CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Discourt.	U.S.
Christopher Connors		Squad #12	201804326	Ø	Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	L	P	recinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Thursday, 05/17/2018 8:38 AM					88	11	/17/2019	11/17/2019
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	:	Date/Time	Rece	eived at CCI	RB
Thu, 05/31/2018 12:02 PM		CCRB	Phone		Thu, 05/31	/2018	8 12:02 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess					
Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. An officer			088 PCT					
2. POM Ali Walker	02673	929329	088 PCT					
3. POM Alex Campuzano	11885	960315	088 PCT					
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name					
1. POM Harry Espinal	19236	958556	088 PCT					
2. POM Frank Reddington	17943	961153	088 PCT					
3. POF Briggitte Villegas	04658	962153	088 PCT					
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inve	stiga	tor Recon	nmendation
A.POM Ali Walker	Abuse: P § 87(2)(b)	olice Officer Ali Walker to the l	r forcibly removed hospital.					
B.POM Alex Campuzano	Abuse: P	olice Officer Alex Camp	puzano forcibly rem	ove	d			
C. An officer	Discourte § 87(2)(b)	esy: An officer acted dis	•					
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)								

Case Summary

filed this complaint with the CCRB via telephone. On May 31, 2018, Donaree § 87(2)(b) On May 17, 2018, at approximately 8:38 a.m., § 87(2)(6) was inside of the laundry room shelter located at § 87(2)(b) I in Brooklyn. PO Ali Walker, PO Jeffrey Kmiecik, PO Espinal, PO Villegas, PO Campuzano, and PO Reddington of the 88th Precinct responded to a violent Emotionally Disturbed Person (EDP) radio run. The officers assisted FDNY Emergency Medical Technicians (EMT) \$87(2)(b) in removing § 87(2)(b) to § 87(2)(b) (Allegation A, Abuse of Authority, (Allegation B, Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(9) When § 87(2)(b) removed from the shelter, an officer allegedly threw her cell phone charger into a nearby trashcan (Allegation B, Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(9) Body-Worn Camera (BWC) videos were obtained. No summonses or arrests resulted from this incident. **Findings and Recommendations** Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Ali Walker forcibly removed §87(2)(b) to the hospital. Allegation B – Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Alex Campuzano forcibly removed to the hospital. It is undisputed that officers entered § 87(2)(b) to remove § 87(2)(b) from the premises after shelter staff called 911 to report her violent behavior. §87(2)(6) stated she was handcuffed and was involuntarily transported to \$87(2)(b) in Brooklyn where she was kept for psychiatric observation for three weeks (Board Review 01). During his CCRB interview, PO Walker stated he and his partner, PO Alex Campuzano, responded to a radio run for a violent EDP at the above location. Upon arrival, shelter staff where § 87(2)(b) guided him to a laundry room within § 87(2)(b) seated. At the time PO Walker entered, other officers arrived at the location along with EMTs. PO Walker stated § 87(2)(b) told him to leave her alone and refused to speak with the it was determined by the EMTs that she would be transported to a hospital. The to her feet and onto a stretcher. She was then escorted by the officers assisted § 87(2)(b) officers to an ambulance outside and transported to 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn (Board Review 02). Sgt. Peter Wu, the patrol supervisor during the tour this incident occurred, stated he had been informed by PO Walker of an Emotionally Disturbed Person (EDP) removal from in Brooklyn. PO Walker informed Sgt. Wu that no further officers were needed at the location (Board Review 03). During their CCRB interviews, EMTs \$87(2) and \$87(2)(b) stated that they responded to a violent EDP at the above location. EMT \$87(2)(b) testified that when they received the call, their FDNY "CAD" system provided § 87(2)(b) s known psychiatric history. Based on this

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201804326

would be hospitalized for further evaluation (Board Review 04 and 05).
Patrol Guide Procedure 221-13 defines an emotionally disturbed person as a person "who appears to be mentally ill or temporarily deranged and is conducting himself in a manner that a police officer reasonably believes is likely to result in serious injury to himself or others." The procedure also requires that officers take a civilian who has been determined to be emotionally disturbed into protective custody (Board Review 07).
<u>Patrol Guide</u> Procedure 216-01 states that uniformed members of the service concerned shall cooperative with ambulance/hospital personnel in every reasonable manner (Board Review 06).
§ 87(2)(g), § 87(2)(b)
Allegation C: Discourtesy: An officer acted discourteously toward while being handcuffed by officers, \$37(2)(b) alleged officers removed her cell phone charger from a nearby wall where it had been plugged in and threw it into a trashcan inside of the laundry room.
PO Walker testified he did not throw out \$87(2)(b) s cell phone charger and did not observe another officer do so. PO Walker did not remember \$87(2)(b) complain of this action taking place. During their CCRB interviews, neither EMT \$87(2)(b) observed an officer throw out a cell phone charger that belonged to \$87(2)(b) EMTs \$87(2)(c) and complain of an officer throwing her cell phone charger in a trashcan.
A review of Body-Worn Cameras (BWC) from this incident did not capture the alleged action by any officer present on scene. Officers can be heard discussing a cell phone, but no cell phone or phone charger is depicted. No officer is depicted throwing \$87(2)(5) seems to cell phone charger into a trashcan.
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201804326

§ 87(2)(g), §	87(4-b), § 87(2)(b)		
•	Review PO Wal	the first CCRB complaint to 08). ker has been a member of s	ervice for 17 years and has been a suitions, none of which were substantian	ibject in three
•		npuzano has been a member int to which he has been a su	of service for three years and this is ubject.	s the first CCRB
•		Mediation, Con was offered to \$87(2)(b)	Civil and Criminal Histories who opted to have this co	mplaint
<i>©</i>	_	§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]		
•	regardir		as sent to the New York City Compton may have been filed in regard to this receipt (Board Review 10).	
Squad 1	No.: 1	2		
Investi	gator.			
mvesti	54101	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Canad l	I aadam			
oquad I	Leader: _	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Review	æ r •			
110 / 10 //	_	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Page 4

CCRB Case # 201804326