

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Cassandra Fendley	Team: Team # 3	CCRB Case #: 201208438	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Saturday, 06/23/2012 9:00 PM	Location of Incident: Myrtle Avenue and North Portland Avenue	Precinct: 88	18 Mo. SOL 12/23/2013	EO SOL 12/23/2013	
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 07/02/2012 12:51 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: On-line website	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 07/02/2012 12:51 PM		
<b>Complainant/Victim</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Home Address</b>			
<b>Witness(es)</b>	<b>Home Address</b>				
<b>Subject Officer(s)</b>	<b>Shield</b>	<b>TaxID</b>	<b>Command</b>		
1. POM Kaz Daughtry	28690	940052	PSA 3		
<b>Officer(s)</b>	<b>Allegation</b>			<b>Investigator Recommendation</b>	
A.POM Kaz Daughtry	Abuse: PO Kaz Daughtry questioned § 87(2)(b)				

### Case Summary

Between the dates of July 5, 2012, and July 9, 2012, two calls were placed to § 87(2)(b) both of which she returned. A call was placed to § 87(2)(b) on July 10, 2012, and § 87(2)(b) accepted mediation. § 87(2)(b)'s case was referred to the Mediation Unit on July 13, 2012, but was returned on March 27, 2013, because § 87(2)(b) rejected mediation. Between the dates of March 28, 2013, and April 8, 2013, four calls were placed to § 87(2)(b)'s cell phone number, three calls were placed to her work phone number, and two letters were mailed to her. On April 8, 2013, § 87(2)(b) called and scheduled an appointment for April 12, 2013. § 87(2)(b) missed her scheduled appointment on April 12, 2013, without prior notification to the undersigned investigation. Later that day, a missed appointment letter was mailed to § 87(2)(b) and was not returned. That same day, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) and an appointment was scheduled for April 19, 2013.

On April 19, 2013, § 87(2)(b) appeared at the CCRB for her scheduled appointment, but decided that she did not want to make a statement regarding her complaint. § 87(2)(b) also did not want to withdraw her complaint. It was explained to § 87(2)(b) that her case would be closed as complainant uncooperative. § 87(2)(b) was fine with that outcome as long as a record of a complaint remained in the subject officer's CCRB history. § 87(2)(g)

---

Team: 3

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print	Date

Supervisor:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date

Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date

Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date