

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Grace Perry	Team: Team # 6	CCRB Case #: 201213271	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Thursday, 10/11/2012 10:45 AM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b) and 052 PCT Stationhouse (3016 Webster Avenue)		Precinct: 52	18 Mo. SOL 4/11/2014	EO SOL 4/11/2014
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 10/12/2012 12:38 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 10/12/2012 12:38 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. Officers			
2. POM Paul White	25819	947872	052 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. SGT Joseph Mineo	04910	916239	052 PCT
2. POM Daniel Groth	23788	930279	052 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A. Officers	Off. Language: Officers made remarks to § 87(2)(b) based on economic status.	
B. Officers	Force: Officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
C. Officers	Force: Officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
D.POM Paul White	Force: Paul White used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	

### Case Summary

On October 12, 2012, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via telephone. That same day, § 87(2)(b) also filed a civilian complaint report at the 52<sup>nd</sup> Precinct stationhouse, which immediately faxed to the CCRB. On October 17, 2012, § 87(2)(b) provided a telephone statement. § 87(2)(g)

In his initial complaint, § 87(2)(b) identified one of the subject officers as PO Paul White. § 87(2)(b) arrest report confirms PO White was his arresting officer. There is no additional information in available police documents that confirms the identity of the other subject officers. § 87(2)(g)

On October 17, 2012, the undersigned investigator scheduled § 87(2)(b) for an in-person interview at the CCRB on October 24, 2012. § 87(2)(b) did not appear for his appointment, without calling ahead of time to cancel or reschedule. On October 24, 2012, the undersigned investigator sent § 87(2)(b) a “missed appointment” letter and left him a voicemail to reschedule his interview. § 87(2)(b) did not respond to these contact attempts between October 24, 2012 and January 7, 2013. On January 7, 2012, and January 10, 2013, the undersigned investigator called § 87(2)(b) and both times an automated message stated the caller was unable to accept calls at that time. A January 8, 2013, search of the New York City Department of Correction Inmate Lookup Service confirms § 87(2)(b) is not and has not been incarcerated.

§ 87(2)(g)

---

Team: \_\_\_\_\_

Investigator: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Print Date

Supervisor: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date