# CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☑ Force	<b>☑</b> Discour	t. U.S.
Ruth Lerdahl		Team # 4	201000737	✓ Abuse	O.L.	✓ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinct	: 18 Mo. SOI	EO SOL
Sat, 01/16/2010 7:30 PM				25	07/16/2011	7/16/2011
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	: Date/Ti	me Received at Co	CRB
Sat, 01/16/2010 9:56 PM		CCRB	Call Processing System	Sat, 01/	16/2010 9:56 PM	I
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Add	ress			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Theohari Koumniotes	15817		MN IRT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM Miguel Rosa	18369		MN IRT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	n		In	vestigator Reco	ommendation
A. POM Theohari Koumniotes	Abuse of	Authority: PO Theoha	ari Koumniotes stoppe	ed § 87(2)		
B. POM Theohari Koumniotes	Abuse of	Authority: PO Theoha	ari Koumniotes search	ned § 87(2)		
C. POM Theohari Koumniotes	Discourte	esy: PO Theohari Kou	mniotes spoke rudely	to § 87(2)		
D. POM Theohari Koumniotes	Off. Lang § 87(2)(b)	guage: PO Theohari Ko based upon race.	oumniotes made rema	arks to		
E. POM Theohari Koumniotes	Force: PC § 87(2)(b)	Theohari Koumniote	es used physical force	against		

On January 16, 2010 (S87(2)(b)) made complaints about the following incident to both IAB and the CCRB. On January 16, 2010 (S87(2)(b)) encountered officers in the elevator of his building at (S87(2)(b)) the following allegations resulted from the officers' actions:
<ul> <li>Allegation A: Abuse of Authority – PO Theohari Koumniotes stopped \$87(2)(0)</li> <li>Allegation B: Abuse of Authority – PO Theohari Koumniotes searched \$87(2)(0)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Allegation C: Discourtesy – PO Theohari Koumniotes spoke rudely to \$87(2)(b)</li> <li>Allegation D: Offensive Language – PO Koumniotes made remarks to \$87(2)(b)</li> <li>based upon race. \$87(2)(g)</li> </ul>
• Allegation E: Force – PO Theohari Koumniotes used physical force against \$87(2)
is suing the city and sought medical treatment for injuries related to this incident. This case was originally assigned to Inv. Howell, was reassigned to Inv. Barto in April 2010, and was reassigned to the undersigned in Jul 2010.
Results of Investigation  Civilian Statement
Complainant/Victim: §87(2)(b)  §87(2)(b)
made four statements about this incident: his initial complaint to CCRB (encl. [3A-B]) and his complaint to IAB on January 16, 2010 (encl. [2]), a detailed phone statement on January 22, 2010 (encl. [4A-B]), and his CCRB interview on May 5, 2010 (encl. [5A-F]). On January 16, 2010, at approximately 7:15 p.m. \$97(2)(b) was in his building at \$97(2)(b) was in his elevator to go to the \$97(2)(b) was in his building at \$97(2)(b) was in his building at \$97(2)(b) was in his elevator to go to the \$97(2)(b) was in his building at

Page 3 CCRB Case # 201000737

him off the elevator onto the landing. S87(2)(b) refused to give PO Koumniotes his
identification, and flailed his arms in order to prevent PO Koumniotes from getting his
identification or getting anywhere close to him. PO Koumniotes also felt that \$87(2)(6) was a
threat to him by the way he was waving his hands. For this reason, PO Koumniotes handcuffed
him. PO Koumniotes did not push \$87(2)(b) against the wall. PO Koumniotes frisked \$27(2)(b)
and felt a wallet in his pocket. PO Koumniotes pulled out the wallet and retrieved
s identification, but it did not have his address. PO Koumniotes told \$87(2)(6)
could either tell him where he lived or go to jail. §87(2)(b) called PO Koumniotes a "racist
white cracker" and said he lived on the third floor. PO Koumniotes did not call \$87(2)(b)
"nigger" or a "black motherfucker" and did not hear any other officers say that. PO Koumniotes
and PO Rosa brought \$87(2)(b) to the third floor and his mother, \$87(2)(b) answered and
verified he lived there

### Witness Officer: PO MIGUEL ROSA

- § 87(2)(b)
- On the date of incident, assigned to Manhattan North IRT, mobile response. He worked in uniform from 4:00 p.m. to 12:35 a.m. with PO Koumniotes, dressed in uniform and was assigned to a marked van.

### **CCRB Testimony**

PO Rosa was interviewed at the CCRB on September 14, 2010 (encl. [10A-B]). He stated that while doing a vertical patrol with PO Koumniotes, saveline entered the elevator. Either PO Rosa or PO Koumniotes asked saveline if he lived in the building. The officers initiated the interaction with saveline out of the elevator for their safety and went to his apartment door and verified that he lived there. PO Rosa did not recall PO Koumniotes handcuffing saveline checking his identification, frisking or searching him, or pushing him against a wall. He did not recall saveline being physically uncooperative at any point, although he was verbally uncooperative throughout. He denied the discourtesy and offensive language allegations.

# Officers Not Interviewed

The only description was able to provide of the other two officers present was that they were white males in uniform, numerous officers fitting such a description were working, and neither PO Koumniotes nor PO Rosa remembered other officers being present. According to the roll call, no other officers were assigned to the area. Nevertheless, the CCRB attempted to contact [887(2)(b)] to schedule a photo array, but he did not respond. Therefore, these officers were not identified. In addition, CCRB determined that interviewing additional officers would not affect the disposition of the allegations.

## **NYPD Documents**

# Roll Call, Manhattan North IRT, Tour 3

No additional officers were assigned to work with PO Koumniotes and none were assigned to the area (encl. [12A-E]).

Page 4 CCRB Case # 201000737

Medical Records  S87(2)(b)  was treated at \$87(2)(b)  (encl. [5A-C]). He complained of left shoulder and lower back pain after an officer pushed him against the wall in his building. He was diagnosed with musculoskeletal pain and given Motrin.
Summons for Incident and Disposition  According to Manhattan Criminal Summonses, both summonses issued to §87(2)(b) were dismissed.
<ul> <li>Status of Civil Proceedings</li> <li>S87(2)(5) filed a Notice of Claim with the City of New York on April 1, 2010. The monetary amount was not listed (encl. [6A-C]).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Civilian Criminal History</li> <li>As of September 28, 2010, Office of Court Administration records reveal no criminal convictions for \$87(2)(b)</li> </ul>
• This is the first CCRB complaint filed by \$87(2)(b) (encl. [1D]).
<ul> <li>Subject Officer CCRB History</li> <li>PO Theohari Koumniotes has been a member of the service for two years and there are no substantiated CCRB allegations against him (encl. [1B-C]).</li> </ul>
Conclusion  Identification of Subject Officer  ■ PO Koumniotes acknowledged interacting with \$87(2)(0)
Investigative Findings and Recommendations
Allegation A: Abuse of Authority – PO Theohari Koumniotes stopped  PO Koumniotes, and PO Rosa all stated that \$87(2)(b) became angry when the officers spoke to him and refused to tell them whether he lived in the building.  acknowledged that he was annoyed the elevator kept stopping, PO Koumniotes wrote comments about \$87(2)(b) s behavior on the summonses and in his memo book, and \$27(2)(c)  acknowledged being uncooperative.  \$87(2)(c)  PO  Koumniotes stated that once \$87(2)(b) refused to tell them whether he lived in the building, he
became suspicious that he did not live there. The officers were doing a vertical patrol of a NYCHA building in which only residents and their guests are allowed. According to Kamins New York Search and Seizure, an officer can forcibly stop an individual when the officer reasonably suspects the individual has committed or is committing a crime (encl. [1A]).

Allegation B: Abuse of Authority – PO Theohari Koumniotes searched 887(2)(b)

and PO Koumniotes both stated that after handcuffing 887(2)(b)

Roumniotes pulled 887(2)(b) s wallet out of his pocket and retrieved his identification. Since

Page 5
CCRB Case # 201000737

had not previously cooperated when the officers asked if he lived in the building, the only way for PO Koumniotes to verify his residence was to retrieve his identification, and because \$87(2)(b) was handcuffed for the safety of the officers, PO Koumniotes had to do so himself. It is undisputed that the purpose of the search was to retrieve \$87(2)(b) s identification since PO Koumniotes suspected \$87(2)(b) was trespassing and also was issuing \$87(2)(b) a summons. \$87(2)(b)
Allogation C. Discourtesy DO Thochari Kaumpiatos spaka gudaky to 200000
Allegation C: Discourtesy – PO Theohari Koumniotes spoke rudely to 887(2)(b) Allegation D: Offensive Language – PO Theohari Koumniotes made remarks to 887(2)
based upon race.
alleged in his CCRB interview that PO Koumniotes used the word "fuck"
when speaking to him and called him a "nigger" and a "black motherfucker." S87(2)(6)
explain the context in which PO Koumniotes used the racial slurs, and did not initially make the
n his statement but referred to it later. §87(2)(9)
even though he made two separate
complaints on the date of the incident and a detailed phone statement several days after the
incident. § 87(2)(g)
Allegation E: Force – PO Theohari Koumniotes used physical force against 887(2)(6)
alleged that after verifying his residence, the officers brought him to another
floor and PO Koumniotes pushed him twice in his chest while he stood against the wall. He
alleged shoulder and back pain as a result of this and sought medical treatment. He is also suing
the City § 87(2)(g)
. Both PO Koumniotes and PO Rosa denied that PO Koumniotes pushed
§ 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(g)

Team:		
Investigator:		. <u></u>
Signature	Print	Date
Supervisor:		
Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:		
Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:		
Title/Signature	Print	Date