

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Alexander Lai	Team: Team # 1	CCRB Case #: 201401404	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Saturday, 02/08/2014 9:27 AM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b) 71st Precinct stationhouse	Precinct: 71	18 Mo. SOL 8/8/2015	EO SOL 8/8/2015	
Date/Time CV Reported Wed, 02/12/2014 11:35 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 02/12/2014 11:35 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Witness(es)	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POF Tanisha Dorson	03481	941679	071 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Deon Crockwell	08100	938290	071 PCT
2. POM Lamont Swient	28163	916771	071 PCT
3. POM Steven Lanigan	14482	950721	071 PCT
4. SGT Michael Lam	4541	947150	071 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POF Tanisha Dorson	Abuse: PO Tanisha Dorson entered § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b), in Brooklyn.	§ 87(2)(b)
B.POF Tanisha Dorson	Abuse: At § 87(2)(b), in Brooklyn, and at the 71st Precinct stationhouse, PO Tanisha Dorson did not obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b).	§ 87(2)(b)

Case Summary

On February 8, 2014, at approximately 9:27 a.m., at § 87(2)(b), in Brooklyn, § 87(2)(b) was engaged in a physical altercation with § 87(2)(b) PO Tanisha Dorson, PO Deon Crockwell, PO Steven Lanigan, and PO Lamont Sweint of the 71st Precinct responded. PO Dorson allegedly entered the apartment and handcuffed § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation A**). After being handcuffed, § 87(2)(b) allegedly asked PO Dorson for medical attention but was denied (**Allegation B**). At 9:35 a.m., at the front desk of the 71st Precinct stationhouse, § 87(2)(b) allegedly asked for, but did not receive, medical attention (**Allegation B cont'd**). § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were both arrested and charged in connection with this incident. § 87(2)(b) refused to be interviewed by the CCRB.

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

This case was ineligible for mediation because § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were arrested. A request for a record of a Notice of Claim has not yet been answered by the Comptroller's Office. § 87(2)(b), § 86(1)(3)&(4), § 87(2)(c)

(encl. L1-10).

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

This is the first CCRB complaint filed by § 87(2)(b) (encl. A2). PO Dorson has been a member of the service for 8 years and there are no substantiated CCRB allegations against her (encl. A1).

Findings and Recommendations

Explanation of Subject Officer Identification

PO Dorson acknowledged interacting with § 87(2)(b) during this incident. PO Dorson, § 87(2)(b)'s arresting officer, was also responsible for obtaining medical treatment when § 87(2)(b) requested it at § 87(2)(b) and at the 71st Precinct stationhouse. Based on § 87(2)(b)'s statement, while at the stationhouse, she asked an officer unrelated to her arrest for medical attention. Without responding, this officer looked over at PO Dorson.

- **Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: PO Tanisha Dorson entered § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn.**
- **Allegation B – Abuse of Authority: At § 87(2)(b), in Brooklyn, and at the 71st Precinct stationhouse, PO Tanisha Dorson did not obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b)**

§ 87(2)(b) stated that she observed PO Dorson holding the apartment door open with her foot while she stood in the hallway outside of the apartment with PO Crockwell, PO Swient, PO Lanigan, and § 87(2)(b) who was in handcuffs. § 87(2)(b) did not see how the door was initially opened. § 87(2)(b) told PO Dorson that she was not going to see EMS or go to the hospital with them because they were not arresting § 87(2)(b). PO Dorson then allegedly entered the apartment and handcuffed § 87(2)(b). After § 87(2)(b) was arrested, she asked PO Dorson if she could go to the hospital because she had jaw and ear pain. PO Dorson allegedly refused because § 87(2)(b) had refused to see EMS previously. At the 71st Precinct stationhouse, § 87(2)(b) asked an unidentified officer for medical attention, while she was presumably within earshot of PO Dorson, who did not obtain it for her (encl. C3).

§ 87(2)(b) said that he opened the apartment door and PO Dorson spoke with § 87(2)(b) and EMS examined her inside of the apartment. He did not see how § 87(2)(b) was handcuffed and he did not hear her ask for medical attention thereafter (encl. D2). EMT § 87(2)(b) and EMT § 87(2)(b) were identified as the responding EMTs (encl. M4), but they did not recall this incident (encl. E2, 6). In addition, no paperwork could be located by the FDNY in reference to whether or not § 87(2)(b) received medical treatment.

PO Dorson stated that she and the EMTs asked § 87(2)(b) if she wanted to go to the hospital, but § 87(2)(b) declined. § 87(2)(b) never said that she was going to have someone else take her to the hospital. § 87(2)(b) told PO Dorson to come inside. PO Dorson came inside and began completing a domestic incident report in the living room. When § 87(2)(b) said § 87(2)(b) hit him, PO Dorson arrested her. § 87(2)(b) never asked for medical attention or to go to the hospital at any time after she had been handcuffed (encl. F20).

PO Crockwell said that § 87(2)(b) did not want to go to the hospital or to be treated. PO Crockwell did not recall if the officers or the EMTs were inside or outside of the apartment during this interaction (encl. G5). PO Crockwell did not recall if he or PO Dorson ever entered the apartment, or if § 87(2)(b) was inside or out of the apartment when she was handcuffed. Sgt. Lam and PO Lanigan stated that they did not see how § 87(2)(b) or § 87(2)(b) was handcuffed and they never saw an officer inside the apartment (encl. H7, J5). Sgt. Lam, PO Lanigan, and PO Crockwell did not recall if § 87(2)(b) requested medical attention after she was handcuffed. PO Sweint did not remember this incident (encl. I9).

§ 87(2)(b) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Team: _____

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Date

Supervisor: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date