

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Leanne Fornelli	Team: Squad #8	CCRB Case #: 201308590	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday, 09/13/2013 7:41 PM, Wednesday, 09/25/2013	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b) 79th Precinct Stationhouse	Precinct: 79	18 Mo. SOL 3/13/2015	EO SOL 3/13/2015	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 09/13/2013 8:29 PM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 09/16/2013 10:11 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. SGT Margaret Ortiz	04662	917766	079 PCT
2. LT Brian Mccaughey	00000	930686	079 PCT
3. Officers			Unknown
4. POF Terry Leacock	31517	940103	079 PCT
5. SGT Lael Pendleton	04966	930925	079 PCT
6. An officer			Unknown
7. POM Conway Hughes	19227	950603	079 PCT
8. POM Daniel Snow	02858	948099	060 PCT
9. POM Richard Gadson	08528	928333	079 PCT
10. POM Dennis Mcallister	09523	942151	079 PCT
11. POM Emilio Ortega	06216	916969	079 PCT
12. POM Brian Christensen	29540	940002	079 PCT
13. SGT Jorge Torres	04901	924564	079 PCT
14. POM Rodney Hale	24629	933826	079 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Karl Richards	13237	924388	079 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A. Officers	Abuse: On September 13, 2013, at § 87(2)(b) officers drew their guns.	
B.LT Brian Mccaughey	Force: On September 13, 2013, at § 87(2)(b) Lt. Brian Mccaughey pointed his gun at § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b)	
C.LT Brian Mccaughey	Abuse: On September 13, 2013, at § 87(2)(b) Lt. Brian Mccaughey stopped § 87(2)(b)	
D.LT Brian Mccaughey	Discourtesy: On September 13, 2013, at § 87(2)(b) Lt. Brian Mccaughey spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	
E.SGT Margaret Ortiz	Abuse: On September 13, 2013, at § 87(2)(b) Sgt. Margaret Ortiz frisked § 87(2)(b)	
F. An officer	Discourtesy: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, on September 13, 2013, an officer spoke discourteously to an individual.	
G. Officers	Abuse: On September 13, 2013, at § 87(2)(b) officers refused to provide their names to § 87(2)(b)	
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)		
P.SGT Lael Pendleton	Abuse: On September 25, 2013, at the 79th Precinct stationhouse, Sgt. Lael Pendleton did not process § 87(2)(b)'s complaint regarding officers.	
Q.POF Terry Leacock	Abuse: On September 25, 2013, at the 79th Precinct stationhouse, PO Terry Leacock refused to provide her shield number to § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On September 13, 2013, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint via telephone with the Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB) on behalf of her § 87(2)(b)-old son, § 87(2)(b) and on September 16, 2013, the Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) received it under IAB log number 13-40207 (encl. 4a-4c). On September 24, 2013, § 87(2)(b) also filed this complaint via telephone with the CCRB (encl. 5a-5e). She was later determined to be a victim in this case. On September 16, 2013, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via the call processing system on behalf of her § 87(2)(b) grandson, § 87(2)(b) (encl. 5a-5e). On September 13, 2013, at approximately 7:41 p.m., at § 87(2)(b) Brooklyn, officers from the 79th Precinct responded to a call for backup. The following allegations resulted from this incident:

Allegation A: Abuse of Authority: On September 13, 2013, at § 87(2)(b) officers drew their guns.

Allegation G: Abuse of Authority: On September 13, 2013, at § 87(2)(b) officers refused to provide their names to § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation B: Force: On September 13, 2013, at § 87(2)(b) Lt. Brian McCaughey pointed his gun at § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b)

Allegation C: Abuse of Authority: On September 13, 2013, at §§ 87(2)(b) Lt. Brian McCaughey stopped § 87(2)(b)

Allegation D: Discourtesy: On September 13, 2013, at §§ 87(2)(b) Lt. Brian McCaughey spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)

Allegation E: Abuse of Authority: On September 13, 2013, at § 87(2)(b) Sgt. Margaret Ortiz frisked § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation F: Discourtesy: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, on September 13, 2013, an officer spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)

Allegation P: Abuse of Authority: On September 25, 2013, at the 79th Precinct stationhouse, Sgt. Lael Pendleton did not process § 87(2)(b)'s complaint regarding officers.

Allegation Q: Abuse of Authority: On September 25, 2013, at the 79th Precinct stationhouse, PO Terry Leacock refused to provide her shield number to § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g)

The allegation of a gun pointed rendered this case ineligible for mediation. On December 4, 2014, this case was reassigned to the undersigned investigator to write the closing report upon Inv. Olubukola Ajayi's transfer to the CCRB Intake Unit.

Results of Investigation

Civilian Statements

Victim: § 87(2)(b)

- § 87(2)(b) is a § 87(2)(b)
- § 87(2)(b)

CCRB Testimony

On October 2, 2013, § 87(2)(b) a minor, was interviewed at the CCRB with the consent of his grandmother, § 87(2)(b) (encl. 6a-6e).

At the time of the incident, § 87(2)(b) was playing tag near § 87(2)(b) (location determined from NYPD documentation) in Brooklyn with his friend, § 87(2)(b). They ran across Quincy Street when about five uniformed officers, three of whom were identified via

investigation as Lt. Brian McCaughey (described as a white male, 6'2" tall, average body build, short hair, wearing a white shirt and gold badge), PO Karl Richards (described as a black male, 6'3" tall, average body build, bald) and Sgt. Margaret Ortiz (described as a white female, skinny body build), all of the 79th Precinct, and PO4 and PO5 (described only as males), ran toward them with their guns drawn. Lt. McCaughey pointed his gun at § 87(2)(b) and told him to lie on the ground. § 87(2)(b) lay face-down on the ground and § 87(2)(b) ran from the scene.

Sgt. Ortiz handcuffed § 87(2)(b) and asked him where he lived. § 87(2)(b) stated his address. Sgt. Ortiz instructed § 87(2)(b) to get up from the ground and he complied. § 87(2)(b)'s grandmother, § 87(2)(b) ran to the scene and asked the officers why they handcuffed § 87(2)(b). Lt. McCaughey and Sgt. Ortiz told her that they thought that § 87(2)(b) was one of the males who had been fighting in the neighborhood. Approximately thirteen people, three of whom § 87(2)(b) identified as § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) who witnessed Lt. McCaughey point his gun at him, began to argue with the officers. Sgt. Ortiz took the handcuffs off of § 87(2)(b) and the officers apologized to him.

Victim: § 87(2)(b)

- § 87(2)(b) is a § 87(2)(b)
- § 87(2)(b)

CCRB Testimony

On October 10, 2013, § 87(2)(b) a minor, was interviewed at the CCRB with the consent of his mother, § 87(2)(b) (encl. 8b-8i). On September 13, 2013, § 87(2)(b) wrote a narrative of the incident (encl. 8a) that § 87(2)(b) provided to the CCRB on the date of his interview. Any inconsistencies from the written narrative or inconsistencies and additional information from § 87(2)(b)'s testimony are noted below.

At the time of the incident, an officer identified via investigation as Lt. McCaughey stood in front of § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b). He pointed his gun toward them and said, "Get the hell on the ground now." § 87(2)(b) who was closest to Lt. McCaughey, got on his knees. Lt. McCaughey put his hands on § 87(2)(b)'s shoulder and pulled him to the ground. In § 87(2)(b)'s written narrative, he said "they trowed [sic]" § 87(2)(b) to the ground. § 87(2)(b) became frightened, as he did not know Lt. McCaughey was an officer and he did not identify himself. § 87(2)(b) ran across the street to his apartment building, located at § 87(2)(b).

Three uniformed officers, one of whom was identified via investigation as PO Richards (described as a black male, average body build, bald), and PO3 and PO4 (described only as black males) chased § 87(2)(b). As § 87(2)(b) ran, he looked back and noticed approximately fifteen people trying to get the officers off of § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) ran to his neighbor, § 87(2)(b) who stood near his vehicle, parked in front of § 87(2)(b)'s apartment building. When § 87(2)(b) reached § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(b) was already handcuffed and in a standing position. § 87(2)(b) told PO Richards, PO3 and PO4 that § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were just playing and asked them what was going on. The officers crossed the street without responding. § 87(2)(b)'s mother, § 87(2)(b) arrived and § 87(2)(b) told her what happened.

Lt. McCaughey, PO Richards and two additional officers, PO5 (described as a white male, 5'10" tall, skinny body build, short blonde hair, bearded; wearing plainclothes) and an officer who could have been PO3 or PO4 (§ 87(2)(b) was unsure) approached and asked if they could talk to § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) pulled § 87(2)(b) behind her and said the officers would have to talk to her instead. She gave § 87(2)(b) the keys to their apartment and told him to go upstairs. § 87(2)(b) heard a message over Lt. McCaughey's radio that the officers were on the

wrong block and were supposed to be on Clifton Place. Lt. Mccaughey said, “Oh, really? Goddammit,” as he returned to his patrol car. § 87(2)(b) heard another officer (he did not see who) say, “Motherfucker, wrong block.” In § 87(2)(b)'s written narrative, he wrote that an unidentified “he” said, “Clifton oh Lord,” and rubbed his head before walking away. § 87(2)(b) went to his apartment, located on the fifth floor of the apartment building.

Witness: § 87(2)(b)

- § 87(2)(b) is a § 87(2)(b)
- § 87(2)(b)

CCRB Testimony

On December 30, 2013, § 87(2)(b) was interviewed at § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn (encl. 10b-10f). Any inconsistencies and additional information from the phone statement she provided on December 12, 2013 (encl. 10a), are noted below.

At the time of the incident, § 87(2)(b) stood on the steps of § 87(2)(b). She was with § 87(2)(b) who § 87(2)(b) also mentioned in his testimony, and § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) all of whom were getting their hair braided and whose children were playing outside at the same time as § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) both of whom were playing across the street. An officer identified via investigation as Lt. Mccaughey (described as a white male, 6’0” to 6’1” tall, husky body build, in his mid-fifties, wearing a white shirt) ran on the sidewalk past § 87(2)(b) with his gun drawn and about ten uniformed officers (described as mostly black males) ran behind him with their guns drawn.

Lt. Mccaughey focused on § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) both of whom had run to the south side of Quincy Street. § 87(2)(b) seemed to notice Lt. Mccaughey when he reached the sidewalk and ran back across the street and entered a car belonging to an individual identified as § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) had his back to Lt. Mccaughey and the other officers when Lt. Mccaughey grabbed him by the back of his neck and said, “Get the fuck on the ground.” § 87(2)(b) laid face-down on the ground and Lt. Mccaughey placed his knee on § 87(2)(b) back between his shoulder blades. About ten marked cars and two unmarked cars occupied by 30 to 35 officers arrived to the scene. A uniformed officer identified via investigation as Sgt. Ortiz (described as a white or Hispanic female, 5’3” to 5’4” tall, 130 to 140 pounds, blonde hair in a ponytail, in her late 30s to early 40s) exited one of the marked cars from Marcy Avenue with her gun drawn. In § 87(2)(b)'s phone statement, she said that Sgt. Ortiz had her knee on § 87(2)(b) back.

Two officers, PO3 (described as a black male, 6’0” tall, husky body build, goatee) and PO4 (described as a black male, 5’6” to 5’7” tall, muscular body build, in his late 30s to early 40s) also had their guns drawn. All these officers and Lt. Mccaughey pointed their guns at § 87(2)(b) as he lay on the ground. Sgt. Ortiz handcuffed § 87(2)(b). The officers kept their guns pointed at § 87(2)(b) for one to two minutes longer. § 87(2)(b) took the other children inside into the first-floor hallway.

§ 87(2)(b) exited the building and cursed at the officers while asking them what was happening. PO3 or PO4 told her to calm down. An officer said that there was a call that someone had a gun at § 87(2)(b). Meanwhile, two other officers said that they were on the wrong block and were supposed to be at § 87(2)(b) Clifton Place. § 87(2)(b) asked the officers to remove the handcuffs from § 87(2)(b) but they would not do so, and said that he fit the description of someone who was said to have a gun. § 87(2)(b) sent someone upstairs to get § 87(2)(b) and when she arrived, § 87(2)(b) was handcuffed and in a standing position. § 87(2)(b) “went off”

on the officers and asked them to take the handcuffs off of § 87(2)(b) which Lt. McCaughey did.

Witness: § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) is a § 87(2)(b)

CCRB Testimony

On March 25, 2014, § 87(2)(b) was interviewed at her residence, § 87(2)(b), in Brooklyn (encl. 12b-12g) Any inconsistencies and additional information from § 87(2)(b)'s testimony and the phone statement she provided on November 18, 2013 (encl. 12a), are noted below.

At the time of the incident, when § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) ran to the south side of Quincy Street, a marked van driving westbound arrived to the scene. An officer identified via investigation as Lt. McCaughey (described as a white male, 6'2" to 6'3" tall, average to muscular body build, short hair; wearing a white shirt) exited the van with his gun drawn. A uniformed officer identified via investigation as Sgt. Ortiz (described as a Hispanic female, 5'2" tall, average body build) also exited the van. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were returning to the north side of Quincy Street when Lt. McCaughey told them to "get down." In § 87(2)(b)'s phone statement, she said that Lt. McCaughey pointed the gun at § 87(2)(b)'s head before he said this. § 87(2)(b) did not immediately comply with Lt. McCaughey's instruction. Sgt. Ortiz took § 87(2)(b) by his back and one of his shoulders to place him face-down on the ground. During this time, § 87(2)(b) entered § 87(2)(b)'s car. Lt. McCaughey pointed his gun toward § 87(2)(b)'s back as Sgt. Ortiz placed § 87(2)(b) in handcuffs. As neighbors gathered to observe the incident, Lt. McCaughey holstered his gun. In § 87(2)(b)'s phone statement, she said that when she protested Lt. McCaughey's treatment of § 87(2)(b) given that he was just a little kid, Lt. McCaughey told her to "shut up" and said little kids have guns, too.

§ 87(2)(b)'s great aunt, identified via investigation as § 87(2)(b) arrived to the scene and argued with the officers that § 87(2)(b) could not be the person for whom they were looking. She picked § 87(2)(b) up from the ground, at which time Sgt. Ortiz removed his handcuffs. § 87(2)(b)'s grandmother, identified as § 87(2)(b) arrived to the scene and spoke with Lt. McCaughey. One of the officers said that the officers were supposed to be on Clifton Place. § 87(2)(b) heard no officer use profanities.

Witness: § 87(2)(b)

• § 87(2)(b) is a § 87(2)(b)

• At the time of § 87(2)(b)'s CCRB interview, he was employed as an § 87(2)(b)

Video Footage

On April 3, 2014, § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) provided to the CCRB a copy of the video that he recorded on his cellphone during the incident, which is summarized below (encl. 13f).

- 00:00 (start of video): Numerous officers and civilians are seen and heard discussing the

incident. The camera faces Quincy Street.

- 00:18: § 87(2)(b) is handcuffed and next to an officer identified via investigation as Lt. McCaughey.
- 00:49: An officer identified via investigation as Sgt. Ortiz is seen next to § 87(2)(b) touching his right arm.
- 2:04: Sgt. Ortiz removes the handcuffs from § 87(2)(b)
- 2:54 to 6:33 (end of video): Lt. McCaughey speaks to § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) identified as § 87(2)(b) the contents of which cannot be heard. During this time, the bystanders argue with the officers and protest their actions.

CCRB Testimony

On April 3, 2014, § 87(2)(b) was interviewed at the CCRB (encl. 13b-13h). His testimony was consistent with the phone statement he provided on December 13, 2013 (encl. 13a), and the information from both is summarized below.

At the time of the incident, § 87(2)(b) was in the bedroom of his apartment, located at § 87(2)(b). He heard someone who he never saw outside the apartment building say, “Get down. Get down. Get the fuck down.” He went to the kitchen, where § 87(2)(b) was, and looked out the window onto Quincy Street. § 87(2)(b) identified as § 87(2)(b) lay face-down on the sidewalk directly in front of the apartment building. § 87(2)(b) was surrounded by two to three officers § 87(2)(b) could offer no descriptions). One of these officers crouched next to § 87(2)(b) and held onto his arms. § 87(2)(b) did not know if § 87(2)(b) was in handcuffs. A minute or two later, he went to the stoop of the building and recorded the incident with his cellphone. He overheard officers speaking about an incident on Clifton Place, but he did not know if this meant the officers had responded to the wrong location. No officer had their gun drawn.

Witness: § 87(2)(b)

- § 87(2)(b) is a § 87(2)(b)
- At the time of her CCRB interview, § 87(2)(b) was employed as an § 87(2)(b)

CCRB Testimony

On March 24, 2014, § 87(2)(b) was interviewed at the CCRB (encl. 11b-11f). Her testimony was consistent with the phone statement she provided on March 18, 2014 (encl. 11a), and information from both are noted below along with any inconsistencies and additional information from § 87(2)(b)'s testimony.

At the time of the incident, § 87(2)(b) looked out her kitchen window when she heard yelling and saw two uniformed officers, one identified via investigation as Lt. McCaughey and PO2 (described only as males, possibly § 87(2)(b) wearing hats), with their guns drawn. The officers repeatedly told a child identified as § 87(2)(b) to get down on the ground. She did not hear the officers curse when they said this. She did not know if the officers had their guns pointed at § 87(2)(b) who looked confused but eventually lay face-down on the ground. No officer made physical contact with § 87(2)(b) before he was on the ground. One of the officers handcuffed § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) did not know when the officers holstered their guns. She went outside briefly while § 87(2)(b) was outside. She heard no officer curse at any of the bystanders.

Complainant/Witness: § 87(2)(b)

- § 87(2)(b) is a § 87(2)(b)

- § 87(2)(b)
- At the time of § 87(2)(b)'s CCRB interview, she was employed as a § 87(2)(b)

CCRB Testimony

On October 2, 2013, § 87(2)(b) was interviewed at the CCRB (encl. 7b-7h). Her testimony was consistent with her original complaint (encl. 5a-5e) and the phone statement she provided on September 23, 2013 (encl. 7a), and the information from all her statements is summarized below.

At the time of the incident, when § 87(2)(b) arrived to the scene, her grandson § 87(2)(b) was lying handcuffed on the ground. He was surrounded by five uniformed officers, who included those identified via investigation as Sgt. Ortiz (described as a Hispanic female, 5'5" to 5'6" tall, skinny body build, blonde hair in a ponytail, silver badge that read "Ortiz"), Lt. McCaughey (described as a white male, 5'6" to 5'7" tall, average body build, dirty blonde or brown hair, wearing a white shirt) and PO Richards, and PO4 and PO5 (the latter three described as follows: black male, 5'6" to 5'7" tall, average body build, in his early 30s, wearing a hat; black male, 5'8" tall, average body build, wearing a hat; black male). § 87(2)(b) would later collect Lt. McCaughey's name by asking him for it and looking at his badge.

Sgt. Ortiz picked § 87(2)(b) up from the ground. No officer had their gun drawn. § 87(2)(b) asked Sgt. Ortiz to remove the handcuffs from § 87(2)(b) and she did so. Lt. McCaughey asked § 87(2)(b) if he could speak to her in private and told her that a fight had occurred four blocks away on Greene Avenue and the people involved reportedly ran down Quincy Street. He said that the officers made an honest mistake and he apologized. During this conversation, PO Richards, PO4 or PO5 said to an unidentified bystander, "Go in the fucking house. Mind your fucking business." This was the only officer that § 87(2)(b) heard curse, although she initially said that she heard all three officers directing profanities at bystanders.

Complainant/Victim: § 87(2)(b)

- § 87(2)(b) is a § 87(2)(b)
- At the time of § 87(2)(b)'s CCRB interview, she was § 87(2)(b)

CCRB Testimony

On October 10, 2013, § 87(2)(b) was interviewed at the CCRB (encl. 9a). Her testimony was consistent with her original complaints to the IAB and the CCRB (encl. 4a-4d; 5a-5e) and the phone statement she provided on September 25, 2013 (encl. 9b-9h), and the information from all her statements are summarized below.

At the time of the interview, when § 87(2)(b) arrived to the scene, her son § 87(2)(b) who was with a neighbor, § 87(2)(b) told her that someone pointed a gun at him and he ran into § 87(2)(b)'s car and told § 87(2)(b) that people were trying to kill him. About five plainclothes officers (described as a group of black and white males and females) then approached who wanted to speak to § 87(2)(b) but § 87(2)(b) would not allow this. § 87(2)(b) heard a transmission over one of the officers' radios that the officers were supposed to be at Clifton Place. § 87(2)(b) told the officers they were on the wrong block and a few officers § 87(2)(b) could not distinguish which) said, "Motherfucker," as they returned to their vehicles. § 87(2)(b) asked the officers for their names, but they did not provide them. She then walked up and down the street and wrote down the numbers of the vehicles in which the officers were leaving as 3488, 9087, 5658, 3588 and 5067.

An officer who § 87(2)(b) identified as Lt. McCaughey (described as a white male, 5'9" tall, average body build, balding, wearing a white shirt; name observed on badge) walked toward

her. § 87(2)(b) pointed Lt. McCaughey out as the officer who pointed a gun at him. § 87(2)(b) told § 87(2)(b) to go upstairs. She told Lt. McCaughey to “come here” and asked him if he pulled out his gun on § 87(2)(b). Lt. McCaughey did not approach or answer her, but turned and crossed the street. § 87(2)(b) approached him and the uniformed officer next to him, identified via investigation as Sgt. Ortiz (described as a Hispanic female, 5’0” tall). She told Lt. McCaughey what § 87(2)(b) told her and Lt. McCaughey said that is the first thing police do when they get a call reporting “guys with guns outside.” Lt. McCaughey refused to apologize to § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) asked Lt. McCaughey for his name and he provided it to her.

On September 25, 2013, at 10 a.m. (time established by NYPD documentation), § 87(2)(b) went to the 79th Precinct to file a report about the incident. She spoke to a uniformed officer who she identified as PO Leacock (described as a female; name observed on her badge) and who asked what kind of report she wanted to file. § 87(2)(b) asked to speak to a sergeant and PO Leacock retrieved Sgt. Pendleton, who supplied his name and badge number 4966 to § 87(2)(b). Sgt. Pendleton was polite, but did not take § 87(2)(b)’s complaint and said she had to file it with the CCRB. § 87(2)(b) asked PO Leacock for her shield number and PO Leacock asked why she needed it. § 87(2)(b) told her it was not a problem, as she already had her name. § 87(2)(b) left the stationhouse and filed the complaint with the CCRB over the phone.

NYPD Statements:

Subject Officer: LT BRIAN MCCAUGHEY

- *Lt. McCaughey is a § 87(2)(b)*
- *On September 13, 2013, Lt. McCaughey was on duty from 2:25 p.m. until 11:30 p.m. as the 79th Precinct Platoon Commander with PO Karl Richards, was dressed in uniform and assigned a marked SUV, number 5658.*

Memo Book

On September 13, 2013, Lt. McCaughey made a lengthy entry in his memo book. It is summarized below (encl. 18a-18j): At 7:40 p.m., a call for backup was received from the SPIKE Lieutenant in the vicinity of Quincy Street between Nostrand Avenue and Marcy Avenue. En route to the location, the exact location was unknown and no one was responding on the radio. In front of § 87(2)(b) Lt. McCaughey observed a black male running with another male who kept running. Lt. McCaughey did not see where the second male went nor could he identify him. He drew his firearm and instructed § 87(2)(b) to get on the ground, instructions with which § 87(2)(b) complied. He holstered his firearm and § 87(2)(b) was handcuffed for safety by Sgt. Ortiz. SPIKE lieutenant, informed that the incident was on Clifton Place and no one was being searched for in regard. Event D13091320263 was noted. At 8:00 p.m., a UF250 was generated by Sgt. Ortiz.

CCRB Testimony

On May 13, 2014, Lt. McCaughey was interviewed at the CCRB (encl. 18k-18n).

At the time of the incident, Lt. McCaughey was at the 79th Precinct stationhouse when a radio run was received for additional officers from the SPIKE Lieutenant, who he thought was Lt. Doyle, identified as Lt. Michael Doyle of the 79th Precinct. Besides the location, Quincy Street between Nostrand Avenue and Marcy Avenue, no additional information was provided. Lt. McCaughey entered the front passenger seat and Sgt. Ortiz entered the backseat of a patrol car that PO Richards drove. Lt. McCaughey called Lt. Doyle several times for additional information,

but he did not respond. PO Richards drove westbound against the flow of traffic on Quincy Street from Marcy Avenue. One or two patrol cars may have followed Lt. McCaughey's. Patrol cars (Lt. McCaughey did not know many) headed eastbound on Quincy from Nostrand Avenue.

Lt. McCaughey saw a black male, identified as § 87(2)(b) running on the street toward his patrol car. PO Richards slowed, and § 87(2)(b) turned and ran westbound on Quincy Street. Lt. McCaughey instructed PO Richards and Sgt. Ortiz to stop § 87(2)(b) because he appeared to change directions and be running away from the officers, and Lt. McCaughey was unsure if he was involved in the incident necessitating the call for additional officers. Another male, identified via investigation as § 87(2)(b) was also running. Lt. McCaughey could not recall when he first saw § 87(2)(b) did not know to where he was running and, although probable, Lt. McCaughey was unsure if he was running in the same direction as § 87(2)(b). He was unaware of any officer chasing § 87(2)(b) or issuing any instructions for him to be pursued. § 87(2)(b) was not stopped because Lt. McCaughey's attention was focused on § 87(2)(b).

Lt. McCaughey exited the patrol car, drew his firearm and pointed it at the center mass of § 87(2)(b)'s body. He could not recall if anything about § 87(2)(b) made him feel unsafe or resembled a weapon, but he pointed his firearm because he was unsure if § 87(2)(b) assaulted an officer in the incident necessitating additional officers. He instructed § 87(2)(b) who was on the sidewalk, to stop and get on the ground. He did not say, "Get the hell on the ground now," or call anyone a "motherfucker." He could not recall if Sgt. Ortiz, PO Richards or any other officer drew their gun, but he believed he was the only officer to do so. § 87(2)(b) stopped on the sidewalk and sat on the ground. Lt. McCaughey holstered his firearm and approached him. Sgt. Ortiz handcuffed § 87(2)(b) for officer safety, but Lt. McCaughey could not recall if he instructed this.

Lt. McCaughey walked down the block and tried to use his radio to call Lt. Doyle, but he did not respond. Someone else (Lt. McCaughey could not recall who) told Lt. McCaughey that Lt. Doyle was on Clifton Place and there were no outstanding perpetrators. Lt. McCaughey did not say, "Goddammit," or "Motherfucker," and he heard no other officer make these comments. Lt. McCaughey did not use any profanities and heard no officer do this. Lt. McCaughey returned to Sgt. Ortiz and § 87(2)(b) who he thought was in a standing position. The handcuffs were removed from § 87(2)(b)'s wrists, but Lt. McCaughey was unsure of by whom. § 87(2)(b) told Lt. McCaughey that he was playing tag prior to being stopped by the officers.

A crowd gathered on the scene which included some relatives of § 87(2)(b). Many officers (Lt. McCaughey could not estimate how many) from the 79th Precinct were also present. Lt. McCaughey did not tell anyone to "go in the fucking house," or "mind their fucking business," and he heard no other officer make these statements. The crowd was unhappy with what had transpired between the officers and § 87(2)(b) and Lt. McCaughey spent about twenty minutes trying to explain his actions.

Subject Officer: SGT MARGARET ORTIZ

- *Sgt. Ortiz is a § 87(2)(b)*
- *On September 13, 2013, Sgt. Ortiz was on duty from 11:15 a.m. until 8:12 p.m. as a 79th Precinct Domestic Violence Sergeant, was dressed in uniform and was assigned to a patrol car with PO Je Chai.*

Memo Book

On September 13, 2013, Sgt. Ortiz made the following notations in her memo book (encl.17a-17e): At 7:40 p.m. a call for additional officers was received. A black male (noted on fly page: § 87(2)(b) (spelled "§ 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) age and address noted; grandmother § 87(2)(b)

was stopped; he was running in front of § 87(2)(b). At 8:00 p.m., she generated a report and resumed patrol. Event D13091320263 was noted.

Stop, Frisk and Question Report

Sgt. Ortiz generated Stop and Frisk Report § 87(2)(b) (encl.17f-17g), which contained the following information: On September 13, 2013, at 7:41 p.m., outside § 87(2)(b), a § 87(2)(b)-old black male identified as § 87(2)(b) (“Unknown” in name field) was stopped on suspicion of criminal possession of a weapon. The physical force of hands on suspect, suspect on the ground and pointing a firearm at the suspect was used for the reason of “defense of self.” The circumstances leading to the stop were fits description and furtive movements. Additional factors were proximity to the scene of the suspected offense and changing directions at the sight of an officer. The reasons for the frisk were a refusal to comply with officers’ directions and actions indicative of engaging in violent crimes. The report was reviewed by Lt. Mccaughey.

CCRB Testimony

On May 7, 2014, Sgt. Ortiz was interviewed at the CCRB (encl. 17h-17j). Inconsistencies and additional information from Lt. Mccaughey’s testimony are noted below.

Sgt. Ortiz could not recall if any further information besides the location was provided in the radio run. Upon arrival at the location, PO Richards stopped in the middle of Quincy Street and all the officers exited the patrol car. The officers saw § 87(2)(b) identified as § 87(2)(b) running down the block. When he saw the officers, he headed to the right and toward a building, determined to be § 87(2)(b) in front of which a group of about fifteen people stood. Sgt. Ortiz could recall no other male with § 87(2)(b). She was unaware of another male being stopped by officers on the scene.

Lt. Mccaughey drew his firearm, pointed it toward § 87(2)(b) and said, “Police. Do not move. Get down. Get down on the ground.” She could not recall if Lt. Mccaughey said, “Get the fuck on the ground,” or if any other officer said this. She did not draw her firearm and saw no other officer besides Lt. Mccaughey with their firearm drawn. § 87(2)(b) lay face-down on the ground. Lt. Mccaughey and PO Richards told Sgt. Ortiz to handcuff § 87(2)(b) and she did so. § 87(2)(b) did not resist being handcuffed. Sgt. Ortiz patted down the small of § 87(2)(b)s back. She asked him, and he provided, his age and his address.

Many officers responded to the scene. Upon viewing the video provided by § 87(2)(b) she identified PO Brian Christensen and PO Richard Gadson of the 79th Precinct. The people in front of § 87(2)(b) seemed upset because they yelled at her and the other officers. Sgt. Ortiz did not say to any civilian, “Go in the fucking house. This is not your fucking business,” nor did she hear any other officer make these comments. She did not refuse to provide her name and badge number to any civilian and could not recall if she witnessed another officer do this.

Lt. Mccaughey told Sgt. Ortiz that § 87(2)(b) was not the person for whom they were looking. At some point, he informed her that they had responded to the wrong location. She did not say, “Motherfucker,” or “Goddammit,” nor did she hear another officer make these comments. She used no profanities and heard no officer do this. Sgt. Ortiz, Lt. Mccaughey and PO Richards picked § 87(2)(b) up from the ground and the handcuffs were removed.

Witness Officer: PO KARL RICHARDS

- *PO Richards is a § 87(2)(b)*
- *On September 13, 2013, PO Richards was on duty from 3:00 p.m. until 11:35 p.m. as the 79th Precinct Lieutenant’s Operator for Lt. Brian Mccaughey, was dressed in uniform and assigned to a marked car, number 4699.*

Memo Book

On September 13, 2013, PO Richards made the following notation in his memo book (encl. 20a-20k): At 7:40 p.m., he was responding from the stationhouse to a call for additional units from the SPIKE Lieutenant at Quincy Street between Nostrand Avenue and Marcy Avenue. Sgt. Ortiz was in the patrol car (right rear seat). At 7:41 he arrived to the vicinity of § 87(2)(b).

§ 87(2)(b) The unit who made the call for backup stated that the perpetrators were running eastbound on Quincy Street, at which time two young black males were observed running eastbound on Quincy Street. Upon sight of the patrol car, both males, while still running, went from the roadway to the sidewalk. PO Richards slammed on the brakes and all the officers exited the vehicle. One of the males was stopped at gunpoint and ordered to the ground/sidewalk. The senior supervisor then holstered his firearm while the sergeant handcuffed him in front of § 87(2)(b).

§ 87(2)(b) The second male ran off, heading westbound on Quincy Street. An irate crowd was already seated in front of § 87(2)(b). They insisted that the male was not running and the situation was handled inappropriately. PO Richards, Lt. McCaughey and Sgt. Ortiz remained at the location after the runner was released and spoke to the crowd as a group and on a one-to-one basis until the tension “simmered.” At 8:00 p.m., PO Richards resumed patrol from § 87(2)(b).

§ 87(2)(b)

CCRB Testimony

On June 24, 2014, PO Richards was interviewed at the CCRB (encl. 20l-20o). Inconsistencies with Lt. McCaughey’s statement and additional information are noted below.

At the time of the incident, the SPIKE Lieutenant, identified as Lt. Doyle, put over the radio that he was chasing males eastbound on Quincy Street from Nostrand Avenue to Marcy Avenue. He could recall no descriptions provided. At the location, PO Richards saw two black males, identified as § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) who both appeared to be under 15 years old, running eastbound on Quincy Street. Upon seeing the patrol car, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) darted from the road to the right side of PO Richards’ vehicle and between parked cars. PO Richards slammed on the brakes and parked the patrol car. PO Richards and Lt. McCaughey decided to stop § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) based on the radio run and because they darted between parked cars upon seeing the patrol car.

After the officers exited the car, Lt. McCaughey drew his firearm, pointed it at § 87(2)(b) and said, “Motherfucker, get on the ground.” Lt. McCaughey was the only officer who drew his gun. During this time, Lt. McCaughey was in the middle of the street and § 87(2)(b) stood on the sidewalk. PO Richards could not estimate the distance between § 87(2)(b) and Lt. McCaughey. § 87(2)(b) lay face-down on the sidewalk, at which time Lt. McCaughey holstered his firearm. § 87(2)(b) ran westbound on Quincy Street and no officer pursued him. After Sgt. Ortiz handcuffed § 87(2)(b) she frisked him in his waist area. Lt. McCaughey did say how he determined § 87(2)(b) to be uninvolved in the call for additional officers, but the handcuffs were removed from § 87(2)(b) by Sgt. Ortiz once this was established, and he was released.

Upon viewing the video provided by § 87(2)(b) PO Richards identified PO Conway Hughes of the 79th Precinct and PO Gadson. PO Richards and Lt. McCaughey stayed after the incident concluded to de-escalate the situation, as people in the crowd were boisterous and irate at what occurred. PO Richards did not tell any civilian to “go in the fucking house,” or “mind your fucking business,” and heard no officer make these comments. It was possible that a radio run was received informing the officers that they were at the wrong location, and should have responded to Clifton Place. PO Richards could recall no officers saying, “Motherfucker,” or “Goddammit” in response to this information. § 87(2)(b) returned to the scene with his mother,

who PO Richards identified as § 87(2)(b) from her photograph. § 87(2)(b) did not ask PO Richards for his name and shield number and could not recall her asking any other officer for this information. He did not witness any officer refuse to provide this information.

Subject Officer: SGT JORGE TORRES

- *Sgt. Torres is a § 87(2)(b)*
- *On September 13, 2013, Sgt. Torres was on duty from 5:15 p.m. until 2:12 a.m. the next day as the 79th Precinct Conditions Sergeant with PO Brian Christensen, was dressed in uniform and using a marked vehicle, number 3214.*

Memo Book

Sgt. Torres made no entries in his memo book regarding the incident (encl. 25a-25e).

CCRB Testimony

On August 19, 2014, Sgt. Torres was interviewed at the CCRB (encl. 25f-25h). The only information he provided of note was that at the time of the incident, Lt. Doyle put no physical description of the perpetrators over the radio when he made the call for backup. He denied seeing an officer with their gun drawn or pointed and denied using the alleged discourteous language or hearing another officer do so.

The following officers, all assigned to the 79th precinct, were interviewed at the CCRB and did not recall the incident: PO Emilio Ortega, PO Dennis McAllister, PO Conway Hughes, PO Rodney Hale, PO Richard Gadson, PO Daniel Snow, PO Brian Christensen, Sgt. Lael Pendleton and PO Terry Leacock.

NYPD Documents

Event D13091320263

The event chronology for Event D13091320263 (encl. 29a-29c) contains the following information: At 7:42 p.m., a lieutenant from the 79th Precinct (79LT1) arrived at § 87(2)(b) Quincy Street between Marcy Avenue and Tompkins Avenue in Brooklyn, which was first put over as § 87(2)(b) Quincy Avenue in the Bronx, but updated at 7:43 p.m. He put a call over for additional units. At 7:43 p.m. and 7:44 p.m., a comment of no further units to location was put over. At 7:46 p.m., the event comment of a foot pursuit was put over. At 7:47 p.m., comments of no further units, no shots were fired or directed at officers, and all officers were accounted for were put over. At 7:54 p.m., two were placed under arrest by Anti-Crime (79SP8). At 8:06 p.m., the disposition of unnecessary was assigned to the event. At 10:08 p.m., a lieutenant (79LT1) closed the event as a report prepared (93Q). No physical descriptions of perpetrators are noted.

§ 87(2)(g)

Command Log

The 79th Precinct command log on September 25, 2013, notes that at 10:00 a.m., § 87(2)(b) (date of birth noted) was present at the stationhouse in regard to a CCRB complaint she previously filed, and she was given paperwork and phone numbers that she stated she would use later (encl. 29g)

Status of Civil Proceedings

As of October 30, 2014, more than ten months after the 90-day filing deadline, neither

§ 87(2)(b) nor § 87(2)(b) have filed a Notice of Claim with the City of New York with regard to the incidents (encl. 29h).

Civilians' Criminal Histories

§ 87(2)(b) [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]

Civilians' CCRB Histories

This is the first CCRB complaint filed by § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) and the first involving § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) (encl. 3a-3d).

Subject Officers' CCRB Histories

- There are no substantiated CCRB allegations against Lt. Mccaughey (member of service (MOS) for 12 years; encl. 2a), Sgt. Ortiz (MOS for 18 years; encl. 2b), PO Ortega (MOS for 19 years; encl. 2e-2f), PO Mcallister (MOS for 8 years; encl. 2g), PO Hale (MOS for 10 years; encl. 2i), PO Gadson (MOS for 13 years; encl. 2j), PO Christensen (MOS for 8 years; encl. 2l-2m) and Sgt. Pendleton (MOS for 12 years; encl. 2o).
- Sgt. Torres has been a member of the service for fifteen years and there is one substantiated CCRB allegation against him in CCRB 200203731 for a discourtesy (word) for which the Board recommended charges and the NYPD penalty was the loss of five vacation days. In CCRB 200904833, § 87(4-b) § 87(2)(g)
- There are no substantiated CCRB allegations against PO Hughes (MOS for 3 years; encl. 2h), PO Snow (MOS for 5 years; encl. 2k) and PO Leacock (MOS for 8 years; encl. 2n), and these are their first CCRB allegations.

Conclusion

Allegations Not Pled

§ 87(2)(g)

Identification of Subject Officers

- § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) alleged that more than one officer had their gun drawn, § 87(2)(g)
- As Lt. Mccaughey, Sgt. Ortiz, Sgt. Torres, PO Ortega, PO Mcallister, PO Hughes, PO Hale and PO Gadson acknowledged their participation in the incident on September 13, 2013, § 87(2)(g)
- § 87(2)(b) alleged that on September 13, 2013, either PO Richards or one of two other officers, she was unsure of whom, said to an unidentified bystander, "Go in the fucking house. Mind your fucking business." § 87(2)(g)
- § 87(2)(b) alleged that on September 13, 2013, she asked about five plainclothes officers who wanted to speak to § 87(2)(b) for their names, but they did not provide them. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

- PO Snow and PO Christensen had no independent recollection of the incident on September 13, 2013. § 87(2)(g)
- Sgt. Pendleton and PO Leacock had no independent recollection of the incident on September 25, 2013. § 87(2)(g)

Investigative Findings and Recommendations

Allegation A: Abuse of Authority: On September 13, 2013, at § 87(2)(b) officers drew their guns.

Allegation H: Abuse of Authority: On September 13, 2013, at § 87(2)(b) officers refused to provide their names to § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation B: Force: On September 13, 2013, at § 87(2)(b) Lt. Brian McCaughey pointed his gun at § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b)

Allegation C: Abuse of Authority: On September 13, 2013, at § 87(2)(b) Lt. Brian McCaughey stopped § 87(2)(b)

It is undisputed that § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were running when Lt. McCaughey drew his gun and pointed it at § 87(2)(b) to stop him. § 87(2)(b) said that about five officers ran toward him and § 87(2)(b) with their guns drawn and when Lt. McCaughey pointed his gun at § 87(2)(b) he got on the ground as instructed while § 87(2)(b) fled.

§ 87(2)(b) said that when one officer, Lt. McCaughey, pointed his gun at him and § 87(2)(b) he fled because he became frightened. Neither § 87(2)(b) nor § 87(2)(b) said that either changed directions before seeing a firearm.

Lt. McCaughey said that the call for backup contained no further information than the location of Quincy Street between Nostrand Avenue and Marcy Avenue. He said that he observed two black males, identified as § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) running and § 87(2)(b) (and probably § 87(2)(b) but he could not recall) turned and went the opposite direction upon seeing the patrol car. He said upon these observations, he exited the patrol car, drew his firearm and pointed it at the center mass of § 87(2)(b)'s body. Because § 87(2)(b) was still with § 87(2)(b) at this point, the investigation credited § 87(2)(b) statement that Lt. McCaughey also pointed his gun in § 87(2)(b) direction. Lt. McCaughey could not recall if anything about § 87(2)(b) made him feel unsafe or resembled a weapon, but he pointed his firearm because he was unsure if § 87(2)(b) assaulted an officer, given the call for backup. § 87(2)(b) explained to Lt. McCaughey that he was running because he was playing tag § 87(2)(g) Sgt. Ortiz and PO Richards said that upon seeing the officers, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) maintained their direction, but went to the right from the street to the sidewalk.

The event chronology for Event D13091320263 (encl. 29a-19c) only contained the location in the call for backup. No crime was reported. It notes no physical description of any perpetrator and there were no details of any suspect fleeing or being armed. Five minutes after the first transmission was made, comments were put over the radio that no further units were needed,

no shots were fired, no shots were directed at officers and all officers were accounted for.

While officers should have wide latitude in approaching individuals to request information, they must have reasonable suspicion of criminal activity to justify the seizure of an individual, People v. De Bour, 40 N.Y. 2d 210 (1976) (encl. 1a-1k). Flight alone, even in conjunction with circumstances that might justify a request for information, is insufficient to justify an officer's pursuit of an individual, People v. Holmes, 81 N.Y.2d 1056 (1993) (encl. 1l-1q). An officer can draw his firearm and point it when he has a reasonable fear for his or her safety. Police Department v. Gliner, OATH Index No. 955/00 (encl. 1r-1jj).

§ 87(2)(g)

The event report alleges no crime committed by anyone, only a call for backup. § 87(2)(g)

Allegation D: Discourtesy: On September 13, 2013, at § 87(2)(b) Lt. Brian Mccaughey spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) said that when Lt. Mccaughey pointed his gun at § 87(2)(b) he said, "Get the hell on the ground now." § 87(2)(b) did not allege this. § 87(2)(b) said that Lt. Mccaughey said, "Get the fuck on the ground." § 87(2)(b) said that Lt. Mccaughey said, "Get down," and heard no officer use profanities. From his apartment, § 87(2)(b) heard an officer, he did not see who, say, "Get down. Get down. Get the fuck down." § 87(2)(b) from her apartment window, observed officers repeatedly tell a child, identified as § 87(2)(b) to get down, but she did not hear them curse.

Lt. Mccaughey denied using profanities at the scene. PO Richards testified that Lt. Mccaughey said to § 87(2)(b) "Motherfucker, get on the ground." Sgt. Ortiz could not recall if Lt. Mccaughey said, "Get the fuck on the ground."

An officer is required to be courteous and respectful in their interactions with the public, Patrol Guide Procedure 203-09 (encl. 1kk).

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation E: Abuse of Authority: On September 13, 2013, at § 87(2)(b) Sgt. Margaret Ortiz frisked § 87(2)(b)

Although § 87(2)(b) did not allege that he was patted down, it is undisputed among the officers' testimonies that Sgt. Ortiz did so. Sgt. Ortiz said she patted § 87(2)(b) down on the small of his back, which PO Richards confirmed. Sgt. Ortiz said that when Lt. Mccaughey ordered § 87(2)(b) to the ground, he did so and did not resist being handcuffed.

Stop and Frisk Report § 87(2)(b) (encl.17f-17g), which Sgt. Ortiz generated for § 87(2)(b) notes that the stop was conducted on the grounds of suspicion of criminal possession of a weapon. The reasons for the frisk were noted as a refusal to comply with officers' directions and actions indicative of engaging in violent crimes.

An officer may frisk a person for weapons if he has a particularized reasonable suspicion that a suspect is armed and dangerous. People v. Forrest, 77 A.D.3d 511 (1st Dept. 2010) (encl. 11l).

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation F: Discourtesy: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, on September 13, 2013, an officer spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) said that as she spoke to Lt. Mccaughey, one of three possible officers said to an unidentified bystander, "Go in the fucking house. Mind your fucking business." § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Allegation P: Abuse of Authority: On September 25, 2013, at the 79th Precinct stationhouse, Sgt. Lael Pendleton did not process § 87(2)(b)'s complaint regarding officers.

Allegation Q: Abuse of Authority: On September 25, 2013, at the 79th Precinct stationhouse, PO Terry Leacock refused to provide her shield number to § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) said that on September 25, 2013, she went to the 79th Precinct stationhouse to file a complaint against the officers involved in the previous incident and Sgt. Pendleton did not process her complaint and said she had to file it with the CCRB. On her way out, she requested the badge number of PO Leacock, whose name she observed on her badge, and PO Leacock asked why she needed it. § 87(2)(b) did not insist, as she already had PO Leacock's name.

Neither PO Leacock nor Sgt. Pendleton could recall the incident.

The 79th Precinct command log on September 25, 2013, notes that § 87(2)(b) was present at the stationhouse in regard to a CCRB complaint she previously filed, and she was given paperwork and phone numbers that she stated she would use later (encl. 29g).

§ 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Team: Four

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Date

Supervisor: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date