CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	√ For	ce	П	Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Rolando Vasquez		Squad #5	201800760	— ☐ Abı		_).L.	☐ Injury
riominas y usquez		Squad no	201000700					
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Preci	nct:	18 M	lo. SOL	EO SOL
Saturday, 01/20/2018 1:19 AM		§ 87(2)(b)		67	7	7/20	0/2019	7/20/2019
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date	e/Time	Receiv	ed at CCF	RB
Sat, 01/20/2018 1:29 AM		IAB	Phone	Mon, 01/29/2018 11:03 AM			1	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess					
Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. POM Miguel Vanbrakle	28743	955616	067 PCT					
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name					
1. POM John Nemeth	17897	955259	067 PCT					
2. POM Kenneth Triolo	13535	957229	067 PCT					
3. POF Deirdre Kelleher	17088	957731	067 PCT					
4. POM Delio Fernandez	16156	957578	067 PCT					
5. POM Jesus Vargas	04373	951363	067 PCT					
6. POM Melvin Carpio	19803	958371	067 PCT					
7. POM Brandon Patane	14765	961077	067 PCT					
8. SGT Alan Chau	01257	940986	067 PCT					
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inves	stigato	r Recon	nmendation
A.POM Miguel Vanbrakle	Force: Police Officer Miguel Vanbrakle used physical force against §87(2)(b)							

Case Summary and \$87(2)(b) on January 20, 2018

This complaint was reported by § 87(2)(b)

by phone to IAB. Th January 29, 2018.	e complaint was rece	eived by the CCRB under IAB Log #2	2018-3135 on
	ance footage from the	ed from officer body worn cameras as e incident location. The video footage	
at the phone number an unpersonalized m	she used when she realibox after each of to CLEAR and LEXI	, 2018, ten phone call attempts were neported the incident. Voicemail messathese calls. This number appeared in CS search results provided three additions and one numbers were out of service and one	nges were left on CLEAR search onal possible phone
address. Three letters		both revealed her current and on February 8, 2018, February 20, 20 by the USPS.	0
2018. §87(2)(b) promediation and invest	tigation processes exp	over the phone on statement regarding the incident. After plained to her, \$87(2)(b) did not wan sointment to provide a sworn statement	r having the t to proceed with
A NYC and NYS De and \$87(2)(b) were		ion search on April 19, 2018 revealed	that § 87(2)(b)
As of the date of this letters mailed to her. regarding the incider	The second complain	as not responded to ten phone call attended to provide a refused to provide a	
Squad No.: 5			
Investigator:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201800760