

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Kristen Lanham-Hostetter	Team: Team # 1	CCRB Case #: 201115719	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Wednesday, 11/30/2011 7:30 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 25	18 Mo. SOL 5/30/2013	EO SOL 5/30/2013	
Date/Time CV Reported Wed, 11/30/2011 7:33 PM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 12/07/2011 1:36 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Joan Ferreira	07431	941746	PSA 5

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. SGT Daniel Vazquez	03727	927629	PSA 5

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Joan Ferreira	Force: PO Joan Ferreira used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

### Case Summary

§ 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the Internal Affairs Bureau on November 30, 2011, after witnessing an incident between § 87(2)(b) and police officers. § 87(2)(b)'s complaint was received at the CCRB on December 7, 2011 under log number 11-55521.

On November 30, 2011, at 7:30pm, one white male officer and three Hispanic male officers in plainclothes exited a black vehicle. An officer whose name § 87(2)(b) believed was "Ferreira" asked § 87(2)(b) for his ID and then took a picture of the ID with his cell phone. § 87(2)(b) asked PO Ferreira why he took a picture of his ID. § 87(2)(b) then took out his phone and took a photo of PO Ferreira, who punched § 87(2)(b) in the face and arrested him. The IAB referral lists PO Joan Ferreira of PSA 5 as the subject officer, and he is listed as such on the 245. PO Daniel Vazquez of PSA 5 is listed as a witness on the IAB referral and the 245.

On December 9, 2011, the undersigned investigator called § 87(2)(b). A recording stated that he was unable to take calls and did not provide a voicemail option. A first please call letter was mailed to him the same day. On December 14, 2011, the investigator called again. § 87(2)(b) answered and stated that § 87(2)(b) was at work and that he would give him the message. § 87(2)(b) provided § 87(2)(b)'s apartment number. A first please call letter was sent to § 87(2)(b) at the apartment number specified by § 87(2)(b). A final please call letter was mailed to § 87(2)(b) on January 23, 2012. On January 23, 2012, the first please call letter sent to § 87(2)(b) without his apartment number was returned by the USPS as undeliverable. On January 23<sup>rd</sup>, February 7<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup>, 2012, the investigator called § 87(2)(b). The phone did not ring and there was no voicemail option. On March 1, 2012, the investigator verified that § 87(2)(b) is not incarcerated, via the Department of Corrections inmate locator. To date, none of the letters sent to § 87(2)(b) since receiving his apartment number have been returned by the USPS.

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

Team: 1

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print	Date
Supervisor:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date