

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Nina Mickens	Team: Team # 2	CCRB Case #: 201008514	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday, 06/18/2010 9:30 PM	Location of Incident: 7th Avenue and West 51st Street	Precinct: 18	18 Mo. SOL 12/18/2011	EO SOL 12/18/2011	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 06/18/2010 9:50 PM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 06/23/2010 1:54 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Bekim Kalicovic	14940	936834	MTN PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Bekim Kalicovic	Force: PO Bekim Kalicovic struck § 87(2)(b) s leg with an inanimate object.	
B.POM Bekim Kalicovic	Discourtesy: PO Bekim Kalicovic spoke obscenely and/or rudely to § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On June 18, 2010, § 87(2)(b) called 911 to report an incident and was transferred to the Internal Affairs Bureau. IAB Log # 10-31071 was generated and the complaint was received at the CCRB on June 23, 2010. § 87(2)(b) who is a limousine driver, stated that on June 18, 2010, at approximately 9:30 p.m., he was parked on 7th Avenue and West 51st Street in Manhattan when a white male police officer, dressed in uniform, with shield #14940 asked him to move. The officer, identified by shield number as PO Bekim Kalicovic, asked § 87(2)(b) what he was doing there. § 87(2)(b) explained that he had a job there and was waiting. § 87(2)(b) opened his driver side door to show the officer the job that he received via his cell phone. PO Kalicovic slammed the door very hard on § 87(2)(b)'s left foot approximately five to six times (Allegation A). PO Kalicovic requested license and registration at some point and when he returned it he made a comment that he had a good night and was not going to ruin it with a "piece of shit" like him § 87(2)(b) (Allegation B). An ambulance bus and a patrol supervisor were dispatched to the location.

Two letters were mailed to § 87(2)(b)'s residence on July 7, 2010 and July 14, 2010. The letters were not returned. Attempts were made to contact § 87(2)(b) via telephone seven times between June 29, 2010 and August 30, 2010. Voicemail messages were left each time. On July 15, 2010, § 87(2)(b) made an appointment for an interview on August 3, 2010. On the date of the scheduled interview, § 87(2)(b) called to reschedule and expressed his desire to have his attorney present. Three different interview date options were provided. § 87(2)(b) said he would speak to his attorney and call back. On August 19, 2010, § 87(2)(b) called the CCRB and explained that he still wished to pursue his complaint but did not get in contact with his attorney yet. On August 31, 2010, an attempt was made to contact § 87(2)(b), the attorney representing § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b), a partner at the firm, explained that he was in charge of § 87(2)(b)'s case and did not wish for him to provide a statement due to a pending civil suit. § 87(2)(b) declined to send a letter in writing expressing his desires should they decide to re open the case at a later date. § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

Team: _____

:

Investigator: _____
Signature _____ Print _____ Date _____

Supervisor: _____
Title/Signature _____ Print _____ Date _____

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature _____ Print _____ Date _____

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature _____ Print _____ Date _____