CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:		CCRB Case #:	✓ F	Force	✓ D	iscourt.	☐ U.S.
Jessica Russo		Squad #10	1	202001798		Abuse	Ø C).L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location o	of Incident:	<u> </u>	Pr	ecinct:	18 M	o. SOL	EO SOL
Wednesday, 03/04/2020 2:51 AM		In front of	§ 87(2)(b)		İ	79	9/4/	/2021	4/21/2022
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Report	ted At:	How CV Reported	d: I	Date/Time	Receive	ed at CCI	RB
Wed, 03/04/2020 8:15 AM		CCRB		Phone	V	Wed, 03/0	4/2020	8:15 AM	I
Complainant/Victim	Type	_ F	Iome Add	ress					
Witness(es)		H	Iome Add	ress					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	1	TaxID	Command					
1. LT Henry Daverin	00000		45645	094 PCT					
2. POM Kyle Barnett	24594		52443	INT CIS					
3. POM Patrick Foley	18425	9	58596	INT CIS					
Officer(s)	Allegation	on				Inve	estigato	r Recon	nmendation
A.POM Patrick Foley	Abuse: P	Police Office	er Patrick I	Foley stopped § 87(2)(b)					
B.LT Henry Daverin	Abuse: L	Lieutenant H	Ienry Dave	erin stopped § 87(2)(b)					
C.POM Kyle Barnett	Abuse: P	Police Office	er Kyle Ba	rnett stopped § 87(2)(b)					
D.POM Kyle Barnett	Force: Po	olice Office: 87(2)(b)	r Kyle Bar	rnett used physical for	rce				
E.LT Henry Daverin	Force: L: § 87(2)(b)	ieutenant H	enry Dave	rin used physical forc	e agai	nst			
F.POM Kyle Barnett		esy: Police (eously to § 87		rle Barnett spoke					
G.POM Kyle Barnett	Off. Lan		ce Officer sed upon ra	Kyle Barnett made reace.	emarks	to			
H.LT Henry Daverin	Discourt to § 87(2)(b)		nant Henry	Daverin spoke disco	urteou	sly			
I.POM Patrick Foley	Force: Poagainst		r Patrick F	oley used physical fo	orce				
J.POM Patrick Foley	Abuse: P	Police Office	er Patrick I	Foley threatened to ar	rest				
K.POM Patrick Foley		esy: Police (eously to ^{§ 87}		trick Foley spoke					
L.LT Henry Daverin	Abuse: L		lenry Dave	erin refused to provide	e his				
M.LT Henry Daverin	Abuse: L shield nu	Lieutenant H Imber to ^{§ 87} 0	lenry Dave (2)(b)	erin refused to provid	e his				
N.POM Kyle Barnett	Abuse: P	Police Office § 87(2)(b)	er Kyle Ba	rnett refused to provi	de his				


Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
O.POM Kyle Barnett	Abuse: Police Officer Kyle Barnett refused to provide his shield number to § 87(2)(b)	
P.POM Patrick Foley	Abuse: Police Officer Patrick Foley refused to provide his name to § 87(2)(b)	
Q.POM Patrick Foley	Abuse: Police Officer Patrick Foley refused to provide his shield number to §87(2)(b)	
R.POM Kyle Barnett	Abuse: Police Officer Kyle Barnett frisked 887(2)(b)	
S.LT Henry Daverin	Abuse: Lieutenant Henry Daverin frisked §87(2)(b)	
T.POM Kyle Barnett	Abuse: Police Officer Kyle Barnett searched § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b)	
U.LT Henry Daverin	Abuse: Lieutenant Henry Daverin searched § 87(2)(b)	
V.POM Kyle Barnett	Discourtesy: Police Officer Kyle Barnett spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	
W.POM Kyle Barnett	Off. Language: Police Officer Kyle Barnett made remarks to based upon the perceived sexual orientation of \$87(2)(b)	
X.POM Kyle Barnett	Discourtesy: Detective Kyle Barnett spoke discourteously to \$87(2)(b)	
Y.POM Kyle Barnett	Abuse: Police Officer Kyle Barnett failed to provide with a business card.	
Z.LT Henry Daverin	Abuse: Lieutenant Henry Daverin failed to provide § 87(2)(b) with a business card.	
2A.POM Patrick Foley	Abuse: Police Officer Patrick Foley failed to provide with a business card.	
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)		

Case Summary


On March 4, 2020, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via phone.
On March 4, 2020, at approximately 2:51 a.m., at §87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, §87(2)(b)
was stopped by three police officers—Lieutenant Henry Daverin from the 90th Precinct at
the time of this incident, Police Officer Kyle Barnett, and Police Officer Patrick Foley—both from
the Intel Criminal Section at the time of this incident, while attempting to get into his vehicle
(Allegations A and B: Abuse of Authority-Stop, \$87(2)(9) (Allegation C: Abuse of
pushed \$87(2)(b) into a fence. The officers held \$87(2)(b) s upper body in place with one of
their hands and held up fists using their free hands (Allegations D and E: Force-Physical Force,
PO Barnett allegedly told \$87(2)(b) to "stop fucking moving", among
multiple other discourteous and offensive statements, including "we're going to take this nigga the
fuck down" (Allegation F: Discourtesy-Word, \$87(2)(9) (Allegation G: Offensive
Language-Race, \$87(2)(9) Lieutenant Daverin allegedly joined PO Barnett in making
discourteous remarks, telling §87(2)(b) that the vehicle was not his "fucking car" (Allegation
H: Discourtesy-Word, § 87(2)(9)
PO Foley approached \$87(2)(b) allegedly pushed his chest, and told him that he was
under arrest (Allegation I: Force-Physical Force , \$87(2)(9) (Allegation J: Abuse of Authority-Threat of arrest , \$87(2)(9)). PO Foley later
threatened §87(2)(b) with arrest again, stating that they were going to "lock his fucking ass up"
(Subsumed in Allegation J) (Allegation K: Discourtesy-Word, \$87(2)(9)
requested all the officers' names and shield numbers, which PO Barnett allegedly responded to by
telling \$87(2)(b) to "shut the fuck up" (Subsumed in Allegation F). None of the officers
provided their names or shield numbers to \$87(2)(b) (Allegations L and M: Abuse of
Authority-Refusal to provide name, \$87(2)(9) (Allegations N and O: Abuse of
Authority-Refusal to provide shield, \$87(2)(9) (Allegation P: Abuse of Authority-
(Anegation Q: Abuse of Authority-
Refusal to provide shield, \$87(2)(9)
PO Foley walked around the vehicle to observe the VIN and license plate on §87(2)(b)
vehicle. PO Barnett and Lieutenant Daverin allegedly went through \$87(2)(b) s pockets and
grabbed his genitals in the process (Allegations R and S: Abuse of Authority-Frisk,
(Allegations T and U: Abuse of Authority-Search of person,
PO Barnett requested \$87(2)(b) s "Fucking ID", which \$87(2)(b) did not
have on his person (Allegation V: Discourtesy-Word, \$87(2)(9) After \$87(2)(6)
provided his identifying information verbally, the officers were able to run such information with
that of his vehicle, which confirmed that he owned the vehicle. As the officers left the scene.
that of his vehicle, which confirmed that he owned the vehicle. As the officers left the scene, approached his vehicle, which was next to the officers' vehicle. PO Barnett allegedly told
approached his vehicle, which was next to the officers' vehicle. PO Barnett allegedly told
approached his vehicle, which was next to the officers' vehicle. PO Barnett allegedly told to "get the fuck back" (Subsumed in Allegation V). Ser(2)(b) addressed PO
approached his vehicle, which was next to the officers' vehicle. PO Barnett allegedly told to "get the fuck back" (Subsumed in Allegation V). Sa7(2)(b) addressed PO Barnett as a "faggot" and PO Barnett allegedly responded, "I'll show you a faggot" (Allegation W :
approached his vehicle, which was next to the officers' vehicle. PO Barnett allegedly told to "get the fuck back" (Subsumed in Allegation V). 367(2)(0) addressed PO Barnett as a "faggot" and PO Barnett allegedly responded, "I'll show you a faggot" (Allegation W: Offensive Language-Sexual Orientation, \$87(2)(0) and Allegation X: Discourtesy:
approached his vehicle, which was next to the officers' vehicle. PO Barnett allegedly told to "get the fuck back" (Subsumed in Allegation V). addressed PO Barnett as a "faggot" and PO Barnett allegedly responded, "I'll show you a faggot" (Allegation W: Offensive Language-Sexual Orientation, say(2)(0) and Allegation X: Discourtesy: Word, say(2)(0) PO Barnett joined the other officers, and they all left the scene.
approached his vehicle, which was next to the officers' vehicle. PO Barnett allegedly told to "get the fuck back" (Subsumed in Allegation V). 387(2)(b) addressed PO Barnett as a "faggot" and PO Barnett allegedly responded, "I'll show you a faggot" (Allegation W: Offensive Language-Sexual Orientation, 387(2)(g) and Allegation X: Discourtesy: Word, 387(2)(g) PO Barnett joined the other officers, and they all left the scene. Prior to the officers' departure, none of them provided 387(2)(g) with a business card
approached his vehicle, which was next to the officers' vehicle. PO Barnett allegedly told to "get the fuck back" (Subsumed in Allegation V). 387(2)(b) addressed PO Barnett as a "faggot" and PO Barnett allegedly responded, "I'll show you a faggot" (Allegation W: Offensive Language-Sexual Orientation, 387(2)(b) and Allegation X: Discourtesy: Word, 387(2)(b) PO Barnett joined the other officers, and they all left the scene. Prior to the officers' departure, none of them provided 387(2)(b) with a business card (Allegations Y and Z: Abuse of Authority-Failure to provide RTKA card, 387(2)(b)
approached his vehicle, which was next to the officers' vehicle. PO Barnett allegedly told to "get the fuck back" (Subsumed in Allegation V). \$57(2)(0) addressed PO Barnett as a "faggot" and PO Barnett allegedly responded, "I'll show you a faggot" (Allegation W: Offensive Language-Sexual Orientation, \$57(2)(0) and Allegation X: Discourtesy: Word, \$57(2)(0) PO Barnett joined the other officers, and they all left the scene. Prior to the officers' departure, none of them provided \$57(2)(0) with a business card (Allegation Y and Z: Abuse of Authority-Failure to provide RTKA card, \$57(2)(0) (Allegation AA: Abuse of Authority-Failure to provide RTKA card, \$57(2)(0)
approached his vehicle, which was next to the officers' vehicle. PO Barnett allegedly told to "get the fuck back" (Subsumed in Allegation V). 387(2)(b) addressed PO Barnett as a "faggot" and PO Barnett allegedly responded, "I'll show you a faggot" (Allegation W: Offensive Language-Sexual Orientation, 387(2)(b) and Allegation X: Discourtesy: Word, 387(2)(b) PO Barnett joined the other officers, and they all left the scene. Prior to the officers' departure, none of them provided 387(2)(b) with a business card (Allegations Y and Z: Abuse of Authority-Failure to provide RTKA card, 387(2)(b)
approached his vehicle, which was next to the officers' vehicle. PO Barnett allegedly told to "get the fuck back" (Subsumed in Allegation V). \$57(2)(0) addressed PO Barnett as a "faggot" and PO Barnett allegedly responded, "I'll show you a faggot" (Allegation W: Offensive Language-Sexual Orientation, \$57(2)(0) and Allegation X: Discourtesy: Word, \$57(2)(0) PO Barnett joined the other officers, and they all left the scene. Prior to the officers' departure, none of them provided \$57(2)(0) with a business card (Allegation Y and Z: Abuse of Authority-Failure to provide RTKA card, \$57(2)(0) (Allegation AA: Abuse of Authority-Failure to provide RTKA card, \$57(2)(0)
approached his vehicle, which was next to the officers' vehicle. PO Barnett allegedly told to "get the fuck back" (Subsumed in Allegation V). \$57(2)(0) addressed PO Barnett as a "faggot" and PO Barnett allegedly responded, "I'll show you a faggot" (Allegation W: Offensive Language-Sexual Orientation, \$57(2)(0) and Allegation X: Discourtesy: Word, \$57(2)(0) PO Barnett joined the other officers, and they all left the scene. Prior to the officers' departure, none of them provided \$57(2)(0) with a business card (Allegation Y and Z: Abuse of Authority-Failure to provide RTKA card, \$57(2)(0) (Allegation AA: Abuse of Authority-Failure to provide RTKA card, \$57(2)(0)
approached his vehicle, which was next to the officers' vehicle. PO Barnett allegedly told to "get the fuck back" (Subsumed in Allegation V). \$57(2)(0) addressed PO Barnett as a "faggot" and PO Barnett allegedly responded, "I'll show you a faggot" (Allegation W: Offensive Language-Sexual Orientation, \$57(2)(0) and Allegation X: Discourtesy: Word, \$57(2)(0) PO Barnett joined the other officers, and they all left the scene. Prior to the officers' departure, none of them provided \$57(2)(0) with a business card (Allegation Y and Z: Abuse of Authority-Failure to provide RTKA card, \$57(2)(0) (Allegation AA: Abuse of Authority-Failure to provide RTKA card, \$57(2)(0)
approached his vehicle, which was next to the officers' vehicle. PO Barnett allegedly told to "get the fuck back" (Subsumed in Allegation V). \$57(2)(0) addressed PO Barnett as a "faggot" and PO Barnett allegedly responded, "I'll show you a faggot" (Allegation W: Offensive Language-Sexual Orientation, \$57(2)(0) and Allegation X: Discourtesy: Word, \$57(2)(0) PO Barnett joined the other officers, and they all left the scene. Prior to the officers' departure, none of them provided \$57(2)(0) with a business card (Allegation Y and Z: Abuse of Authority-Failure to provide RTKA card, \$57(2)(0) (Allegation AA: Abuse of Authority-Failure to provide RTKA card, \$57(2)(0)
approached his vehicle, which was next to the officers' vehicle. PO Barnett allegedly told to "get the fuck back" (Subsumed in Allegation V). \$57(2)(0) addressed PO Barnett as a "faggot" and PO Barnett allegedly responded, "I'll show you a faggot" (Allegation W: Offensive Language-Sexual Orientation, \$57(2)(0) and Allegation X: Discourtesy: Word, \$57(2)(0) PO Barnett joined the other officers, and they all left the scene. Prior to the officers' departure, none of them provided \$57(2)(0) with a business card (Allegation Y and Z: Abuse of Authority-Failure to provide RTKA card, \$57(2)(0) (Allegation AA: Abuse of Authority-Failure to provide RTKA card, \$57(2)(0)

There were no summonses issued or arrests made as a result of this incident. There is no video footage pertaining to this incident.

Findings and Recommendations



CCRB CTS – Confidential Page 2




§ 87(2)(g)
·
Allegation (I) Force: Police Officer Patrick Foley used physical force against \$87(2)(6)
Allegation (J) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Patrick Foley threatened to arrest [887(2)(0)]
§ 87(2)(b)
Allegation (K) Discourtesy: Police Officer Patrick Foley spoke discourteously to \$87(2)(6)
§ 87(2)(b)
testified (BR01) that PO Foley approached him as PO Barnett and Lieutenant
Daverin held him against the fence in front of his home. PO Foley pushed his chest with his two
hands and informed him that he was under arrest. PO Foley mentioned \$87(2)(b) matching the
description of a car burglar in the neighborhood, which \$87(2)(6) disputed. Shortly after a
not having his ID, PO Foley stated that they were going to "lock
s] fucking ass up". PO Foley's reason for the threat of arrest was \$87(2)(6) s lack
of ID, converse to the initial reason of his matching a description.
According to the Department Advocate's Office, Patrick Foley resigned from the NYPD or
December 11, 2021 (BR18).
§ 87(2)(g)
•
Allegation (L) Abuse of Authority: Lieutenant Henry Daverin refused to provide his name to
§87(2)(b)
Allegation (M) Abuse of Authority: Lieutenant Henry Daverin refused to provide his shield
number to § 87(2)(b)
Allegation (N) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Kyle Barnett refused to provide his name to
§ 87(2)(b)
Allegation (O) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Kyle Barnett refused to provide his shield
number to \$87(2)(b)
Allegation (P) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Patrick Foley refused to provide his name to
§ 87(2)(b)
Allegation (Q) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Patrick Foley refused to provide his shield
number to § 87(2)(b)
\$87(2)(b) testified (BR01) that he asked PO Foley, PO Barnett, and Lieutenant Daverin
for their names, to which PO Barnett responded, "shut the fuck up. \$87(2)(b) proceeded to ask
for their shield numbers, and PO Barnett told him that he would find out in a minute. None of the
officers provided their name or shield numbers to \$87(2)(b)
testified (BR02) that \$87(2)(b) asked for the officers' shield numbers so
that he could file a complaint. The officers proceeded as if the request was not made and, thus, did
not provide any of their information. Instead, they proceeded to ask about the complaint
§ 87(2)(b) wanted to file.
PO Barnett and Lieutenant Daverin both testified that they were unsure if \$87(2)(b)
requested their identifying information, including name and shield. Neither officer specifically
remembered providing their information to §87(2)(b)
According to the Department Advocate's Officer, Patrick Foley resigned from the NYPD
on December 11, 2021 (BR18).
§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (R) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Kyle Barnett frisked \$87(2)(b)
Allegation (S) Abuse of Authority: Lieutenant Henry Daverin frisked 887(2)(6)
Allegation (T) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Kyle Barnett searched \$87(2)(b)
Allegation (U) Abuse of Authority: Lieutenant Henry Daverin searched 387(2)(5)
testified (BR01) that PO Barnett and Lieutenant Daverin held \$87(2)(b)
with one hand and searched all of his pockets with the other. They went inside of his front and back
pants pockets and his jacket pockets. §87(2)(b) had money, headphones, and his phone in his
pockets. The officers did not take anything out of the pockets. As the officers searched him,
sar(2)(5) stated that each officer aggressively grabbed his genitals—Lieutenant Daverin from the
left and PO Barnett from the right side.
did not testify to seeing any physical contact between \$87(2)(b) and the
officers, including a search or a frisk.
PO Barnett stated (BR03) that he did not search S87(2)(b) and did not recall if any other
officer did so. PO Barnett did not believe there was any reason to believe \$87(2)(b) had a weapon and, thus, there was no need to frisk him during this incident.
Lieutenant Daverin testified (BR04) that he was not sure if there was a need to search
§ 87(2)(b) or go inside of his pockets. Lieutenant Daverin did not remember himself or any other
officer patting \$87(2)(b) body down or going into any of his pockets. Lieutenant Daverin did
not recall himself or any other officer making contact with \$87(2)(b) s genitals.
§ 87(2)(g)
<u> </u>
Allegation (V) Discourtesy: Police Officer Kyle Barnett spoke discourteously to 887(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)
Allegation (W) Offensive Language: Police Officer Kyle Barnett made remarks to \$87(2)(6)
based upon the perceived sexual orientation of \$87(2)(b)
Allegation (X) Discourtesy: Police Officer Kyle Barnett spoke discourteously to \$87(2)(5)
\$87(2)(b) testified (BR01) that, after PO Barnett and Lieutenant Daverin searched and
testified (BR01) that, after PO Barnett and Lieutenant Daverin searched and frisked him, PO Barnett informed him that they need his "Fucking ID". After \$87(2)(6)
provided his identifying information and the officers were able to confirm that he owned the
vehicle, the officers began leaving the scene. As §87(2)(b) approached his vehicle, PO Barnett
told him to "get the fuck back". \$37(2)(b) responded, "who the fuck are you talking to, faggot?"
PO Barnett responded something similar to "I'll show you a faggot". The final word was from
§ 87(2)(b) , who told PO Barnett, "I fucking dare you".
testified (BR02) that, as the officers walked away, PO Barnett told
s87(2)(b) , "Don't go walking up behind me." s87(2)(b) responded, "I'm going to my car.
Nobody is worried about your faggot asses". § 87(2)(b) told § 87(2)(b) not to speak to the
officers, which ended the interaction. §87(2)(b) did not testify that PO Barnett used the word
"faggot."
PO Barnett (BR03) did not make any statements using the word "faggot" when addressing
PO Barnett (BR03) did not make any statements using the word "faggot" when addressing nor did he hear any other officer do so. PO Barnett did use profanity or the word
PO Barnett (BR03) did not make any statements using the word "faggot" when addressing nor did he hear any other officer do so. PO Barnett did use profanity or the word "fuck" in any variation during this incident.
PO Barnett (BR03) did not make any statements using the word "faggot" when addressing nor did he hear any other officer do so. PO Barnett did use profanity or the word

CCRB CTS – Confidential Page 5

using profanity during the incident. § 87(2)(b) , § 87(2)(b) , and PO Barnett acknowledged that § 87(2)(b) addressed the
officers as "faggot[s]". \$87(2)(b), \$87(2)(g)
Allegation (Y) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Kyle Barnett failed to provide 587(2)(5)
with a business card. Allegation (Z) Abuse of Authority: Lieutenant Henry Daverin failed to provide \$87(2)(6)
with a business card.
Allegation (AA) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Patrick Foley failed to provide \$87(2)(5)
with a business card. It is undisputed that the officers stopped \$87(2)(b) regarding the increase of grand
larcenies in the 79 th Precinct.
(BR01) testified that he did not receive a business card from PO Barnett, PO Foley, or Lieutenant Daverin at any point during this incident.
PO Barnett (BR03) did not offer \$87(2)(b) a business card, nor did he know if any other officer did so. When \$87(2)(b) went straight into his dwelling after he was free to go and did not request a business card. PO Barnett did not know if this incident required \$87(2)(b) to receive a business card.
Lieutenant Daverin (BR04) was not sure if any officer provided \$87(2)(b) with a
business card. According to the Department Advocate's Office, Patrick Foley resigned from the NYPD on
December 11, 2021 (BR18).
Officers are required to offer pre-printed right to know business card upon the conclusion of law enforcement activities—including founded and reasonable suspicion of a crime committed, except in cases when a summons is issued or an arrest is made, or exigent circumstances are
present. Patrol Guide Procedure 203-09 (BR05). NYPD officers are required to offer a business card to individuals at the conclusion of any law enforcement activity, including pedestrian stops based on reasonable suspicion, that does not result in an arrest or summons. New York City Administrative Code § 14-174 (BR17).
§ 87(2)(g)
·
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)

CCRB Case # 202001798



Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the first complaint to which \$87(2)(6) has been a party (BR13).
- PO Barnett has been a member of service for nine years. He has been the subject to two other CCRB cases and six allegations, none of which have been substantiated.
- Police Officer Foley resigned from the NYPD on December 11, 2021 (BR18). Prior to his
 resignation, he was the subject of seven other CCRB complaints and 22 allegations, one of
 which was substantiated:

	§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)	
	nt Daverin has been a member of service for 13 years. He has been the subject to 3	34
other C	RB investigations and 120 allegations, 23 of which have been substantiated:	
	§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)	
	201502623 involves substantiated allegations of a frisk, refusal to provide	
	name/shield number, and a vehicle search. The NYPD recommended Comman	d
	Discipline-A, which was imposed.	
	§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)	
	201604699 involves substantiated allegations of entry/search of premises	
	The NYPD recommended no disciplinar	У
	action for the search/entry § 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)	У
	action for the search/entry \$87(4-b), \$87(2)(9) No penalty was imposed for either allegation.	У
	action for the search/entry § 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)	У
	action for the search/entry \$87(4-b), \$87(2)(9) No penalty was imposed for either allegation.	У
	action for the search/entry \$87(4-b), \$87(2)(9) No penalty was imposed for either allegation.	У
	action for the search/entry \$87(4-b), \$87(2)(9) No penalty was imposed for either allegation.	У
	action for the search/entry \$87(4-b), \$87(2)(9) No penalty was imposed for either allegation.	У
	action for the search/entry \$87(4-b), \$87(2)(9) No penalty was imposed for either allegation.	У
	action for the search/entry \$87(4-b), \$87(2)(9) No penalty was imposed for either allegation.	Y
	action for the search/entry \$87(4-b), \$87(2)(9) No penalty was imposed for either allegation.	У
	action for the search/entry \$87(4-b), \$87(2)(9) No penalty was imposed for either allegation.	Ty Ty
	action for the search/entry \$87(4-b), \$87(2)(9) No penalty was imposed for either allegation.	Ty Ty
	action for the search/entry \$87(4-b), \$87(2)(9) No penalty was imposed for either allegation.	Ty
	action for the search/entry \$87(4-b), \$87(2)(g) . No penalty was imposed for either allegation. \$87(4-b), \$87(2)(g)	Ty Ty
	action for the search/entry \$87(4-b), \$87(2)(9) No penalty was imposed for either allegation.	Ty Ty
	action for the search/entry \$87(4-b). \$87(2)(9) . No penalty was imposed for either allegation. \$87(4-b). \$87(2)(9) 201911006 involves substantiated allegations of frisk, stop, discourtesy-word,	
	action for the search/entry \$87(4-b).\$87(2)(9) . No penalty was imposed for either allegation. \$87(4-b).\$87(2)(9) 201911006 involves substantiated allegations of frisk, stop, discourtesy-word, \$87(4-b).\$87(2)(9)	
	action for the search/entry \$37(4-5). \$37(2)(9) No penalty was imposed for either allegation. \$67(4-5). \$87(2)(9) 201911006 involves substantiated allegations of frisk, stop, discourtesy-word, \$357(4-5). \$87(2)(9) The CCRB's recommendation, as well as the NYPD's disposition and penalty states.	

Mediation, Civil, and Criminal Histories declined to mediate this complaint.

On July 19, 2021, the Offi has not filed a notice of class solution (§ 87(2)(6)) [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(6)]		York City Comptroller confirmathis incident (BR15).	med that § 87(2)(b)
Squad: <u>10</u>			
/0			
Investigator: Signati	ure	Inv. Jessica Russo Print Title & Name	08/05/2021 Date
_			
Squad Leader: <u>Eric Rigie</u> Signati	ure	IM Eric Rigie Print Title & Name	<u>8/9/2021</u> Date
Reviewer:		-	
Signat	ure	Print Title & Name	Date