

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
ONE HOGAN PLACE
New York, N. Y. 10013
(212) 335-9000**

**OFFICER: ROBERT MURNANE
TAX NUMBER: 934016**

DISCLOSURE ADVISORY

For the person named above, whom the People may call as a witness, please be advised as follows.

1. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated an allegation that on or about August 28, 2007 Robert Murnane, upon learning of an allegation of serious misconduct by a member of the service failed to timely notify the Internal Affairs Bureau.
2. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated an allegation that on or about December 31, 2011, Robert Murnane prepared an incomplete memo book.
3. The New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) noted the following misconduct: on January 10, 2012 Robert Murnane failed to prepare a memo book entry. On January 10, 2012, Robert Murnane was working along with a Sergeant and two other detectives. They were looking for a repeat robbery suspect in a part of Kings County that he was known to frequent. At approximately 9:30 AM, they saw a man on the top step in front of an apartment building who they thought l the suspect. By the time they turned their automobile around and returned to the location, the man had entered the building. According to the sergeant, she and another detective knocked on the door while Robert Murnane remained at the bottom of the stairs leading up to the door. The other detective remained in the automobile. Eventually, the man who they had seen in front to the building opened the door. Upon seeing the man up close, they immediately realized that he was not the man suspected of the robberies. There is some dispute about whether Robert Murnane entered the building. There is no dispute, however, that Robert Murnane did not prepare a memo book entry with regard to the event. Accordingly, CCRB cited Robert Murnane for other misconduct for not preparing a memo book entry when he was required to do so.

Various publicly available websites and databases contain disciplinary information for certain law enforcement officers. Information in such databases about this officer is not necessarily included in this advisory.

Any information herein regarding civil lawsuits against an officer is not necessarily a complete list of civil lawsuits in which that officer is a defendant.

Allegations of misconduct that have not been substantiated and are not pending (including, but not limited to, findings of unsubstantiated, unfounded, and exonerated), and allegations of technical infractions, are not subject to disclosure and are not included in this advisory.

The decision to include information in this advisory does not represent a conclusion by the People that it is required to be disclosed.

The People reserve the right to oppose or move to limit the use of any information included herein or disclosed in the future.

If you have any questions, please contact the Assistant District Attorney assigned to the case on which you are receiving this advisory.

Date: November 18, 2020