

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Owen Godshall	Team: Squad #2	CCRB Case #: 201905279	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Saturday, 06/15/2019 6:30 PM	Location of Incident: Barnes Avenue and East Gun Hill Road	Precinct: 47	18 Mo. SOL 12/15/2020	EO SOL 8/1/2021	
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 06/17/2019 4:38 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 06/17/2019 4:38 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Ryan Jones	05095	956781	047 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Ryan Jones	Abuse: Police Officer Ryan Jones questioned § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM Ryan Jones	Abuse: Police Officer Ryan Jones searched the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) was an occupant.	

Case Summary

On June 17, 2019, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via telephone. This complaint was initially assigned to Inv. Cheyanne Ralph. It was reassigned to Inv. Owen Godshall on July 9, 2019.

§ 87(2)(b) provided his address and telephone number during his initial complaint. He also scheduled an interview appointment for June 21, 2019. § 87(2)(b) did not appear for this appointment. He did not call ahead to cancel or reschedule. A first follow-up call was made on Jun 24, 2019. A voicemail was left. During a second call on July 1, 2019, § 87(2)(b) stated that he was unable to reschedule his appointment at the time and asked to call him again later. During a third call on July 10, 2019, § 87(2)(b) agreed to reschedule his interview appointment. The call, however, was cut off before a new appointment could be schedule. An immediate follow-up call was made to complete the conversation, but § 87(2)(b) did not answer. A voicemail was left. A fourth call was made to § 87(2)(b) on July 12, 2019. § 87(2)(b) stated that he was uncertain of whether he wished to proceed with his complaint because his primary reason for filing the complaint had been resolved. He agreed to be called on July 17, 2019 to provide a decision. A fifth call was made on July 17, 2019. A voicemail was left. Between June 24, 2019 and July 17, 2019, three missed-appointment letters were mailed to § 87(2)(b). None of the letters were returned to the CCRB by the US Postal Service. To date, § 87(2)(b) has not responded to these contact attempts. A search of the NYC Department of Correction's online inmate database on July 17, 2019 found that § 87(2)(b) was not incarcerated.

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

Squad No.: _____

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Squad Leader:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date