

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Arlyn Rodriguez	Team: Team # 3	CCRB Case #: 201308451	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Saturday, 08/31/2013 11:52 PM	Location of Incident: 969 43rd Street	Precinct: 66	18 Mo. SOL 2/28/2015	EO SOL 2/28/2015	
Date/Time CV Reported Sun, 09/01/2013 6:08 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 09/11/2013 1:57 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Kit Yung	07057	942737	066 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM David Yan	27520	946402	066 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Kit Yung	Force: PO Kit Yung used physical force against § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED]	

Case Summary

On September 13, 2013, a search of the New York City Department of Correction online database revealed that § 87(2)(b) was § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(c)

On September 17, 2013, the undersigned investigator called § 87(2)(b) and was informed that § 87(2)(b)'s criminal case was assigned to attorney § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b). On that date, a detailed voice message was left on § 87(2)(b)'s phone and a letter was mailed to her. On September 18, 2013, § 87(2)(b) left the undersigned investigator a voice message in which she stated that she did not wish for § 87(2)(b) to be interviewed by the CCRB. On that date, a letter was emailed to § 87(2)(b) requesting that she confirm her decision. On September 20, 2013, a voice message was left on § 87(2)(b)'s phone. On September 23, 2013, the undersigned investigator received an email from § 87(2)(b) in which she stated that she would speak with § 87(2)(b) regarding his CCRB case. On September 24, 2013, contact was established with § 87(2)(b) via telephone and she stated that she would speak to § 87(2)(b) soon regarding his CCRB case. On September 30, 2013, contact was established with § 87(2)(b) who stated that she spoke with § 87(2)(b) and they decided that he would not provide a statement to the CCRB, as his criminal case was still active. At that time, the undersigned investigator informed § 87(2)(b) that a request for the case to be reopened could be made at the conclusion of § 87(2)(b)'s criminal case. On that date, letters were mailed to § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) confirming their decision to close § 87(2)(b)'s CCRB case until the conclusion of his criminal case.

§ 87(2)(g)

Team: _____

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Date

Supervisor: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date