, please.
4	THE DEFENDANT: Present.
5	MS. SWENTON: For the Office of the New York
6	State Attorney General, Stephanie Swenton.
7	Good morning, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Good morning.
9	MR. D'ARRIGO: Joseph D'Arrigo for the New York
LO	State Office of the Attorney General.
11	Good morning, your Honor.
L2	THE COURT: Good morning.
L3	MS. SPITALNICK: Carey Spitnalick for the Office
L4	of the Attorney General as well.
L5	Good morning.
L 6	THE COURT: Good morning.
L7	MR. WIKSTROM: Good morning, your Honor. This
L8	is David Wikstrom for and he is on the line by
L9	audio.
20	THE COURT: He's not able to join by video
21	today?
22	MR. WIKSTROM: He said he's not able to get it
23	running on his iPad, but he is listening in by phone.
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I'm present.
25	THE COURT: can you hear me?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I can hear you, Judge.

THE COURT: Next time, you're going to have to either log on with video or appear in person, you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

2.5

THE COURT: Are we able to pick a trial date today?

MS. SWENTON: Your Honor, at this time the People have an application which we would like to make a record of at this time.

The People, at this time, are moving to dismiss all charges against in light of the Bureau's recent discovery of Giglio material related to former Attorney General Detective Investigator Severino Concordia who was the primary undercover officer in this case.

is critical and essential in the case. In particular to the unauthorized practice of medicine and insurance fraud counts.

His testimony, including his interactions with

On May 3, 2021 during the course of an inquiry related to any prior disciplinary actions, we learned that while employed by the New York City Police Department, former Detective Concordia was disciplined and demoted for a pattern of false overtime submissions.

2.5

In light of this significant credibility issue and the former detective's role as a key witness at trial, as well as the nature of the charges, we feel that in the interest of justice, it strongly favors dismissal of the pending charges against

We have no reason to believe that there was any misconduct in this case, as demonstrated by the audio and video recordings of all of the undercover operations.

However, the Attorney General's office, in good faith, does not wish to proceed on charges that rely so heavily on the testimony of this former OAG investigator as an undercover officer. In particular, the counts of unauthorized practice of medicine and insurance fraud.

Accordingly, in spite of the independent substantial and credible evidence of 's guilt of the crimes charged, we are moving to dismiss these charges in the interest of justice.

The People will be further seeking to vacate the prior convictions of co-defendants in this matter although all three defendants pleaded guilty, admitted their conduct and waived their right to appeal, because this former detective was similarly a key witness in their prosecutions, to achieve consistent outcomes for the defendants in this case and in the interest of justice, we

will be consenting to vacatur of their convictions as well
and we will be contacting defense counsel, and with
permission of the Court, will consent to motions by the
defense to recalendar those matters for purposes of
vacating their prior pleas and dismissing the charges.

THE COURT: Just to complete the record and for my benefit as well, nothing that was turned up is any evidence that would tend to exculpate this defendant or any of the other defendants who pleaded guilty previously; is that correct?

MS. SWENTON: That is correct. That is correct. It is Giglio, as to say impeachment material for the undercover officer related to a prior disciplinary action, but not exculpatory.

THE COURT: And when was that discovered?

MS. SWENTON: On May 3, 2021 during the course of an interview to ascertain any prior disciplinary actions.

THE COURT: So earlier this month.

MS. SWENTON: Correct.

THE COURT: So People have promptly indicated it to the Court and to the defense that in the interest of justice that would be the right thing to move to dismiss.

So, Counsel, you join in the application?

MR. WIKSTROM: Yes, I do, your Honor.

Proceedings THE COURT: All right. Indictment is dismissed and sealed as to I Thank you. MS. SWENTON: Thank you, your Honor. MR. D'ARRIGO: Thank you, your Honor. *

CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPTION OF THE ORIGINAL STENOGRAPHIC MINUTES TAKEN OF THIS PROCEEDING.

Susan Lynch

SUSAN K. LYNCH

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER