CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Mac Muir		Squad #12	201903944	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Saturday, 05/04/2019 2:32 PM		71st Precinct stationhouse		71	11/4/2020	6/21/2021
Date/Time CV Reported	ne CV Reported C		How CV Reported	l: Date/Time	Received at CCI	RB
Tue, 05/07/2019 6:50 PM		CCRB Call Processing System Tue, 05/07/2019 6:50 P		7/2019 6:50 PM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address				
Witness(es)		Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Shawn Wilson	05417	956336	071 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	No Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM Timothy Williams	04793	966908	071 PCT			
2. POM Joseph Frisina	07265	961522	071 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegati	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.POM Shawn Wilson	Abuse: I recordin	Police Officer Shawn Wig device.	b)			

Case Summary

On May 7, 2019, § 87(2)(b) submitted this complaint to the CCRB via the Call Processing System.

At 2:32 p.m. on May 4, 2019, \$37(2)(b) walked into the 71st Precinct stationhouse, where he was arrested for \$37(2)(b) by Police Officer Timothy Williams of the 71st Precinct (Board Review 01). \$37(2)(b) was placed into a cell. Police Officer Shawn Wilson of the 71st Precinct allegedly unlocked \$37(2)(b) cellphone without his permission and was in possession of his unlocked phone for an unknown period of time (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority – Search of Recording Device: \$37(2)(b) cellphone without his permission and was in possession of his unlocked phone for an unknown period of time (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority – Search of Recording Device: \$37(2)(b) cellphone without his permission and was in possession of his unlocked phone for an unknown period of time (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority – Search of Recording Device: \$37(2)(b) cellphone without his permission and was in possession of his unlocked phone for an unknown period of time (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority – Search of Recording Device: \$37(2)(b) cellphone without his permission and was in possession of his unlocked phone for an unknown period of time (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority – Search of Recording Device: \$37(2)(b) cellphone without his permission and was in possession of his unlocked phone for an unknown period of time (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority – Search of Recording Device: \$37(2)(b) cellphone without his permission and was in possession of his unlocked phone for an unknown period of time (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority – Search of Recording Device: \$37(2)(b) cellphone without his permission and was in possession of his unlocked phone for an unknown period of time (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority – Search of Recording Device: \$37(2)(b) cellphone without his permission and was in possession of his unlocked phone for an unknown period of time (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority – Search of Recording Device: \$37(2)(b) cellphone without his permission and was in possession of his unlocked phone without his permission and w

The investigation obtained body-worn camera footage of this incident (Board Review 02) (Board Review 03) (Board Review 04). The investigation also obtained stationhouse footage for this case (Board Review 05). However, none of this footage captured the duration of [887(2)(0)] time in the stationhouse cells.

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Shawn Wilson searched recording device.

provided a telephone statement on May 15, 2019 (Board Review 06) and a sworn statement on May 23, 2019 (Board Review 07). He stated that on the afternoon of May 4, 2019, he went to the 71st Precinct stationhouse. He was responding to a call from Police Officer Joseph Frisina of the 71st Precinct. Set(2)(b) was arrested. While he was being held in the cells, he asked to make a phone call. Police Officer Wilson entered the cell area with Set(2)(b) iPhone and handed it to Set(2)(b) iPhone was unlocked. Set(2)(c) iPhone had been locked and could only be opened with a passcode or facial recognition. Police Officer Wilson had not held the phone to Set(2)(b) face, leading him to believe that Police Officer Wilson had obtained his passcode. Set(2)(c) called his sister and Police Officer Wilson left without further incident.

The body-worn camera footage of Police Officer Wilson (Board Review 04), Police Officer Williams (Board Review 03), and Police Officer Frisina (Board Review 02), showed [87(2)(b)] cellphone being vouchered. The footage did not show any officer providing him with his cellphone in the cell area.

Police Officer Wilson was interviewed at the CCRB on August 30, 2019 (Board Review 08). He was not aware of any officer providing with a cellphone while he was in a cell. He did not think he gave strong his cellphone, nor did he remember doing so. He stated that prisoners would not normally be allowed to make calls from their personal cellphones, and he did not remember strong doing this. Police Officer Wilson denied going through strong cellphone.

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201903944

was not aware of an officer handing \$87(2)(b) his cellphone while he was in the cell. He was not aware of \$87(2)(b) cellphone being unlocked.
Police Officer Joseph Frisina was interviewed at the CCRB on September 24, 2019 (Board Review 10). He was not aware of anyone giving \$37(2)(5) at a cellphone when he was in a cell.
Police Officer Wilson's memo book (Board Review 11) indicates that \$87(2)(b) was arrested by Police Officer Williams at 2:32 p.m. on May 4, 2019. It notes that \$87(2)(b) cellphone and accessories were vouchered by Police Officer Williams.
The stationhouse footage obtained by the investigation (Board Review 05) did not capture the time when §87(2)(6) was in the cells.
§ 87(2)(g)
Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories
 This is the second CCRB complaint to which \$87(2)(b) has been a party (Board Review 12). \$87(2)(b) Police Officer Wilson has been a member-of-service for five years and has been a subject of four CCRB allegations in three complaints, none of which were substantiated.
 Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories § 87(2)(b) declined to mediate this complaint.
• §87(2)(b) criminal case associated with this incident remains open. His next court date is scheduled for §87(2)(b) (Board Review 13).
§ 87(2)(b)
 On October 24, 2019, a request for any Notices of Claim regarding this incident was submitted to the New York City Comptroller's Office. The results will be added to the case file upon receipt.

Police Officer Williams was interviewed at the CCRB on August 8, 2019 (Board Review 09). He

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201903944

Squad 12			
Investigator:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
	Signature	Fillit Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

CCRB Case # 201903944