

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Ella Mintz	Team: Squad #10	CCRB Case #: 201608492	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday, 10/07/2016 2:20 AM	Location of Incident: Lenox Avenue and West 147th Street	Precinct: 32	18 Mo. SOL 4/7/2018	EO SOL 4/7/2018	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 10/07/2016 3:44 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Call Processing System	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 10/07/2016 3:44 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. DTS Craig Jacob	05974	935049	SRG 1
2. POM James Morales	17639	952032	SRG 1

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM James Morales	Abuse: Police Officer James Morales stopped § 87(2)(b)	
B.DTS Craig Jacob	Abuse: Detective Craig Jacob stopped § 87(2)(b)	
C.DTS Craig Jacob	Abuse: Detective Craig Jacob frisked § 87(2)(b)	
D.DTS Craig Jacob	Abuse: Detective Craig Jacob searched § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On October 7, 2016, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint on behalf of her daughter, § 87(2)(b) alleged that Detective Craig Jacob and Police Officer James Morales, both of SRG1, stopped § 87(2)(b) and Det. Jacob frisked and searched her. A spinoff to IAB, CCRB #§ 87(2)(b) was generated due to § 87(2)(b)'s allegation that Det. Jacob touched her daughter inappropriately.

On October 14, 2016, § 87(2)(b) provided a phone statement to the undersigned and asked for a call on October 18, 2016 to schedule an appointment with the CCRB. On October 18, 2016 and October 21, 2016, please call messages were left for § 87(2)(b). On October 24, 2016, § 87(2)(b) called the undersigned and scheduled an interview for October 26, 2016. On October 26, 2016, a voicemail was left for § 87(2)(b) confirming her appointment. § 87(2)(b) did not appear for her October 26, 2016 appointment and did not call ahead to cancel or reschedule. On October 26, 2016, § 87(2)(b) requested a call during the next week to schedule a new appointment. From November 3, 2016 to November 17, 2016, four calls were placed to § 87(2)(b) a voicemail was left each time. On November 17, 2016, § 87(2)(b) called the undersigned and did not leave a voicemail. On November 18, 2016, the undersigned briefly spoke to § 87(2)(b) but the connection was bad. An immediate callback reached § 87(2)(b)'s voicemail; a please call message was left. On November 18, 2016, § 87(2)(b) called the undersigned and did not leave a voicemail. On November 21, 2016 and November 28, 2016, please call messages were left for § 87(2)(b). Neither of these calls were returned. On October 13, 2016 and October 26, 2016, letters were sent to § 87(2)(b). Neither of these letters were returned by the US Postal Service. A DOC search on December 6, 2016, revealed that § 87(2)(b) is not incarcerated. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

Squad:

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print	Date
Squad Leader:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date