CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Elizabeth Johnson		Team # 6	201004235	☑ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
					T.03.5 205	
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
		Northeastern corner of Jefferson Avenue and Nostrand Avenue		79	9/25/2011	9/25/2011
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCI	RB
Tue, 03/30/2010 4:33 PM	4:33 PM Otho		Mail	Tue, 03/30	0/2010 4:33 PM	
Complainant/Victim	olainant/Victim Type Home Address					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Joseph Torres	07967	948149	BN IRT			
2. POM Jonathan Dones	27390	948203	BN IRT			
Officer(s)	Allegation			Investigator Recommendation		
A.POM Joseph Torres	Abuse: PO Joseph Torres questioned an individual.					
B.POM Jonathan Dones	Abuse: P	O Jonathan Dones ques				

Case Summary

On March 29, 2010, §87(2)(6) wrote this complaint to Chief Gerald Nelson of Patrol
Bureau Brooklyn North on behalf of \$37(2)(6) regarding an incident on March 16,
2010, and on behalf of an unidentified individual on March 25, 2010. Sergeant Kristin Klimkoski
of Patrol Bureau Brooklyn North referred the complaints to the CCRB, which was received on
March 30, 2010. On April 6, 2010, the incident involving \$87(2)(b) was referred to the
Office of Chief of Department via CCRB number 2011004234. As official statements were not
obtained from either \$887(2)(b) or the unidentified individual, regarding the incident on March
25, 2010 the details of the incident were gathered from \$87(2)(b) so original complaint.
On March 25, 2010, at approximately 7:00pm, an unidentified male was on the northeast
corner of Nostrand Avenue and Jefferson Avenue in Brooklyn when two officers, whom
identified as PO Torres, shield number 7967, and PO Dones, shield number 27390,
questioned the male (Allegations A and B). The male asked the officers why they wanted to
question him, to which the officers told him to speak with a lawyer. §87(2)(b) informed the
officers that he was a lawyer, and the officers informed him that the male had been "standing on
the corner too long." §87(2)(b) had observed the male on the corner speaking with other
individuals before walking home. § 87(2)(b) asked the officers what crime the male had
committed, and what basis did they have for stopping him as he did not believe standing on the
corner was illegal in New York City. PO Torrez and PO Dones did not issue a summons to the
male, but \$87(2)(0) informed them that they should complete and submit a UF-250, a Stop,
Question and Frisk Report.
provided the names and shield numbers of "PO Torres" and "PO Dones" who
allegedly questioned the unidentified male. Searches of the CCRB database confirmed the
identities of these officers as PO Joseph Torres and PO Jonathan Dones of Brooklyn North
Impact Response Team, and the allegations were pleaded against them.
On April 1, 2010, a search of the Department of Corrections website confirmed that
was not incarcerated. On April 1, 2010, a letter was mailed to \$87(2)(b) which was
not returned to the agency. On April 1, 2010 and on May 12, 2010, emails were sent to
Between April 1, 2010 and April 5, 2010, three telephone calls were placed to
and with each call, a message was let. On April 6, 2010, \$87(2)(b) called the
undersigned and stated that he was unable to identify the male at the time of his telephone call,
but scheduled an appointment for April 26, 2010 and stated he would attempt to obtain the males'
name and contact information by the time of his interview. On April 22, 2010, \$87(2)(6)
called the undersigned and stated that he was in Europe and unable to return by the time of his
appointment, and asked to reschedule his interview for May 7, 2010. On May 7, 2010,
failed to appear for his scheduled appointment without calling to cancel or reschedule.
On May 12, 2010, a missed appointment letter was mailed to \$87(2)(b) which was not
returned to the agency. On May 12, 2010, a telephone call was placed to \$87(2)(6) during
which a message was left.
Although requests were made for SPRINTS and 250 records, the SPRINT index and 250 log
from the date of the incident did not indicate any entries pertaining to this incident. Although
informed the officers that he expected a 250 report to be prepared, did not suggest in his
complaint that the officers would have prepared any documentation as he did not indicate that the
officers stopped the male and obtained his information, which would be required for a 250 report,
and as the male was not arrested nor received a summons, a SPRINT would not be available. As
was unable to identify the male who officers allegedly questioned, absent additional
testimony and documentation, the male could not be identified, and a statement was not obtained.
As \$87(2)(b) did not appear for his scheduled appointment without calling to cancel or

reschedule, § 87(2)(g)		
Team:6		
1ean <u>0</u>		
	•	
Investigator:	Elizabeth Johnson	
Signature Print		Date
Supervisor:		<u> </u>
Title/Signature	Print	Date
~ ·		
Reviewer:		
Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:		
Title/Signature Print	Date	
11110/5151141410	Dute	