

DISTRICT ATTORNEY KINGS COUNTY

350 JAY STREET BROOKLYN, NY 11201-2908 (718) 250-2000 WWW.BROOKLYNDA.ORG

> [INSERT NAME] Assistant District Attorney

[INSERT DATE]

[INSERT D/C INFO]

Re: [INSERT CASE NAME]

Kings County Dkt./Ind. No. [#######]

In connection with the above-named case, the People voluntarily provide the following information regarding:

MOS NAME: EMILIO ORTEGA

MOS TAX:

in satisfaction (to the extent applicable) of their constitutional, statutory, and ethical obligations. Further, the People reserve the right to move <u>in limine</u> to preclude reference to this information, or otherwise to object to its use and/or introduction into evidence.

Disclosure # 1:

MOS ORTEGA PLEAD GUILTY TO THE FOLLOWING DEPARTMENTAL CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS ARISING OUT OF AN INCIDENT ON OR ABOUT 03/13/2002:

ALLEGATION(S):

MOS ORTEGA DID FAIL AND NEGLECT TO RENDER POLICE SERVICES IN SAID MOS ORTEGA ASSIGNED AREA, TO
WIT: MOS ORTEGA FAILED TO INVESTIGATE A REPORT OF NARCOTIC SALES AND TO RECOVER OR VOUCHER
PURPORTED NARCOTICS IN SAID MOS PRESENCE.

ACTION TAKEN: FORFEIT TEN (10) VACATION DAYS.

Disclosure # 2:

MOS ORTEGA PLED GUILTY TO THE FOLLOWING DEPARTMENT CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS ARISING OUT OF AN INCIDENT ON OR ABOUT 06/11/2014, AT APPROXIMATELY 1748 HOURS, WHILE MOS ORTEGA WAS ASSIGNED TO THE 79TH PRECINCT AND ON DUTY:

ALLEGATION(S):

- MOS ORTEGA, AT A LOCATION KNOWN TO THE DEPARTMENT, ABUSED HIS AUTHORITY AS A MEMBER OF THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT IN THAT HE STOPPED AN INDIVIDUAL KNOWN TO THE DEPARTMENT WITHOUT SUFFICIENT LEGAL AUTHORITY.
- MOS ORTEGA, AT SAID LOCATION, ENGAGED IN CONDUCT PREJUDICIAL TO THE GOOD ORDER, EFFICIENCY OR DISCIPLINE OF THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT, IN THAT HE SEARCHED SAID INDIVIDUAL'S VEHICLE WITHOUT SUFFICIENT LEGAL AUTHORITY.
- MOS ORTEGA, AT SAID LOCATION, DID WRONGFULLY USE FORCE AGAINST SAID INDIVIDUAL, IN THAT HE PUNCHED SAID INDIVIDUAL IN THE FACE.

CASE STATUS: CLOSED ON 10/14/2016

ACTION TAKEN: FORFEITURE OF SEVEN (7) VACATION DAYS.

Disclosure # 3:

MOS ORTEGA WAS FOUND GUILTY AFTER DEPARTMENTAL TRIAL FOR THE FOLLOWING CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS ARISING OUT OF AN INCIDENT ON OR ABOUT 08/12/2016:

MOS ORTEGA, WHILE ON-DUTY AND ASSIGNED TO THE 79TH PRECINCT, DID FAIL TO PROVIDE HIS NAME

AND SHIELD NUMBER TO AN INDIVIDUAL KNOWN TO THE DEPARTMENT.

CASE STATUS: CLOSED ON 09/04/2019

ACTION TAKEN: FORFEIT ONE (1) VACATION DAY.

Disclosure # 4:

THE NYPD SUBSTANTIATED THE FOLLOWING ALLEGATION(S), DATED 10/28/2020, AGAINST MOS ORTEGA: ALLEGATION(S):

1. BODY WORN CAMERA - FAIL TO ACTIVATE

CASE STATUS: CLOSED ON 12/07/2020 ACTION TAKEN: VERBAL INSTRUCTIONS

BASED UPON CCRB DOCUMENTS UP TO DATE THROUGH OCTOBER 13, 2020, THE PEOPLE ARE AWARE OF THE FOLLOWING CCRB SUBSTANTIATED AND/OR PENDING ALLEGATIONS AGAINST THIS OFFICER:

Disclosure # 5:

CCRB CASE: 201308590 REPORT DATE: 09/16/2013 INCIDENT DATE: 09/13/2013 OTHER MISCONDUCT NOTED:

1. OTHER MISCONDUCT NOTED - FAILURE TO PREPARE A MEMO BOOK ENTRY

Disclosure # 6:

CCRB CASE: 201405942 REPORT DATE: 06/16/2014 INCIDENT DATE: 06/11/2014

CCRB SUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATION(S):

- FORCE PHYSICAL FORCE
 ABUSE VEHICLE SEARCH
- 3. ABUSE VEHICLE STOP

OTHER MISCONDUCT NOTED:

1. OTHER MISCONDUCT NOTED - FAILURE TO PREPARE A MEMO BOOK ENTRY

NYPD DISPOSITION AND PENALTY: THE NYPD ISSUED CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS AGAINST THE OFFICER. AT AN ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING, THE OFFICER PLED GUILTY TO THE THREE CCRB SUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATIONS. AS A RESULT, THE OFFICER FORFEITED SEVEN (7) VACATION DAYS. (SEE DISCLOSURE # 2 FOR MORE DETAILS.)

Disclosure # 7:

CCRB CASE: 201407876 REPORT DATE: 08/04/2014 INCIDENT DATE: 08/02/2014

CCRB SUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATION(S):

1. ABUSE - REFUSAL TO PROCESS CIVILIAN COMPLAINT NYPD DISPOSITION AND PENALTY: COMMAND DISCIPLINE – A

Disclosure #8:

CCRB CASE: 201606983 REPORT DATE: 08/12/2016 INCIDENT DATE: 08/12/2016

CCRB SUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATION(S):

- 1. FORCE PHYSICAL FORCE
- 2. ABUSE REFUSAL TO PROVIDE NAME/SHIELD NUMBER

NYPD DISPOSITION AND PENALTY: THE NYPD ISSUED CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS BASED ON THE ABOVE TWO CCRB SUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATIONS AGAINST THE OFFICER. AT AN ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING, THE OFFICER

WAS FOUND NOT GUILTY OF ALLEGATION # 1 BUT GUILTY OF ALLEGATION # 2. AS A RESULT, THE OFFICER FORFEITED ONE (1) VACATION DAY. (SEE DISCLOSURE # 3 FOR MORE DETAILS).

Disclosure # 9:

CCRB CASE: 201910380 REPORT DATE: 12/02/2019

Disclosure # 10: (PENDING)

CCRB CASE: 202004759 REPORT DATE: 07/01/2020

> Eric Gonzalez District Attorney Kings County