

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Andrew Guinan	Team: Team # 4	CCRB Case #: 201309835	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Saturday, 10/19/2013 1:30 AM	Location of Incident: Metcalf Avenue and Watson Avenue	Precinct: 43	18 Mo. SOL 4/19/2015	EO SOL 4/19/2015	
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 10/21/2013 9:01 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Call Processing System	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 10/21/2013 9:01 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. An officer			043 PCT
2. LT Alison Esposito	00000	920258	043 PCT
3. POM Christophe Taranto	19158	931300	043 PCT
4. POM Norbert Mercado	09083	916213	043 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.LT Alison Esposito	Abuse: Lt. Alison Esposito authorized the stop of the car in which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were occupants.	
B.LT Alison Esposito	Force: Lt. Alison Esposito pointed her gun at § 87(2)(b)	
C.POM Christophe Taranto	Force: PO Christophe Taranto pointed his gun at § 87(2)(b)	
D.POM Norbert Mercado	Abuse: PO Norbert Mercado drew his gun.	
E.POM Norbert Mercado	Abuse: PO Norbert Mercado searched the car in which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were occupants.	
F. An officer	Abuse: An officer searched the car in which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were occupants.	
G. An officer	Abuse: An officer frisked § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b)	
H. An officer	Abuse: An officer searched § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b)	

## Case Summary

Though § 87(2)(b) initially identified PO Mercado as PO Maranzano, the ARCS report indicated that PO Mercado you partnered with PO Taranto on the day of the incident. Between October 22, 2013 and November 14, 2013, five calls were placed to the number provided for § 87(2)(b) answered the phone on October 22, 2013, at which time he provided a phone statement to the investigator, and added the allegations that Lt. Alison Esposito and PO Christopher Taranto pointed their guns at him and § 87(2)(b) respectively. § 87(2)(b) scheduled an appointment to appear at the CCRB on October 31, 2013. On October 31, 2013, he left a message canceling this appointment. § 87(2)(b) again answered the phone on November 7, 2013, and stated that he would call the investigator by close of business. To date, § 87(2)(b) has not contacted the CCRB. Voice messages were left for all other contact attempts. Final please-call letters were sent to § 87(2)(b) on November 14, 2013 and November 18, 2013. To date, neither of these letters has been returned by the United States Postal Service as undeliverable.

A final search performed on November 12, 2013, and a Lexis Nexis Search performed on November 14, 2013 yielded six phone numbers for § 87(2)(b). Calls were placed to each of these numbers between November 14, 2013 and November 18, 2013. Three of the numbers were not in service, one terminated in a full voicemail box, and one terminated in a busy signal. An unidentified female answered the final number, stated that the investigator had the wrong number and disconnected the call. A please-call letter was sent to § 87(2)(b) on November 14, 2013 and a final please-call letter was sent on November 18, 2013. On November 18, 2013, the investigator spoke to § 87(2)(b) who stated she wished to pursue the complaint, but was unable to travel to the CCRB at that time due to an injury. She stated she would call the investigator back to schedule an appointment. Between November 18, 2013 and December 3, 2013, five calls were placed to § 87(2)(b) on the number she provided. The investigator again spoke to § 87(2)(b) on December 3, 2013, at which time she stated that she would call the investigator back to schedule an appointment. To date, § 87(2)(b) has not contacted the CCRB. An additional final please-call letter was sent to § 87(2)(b) on December 21, 2013. To date, the letters sent to the victims have not been returned by the United States Postal Service as undeliverable. A search of the NYC Department of Corrections Inmate Lookup Service database, performed on January 6, 2014, revealed that neither § 87(2)(b) nor § 87(2)(b) is presently incarcerated, or has been at any point throughout the investigation. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) have failed to contact the CCRB after five calls have been placed, and two letters have been sent to each of them. § 87(2)(g)

Team: 4

Investigator: \_\_\_\_\_ Andrew Guinan 1/10/2014  
Signature Print Date

Supervisor: \_\_\_\_\_

_____ Title/Signature	_____ Print	_____ Date
--------------------------	----------------	---------------

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

Title/Signature	Print	Date
-----------------	-------	------

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

Title/Signature

Print

Date