CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☑ F	orce		Discourt.		U.S.
StaceyAnn McFarlane-Ross		Squad #15	201801848	☑ A	buse		O.L.		Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	of Incident:		Precinct:		18 Mo. SOL		EO SOL
Sunday, 03/04/2018 3:00 PM		In front of 1376 Saint Johns Place			77	9,	/4/2019	9.	/4/2019
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	D	Date/Time Received at CCRB				
Sun, 03/04/2018 4:00 PM		IAB	Fax	Tl	Thu, 03/08/2018 11:13 AM				
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address							
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command						
1. LT Sean Claxton	00000	943092	077 PCT						
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name						
1. POF Nikita Clarke	11774	959557	077 PCT						
Officer(s)	Allegation				Inve	Investigator Recommendation			
A.LT Sean Claxton	Abuse: Lieutenant Sean Claxton threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b)								
B.LT Sean Claxton	Abuse: Lieutenant Sean Claxton threatened §87(2)(b) with the use of force.								
C.LT Sean Claxton	Force: Lieutenant Sean Claxton used physical force against \$87(2)(b)								

Case Summary

On March 4, 2018, \$87(2)(b) filed this con Affairs Bureau generating log number 2018-88 received by the CCRB. On March 9, 2018, the	899. On March 8 , 2018 , this comp								
On March 9, 2018, the investigator contacted statement. During the conversation, \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ her for her scheduled court appearance associathe incident. She was informed that the agency responded, "I don't have time for this as it's not the two processes at the CCRB and \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ for wasting my time. I don't have time to come me. I don't have time for this." \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ was complaint and she responded, "There's no need have to go to court." She was presented with the complainant uncooperative, and \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ infocall, "I'm not doing not of that. Bye." On that so clarify her decision and a voicemail was left made to \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ but the undersigned was us was left for a call back. As of March 14, 2018 regards to how she would like to proceed with	asked if her complaint with the a ted with the two summonses issued does not dispute summonses and of going nowhere then." §87(2)(6) responded, "I don't have time for a there for something that will not asked how she would like to proceed to proceed with it. At the end of the two options of closing the case, formed the undersigned before dispute the undersigned before dispute the same day, a follow up call was material for a call back. On March 12, 20 insuccessful in speaking with her a part of the same day, a follow up call was material back. On March 12, 20 insuccessful in speaking with her a part of the same day, a follow up call was material back. On March 12, and the same day, a follow up call was material back. On March 12, and the same day, a follow up call was material back. On March 12, and the same day, a follow up call was material back. On March 12, and the same day, a follow up call was material back. On March 12, and the same day are same day, a follow up call was material back. On March 12, and the same day are same day are same day and the same day are same	gency could help ed to her during \$87(2)(5) was explained this shit. Thanks be beneficial to ceed with the the day I still , a withdrawal or connecting the ade to \$87(2)(5) 18, a call was as a voicemail							
On March 13, 2018, a search of the New York City Department of Correction Inmate locator database indicated §87(2)(b) is not currently incarcerated.									
§ 87(2)(g)									
Squad No.:									
Investigator: Signature	Print Title & Name	 Date							
Squad Leader:Signature	Print Title & Name	Date							

Page 2

Print Title & Name

Date

Signature

CCRB Case # 201801848

Reviewer: