## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force		Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Alex Guinn		Squad #14	202102788	Ø	Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•		18 N	Mo. SO	OL	Precinct:
Monday, 04/26/2021 5:09 PM		§ 87(2)(b)			10/	26/202	22	75
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:		Date/Tin	ne Rece	eived at CCI	RB
Mon, 04/26/2021 7:32 PM		IAB	Phone		Thu, 05/0	06/202	1 10:47 AM	[
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. POM Steve Torres	17913	959310	075 PCT					
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name					
1. POM Gerard Duffy	27158	968385	075 PCT					
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inv	vestiga	ator Recon	nmendation
A.POM Steve Torres	Abuse: Police Officer Steve Torres refused to provide his name to \$87(2)(b)							
B.POM Steve Torres	Abuse: Police Officer Steve Torres refused to provide his shield number to § 87(2)(b)			s				
§ 87(4-b) § 87(2)(g)								

<u>Case Summary</u>	
On April 26, 2021, § 87(2)(b) called 911 and was transferred to	the Internal
Affairs Bureau (IAB) where she filed the following complaint. The CCRB receive	ved the case from
IAB on May 6, 2021.	
On April 26, 2021, at approximately 5:09 p.m., \$87(2)(5) called the	e police to report
that a package was stolen, a few days prior, from her residence, §87(2)(b)	
in Brooklyn. Police Officer Gerard Duffy and Police Officer Steve Torres, bo	oth of the 75 <sup>th</sup>
Precinct, arrived at \$87(2)(b) s apartment. PO Duffy and PO Torres spoke t	
about the incident, and prior to the officers leaving the scene, §87(2)(b) alle	
Duffy and PO Torres for their names and shield numbers. PO Torres allegedly re	
his name and shield number to §87(2)(b) (Allegations A and B: Abuse of A	
). PO Duffy and PO Torres then left the location. No arrests or	
resulted from this incident.	
§ 87(4-b) § 87(2)(g)	
There is no body-worn camera evidence in this case, \$87(2)(9)	
,	
Findings and Recommendations	
Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Steve Torres refused to pr	<u>rovide his name to</u>
§ 87(2)(b)	
Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Steve Torres refused to pr	ovide his shield
number to 887(2)(b)	
The investigation established the following facts:	
On April 26, 2021, at approximately 5:09 p.m., \$87(2)(b) called the	
that a package was stolen, a few days prior, from her residence located at \$87(2)(b)	
, in Brooklyn. PO Duffy and PO Torres responded to \$87(2)(6)	
spoke with her about the incident. PO Duffy and PO Torres remained outside of	
door as they spoke with her, and \$87(2)(b) stood in her doorway. As \$87(2)(b)	
explaining the situation, PO Duffy and PO Torres received a radio call for a prio	
Torres explained to \$87(2)(b) that they had to leave to attend to the job. \$87(2)	
confused that PO Duffy and PO Torres had to leave, but the officers informed	
police report would be generated for her incident, and they left the scene. At no	point did PO Duffy
or PO Torres return to \$87(2)(b) s apartment.	
testified that after PO Torres explained that he and PO Du	
to an emergency job, she asked the officers if they were going to give her a refer	
her police report, and PO Duffy told \$87(2)(b) that she would have to call the	
obtain her reference number. Then, as \$87(2)(b) continued to stand in her de	
both PO Duffy and PO Torres if she could have their names and shield numbers	
Duffy provided his name and shield number. However, PO Torres had his arm u	
door and was turned sideways in such a manner that \$87(2)(b) could not see	
shield number. Thus, \$87(2)(b) turned to PO Torres and asked, again, if she	
name and shield number, but PO Torres did not look at \$87(2)(b) or say any	
turned back towards PO Duffy and asked if she could have PO Torres	
number. PO Duffy told \$87(2)(b) that she did not need PO Torres' name an	
asked PO Duffy why she could not have PO Torres' name and sh	
PO Duffy informed \$87(2)(b) that she did not need his name and shield num	nber because he
. 1 001 . 11 01 11 (75 01)	
was not the officer taking care of her complaint (BR 01).	

PO Duffy and PO Torres consistently testified that after PO Torres explained to

police report n Duffy testified his name and s number. Simila and shield num	umber from the precinct that at no point during the hield number, as \$87(2)(b) arly, PO Torres testified	priority job, they informed her that stationhouse and they proceeded to the interaction did PO Torres refuse never asked PO Torres for that he did not recall \$37(2)(5) at he refused to provide his name of	to the leave the scene. PO to provide \$87(2)(b) his name and shield asking him for his name
§ 87(4-b) § 87(2)(g)			_
<ul> <li>PO To complete</li> <li>This complete</li> <li>As of Sometimes</li> <li>Notice</li> </ul>	the first CCRB complainers has been a memberaints and 55 allegations, 201807424 involved suphysical), discourtesy—Formalized Training ans 87(2)(g)  Mediation omplaint was not suitable September 30, 2021, the	and Officer CCRB Histories Int to which \$37(2)(b) has been of-service for six years and has been of which four were substantiated: Industrial allegations of two three word, and stop of person. The Board the NYPD imposed Formalized  In the NYPD imposed Formalized to the NYPD imposed Formalized to the NYPD imposed Formalized to the Compared to this complaint (BR 06).	en a subject in 14 CCRB at of force (verbal or pard recommended Training.
Squad: _14	·		
Investigator:	_Alex Guinn_ Signature	Inv. Alex Guinn Print Title & Name	09/27/21 Date
Squad Leader:	_Cassandra Fenkel Signature	I <u>M Cassandra Fenkel</u> Print Title & Name	09/28/2021 Date

Reviewer:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date