CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.	
Adrian Legaspi		Team # 4	201209949	☐ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury	
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL	
Sunday, 07/29/2012 3:30 AM		§ 87(2)(b)		103	1/29/2014	1/29/2014	
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	: Date/Time	e Received at CCI	₹B	
Sun, 07/29/2012 3:40 AM	07/29/2012 3:40 AM IAE		Phone	Thu, 08/0	Thu, 08/02/2012 2:52 PM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command				
1. POM Henry Daverin	16496	945645	103 PCT				
Officer(s)	Allegati	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation	
A.POM Henry Daverin	Force: PO Henry Daverin used physical force against (887(2))						

Case Summary

On July 29, 2012, \$87(2)(b) filed a	complaint with IAB, generating log
number 12-40161. On August 2, 2012, \$87(2)(b) s con	inplaint was forwarded to the CCRB.
provided a brief phone statement to the CCR	
On July 29, 2012, at approximately 3:30 a.m., at \$870	, in Queens, §
and § 87(2)(b) were attending a party. At thi	
and many of the party-goers were highly intoxicated. A braw	
the police. PO1, a uniformed white male with a very heavy b	ouild, responded in a marked patrol
vehicle. \$87(2)(b) learned that his shield number was	16496. A search of CTS shows that
shield #16496 is assigned to PO Henry Daverin, who is a same to the PO Henry Daverin	gned to the 103 rd Precinct.
was unable to obtain the RMP number of the veh	icle. PO Daverin stood outside of the
gate on the sidewalk and told the party goers to relax numero	ous times. § 87(2)(b) approached
PO Daverin and tried to explain what happened and PO Dav	
did not step back as he was intoxicated, and PO Dav	
(Allegation A). § 87(2)(b) fell down, but was not injured as	nd did not seek medical treatment.
PO Daverin left after the party goers started to disperse.	
On August 9, 2012, the investigator called \$87(2)(b)	
statement. § 87(2)(b) did not know § 87(2)(b) s phone	e number, but confirmed that his
address was the same as the address of the incident location.	
until August 16, 2012, to decide whether he wanted to pursu	
did not contact the CCRB on August 16, 2012. C	
called \$87(2)(b) . \$87(2)(b) stated that he did not	
because he did not have enough time. §87(2)(b) rejected	
wished to withdraw his complaint. He confirmed that he was	
own free will, and that no one in the NYPD or the CCRB co	
had still not obtained \$87(2)(b) s phone n	
was sent a withdrawal letter which will be include	
withdrawal was reviewed by ASI Levavi, who confirmed that	
Between August 13, 2012, and August 27, 2012, two	
Neither have been returned to the CCRB by the Uni	
24, 2012, a search of the 411.com database was preformed w	
name of § 87(2)(b) none of which contained the address	
August 24, 2012, a search of the Cole Directory yielded no t	•
the address. A search of Lexis Nexis performed on August 2	
\$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) living at \$87(2) her p	
September 4, 2012, the investigator called the number and a she was \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) but did not know anyone by the n	
before the investigator could obtain additional information.	
investigator received and reviewed the IAB recording. It com	•
incident location, but did not yield additional contact inform	
of the Department of Correction inmate lookup website conf	
currently incarcerated. As of October 2, 2012, \$87(2)(b) h	
turiently incarcerated. As of October 2, 2012, 30(2)	as not contacted the CCRB. 30.15/19/
	·····
Team:	

Page 2 CCRB Case # 201209949

Investigato	or:		
	Signature	Print	Date
Supervisor	: 		
	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:			
	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:			
	Title/Signature	Print	Date