

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Joshua Richardson	Team: Squad #7	CCRB Case #: 201809235	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday, 07/27/2018 10:10 AM	Location of Incident: Ely Avenue and Pitman Avenue and Paulding Avenue and East 224th Street	Precinct: 47	18 Mo. SOL 1/27/2020	EO SOL 1/27/2020	
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 10/29/2018 8:48 PM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 11/05/2018 11:07 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Ryan Jones	05095	956781	047 PCT
2. POF Racquel Palmer	18798	961993	041 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Brian Diaz	18840	963010	047 PCT
2. POM Stanley Baez	15980	957351	047 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POF Racquel Palmer	Force: Police Officer Racquel Palmer pointed her gun at § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM Ryan Jones	Force: Police Officer Ryan Jones pointed his gun at § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On October 29, 2018, § 87(2)(b) called the IAB Command Center generating IAB Log # 2018-42525. This complaint was forwarded to the CCRB on November 5, 2018, with § 87(2)(b)'s resident and email addresses and contact number as § 87(2)(b).

Between November 9, and December 10, 2018, § 87(2)(b) was called at the aforementioned number eleven times but there was never an answer. The undersigned left voice messages indicating the reason for the calls and informed him that the agency cannot keep case open indefinitely without a sworn statement so if he does not contact the agency soon, the CCRB might have to close without conducting a full and thorough investigation.

Between November 9, and December 3, 2018, § 87(2)(b) was mailed two please call letters, and emailed seven please call letters- none of which were returned.

On November 26, 2018, a CLEAR database search confirmed the resident address and contact number already on file and also provided two additional contact numbers § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b). Upon calling the § 87(2)(b) number it was not in service. The undersigned called the § 87(2)(b) and left a voice message.

On November 30, 2018, the undersigned received a message from § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(b)'s mother, who stated the § 87(2)(b) number belonged to her and to give her a callback. Later the same day, the undersigned spoke to § 87(2)(b) who confirmed that she did not witness the incident, but tried calling § 87(2)(b) on a conference call but § 87(2)(b) did not pick up. § 87(2)(b) confirmed that she spoke to § 87(2)(b) the night before, on November 29, 2018. § 87(2)(b) confirmed the § 87(2)(b) was § 87(2)(b)'s girlfriends number and that was the best number to reach him. She also provided an additional number § 87(2)(b).

Between November 30, and December 12, 2018, the undersigned called the aforementioned number six times but there was never an answer or an opportunity to leave as the voicemail as the phone rang for an extended period of time with no voicemail being prompted.

On December 13, 2018, a CTS database confirmed that this was the first complaint § 87(2)(b) was involved in.

On December 13, 2018, New York City and New York State Department of Correction Inmate Look-up searches revealed § 87(2)(b) was not incarcerated.

As of the date of this report, § 87(2)(b) has not made any attempt to contact the CCRB.

There was NYPD BWC footage recovered for this incident but it did not capture the part of the incident involving the off-duty officer.

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

Squad No.: _____

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Squad Leader: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Reviewer: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date