

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Rolando Vasquez	Team: Squad #13	CCRB Case #: 202001357	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Thursday, 02/06/2020 2:52 PM, Thursday, 02/06/2020 2:57 PM, Thursday, 02/06/2020 3:00 PM	Location of Incident: 2551 Westchester Ave; Westchester Ave/Lane Ave; Westchester Ave/E Tremont Ave; 45 PCT stationhouse	Precinct: 45	18 Mo. SOL 8/6/2021	EO SOL 3/23/2022	
Date/Time CV Reported Tue, 02/18/2020 4:21 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Tue, 02/18/2020 4:21 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Kenneth Caufield	21338	945569	045 PCT
2. POM Anthony Jackson	04787	935046	045 PCT
3. POM Harmanjot Singh	16466	959988	045 PCT
4. POM Juan Trinidad	19418	960034	045 PCT
5. Officers			

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Harmanjot Singh	Abuse: At 2551 Westchester Avenue in the Bronx, Police Officer Harmanjot Singh took a photograph of individuals.	
B.POM Harmanjot Singh	Force: At Westchester Avenue and Lane Road in the Bronx, Police Officer Harmanjot Singh used pepper spray against individuals.	
C.POM Kenneth Caufield	Force: At Westchester Avenue and East Tremont Avenue in the Bronx, Police Officer Kenneth Caufield used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
D. Officers	Force: At Westchester Avenue and East Tremont Avenue in the Bronx, officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
E.POM Juan Trinidad	Abuse: At Westchester Avenue and East Tremont Avenue in the Bronx, Police Officer Juan Trinidad threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.	
F.POM Kenneth Caufield	Discourtesy: At Westchester Avenue and East Tremont Avenue in the Bronx, Police Officer Kenneth Caufield spoke discourteously to an individual.	

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
G.POM Kenneth Caufield	Discourtesy: At Westchester Avenue and East Tremont Avenue in the Bronx, Police Officer Kenneth Caufield spoke discourteously to individuals.	
H.POM Kenneth Caufield	Off. Language: At Westchester Avenue and East Tremont Avenue in the Bronx, Police Officer Kenneth Caufield made remarks to individuals based upon the perceived mental disability of individuals.	
I.POM Kenneth Caufield	Abuse: At the 45th Precinct stationhouse in the Bronx, Police Officer Kenneth Caufield threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.	
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)		
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)		

Case Summary

On February 18, 2020, § 87(2)(b) called the CCRB and filed this complaint on behalf of her 14-year-old son, § 87(2)(b) his friend § 87(2)(b) and unidentified individuals. On February 6, 2020, § 87(2)(b) filed a duplicate complaint with IAB by phone on behalf of his son, § 87(2)(b). The IAB complaint was received by the CCRB on February 21, 2020.

On February 6, 2020, at approximately 2:52 p.m., § 87(2)(b) was observing a school fight at 2551 Westchester Avenue in the Bronx. Police Officer Kenneth Caufield, Police Officer Juan Trinidad, and Police Officer Harmanjot Singh, all of the 45th Precinct, arrived to disperse the crowd. PO Singh took a photograph of students with a cell phone while they were dispersing (**Allegation A: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g)). The crowd moved and started fighting again at Westchester Avenue and Lane Road in the Bronx. PO Singh used pepper spray against unidentified individuals (**Allegation B: Force**, § 87(2)(g)). At Westchester Avenue and East Tremont Avenue in the Bronx, § 87(2)(b) was placed under arrest by PO Caufield for § 87(2)(b). PO Caufield pushed § 87(2)(b) against a fence (**Allegation C: Force**, § 87(2)(g)). PO Caufield, PO Singh, and PO Trinidad handcuffed § 87(2)(b). While placing § 87(2)(b) into custody, officers allegedly punched him (**Allegation D: Force**, § 87(2)(g)). PO Trinidad pointed his Taser at § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation E: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g)). PO Caufield said, “Grab him, yo, fuck him (**Allegation F: Discourtesy**, § 87(2)(g)). PO Caufield said, “These kids are fucking crazy,” while officers were placing § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) in a police van (**Allegation G: Discourtesy**, § 87(2)(g); **Allegation H: Offensive Language**; § 87(2)(g)). § 87(2)(b) was brought to the 45th Precinct stationhouse where PO Caufield allegedly threatened him with the use of force (**Allegation I: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g)). § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b) was charged with § 87(2)(b) (**Board Review 01**). As of November 3, 2021, the Office of Court Administration (OCA) has no record of the disposition of the related court case (**Board Review 02**).

§ 87(2)(b) provided cell phone video recorded by § 87(2)(b) a friend of § 87(2)(b). It is attached to IA #42 (**Board Review 03**) and summarized in IA #44 (**Board Review 04**). Body-worn camera footage (“BWC”) was also obtained from NYPD Legal and is located in IAs #136-146 (**Board Review 05-15**), and summarized in IAs #151-161 (**Board Review 16-26**).

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: At 2551 Westchester Avenue in the Bronx, Police Officer Harmanjot Singh took a photograph of individuals.

§ 87(2)(b) testified that he observed a fight near a McDonald’s restaurant between students from his school whom he did not know. The students dispersed but the fight eventually broke out again at a different location (**Board Review 27**).

Event documents reveal a request by officers for additional units due to a group of 50 children fighting at the McDonald’s (**Board Review 28**).

PO Singh’s BWC footage shows him responding to the initial call regarding the fight outside of the McDonald’s (**Board Review 05**). A large number of students are crowded outside the building. Due to the crowd, the video does not capture whether any students are still fighting when the

officers arrive. At the 00:40 minute-mark of the media player timestamp (visible at the bottom of the screen), PO Singh says, “Get out!” and makes his way through the huddle of students and the students begin to disperse. PO Singh turns around and additional officers and school safety agents have arrived as the students dispersed. At 01:46, PO Singh says, “It’s that one right there.” At 01:53, PO Singh takes a photograph of students with a cell phone. The video ends with PO Singh saying, “That’s the kid that’s causing...”

No known enforcement action was taken toward any of the students captured in PO Singh’s BWC footage. As such, no police records were identified to assist in their identification and they were therefore unavailable to the investigation.

PO Singh’s statement was largely consistent with his BWC footage (**Board Review 29**). He noted that the area has a long history of fighting among students at nearby Lehman High School and that there had been a recent stabbing in the area. Upon arriving at the location, there were 30-40 students surrounding a fight in which individuals were grabbing and punching each other. As PO Singh approached the crowd, the students dispersed. He said he took the photograph with the intention of showing it to personnel at Lehman High School to make them aware that the individuals in the photograph were involved in the fight. PO Singh was unsure looking at the BWC whether the photograph was taken on his personal phone or his department phone because they were the same model of phone and he could not show either of them to the CCRB in his interview because both had been replaced since the incident date.

A request to the NYPD for photographs taken from PO Singh’s department cell phone related to this incident returned negative results because PO Singh had replaced his department cell phone, and thus any photographs taken on his prior phone were inaccessible (**Board Review 54**).

PO Trinidad provided consistent testimony regarding the frequent fights related to the Lehman High School students (**Board Review 30**). He did not see any students fighting upon arriving at the location.

NYPD Operating Procedure 20 states that officers may use their department issued cell phones to photograph or video record adversarial interactions or any enforcement encounters where reasonable suspicion exists that a person has committed, is committing, or may be involved in criminal activity (**Board Review 34**).

§ 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

Allegation (B) Force: At Westchester Avenue and Lane Road in the Bronx, Police Officer Harmanjot Singh used pepper spray against individuals.

§ 87(2)(b) did not describe seeing PO Singh or any officer deploy his pepper spray but mentioned that he smelled pepper spray during the encounter. § 87(2)(b) was not injured by the pepper spray nor had it been sprayed at him.

A second video from PO Singh's BWC begins with him walking across the street towards a park (**Board Review 07**). At the 00:30 minute-mark of media player timestamp (visible at the bottom of the screen), PO Singh approaches a large crowd of students on the sidewalk. Two unidentified individuals are fighting each other and one individual wearing a grey hat throws a punch at the second individual. § 87(2)(b) wearing a red jacket wraps his arms around one of the individuals who had been fighting. At 00:33, PO Singh tells PO Trinidad to watch out. PO Singh deploys his pepper spray for approximately three seconds toward the individual in the red jacket whose back is turned to PO Singh. At 00:37, the individuals who had been fighting flee and the pepper spray strikes the face of § 87(2)(b) wearing a yellow hoodie causing them to cover their face.

PO Singh testified that he walked toward the subway station and a group of students started fighting. PO Singh deployed his pepper spray toward the individuals fighting because he saw PO Trinidad attempt to physically separate a group of students that had resumed fighting and was concerned that PO Trinidad would be injured because he and PO Trinidad were severely outnumbered. PO Singh's intention was to end the fight rather than to arrest anyone.

PO Trinidad testified that as he and PO Singh walked toward a subway station, a group of five or six students started pushing each other. PO Singh told PO Trinidad to step aside. PO Singh deployed his pepper spray and the students ran away.

Oleoresin Capsicum (O.C) Pepper Spray devices may be used to gain or maintain control of persons who are actively resisting arrest or lawful custody or exhibiting active aggression, or to prevent individuals from injuring themselves or others. For maximum effectiveness, officers should aim and discharge the pepper spray into a subject's eyes using two, one-second bursts. In an effort to gain voluntary compliance, members of the service should advise the offender that O.C. pepper spray will be used to handcuff/restrain him/her before applying such force, if feasible. NYPD Patrol Guide, Procedure 221-07 (Board Review 35).

§ 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

Allegation (C) Force: At Westchester Avenue and East Tremont Avenue in the Bronx, Police Officer Kenneth Caufield used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (D) Force: At Westchester Avenue and East Tremont Avenue in the Bronx, officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) testified that he was standing on the sidewalk avoiding getting involved in any fights, and that he did not hear any officer tell him to leave at area. PO Trinidad walked past

§ 87(2)(b) As PO Trinidad walked past, his arm or shoulder bumped into § 87(2)(b)'s chest. § 87(2)(b) said, "Why did you push me? NYPD suck my dick." PO Caufield grabbed § 87(2)(b) by the right arm, pulled him toward him and pushed him into a metal fence which was behind him. This action resulted in § 87(2)(b)'s face making contact with the fence and he sustained lacerations around his right eye and on the right side of his face as a result. PO Caufield, PO Trinidad, and PO Singh surrounded § 87(2)(b) and placed him in handcuffs. § 87(2)(b) felt punches to his back and the right side of his face while the officers pulled his hands behind his back, though he did not know which officers were hitting him. These actions resulted in § 87(2)(b) sustaining pain to his arms and back. § 87(2)(b) denied making any movements or resisting in any while he was being handcuffed.

§ 87(2)(b) testified that he was transported to the stationhouse and his father later picked him up from there and took him home. On the way home, he felt nauseous and dizzy, then vomited and lost consciousness. He was taken to § 87(2)(b) and the corresponding records were received (**Board Review 36**). § 87(2)(b) reported to medical personnel that he became offended when an officer cursed at him. Three officers restrained him against a fence and he was punched on the right side of his face and kneed in the abdomen. The medical examination revealed bruising, swelling, and a small abrasion near his right eye, tenderness to his chest and lower back, and pain to his rear thigh. In a different section of the medical records, medical personnel report that § 87(2)(b) was punched and kicked multiple times all over his body, including his face. He was diagnosed with swelling and abrasions to his face and a concussion with loss of consciousness. He was prescribed an ointment for his facial injuries and ibuprofen as needed for pain.

A photograph taken by § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) mother, while he was at the hospital depicts lacerations on the right side of his forehead, on his right eyelid, and on his right cheek (**Board Review 38**).

Cell phone video recorded by a friend of § 87(2)(b) was received from § 87(2)(b) (**Board Review 03**). From the start of the video, PO Caufield, PO Trinidad, and PO Singh are holding onto § 87(2)(b) next to a metal fence, and grappling with him while trying to get him handcuffed. At 00:35, PO Singh, standing in the middle of the trio of officers, appears to get the handcuffs on § 87(2)(b).

In PO Caufield's BWC video, at the 02:44 minute-mark of the media-player timestamp (visible at the bottom of the screen), a group of students are standing ahead of PO Caufield (**Board Review 08**). At 02:52, PO Caufield turns around and § 87(2)(b) (wearing a blue jacket, black pants, and a red hood over his head) is standing on the sidewalk next to a group of students. At 03:02, someone says, "Clear the block." At 03:08, § 87(2)(b) is directly in front of PO Caufield and says, "What the fuck, you gonna push me like that, nigga. Suck my dick. All y'all niggas suck my dick. NYPD suck my dick." § 87(2)(b) has no visible injuries to his face. At 03:11, § 87(2)(b)'s right arm raises upwards momentarily toward his waist level and he turns his back toward PO Caufield. At 03:11, PO Caufield reaches toward § 87(2)(b) and grabs him by his right arm. At 03:14, § 87(2)(b)'s front side is facing a fence to the right. At 03:29, PO Trinidad and PO Singh are standing next to PO Caufield applying handcuffs. At 03:52, someone says, "I got him." At 04:04, someone says, "Look at his face," while the officers walk § 87(2)(b) away.

A second video from PO Caufield's BWC, at the 01:28 minute mark, shows § 87(2)(b) standing in front of the desk at the stationhouse (**Board Review 14**). He has visible scratches on his

forehead, right eyelid, and right cheek, which are consistent with the injuries depicted in the photo submitted by § 87(2)(b)

In PO Singh's BWC video, at the 03:04 minute mark of the media player timestamp (visible at the bottom of the screen), PO Singh walks past § 87(2)(b) (**Board Review 07**). At 03:11, PO Singh turns around. § 87(2)(b) says, "NYPD suck my dick." PO Caufield walks toward § 87(2)(b). At 03:15, § 87(2)(b) raises his right arm quickly and then turns and starts to walk away from the officers. PO Caufield grabs § 87(2)(b) by the right arm with his right hand and reaches around with his left arm to § 87(2)(b)'s left side. PO Caufield pulls § 87(2)(b) and pushes him against the fence. The video ends and is resumed in a third BWC video clip from PO Singh (**Board Review 09**), which depicts PO Caufield, PO Trinidad, and PO Singh grappling with § 87(2)(b) hands to get him handcuffed, as § 87(2)(b) is bent forward, for approximately 34 seconds.

PO Caufield testified that officers ordered § 87(2)(b) and other students to leave the area due to the fighting (**Board Review 39**). The persistent presence of the students and the recurring fights were causing impediments to pedestrian and vehicular traffic. PO Caufield was not sure if § 87(2)(b) had been engaged in the earlier fighting. § 87(2)(b) made a gesture of lifting up his arms, turning around, and leaning towards PO Caufield, which PO Caufield identified as being visible at 03:13 in his BWC. He acknowledged pushing § 87(2)(b) into the fence and said he did so in order to place him in handcuffs and under arrest for refusing to leave the area and acting violent. § 87(2)(b) swung his arms and elbows at officers while he was being handcuffed. PO Caufield denied punching § 87(2)(b) and denied witnessing any other officer strike him.

PO Singh testified that § 87(2)(b) had his hands in fists as if he was going to fight and the officers and said, "NYPD, suck my dick" twice. PO Caufield then initiated the arrest of § 87(2)(b) for disorderly conduct. PO Caufield wrapped his arms around § 87(2)(b) torso in a bearhug and pulled his body against the nearby fence, he believed in order to limit his range of motion. PO Singh then grabbed § 87(2)(b)'s hands while PO Trinidad held his arms in order to get him handcuffed. § 87(2)(b) resisted by tensing his arms and pulling his arms away from the officers. After several seconds, § 87(2)(b) was handcuffed. PO Singh denied that he, PO Trinidad, or PO Caufield punched § 87(2)(b). PO Singh did not know if § 87(2)(b) had been involved in any of the other previous fights that had occurred.

PO Trinidad testified that § 87(2)(b) said, "NYPD suck my dick." PO Caufield grabbed § 87(2)(b) and pushed him against the fence. PO Trinidad did not know how § 87(2)(b) made contact with the fence. PO Trinidad did not know what § 87(2)(b) was being charged with. PO Trinidad grabbed one of § 87(2)(b)'s arms while PO Singh and PO Caufield applied handcuffs. PO Trinidad denied that any officer punched § 87(2)(b).

NYPD Patrol Guide, Procedure 221-01, states that officers must use only the reasonable force necessary to gain control or custody of a subject (**Board Review 33**).

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (E) Abuse of Authority: At Westchester Avenue and East Tremont Avenue in the Bronx, Police Officer Juan Trinidad threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.

§ 87(2)(b) was uncooperative with attempts to obtain an interview (see IAs for contact attempts). § 87(2)(b) did not allege a threat of force on behalf of § 87(2)(b)

In PO Singh's BWC video, at the 01:15 minute mark of the media player timestamp (visible at the bottom of the screen), he, PO Trinidad, and PO Caufield bring § 87(2)(b) to a police van (**Board Review 09**). At 01:18, a group of students walk across the street toward the officers. At 01:21, § 87(2)(b) wearing a black jacket with a red and white stripe, enters the frame and says, "Yo, that's my man." At 01:24, students are visible standing near the rear of the van while officers are standing with § 87(2)(b) near the rear door of the van. § 87(2)(b) takes a step forward toward § 87(2)(b) and the officers and says, "What's up?". PO Trinidad raises his Taser, which is already in his right hand, and points it in the direction of § 87(2)(b). Simultaneously, § 87(2)(b) steps back.

PO Trinidad testified that he and the other officers brought § 87(2)(b) to the police van for transport, where a group of students approached, cursed at the officers and got close to them while they were placing § 87(2)(b) inside the van. PO Trinidad told the students to back up. He pointed his Taser at § 87(2)(b) in an attempt to get them to comply with his order to back up. The Taser was in standby mode and he did not deploy it nor did he intend to do so. PO Trinidad did not have BWC regarding this aspect of the incident because his BWC broke at some point during the incident. He reported the malfunction to his command and documented that his BWC broke in his memo book (**Board Review 51**).

NYPD Patrol Guide, Procedure 221-08, states that Tasers "should only be used against persons who are actively resisting, exhibiting active aggression, or to prevent individuals from physically injuring himself or other person(s) actually present (**Board Review 40**). It is prohibited to use a Taser in situations that do not require the use of physical force.

The BWC footage show officers bringing § 87(2)(b) to the police vehicle and a group of

students following them and yelling about the officers having arrested § 87(2)(b). The available video evidence does not clearly depict all the actions taken by the students except until after PO Trinidad has unholstered his Taser. § 87(2)(b) and the students around him are behind the police van, and § 87(2)(b) specifically takes a step closer toward the officers, however, at the point when PO Trinidad points his Taser at § 87(2)(b) he was backing away from the officers § 87(2)(g).

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (F) Discourtesy: At Westchester Avenue and East Tremont Avenue in the Bronx, Police Officer Kenneth Caufield spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b).

Allegation (G) Discourtesy: At Westchester Avenue and East Tremont Avenue in the Bronx, Police Officer Kenneth Caufield spoke discourteously to individuals.

Allegation (H) Offensive Language: At Westchester Avenue and East Tremont Avenue in the Bronx, Police Officer Kenneth Caufield made remarks to individuals based upon the perceived mental disability of individuals.

In PO Caufield's BWC video at the 04:30 minute-mark of the media-player timestamp (visible at the bottom of the screen), PO Caufield is walking § 87(2)(b) toward the police van (same period of time as addressed in the previous section) (**Board Review 08**). At 04:38, a group of students appears near the officers and the van, and multiple orders to "get back" are heard. PO Caufield repeatedly tells officers, "Grab him" and walks away from the van. At 04:55, PO Caufield says, "Grab him, yo, fuck him." PO Caufield walks away from the van and returns shortly thereafter without having apprehended any other individuals. At 05:35, officers are placing § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) inside the police van. An officer asks PO Caufield what happened. PO Caufield says, "These fucking kids are crazy, man." The officer asks PO Caufield if he said they hit him. PO Caufield says, "No, I said they're fucking crazy. I wanted the other one too...but he ran down the block" § 87(2)(b) did not mention this aspect of the incident in his interview.

PO Caufield did not remember using any profanity toward any individuals during the incident. He did not remember who he was talking about or what the individual had done against whom he said, "grab him." When shown the BWC video during his CCRB interview, PO Caufield did not remember making the statements, "These fucking kids are crazy," and "They're fucking crazy."

NYPD Patrol Guide, Procedure 200-02 states that officers must "render [their] services with courtesy and civility," and that they must "maintain a higher standard of integrity than is generally expected of others" (**Board Review 31**). Disciplinary Court No. 2018-18951 notes that there are certain situations where profane remarks made during a stressful or chaotic situation do not constitute misconduct (**Board Review 32**). NYPD Administrative Guide, Procedure 304-06 notes that members of the service are prohibited from using discourteous or disrespectful remarks regarding someone's disability, including perceived mental disability or mental status. (**Board Review 52**).

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (I) Abuse of Authority: At the 45th Precinct stationhouse, Police Officer Kenneth Caufield threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.

§ 87(2)(b) testified that PO Caufield brought him to the juvenile room in the stationhouse, where he was joined by § 87(2)(b) and PO Jackson, identified via investigation. PO Caufield asked § 87(2)(b) how old he was. § 87(2)(b) said he was § 87(2)(b). PO Caufield said, “Oh, I’ll see you for § 87(2)(b) more years, that’s good,” referring to the fact that § 87(2)(b) had § 87(2)(b) more years of high school left. PO Caufield also told § 87(2)(b) that he had “a gun, nightstick, Taser gun, and pepper spray, just for you not to win,” which § 87(2)(b) also took to be a threat to harm him in the future. § 87(2)(b) did not respond to these statements.

As noted above, § 87(2)(b) was uncooperative and a statement was not obtained from him.

BWC video from PO Caufield and PO Jackson shows each of them escorting § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) respectively, inside the stationhouse (**Board Review 14, 15**). The officers place their BWCs on the desk and face them as they collect pedigree information for § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b). Both videos end with the officers leaving their BWCs at the desk as they escort the two juveniles away from the desk (see OMNs).

PO Caufield and PO Jackson were consistent in describing that they brought § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) into the stationhouse and lodged them in the juvenile room (**Board Review 39,41**). Neither officer recalled there being any conversation between PO Caufield and § 87(2)(b) in the juvenile room. Both officers denied that PO Caufield made the alleged statement or any threats toward § 87(2)(b).

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the first CCRB complaint to which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) have been a party (**Board Review 42-45**).
- PO Caufield has been a member of the NYPD for 13 years. He has been a subject in two additional complaints, totaling three allegations, none of which were substantiated. § 87(2)(g)
- PO Trinidad has been a member of the NYPD for six years. This is the first complaint to which he has been a subject.
- PO Singh has been a member of the NYPD for six years. This is the first complaint to which he has been a subject.

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- This case was not suitable for mediation.
- § 87(2)(b) filed a Notice of Claim on behalf of § 87(2)(b) claiming false arrest; false imprisonment; excessive use of force; police cover-up; police conspiracy; negligence; violation of civil and constitutional rights; negligent testing, analyzing, hiring, training, re-training, promoting, monitoring, disciplining, and supervising of police officers; and malicious prosecution; and seeking \$3,000,000 as redress. There is no 50H hearing scheduled (**Board Review 46**).
- According to the OCA, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) do not have any convictions in NYC (**Board Review 47-50**).

Squad No.: 13

Investigator: Rolando Vasquez SI Rolando Vasquez 12/2/2021
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Squad Leader: Laura Kastner IM Laura Kastner 12/02/2021
Signature Print Title & Name Date