CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:			rce 🗹 Discourt. 🗌 U.S.			
Kristen McConnell		Team # 2	200704506	Ø	Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury	
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:			18 N	Mo. SO	DL	Precinct:	
Saturday, 03/31/2007 12:00 AM		inside the 52nd Precinc	et station house		9/30/2008 52			52	
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:		Date/Tim	ne Rece	eived at CCI	RB	
Sat, 03/31/2007 3:39 PM		CCRB	Call Processing System		Sat, 03/3	1/2007	3:39 PM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address							
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command						
1. DT3 Matthew Mccrosson	05474	906771	052 DET						
2. An Officer									
Officer(s)	Allegation			Inv	Investigator Recommendation				
A. An Officer	Abuse: An Officer strip-searched §87(2)(b)								
B.DT3 Matthew Mccrosson	Discourtesy: Detective Matthew McCrosson spoke obscenely to \$\frac{8}{37(2)(b)}\$								
C.DT3 Matthew Mccrosson	Abuse: Detective Matthew McCrosson threatened to notify Administration for Children's Services.								

Synopsis

§ 87(2)(b) filed this complaint via the C	Call Processing System on March 31st, 2007. The undersign	ıed
	, 2007, at which time she provided a statement. She said that	
the early morning of March 30 th , 2007, her		
was stabbed. He came home to their apartm		
	did not want to go to the hospital. She finally convinced	
	Detective Matthew McCrosson from the 52 nd Precinct Dete	
	command; she did not explicitly state how she knew the	
	ioned her about the stabbing, she told him what she knew,	and
	ft, but returned to the hospital the next day and told her he	una
	went voluntarily with Detective McCrosson to the 52 nd	
	the time), where he interrogated her for two hours and told	hor
that she was lying, and that he believed she		Hei
hitting her. § 87(2)(9)		
	denied stabbing \$87(2)(b) and being beaten	by
	<u> </u>	entire
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	bruises. He told her he needed to speak to her children, an	.u
	er children if she did not let him speak to them. He also	
		vould
	he had her mother call a lawyer and the lawyer called the s	
	ot hear from Detective McCrosson again until April 2 nd , 20)07,
when he called her and asked how, and who	ere, § 87(2)(b) was.	
TIL: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	d Cl d id d CCDD	
This is the second complaint \$87(2)(b) has	as recently filed with the CCRB—§87(2)(b)	_
		1
	and investigator had already called 887(2)(b) twice and	sent
	case when \$87(2)(b) filed the second complaint. \$87(2)(b)	
	prematurely and without scheduling an interview because s	
	e said she would call back the next day, and would also have	
§ 87(2)(b) call. Since then, the investigation	tor has called and left § 87(2)(b) three messages, stating t	hat if
	le an interview, the CCRB would have to close the cases.	
§ 87(2)(b) was sent a final please-call letter or	April 18th, 2007. The letter was not returned to the CCRE	3 as
undeliverable. As of April 26th, 2007, § 87(2)(has not contacted the CCRB. § 87(2)(g)	
Towards at an	Deter	
Investigator:	Date:	
Supervisor:	Date:	
•		
Reviewed by:	Date:	
Reviewed by:	Date:	