CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Craig Anderson (I)		Intake	201401920	☐ Abuse	O.L.	✓ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Sunday, 02/23/2014 1:00 AM		§ 87(2)(b)		105	8/23/2015	8/23/2015
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCl	RB
Mon, 02/24/2014 10:39 PM		IAB	Phone	Thu, 02/27	7/2014 2:23 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Type Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Michael Whooley	06036	943951	105 PCT			
2. Officers			105 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegation			Investigator Recommendation		
A.POM Michael Whooley	Force: PO Michael Whooley used physical force against \$87(2)(b)					
B. Officers	Force: Officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)					
C. Officers	Force: Officers hit §87(2)(b) Swann- Jackson against the ground.					
D. Officers	Off. Language: Officers made remarks to \$87(2)(b)					

Case Summary

On February 24, 2014, 100 filed this complaint in person at the 105th Precinct stationhouse, generating IAB Log No. 2014-6975. The aforementioned complaint was forwarded to the CCRB on February 27, 2014. The IAB log included no address or phone number. A BADS search provided an address from a 2012 arrest report. Letters sent to this address on February 28, 2014 and March 25, 2014 have not been returned by the United States Postal Service. The investigator called the IAB liaison on March 13, 2014 and received a phone number and the same address as on the arrest report. The investigator called that number the same day, and learned that it was a wrong number. A search of CTS revealed a phone number from a prior complaint, which the investigator called five times between March 18, 2014 and April 2, 2014. No one ever answered this phone, and there was no option to leave a message. A Lexis Nexis search provided the same mailing address, one email address, and two phone numbers. The investigator called both phone numbers on March 19, 2014. They were not in service. An email was sent on the same day and was returned as undeliverable. A search of the New York City Department of Correction Inmate Lookup Service on April 3, 2014 showed that

was not incarcerated. To date, the CCRB has been unable to establish contact with § 87(2)(b) Team: ____ Investigator: Signature Print Date Supervisor: Title/Signature Print Date Reviewer: Title/Signature Print Date Reviewer: Title/Signature Print Date