

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Cassandra Fenkel	Team: Squad #10	CCRB Case #: 201601696	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Thursday, 02/11/2016 7:40 PM	Location of Incident: 113th Precinct stationhouse	Precinct: 113	18 Mo. SOL 8/11/2017	EO SOL 8/11/2017	
Date/Time CV Reported Sat, 02/20/2016 3:22 PM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 02/29/2016 11:05 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. DT3 James Zozzaro	1741	942747	113 DET
2. DT3 Jessica Romance	03441	941641	113 DET

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.DT3 Jessica Romance	Abuse: PO Jessica Romance refused to provide her name and shield number to § 87(2)(b)	
B.DT3 James Zozzaro	Abuse: Det. James Zozzaro refused to provide his name to § 87(2)(b)	
C.DT3 Jessica Romance	Force: Det. Jessica Romance used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
D.DT3 Jessica Romance	Off. Language: Det. Jessica Romance made remarks to § 87(2)(b) based upon race.	
E.DT3 Jessica Romance	Discourtesy: Det. Jessica Romance spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	

### Case Summary

On February 19, 2016, § 87(2)(b) filed the following complaint via 311, which was received at the Internal Affairs Bureau. IAB referred § 87(2)(b)'s complaint to the CCRB via IAB log #16-6037, which was received at the CCRB on February 29, 2016. A please call letter was sent to § 87(2)(b) on March 3, 2016. The CCRB placed a first call to § 87(2)(b) on March 7, 2016. It was not possible to leave a voicemail message as § 87(2)(b)'s inbox was full; however, an SMS notification of the missed call was left. § 87(2)(b) contacted the CCRB on March 7, 2016, and left a voicemail requesting a call back. The CCRB returned § 87(2)(b)'s call on March 8, 2016, during which, § 87(2)(b) confirmed her contact information and provided a phone statement. § 87(2)(b) was not scheduled for an interview at the time of this call because she opted to mediate her complaint and the officers appeared to be identified. However, it was learned that § 87(2)(b) had also recently been arrested by one of the subject officers and was § 87(2)(b). Court records did not indicate if the case was closed, removing the case from mediation suitability. The CCRB placed a third and fourth call to § 87(2)(b) to schedule an interview on March 14, 2016, and March 16, 2016. § 87(2)(b) was unavailable at the time of each call and an SMS notification and voicemail were left. A please call email was sent to § 87(2)(b) on March 16, 2016. § 87(2)(b) contacted the CCRB and left a voicemail requesting a call back on March 16, 2016. A fifth call was placed to § 87(2)(b) on March 18, 2016, during which, § 87(2)(b) scheduled a CCRB interview for March 22, 2016. A reminder text message was sent to § 87(2)(b) on March 20, 2016. On March 22, 2016, § 87(2)(b) failed to appear and did not call in advance to cancel or reschedule. A missed appointment letter and email were sent to § 87(2)(b) on March 22, 2016. The CCRB placed a sixth call to § 87(2)(b) on March 22, 2016, and a voicemail was left. § 87(2)(b) contacted the CCRB and left a voicemail requesting a call back on March 25, 2016. The CCRB returned § 87(2)(b)'s call on March 25, 2016, and § 87(2)(b) rescheduled her interview for March 28, 2016. A reminder text message was sent to § 87(2)(b) on March 26, 2016. On March 28, 2016, § 87(2)(b) failed to appear and did not call in advance to cancel or reschedule. The CCRB placed an eighth call to § 87(2)(b) on March 29, 2016, and a voicemail message was left. An additional missed appointment letter and email were sent to § 87(2)(b) on March 29, 2016. On March 29, 2016, the CCRB text messaged § 87(2)(b) requesting she call back to reschedule her missed interviews.

A search of the NYC Department of Correction Inmate Lookup website conducted on April 4, 2016, confirmed that § 87(2)(b) is not incarcerated.

§ 87(2)(b) has missed two scheduled interviews without prior notice. The CCRB has placed a total of eight calls to § 87(2)(b) and sent her three letters, three emails, and three text messages. None of the letters have been returned to the CCRB as undeliverable by the U.S. Postal Service. To date, § 87(2)(b) has not contacted the CCRB regarding this complaint. It § 87(2)(g).

---

Squad:

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print	Date
Squad Leader:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date