

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Emma Kaisla	Team: Squad #15	CCRB Case #: 202005444	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Monday, 08/03/2020 12:00 PM	Location of Incident: [REDACTED]	Precinct: 84	18 Mo. SOL 2/3/2022	EO SOL 5/4/2022	
Date/Time CV Reported Tue, 08/04/2020 8:25 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: On-line website	Date/Time Received at CCRB Tue, 08/04/2020 8:25 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POF Jessica Rahaman	11699	941291	084 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM John Kenny	31539	904261	084 PCT
2. SGT Peter Ahn	01234	948594	084 PCT
3. POM Matthew Cangiano	01332	947995	084 PCT
4. POM Ilir Shanaj	15368	943810	084 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POF Jessica Rahaman	Abuse: Police Officer Jessica Rahaman refused to provide her name to § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
B.POF Jessica Rahaman	Abuse: Police Officer Jessica Rahaman refused to provide her shield number to § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
C.POF Jessica Rahaman	Abuse: Police Officer Jessica Rahaman failed to provide § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED] with a business card.	[REDACTED]

Case Summary

On August 4, 2020, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via online webform.

On August 3, 2020, § 87(2)(b) was filming with a GoPro camera at a protest near the corner of § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) in Downtown Brooklyn. § 87(2)(b) approached a group of police officers and asked them for their names and shield numbers. All the officers provided this information except for Police Officer Jessica Rahaman. § 87(2)(b) asked her approximately five times for her name and shield number and she did not respond (**Allegation A: Abuse of Authority— Refusal to provide name; Allegation B: Abuse of Authority— Refusal to provide shield number,** § 87(2)(g) § 87(2)(b) asked for a business card and she did not provide it. (**Allegation C: Abuse of Authority— Refusal to provide RTKA card,** § 87(2)(g) She walked away.

§ 87(2)(b) stated he had video footage of the incident; despite repeated overtures by the investigation to obtain the footage, § 87(2)(b) did not provide it. There was no BWC, as the nature of the incident did not require the use of BWC per the Patrol Guide.

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Jessica Rahaman refused to provide her name to § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Jessica Rahaman refused to provide her shield number to § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (C) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Jessica Rahaman failed to provide § 87(2)(b) with a business card.

§ 87(2)(b) provided a telephone interview on August 27, 2020. There were no additional civilian witnesses of the incident.

It is undisputed that § 87(2)(b) and PO Rahaman were present for a protest near the corner of § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) on August 3, 2020. § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b) stated that he asked a group of officers standing together for their names and shield numbers. All officers provided them except for PO Rahaman. § 87(2)(b) recorded the names with a GoPro camera he was wearing, but did not recall the information at his interview and did not review his recording. § 87(2)(b) asked her for her name and shield about five times. When she still did not provide it, he asked for her business card. PO Rahaman walked away. § 87(2)(b) later obtained PO Rahaman's name and shield number from a different civilian on scene who was walking around and writing down officer information in a book.

PO Rahaman testified that the area around the protest was extremely loud due to vehicular traffic. While standing with other officers at the protest, a white male civilian in a green vest approached and asked for her name and shield. She provided it to him, and he wrote it down. Later PO Rahaman noticed a different male civilian with dreadlocks. He told her he was going to report her; PO Rahaman gave him a thumbs up. She was not sure what he was saying due to the street noise and the muffling effect of his mask. PO Rahaman did not know if he said anything to her prior to saying he would report her. She did not recall him addressing her specifically. PO Rahaman denied hearing him or any civilian besides the male in the green vest ask for name, shield number, or business card.

Shown a picture of § 87(2)(b) attached in IA #39, PO Rahaman did not recognize him or recall any interaction with him (Board Review 02). PO Rahaman testified that § 87(2)(b) was not the civilian in the green vest but was not sure if he was the second male civilian she interacted with.

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
[REDACTED]

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
- PO Rahaman has been a member of service for fourteen years. This is the first CCRB complaint in which she has been a subject; § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- § 87(2)(b) declined to mediate this complaint.
- As of December 8, 2020, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of a Notice of Claim being filed regarding this complaint (Board Review 04).
- § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Squad No.: 15

Investigator: Emma Kaisla Inv. Emma Kaisla 12/30/20
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Squad Leader: Simon Wang IM Simon Wang 01/10/2021
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Reviewer: _____

Signature Print Title & Name Date