CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force		Discourt.	U.S.
Ella Mintz		Squad #1	201808473	_	Abuse	П	O.L.	Injury
		Squad W1	201000.70		110000		0.2.	<u> </u>
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		F	Precinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Wednesday, 10/03/2018 6:00 AM	1				90	4,	/3/2020	11/18/2020
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:		Date/Time	Rece	ived at CCI	RB
Wed, 10/03/2018 7:29 AM		IAB Phone Fr		Fri, 10/12/	2018	10:59 AM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess					
Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. DT3 Francesco Allevato	7161	948608	NARCBBN					
2. DT3 Andre Blake	4573	939924	NARCBBN					
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name					
1. DT3 Michael Muskin	349	943599	NARCBBN					
2. DT3 Walter Marin	00570	937024	NARCBBN					
3. LT Omar Birchwood	00000	945512	NARCBBN					
4. DT3 Kelvin Peralta	1514	940561	NARCBBN					
5. DT3 John Slavinsky	02046	939476	NARCBBN					
6. POM Ramon Rodriguez	13884	949563	NARCBBN					
7. DT3 Paul Ortiz	98	943634	NARCBBN					
8. DI Fernando Guimaraes	00000	915848	NARCBBN					
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inve	stiga	tor Recon	nmendation
A.DT3 Francesco Allevato		Detective Francesco Alle						
D DTO F	§ 87(2)(b)		in Brooklyn.					
B.DT3 Francesco Allevato	Abuse: D § 87(2)(b)	Detective Francesco Alle	in Brooklyn.					
C.DT3 Andre Blake	Force: De	etective Andre Blake us		ains	t			
	§ 87(2)(b)							

Case Summary On October 3, 2018, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint on behalf of herself and her The case was received by the CCRB on October 12, 2018 SOn, § 87(2)(b) under log #18-39359. On October 3, 2018 at approximately 6:00AM, Detective Francesco Allevato, of Brooklyn North Narcotics, executed a search warrant at §87(2)(b) s apartment, located at in Brooklyn (Allegations A and B- Abuse of Authority: § 87(2)(g)). Detective Andre Blake, also of Brooklyn North Narcotics, allegedly kicked (Allegation C- Force: § 87(2)(g) § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were arrested § 87(2)(b) The investigation obtained video footage from the lobby and stairwell of \$87(2)(b) but as there were no cameras inside the apartment or on the fourth floor, the footage was not useful in assessing the allegations (Board Reviews 01, 02). **Findings and Recommendations** Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: Detective Francesco Allevato entered § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn. Allegation B – Abuse of Authority: Detective Francesco Allevato searched 387(2)(5) in Brooklyn. It is undisputed that Det. Allevato entered and searched § 87(2)(b) Brooklyn. Officers were executing valid Kings County search warrant \subseteq 87(2)(b) this location on the incident date (Board Review 03). The warrant was requested by Det. Allevato and was signed by Judge § 87(2)(b) on § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(g) Allegation C- Force: Detective Andre Blake used physical force against \$ 87/200 testified that he was sleeping on a pile of blankets on the floor of his s bedroom prior to the officers entering the house (Board Review father, § 87(2)(b) 04). When § 87(2)(b) heard the sound of the officers breaking the front door to enter, he woke up and put a blanket over his head so he could not see the officers. §87(2)(b) then felt someone kick him in the right side of his ribs. § 87(2)(b) took the blanket off of his head and saw Det. Blake standing by him. §87(2)(b) did not feel any pain at the moment. After determining that § 87(2)(b) was a minor, the officers let him go to a neighbor's apartment. Once at the neighbor's apartment, §87(2)(b) I felt the pain from the kick and requested an ambulance to arrive at the location. The narrative section in the ACR prepared in regards to §87(2)(b) told the EMTs that he was in bed when cops hit him in the rib (Privileged complained of pain to his right rib. The EMTs did not find

Page 2

. While at § 87(2)(b)

CCRB Case # 201808473

Documents). § 87(2)(b)

any injuries on his person and transported him to § 87(2)(b)

again stated that he was kicked once in the ribs by the police (Privileged Documents). \$87(2)(b)
stated that approximately five officers came into the room where he and were (Board Review 06). While \$87(2)(b) was being handcuffed, he saw a white male officer and a Hispanic male officer pick \$87(2)(b) up from the floor by his arms. \$87(2)(b) yelled at the officers that \$87(2)(b) was only \$87(2)(b) old. Det. Blake then came in the room and kicked \$87(2)(b) in the ribs while he was standing up. \$87(2)(b) then doubled over and the officers handcuffed him.
Both \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) as a black male officer wearing camouflage pants. In his CCRB interview Lieutenant Omar Birchwood watched the video footage of the officers in the lobby of the building and identified the sole officer wearing camouflage pants as Det. Blake (Board Review 07). Det. Blake, a black male, acknowledged wearing camouflage pants on the day of the inciden (Board Review 08). Therefore, Det. Blake has been identified as the subject officer of this allegation.
Det. Blake stated that he and Detective Paul Ortiz were the first officers to enter \$87(2)(6) s bedroom. \$87(2)(6) was standing up when the officers entered and Det. Blake immediately handcuffed him. While Det. Blake was handcuffing \$87(2)(6) he heard Det. Ortiz yell out that there was a male on the ground. Other officers, although Det. Blake did not know which ones, came into the room and handcuffed \$87(2)(6) at the time. Det. Blake denied kicking \$87(2)(6) at the time. Det. Blake denied kicking \$87(2)(6) Det. Blake never heard \$87(2)(6) complain of pain and never saw any injuries to his person.
Det. Ortiz's testimony was largely consistent with Det. Blake's statement (Board Review 09). Det. Ortiz did not know which officers handcuffed \$87(2)(b) but he stated that Det. Blake was not involved. Det. Ortiz stated that Det. Blake never kicked \$87(2)(b) to the best of Det. Ortiz's knowledge, every civilian in the execution of this search warrant was cooperative.
§ 87(2)(g)

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201808473

		i age 4	
-	Signature	Print Title & Name Page 4	Date
Squad Leader:	· ·	Finn Tine & Name	
investigator:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad No.:			
Detecting a subject substant of the subst	ct in nine closed CCRB complitated. 201309187 involved substated damage, discourtesy, and a Det. Allevato. The Board rediscourtesy, and property of trial and subsequently forfectommand discipline in regallegation and the NYPD he 201310891 involved a substate of instructions. \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(c) \$87(2)(c	been a member of service for eight year plaints and 24 allegations, of which antiated allegations of a threat of arread refusal to provide name and shield recommended Charges in regards to the damage allegations; Det. Allevato was eited eight vacation days. The Board ards to the refusal to provide name and as not yet imposed discipline. Stantiated allegation of a stop against Command Discipline B and the NYP antiated allegations of a stop, a threat the of a person against Det. Blake. The different the NYPD imposed Command Discipline. Stantiated allegations of a stop, a threat the of a person against Det. Blake. The different the NYPD imposed Command Discipline Stantiated allegations of a stop, a threat the of a person against Det. Blake antiated allegations of a stop as threat the NYPD imposed Command Discipline Stantiated Stantiated allegations of a stop as threat the NYPD imposed Command Discipline Stantiated	ears and has been five were est, property number against he threat of arrest as found guilty at recommended and shield e. Det. Allevato. D imposed eas been a subject tantiated. To farrest, a threat e. Board eipline A.

• § 87(2)(b)

Reviewer:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Page 5

CCRB Case # 201808473