

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Jessica Russo	Team: Squad #11	CCRB Case #: 202003688	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Thursday, 05/28/2020 4:20 PM, Thursday, 05/28/2020 5:00 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b) and the 46th Precinct Stationhouse	Precinct: 46	18 Mo. SOL 11/28/2021	EO SOL 5/4/2022	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 05/29/2020 7:45 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: On-line website	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 05/29/2020 7:45 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Ramon Garcia	16636	960576	046 PCT
2. POM Fausto Ramirez	10218	956787	046 PCT
3. POM Thomas Accomando	08316	949957	046 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Luis Candelaria	10516	953720	046 PCT
2. LT Jeffrey Balzotti	00000	941388	046 PCT
3. POM Robert Vargas	24771	963309	046 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Ramon Garcia	Abuse: At § 87(2)(b) in the Bronx, Police Officer Ramon Garcia threatened to seize § 87(2)(b)'s property.	
B.POM Fausto Ramirez	Abuse: At § 87(2)(b) in the Bronx, Police Officer Fausto Ramirez threatened to seize § 87(2)(b)'s property.	
C.POM Fausto Ramirez	Force: At § 87(2)(b) in the Bronx, Police Officer Ramirez used physical force against § 87(2)(b).	
D.POM Fausto Ramirez	Abuse: At § 87(2)(b) in the Bronx, Police Officer Fausto Ramirez searched § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle.	
E.POM Thomas Accomando	Discourtesy: Police Officer Thomas Accomando spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b).	
F.POM Thomas Accomando	Off. Language: At § 87(2)(b) in the Bronx, Police Officer Thomas Accomando made a comment based upon the perceived mental health of § 87(2)(b).	
G.POM Ramon Garcia	Discourtesy: At the 46th Precinct Stationhouse, Police Officer Ramon Garcia spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b).	
H.POM Ramon Garcia	Abuse: At the 46th Precinct Stationhouse, Police Officer Ramon Garcia threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b).	
I.POM Ramon Garcia	Abuse: At the 46th Precinct Stationhouse, Police Officer Ramon Garcia threatened to notify Administration for Children's Services.	

Case Summary

On May 29, 2020, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via the on-line website.

On May 28, 2020, at approximately 4:20 p.m., § 87(2)(b)'s boyfriend, § 87(2)(b), was arrested for the sale of marijuana near § 87(2)(b) in the Bronx. § 87(2)(b) was on the sidewalk, by the passenger side of her vehicle. Police Officer Fausto Ramirez, Police Officer Ramon Garcia, Police Officer Thomas Accomando, Police Officer Luis Candelaria, and Lieutenant Jeffrey Balzotti, all of the 46th Precinct, were also on scene. PO Ramirez and PO Garcia mentioned to each other—within earshot of § 87(2)(b) and directly to § 87(2)(b) that they were going to take her vehicle back to 46th Precinct stationhouse (**Allegations A and B: Abuse of Authority-Threat to seize property**, § 87(2)(g), § 87(2)(b) disputed the seizure of the vehicle. PO Ramirez repeatedly told § 87(2)(b) to step back as she continuously attempted to approach the vehicle. § 87(2)(b) did not comply with PO Ramirez's commands. PO Ramirez grabbed § 87(2)(b)'s shoulder area and moved her backwards a couple feet (**Allegation C: Force-Physical Force**, § 87(2)(g)). PO Ramirez proceeded to enter the vehicle and attempted to turn it on. PO Ramirez informed PO Garcia that the vehicle was "dead." PO Ramirez searched the front section of the vehicle and retrieved marijuana, money, and § 87(2)(b)'s wallet (**Allegation D: Abuse of Authority-Vehicle Search**, § 87(2)(g)). PO Accomando approached § 87(2)(b) who was screaming about being pushed by PO Ramirez and PO Accomando told her that she "was the one acting crazy" (**Allegation E: Discourtesy-Word**, § 87(2)(g)) (**Allegation F: Offensive Language-Other**, § 87(2)(g)).

While processing § 87(2)(b)'s arrest at the 46th Precinct stationhouse, PO Garcia asked § 87(2)(b) if § 87(2)(b) used to be a dental assistant or "some shit" (**Allegation G: Discourtesy-Word**, § 87(2)(g)).

§ 87(2)(b) arrived at the stationhouse and spoke to PO Garcia who allegedly threatened to arrest her and notify ACS, regarding her pregnancy (**Allegation H: Abuse of Authority-Threat of arrest**, § 87(2)(g)) (**Allegation I: Abuse of Authority-Threat to notify ACS**, § 87(2)(g)).

§ 87(2)(b) was arrested as a result of this incident, § 87(2)(b).

The investigation has obtained multiple body-worn camera videos documenting this incident (**BR01, BR02, BR03, BR04, BR05**).

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: At § 87(2)(b) in the Bronx, Police Officer Ramon Garcia threatened to seize § 87(2)(b)'s property.

Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: At § 87(2)(b) in the Bronx, Police Officer Fausto Ramirez threatened to seize § 87(2)(b)'s property.

PO Ramirez's BWC (**BR03**) shows that, as he approached the incident at the 00:10 mark, § 87(2)(b) is on the passenger side of her vehicle, with officers standing by the driver's side. To § 87(2)(b)'s left side, there is a foldable chair with a water bottle in the cup holder. At the 30 second mark, PO Ramirez asks § 87(2)(b) to step back, but she refuses by remaining in the same spot. At the 00:40 mark, § 87(2)(b) states, "Fuck out of here. You're not going in my car". PO Garcia, who is on the other side of the vehicle, says that they "absolutely are". § 87(2)(b) refutes his statement, and PO Ramirez says, "let's just take the car back". As PO Ramirez begins to walk away, § 87(2)(b) opens the passenger side door of the vehicle, which PO Ramirez immediately closed. At the 02:15 mark of PO Ramirez's BWC, § 87(2)(b) informed the officers that the marijuana was in the center console of her vehicle.

From PO Garcia's point of view, shown in his BWC (**BR01**), at the 23 second mark, § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) is sitting on the driver seat of a red vehicle as PO Garcia and PO Vargas's initial approach. PO Garcia opens the driver's side door and § 87(2)(b) steps out, after which he is apprehended. At the 00:46 mark, PO Garcia—in response to PO Ramirez suggesting taking the car back—agrees to take the vehicle and adds that they are taking it because § 87(2)(b) wants to “act up”. Neither video shows the events prior to § 87(2)(b)'s apprehension.

§ 87(2)(b) testified (**BR06**) that when the officers approached the scene, § 87(2)(b) was by the driver's side of the red vehicle, getting something for § 87(2)(b). The officers placed § 87(2)(b) under arrest for possession of marijuana. § 87(2)(b) stood by her vehicle to prevent officers from searching it. In attempting to block the officers from entering the vehicle, § 87(2)(b) engaged in a back and forth with the officers, where PO Ramirez pushed her. After the back and forth continued, PO Garcia and PO Accomando told her that they were going to take her vehicle to the stationhouse to be spiteful. However, after realizing that the vehicle would not start, the officers left the vehicle at the location. § 87(2)(b) testified that § 87(2)(b) had about 17.5 grams of marijuana on his person, but she did not state whether it was in the vehicle or whether she informed officers that there was contraband in the vehicle.

§ 87(2)(b) was uncooperative with the investigation given that he did not respond to any of the investigation's contact attempts.

PO Garcia testified (**BR07**) he was a part of an operation pertaining to drug sales near § 87(2)(b) where § 87(2)(b) was seen making a drug transaction with an apprehended individual. The buyer was arrested and given a summons, and, in the process, it was confirmed that he purchased marijuana from § 87(2)(b). An unknown officer transmitted over the radio that the sale was made and that the drug was positively identified as marijuana. PO Garcia testified that he did not remember how he became aware that there was marijuana in § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle—whether the observer informed officers that § 87(2)(b) made the transaction from the vehicle or whether § 87(2)(b) placed a stash of marijuana into the vehicle after the fact, but he stated that he knew § 87(2)(b) stored his marijuana in said vehicle. The officers intended to seize the vehicle and voucher the marijuana in it. PO Garcia did not independently recall telling § 87(2)(b) that they were going to seize the vehicle because she was “acting up”. After reviewing his BWC (**BR01**), PO Garcia did not recall why he made a remark about § 87(2)(b) “acting up” when discussing taking the vehicle, but he stated that such was not included in his original reasoning for seizing the vehicle. The vehicle was intended to be seized due to its involvement in § 87(2)(b)'s Marijuana sale arrest.

PO Ramirez stated (**BR08**) that PO Accomando—who he believed was on the observation post—went over the radio, stating that an individual had just participated in a drug sale. PO Accomando provided a physical description of the dealer in the drug sale, which matched that of § 87(2)(b). The officers were familiar with § 87(2)(b) from prior arrests. PO Accomando also provided the location of the vehicle from where the transaction took place. A second apprehension team arrested the buyer, where it was confirmed that what he purchased was marijuana. When the officers approached the vehicle from where the sale was made, § 87(2)(b) was in its driver's seat. PO Garcia and PO Vargas arrested § 87(2)(b). PO Ramirez intended to seize the vehicle as arrest evidence, and during his approach, noticed a strong odor of marijuana emanating from the vehicle. When he entered, the vehicle would not start, after which he began collecting the marijuana found within the vehicle. In doing so, § 87(2)(b) screamed that the marijuana was in the middle, after which he retrieved marijuana and money from the center console of the vehicle. Aside from taking the red vehicle in as arrest evidence, there was no other reason why PO Ramirez made the decision to seize the vehicle.

PO Accomando stated (**BR13**) that he was parked between East 182nd and 181st Street and Valentine Avenue, listening to the radio and doing surveillance regarding the arrest of § 87(2)(b). PO Accomando did not remember too many details of the drug operation, but he testified that he did not observe anything on the corner of East 181st Street and Valentine Avenue prior to the arrest team moving in to apprehend § 87(2)(b). The arrest team, which included PO Garcia and other

officers that PO Accomando did not remember, went to arrest § 87(2)(b). PO Accomando believed that § 87(2)(b) was being apprehended because he was observed selling marijuana, but he could not specify who made the observation or what specifically they saw. After about five to ten minutes, there was a call for additional units to assist with § 87(2)(b)'s arrest. PO Accomando exited his vehicle and ran down the street. By the time he arrived, § 87(2)(b) was already arrested—possibly transported, and her vehicle was in the officers' possession as arrest evidence. PO Accomando did not remember if the officers took the vehicle, or if they just took the marijuana and left the vehicle on scene.

§ 87(2)(b)'s arrest report (BR23) states that he was charged with the criminal sale of marijuana in the fourth degree, and unlawful possession of marijuana in the second degree. The buyer of the marijuana was arrested and released with a criminal court summons.

The property vouchers associated with this arrest (BR22) lists nine different containers vouchered from the scene, all containing marijuana or alleged marijuana.

Patrol Guide Procedure 208-26 states that vehicles may be seized during the course of an arrest or investigation when the vehicle is used in the unlawful transportation of controlled substances, marijuana, and gambling devices (BR09).

PO Garcia and PO Ramirez's testimonies consistently indicate that § 87(2)(b) was the seller of a drug transaction, where marijuana was positively identified during the apprehension of the buyer. This provided the officers reason to believe that marijuana could be found in either § 87(2)(b)'s possession or within the vehicle that § 87(2)(b) occupied during the officers' initial approach. As PO Ramirez initiated the search of the vehicle for contraband, § 87(2)(b) yelled that the marijuana could be found within the center console of the vehicle. PO Ramirez inspected this area and found several bags of marijuana packaged for the purpose of sale and money. This contraband was vouchered as arrest evidence. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (C) Force: At § 87(2)(b) in the Bronx, Police Officer Ramirez used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

PO Ramirez's BWC (BR03) begins with about 30 seconds of video with no audio, where PO Ramirez and § 87(2)(b) exchange words. At the 00:31, the audio comes on and PO Ramirez tells § 87(2)(b) to step back, after which she steps back slightly. Another officer, who is on the other side of the vehicle—later determined to be PO Garcia—tells § 87(2)(b) to step back and relax. § 87(2)(b) tells § 87(2)(b) to remain on the other side of the car and not instruct her to step back and relax. § 87(2)(b) tells the officers that they are not going into her car, after which PO Ramirez says, "let's just take the car back" and heads toward the driver's side. At the 00:50 mark, § 87(2)(b) opens the passenger side door of the vehicle and PO Ramirez turns around to close the door. PO Ramirez proceeds to tell § 87(2)(b) to step back, while he places his left hand on her right shoulder, and his right hand under her left armpit. § 87(2)(b) is screaming, "don't fucking touch me. I'm 9-months pregnant" multiple times, as PO Ramirez guides her backwards and continues to tell her to step back.

§ 87(2)(b) (BR06) stated that PO Ramirez ran around her vehicle, stood with his back facing the car, and told § 87(2)(b) to back away from the car. § 87(2)(b) reached around PO Ramirez and closed the passenger side door of the vehicle, after which PO Ramirez stepped very close to her, only inches away from her. § 87(2)(b) was 9-months pregnant. PO Ramirez took both hands and pushed § 87(2)(b) backwards with his hands landing just below her breasts and on the top area of her belly. PO Ramirez pushed § 87(2)(b) one time. § 87(2)(b) moved backward slightly because of the push and almost fell down, but she caught her footing before tripping. PO Ramirez reiterated for § 87(2)(b) to step back.

PO Ramirez (BR08) stated that when he arrived on scene, § 87(2)(b) was next to her vehicle. PO Ramirez approached, stood between § 87(2)(b) and the vehicle, and asked § 87(2)(b) to step back. § 87(2)(b)'s demeanor at the start of this interaction was upset and angry, made clear by her loud yelling. As the officers attempted to apprehend § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(b) attempted to go inside of the vehicle. PO Ramirez reiterated for her to step back. § 87(2)(b) opened the passenger side door, which PO Ramirez subsequently closed. He told her to move back, once again. PO Ramirez told § 87(2)(b) to step back about three or four times, which she did not comply with. Given § 87(2)(b)'s noncompliance, PO Ramirez had to move § 87(2)(b) to the side, placing both of his hands in her shoulder/armpit area and guiding her about two feet to the side, after which she became more upset. § 87(2)(b) began "getting in his face", placing her hands less than a foot from his face and pointing; she continued yelling and cursing at him.

Patrol Guide Procedure 221-01 states that in determining whether the use of force is reasonable, members of the service should consider the size, age, and condition of the subject in comparison to the MOS, among other factors (BR10).

Patrol Guide Procedure 221-02 states that when a member of the service must gain compliance, control, or custody of an uncooperative subject, the member should comply with P.G. 221-01, and utilize de-escalation techniques when appropriate and consistent with personal safety, which may reduce or eliminate the need to use force and increase the likelihood of gaining the subject's voluntary compliance—among other considerations (BR11).

The BWC shows that PO Ramirez and PO Garcia each told § 87(2)(b) to step away from the vehicle, once; however, the first 30 seconds of PO Ramirez's BWC had no audio, and it is uncertain whether there were additional commands for § 87(2)(b) to step back. PO Ramirez proceeded to make the decision to seize the vehicle, while within earshot of § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) opened the passenger side door of the vehicle, which was when PO Ramirez decided to physically move her, by guiding her away from the vehicle. § 87(2)(g) PO Ramirez told § 87(2)(b) to step back from the vehicle that he knowingly intended to seize, yet she still attempted to approach and enter the vehicle. § 87(2)(g)

Allegation (D) Abuse of Authority: At § 87(2)(b) in the Bronx, Police Officer Fausto Ramirez searched § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle.

PO Ramirez's BWC (BR03) shows that, at the 1:20 mark, PO Ramirez walks around § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle, opens the driver's side door and sits in the driver's seat. PO Ramirez attempts to start the vehicle and then tells PO Garcia that the car is "dead". At the 01:55 mark, PO Ramirez opens the glove compartment in the passenger side and rustling of papers is heard. He leaves the compartment open and then looks through a white plastic bag in the passenger seat. § 87(2)(b) screams "the weed is in the middle and that's it", after which a compartment is heard opened but not seen. PO Ramirez dumps the contents of the white plastic bag out and places at least five yellow canisters of marijuana into the bag. He places a 20-dollar bill that was on the floor of the car into the bag. PO Ramirez grabs a wallet from outside the frame and places the wallet into the plastic bag. PO Ramirez closes the glove compartment and picks up a single bill of money of the floor, then places the money into the white bag.

§ 87(2)(b) (BR06) stated that either PO Garcia or PO Accomando reached their body into the driver's side of her red vehicle, without sitting down, to turn the key in the ignition. Given that § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle has an issue starting, the officer was unable to start the vehicle. § 87(2)(b) did not mention any other time any officer entered her vehicle or searched it.

PO Ramirez (BR08) stated that, as he approached § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle to seize it as evidence, he noticed a strong odor of marijuana emanating from the vehicle. He entered the vehicle

through the driver's side door, which was unlocked, and attempted to start the vehicle, whose keys were in the ignition. After about three attempts to start the vehicle, PO Ramirez realized that the battery of the car was "dead". § 87(2)(b) screamed that the marijuana was in the center console. PO Ramirez proceeded to collect the marijuana and money that was in the vehicle. PO Ramirez went inside the glove compartment and the center console, and general vicinity of the front section of the vehicle. He found the marijuana in the center console, as § 87(2)(b) mentioned. PO Ramirez did not recall how the marijuana was packaged, but he remembered it was a small amount. PO Ramirez placed the marijuana into a plastic bag, which he believed was inside of the vehicle already, but he did not recall where. PO Ramirez found money in the glove compartment, which he also placed in the plastic bag; he did not recall how much money it was that he retrieved. PO Ramirez also placed § 87(2)(b)'s wallet inside of the plastic bag. He believed the entire search was about 20 seconds.

The property vouchers associated with § 87(2)(b)'s arrest lists nine different containers found on scene and vouchered by PO Ramirez, all containing marijuana or alleged marijuana. In addition, two \$100 bills, 51 \$20 bills, 17 \$10 bills were vouchered, as well as other smaller bills (BR22).

People v. McCaw, 137 A.D.3d 813 states that, under the "automobile exception", law enforcement may conduct a warrantless search of a vehicle if there is probable cause to believe that contraband or evidence will be found inside, including the odor of marijuana (BR12).

PO Ramirez testified that he smelled marijuana emanating from § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle as he approached. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b) In addition, the property vouchers confirmed that there was marijuana and money were recovered from inside the vehicle. § 87(2)(g)

Allegation (E) Discourtesy: At § 87(2)(b) in the Bronx Police Officer Thomas Accomando spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (F) Offensive Language: At § 87(2)(b) in the Bronx, Police Officer Thomas Accomando made a comment based upon the perceived mental health of § 87(2)(b)

PO Accomando's BWC (BR04) shows that at the 01:47 mark, he tells § 87(2)(b) that she was acting "crazy" after she was screaming about officers killing people.

§ 87(2)(b) (BR06) did not mention that PO Accomando called her "crazy".

PO Accomando (BR13) did not initially recall making a statement regarding § 87(2)(b) acting "crazy". When shown the above BWC footage, PO Accomando identified himself as the officer who said, "You're the one acting crazy." He made that statement because of the way she was screaming, yelling, and making allegations, when no officer was interacting with her. He added that her behavior was inappropriate to the situation and had no other justification for using the word "crazy". PO Accomando stated that he was not making a remark toward § 87(2)(b)'s mental health.

Members of service are prohibited from using discourteous or disrespectful remarks regarding another person's age, ethnicity, race, religion, gender, gender identity/expression, sexual orientation, or disability. Patrol Guide Procedure 203-10(BR14).

Despite PO Accomando's justification that he used the word "crazy" to describe § 87(2)(b)'s inappropriate behavior, the Patrol Guide prohibits the use of such language. § 87(2)(g) § 87(2)(b) was screaming and yelling throughout the incident. § 87(2)(g)

Allegation (G) Discourtesy: At the 46th Precinct Stationhouse, Police Officer Ramon Garcia spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)

PO Garcia's BWC (BR01) shows that at the 06:55 mark, he is speaking with § 87(2)(b) in the holding cell area. He asks § 87(2)(b) whether § 87(2)(b) was a dental assistant or "some shit".

§ 87(2)(b) did not provide a statement because he was uncooperative.

PO Garcia (BR07) did not initially recall speaking to § 87(2)(b) at the stationhouse or using profanity when doing so. After showing him the above BWC footage, PO Garcia acknowledged himself as saying "she was a dental assistant or some shit". He was not aware of why he used the word "shit" at that point, but he did not intend to use it in a derogatory manner.

Patrol Guide Procedure 200-02 states that the NYPD's mission is to protect the lives and property of all citizens of New York City by treating every citizen with compassion, courtesy, professionalism, and respect, while efficiently rendering police services and enforcing the laws impartially (BR15).

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (H) Abuse of Authority: At the 46th Precinct Stationhouse, Police Officer Ramon Garcia threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (I) Abuse of Authority: At the 46th Precinct Stationhouse, Police Officer Ramon Garcia threatened to notify Administration for Children's Services.

There is no BWC footage that captures these allegations.

§ 87(2)(b) (BR06) stated that she went to the stationhouse after the incident, requesting to speak to a supervisor to file a complaint about an officer pushing her. She spoke with an unidentified officer that she believed was a supervisor, given her request to speak with one, who took her complaint. While speaking with the unidentified supervisor, PO Garcia became involved and threatened to arrest her for Obstruction of Government Administration (OGA) the next time she interfered with or was even present for one of her boyfriend's arrests, which he and the supervisor pointed out would happen because of § 87(2)(b)'s poor choices to smoke and sell weed outside. PO Garcia also mentioned that officers would call ACS.

PO Garcia (BR07) stated that he spoke to § 87(2)(b) at the front of the stationhouse, but he did not fully recall what they spoke about. PO Garcia only recalled informing § 87(2)(b) that if she was arrested, he could notify ACS, given that she was pregnant. PO Garcia did not recall if any other officer also interacted with § 87(2)(b).

The purpose of Patrol Guide Procedure 215-01 states that officers must obtain care for a dependent child under eighteen due to arrest, hospitalization or death of parent or guardian (BR16).

PO Garcia and § 87(2)(b) have differing statements regarding whether she was threatened with arrest while at the stationhouse. § 87(2)(g)

The Patrol Guide requires officers to obtain care for children under 18, whose parent or guardian has been arrested. Both § 87(2)(b) and PO Garcia testified that PO Garcia mentioned calling ACS in the event of § 87(2)(b)'s arrest. § 87(2)(g)


Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the first complaint to which § 87(2)(b) has been a party (BR17).
- This is the first complaint to which § 87(2)(b) has been a party (BR18).
- Police Officer Ramon Garcia has been a member-of-service for five years and this is the first complaint to which he has been a subject.
- Police Officer Fausto Ramirez has been a member-of-service for six years and has been a subject to four other CCRB complaints, none of which were substantiated. § 87(2)(g)
- Police Officer Thomas Accomando has been a member-of-service for nine years and has been a subject to 10 other CCRB complaints, with 16 allegations, none of which were substantiated. § 87(2)(g)

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- § 87(2)(b) declined to mediate this complaint.
- On March 17, 2021, the Office of the New York City Comptroller confirmed that § 87(2)(b) has not filed a notice of claim regarding this incident (BR21). On July 22, 2021, the investigation submitted an updated notice of claim inquiry to the FOIL Portal. This report will be updated upon receipt of a response.
- § 87(2)(b)
- § 87(2)(b)

Squad No.: 11

Investigator:		Inv. Jessica Russo	08/06/2021
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:	Edwin Pena	IM Edwin Pena	08/06/21
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date