

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Patrick Harrison	Team: Team # 2	CCRB Case #: 201205605	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Tuesday, 05/01/2012 10:15 PM	Location of Incident: 2101 Richmond Terrace	Precinct: 120	18 Mo. SOL 11/1/2013	EO SOL 11/1/2013	
Date/Time CV Reported Wed, 05/02/2012 11:15 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 05/02/2012 11:15 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. An officer			
2. SGT Bruce Ceparano	00485	933682	120 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Eduardo Ochoa	02850	917142	120 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.SGT Bruce Ceparano	Abuse: Sgt. Bruce Ceparano supervised the stop of the car in which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were occupants.	
B. An officer	Abuse: An officer searched § 87(2)(b)	
C. An officer	Abuse: An officer searched the car in which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were occupants.	
D. An officer	Abuse: An officer damaged § 87(2)(b)'s property.	
E.SGT Bruce Ceparano	Abuse: Sgt. Bruce Ceparano refused to provide his name to § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On May 2, 2012, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via telephone. On May 1, 2012, at 10:15 p.m., § 87(2)(b) and his friend § 87(2)(b) were stopped by Sgt. Bruce Ceparano (identified by investigation) and PO Eduardo Ochoa (identified by name) at 2101 Richmond Terrace in Staten Island (**Allegation A**). Additional officers arrived at the location. An officer approached § 87(2)(b) asked him where the drugs were, forced open his mouth, and looked inside his mouth (**Allegation B**). The same officer then searched § 87(2)(b)'s car (**Allegation C**), causing damage to the interior (**Allegation D**). § 87(2)(b) asked Sgt. Ceparano for his name. Sgt. Ceparano stated that he was a sergeant and did not provide his name (**Allegation E**). § 87(2)(b) was issued a summons for § 87(2)(b), and § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were released. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

On May 9, 2012, a first call was made to § 87(2)(b) provided a phone statement and stated that he would call the CCRB back at a later date to schedule an interview. § 87(2)(b) did not call the CCRB back. On May 15, 2012, and May 22, 2012, calls were made to § 87(2)(b). On both dates § 87(2)(b) stated that he would call the CCRB back at a later date to schedule an interview. § 87(2)(b) did not call the CCRB back. On May 25, 2012, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) answered the phone and then hung up immediately. A letter was sent to § 87(2)(b) on May 25, 2012, and e-mails were sent to § 87(2)(b) on May 25, 2012, and May 30, 2012. § 87(2)(b) has not contacted the CCRB since May 25, 2012, and the letter and e-mails sent to him have not been returned.

On May 9, 2012, § 87(2)(b) was contacted via telephone. § 87(2)(b) provided a phone statement and stated that he would call the CCRB back at a later date to schedule an interview. § 87(2)(b) did not call the CCRB back. On May 15, 2012, May 22, 2012, May 25, 2012, May 29, 2012, voicemail messages were left for § 87(2)(b). On May 15, 2012, and May 22, 2012, letters were sent to § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) has not contacted the CCRB since May 9, 2012. According to the New York City Department of Correction Inmate Tracking System and the New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision Inmate Population Information Search, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) are not incarcerated.

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Team: _____

Investigator: _____
Signature _____ Print _____ Date _____

Supervisor: _____
Title/Signature _____ Print _____ Date _____

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature _____ Print _____ Date _____

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature _____ Print _____ Date _____