CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Team Team									
Incident Date(s)	Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force		Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Sunday, 05/09/2004 2:30 PM, Monday, 05/10/2004 2:30 PM, Monday, 05/10/2004 2:30 PM, Monday, 05/10/2004 2:30 PM, Monday, 05/10/2004 2:37 PM	Debra Cleaver		Team # 7	200404335	V	Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury
Date/Time CV Reported CV Reported At: How CV Reported: Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 05/10/2004 2:57 PM CCRB Phone Mon, 05/10/2004 2:57 PM	Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	L	P	recinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Mon, 05/10/2004 2:57 PM CCRB		Monday,	§ 87(2)(b)			69	1	1/9/2005	11/9/2005
Note	Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	:	Date/Time	Rec	eived at CCF	RB
Witness(es)	Mon, 05/10/2004 2:57 PM		CCRB Phone			Mon, 05/10/2004 2:57 PM			
Subject Officer(s) Shield TaxID Command 1. POF Renee Wyattshiver 10528 900010 069 PCT 2. POF Lashonda Dyce 00000 932152 069 PCT Witness Officer(s) Shield No Tax No Cmd Name 1. POF Deidre Clarke 30587 929903 069 PCT 2. POM Marco Rosales 24872 931093 069 PCT Officer(s) Allegation Investigator Recommendation A.POF Lashonda Dyce Abuse: POF Lashonda Dyce threatened to arrest \$\frac{857(2)(5)}{2}\$	Complainant/Victim	Туре	Home Addre	ess					
Subject Officer(s) Shield TaxID Command 1. POF Renee Wyattshiver 10528 900010 069 PCT 2. POF Lashonda Dyce 00000 932152 069 PCT Witness Officer(s) Shield No Tax No Cmd Name 1. POF Deidre Clarke 30587 929903 069 PCT 2. POM Marco Rosales 24872 931093 069 PCT Officer(s) Allegation Investigator Recommendation A.POF Lashonda Dyce Abuse: POF Lashonda Dyce threatened to arrest \$\frac{857(2)(5)}{2}\$									
1. POF Renee Wyattshiver 2. POF Lashonda Dyce 00000 932152 069 PCT Witness Officer(s) Shield No Tax No Cmd Name 1. POF Deidre Clarke 30587 929903 069 PCT 2. POM Marco Rosales 24872 931093 069 PCT Officer(s) Allegation A.POF Lashonda Dyce Abuse: POF Lashonda Dyce threatened to arrest \$\frac{887(2)(b)}{2}\$ Investigator Recommendation	Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess					
1. POF Renee Wyattshiver 2. POF Lashonda Dyce 00000 932152 069 PCT Witness Officer(s) Shield No Tax No Cmd Name 1. POF Deidre Clarke 30587 929903 069 PCT 2. POM Marco Rosales 24872 931093 069 PCT Officer(s) Allegation A.POF Lashonda Dyce Abuse: POF Lashonda Dyce threatened to arrest \$\frac{887(2)(b)}{2}\$ Investigator Recommendation									
1. POF Renee Wyattshiver 2. POF Lashonda Dyce 00000 932152 069 PCT Witness Officer(s) Shield No Tax No Cmd Name 1. POF Deidre Clarke 30587 929903 069 PCT 2. POM Marco Rosales 24872 931093 069 PCT Officer(s) Allegation A.POF Lashonda Dyce Abuse: POF Lashonda Dyce threatened to arrest \$\frac{887(2)(b)}{2}\$ Investigator Recommendation									
1. POF Renee Wyattshiver 2. POF Lashonda Dyce 00000 932152 069 PCT Witness Officer(s) Shield No Tax No Cmd Name 1. POF Deidre Clarke 30587 929903 069 PCT 2. POM Marco Rosales 24872 931093 069 PCT Officer(s) Allegation A.POF Lashonda Dyce Abuse: POF Lashonda Dyce threatened to arrest \$\frac{887(2)(b)}{2}\$ Investigator Recommendation									
2. POF Lashonda Dyce 00000 932152 069 PCT Witness Officer(s) Shield No Tax No Cmd Name 1. POF Deidre Clarke 30587 929903 069 PCT 2. POM Marco Rosales 24872 931093 069 PCT Officer(s) Allegation Investigator Recommendation A.POF Lashonda Dyce Abuse: POF Lashonda Dyce threatened to arrest 87(2)(b)	Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
Witness Officer(s) Shield No Tax No Cmd Name 1. POF Deidre Clarke 30587 929903 069 PCT 2. POM Marco Rosales 24872 931093 069 PCT Officer(s) Allegation A.POF Lashonda Dyce Abuse: POF Lashonda Dyce threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b)	1. POF Renee Wyattshiver	10528	900010	069 PCT					
1. POF Deidre Clarke 2. POM Marco Rosales 24872 931093 069 PCT Officer(s) Allegation A.POF Lashonda Dyce Abuse: POF Lashonda Dyce threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b)	2. POF Lashonda Dyce	00000	932152	069 PCT					
2. POM Marco Rosales 24872 931093 069 PCT Investigator Recommendation A.POF Lashonda Dyce Abuse: POF Lashonda Dyce threatened to arrest 87(2)(b)	Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name					
Officer(s) Allegation A.POF Lashonda Dyce Abuse: POF Lashonda Dyce threatened to arrest 87(2)(b)	1. POF Deidre Clarke	30587	929903	069 PCT					
A.POF Lashonda Dyce Abuse: POF Lashonda Dyce threatened to arrest [87(2)(b)]	2. POM Marco Rosales	24872	931093	069 PCT					
	Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inve	stiga	ator Recon	nmendation
B.POF Renee Wyattshiver Abuse: POF Renee Wyattshiver threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b)	A.POF Lashonda Dyce	Abuse: P	OF Lashonda Dyce thre	eatened to arrest § 87(2))(b)				
	B.POF Renee Wyattshiver	Abuse: P	Abuse: POF Renee Wyattshiver threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b)						

Synopsis

Brooklyn, NY 11236, with her \$87(2)(b) son, \$87(2)(b) and her \$87(2)(b) ld daughter, \$87(2)(b) ld daughter, \$87(2)(b) who resides elsewhere in Brooklyn. On May 7, 2004, \$87(2)(b) was arrested for slamming his sister \$87(2)(b) against a door-frame and pushing her down a flight of stairs. \$87(2)(b) declared her daughter the "guilty" party, refused to allow \$87(2)(b) to return to the home, and changed the door locks. On May 9, 2004, PO Renee Wyatt-Shiver threatened \$87(2)(b) with arrest for unlawfully evicting her daughter. On May 10, 2004, PO Lashonda Dyce likewise threatened \$87(2)(b) with arrest.
§ 87(2)(g)
Summary of Complaint
filed this complaint with the CCRB on May 10, 2004. Initially, she stated that her \$87(2)(b) had been arrested for fighting with her daughter, \$87(2)(b) on May 7, 2004. During subsequent phone conversations, she stated that \$87(2)(b) had fought with her daughter \$87(2)(b) was certain that \$87(2)(b) and unsure as to why she had initially cited \$87(2)(b) as an involved party. \$87(2)(b) was interviewed at 610 East 108th Street, Brooklyn, NY on May 19, 2004, where she steadfastly identified \$87(2)(b) as the daughter in question.
On Friday, May 7, 2004, \$7(2)(b) s son, \$7(2)(b) arrived at her home (located at \$1,57(2)) Brooklyn, NY 11236), as \$7(2)(b) was being arrested by PO Robert Saenz (identified through the arrest paperwork) and his partners. \$7(2)(b) was being arrested by PO Robert Saenz (identified through the arrest paperwork) and his partners. \$7(2)(b) welled at the officers not to arrest her son, citing her daughter as the "guilty" party. In her own words, \$7(2)(b) continued to "carry on" until PO Saenz threatened to arrest her. \$100 calmed down and was not arrested; moreover, \$7(2)(b) found no fault with PO Saenz's actions, and did not wish to file a complaint against him. Both \$7(2)(b) and \$7(2)(b) then were taken to the 69 th Precinct. \$7(2)(b) went down to the Precinct some time later and spoke with PO Saenz. He informed her that \$7(2)(b) wished to leave home and would like to return to gather her things. \$7(2)(b) refused to let \$7(2)(b) back into the house. PO Saenz asked her to pack \$7(2)(b) s things for her, and leave them in front of the house. Later that same evening, \$7(2)(b) paid a local locksmith to change the household locks.
On Saturday, May 8, 2004, \$87(2)(b) was arraigned at the \$87(2)(b) Criminal Court. An Order of Protection was issued against him, specifying that he was not to return to \$87(2)(b) Criminal Court.
On Sunday, May 9, 2004, two female police officers (later identified as PO Deidre Clarke and PO Wyatt-Shiver) arrived at \$87(2)(b) s house. PO Wyatt-Shiver informed \$87(2)(b) that \$87(2)(b) did not have money for a hotel, and wished to return home. \$87(2)(b) return; PO Wyatt-Shiver replied that she could be arrested for not letting \$87(2)(b) back in. PO Wyatt-Shiver also stated that \$87(2)(b) had "evicted" \$87(2)(b) denied this, and explained that \$87(2)(b) had left voluntarily. PO Wyatt-Shiver reiterated that \$87(2)(b) could be arrested if she refused to let \$87(2)(b) back in the home. The officers then left.
On Monday, May 10, 2004, \$87(2)(b) again called his mother at work to inform her that "cops were banging on the windows." \$87(2)(b) was "terrified" that the officers had returned to arrest him. \$87(2)(b) called the 69 th Precinct and complained to the desk officer that she was being "harassed." The desk officer was very "nasty" to her. \$100 believed this officer's name was "Lieutenant Besso." (It was later determined through the 69 th Precinct roll-call that \$87(2)(b) spoke with Sergeant Diesso.)
Later that same evening, two uniformed officers (one male and one female) arrived at and s87(2)(b) met the officers at the door. 887(2)(b) believed the female officer's name was "PO Dyce" and the male officer's name was "PO Gonzalez" (later identified through roll-call as PO Rosales). PO Dyce

threatened \$87(2)(b) with arrest for refusing to let \$87(2)(b) back into the house. \$87(2)(b) reiterated her objection, and stated that she had already changed the locks. PO Dyce demanded a key, and PO Gonzalez explained that the Lieutenant had ordered them to treat the situation as an "eviction." Both officers then stated that \$87(2)(b) would have to leave residence, so that \$87(2)(b) could return. \$87(2)(b) became agitated and yelled at the officers. PO Dyce told her she would be arrested if she didn't calm down. \$87(2)(b) then voluntarily complied with the officers' request to vacate the home. The officers remained at \$87(2)(b) until \$87(2)(b) left the premises.
Results of Investigation
<u>Civilian Interviews</u>
is an \$87(2)(b) -old high school student. She has lived at \$87(2)(b) for several years now, and has always resided with her mother. She was interviewed via telephone on May 24, 2004 (Enclosure 9). \$87(2)(b) expressed unwillingness to discuss the altercation with \$87(2)(b) but confirmed that the incident occurred on Friday, May 7, 2004, and that the officers took her down to the precinct. \$87(2)(b) stated that her mother told the officers that she was not allowed to return home. \$87(2)(b) returned home that evening to retrieve her clothing, which had already been packed and placed in front of the home. \$87(2)(b) then went to the Galaxy Motel to think about the situation; she did not intend to leave her home permanently, but knew that her mother wanted her out.
The officer told \$87(2)(b) that she should return to her mother's house, and that her mother would have to let her in. The officer also told \$87(2)(b) to call 911 if she had any problems getting back into the house. \$87(2)(b) returned home on Monday, May 10, 2004, and called 911 twice that day to report that her sister, \$87(2)(b) would not let her into the house.
On May 19, 2004, an unsuccessful attempt was made to contact \$87(2)(b) in person at his house (located at Brooklyn, NY 11236). After further investigation, it was determined that \$87(2)(b) s statement would have no bearing on the final disposition of the allegations. As such, no further attempts were made to speak with \$87(2)(b)
Officer Interviews
PO Deidre Clarke PO Deidre Clarke was interviewed at the CCRB on June 30, 2004 (Enclosure 15a-b). On May 9, 2004, PO Clarke accompanied Domestic Violence Officer PO Renee Wyatt-Shiver on several follow-up visits to local homes. There were no entries in her memobook regarding this particular incident, but PO Clarke stated that they arrived between 2:01pm and 3:40pm. PO Wyatt-Shiver spoke with \$57(2)(b) while her son, \$57(2)(b) stood nearby. \$57(2)(b) was very upset about \$57(2)(b) s recent arrest, and blamed her daughter, \$57(2)(b) for the incident. PO Wyatt-Shiver explained that \$57(2)(b) shad to let \$57(2)(b) back into the house. \$57(2)(b) refused. PO Wyatt-Shiver explained that \$57(2)(b) remained obstinate, prompting PO Wyatt-Shiver to reiterate the potential for an eviction-related arrest. PO Clarke believes that PO Wyatt-Shiver stated, "if we have to come back here for this, we'll arrest you." PO Clarke did not speak during this visit.
On May 10, 2004, PO Clarke and PO Neysmith returned the next day in response to a 911 call. [887(2)(6)] had called the police when her sister, [887(2)(6)] refused to let her into the house. [887(2)(6)] was waiting in front of the house, and there was no response when the officers rang the bell. PO Neysmith filled out a Domestic Incident report, and the officers left.
PO Renee Wyatt-Shiver PO Renee Wyatt-Shiver was interviewed at the CCRB on July 6, 2004 (Enclosure 16a-b). She explained that

On Thursday, May 6, 2004, PO Wyatt-Shiver received a call from \$87(2)(b) explained that her mother, \$87(2)(b) had kicked her out for fighting with her brother, \$87(2)(b) had spent two nights in a motel, but had run out of money and wished to return home. PO Wyatt-Shiver told \$87(2)(b) that she was legally allowed to return home. PO Wyatt-Shiver advised the desk officer of this phone call; the desk officer, in turn, assigned a patrol car to ensure \$87(2)(b) s safe return.
On May 9, 2004, PO Wyatt-Shiver was partnered with PO Deidre Clarke. The officers were conducting follow-up visits to households recently involved in domestic incidents. The officers arrived at \$87(2)(b) shows shown at approximately 2:30pm. PO Wyatt-Shiver spoke with \$87(2)(b) while her son \$87(2)(b) stood nearby. \$87(2)(b) had already returned home, but was not present at this time. \$87(2)(b) was unhappy that \$87(2)(b) had returned; she stated that \$87(2)(b) had a tendency to fight with \$87(2)(b) that her daughter had a legal right to be in the home. PO Wyatt-Shiver advised \$87(2)(b) that she would have to go to court and file a petition if she wanted to legally remove \$87(2)(b) from the home. If \$87(2)(b) removed \$87(2)(b) from the residence without properly filing with the court, she could be arrested for eviction.
At this point, PO Wyatt-Shiver was unsure if an Order of Protection had been issued against \$87(2)(b) She asked to see the papers \$87(2)(b) had received in court, but \$87(2)(b) was unable to produce these documents. PO Wyatt-Shiver explained that \$87(2)(b) would have to leave the residence if he had signed an Order of Protection. PO Wyatt-Shiver again urged \$87(2)(b) to "do things right and go through the Courts" before wishing her a Happy Mother's Day and leaving.
Conclusions and Recommendations
All of the involved officers were positively identified through police documents or their own statements. PO Robert Saenz, who effected \$87(2)(b)
Complainant CCRB History This complaint is the first one filed by \$87(2)(b)
Officer CCRB Substantiation History PO Renee Wyatt-Shiver has two substantiated CCRB cases. Case #9201684 has a substantiated force allegation (flashlight as club); case #9502260 has a substantiated abuse allegation (gun drawn).
PO Lashonda Dyce has no substantiated CCRB cases.
Determination of Fact Few facts of this case are in dispute. It is undisputed that: (1) \$87(2)(6) and his sister, \$87(2)(6) had a physical altercation on May 7 th , 2004, during which \$87(2)(6) slammed his sister into a doorframe and pushed her down a flight of stairs; (2) \$87(2)(6) then called 911 to request assistance; and (3) \$87(2)(6) was then arrested by PO Saenz, who generated a Domestic Incident Report and an Arrest Report.
§ 87(2)(g) It is clear, however,
wished her daughter to leave, packed her daughter's clothing, and placed this clothing on the porch. retrieved her clothing on May 7 th , 2004, and then retired to the Galaxy Motel, in East New York. spent the night of May 7 th in jail, and was arraigned on May 8 th . He was issued an Order of

Protection, prohibiting him from returning to his place of residence. Also on May 7 th , \$87(2)(b) hired a local locksmith to change the entrance lock, so as to keep \$87(2)(b) from reentering the home.
spoke with PO Renee Wyatt-Shiver at some point during her brief stay at the Galaxy Motel, and that she was advised of her legal right to return home. On May 9, 2004, PO Wyatt-Shiver and PO Deidre Clarke went to the \$87(2)(b) residence on Sunday. PO Wyatt-Shiver explained \$87(2)(b) s legal right to live in the home; explained that \$87(2)(b) s refusal to let \$87(2)(b) back in the house constituted an eviction; and explained that \$87(2)(b) was present for this conversation, in violation of the Order of Protection. Although neither \$87(2)(b) was present for this conversation, in violation of the Order of Protection. Although neither \$87(2)(b) nor PO Wyatt-Shiver seemed certain as to whether an Order of Protection existed at this point, PO Wyatt-Shiver did unequivocally state that \$87(2)(b) would have to leave the premises if one had been issued to him in Court.
attempted to return home on May 10, 2004, and was unable to gain entrance. She called the police, and PO Clarke and PO Howard Neysmith responded. The officers were also unable to gain entry. PO Neysmith completed a Domestic Incident Report regarding illegal eviction at 11:20am.
Finally, it is undisputed that PO Lashonda Dyce and her partner, PO Marco Rosales, were sent to the \$87(2)(b) residence on the night of May 10 th , 2004. \$87(2)(b) clearly stated her refusal to let her daughter back in the home, and informed the officers that she had already changed the locks to prevent this. By her own statement, PO Dyce then threatened \$87(2)(b) with arrest for eviction, and demanded a copy of the key to the new lock. PO Dyce unquestionably informed \$87(2)(b) that she had been instructed to treat the incident as an eviction. It is undisputed that \$87(2)(b) was argumentative with PO Dyce, that \$87(2)(b) steadfastly denied that she had "evicted" her daughter, and that \$87(2)(b) wished \$87(2)(b) to remain in the residence. It is likewise undisputed that PO Dyce informed \$87(2)(b) that \$87(2)(b) would have to vacate the premises so that \$87(2)(b) could return. By her own admission, \$87(2)(b) yelled at the officers, and was again threatened with arrest. Nonetheless, \$87(2)(b) did voluntarily leave the residence that night, and \$87(2)(b) was able to return.
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
Allegation A, Abuse of Authority: PO Renee Wyatt-Shiver threatened \$87(2)(b) with arrest on May 9, 2004.
. According to
Police Patrol Guide Procedure 214-12, "the law makes it unlawful for any person to evict or attempt to evict an occupant by removing the occupants possessions from the dwelling, or changing the lock on an entrance door without supplying the occupant with a key." By \$87(2)(6) s own admission, she both removed \$87(2)(6) s possessions and changed the lock on the entrance door.
was extremely resistant to the idea that she had evicted her daughter. stated that stated

resided with her mother for severabeen illegally evicted by her mother	years; it is clear that \$87(2)(b) had a legal claim on the residence, and had ar.	l
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)		
§ 87(2)(g)		
Allegation B, Abuse of Authorit	: PO Lashonda Dyce threatened \$87(2)(b) with arrest on May 10, 2004	١.
eviction. Upon arrival, \$87(2)(b) prevent \$87(2)(b) from reente.	PO Dyce's commanding officer had instructed her to treat the situation as an informed PO Dyce that she had already changed the locks, specifically to ng. As the above-cited Patrol Guide Procedure makes clear, \$87(2)(b) had langing the door locks and not providing \$87(2)(b) with a key. \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(c)	
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)		
8 97/2)/k) 8 97/2)(a)		
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)		
	·	
Investigator:	Date:	
Supervisor:	Date:	
Reviewed by:	Date:	
Reviewed by:	Date:	