CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Angel Riddle		Squad #5	202100981	☑ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Monday, 02/15/2021 9:35 AM		34th Street "1" subway	station	14	8/15/2022	8/15/2022
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Tim	e Received at CCI	RB
Mon, 02/15/2021 2:08 PM		CCRB	On-line website	Mon, 02/	15/2021 2:08 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Michael Palmese	23846	928921	TB DT02			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inv	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.POM Michael Palmese	Abuse: Police Officer Michael Palmese failed to provide with a business card.					
B.POM Michael Palmese	Abuse: Police Officer Michael Palmese refused to provide his name to \$87(2)(b)					
C.POM Michael Palmese	Abuse: Police Officer Michael Palmese refused to provide his hield number to \$87(2)(b)					
D.POM Michael Palmese	Abuse: Police Officer Michael Palmese interfered with					

Truncation Case Summary

Search IAs: 0

Contact complainant/victim IAs: 2

Contact: Comp/Victim § 87(2)(b)
Created by: Angel Riddle on 02/19/2021

Remarks Called \$87(2)(b) at \$87(2)(b) at [1] and she stated that she was commuting and wouldn't be able to speak for a few hours. \$87(2)(b) then asked if I could call back at 1 p.m. on Monday (2/22).

Contact: Comp/Victim \$87(2)(b)
Created by: Angel Riddle on 02/22/2021

Remarks Called \$87(2)(b) at \$87(2)(b) at \$87(2)(b) and confirmed her information, confirmed that she did not want to provide her date of birth, and got a brief statement. During this conversation \$87(2)(b) emphasized that she was primarily concerned with PO1 not wearing a mask and she was informed that this would be referred to the appropriate agency. \$87(2)(b) then withdrew her CCRB complaint.

Letters/Correspondence IAs: 0

Document List:

Civilian Interview Scheduled IAs: 0

Civilian Interview Missed IAs: 0

Truncation Report Remarks:

On February 15, 2020, \$87(2)(6) submitted the following complaint on the CCRB website.

The investigation did not obtain video footage.

The undersigned reached \$37(2)(b) by phone on February 22, 2021. \$37(2)(c) provided a brief phone statement, in which she emphasized that her primary concern was an officer's refusal to wear a mask during the incident. \$37(2)(b) initially said that she also wanted to pursue a CCRB complaint, but then decided to withdraw her complaint after the undersigned explained investigation and mediation to her. \$37(2)(b) felt that pursuing the complaint would be a waste of her time. She confirmed that she was withdrawing the complaint entirely of her own free will, and she confirmed that no one at the CCRB or the NYPD had coerced her into withdrawing the complaint. The recording of this conversation can be found in IA #11. IM Giansante reviewed the recording and confirmed that it adhered to agency standards. \$37(2)(c)

Squad No.:	5		
Investigator:	DG	IM Giansante for Inv. Riddle	March 18, 2021
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:	Daniel Giansante	IM Daniel Giansante	March 18, 2021
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date