## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Arlyn Rodriguez		Team # 3	201210577	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Tuesday, 07/03/2012 7:30 AM		§ 87(2)(b)		88	1/3/2014	1/3/2014
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	e Received at CCI	₹B
Thu, 08/16/2012 9:49 AM		CCRB	Phone	Thu, 08/16	6/2012 9:49 AM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess			
Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. CPT Scott Henderson	00000	915880	088 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. SGT Robin Lewis	01985	914122	INT CIS			
Officer(s)	Allegation	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.CPT Scott Henderson	Abuse: 0	Cpt. Scott Henderson sup	pervised the entry an in Brooklyn.	d search		

## **Case Summary**

On August 16, 2012, $87(2)(6)$ a non-witnessing complainant, filed a complaint via telephone with the CCRB on behalf of her husband, $87(2)(6)$ (encl. B1 – B-2). On July 3, 2012, at approximately 7:30 a.m., officers from the $88^{th}$ Precinct and the Intelligence Criminal Section entered and searched $87(2)(6)$ s residence, located at $87(2)(6)$ , in Brooklyn. The following allegation resulted from the officers' actions:							
• Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: Officers entered and searched of S87(2)(0) in Brooklyn.  887(2)(0)							
Dogulto of Language and and							
Results of Investigation  Complainant/Victim: \$87(2)(b)  is a \$87(2)(b) -old Hispanic male who is 5 '5" tall, weighs 200 pounds, and has brown eyes.							
Notice of Claim  On \$87(2)(b) filed a Notice of Claim against the City of New York (encl. C-1 – C-2). \$37(2)(b) stated within the Notice of Claim that officers unlawfully entered and searched his home. \$87(2)(b) was unable to identify any of the subject officers.							
CCRB Testimony On September 19, 2012, \$87(2)(6) was interviewed at the CCRB (encl. C-4 – C-7).  Any							
additions or exceptions are noted below.  On July 3, 2012, at approximately 7:30 a.m., and a service of the search and a service of the search and a service of the search and service of the search and service of the search and searched and service of the search and searched and search and searched and search and searched and search an							

Page 2 CCRB Case # 201210577

NYPD Statements
● § 87(2)(g)
NYPD Documents
• Search warrant number contained the following information (encl. E-2): IDS # \$87(2)(5) Safenet # \$14122, from the Intelligence Criminal Section, and supervisor Cpt. Scott Henderson, Tax # 915880, from the 88 <sup>th</sup> Precinct. The warrant was obtained on \$37(2)(5) and was executed on July 3, 2012. The aforesaid information was obtained from the NYPD's Monitoring Analysis Section (MAS).
Status of Civil Proceedings  • \$87(2)(6) filed a Notice of Claim with the City of New York on \$87(2)(6) (encl. C-1 – C-2) and is suing for \$5,000,000.00. The civil suit is still pending. An email received from the Comptroller's Office on October 9, 2012 stated that a 50h Hearing is scheduled for \$87(2)(6) in regards to this civil suit.
Criminal Conviction History
● § 87(2)(b)
CCRB History of Civilians
• This is the first CCRB complaint filed by \$87(2)(b) (encl. A-2) and \$87(2)(b) (encl. A-3).
CCRB History of Subject Officers Cpt. Henderson has been a member of the service for 17 years and has four substantiated CCRB allegations against him (enc. A-1).
• In CCRB case number 9903758, the NYPD administered instructions to Cpt. Henderson based on a CCRB substantiation of two stop and frisk allegations. The NYPD disposition date is not available.
• In CCRB case number 200205513, in November 2003, the NYPD administered command discipline A to Cpt. Henderson for a substantiated discourtesy (word) allegation and a discourtesy (action) allegation.
Conclusion  Identification of Subject Officers
<ul> <li>Cpt. Henderson is listed as the supervising officer on the search warrant.</li> </ul>
Allegations Not Pleaded

## **Investigative Findings and Recommendations**

Anegation A – Abuse of Authority			Drooklyll
It is undisputed that officers	s entered and searched § 87(2)(	s residence in connection with	a valid
		, in the Bronx, was obtained	
		inal Procedure Law (CPL) 690.50 §1, "a	n officer may
forcibly enter and search a residence	e to execute the warrant (en	cl. AA-1)." § 87(2)(9)	
Team: <u>3</u>			
Investigator	Arlun Dodriguez	10/05/2012	
Investigator:Signature	Arlyn Rodriguez Print		
Signature	Time	Date	
Supervisor:			
Title/Signature	Print	Date	
Reviewer:			
Title/Signature	Print	Date	
Reviewer:			
Title/Signature	Print	Date	