

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Cheyanne Ralph	Team: Squad #16	CCRB Case #: 201708245	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday, 09/29/2017 10:10 PM	Location of Incident: Front of 681 Courtlandt Avenue	Precinct: 40	18 Mo. SOL 3/29/2019	EO SOL 3/29/2019	
Date/Time CV Reported Sat, 09/30/2017 1:47 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 10/06/2017 11:06 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POF Katlin Sanchez	10259	960988	PSA 7
2. Officers			Unknown
3. POM John Olsen	16266	957920	PSA 7
4. SGT Lamont Gibson	05355	944594	PSA 7
5. POM Jose Cordero	14899	956547	PSA 7
6. An officer			Unknown

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. LT Eric Dym	00000	933762	PSA 7

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM John Olsen	Force: Police Officer John Olsen struck § 87(2)(b) with a blunt instrument.	
B. An officer	Force: An officer used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
C.POF Katlin Sanchez	Force: Police Officer Katlin Sanchez used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
D. Officers	Force: Officers used a chokehold against § 87(2)(b)	
E. Officers	Force: Officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
F.POM John Olsen	Force: Police Officer John Olsen restricted § 87(2)(b)'s breathing.	
G.POM John Olsen	Abuse: Police Officer John Olsen threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.	

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
H.POM John Olsen	Abuse: Police Officer John Olsen did not obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b)	
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)		

Case Summary

On September 30, 2017, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the Internal Affairs Bureau via telephone on behalf of § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) did not witness this incident. On October 6, 2017, the Civilian Complaint Review Board received this complaint under IAB Log #2017-38282 (Board Review 01).

On September 29, 2017, at approximately 10:10 p.m., PO John Olsen, Lt. Eric Dym, Sergeant Lamont Gibson, and PO Jose Cordero of PSA 7 attempted to arrest § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) for promoting gambling. The following was alleged: PO John Olsen struck § 87(2)(b) with a blunt instrument (**Allegation A: Force, § 87(2)(g)**). An officer used physical force against § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation B: Force, § 87(2)(g)**). PO Kaitlin Sanchez, also of PSA 7, used physical force against § 87(2)(b) who was an onlooker (**Allegation C: Force, § 87(2)(g)**). Officers used physical force and a chokehold against § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation D and Allegation E: Force, § 87(2)(g)**). PO John Olsen restricted § 87(2)(b)'s breathing (**Allegation F: Force, § 87(2)(g)**). PO John Olsen refused to provide medical attention to § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation G: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(g)**). It is also alleged that PO John Olsen threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force (**Allegation H: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(g)**).

§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were all arrested as a result of this incident. § 87(2)(b) was charged with § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were charged with § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) was charged with § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) was charged with § 87(2)(b).

There was no video footage available as a result of this incident.

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation (A) Force: Police Officer John Olsen struck § 87(2)(b) with a blunt instrument.

§ 87(2)(b) (Board Review 02) stated to the CCRB that after he had been frisked, PO Olsen told him to put his hands behind his back. PO Olsen had already removed his handcuffs from its holster when he said this. § 87(2)(b) replied, "I thought I was not getting arrested." PO Olsen said, "Just put your hands behind your back." § 87(2)(b) placed his hands behind his back but then began walking towards the street, away from PO Olsen. PO Olsen in response began walking towards § 87(2)(b). When § 87(2)(b) saw that PO Olsen was walking

towards him, he began jogging towards the street. He had not yet started running at this point. As he was about to start running, PO Olsen threw his handcuffs at the back of § 87(2)(b)'s head. PO Olsen was approximately 10 feet away from § 87(2)(b) when he threw his handcuffs. PO Olsen did not issue § 87(2)(b) any verbal commands before he threw the handcuffs at him. § 87(2)(b) stated to the CCRB that he knew that it was handcuffs that were thrown at him because when it hit him, he turned around and saw the handcuffs fall onto the ground. § 87(2)(b) then proceeded to run. PO Olsen did not pick the handcuffs up and left them on the ground. PO Olsen then proceeded to chase § 87(2)(b) as he yelled, "Stop!" However § 87(2)(b) proceeded to run. PO Olsen was the only officer chasing § 87(2)(b) on foot. § 87(2)(b) stated to the CCRB that he had eleven "dime bags" of marijuana in his possession which was split between both of two front jean pockets.

§ 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(g) alleged that as § 87(2)(b) was about to get handcuffed, PO Olsen threw his walkie talkie at § 87(2)(b)'s head (Board Review 03).

PO Olsen (Board Review 04) stated that after he frisked § 87(2)(b) placed his hand behind his back. As PO Olsen went to place the handcuffs on § 87(2)(b)'s wrists, § 87(2)(b) pushed the handcuffs into PO Olsen, causing the handcuffs to pinch PO Olsen's finger. He initially reported that the injury was visible at the time of the interview, but then clarified that it was not. He could not recall if § 87(2)(b) resisted in any other way besides pushing the handcuffs away from him. § 87(2)(b) then ran from the sidewalk to Courtland Avenue and when he got into the street he began removing marijuana and dice from his pocket and threw them in the street. Once § 87(2)(b) started running, PO Olsen immediately gave chase and threw his handcuffs onto the ground. § 87(2)(b) ran down Courtland Avenue, and made a left hand turn on a street which PO Olsen could not recall at the time of his interview. PO Olsen said, "Stop! Police!" § 87(2)(b) did not say anything in response and continued running faster. PO Olsen did not remove his handcuffs at any time during this pursuit and did not use his handcuffs to strike § 87(2)(b) as he was running. When § 87(2)(b) got to Melrose Avenue he made a right hand turn. However, PO Olsen lost sight of him and continued to run. He eventually ended up on Third Avenue. PO Olsen was the first officer behind § 87(2)(b) but stated, "I was not as fast as I once was, so Sgt. Gibson caught him quicker and eventually lost sight of both of them." PO Olsen did not see Sgt. Lamont Gibson remove his handcuffs during this pursuit, and denied seeing Sgt. Gibson use his handcuffs to strike § 87(2)(b) as he was running because he lost sight of both § 87(2)(b) and Sgt. Gibson a good amount of time before § 87(2)(b) was apprehended. This pursuit took approximately one minute. PO Olsen did not witness § 87(2)(b) fall onto the ground during this pursuit but was told later told by Sgt. Gibson, Lt. Dym, and § 87(2)(b) that § 87(2)(b) had fallen as he ran.

Lt. Dym (Board Review 05) stated that once officers had stopped § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) they were getting ready to place them in handcuffs. As officers were about to place them in handcuffs, § 87(2)(b) began running. Lt. Dym could not recall which officer was interacting with § 87(2)(b) before he ran and could not recall how long they were on scene for before he began running. He said, "I remember watching the group and when I looked over he began running." Lt. Dym could

not recall if PO Olsen attempted to place § 87(2)(b) in handcuffs before he began running because they were all spread out. Lt. Dym did not see PO Olsen remove his handcuffs before § 87(2)(b) began running, and denied seeing PO Olsen throw his handcuff at § 87(2)(b) before he began running. Sgt. Gibson then began giving chase to § 87(2)(b) while Lt. Dym checked to make sure the other officers were okay because they were outnumbered with two officers dealing with a total of four subjects.

After Sgt. Gibson (Board Review 06) recovered the dice at the scene of the arrest, he looked up and saw § 87(2)(b) running. PO Olsen in response pursued after § 87(2)(b) and Sgt. Gibson followed behind. Sgt. Gibson did not see PO Olsen throw his handcuff at § 87(2)(b) before he began running, nor did he hear any handcuffs fall onto the ground before PO Olsen began chasing § 87(2)(b). Sgt. Gibson saw PO Olsen chasing behind § 87(2)(b) as he went southbound on Courtlandt Avenue, but when they got to East 154th Street, Sgt. Gibson lost sight of PO Olsen and did not know which direction he went. Sgt. Gibson could not recall if he saw PO Olsen's handcuffs removed as he was running behind § 87(2)(b) and denied having his own handcuffs in his hands as he chased § 87(2)(b). Sgt. Gibson denied seeing PO Olsen throw his handcuffs at § 87(2)(b).

PO Cordero (Board Review 07) testified that he had only been at the location for approximately one minute when § 87(2)(b) began running. Because he was facing the street with § 87(2)(b) behind him, he only knew that § 87(2)(b) ran because he heard footsteps and when he turned around he saw Lt. Dym, Sgt. Gibson, and PO Olsen running. PO Cordero could not recall if PO Olsen ever removed his handcuffs before § 87(2)(b) started running, and did not see PO Olsen throw his handcuffs at § 87(2)(b). He also denied seeing any officer throw any handcuff or object at § 87(2)(b) and did not learn of this later that day. PO Cordero did not give chase to § 87(2)(b) and remained on scene.

TRI report § 87(2)(b), prepared by PO Olsen for § 87(2)(b)'s arrest, indicated that no type of force was used by MOS. It is noted that § 87(2)(b) sustained minor lacerations/abrasions requiring sutures. The injury was sustained when § 87(2)(b) fell while he was running, causing injury to his head (Board Review 08).

TRI report § 87(2)(b) prepared by Captain Jerome Bacchi revealed that § 87(2)(b) had expressed that PO Olsen threw handcuffs at his head during the arrest, and that the other subjects arrested all stated that PO Olsen had thrown a pair of handcuffs at § 87(2)(b) striking him in the back of his head causing injury. However, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) did not witness the incident. § 87(2)(b) stated that he observed PO Olsen throw the handcuffs and strike § 87(2)(b) in the head at East 155th Street and Courtlandt Avenue, in the Bronx (Board Review 09).

The Pre-Hospital Care Report Summary noted that EMS found § 87(2)(b) ambulating in a holding cell in PSA7. He was described as alert, and complained of pain to the back of his head. § 87(2)(b) was reported as having a minor one inch laceration to the back of his head. Bleeding was controlled prior to the arrival of EMS. § 87(2)(b) was bandaged and a cold pack was applied (Privileged Documents).

§ 87(2)(b)'s medical records from § 87(2)(b) note that § 87(2)(b)'s chief complaint was a laceration to the back of head which he sustained as a result of a fall while running from the police. § 87(2)(b), MD, noted that § 87(2)(b) came to the hospital by police due to a scalp laceration. § 87(2)(b) stated that around 10:00 p.m. he was going to be arrested and the police took handcuffs to put on him, and hit him in his scalp. § 87(2)(b) told medical staff that he did not notice any injury at the moment, but once he arrived at the police station he noticed blood on his hand and head. He denied loss of consciousness, headache, nausea, vomiting or trauma. § 87(2)(b) was released with staples three staples to his scalp (Privileged Documents).

§ 87(2)(b) and officer's testimonies were consistent in that § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were observed gambling in a public place and Lt. Dym, PO Olsen, Sgt. Gibson, and PO Cordero stopped them to place them under arrest.

§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (B) Force: An officer used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b) (Board Review 03) provided a brief telephone statement to the CCRB in which he alleged force by an officer; however, § 87(2)(b) never provided a sworn statement to the CCRB and failed to respond to subsequent attempts to schedule him to provide a sworn statement. § 87(2)(b) stated that although there were approximately 10 males, only six of them, including himself, were approached by officers. After they were frisked the officers took five of the males to their vehicle to place them in handcuffs and left § 87(2)(b) facing the gate with his hands up. Because § 87(2)(b) felt that he had not done anything wrong, he attempted to walk away from the officers. When he did so, an officer grabbed him from the back of his neck and said, "Oh you tried to run? Come here you ain't going nowhere?" § 87(2)(b)'s breathing was not restricted by this, but he felt as though it was forceful in nature.

§ 87(2)(b) (Board Review 02) and § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 10) did not allege that an officer grabbed § 87(2)(b) from the back of his neck.

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (C) Force: Police Officer Kaitlin Sanchez used physical force against

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) (Board Review 10) stated that after she and PO Sanchez had an exchange of words, she basically told PO Sanchez to stop talking to her like she was a child and placed three bags she was carrying onto the ground. PO Sanchez in response said that she was an officer, and that § 87(2)(b) had to respect her. As § 87(2)(b) stood up from placing her bags onto the ground, PO Sanchez puffed her chest outward to push § 87(2)(b) moved approximately four feet backwards as a result of this push but did not fall. When PO Sanchez pushed § 87(2)(b) she said “Didn’t I tell you to go across the street? This would have never happened if you would have just went across the street.” § 87(2)(b) acknowledged that when PO Sanchez pushed her she “started wilding, saying mad stuff out of my mouth, a whole bunch of crap out of my mouth.” However, § 87(2)(b) did not make any contact with PO Sanchez and denied pushing PO Sanchez’s shoulder. § 87(2)(b) also did not place her arms around PO Sanchez.

PO Sanchez (Board Review 11) testified that after § 87(2)(b) said that she was not going to get onto the sidewalk she said, “I’m not going to listen to you, fuck you.” § 87(2)(b) also began cursing at PO Sanchez and said that she was going to spit on PO Sanchez. § 87(2)(b) then placed her saliva at the front of her mouth. PO Sanchez stated to the CCRB, “When she put the spit to the front of her mouth, that’s when I went to grab her, to put her hands behind her back and cuff her. That’s when she started resisting.” PO Sanchez and § 87(2)(b) were face-to-face at that point. PO Sanchez grabbed § 87(2)(b) by her arm, and § 87(2)(b) began kicking her in response. An unknown number of kicks landed on PO Sanchez’s leg. PO Sanchez could not recall which arm she grabbed, but stated that § 87(2)(b) pulled the arm away from her. Thus, PO Sanchez was not able to detain her. At some point during the struggle, § 87(2)(b) pushed PO Sanchez and it was then the other officers noticed and separated them both. PO Sanchez could not recall if § 87(2)(b) pushed her before she kicked her. PO Sanchez did not fall as a result of this push and could not recall how many times § 87(2)(b) pushed her. Besides holding her hands behind her back, PO Sanchez did not do anything else to overcome § 87(2)(b) resistance because other officers intervened and separated them. PO Sanchez stated that her interaction with § 87(2)(b) was approximately one minute.

Patrol Guide Procedure 221-01 governing the use of force states that “force may be used when it is reasonable to ensure the safety of a member of service or a third party, or otherwise to protect life, or when it is reasonable to place a person in custody to prevent escape in all circumstances any application of force must be reasonable under the circumstances” (Board Review 12).

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (D) Force: Officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (E) Force: Officers used a chokehold against § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) testified that after PO Sanchez puffed out her chest towards her and they had an exchange of words, numerous officers began running towards § 87(2)(b). PO Sanchez grabbed § 87(2)(b) left wrist while a male officer grabbed her neck from behind using his forearm. § 87(2)(b) stated that her breathing was not impaired by this, but she was out of breath because she was yelling, “Get off of me.” As PO Sanchez was grabbing § 87(2)(b) wrist, the male officer was using his forearm to grab § 87(2)(b) neck, two additional male officer were also making contact with § 87(2)(b) neck. These officers used an open palm, their thumb, and their index finger to make contact with § 87(2)(b) neck. § 87(2)(b) breathing was also not impaired by this; she was just slurring her words because she was yelling for the officers to get off of her. § 87(2)(b) could not describe these officers.

PO Sanchez (Board Review 11) could not recall which officers came to separate her and § 87(2)(b). She also could not recall how many officers became involved. She stated that these officers assisted her by detaining § 87(2)(b) but did not know where on § 87(2)(b) body they were making contact. PO Sanchez stated that once these officers came to assist her, she stepped away and was not involved in placing § 87(2)(b) in handcuffs. PO Sanchez stated that § 87(2)(b) continued to resist once these additional officers became involved but could not say what § 87(2)(b) was doing to resist or how they overcame § 87(2)(b) resistance as there were numerous officers around and she no longer had a clear view of § 87(2)(b). PO Sanchez did not see an officer making any physical contact with § 87(2)(b) neck, and did not see an officer use their forearm to grab § 87(2)(b) by her neck. She also denied seeing an officer use an open palm to grab § 87(2)(b) neck.

PO Cordero (Board Review 07) stated that after § 87(2)(b) ran and Sgt. Gibson, Lt. Dym and PO Olsen gave chase to him, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) remained on scene. During that time a group of onlookers who initially stood across the street began walking closer towards them. At that point a call for additional units was put over the radio because officers were outnumbered. PO Cordero could not recall who requested additional units over the radio, but stated that approximately 30 seconds later, additional units of both uniformed officers and plain clothes officer from PSA 7 and the 40th Precinct arrived on scene. When these officers arrived they performed crowd control by directing the crowd to move back. PO Cordero could not recall § 87(2)(b) being present. PO Cordero did not see any officer grab § 87(2)(b) neck using their forearm and did not see any officer grab her neck using their thumb and index finger. He also could not recall § 87(2)(b) being arrested in connection.

Event D17092923690 revealed that on September 29, 2017, at approximately 9:15 p.m., a PSA7 Lieutenant had 5 people under arrest. No additional unit information was provided (Board Review 13).

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (F) Force: Police Officer John Olsen restricted § 87(2)(b)'s breathing.

§ 87(2)(b) (Board Review 02) stated that approximately 5-10 minutes after Lt. Dym informed officers that he had caught § 87(2)(b) over the radio, PO Olsen arrived on scene in a vehicle. When PO Olsen arrived, § 87(2)(b) was positioned faced down onto the ground and was handcuffed. When PO Olsen approached he said, "I got him. I am going to take him in." PO Olsen then placed his knee on § 87(2)(b)'s neck for approximately 5 minutes as he held § 87(2)(b) on the ground. § 87(2)(b) knew that PO Olsen was the officer who placed his knee on his neck because there were no other officers making physical contact with him at that time, and because he saw PO Olsen walking towards him right before he did this. § 87(2)(b) was not making any movements with his body when PO Olsen placed his knee on his neck because he was too tired from running. § 87(2)(b) also did not try to move his arm or try to kick any officer while on the ground. § 87(2)(b) in response told PO Olsen that he could not breathe approximately three times. At this time § 87(2)(b)'s father (name unknown) approached and said, "Yo, he can't breathe. Get off him." PO Olsen responded, "I don't care."

No witness statements were obtained regarding § 87(2)(b)'s apprehension because § 87(2)(b) fled out of sight of the original incident location (Board Review 31).

On March 8, 2018, PO John Olsen was re-interviewed at the CCRB because § 87(2)(b) reported additional allegations against him after his initial CCRB testimony (Board Review 14). PO Olsen stated that he could not recall how § 87(2)(b) was positioned when he arrived on Melrose Avenue, but stated that when he arrived § 87(2)(b) was already handcuffed. He later said that he could not recall § 87(2)(b) ever being on the ground when he arrived. PO Olsen did not place his knee on § 87(2)(b)'s neck while he was on the ground and did not make any physical contact with his neck. He also did not see any officer making contact with § 87(2)(b)'s neck. PO Olsen did not see § 87(2)(b) in any position that could have restricted his breathing and did not see any officer do anything that could have restricted his breathing. § 87(2)(b) also did not inform PO Olsen that he could not breathe at any time while he was on the ground. PO Olsen denied hearing a bystander protest that § 87(2)(b) could not breathe.

Sgt. Gibson (Board Review 06) stated that PO Olsen arrived shortly after § 87(2)(b) was placed in handcuffs. Sgt. Gibson did not see PO Olsen place his knee on § 87(2)(b)'s neck nor did he hear § 87(2)(b) express that he could not breathe. Sgt. Gibson also did not observe PO Olsen do anything that might have restricted § 87(2)(b)'s breathing.

Lt. Dym (Board Review 05) could not recall if PO Olsen was present during § 87(2)(b)'s apprehension.

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (G) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer John Olsen threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.

§ 87(2)(b) (Board Review 02) stated that right before he was transported to the hospital PO Olsen said that “he was going to kill him and put me in a concussion”. § 87(2)(b) replied, “You are going to be mad because I am going to get a lawsuit on you.”

PO Olsen (Board Review 14) denied telling § 87(2)(b) that “he was going to kill him and put him in a concussion” but confirmed and documented in his memo book that that there was a discussion amongst § 87(2)(b) and his co-defendants regarding a lawsuit though he noted that these comments came later in the interaction.

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (H) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer John Olsen did not obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) (Board Review 02) stated to the CCRB that as he was being transported to the PSA 7 stationhouse he started to feel pain coming from his head, but did not ask PO Olsen to take him to the hospital at time. Upon arrival at the PSA 7 stationhouse, § 87(2)(b) was brought to the desk. PO Olsen did not ask § 87(2)(b) if he wanted medical assistance while in front of the desk and § 87(2)(b) did not decline medical assistance while in front of the desk. Before he was placed inside of a cell, § 87(2)(b) asked PO Olsen to go to the hospital and PO Olsen said, “No you don’t need to go, you just got a little scab.” § 87(2)(b) continued to tell PO Olsen that he needed to go to the hospital because his head was bleeding. PO Olsen in response told § 87(2)(b) that he was fine. One of § 87(2)(b)’s friends who was inside the cell at that time insisted that he seek medical attention because they could see the condition of his head. When § 87(2)(b) tried to tell PO Olsen that his head was bleeding and needed to go to the hospital, PO Olsen said, “You do not need to go.” § 87(2)(b) asked PO Olsen to go to the hospital a total of three times. § 87(2)(b) then asked an unidentified officer who stood by the cells to go to the hospital. This officer left the cell area and returned with another officer who transported § 87(2)(b) to § 87(2)(b).

PO Olsen (Board Review 04) stated that when he escorted § 87(2)(b) to the van to be transported back to the PSA 7 stationhouse, he did not observe any visible injuries on § 87(2)(b) and could not recall § 87(2)(b) bleeding at that time. Upon arrival at that PSA 7 stationhouse at 10:20 p.m., § 87(2)(b) was brought to the desk and told PO Olsen that he fell

while he was running. § 87(2)(b) did not say that an officer made physical contact with him before he fell. PO Olsen in response asked § 87(2)(b) if he wanted him to call Emergency Medical Services for him and asked him if he sustained any injuries. § 87(2)(b) in response said, “No, I’m good.” Because PO Olsen did not see anything wrong with § 87(2)(b) he placed § 87(2)(b) inside of a cell. At approximately 12:35 a.m., an officer came to get PO Olsen because § 87(2)(b) wanted to speak with him. When PO Olsen got to the cell area, § 87(2)(b) informed him that his head was bleeding and he wanted to be seen by EMS. § 87(2)(b) then touched his head and PO Olsen saw that there was blood on his hands. This was the first time PO Olsen observed any visible injuries on § 87(2)(b). It was then PO Olsen heard a male with the name § 87(2)(b), identified by the investigation to be § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) tell § 87(2)(b) to “catch a check from this white nigga.” PO Olsen then requested an ambulance for § 87(2)(b). When the Emergency Medical Technicians arrived they evaluated § 87(2)(b) and reported that § 87(2)(b) did not really need treatment. However, § 87(2)(b)’s friends kept insisting that he should go to the hospital, and so § 87(2)(b) was transported to § 87(2)(b). When he returned to the PSA7 stationhouse, he had three staples.

On March 8, 2018, PO John Olsen was re-interviewed at the CCRB and denied telling § 87(2)(b) that he did not need to go to the hospital once they arrived at the PSA 7 stationhouse. PO Olsen did not say “You do not need to go. You just got a little scab,” when § 87(2)(b) requested to go to the hospital. He also did not hear any officer refuse to provide § 87(2)(b) with medical attention inside the stationhouse (Board Review 14).

Sgt. Gibson (Board Review 06) did not hear any officer ask § 87(2)(b) if he wanted to go to the hospital and did not hear § 87(2)(b) refuse to be taken to the hospital. Sgt. Gibson did not hear § 87(2)(b) ask to go to the hospital inside the stationhouse because he did not see him inside the stationhouse. Sgt. Gibson did not hear PO Olsen tell § 87(2)(b) that, “He did not need to go because it was just a scab.” Sgt. Gibson was also never informed that § 87(2)(b) was taken to the hospital.

Lt Dym stated that during the interaction he observed a cut and a small amount of blood on the top of § 87(2)(b)’s head. Lt. Dym described this cut as a laceration, and did not observe blood anywhere else on § 87(2)(b)’s body. He could not say how big this laceration was. He stated that at some point when he grabbed § 87(2)(b) to bring him to the ground or at a point after § 87(2)(b) was handcuffed, he informed § 87(2)(b) that he was going to get him an ambulance and § 87(2)(b) replied, “Na, I’m good.” When Lt. Dym returned back to the PSA7 stationhouse, he saw § 87(2)(b). He could not recall where inside the stationhouse he saw him, and did not see any officers interacting with him at that time. He also did not have any interaction with him. Lt. Dym did not hear § 87(2)(b) ask any officer to go to the hospital while inside the stationhouse, but stated that he did direct one of the sergeants to get § 87(2)(b) an ambulance anyway while inside the stationhouse. Lt. Dym believed that § 87(2)(b) was transported to the hospital, but could not say for certain because he removed himself from the arrest situation due to a family emergency.

PO Olsen’s memo book entries note that approximately 10:10 p.m., § 87(2)(b) appeared apparently normal at desk, but stated that he tripped while running. § 87(2)(b) refused

medical attention in stationhouse. At approximately 12:35 a.m., § 87(2)(b) requested to go to the hospital (Board Review 15).

Arrest report § 87(2)(b) noted that § 87(2)(b)'s condition was apparently normal (Board Review 16).

TRI report § 87(2)(b) noted that medical attention was offered to § 87(2)(b) and he accepted and was removed to the Hospital (Board Review 08).

The PSA 7 Command Log from September 29, 2017, written by Sgt. Gellison Flores notes that § 87(2)(b) had a small cut to his face/head. There was no indication regarding whether § 87(2)(b) refused medical attention. § 87(2)(b) was transported to § 87(2)(b) and returned back to the PSA 7 stationhouse at 6:00 a.m. (Board Review 17).

There was no Medical Treatment of a Prisoner Report on file for § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 18)

The Pre-Hospital Care report notes that § 87(2)(b)'s bleeding was controlled prior to the arrival of EMS (Privileged Documents).

§ 87(2)(g)
[REDACTED]

§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
■ [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- PO John Olsen has been a member of service for three years and has had five CCRB allegations pleaded against him. § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- Sergeant Lamont Gibson has been a member of service for 10 years and has had 41 CCRB allegations pleaded against him. Of those 41 allegations, six allegations have been substantiated.
 - In CCRB 201005227, a question and frisk allegation were substantiated against Sgt. Gibson. The Board recommended charges, for which the NYPD disposition was instructions.
 - In CCRB 201110762, a vehicle stop allegation, a gun pointed allegation, and a nightclub as a stick allegation were substantiated against Sgt. Gibson. The Board recommended Command Discipline B, for which the NYPD disposition was no disciplinary action.
- PO Jose Cordero has been a member of service for three years and has had 14 CCRB allegations pleaded against him. Of those 14 allegations, three allegations have been substantiated.
 - In CCRB 201702059, a frisk was substantiated against PO Cordero. The Board recommended command discipline against him, for which the NYPD disposition and penalty are still pending as of this writing. § 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] In CCRB 201706354, a vehicle search and a retaliatory summons were substantiated against PO Cordero. The Board recommended charges for each substantiated allegation, for which the NYPD disposition and penalty are still pending as of this writing.

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED] and § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED] s arrests rendered this case ineligible for mediation.
- This report was submitted pending the results of any Notice of Claim filed in regards to this incident submitted via email on April 24, 2018 (Board Review 26).
- § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(c) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Squad No.: 16

Investigator: _____

Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
-----------	--------------------	------

Squad Leader: _____

Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
-----------	--------------------	------

Reviewer: _____

Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
-----------	--------------------	------