### OFFICE OF THE POLICE COMMISSIONER



ONE POLICE PLAZA • ROOM 1400

September 21, 2022

Memorandum for: Deputy Commissioner, Trials

Re: Police Officer Eric Cabrera

Tax Registry No. 938142 Manhattan Court Section

Disciplinary Case No. 2020-22799

The above named member of the service appeared before Assistant Deputy Commissioner Josh Kleiman on June 28, 2022 and was charged with the following:

### **DISCIPLINARY CASE NO. 2020-22799**

1. Said Police Officer Eric Cabrera, while on duty and assigned to the 113th Precinct, on or about and in between January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019, did cause false entries in the Electronic Case Monitoring System, to wit: Said Police Officer entered investigative steps that he in fact did not perform.

P.G. 207-07, Page 1, Paragraphs 1, 4 COMPLAINTS

(Other than VICE related or

narcotics complaints)

P.G. 203-10, Page 1, Paragraph 5 PUBLIC CONTACT –

PROHIBITED CONDUCT

P.G. 203-05, Page 1, Paragraph 4 PERFORMANCE ON DUTY

2. Said Police Officer Eric Cabrera, while on duty and assigned to the 113th Precinct, on or about and in between January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019, wrongfully failed to conduct a proper investigation into numerous complaints, including but not limited to interviewing witnesses.

P.G. 207-07, Page 1, Paragraphs 1, 4 COMPLAINTS

(Other than VICE related or

narcotics complaints)

P.G. 203-10, Page 1, Paragraph 5 PUBLIC CONTACT –

PROHIBITED CONDUCT

Detective Guide 502-01 INVESTIGATIVE TECHNIQUES

3. Said Police Officer Eric Cabrera, while on duty and assigned to the 113th Precinct, on or about and in between January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019, engaged in conduct prejudicial to the good order, efficiency or discipline of the Department in that Said Police Officer Cabrera made false and misleading statements in marking complaints as "closed" despite not completing and/or exhausting all investigative steps.

P.G. 203-10, Page 1, Paragraph 5

PUBLIC CONTACT – PROHIBITED CONDUCT

P.G. 203-05, Page 1, Paragraphs 1, 2

PERFORMANCE ON DUTY

In a Memorandum dated July 25, 2022, Assistant Deputy Commissioner Josh Kleiman found Police Officer Eric Cabrera guilty of all Specifications, after he pled guilty to all Specifications in Disciplinary Case No. 2020-22799. Having read the Memorandum and analyzed the facts of this matter, I approve of the findings, and agree that Police Officer Eric Cabrera be separated from the Department by way of vested interest retirement.

Having considered the totality of the circumstances and issues concerning the misconduct for which Police Officer Eric Cabrera has been found guilty, separation from the Department will be implemented as follows.

In lieu of dismissal, an *immediate* post-trial settlement agreement be implemented with Police Officer Eric Cabrera in which he shall forfeit thirty (30) suspension days to be served, forfeit all time and leave balances, be placed on one (1) year dismissal probation, and immediately file for vested interest retirement.

Such vested interest retirement shall also include Police Officer Eric Cabrera's written agreement to not initiate administrative applications or judicial proceedings against the New York City Police Department to seek reinstatement or return to the Department. If Police Officer Cabrera does not agree to the terms of this vested interest retirement agreement as noted, this Office is to be notified without delay. This agreement is to be implemented *IMMEDIATELY*.

Keechant L. Sewell Police Commissioner

# POLICE DEPARTMENT

July 25, 202	22
--------------	----

In the Matter of the Charges and Specifications : Case No.

- against - : 2020-22799

Police Officer Eric Cabrera

Tax Registry No. 938142

Manhattan Court Section :

At: Police Headquarters

One Police Plaza New York, NY 10038

Before: Honorable Josh Kleiman

Assistant Deputy Commissioner Trials

APPEARANCES:

For the Department: Samuel Yee, Esq.

Department Advocate's Office One Police Plaza, Room 402

New York, NY 10038

For the Respondent: John Arlia, Esq.

Wenger & Arlia, Esqs., LLP 20 Vesey Street, Suite 210 New York, NY 10007

To:

HONORABLE KEECHANT L. SEWELL POLICE COMMISSIONER ONE POLICE PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10038

# CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS

 Said Police Officer Eric Cabrera, while on duty and assigned to the 113th Precinct, on or about and in between January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019, did cause false entries in the Electronic Case Monitoring System, to wit; Said Police Officer entered investigative steps that he in fact did not perform.

P.G. 207-07, Page 1, Paragraphs 1, 4

COMPLAINTS
(Other than VICE related or narcotics complaints)

P.G. 203-10, Page 1, Paragraph 5<sup>1</sup>

PUBLIC CONTACT — PROHIBITED CONDUCT

P.G. 203-05, Page 1, Paragraph 4

PERFORMANCE ON DUTY — GENERAL

 Said Police Officer Eric Cabrera, while on duty and assigned to the 113th Precinct, on or about and in between January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019, wrongfully failed to conduct a proper investigation into numerous complaints, including but not limited to interviewing witnesses.

P.G. 207-07, Page 1, Paragraphs 1, 4

COMPLAINTS
(Other than VICE related or narcotics complaints)

P.G. 203-10, Page 1, Paragraph 5

PUBLIC CONTACT —
PROHIBITED CONDUCT

Detective Guide 502-01 INVESTIGATIVE TECHNIQUES

3. Said Police Officer Eric Cabrera, while on duty and assigned to the 113th Precinct, on or about and in between January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019, engaged in conduct prejudicial to the good order, efficiency or discipline of the Department in that said Police Officer Cabrera made false and misleading statements in marking complaints as "closed" despite not completing and/or exhausting all investigative steps.

P.G. 203-10, Page 1, Paragraph 5 PUBLIC CONTACT – PROHIBITED CONDUCT

P.G. 203-05, Page 1, Paragraphs 1, 2

PERFORMANCE ON DUTY —
GENERAL

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> At trial, the Department, with Respondent's consent, orally amended: Specification I to include references to P.G. 203-10 and 203-05; Specification 2 to include references to P.G. 203-10 and Detective Guide 502-01; and Specification 3 to include a reference to P.G. 203-05, (Tr. 3-4)

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

The above-named member of the Department appeared before me on June 28, 2022.

Respondent, through his counsel, entered a plea of Guilty to the subject charges and testified in mitigation of the penalty. A stenographic transcript of the trial record has been prepared and is available for the Police Commissioner's review. Having reviewed all of the evidence in this matter, the Tribunal recommends that Respondent be separated from the Department.

# SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE IN MITIGATION

Respondent admitted to falsely claiming to have investigated 25 cases assigned to him as a Detective in the 113th Precinct. In recommending that the 25 cases be closed (Tr. 12-14, 30), Respondent claimed in written reports to have interviewed complainants he had not interviewed and to have taken investigative steps he did not take (Tr. 32-33, 37). The 25 cases involved the following alleged crimes:

Complaint #	Crime Reported
2019-113-	Criminal Mischief - Neighbor
	kicked fence and damaged
	property. Video available.
2019-113-	Aggravated Harassment - Perp
	sending threatening text
	messages, stating "I'm going to
	kill you."
2019-113-	Criminal Mischief - Perp tenant,
	who was upset victim landlord
	took him to court, shoved her
	and threw garbage on her car,
	damaging vehicle.
2019-113-	Grand Larceny Auto - Victim
	parked vehicle with keys inside,
	unknown perp stole vehicle,
	vehicle later recovered. Victim's
	iPhone was missing from car.

2010 110	
2019-113-	Criminal Mischief - Perp kicked
	victim's car, causing damage to
	passenger door.
2019-113-	Attempted Burglary - Unknown
	perp attempted to enter victim's
	apartment, damaging doors.
2019-113-	Criminal Mischief - Security
	Guard reported that perp
	demanded laundry room be
	opened then kicked in door
	causing damage to door and lock
	and violating an active OOP.
2019-113-	Criminal Mischief – Unknown
2019-113	-
	perp pushed metal cart into store
2010 112	window causing damage.
2019-113-	Criminal Mischief – C/V
	returned to vehicle to find
	passenger side window and front
	and rear headlights broken.
2019-113-	Petit Larceny - Unknown perps
	broke rear passenger window of
	vehicle and stole 10 bags of
	potato chips.
2019-113-	Criminal Mischief – Unknown
	perp broke rear window of van.
2019-113-	Criminal Mischief - Unknown
	perp damaged two windows and
	a door at location.
2019-113-	Aggravated Harassment – Perp
	messaged C/V stating she will
	"catch these hands," causing her
	annovance and alarm.
2019-113-	Petit Larceny – Bicycle stolen by
0.00	known perp.
2019-113-	Aggravated Harassment –
	Known perp sending threatening
	Facebook, Instagram, text
	messages, and emails, stating she
	will come for C/V and burn her
	house and car.
2019-113-	
4017-11J-	Criminal Mischief – Known perp
	threw rock through rear window
2010 112	of C/V's vehicle.
2019-113-	Aggravated Harassment - C/V
	reported threatening and
	harassing phone calls and text

	messages from current
	boyfriend's ex-girlfriend.
2019-113-	Grand Larceny – C/V's wallet
	stolen from nail salon.
2018-113-	Petit Larceny – Perp broke rear
	passenger side window and
	removed items from vehicle.
2019-113-	Petit Larceny - Unknown perp
	took tablet from Uber.
2019-113-	Identity Theft – Perp opened
	Chase debit card under C/V's
	name.
2019-113-	Criminal Mischief - Known perp
	keyed C/V's car.
2019-113-	Identity Theft - Perp used C/V's
	DOB and SSN to obtain an
	apartment in Georgia and open a
	cellphone account.
2019-113	Grand Larceny – Rear passenger
	window broken and items
	removed valued at under \$250.
2019-113-	Unauthorized Use of Motor
94	Vehicle – Perp never returned
	U-Haul truck.
	cellphone account.  Grand Larceny – Rear passenger window broken and items removed valued at under \$250.  Unauthorized Use of Motor Vehicle – Perp never returned

(Dept. Exs. 1-25).

At his mitigation hearing, Respondent claimed that he engaged in the above misconduct because he was overworked and going through a divorce. Respondent testified that he was being assigned "over 300 cases a year . . . . [and] I was being harassed by the lieutenant to get[] the cases closed on time." Respondent described working late hours that caused a breakdown in his marriage. Respondent testified that he experienced extreme anxiety during this period and had trouble sleeping. He detailed that he was depressed and that his personal problems were embarrassing to him, but he was hesitant to seek help or mental health counseling because he "was afraid of losing everything." At work, Respondent chose to prioritize more serious cases, "focusing on the 7 majors" to the detriment of the minor cases. Respondent testified that he takes full responsibility for his misconduct (Tr. 21-29).

The Department has a strong interest in maintaining a police force consisting of officers who are professional in their work, trustworthy in their representations, and who are likely to be found credible when their work is reviewed by others. Officers who intentionally make false representations betray an essential function of police work and risk the associational reputations of their fellow officers and the organizations they represent. Accordingly, the misconduct with which Respondent has been charged constitutes a form of misconduct that the Patrol Guide has long warned officers "will result in separation from the Department, absent extraordinary circumstances" (see A.G. 304-10 [formerly P.G. 203-08]).

Here, there is insufficient evidence warranting a penalty less than separation. There is no evidence that Respondent was treated differently than other detectives in the Department, or that Respondent suffered from a documented medical condition that prevented him from performing his duties as expected. To permit Respondent to benefit from a reduced penalty based merely on generalized claims of being overworked and suffering from generalized, non-medically diagnosed, conditions, would undermine the effectiveness of the Department's clear guidance on false statements. Certain workplace rules must remain firm and easily administrable, so employees harbor no uncertainty as to their primacy and gravity. There is arguably no rule of the Department more deserving of such a status than the rule against the making of false official statements. To erode this rule would be to risk unraveling over a century of this Department's efforts to build and maintain a reputation of excellence and professionalism.

Accordingly, this is a matter in which separation is warranted. Respondent does not disagree (Tr. 27-28). Rather, Respondent has asked the Tribunal to consider an alternate form of separation in which Respondent is given pensionable credit for the years of service he has given the Department. The Tribunal finds Respondent's request to be reasonable based on a lack of any

prior formal disciplinary history and an otherwise positive employment record. Additionally, Respondent's current platoon commander has informed the Tribunal that Respondent, after having been demoted and transferred to another assignment, has performed his duties and responsibilities "beyond my expectations," finding Respondent to be "extremely competent." Based on these performance indicators, the Tribunal finds that a form of separation in which Respondent receives credit for his years of otherwise admirable service is deserving. Accordingly, the Tribunal recommends that Respondent be offered a forced vested interest retirement, which will result in his immediate separation from the Department.

Respectfully submitted,

Josh Kleiman

Assistant Deputy Commissioner Trials

APPROVED

ROUGE COMMISSIONER



## POLICE DEPARTMENT CITY OF NEW YORK

From: Assistant Deputy Commissioner – Trials

To: Police Commissioner

Subject: SUMMARY OF EMPLOYMENT RECORD

POLICE OFFICER ERIC CABRERA

TAX REGISTRY NO. 938142

DISCIPLINARY CASE NO. 2020-22799

Respondent was appointed to the Department on July 11, 2005. On his three most recent annual performance evaluations, he was rated "Exceeds Expectations for 2019, 2020 and 2021. He has been awarded five medals for Excellent Police Duty and six medals for Meritorious Police Duty.

Respondent has no formal disciplinary history. He was placed on Level 1 Performance Monitoring from May 30, 2019 to January 31, 2020.

For your consideration.

Josh Kleiman

Assistant Deputy Commissioner Trials