CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Discourt.	U.S.
Genevieve Lamont		Squad #8	201903391		Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Pı	recinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Saturday, 04/20/2019 1:20 PM		Inside the 5th PCT stationhouse, outside \$ 87(2)(b)			05		/20/2020	6/6/2021
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	:]	Date/Time	Rece	eived at CC	RB
Sat, 04/20/2019 2:26 PM		CCRB Call Processing System			Sat, 04/20/2019 2:26 PM			
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess					_
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. SGT Kit Yung	05493	942737	005 PCT					
2. LT Louis Barbarelli	00000	932298	005 PCT					
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name					
1. POM Edwin Gonzalez	13640	952794	005 PCT					
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inve	stiga	tor Recor	nmendation
A.SGT Kit Yung	Abuse: Outside § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Sergeant Kit Yung threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)							
B.LT Louis Barbarelli Discourtesy: Inside the 5th Precinct stationhouse in Manhattan, Lieutenant Louis Barbarelli spoke discourteously to \$\frac{87(2)(6)}{2}\$								
C.LT Louis Barbarelli	Abuse: Inside the 5th Precinct stationhouse in Manhattan, Lieutenant Louis Barbarelli did not process s complaint regarding an officer.							
D.SGT Kit Yung	Abuse: Inside the 5th Precinct stationhouse in Manhattan, Sergeant Kit Yung threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b)							

Case Summary

On April 20, 2019, \$87(2)(b) filed the following complaint with the CCRB by
phone.
On April 20, 2019, at approximately 1:20 p.m., § 87(2)(b) was fired from his place of
employment, the \$87(2)(b) in Manhattan. As \$7(2)
went to retrieve his belongings, he and an ex-coworker engaged in a verbal altercation,
in which he was called a faggot. §87(2)(b) was then asked to leave the store, which he did,
and called 911. Sgt. Kit Yung of the 5 th Precinct responded to the scene. After speaking with the
employees, Sgt. Yung informed \$87(2)(b) that he had to leave the premises or else he would
be arrested (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority; § 87(2)(g)
then went to the 5 th Precinct stationhouse in Manhattan to file a
harassment report regarding his ex-coworker calling him a faggot. Upon arriving at the
stationhouse, he spoke with Sgt. Yung and Lt. Louis Barbarelli of the 5 th Precinct. §87(2)(b)
recorded this interaction on his cellphone, in which Lt. Barbarelli is heard telling \$87(2)(b)
not to bring up "that shit" when referring to \$87(2)(b) s sexuality (Allegation B:
Discourtesy; \$87(2)(g) [BR01]. Later in the conversation, \$87(2)(b) informed Lt.
Barbarelli that he wanted to file a complaint against Sgt. Yung, which Lt. Barbarelli allegedly
ignored (Allegation C: Abuse of Authority; \$87(2)(g) As \$87(2)(b) was leaving
the stationhouse, Sgt. Yung stated that if he returned, he would arrest him (Allegation D: Abuse
of Authority; § 87(2)(g)
In addition to \$87(2)(b) s cellphone footage, stationhouse footage from the 5 th
Precinct stationhouse was also obtained [BR02]. Body-worn camera footage from PO Karen
Kader-Jimenez of the 5 th Precinct that was taken at \$87(2)(b) was provided to the
investigation as well [BR03].
E'- 1' 1 D 1-4'
Findings and Recommendations Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Outside \$87(2)(b) in Manhattan, Sergeant
Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Outside (STO)(b) in Manhattan, Sergeant (Manhattan, Sergea
It is undisputed that Sgt. Yung threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b) after he had been fired.
testified that he asked Sgt. Yung if he was going to take a harassment
report for the incident after he returned from inside the store [BR04]. Sgt. Yung informed him
that they were not, and that if \$87(2)(b) attempted to go back into store, he would arrest him,
as he was no longer allowed inside. He and \$87(2)(b) had a back and forth conversation,
where Sgt. Yung told \$87(2)(b) that if he did not leave the area, he would be arrested.
Sgt. Yung testified that upon arriving on scene, he observed 887(2)(b) yelling,
screaming, and using obscene language [BR05]. He informed \$87(2)(b) that he was
impeding pedestrian traffic, as he was causing a scene on a busy sidewalk. He then spoke with the
workers inside the store, who informed him that \$87(2)(6) had been fired and had refused to
leave. He informed \$87(2)(b) that he had to leave the location, which \$87(2)(b) refused
to do, stating that he was unionized and could not be fired. Sgt. Yung told \$87(2)(b) that if
he did not leave the location, then he would have to arrest him. Sgt. Yung stated that
could have been arrested for disorderly conduct, as he was inconveniencing the
pedestrians on the sidewalk. A 911 call from an employee, only known to the investigation as
Williams, confirmed that \$87(2)(b) was reported as refusing to leave and that he made
was reported as refusing to leave and that he made
threats to other employees [BR06].

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201903391

As per NY CLS Penal §140.05, a person is guilty of trespass when he knowingly enters
or remains unlawfully in or upon premises [BR07]. § 87(2)(g)
8 0 (-/(5)
Allegation (B) Discourtesy: Inside the 5th Precinct stationhouse in Manhattan, Lieutenant
Louis Barbarelli spoke discourteously to \$87(2)(b)
Allegation (C) Abuse of Authority: Inside the 5th Precinct stationhouse in Manhattan,
<u>Lieutenant Louis Barbarelli did not process</u> somplaint regarding an
officer.
While it is undisputed that Lt. Barbarelli used discourteous language towards (\$87(2)(6)) during their conversation, it remains disputed if he refused to process (\$87(2)(6)) as complaint
against Sgt. Yung.
testified that upon arriving at the 5 th Precinct stationhouse, he spoke with
PO Edwin \$87(2)(b) of the 5 th Precinct, who began to prepare his harassment report [BR04]. Sgt.
Yung then arrived at the stationhouse, and the two engaged in a conversation in which
asked for a harassment report to be filed for being called a faggot, which Sgt. Yung
refused to do. Lt. Barbarelli then asked \$87(2)(6) why he was so offended by the word
faggot, and that he "knew more gay people" than him, and none were offended by the word. In
the cellphone recording of the conversation, Lt. Barbarelli is heard at 00:25 minutes saying,
"Don't give me that shit, this isn't the 80s" in response to \$87(2)(b) telling him that he does
not know his struggles as a gay man [BR01]. Later in their conversation, \$87(2)(b) informed
Lt. Barbarelli that he wanted to file a complaint against Sgt. Yung. Lt. Barbarelli ignored this
request. Lt. Barbarelli initially stated that he did not use any discourteous language towards
and that he interjected between Sgt. Yung and \$87(2)(b) second so conversation to calm
down [BR08]. He was shown \$87(2)(b) s cellphone recording, and stated that
he used the statement "that shit" in reference to the negative comments said about \$87(2)(b)
and that it was not intended to be disrespectful. He stated that, after the recording ended, he and
had a "wonderful conversation", in which they spoke about how he should not be
concerned with being called a faggot. He did not recall \$87(2)(b) requesting to file a
complaint against Sgt. Yung. Neither Sgt. Yung nor PO \$87(2)(b) heard \$87(2)(b) tell Lt.
Barbarelli that he wanted to file a complaint against Sgt. Yung [BR 05 and BR09, respectively].
Patrol Guide Procedure 203-09 states the members of service must interact with members
of the public in a professional and courteous manner [BR10]. § 87(2)(g)
3 01(E)(E)

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201903391

§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation (D) Abuse of Authority: Inside the 5th Precinct stationhouse in Manhattan,
While it is undisputed that Sgt. Yung threatened to arrest while he was inside the stationhouse, it remains in dispute as to when he made this statement and for what reason stationhouse, it remains in dispute as to when he made this statement and for what reason stationhouse, it remains in dispute as to when he made this statement and for what reason stationhouse, it remains in dispute as to when he made this statement and for what reason stationhouse, it remains in dispute as to when he made this statement and for what reason stationhouse, he came back inside and asked for Sgt. Yung's badge number, who screamed it in response [BR04]. Sgt. Yung then told stationhouse, he would arrest him. Sgt. Yung testified that he told stationhouse he could be arrested for recording inside the stationhouse, as was recording the interaction on his cellphone [BR05]. stationhouse are sponded that he would stop recording, which Sgt. Yung stated was sufficient to not proceed with police action. He did not threaten to arrest stationhouse. Neither Lt. Barbarelli nor PO station recalled hearing Sgt. Yung threaten to arrest stationhouse. Neither Lt. Barbarelli nor PO station recalled hearing Sgt. Yung threaten to arrest stationhouse. Stationhouse is stationhouse is stationhouse. Stationhouse is stationhouse. Stationhouse is stationhouse i
Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories
 \$87(2)(b) has been party to one prior CCRB case [BR11]. Sgt. Yung has been a member of service for 13 years, and has been a subject in four CCRB complaints and seven allegations, none of which were substantiated.
• Lt. Barbarelli has been a member of service for 16 years, and has been a subject in three prior CCRB complaints and three allegations, none of which were substantiated. Lt. Barbarelli has one prior discourtesy allegation against him, which was closed as complainant unavailable.

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

declined to mediate this complaint.

Page 4

CCRB Case # 201903391

- On July 23, 2019, a FOIL request was sent to the New York City Office of the Comptroller to determine if a Notice of Claim was filed regarding this complaint. Confirmation from the office will be forwarded upon receipt
- '; [BR12].

§ 87(2)(c), § 87(2)(b)		
Squad No.:			
Investigator:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:	Signature	Print Title & Name	 Date