

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: William Rasenberger	Team: Squad #7	CCRB Case #: 201911089	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday, 12/20/2019 10:45 AM	Location of Incident: 453 Columbia Street, Brooklyn	Precinct: 76	18 Mo. SOL 6/20/2021	EO SOL 2/4/2022	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 12/20/2019 11:45 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 12/30/2019 11:27 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POF Emily Harris	20669	941882	081 PCT
2. Officers			

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Majid Ahmed	24077	962914	076 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POF Emily Harris	Abuse: Sergeant Emily Harris searched the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) was an occupant.	
B. Officers	Discourtesy: Officers spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	

### Truncation Case Summary

On December 30<sup>th</sup>, 2019, the CCRB received log # 2019-45703 from the Internal Affairs Bureau Command Center, which included a complaint filed by § 87(2)(b).

On January 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2020 the CCRB called the number that § 87(2)(b) provided to the Internal Affairs Bureau Command Center. § 87(2)(b) picked up and provided a preferred telephone number, § 87(2)(b) and requested a call back. The CCRB called the preferred number, and obtained a brief telephone statement from § 87(2)(b). During the same call, § 87(2)(b) rejected mediation and agreed to provide a sworn statement at 12 p.m. on January 13<sup>th</sup>, 2020. § 87(2)(b) asked that the CCRB send her an e-mail to remind her of her interview.

On January 11<sup>th</sup>, 2020, the CCRB sent a reminder e-mail to § 87(2)(b) directly from the Outlook WebApp. However, § 87(2)(b) missed her scheduled interview, and did not contact the CCRB in order to reschedule. On January 13<sup>th</sup>, 2020, the CCRB sent § 87(2)(b) a missed appointment letter and also called § 87(2)(b)'s preferred number in order to reschedule. § 87(2)(b) did not pick up, and the CCRB left a message requesting a call back.

Altogether, between January 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2020 and January 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2020, the CCRB called § 87(2)(b) five times. § 87(2)(b) did not pick up any of the phone calls made on or after January 13<sup>th</sup>. In addition, the CCRB sent three letters and three e-mails altogether between January 2<sup>nd</sup> and January 21<sup>st</sup>, 2020, including the missed appointment letter mentioned above, and a final please call letter. None were returned to the sender.

To date, § 87(2)(b) has not contacted the CCRB in order to reschedule. The CCRB conducted an NYCDOC search on January 27<sup>th</sup>, 2020, which showed that § 87(2)(b) is not currently incarcerated.

§ 87(2)(g)

Squad No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Investigator:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print Title & Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Squad Leader:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print Title & Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Reviewer:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print Title & Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**CCRB Case # 201911089**



