

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Christopher Anderson	Team: Squad #2	CCRB Case #: 201801102	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday, 02/09/2018 4:00 AM	Location of Incident: 89th Street and 5th Avenue	Precinct: 68	18 Mo. SOL 8/9/2019	EO SOL 8/9/2019	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 02/09/2018 9:49 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 02/09/2018 9:49 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Michael Randazzo	02621	951116	068 PCT
2. LT Joseph Wernersbach	00000	939682	068 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. SGT Robert Chung	2918	940005	068 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.LT Joseph Wernersbach	Abuse: Lieutenant Joseph Wernersbach refused to provide his name to § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM Michael Randazzo	Abuse: Police Officer Michael Randazzo refused to provide his name to § 87(2)(b)	
C.LT Joseph Wernersbach	Discourtesy: Lieutenant Joseph Wernersbach acted discourteously toward § 87(2)(b)	

### Case Summary

On February 9, 2018, § 87(2)(b) called the CCRB to file this complaint and scheduled an in-person statement at the CCRB for February 14, 2018.

On February 14, 2018, § 87(2)(b) failed to appear for his scheduled appointment or contact the CCRB in advance to reschedule it. Between February 13, 2018, and February 20, 2018, three calls were placed to § 87(2)(b) and a letter was mailed to him. In the first call, a voicemail message was left for him. In the second call, he answered and refused to speak further due to his driving a vehicle. In the third call, § 87(2)(b) answered, provided a telephone statement, stated that he missed his first interview appointment due to work duties, and scheduled a second interview attempt for February 23, 2018. The letter mailed to him was not returned as undeliverable. On February 21, 2018, § 87(2)(b) was sent an automated text message reminder of his scheduled appointment. However, on February 23, 2018, § 87(2)(b) failed to appear for his scheduled appointment or contact the CCRB in advance to reschedule it.

On February 27, 2018, § 87(2)(b) was called. He answered, stated that he was unable to schedule a third attempt at an in-person statement due to being out of state for work duties, and agreed to contact the undersigned investigator when he returned to New York City the following day. On March 1, 2018, due to no contact being received from § 87(2)(b) he was called again, and a voicemail message was left for him. § 87(2)(b) was informed that his complaint could not be investigated without an in-person statement.

On March 8, 2018, a search of the NYC Department of Correction Inmate Lookup Service indicated that § 87(2)(b) is not currently incarcerated.

§ 87(2)(b) has missed two scheduled interview appointments, one for which he was sent an automated text message reminder. He was unresponsive to the latest contact attempt to him and, to date, has not contacted the CCRB to further pursue this complaint. § 87(2)(g)

\_\_\_\_\_

---

Squad No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Investigator: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
-----------	--------------------	------

Squad Leader: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
-----------	--------------------	------

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
-----------	--------------------	------