

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
ONE HOGAN PLACE
New York, N. Y. 10013
(212) 335-9000**

**OFFICER: RANCE CAMARENA
TAX NUMBER: 925017**

DISCLOSURES

In connection with the above person, whom the People may call as a witness, please be aware of the following:

1. The New York Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated allegations that, on or about April 15, 2001, Rance Camarena did cause the destruction of property belonging to an individual known to the Department and did fail to remain at the scene of an unusual occurrence/or request a patrol supervisor. He pleaded guilty to these allegations.
2. The NYPD has deemed substantiated allegations that Rance Camarena committed the violations of COMPUTER MISUSE; and DRV DEPT RULES/PROCEDURES. These arose out of an investigation that began in February 7, 2003.
3. The NYPD has deemed substantiated allegations that Rance Camarena (A) while assigned to PSA-5, while on duty, on or about May 5, 2007, August 3, 2007, and September 2, 2007, engaged in conduct prejudicial to the good order, efficiency or discipline of the Department in that he submitted an overtime slip on each of the three above dates for compensation for overtime which he did not perform; (B) on or about and between February 10, 2007 and October 18, 2007, engaged in conduct prejudicial to the good order, efficiency or discipline of the Department, in that on thirty-six (36) separate occasions, he performed overtime without prior permission or authority; (C) on or about October 29, 2007, he engaged in conduct prejudicial to the good order, efficiency or discipline of the Department in that said he signed in as on duty at 1450 hours when in fact he reported for duty at 1510 hours; (D) on April 30, 2008, failed and neglected to properly safeguard his service firearm, to wit: a Smith & Wesson Automatic serial #bdk2127, in that he left it unattended in the home of an individual known to the Department; (E) on Marcy 1, 2008, failed and neglected to properly safeguard his Department issued Metrocard, resulting in its loss; (F) on March 14, 2008, failed and neglected to monitor the desk radio in connection with calls for help by other members of service; and (G) on or about and between February 10, 2007, and October 18, 2007, failed and neglected to properly maintain his activity log, to wit: there are no notations regarding times, and reasons for overtime work (as amended). He pleaded guilty to allegations (C) and (E). He was found guilty of the others.
4. The NYPD has deemed substantiated allegations that Rance Camarena while assigned to the 48th Precinct on or about January 26, 2009, did engage in conduct prejudicial to the good order, efficiency and discipline of the Department in that he wrongfully and without just cause altered an excusal from shaving memorandum

issued by the Medical Division in that he changed the expiration date of said excusal from 01/26/09 to 04/26/09, thereby giving himself three additional months from being excused from shaving without authorization from the medical division. He pleaded guilty to this allegation at trial.

5. The NYPD has deemed substantiated allegations that Rance Camarena while assigned to the 48th Precinct while on duty, and while on dismissal probation, on or about June 14, 2011, did engage in conduct prejudicial to the good order, efficiency or discipline of the Department, to wit: he signed a "statement of correction by a police officer" form affirming that repairs or corrections were made to the subject vehicle, without having verified that said repairs or corrections were made. He was found guilty at NYPD trial of this allegation. This allegation arose out of a Targeted Integrity Test involving a fictitious summons for a defective brake light. Rance Camarena did not obtain any documentation from the person for repairs and did not exit the stationhouse and inspect the vehicle.
6. As of June 30, 2020, the NYPD has pending against Rance Camarena allegations that while on-duty and assigned to the 24th Precinct, on or about September 3, 2018, (A) he failed and neglected to properly maintain his activity log (PD 112-145), as required; (B) after being involved in a Department motor vehicle accident, where he was the operator of the involved motor vehicle, and being informed that the patrol supervisor precinct of occurrence was not available, he failed and neglected to request communications section to notify the adjoining precinct's patrol supervisor; (C) he engaged in conduct prejudicial to the good order, discipline or efficiency of the Department, in that, after being involved in a Department motor vehicle accident, where he was the operator of the involved motor vehicle, failed and neglect to notify a superior officer, conducted the motor vehicle accident investigation, and failed to ensure the information contained in the police accident report was complete; (D) he failed to operate a Department vehicle at a slow rate of speed and failed to operate a Department vehicle in a manner to avoid injury to person or damage to property, in that said he drove through steady red x lights and weaved in and out of traffic; and (E) he engaged in conduct prejudicial to the good order, discipline or efficiency of the department, in that, while operating a department vehicle said sergeant utilized the vehicle's emergency turret lights and siren without just cause.

Should additional information subject to disclosure regarding this person become available, you will be advised accordingly.

Any information regarding civil law suit(s) against the officer is not necessarily a complete list of civil law suits in which that officer is a defendant.

The People reserve the right to oppose or move to limit the use in the case at hand of this information and any other information disclosed in the future.

If you have any questions in this regard, please contact the Assistant District Attorney assigned to the case in connection with which you are receiving this disclosure.

