CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Kimberly Walters		Team # 7	200201406	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Thursday, 02/21/2002 1:18 AM		§ 87(2)(b)		73	8/21/2003	8/21/2003
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	e Received at CCF	RB
Thu, 02/21/2002 1:20 AM		IAB	Phone	Mon, 03/0	04/2002 11:30 AN	1
Complainant/Victim	Туре	pe Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. SGT Ischaler Grant	03725	897595	PBBN SC			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. LT Joseph Diehl	00000	909553	PSA 2			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inv	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.SGT Ischaler Grant	Abuse: Sergeant Ischaler Grant refused to provide his and shield number to \$87(2)(b)			s name		
B.SGT Ischaler Grant	Force: Sergeant Ischaler Grant used physical force ag § 87(2)(6)			gainst		

Synopsis

nephew, \$87(2)(b) pushed \$87(2)(b) and the information \$87(2)(b) subject officer as Sergean the CCRB. The CCRB det to be resolved through me History, it was subsequen	report refused to provide his na provided to Internal Aff t Grant Ischaler, prior to termined that the allegatication. However, based atly determined that the s	on Sergeant Ischaler's CCRB subject officer was not eligible to
placed to \$87(2)(b) re to contact him. Beginning Call letters were sent to \$8	002, and ending on April a sidence. Solution of the sidence of the sidence. A residence.	ogram. 3, 2002, four telephone calls were illed to respond to the CCRB's efforts ending on April 3, 2002, four Please As was the case with the telephone apond to the CCRB's efforts to contact
telephone conversation wi 200201406, side A, volum and \$87(2)(b) express stated that because he wa his place to complain about him. \$87(2)(b) indicated his own free will. Team M	on April 3, 2002, the CC ailure to appear for his so which was the 1, \$87(2)(b) at the dot no desire to pursue the as not the victim in the intuit an officer who had not all that he was withdrawin	failed to appear for his RB contacted \$87(2)(b) via cheduled interview. During the s recorded on tape number at he had spoken with \$87(2)(b) the complaint. \$87(2)(b) further the cident, he did not believe that it was acted in an improper manner toward g his complaint voluntarily, and of eviewed the tape containing \$270
On April 3, 2002, a Compenvelope was sent to \$87(2)(6		nclosed in a stamped, self-addressed
Investigator:		Date:
Supervisor:		Date:
Reviewed by:		Date:
Reviewed by:		Date:

Page 2 CCRB Case # 200201406