CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	U.S.
Gabriel Paniza		Team # 8	200610012	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Saturday, 07/29/2006 9:00 PM		§ 87(2)(b)		43	1/29/2008	1/29/2008
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCI	RB
Mon, 07/31/2006 4:07 PM		CCRB	Phone	Mon, 07/3	1/2006 4:07 PM	
Complainant/Victim Type		Home Address				
Witness(es)		Home Addre	SS			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Matthew Zito	15264	937792	H BX/Q			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM Wilson Verdesoto	26997	937685	BX/Q IM			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.POM Matthew Zito	Abuse: P	Abuse: PO Matthew Zito searched §87(2)(b)				
B.POM Matthew Zito	Abuse: P	Abuse: PO Matthew Zito issued a summons to § 87(2)(b)				
C.POM Matthew Zito		Abuse: PO Matthew Zito refused to provide his name and shield number to \$87(2)(b)				

<u>Synopsis</u>
On July 29, 2006 around 9PM \$87(2)(b) was returning to her apartment with a bottle of alcohol
in a bag when she decided to go into her mother's apartment inside \$87(2)(b) to use the
bathroom. She set down the bag with the alcohol next to a friend and went inside. As she was going inside
she saw PO Matthew Zito and PO Wilson Verdesoto of the Housing Borough Bronx/Queens Impact
Response Team, walking by. When 887(2)(b) came back out to pick up her bag PO Zito allegedly ran over,
grabbed the bag before she could pick it up and began to search it (allegation A). §87(2)(b) protested, and
PO Zito allegedly stated that she could not talk back to him, and that he was going to give her a disorderly
conduct summons (allegation B). § 87(2)(b) asked PO Zito for his name and shield number, but PO Zito
ignored her (allegation C). PO Zito then gave \$87(2)(b) a summons for \$87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(g)

Summary of Complaint

is a § 87(2)(b) -old black female. § 87(2)(b) works as a § 87(2)(b) made her complaint with the CCRB over the phone on July 31, 2006. \$87(2)(b) provided an initial telephonic statement on August 7, 2006 (encl. 6) \$87(2)(b) was interviewed by the CCRB in her apartment , in the Bronx (encl. 7). Discrepancies between the two statements are noted in the summary.

On July 29, 2006 at around 9 PM § 87(2)(b) was going back to her apartment after buying a fifth of liquor, when she felt the need to go to the bathroom. She set down the unopened fifth mother's apartment, which is on the first floor of 887(2)(b) of liquor, which was in a plastic "liquor bag", next to a neighbor named § 87(2)(b) who was standing by a large picnic and birthday celebration being held by a large number of people in an enclosed space between In her initial statement § 87(2)(b) stated that she was with § 87(2) before she had to go to the bathroom. As she went into the building she could see two officers coming out. PO1 was a White male, between 5'9" and 6', over 200 pounds, with blond hair and clean-shaven (PO1 was identified during the investigation as PO Matthew Zito). PO2 was a male, 5'4", with black hair and fair skin. In her initial statement she stated PO2 was Asian (PO2 was identified during the investigation as PO Wilson Verdesoto), §37(2)(b) stated that she had set down the liquor by the time she saw PO Zito and PO Verdesoto and she stated the officers could not have seen her put down the bag with the liquor.

When § 97(2)(b) came out of the bathroom less than 10 or 15 minutes later she saw the two officers were nearly outside the area of the building, by the fence leading to a path into Story Avenue. PO Zito turned around and came back up to where she was and took the bag with the liquor, which he proceeded to search, destroying the bag (allegation A). § 37(2) asked why he was searching and destroying the bag, and PO Zito told her it was because he felt like it. \$37(2)6 told him it was not appropriate for him to do that, and PO Zito responded that he could do what he wanted to do. PO Zito then said that as a matter of fact, § 37(2)(b) could not speak back to him, and since she was getting smart, he would issue her a disorderly conduct summons (allegation B). PO Zito put down the alcohol and began to check the back of his book. PO Verdesoto remained silent and did not interact with \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) characterized PO Zito as very "cocky and nasty." People from the picnic were looking at the scene and what was going on. \$87(2)(b) stated that she never used any profanities at the officer, nor was she screaming, or acting up. PO Zito then stated that he would shut down the picnic as well. \$87(2)(6) stated that PO Zito probably said this believing \$87(2)(b) was involved in the picnic. \$87(2)(b) asked PO Zito for his name and shield number. PO Zito ignored her (allegation C), so \$87(2)(b) got a pen from a friend who works for \$87(2)(b) and wrote down his name and shield information from his shirt. Initially in her statement stated that the officer gave her his name and shield. § 37(2)(b) did not allege the officer had refused to give her his name and shield in her initial phone statement. PO Zito told \$87(2)(6) to get away from him, to move to the side. PO Zito gave \$87(2)(b) a \$87(2)(b) summons. \$87(2)(b) stated that she asked PO Zito for his precinct, and PO Zito told her he came from PSA8. When PO Zito was finished, he told she could pick up her alcohol, and left.

and that officer told her he worked for PSA7. In her initial statement \$87(2)(b) referred to PO Zito as Rosario, but when asked who was PO Zito, given that was the name on her summons, \$87(2)(b) stated she always meant Zito, but that she had been mispronouncing Zito as Rosario. \$87(2)(b) did not provide a last name or any contact information for \$87(2)(b)

Results of Investigation

Officer Statements

PO Matthew Zito

PO Matthew Zito is a \$87(2)(b) year old white male, 6'2" tall, who weighs 250 pounds and has brown hair and eyes. On July 29, 2006 PO Zito as working with PSA 7 IRT, a borough housing command that covers the Bronx. He was assigned to a foot patrol in uniform with PO Verdesoto in Monroe Houses. In his memo book (encl. 9) PO Zito wrote: 2100: One female stopped f/o \$87(2)(b) female was acting loud and boistr. \$87(2)(b) warrent MDT no hit. ADD \$87(2)(b) sum \$87(2)(b) \$0.96X1 PO Zito/Verdesotto." [Sic] PO Zito was interviewed by the CCRB on February 9, 2007 (encl. 10) and again on March 15, 2007 (encl. 11).

At 9:00PM PO Zito and his partner responded to a call of individuals drinking inside of an enclosed playground across the street from \$87(2)(b) PO Zito stated that there was no special event going on that day. When they arrived at the playground his partner gave someone a summons for drinking. PO Zito then saw three closed twelve-ounce bottles of beer sitting below a bench inside the playground. The beers were not in any sort of bags or containers. PO Zito asked whose beers those were. No one in the crowd claimed the beers. PO Zito asked again, and when no one claimed them again he took the beers and threw them out. Seconds after he did so a woman, § 87(2)(b) came and began to scream that all the officers did was come and harass them in their neighborhood, and that those were her beers and PO Zito had had no right to throw them out. PO Zito had not seen her come out at [37(2)b] had been in the park when the officers had arrived. § 37(2)(b) had earlier stated that the beers were not hers when PO Zito asked her directly if she owned those beers. PO Zito and his partner tried to get the group to disperse, but they group did not want to disperse because of §87(2)(b) PO Zito gave \$87(2)(5) orders to stop screaming. After about five minutes of the woman screaming, PO Zito gave her a summons for 87(2)(b) . PO Zito gave her that summons because she was screaming loudly inside the playground as a crowd watched. PO Zito stated that he could have also given her a summons for having had glass bottles in a park once she admitted the beers were hers. PO Zito got her identifying information from a state issued identification. While he was writing the summons \$87(2)(b) asked him for his name and shield number. PO Zito told \$87(2)(b) his name and shield number. PO Zito recalled §87(2)(b) having a pen with her, but did not recall if she wrote down his information. PO Verdesoto was present when she asked for his name and shield. The whole incident took between five and ten minutes and ended after he gave her the summons.

PO Wilson Verdesoto

PO Wilson Verdesoto is a sar(2)(b) year old Hispanic male, 5'10" tall, who weighs 220 pounds, had brown hair, and brown eyes. On July 29, 2006 PO Verdesoto was assigned to a foot post inside the Monroe Houses, working for Housing Borough Bronx/Queens IRT. PO Verdesoto was working from 6:00PM to 2:35AM, and was patrolling on foot. PO Verdesoto was interviewed by the CCRB on March 2, 2007 (encl. 13).

PO Verdesoto had no recollection of this incident. PO Verdesoto stated that he did not recall who the other officers assigned to Monroe Houses that day were, and stated that there were about six posts total that day. PO Verdesoto did not recall working with PO Zito that day. PO Verdesoto confirmed that six part of Monroe Houses. PO Verdesoto stated that July 29 was a "Family Day," a day on which the development has a large picnic, and stated that half the development would be drinking that day. PO Verdesoto did not recall giving any summonses for drinking outside. PO Verdesoto had no entries in his memo book for 9:00PM. PO Verdesoto stated that the only male Asian he could think of working in his command that day was PO Zhang, but he did not know if PO Zhang was assigned to work Monroe Houses that day.

Police Documents

According to the roll call for tour 3 for the Housing Borough Bronx/Queens Impact Response Team PO Zito as assigned to Post Sector 27, Bronx River. PO Verdesoto was assigned to Post sector 25,

Bronx River. PO Zhang was assigned to Post Sector 26, St. Mary (encl. 15). A request for a SPRINT report for the area came back negative (encl. 18). **Disposition of Summons** Summons § 87(2)(b) (Encl. 8) could not be found on the electronic court system records. (Encl. 15) Officer CCRB History PO Matthew Zito has had no CCRB allegations substantiated against him that have led to the imposition of discipline. (Encl. 2) **Complainant Criminal Conviction History** [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)] **Conclusions and Recommendations** Officer Identification PO Matthew Zito was identified as the subject officer by the summons he issued to \$87(2)(b) PO Zito recorded the incident on his memo book and admitted to speaking with \$87(2)(b) on the date of the incident. Based on \$87(2)(b) s testimony, one allegation of searching \$87(2)(b) s bag, one allegation of a retaliatory summons, and one allegation of refusal to provide name and shield are all pleaded against PO Zito. **Undisputed Facts** PO Zito issued § 87(2)(b) a summons for § 87(2)(b) **Disputed Facts Assessment of Evidence** § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)	
Allegation A: PO Matthew Zito search stated that the incideral alcohol belonging to her and searched to	ent began when PO Zito grabbed a bag holding half a gallon of
	no bag of alcohol and that the multiple small bottles of beer were Zito's testimony there was no search at all. §87(2)(9)
Zito searching her bag containing alcohorefused to obey repeated orders to stop The Patrol Guide states that of individuals for violations and that officereasonable cause to believe the offense	to issued her a summons in retaliation for her complaints about PO nol. PO Zito stated that §87(2)(6) was issued a summons after she
She made no allegate sworn statement, she mentioned PO Zit rudely ignored him, and that she had go	sed to provide his name and shield number to \$87(2)(b) ion of this when she initially called the CCRB. When giving her to ignoring her request only under questioning, claiming he had otten the information from his uniform. an officer must state his information when asked by a member of
Investigator:	Date:
Supervisor:	Date:
Reviewed by:	Date:
Reviewed by:	Date: