CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force		Discourt.	U.S.
Genevieve Lamont		Squad #8	201901804	✓ Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct	: 18 M	lo. SOL	EO SOL
Monday, 02/25/2019 12:30 PM		Inside 90th Precinct sta 90th Precinct stationho		90	8/25	5/2020	4/11/2021
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Ti	me Receiv	ed at CCR	B
Wed, 02/27/2019 1:28 PM	1, 02/27/2019 1:28 PM		CCRB On-line website		Wed, 02/27/2019 1:28 PM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	SS				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command				
1. SGT Kitwane Lewis	04105	951915	090 PCT				
2. POM Mina Adly	03567	961594	090 PCT				
3. POM Rodrigo Fernandez	19608	944557	090 PCT				
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name				
1. POM Tejinder Singh	14167	959992	090 PCT				
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Ir	vestigato	or Recom	mendation
A.SGT Kitwane Lewis	Abuse: Inside the 90th Precinct stationhouse in Brooklyn, Sergeant Kitwane Lewis failed to provide § 87(2)(b) with a business card.						
B.POM Mina Adly	Abuse: Outside the 90th Precinct stationhouse in Brooklyn, Police Officer Mina Adly failed to provide \$87(2)(b) with a business card.						
C.POM Rodrigo Fernandez	Abuse: Outside the 90th Precinct stationhouse in Brooklyn, Police Officer Rodrigo Fernandez failed to provide (s)						

Case Summary

On February 27, 2019, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ filed the following complaint with the CCRB online. On February 25, 2019, at approximately 12:30 p.m., \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ went to the 90th Precinct stationhouse to speak with an officer ranking higher than a lieutenant. Upon arriving, he spoke with Sgt. Kitwane Lewis of the 90th Precinct. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ and Sgt. Lewis engaged in a back and forth conversation, in which \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ requested that Sgt. Lewis call his superior, which Sgt. Lewis refused to do. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ then asked Sgt. Lewis for his business card, which Sgt. Lewis refused to provide (Allegation A: Abuse; \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$).

then left the stationhouse and called 911, requesting to a have a lieutenant on scene. PO Mina Adly and PO Rodrigo Fernandez of the 90th Precinct responded. The two officers had a back and forth with \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ in which they refused to radio their lieutenant if \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ did not provide a valid reason for needing one. \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ then asked PO Adly and PO \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ for their business cards, to which both officers responded that they did not have any (**Allegation B: Abuse;** \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ and **Allegation C: Abuse,** \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$.

Fernandez on his cellphone, which he provided to the investigation [BR01 and BR02, respectively]. There is no video footage of this incident.

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Inside the 90th Precinct stationhouse in Brooklyn, Sergeant Kitwane Lewis failed to provide \$87(2)(b) with a business card.

It is undisputed that Sgt. Lewis did not provide his business card to \$87(2)(b) when asked to do so.

testified that after a back and forth with Sgt. Lewis regarding calling a superior, he requested Sgt. Lewis's business card [BR03]. Sgt. Lewis responded, "Cards for what? I don't need to give you a card." Sgt. Lewis further informed \$87(2)(b) that he did not have a business card. \$87(2)(b) requested that he write down his information, to which Sgt. Lewis stated that he could see his information on his chest, which he puffed out. \$87(2)(b) there recorded on his cellphone, "Sgt. Lewis, doesn't have cards, #4105" [BR01].

Sgt. Lewis did not recall if \$87(2)(b) asked for his business card or his information [BR04]. He did not recall if he provided his information or business card to \$87(2)(b) during their interaction.

Audio recording from \$87(2)(b) s cellphone captured \$87(2)(b) requesting a business card from Sgt. Lewis [BR01]. Sgt. Lewis can be heard providing his shield number "4105" in response. He is not heard informing \$87(2)(b) that he does not have business cards, but stated in the recording that Sgt. Lewis was not equipped with business cards. Sgt. Lewis listened to the audio recording, in which he identified his voice, but could not identify if he was the officer speaking to \$87(2)(b) when a business card was requested. He acknowledged that his voice might have been heard in the distance, as he was by the front desk during this point in the incident.

According to Patrol Guide Procedure 203-09, uniformed members of service are to provide business cards, as appropriate, at the request of members of the public. Furthermore, members of service must allow members of the public ample time to write down identification information if supply of business cards become depleted [BR05]. Additionally, NYC

Page 2

requesting identifying information, or provide such information verbally to such person and allow sufficient time to record such information when such officer does not have an adequate number of business cards [BR12]. § 87(2)(g) § 87(2)(g) Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: Outside the 90th Precinct stationhouse in Brooklyn, Police Officer Mina Adly failed to provide \$87(2)(b) with a business card. Allegation (C) Abuse of Authority: Outside the 90th Precinct stationhouse in Brooklyn, Police Officer Rodrigo Fernandez failed to provide \$87(2)(b) with a business card. It is undisputed that, while outside the 90th Precinct stationhouse, §87(2)(b) requested business cards from PO Adly and PO Fernandez, which were not provided. testified that after a back and forth conversation with PO Adly and PO Fernandez regarding calling a lieutenant or captain to scene, he requested the officers' business cards [BR03]. Both officers informed him that they did not have business cards. [397(2)(b)] requested to take a picture of their information, which he took on his cellphone. PO Adly also testified that he, PO Fernandez, and §87(2)(b) had a back and forth conversation regarding [837(2)] s request to call a lieutenant or captain on scene [BR06]. He, however, denied that \$87(2)(6) requested their business cards. PO Adly was played an audio recording of the interaction from \$87(2)(b) s cellphone, in which \$87(2)(b) can be heard requesting business cards from the officers [BR02]. PO Adly testified that § 37(2)(b) asked for "cards", not business cards, and did not know what he was referring to [BR07]. PO Adly stated he was equipped with business cards at the time. PO Fernandez's testimony mostly aligned with PO Adly's. However, he acknowledged that \$37(2)(b) asked for their business cards, but that he was not equipped with them at the time [BR08]. PO Fernandez offered to write down his information for \$37(2)(5) which can be heard on the audio recording [BR02]. § 87(2)(b) instead requested to take a picture of the officer's information, which he did on his cellphone. According to Patrol Guide Procedure 203-09, uniformed members of service are to provide business cards, as appropriate, at the request of members of the public. Furthermore, members of service must allow members of the public ample time to write down identification information if supply of business cards become depleted [BR05]. Additionally, NYC Administrative Code §14-174 requires that an officer shall offer a business card to any person requesting identifying information, or provide such information verbally to such person and allow sufficient time to record such information when such officer does not have an adequate number of business cards [BR12].

Administrative Code §14-174 requires that an officer shall offer a business card to any person

Page 3

\$ 07/2\/a\			
§ 87(2)(g)			
§ 87(2)(g)		
	Civilian and	Officer CCRB Histories	
§ 87(2))(b)		
Sgt PO whi	. Lewis has been a member of services. Lewis has been a member of services. Case #201904825, in which person allegation is pled. Case #201904853, in which two allegation is pled. Case #201904853, in which two allegation is pled. Sign(2)(9) Lewis's CCRB history does not reach the has been a member of services the has been a subject of. Fernandez has been a member of suplaint in which he has been a subject.	wo vehicle search allegations and effect any pattern pertinent to this e for two years. This is the first C ervice for 11 years. This is the fir	a search of a one frisk sinvestigation.
	Mediation, Civi	l and Criminal Histories	
AccNotAcc	diation was attempted for this comporting to the New York City Officing of Claim regarding this incident cording to the Office of Court Admixiction history in New York City	e of the Comptroller, \$87(2)(b) t as of June 21, 2019 [BR10]. inistration (OCA), \$87(2)(b) has	has not filed a s no criminal
Squad No.:			
Investigator	::Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Page 4

Squad Leader:			
•	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date