

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Daniel Gavin	Team: Squad #1	CCRB Case #: 201807334	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Wednesday, 09/05/2018 9:35 AM	Location of Incident: Baisley Boulevard and 160th Street	Precinct: 113	18 Mo. SOL 3/5/2020	EO SOL 3/5/2020	
Date/Time CV Reported Wed, 09/05/2018 10:35 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 09/05/2018 10:35 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Matthew Brustad	22510	952505	113 PCT
2. POM Brian Nelson	15828	957895	113 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Matthew Brustad	Abuse: Police Officer Matthew Brustad refused to provide his name and shield number to § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM Brian Nelson	Abuse: Police Officer Brian Nelson refused to provide his name and shield number to § 87(2)(b)	
C.POM Brian Nelson	Abuse: Police Officer Brian Nelson refused to provide his name and shield number to § 87(2)(b)	
D.POM Matthew Brustad	Abuse: Police Officer Matthew Brustad refused to provide his name and shield number to § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On September 5, 2018, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB.

On September 5, 2018, at approximately 9:35 a.m., § 87(2)(b) was in a car with his cousin, § 87(2)(b) the driver of the car, when § 87(2)(b) was pulled over for speeding on Baisley Boulevard. Two officers, PO Matthew Brustad and PO Brian Nelson, of the 113th Precinct, approached the vehicle and asked for license and registration. § 87(2)(b) asked for the officers' badge numbers. Neither officer provided their badge. § 87(2)(b) identified PO Nelson because he was able to see his name on his badge. § 87(2)(b) estimated that he asked the officers for their badge numbers three times.

§ 87(2)(b) was contacted regarding his complaint on September 6, 2018. § 87(2)(b) provided a phone statement and explained that he was a member of the military and was stationed in North Carolina. § 87(2)(b) was contacted on September 7, 2018, and § 87(2)(b) provided a phone statement. § 87(2)(b) rescheduled his interview twice, and failed to appear for his interview. § 87(2)(b) told the undersigned that § 87(2)(b) would be present for his interview, which was scheduled for the same time as § 87(2)(b)'s interview. § 87(2)(b) failed to appear for his interview. On September 17, 2018, § 87(2)(b) contacted the CCRB and stated that he did not want to provide an in-person statement. From September 17, 2018, to October 3, 2018, § 87(2)(b) was called five times at the number he provided. § 87(2)(b) did not respond to any phone calls. On October 3, 2018, § 87(2)(b) was contacted and confirmed that he did not want to provide an in-person statement. A letter was sent to § 87(2)(b)'s address on September 27, 2018. § 87(2)(b) did not acknowledge receipt of this letter.

As of October 9, 2018, none of the letters sent to § 87(2)(b)'s address have been returned by USPS as undeliverable, and § 87(2)(b) has not contacted the CCRB. Video footage is not available for this case.

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g) § 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

Squad No.: _____

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Squad Leader: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Reviewer:

Signature

Print Title & Name

Date