- Martinez v. City of New York et al, 14-cv-06943 filed in the Eastern District of New York, settled for \$80,000 in 2016, without any admission of fault or liability by the defendants.
- Jorgensen v. Rivera et al, 15-cv-04017

 filed in the Eastern District of New York, settled
 in 2015, without any admission of fault or liability by the defendants.
- 10. Agoro v. The City of New York et al, 15-cv-07249 filed in the Eastern District of New York, settled in 2016, without any admission of fault or liability by the defendants.
- 11. Palomini v. The City of New York et al, 11-cv-07004 filed in the Southern District of New York, settled for \$5,001 in 2012, without any admission of fault or liability by the defendants.

In the cases that settled, a stipulation of settlement and order of dismissal with prejudice was filed with the respective court, indicating, in sum and substance, that nothing in the settlement shall be construed as an admission or concession of liability by any of the defendants or the City of New York regarding any of the allegations made by the plaintiffs in their complaints, or that any of the plaintiffs' rights under the Federal or New York Constitutions or Statutes had been violated.

A review of Detective Maida's Central Personnel Index (CPI) revealed the following:

 On January 30, 2018, Detective Maida had a substantiated departmental investigation for an invoice discrepancy involving controlled substances that were sent to the NYPD Laboratory. Detective Maida was given verbal instructions as a result.

The People reserve the right to move *in limine* to preclude reference to this information, or otherwise to object to its use or introduction into evidence during trial.

Should you wish to discuss this matter, please do not hesitate to call me at Ext.] during office hours.	
	Sincerely,

cc: Clerk of the Court