CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☑ Discourt.	U.S.
Rachel Ellman		Squad #8	201800458	☑ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Friday, 01/12/2018 6:00 AM		Inside of § 87(2)(b)		105	7/12/2019	7/12/2019
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Tir	ne Received at CCF	RB
Sat, 01/13/2018 9:00 AM		Other City agency	gency Phone Thu, 01/18/2018 11:08 AM		[
Complainant/Victim	Туре	Home Addre	ess	<u> </u>		
-						
Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. DT3 Howard Kwok	4766	950711	NARCBQS			
2. DT3 Ducarmel Pierre	05770	925922	NARCBQS			
3. DT3 Sekou Bourne	05941	924971	NARCBQS			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. SGT Lawrence Granshaw	01863	944609	NARCBQS			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		In	vestigator Recon	nmendation
A.DT3 Howard Kwok	Abuse: Detective Howard Kwok entered §87(2)(b)					
B.DT3 Sekou Bourne	Discourtesy: Detective Sekou Bourne spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)					
C.DT3 Ducarmel Pierre	Abuse: Detective Ducarmel Pierre refused to show the search warrant to §87(2)(b)					

Case Summary

On January 12, 2018, Queens South Narcotics officers executed search warrant \$87(2)(b)
obtained by Det. Howard Kwok, at \$87(2)(b) s home at \$87(2)(b). (Allegation
A—Abuse of Authority: Entry, \$87(2)(9) Det. Sekou Bourne, of Queens South Narcotics,
handcuffed \$87(2)(b) and told her he was going to "tear [the house] the fuck up."
(Allegation B—Discourtesy: Word, § 87(2)(9)) § 87(2)(6) her brothers § 87(2)
§ 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) and her cousin, § 87(2)(b) were all taken outside and
placed into an unmarked prisoner van. There, \$87(2)(b) asked Det. Ducarmel Pierre, also
of Queens South Narcotics, to see a copy of the search warrant, to which he laughed. (Allegation
C—Abuse of Authority: Refusal to show search warrant, \$87(2)(9)
was never shown a copy of the search warrant. Her mother, \$87(2)(b) was presented
with a copy of the search warrant and signed it.
was arrested for \$87(2)(b) . Queens South Narcotics
vans were not equipped with cameras at the time of the incident.
Findings and Recommendations
Allegation AAbuse of Authority: Detective Howard Kwok entered §87(2)(b)
At approximately 6:00 a.m., Queens South Narcotics officers entered \$87(2)(b) s home,
breaking down her front and back door in the process.
On January 9, 2018, Det. Howard Kwok obtained a no-knock search warrant for
from the Honorable Judge § 87(2)(b) in Queens. The warrant concerned marijuana. (Board
Review 11)
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation B—Discourtesy: Detective Sekou Bourne spoke discourteously to §87(2)(b)
awoke to the sound of loud pounding, and jumped out of her bed as two
officers with shields came into her room. The shielded officers left, and Det. Bourne walked into
the room and told §87(2)(b) that he had a search warrant. Det. Bourne picked §87(2)(b)
up off her bed, put her hands behind her back, and handcuffed her. Det. Bourne said,
"Do you have anything I should know about before I tear this place the fuck up? Do you have
anything you are not supposed to?" Det. Bourne never specified what he was looking for, and
s87(2)(b) told him that she did not have anything on her. Det. Bourne then brought
down to the living room. (Board Review 01)
down to the fiving room. (Board Review 01)
Det. Bourne had no specific recollection of this warrant. Det. Bourne was assigned to cuff-and-
toss, meaning he was to handcuff civilians upon his entry into the home. Det. Bourne did not
recall ascending or descending any stairs, and could not recall if he handcuffed any civilians
during the warrant execution. When shown a picture of \$87(2)(6) Det. Bourne said he
was not familiar with her. Det. Bourne recalled no contentious conversations with civilians inside
the home. Det. Bourne said he did not search the home, and had no recollection of speaking with

Page 2

civilian, "Anything I should know about before I tear this place the fuck up?" (Board Review 02) Det. Bourne was identified from § 37(2)(b) s statement and NYPD documents. § 37(2)(b) described this officer as a Black man, and Det. Bourne was the only other Black male involved in the warrant execution besides Det. Pierre. Further, § 37(2)(b) s description of Det. Bourne immediately handcuffing her accords with Det. Bourne's cuff-and-toss assignment. Allegation C—Abuse of Authority: Detective Ducarmel Pierre refused to show the search warrant to § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were ultimately placed into an unmarked van parked outside of their house. § 87(2)(6) could not recall the duration she was in the van, but recalled Det. Pierre standing near the rear of the van. The door to the van was closed for the majority of the time \(\frac{8}{37(2)(b)} \) was inside, yet she recalled intermittent conversation with Det. Pierre when the door was open. §87(2)(b) said to Det. Pierre, "We still have not seen the warrant," which he did not acknowledge. §87(2)(b) recalled Det. Bourne being in the vicinity of the prisoner van during this time. § 87(2)(b) was never presented with a copy of the search warrant. recalled Det. Pierre being in the vicinity of the prisoner van. §87(2)(b) said the door to the van was closed for the majority of the time he was outside, but that there was some conversation with Det. Pierre when the door was open. §87(2)(b) recalled § 87(2)(b) asking Det. Pierre to see a copy of the search warrant, to which Det. Pierre told \$87(2)(b) that she would have an opportunity to see it later. (Board Review 03) did not mention a request to see the search warrant in his statement (Board Review (04)

any civilians about searching the home. Det. Bourne had no recollection of ever saying to a

Det. Bourne did not hear anyone ask Det. Pierre to see the search warrant, nor did he hear Det. Pierre speaking with the Stewards about the search warrant at all.

Det. Pierre believed he stood near the driver's door of the prisoner van after completing his Animal Control duties inside the home. Det. Pierre had no recollection of speaking with civilians inside the prisoner van, and did not know if these civilians were considered under arrest. Det. Pierre did not have a copy of the search warrant, and recalled no conversation about the search warrant. Det. Pierre did not recall any of the Stewards discussing the warrant, or asking any officers to see the warrant. Det. Pierre said no one asked him to see the warrant, but, had they asked, he would have relayed the request to an officer who did have the warrant. (Board Review 05)

Page 3

§ 87(2)(g)						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and Officer CCRB Histories				
	is the first CCRB complaint to	b which § 87(2)(b) has been a	a party. (Board			
	ew 12) is the first CCRR complaint to	has been	a party (Roard			
	• This is the first CCRB complaint to which \$87(2)(b) has been a party. (B Review 06)					
• Det.	,	service for six years, and this is the fubiect. (Board Review 13)	irst CCRB			
_		service for 18 years, and has been a	subject of 22			
		llegations, of which nine were substa	v			
Revie	ew 07)					
C		sy allegation was substantiated again				
	•	rn the fuck around." The Board reco is allegation, yet the NYPD found D				
	guilty.	is unegation, yet the 1411 B found B	ct. Bourne not			
C		r discourteous word allegations, all o	of which have			
	been unsubstantiated.					
		ervice for 18 years, and has been a s				
		B allegations, none of which were su	bstantiated.			
(Boar	rd Review 08)					
	Mediation, (Civil and Criminal Histories				
• The §		Notice of Claim with the New York	City Office of the			
Com	ptroller. (Board Review 09)		•			
● § 87(2)(g)					
Squad No.:	8					
Squau 1 to	Ü					
Investigator:						
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date			
Canad Landar						
Squad Leader	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date			
	5151141410	Time True & France	Duic			
Reviewer:						
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date			

Page 4

Page 5