CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☑ Discourt.	U.S.	
Glenn Brown		Team # 1	201309240	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury	
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL	
Wednesday, 10/02/2013 10:15 F	PM	East 125th Street bety Avenue	ween 2nd and 3rd	25	4/2/2015	4/2/2015	
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	l: Date/Time	Received at CCF	RB	
Thu, 10/03/2013 10:21 AM		CCRB	Phone	Thu, 10/03	3/2013 10:21 AM	[
Complainant/Victim	mplainant/Victim Type		Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command				
1. LT Christopher Schmidt	00000	907284	PBMN TF				
2. POM Alonzo Martinez	08388	944773	PBMN TF				
Officer(s)	Allegation	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation	
A.LT Christopher Schmidt	Abuse: I § 87(2)(b)	t. Christopher Schmid and § 87(2)(b)	t stopped the car in w were occupants.	hich			
B.POM Alonzo Martinez	Abuse: F	Abuse: PO Alonzo Martinez stopped the car in which and \$87(2) were occupants.					
C.LT Christopher Schmidt	Abuse: I § 87(2)(b)	Abuse: Lt. Christopher Schmidt frisked § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b)					
D.POM Alonzo Martinez	Abuse: F	O Alonzo Martinez fri	sked § 87(2)(b) and	§ 87(2)(b)			
E.LT Christopher Schmidt	Abuse: I	t. Christopher Schmid	t searched § 87(2)(b)	and			
F.POM Alonzo Martinez	Abuse: F	PO Alonzo Martinez se	arched § 87(2)(b) and	d § 87(2)(b)			
	Abusa: I	t Christopher Schmid	t searched the car in	which			
G.LT Christopher Schmidt	8 87(2)(b)		vere occupants				

Case Summary

On October 7, 2013, a phone call was placed to \$87(2)(b) s phone number, a personalized voice
mailbox engaged, and a message was left asking that she call the CCRB. On October 7, 2013, a
phone call was placed to \$87(2)(b) s phone number, a personalized voice mailbox with an
outgoing message from an unidentified male engaged, and a message was left asking that
sa7(2)(b) call the CCRB. On October 7, 2013, a please call letter was sent to each sa7(2)(b) and sand sand sand sand sand sand sand
and neither of these letters was returned by the United States Postal Service. On October 9,
2013, §87(2)(b) called the CCRB and left a message confirming her phone number and asking
that she be called back. On October 9, 2013, a second call was placed to \$87(2)(b) a personalized
voice mailbox engaged, and a message was left asking that she call the CCRB. On October 10,
2013, \$87(2)(b) called the CCRB, provided a phone statement, and stated that she lives in
Vermont. The undersigned investigator stated that he would get in touch with \$87(2)(b) and then
call § 87(2)(b) back for a sworn phone statement. On October 10, 2013, § 87(2)(b) called the
CCRB and scheduled an interview appointment for October 16, 2013. On October 16, 2013, 500
failed to appear at the CCRB without calling to cancel or reschedule. On October 16, 2013,
a third call was placed to \$37(2)(b) and an automated message engaged stating that the number
was no longer in service. On October 16, 2013, a missed appointment letter was sent to \$87(2)(b) and this letter was not returned by the United States Postal Service. On October 25, 2013, a
second phone call was placed to \$87(2)(b) and a message was left asking that she call the
CCRB. On October 28, 2013, a third call was placed to \$87(2)(b) answered the
phone and agreed to tell \$87(2)(b) to call the CCRB. On October 28, 2013, a final please call
letter was sent to \$87(2)(b) and this letter was not returned by the United States Postal Service.
On November 1, 2013, a fourth call was placed to \$87(2)(b) she stated that she did not have any
additional contact information for \$87(2)(b) and scheduled a sworn phone interview for
November 4, 2013. On November 4, 2013, the undersigned investigator called \$87(2)(6) for her
sworn phone statement and an automated message engaged stating that the number was not
reachable. On November 13, 2013, a Lexis Nexis search provided two additional possible phone
numbers for \$87(2)(b) and one additional phone number for \$87(2)(b) On November 11, 2013,
a call to the first number listed for \$87(2)(b) was met with an automated message that stated that
the number was not in service. On November 13, 2013, a call to the possible number for
was met with a message that stated the number was no longer in service. On November 18,
2013, a call to the second possible number for §87(2)(b) was met with an unidentified male
stating that he was not \$87(2)(b) and did not know a \$87(2)(b) On November 27, 2013, a search
of the Department of Correction Inmate Look-Up website revealed that neither \$87(2)(b) nor \$27(2)
§ 87(2)(b) is currently incarcerated. § 87(2)(9)
·
Team:1
Investigator:
Signature Print Date

Page 2 CCRB Case # 201309240

Supervisor	:		
•	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:			
	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:			
	Title/Signature	Print	Date