CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force		Discourt.	U.S.
Sara Griffin		Squad #4	201809808	Ø	Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	1	P	recinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Thursday, 11/15/2018 6:00 AM		§ 87(2)(b)			113	5/	15/2020	12/30/2020
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:		Date/Time	Rece	ived at CCI	RB
Thu, 11/15/2018 1:20 PM		IAB	Phone	Mon, 11/26/2018 11:02 AM			Л	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess					
Witness(es) Home Address								
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. DT3 Steven Acevedo	4092	949959	NARCBQS					
2. Officers			NARCBQS					
Officer(s)	Allegation	on			Inve	stiga	tor Recon	nmendation
A.DT3 Steven Acevedo	Abuse: I	Detective Steven Aceved	o entered § 87(2)(b) in Queens.					
B.DT3 Steven Acevedo	Abuse: I	Detective Steven Aceved	lo searched § 87(2)(b)					
C. Officers	Abuse: (Officers damaged § 87(2)(b)	in Queens.					

Case Summary

On November 15, 2018, \$87(2)(5) called IAB and filed the following complaint. On November 26, 2018, IAB referred the complaint to the CCRB via Log # 18-45595.									
On November 15, 2019, at approximately 6:00 a.m., Detective Steven Acevedo of Narcotics Bureau Queens South entered and searched \$87(2)(6) in Queens (Allegation A and Allegation B: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(9) during the execution of a valid no-knock search warrant and with the assistance of other unidentified officers from Narcotics Bureau Queens South. Unidentified officers allegedly damaged property during the search (Allegation C: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(9)). No summonses were issued or arrests were made during the incident.									
There is no video footage capturing the incident.									
Findings and Recommendations									
Allegation A- Abuse of Authority: Detective Steven Acevedo entered in Queens. Allegation B- Abuse of Authority: Detective Steven Acevedo searched in Queens.									
The investigation determined that the entry into and search of and search of an accordance with valid Queens County no-knock search warrant number are accordance with valid Queens County no-knock search warrant number (Board Review 1). The search warrant specifies entry is to be made between 6:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m.									
In his complaint to IAB (Board Review 2), \$87(2)(b) alleged entry was made at approximately 5:50 a.m. \$87(2)(b) was uncooperative with the investigation and, therefore, a sworn statement was not obtained.									
Det. Acevedo's memo book (Board Review 3) lists the time of the search warrant execution as 6:00 a.m.									
The Post Warrant Tactical Form indicates that the search warrant was executed at approximately 6:00 a.m. (Board Review 4).									
<u>Patrol Guide Procedure 221-17</u> (Board Review 8) states that a search warrant must be executed within ten days of issuance and within the hours of 6:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m.									
§ 87(2)(g)									

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201809808

Allegation C- Abuse of Authority: Officers damaged §87(2)(6) support sproperty. In his complaint to IAB (Board Review 2), \$87(2)(b) alleged that several items were damaged during the execution of the search warrant including: bedroom doors, perfume bottles, a computer, and an iPad. §87(2)(b) did not specify who the items belonged to or provide a description of the officers who allegedly damaged the property. § 87(2)(9) **Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories** • This is the first CCRB complaint to which \(\frac{897(2)}{2} \) has been a party (Board Review 5). Det. Acevedo has been a member of service for seven years and has been a subject in four CCRB complaints and five allegations, of which two were substantiated (Board Review 6): o 201401800 involved substantiated allegations of refusal to provide name and shield against Det. Acevedo. The Board recommended instructions and the NYPD imposed instructions. 201508477 involved substantiated allegations of entry and search of premises against Det. Acevedo. The Board recommended formalized training and the NYPD imposed formalized training. **Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories** This complaint was not suitable for mediation. § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(c) On March 7, 2019, an inquiry was sent to the Office of the New York City Comptroller regarding any notice of claim filed by \$87(2)(b) regarding the incident. The results will be added to the case file upon their receipt. Squad No.: Investigator: Signature Print Title & Name Date Squad Leader: ____ Signature Print Title & Name Date

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201809808

Reviewer:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Page 4

CCRB Case # 201809808