## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	□ U.S.
John Hanley		Squad #10	201608484	☐ Abuse	□ O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	]	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Wednesday, 10/05/2016 9:42 PM	1	Beach 65th Street and Boulevard	Rockaway Beach	100	4/5/2018	4/5/2018
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCI	₹B
Thu, 10/06/2016 3:53 PM		CCRB Phone		Thu, 10/06/2016 3:53 PM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess			
Witness(es)		Home Addre				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. SGT Bilal Ates	03374	934429	100 PCT			
2. POM Timothy Terrillion	16714	952286	100 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	lo Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM Hilton Jeanpierre	22282	941954	100 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegation	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.SGT Bilal Ates	Abuse: Sergeant Bilal Ates stopped the vehicle in which \$87(2)(b) was an occupant.					
§ 87(4-b) § 87(2)(g)			-			
§ 87(4-b) § 87(2)(g)						

## **Case Summary** On October 6, 2016, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint via telephone with the CCRB On October 5, 2016, at approximately 9:45 PM, \$87(2)(b) was driving his grandmother, \$87(2)(b) to her residence on 320 Beach 100<sup>th</sup> Street in Rockaway Park, Queens with his girlfriend, At the intersection of Beach 65<sup>th</sup> Street and Rockaway Beach Boulevard, Anti-Crime Sgt. Bilal Ates, accompanied by PO Timothy Terrillion, and PO Hilton Jean-Pierre of the 100<sup>th</sup> Precinct, stopped § 37(2)(b) s vehicle (Allegation A). PO Terrillion requested § 37(2)(b) license and registration and informed him that he had been stopped for speeding. Sgt. Ates let go with a warning after running a warrant check. §87(2)(6) was not issued a summons. provided cell phone video footage he recorded of the interaction. This footage captured the last several minutes of the incident (Board Review 03). 201608484\_20161213\_1704\_DM.mp4 **Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories** rejected mediation because he did not want to speak to the officers. No notice of claim has been filed by \$87(2)(b) regarding this incident according to the NYC Comptroller's Office as of December 19, 2016. [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)] Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories has filed five prior CCRB complaints.

Sgt. Ates has been a member of service for twelve years and has one concurrent and fourteen prior CCRB cases with a total of forty three allegations. In case #200704116, an allegation of an entry against Sgt. Ates was substantiated. Sgt. Ates was given instructions in this case by the NYPD. Sgt. Ates has one concurrent and two prior allegations of vehicle stops. His prior complaints were exonerated. [87(2)(9)

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201608484

• PO Terrillion has been a member of service for four years. He has two prior CCRB cases with a total of 8 allegations. None of the allegations were substantiated \$87(2)(9)
• S87(2)(b) could not recall the incident and therefore did not provide a sworn statement.
Findings and Recommendations  Subject Officer Identification: The vehicle stop is being pleaded against Sgt. Ates. Although PO Terrillion and PO Jean-Pierre both acknowledged to having observed of driving above the speed limit, Sgt. Ates was the supervisor and stated it was his decision to stop of vehicle. Set (2)(6)  Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Bilal Ates stopped the vehicle in which was an occupant.
It is undisputed that Sgt. Ates stopped \$87(2)(b) s vehicle at Beach 65 <sup>th</sup> Street and Rockaway Beach Boulevard. \$87(2)(g)
stated that he was driving at the posted speed limit of twenty five miles per hour consistently on Rockaway Freeway when he noticed an unmarked car driving parallel to his vehicle (Board Review, 01). At Beach 59 <sup>th</sup> Street, street
stated she noticed an unmarked car on Edgmere Avenue, however, she did not pay it any attention. Acknowledged that Str(2)(b) may have been driving thirty miles an hour, however, nothing beyond this (Board Review, 02). After Str(2)(b) turned onto Rockaway Beach Boulevard, Str(2)(b) noted the unmarked car was to their left, tailgating another vehicle. The unmarked car activated its lights and Str(2)(b) remarked, "I knew they were police." PO Terrillion informed Str(2)(b) he was speeding and asked for his license and registration. Str(2)(b) provided his information to PO Terrillion and after a few minutes, Sgt Ates returned Str(2)(b) s paperwork and let him go.
s elderly grandmother, did not recall the incident.  Page 3

CCRB Case # 201608484

Page 4
§ 87(2)(g)
According to <u>Patrol Guide</u> Procedure 202-25, Anti-Crime officers are permitted to stop a vehicle upon observing the vehicle, reasonable suspicion exists that a violation of the traffic laws has been committed; or upon observing the vehicle, probable cause exists that a violation of the traffic laws has been committed (Board Review 10).
According to <i>People V Robinson</i> 741 N.Y.S.2d 147 (2001), if a police officer has probable cause to detain a person temporarily for a traffic violation, that seizure does not violate the Fourth Amendment even though the underlying reason for the stop might have been to investigate some other matter (Board Review 09).
Video footage of the incident shows PO Terrillion explaining to \$87(2)(b) that the officers observed him driving at forty miles an hour and \$87(2)(b) denying this. The footage is consistent with the interaction between officers and civilians as described by both officers and civilians (Board Review 03). \$87(2)(9)
PO Jean-Pierre stated \$87(2)(b) was driving at over thirty miles an hour but did not recall the incident in detail as he had returned to the unmarked car and did not interact with \$87(2)(b) or his passengers. PO Jean-Pierre did not know why \$87(2)(b) was not summonsed.
PO Terrillion estimated that was driving at forty to fifty miles an hour. PO Terrillion stated the purpose of the stop was to investigate if was speeding due to some emergency which required police assistance, and to warn him of the danger of speeding at that particular location due to construction in the area, rather than summons him.
Sgt. Ates estimated \$87(2)(b) was driving at between fifty and sixty miles an hour. Sgt. Ates stated it was his decision to stop \$87(2)(b) as he wanted to ascertain whether or not \$87(2)(b) was intoxicated, given his speeding. Sgt. Ates stated that \$87(2)(b) was not summonsed because the officers took into consideration the fact that he was driving his elderly grandmother home and that \$87(2)(b) had no open warrants.
Sgt. Ates, PO Terrillion, and PO Jean-Pierre were consistent in their statements that they observed \$87(2)(b) driving above the speed limit on Rockaway Freeway, as they drove parallel to \$87(2)(b) s vehicle (Board Review, 07, 08, 09). After \$87(2)(b) turned onto Edgmere/Rockaway Beach Boulevard, the officers continued to observe \$87(2)(b) speeding for approximately three to five blocks on Rockaway Beach and initiated a vehicle stop at Beach 65 <sup>th</sup> Street. All officers were consistent in stating the reason for the stop was due to \$87(2)(b) s driving above the speed limit.

CCRB Case # 201608484

CCRB – Confidential

§ 87(2)(g)				
§ 87(4-b) § 87(2)(g)				
			_	
Squad:				_
Investigator: _				
Canad Landam	Signature	Print	Date	
squau Leader: _	Title/Signature	Print	Date	
Reviewer: _	Title/Signature	Print	 Date	

Page 5

CCRB Case # 201608484