

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Susannah Janik	Team: Intake	CCRB Case #: 201410719	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Wednesday, 10/01/2014 7:35 AM	Location of Incident: 435 East 105th Street	Precinct: 23	18 Mo. SOL 4/1/2016	EO SOL 4/1/2016	
Date/Time CV Reported Wed, 10/01/2014 10:00 AM	CV Reported At: Precinct	How CV Reported: In-person	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 10/20/2014 4:15 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Anthony Baresi	03106	951522	PSA 5
2. POM Jacob Aaronson	00044	941300	PSA 5

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Jacob Aaronson	Force: PO Jacob Aaronson used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM Anthony Baresi	Force: PO Anthony Baresi used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On October 1, 2014, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint in-person at the PSA 5 stationhouse on behalf of her husband § 87(2)(b). Lt. Grant reported the complaint via telephone to IAB, generating Log No. 14-33989. This complaint was received at the CCRB on October 20, 2014. On October 22, 2014, § 87(2)(b) was called, and he confirmed his contact information. § 87(2)(b) did not want to provide a phone statement without talking to his lawyer first. § 87(2)(b) did not provide his lawyer's contact information. On October 23, 2014, and October 24, 2014, § 87(2)(b) was called, but the voice mailbox was full. On October 27, 2014, October 30, 2014, and November 3, 2014, § 87(2)(b) was called and messages were left with the investigator's contact information. On October 23, 2014, and November 3, 2014, letters were mailed to § 87(2)(b) and were not returned by the United States Postal Service. On October 30, 2014, a search of the NYC Department of Correction Inmate Look-Up Service revealed that § 87(2)(b) was not incarcerated.

On November 6, 2014, a Lexis Nexis search was done for witness § 87(2)(b) which resulted with two potential phone numbers. On November 6, 2014, one of the phone numbers was called, but an unidentified male answered who did not know § 87(2)(b). The other phone number was called five times between November 6, 2014, and November 17, 2014, and voice messages were left each time. On November 6, 2014, and November 14, 2014, letters were mailed to § 87(2)(b) and were not returned by the United States Postal Service. On November 18, 2014, CTS, BADS, White Pages, and Coles Directory searches did not yield any new contact information for § 87(2)(b).

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

Team: _____

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Date

Supervisor: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date