CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

| Investigator: | | Team: | CCRB Case #: | ☐ Force | ☐ Discourt. | ☐ U.S. |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------|----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Christina Vuong | | Team # 3 | 201404373 | ☑ Abuse | O.L. | ☐ Injury |
| Incident Date(s) | | Location of Incident: | | Precinct | : 18 Mo. SOL | EO SOL |
| Sunday, 05/04/2014 10:30 PM | | § 87(2)(b) | | 26 | 11/4/2015 | 11/4/2015 |
| Date/Time CV Reported | | CV Reported At: | How CV Reported: | Date/Ti | me Received at CCI | RB |
| Tue, 05/06/2014 1:08 PM | CCRB | | Phone | Tue, 05 | Tue, 05/06/2014 1:08 PM | |
| Complainant/Victim | Type | Home Address | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Subject Officer(s) | Shield | TaxID | Command | | | |
| 1. LT Raymond Meyer | 01869 | 925742 | PSA 6 | | | |
| Witness Officer(s) | Shield N | Tax No | Cmd Name | | | |
| 1. POF Katy Gonzalez | 27927 | 945777 | PSA 6 | | | |
| Officer(s) | Allegatio | on | | In | vestigator Recon | nmendation |
| A.LT Raymond Meyer | Abuse: Lt. Raymond Meyer questioned § 87(2)(b) | | | | | |
| B.LT Raymond Meyer | Abuse: Lt. Raymond Meyer threatened \$87(2)(b) with the use of force. | | | | | |
| C.LT Raymond Meyer | Abuse: Lt. Raymond Meyer refused to provide his name to \$87(2)(b) | | | | | |

| On May 4, 2014 at 10:30 p.m., \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ was approached by Lt. (then Sgt.) Raymond Meyer and PO Katy Gonzalez of PSA 6 in the ground-floor stairwell of his residence, located at \$\frac{87(2)}{2}\$ in Manhattan (encl. C1-8). An officer determined by the investigation as Lt. Meyer questioned \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ on whether he lived in the building (Allegation A). As \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ continued to walk towards the stairwell door out to the lobby, \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ alleged that Lt. Meyer threatened to punch him (Allegation B). \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ alleged that when he asked for the officers' names, Lt. Meyers refused to provide it to him (Allegation C). PO Gonzalez verbally identified herself to \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ upon his request. No summons or arrest resulted from this incident. | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| On December 17, 2014, the case was reassigned from Investigator Simon Wang to Investigator Christina Vuong for closure. | | | | | | | |
| Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories | | | | | | | |
| • On May 12, 2014, \$87(2)(b) rejected mediation, \$87(2)(b) | | | | | | | |
| (encl. C5). On December 18, 2014, a Notice of Claim inquiry was submitted electronically to the New York City Comptroller's Office, and is pending a response (encl. F16-17). | | | | | | | |
| [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)] | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories | | | | | | | |
| • §87(2)(b) previously filed one CCRB complaint, §87(2)(b) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| • Lt. Meyer has been a member of the service for 14 years and there are no substantiated allegations against him (encl. B1). He has had two prior CCRB cases, the last one from seven years ago, where various allegations were exonerated, unfounded, and unsubstantiated, \$87(2)(g) | | | | | | | |
| Potential Issues | | | | | | | |
| • \$87(2)(b) is part of the Grant Houses, a New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA) complex (encl. G13-14). \$87(2)(9) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Findings and Recommendations | | | | | | | |
| egations not pleaded | | | | | | | |
| • \$87(2)(b) did not allege that he was stopped, and stated he voluntarily provided his ID to the officers without being asked (encl. C1-8). \$87(2)(9) | | | | | | | |

All

Explanation of Subject Officer Identification

Stated that PO Gonzalez questioned him (encl. C1-8). However, Lt. Meyer and PO Gonzalez stated that Lt. Meyer questioned (encl. D3-4, D9-10). Stated that Lt. Meyer questioned (encl. D3-4, D9-10).

| It is undisputed that inside the ground floor stairwell of [887(2)(5)], part of the Grant Houses NYCHA development, [887(2)(5)] was asked if he resided in the building. The ground floor stairwell permits access to the building lobby as well as an emergency exit that leads to the rear of the building. |
|---|
| whose CCRB statement was provided eight days after the incident, stated that he gained access into the stairwell through the rear emergency exit, which residents typically left ajar for convenience (encl. C1-8). He admitted that the emergency exit was not meant to be used as a regular entrance. At the time he entered the stairwell, saw the officers simultaneously entering the stairwell through the lobby door. Apart from asking him whether he lived in the building, he stated that the officers did not ask any other questions. He noted that Lt. Meyer later instructed sate of the run towards him. |
| Lt. Meyer and PO Gonzalez provided CCRB statements over four months after the incident date (encl. D3-4, D9-10). While conducting a vertical patrol of \$87(2)(b) , the officers consistently stated that they saw him running down the stairs towards them. Lt. Meyer stated that he suspected \$87(2)(b) of trespassing because he did not recognize \$87(2)(b) from his prior patrols and because of \$87(2)(b) s running. |
| § 87(2)(g) |
| |
| |
| PO Gonzalez noted on the handwritten Stop, Question, and Frisk report that she suspected him of criminal trespass, and that he engaged in actions indicative of acting as a lookout (encl. D8). §87(2)(9) |
| Mere presence in a NYCHA building is insufficient to justify an officer's inquiry into whether the individual is there lawfully. Officers conducting vertical patrols must have some objective credible reason to request information about a person's residency. People v. Ventura, 913 N.Y.S.2d 543 (2010) (encl. A12). |
| § 87(2)(g) |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Allegation B- Abuse of Authority: Lt. Raymond Meyer threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of |

Allegation C- Abuse of Authority: Lt. Raymond Meyer refused to provide his name to \$87(2)(b)

| alleged that as he walk Meyer raised his right hand in a fi alleged that when he asked nothing." Lt. Meyer and PO Gonz (encl. D3-4, D9-10). However, the | st and said, "Don't run Lt. Meyer for his name zalez consistently stated | , Lt. Meyer responded, "N I that \$87(2)(b) was runn | ou" (encl. C1-8). § No, you ain't getting ing towards them |
|--|--|---|--|
| "pop" \[\) \[\) \[\) Whereas Lt. Me | eyer did not recall heari | ng § 87(2)(b) ask for his i | name, PO Gonzalez |
| | | and Lt. Meyer verbally p | |
| information to him. The officers d | enied that Lt. Meyer sa | id that § 87(2)(b) "ain't g | etting nothing." § 87(2) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Team: | | | |
| 10diiii | | | |
| | | | |
| Investigator: | Deint | Dota | _ |
| Signature | Print | Date | |
| Supervisor: | | | |
| Title/Signature | Print | Date | _ |
| 5 | | | |
| Reviewer: | Deint | Data | _ |
| Title/Signature | Print | Date | |
| Reviewer: | | | |
| Title/Signature | Print | Date | |