## **CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION**

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.	
Daniel Giansante		Squad #5	201908292	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury	
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL	
Tuesday, 08/20/2019 12:10 PM		Bronx Criminal Court (215 East 161st Street)		44	2/20/2021	10/7/2021	
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCI	₹B	
Mon, 08/26/2019 3:29 PM		CCRB	CRB Mail Mon, 08/26/20		6/2019 3:29 PM	/2019 3:29 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Туре	Home Addre	ss				
Witness(es)		Home Addre	SS				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command				
1. POM Manish Sharma	10192	949656	044 PCT				
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			-	
1. POM Michael Meneses	11225	964654	044 PCT				
2. POM Carlos Morales	26314	922823	044 PCT				
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation	
A.POM Manish Sharma	Abuse: P § 87(2)(b)	Abuse: Police Officer Manish Sharma threatened to arrest 87(2)(b)					

**Case Summary** a § 87(2)(b) On August 23, 2019, § 87(2)(b) letter about this incident, on behalf of \$87(2)(b) to the NYPD Legal Bureau, and he sent copies of the letter to the CCRB, the Public Advocate's office, the NYC Human Rights Commission, and the NYPD OIG. \$87(2)(b) did not witness the incident. On August 20, 2019, at approximately 12:10 p.m., § 37(2)(b) was exiting a court room at the Bronx Criminal Court, located at 215 East 161st Street in the Bronx, with his §87(2)(b) attorney, §87(2)(b) Police Officers Michael Meneses, Rafael Morales, and Manish Sharma of the 44<sup>th</sup> Precinct approached \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) PO Sharma allegedly threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b) (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(9) ). § 87(2)(b) left the scene and was not arrested or issued a summons. The investigation did not obtain video footage of this incident. The nature of the incident did not require the use of BWC as per the Patrol Guide. **Findings and Recommendations** Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Manish Sharma threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b) s87(2)(b) testified (Board Review 01) that PO Sharma, accompanied by PO Meneses and PO Morales, approached \$87(2)(b) as he exited the courtroom, slightly in front of \$87(2)(b) immediately approached PO Sharma and asked what was going on. PO Sharma told \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) that he was from "Operation Ceasefire," that he was "warning" \$87(2)(b) that officers were watching § 87(2)(b) and his friends, and stated that officers would arrest § s 87(2)(b) for "walking on the wrong side of the street." \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) immediately walked away from PO Sharma and left the scene. refused to permit the CCRB to obtain a statement from §87(2)(b) As a result, the investigation was unable to obtain any account of the incident from him. who accompanied § 87(2)(b) to court, provided a telephone statement about this incident (**Board Review 02**). \$87(2)(b) reported that PO Sharma informed \$87(2)(b) that officers were watching \$87(2)(b) and his friends and that officers would "get" \$87(2)(b) if he "crossed the street the wrong way." \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) immediately walked away from PO Sharma and left the scene shortly thereafter. Due to COVID pandemic-related delays, the investigation did not interview PO Sharma until approximately 15 months after the date of the incident, PO Meneses and PO Morales were not interviewed until more than 18 months after the date of the incident. PO Sharma testified (Board Review 03) that, in his capacity assigned to Ceasefire, he was responsible for contacting §87(2)(6) and other members of a gang with the intention of offering them social services. PO Sharma characterized this as an "enforcement action." At the time of this incident, PO Sharma approached \$87(2)(b) introduced himself, and informed \$87(2)(b) that he should try to avoid being arrested. PO Sharma denied stating that (\$87(2)(5) could be arrested for "walking on the wrong side of the street" or any other specific actions, and he denied otherwise threatening to arrest \$87(2)(b) PO Sharma then began speaking to \$87(2)(b) about Ceasefire and

CCRB Case # 201908292

the resources available to \$87(2)(b)

PO Meneses testified ( <b>Board Review 04</b> ) that PO Sharma informed ( <b>887(2)(b)</b> ) that, if he was arrested, he would be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law and would not receive a Desk Appearance Ticket. PO Meneses did not recall PO Sharma identifying any specific infractions the should avoid, and he did not recall PO Sharma telling ( <b>887(2)(b)</b> ) that he would be "arrested for walking on the wrong side of the street."	at
PO Morales testified ( <b>Board Review 05</b> ) that he did not recall the details of the conversation between PO Sharma and \$87(2)(b) PO Morales did not recall PO Sharma giving \$87(2)(b) any information about what would happen if \$87(2)(b) committed crimes or was arrested. PO Moral did not hear PO Sharma informing \$87(2)(b) that he could be arrested for "walking on the wron side of the street," although he stated that he did not believe that PO Sharma would have said something like that.	les
§ 87(2)(g)	
	_
	l
§ 87(2)(g)	
Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories  This is the first CCRB complaint to which \$87(2)(b) (Board Review 06) or \$87(2)(b) (Board Review 07) has been party.  PO Sharma has been a member of the NYPD for 10 years and has been a subject in two prior CCRB complaints and two allegations, neither of which was substantiated. \$87(2)(9)	
Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories  \$87(2)(b) declined to mediate this complaint.  As of April 6, 2020, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of a Notice of Claim being filed regarding this incident.  \$87(2)(b)	
Squad No.: 5	
Investigator: Julian Phillips SI Julian Phillips June 16, 2021 Signature Print Title & Name Date	

Squad Leader:	Daniel Giansante Signature	IM Daniel Giansante Print Title & Name	June 16, 2021 Date
Reviewer:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date