

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Simon Wang	Team: Team # 5	CCRB Case #: 201305496	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday, 06/14/2013 3:47 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 109	18 Mo. SOL 12/14/2014	EO SOL 12/14/2014	
Date/Time CV Reported	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Thu, 06/20/2013 2:36 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Witness(es)	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Mohammed Qureshi	17106	939264	109 PCT
2. POM Won Chang	29627	928055	PBQN SU

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Bilal Ishaq	05539	939742	109 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Won Chang	Force: PO Won Chang used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
B.POM Mohammed Qureshi	Force: PO Mohammed Qureshi used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

### Case Summary

On June 14, 2013, Sgt. Joseph Fastaia of the 109<sup>th</sup> Precinct reported this incident to IAB. On June 20, 2013, the complaint was received at the CCRB via referral number 13-26242. On June 14, 2013, at 3:47 p.m., § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were arrested at the corner of § 87(2)(b) in Queens for robbery and reckless endangerment. § 87(2)(b) allegedly collided with § 87(2)(b) while fleeing from officers on a bicycle. § 87(2)(b) suffered injuries during his arrest and was sent to the hospital (**Allegations A and B**). IAB identified PO Mohammed Qureshi and PO Won Chang of the 109<sup>th</sup> Precinct as the subject officers, so the allegations are pleaded against them. PO Bilal Ishaq was the arresting officer of § 87(2)(b) but without § 87(2)(b)'s participation in this investigation, PO Ishaq's role in this incident could not be determined, and he is identified as a witness.

On July 3, 2013, the undersigned called the number for § 87(2)(b) provided by IAB, but determined that it did not belong to § 87(2)(b). The phone number to § 87(2)(b)'s home was obtained from § 87(2)(b)'s arrest report. On July 17, 2013, the undersigned called § 87(2)(b)'s home and spoke to his mother, § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) stated that she and § 87(2)(b) who is § 87(2)(b), would not speak to the undersigned investigator without first consulting an attorney. On July 26 and August 2, 2013, the undersigned left two voicemails on § 87(2)(b)'s phone. On August 7, 2013, the undersigned called § 87(2)(b)'s phone, but the phone was no longer in service. On August 14, 2013, the undersigned received a call from § 87(2)(b) who stated that § 87(2)(b)'s criminal defense attorney wished to be present for all conversations with § 87(2)(b). A phone statement with § 87(2)(b) and his attorney was scheduled for August 16, 2013 and an interview with § 87(2)(b) and his attorney was scheduled for August 21, 2013. On August 16, 2013, the undersigned called and spoke to § 87(2)(b) who stated that the defense attorney was unavailable, and § 87(2)(b) would not provide a phone statement on that day. § 87(2)(b) and his attorney also failed to appear at the appointed time for the in-person interview, and did not call to cancel or reschedule. Call request letters were sent to § 87(2)(b) on June 25, and July 31, 2013. Neither letter has been returned undelivered by the US Postal Service. Searches of the Department of Corrections inmate-locator on August 13, and August 21, 2013, indicated that § 87(2)(b) is not incarcerated. § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

Team: 5

Investigator:	<u>Simon Wang</u>	<u>08/21/13</u>
	Signature	Date

Supervisor:	<u></u>	<u></u>
	Title/Signature	Date

Reviewer:	<u></u>	<u></u>
	Title/Signature	Date

Reviewer:	<u></u>	<u></u>
-----------	---------	---------

Title/Signature

Print

Date