

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Patrick Harrison	Team: Team # 2	CCRB Case #: 201112999	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Thursday, 09/29/2011 12:01 AM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 28	18 Mo. SOL 3/29/2013	EO SOL 3/29/2013	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 09/30/2011 12:22 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 10/05/2011 2:54 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Keyana Kendall	17328	943432	028 PCT
2. POM John Mariduena	19768	944770	028 PCT
3. POM Suthom Ungcharoen	26224	946349	028 PCT
4. An officer			

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Keyana Kendall	Abuse: PO Keyana Kendall stopped § 87(2)(b).	§ 87(2)(b)
B.POM John Mariduena	Abuse: PO John Mariduena stopped § 87(2)(b).	§ 87(2)(b)
C.POM Suthom Ungcharoen	Abuse: PO Suthom Ungcharoen stopped § 87(2)(b).	§ 87(2)(b)
D. An officer	Abuse: An officer stopped § 87(2)(b).	§ 87(2)(b)
E.POM Keyana Kendall	Force: PO Keyana Kendall used physical force against § 87(2)(b).	§ 87(2)(b)

### Case Summary

On September 30, 2011, at 12:22 a.m., § 87(2)(b) called the IAB Command Center to file this complaint, IAB log 11-44812. The complaint was transferred to the CCRB on October 5, 2011. On September 30, 2011, at approximately 12:01 a.m., § 87(2)(b) was stopped by PO Keyana Kendall, PO John Mariduena, PO Suthom Ungcharoen, and a fourth, unidentified officer inside of § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan, where § 87(2)(b)'s girlfriend (name not given) resides (**Allegations A, B, C, and D**). PO Kendall grabbed § 87(2)(b) by the neck and instructed him to leave the building (**Allegation E**). No arrests or summonses were issued. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

A first call was made to § 87(2)(b) on October 13, 2011. § 87(2)(b) decided to withdraw the complaint § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) stated that the only reason that he called IAB was to get a statement on record about the incident in anticipation of further legal action. § 87(2)(b) affirmed that he was withdrawing his complaint of his own free will and that no member of the CCRB or NYPD had coerced him to withdraw. A withdrawal letter has been sent to § 87(2)(b) for his signature and will be added to the case file upon receipt. Assistant Supervisor Nina Mickens reviewed the recording of § 87(2)(b)'s withdrawal statement and confirmed that it accords with agency standards. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Team: \_\_\_\_\_

:

Investigator: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Print \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Supervisor: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Print \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Print \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Print \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_