201609900 Wael Jaber

On November 30 2017, a woman alleged she placed five calls to the 77th Precinct, which was confirmed by her cellphone call logs. The first three calls were answered by Officer Jaber. During the first call, the woman became unsatisfied with Officer Jaber's assistance and requested to speak with a supervisor. Without explanation or response, Officer Jaber hung up. In the second call, the woman requested Officer Jaber's name and he again hung up without responding. During the third call, Officer Jaber spelled his name "W-I-N-G" (the spelling of his partner's name) and gave an incorrect shield number. When the woman stated she did not believe this information to be accurate, Officer Jaber stated that he could not provide his "full name" and hung up. When the woman called again, Sergeant Coulter picked up and the woman explained her interaction with Officer Jaber. Sergeant Coulter explained that English was not Officer Jaber's first language, provided information about the CCRB and offered to take the woman's complaint over the phone. The woman decided to file her CCRB complaint online. Sergeant Coulter subsequently made an entry in the Command Log detailing the incident, noting the exact time and naming both the civilian caller and Officer Jaber.

Before the CCRB, Officer Jaber denied having spoken with any civilian on the phone or with Sergeant Coulter about the complaint. He also denied having spelled his name "W-I-N-G," but acknowledged that this was his partner's name. The CCRB found that Sergeant Coulter's statements and her contemporaneous Command Log entry corroborated the woman's testimony, undermining Officer Jaber's false statements.

The CCRB sustained the civilian caller's allegations that Officer Jaber abused his authority and was discourteous. It also found that he lied in his CCRB interview when he stated he had no interaction with the person who called.

The NYPD disciplined him for discourtesy and refusing to provide his name and shield number by imposing a 30-day suspension, a loss of 15 vacation days, and one month of probation, likely higher than it otherwise would have been because during the investigation, Sergeant Jaber made the news when he failed to get out of his car while responding to a domestic violence call during which a woman was murdered. *See https://www.nydailynews.com/new-york/nyc-crime/ny-police-response-strangled-woman-brooklyn-20210208-fpvnvw7ifrc2pjhst2oipr5rrm-story.html*.

Although other officers who refused to take civilian complaints and lied about it were not punished for lying, PO Jaber was disciplined with a loss of vacation days, a thirty-day suspension, and a year's dismissal probation. The discipline issued for lying about refusing to take a civilian complaint was issued after the news coverage of PO Jaber failing to get out of the car had been published.

Officer Jaber remains on the police force.

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force		Discourt.	U.S.
Christopher Anderson		Squad #2	201609900	Ø	Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		P	recinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Wednesday, 11/30/2016 8:07 PM					77	5/	/30/2018	5/30/2018
Date/Time CV Reported	CV Reported At:		How CV Reported		Date/Time	e/Time Received at CCRB		КВ
Thu, 12/01/2016 5:35 AM	CCRB		On-line website	-line website Th		Thu, 12/01/2016 5:35 AM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. POM Wael Jaber	15049	§ 87(2)(b)	077 PCT					
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name					
1. SGT Stacey Coulter	01483	§ 87(2)(b)	077 PCT					
Officer(s)	Allegation	on			Inve	stiga	tor Recon	nmendation
A . POM Wael Jaber	Discourt toward §	esy: Police Officer Wael	l Jaber acted discour	teou	sly A.	§ 87(2)(	(g)	
B . POM Wael Jaber		Authority: Police Offic nis name and shield num		ed to	В.	87(2)(	g)	
C . POM Wael Jaber	Other: There is evidence suggesting Police Officer Wael  Jaber provided a false official statement in violation of Patrol  Guide Section 203-08.					87(2)(	g)	

### **Case Summary**

On December 1, 2016, \$87(2)(b) filed this complaint on the CCRB website. On November 30, 2016, at 8:07 p.m., \$87(2)(b) called the 77 <sup>th</sup> Precinct
stationhouse to request information about how to gain access to the personal effects and residence
of her brother-in-law, \$87(2)(b) who had died in July 2016. Police Officer Wael Jaber of
the 77 <sup>th</sup> Precinct answered the phone, announcing himself as what \$87(2)(b) thought to
be "Officer Java." [\$87(2)(0)] dissatisfied with Police Officer Jaber's replies, requested
to speak with a supervisor and was denied. When she reiterated her desire to speak with a
supervisor, Police Officer Jaber, without warning, disengaged the call ( <b>Allegation A</b> ).
immediately called the 77 <sup>th</sup> Precinct stationhouse again, and Police
Officer Jaber answered. § 87(2)(6) requested Police Officer Jaber's name and shield
number. Police Officer Jaber, without warning, hung up the phone (within <b>Allegation A</b> and
Allegation B). § 87(2)(b) immediately called the 77 <sup>th</sup> Precinct stationhouse a third time,
and Police Officer Jaber answered. When \$87(2)(b) asked for the spelling of his name
and his shield number, Police Officer Jaber spelled his name "W-I-N-G" and provided his shield
number as "2400" (within <b>Allegation B</b> ). § 87(2)(b) replied that she did not believe the
provided information was accurate and, again, without warning, Police Officer Jaber hung up the
phone (within Allegation A).
called the 77 <sup>th</sup> Precinct stationhouse again and was transferred to
Sergeant Stacey Coulter of the 77 <sup>th</sup> Precinct, who listened to her complaint about Police Officer
Jaber. Sergeant Coulter provided \$87(2)(6) with details on how to file her complaint
with the CCRB. §87(2)(b) was not arrested or issued any summonses as a result of this
incident.
There is no video footage in this case.
Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories
• §87(2)(b) was offered mediation, but she rejected §87(2)(b)
• \$87(2)(b) has no prior criminal convictions (Board Review 01).
• As of January 5, 2017, \$\(\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\) has not filed a Notice of Claim with the City
of New York (Board Review 06).
Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories
• This is \$87(2)(b) s first CCRB complaint (Board Review 02).
<ul> <li>Police Officer Jaber has been a member of the NYPD for 10 years and has a total of</li> </ul>
seven allegations against him in five complaints, with no substantiated allegations or
other misconduct noted. § 87(2)(9)

## Findings and Recommendations

## **Explanation of Subject Officer Identification**

Though Police Officer Jaber categorically denied his involvement in this incident, testimony from Sergeant Coulter, coupled with her Command Log entry (Board Review 03), corroborate sergeant Serges version of events and specifically identify Police Officer Jaber as the officer who interacted with her.

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201609900

#### Allegation A -Discourtesy: Police Officer Wael Jaber acted discourteously toward [887(2)(5) Allegation B – Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Wael Jaber refused to provide his name and shield number to \$87(2)(b) provided a telephone statement to the CCRB on December 5, 2016, (Board Review 04) and was interviewed at the CCRB on December 9, 2016. Sergeant Coulter was interviewed at the CCRB on December 19, 2016. Police Officer Jaber was interviewed at the CCRB on December 30, 2016. alleged that she placed five calls to the 77<sup>th</sup> Precinct stationhouse at phone number (718) 735-0611 at 8:07 p.m., 8:13 p.m., 8:14 p.m., 8:16 p.m., and 8:25 p.m. on November 30, 2016. This number was confirmed by the investigation as being assigned to the 77<sup>th</sup> Precinct stationhouse (Board Review 07), and §87(2)(b) s calls, call times, and call durations are confirmed by her cell phone call log (Board Review 05). The first three calls were answered by the same officer, Police Officer Jaber, whose name, which he provided upon answering the phone, § 87(2)(b) heard as "Officer Java." In the initial call (8:07 p.m.; duration of 6 minutes and 33 seconds), §87(2)(6) explained that § 87(2)(b) July and, having recently learned this, she wanted to know how to access his "personal effects" and residence. After not finding satisfaction with Police Officer Jaber's replies, requested a supervisor, after which Police Officer Jaber disconnected the call without reply or informing her that he would do so. In the second call (8:13 p.m.; duration of 11 seconds), § 87(2)(b) requested Police Officer Jaber's name. He did not reply and again disengaged the call. §87(2)(b) called a third time (8:14 p.m.; duration of 1 minute and 44 seconds) and spoke again with Police Officer Jaber. When she asked Police Officer Jaber again for his name and shield number, he spelled his name "W-I-N-G" and provided his shield number as "2400" (Police Officer Jaber's actual shield number is 15049). When \$87(2)(b) told Police Officer Jaber that she did not believe this to be accurate. Police Officer Jaber stated that he could not provide his "full name" and again disengaged the call. When § 87(2)(b) called the final time at 8:25 p.m. and spoke with Sergeant Coulter, § 87(2)(b) explained her interaction with Police Officer Jaber to Sergeant Coulter. Sergeant Coulter informed 887(2)(b) that English was not Police Officer Jaber's first language, provided information about the CCRB, and offered to take her complaint over the phone. § 87(2)(b) decided to file her complaint on the CCRB website because she heard a lot of background noise and did not want to take up more of Sergeant Coulter's time. During her CCRB interview, Sergeant Coulter corroborated various elements of s testimony, including § 87(2)(b) having spoken to Police Officer Jaber, the timeline of § 87(2)(b) death and the reporting of it, the use of the term "personal effects," the discussion of Police Officer Jaber's understanding of English, and 887(2)(b) decision to file her complaint with the CCRB because of the busy stationhouse setting. Sergeant Coulter testified that after she had taken over as the desk sergeant at the 77th Precinct stationhouse, Police Officer Jaber was also present at the desk, specifically at the telephone switchboard computer and phone. She stated that Police Officer Jaber had been initially assigned to patrol but had, at the time of this incident, returned to the stationhouse to process an arrest. After speaking with \$87(2)(b) Sergeant Coulter spoke with Police Officer Jaber, who seemed "confused" about the timeline of \$87(2)(b) death. She subsequently made an

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201609900

entry in the Command Log at 8:35 p.m. detailing the incident and specifically naming both
and Police Officer Jaber.
Police Officer Jaber testified that after being on patrol with partner Police Officer
Winghong Lau, he returned to the stationhouse to process an arrest, which is confirmed in his
memo book (Board Review 08). He testified that for the remainder of his tour, which ended at
8:40 p.m., this was all he did, "nothing more, nothing less." Police Officer Jaber denied that he
answered any of the phones inside the stationhouse at any point on the incident date and denied
that he spoke with any civilians over the phone. When presented with \$87(2)(b)
allegation that she spoke with Sergeant Coulter and the possibility that Sergeant Coulter then
spoke with Police Officer Jaber, Police Officer Jaber said, "I haven't spoken to 87(2)(0) and and
I haven't spoken to Sergeant Coulter about it, period."
When asked if \$87(2)(b) s allegation that she asked for information on how to
gain access to her brother-in-law's "personal effects" and residence and that Police Officer Jaber
hung up the phone refreshed his recollection of the incident, Police Officer Jaber denied that it do
so. When presented with \$87(2)(b) s allegation that Police Officer Jaber spelled his
name "W-I-N-G," Police Officer Jaber denied doing so. When asked if this spelling was familiar
to him, Police Officer Jaber denied that it was. When then asked if he knew his partner's first
name, Police Officer Jaber replied that his partner's first name was "Wing." When asked if he
ever provided his name to a civilian as "Wing," Police Officer Jaber denied doing so.
Because of the consistencies between the testimonies of §87(2)(b) and Sergeant
Coulter and Sergeant Coulter's Command Log entry, the investigation credits both of their
statements that the alleged conversations between §87(2)(b) and Police Officer Jaber
and between Sergeant Coulter and Police Officer Jaber occurred. Police Officer Jaber neither
denied having a firm recollection of the incident nor provided an alternate interpretation of
events. Instead, he denied having any involvement whatsoever in an incident \$87(2)(9)
Furthermore, for \$87(2)(6) to provide the
precise spelling of the shorthand version of the first name of Police Officer Jaber's partner, Police
Officer Lau, who Police Officer Jaber confirmed was not present at the time of the incident, is
unlikely as a matter of coincidence. While Police Officer Jaber denied having familiarity with the
spelling, when questioned about his partner's first name, he provided the name "Wing."
§ 87(2)(g)
3 or (2/kg)

Page 4

CCRB Case # 201609900

## Allegation C -Other: There is evidence suggesting Police Officer Wael Jaber provided a false official statement in violation of Patrol Guide Section 203-08. As discussed above, § 87(2)(b) made multiple calls, recorded in her call log, to the 77th Precinct and repeatedly spoke with Police Officer Jaber. Sergeant Coulter, who later spoke with § 87(2)(b) recounted minute details of their conversation in her CCRB interview and confirmed Police Officer Jaber's physical location and the duties he performed around the time of the incident. Further, she stated that she spoke with Police Officer Jaber regarding the complaint and made a Command Log entry about the incident. In vehemently denying the existence of both of these interactions, Police Officer Jaber testified, "I haven't and I haven't spoken to Sergeant Coulter about it, period." Intentionally making a false official statement is prohibited, including during an interview conducted pursuant to a CCRB investigation or in an official document or report, such as a memo book. Patrol Guide Section 203-08 (Board Review 09). Squad: 2 Investigator: Signature **Print** Date Squad Leader: Title/Signature **Print** Date Reviewer:

Page 5

Print

Date

CCRB Case # 201609900

Title/Signature



#### DISTRICT ATTORNEY KINGS COUNTY

350 JAY STREET BROOKLYN, NY 11201-2908 (718) 250-2000 WWW.BROOKLYNDA.ORG

> [INSERT NAME] Assistant District Attorney

[INSERT DATE]

[INSERT D/C INFO]

Re: [INSERT CASE NAME]

Kings County Dkt./Ind. No. [#######]

In connection with the above-named case, the People voluntarily provide the following information regarding:

MOS NAME: Wael Jaber

MOS TAX:

in satisfaction (to the extent applicable) of their constitutional, statutory, and ethical obligations. Further, the People reserve the right to move <u>in limine</u> to preclude reference to this information, or otherwise to object to its use and/or introduction into evidence.

#### Disclosure # 1:

NYPD SUBSTANTIATED THE FOLLOWING ALLEGATION AGAINST THE MOS ARISING OUT OF AN INCIDENT ON 03/11/2016:

MEMOBOOK INCOMPLETE/ IMPROPER
CASE STATUS: CLOSED ON 04/18/2016
PENALTY: SCHEDULE A COMMAND DISCIPLINE

#### Disclosure # 2:

MOS JABER PLEAD GUILTY TO THE FOLLOWING DEPARTMENTAL CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS DATED 12/27/2017 IN KINGS COUNTY, WHILE THE MOS WAS ASSIGNED TO THE 77<sup>TH</sup> PRECINCT AND ON DUTY:

 MOS JABER DID FAIL AND NEGLECT TO PERFORM SAID MOS'S DUTIES, TO WIT: SAID MOS FAILED TO TAKE POLICE ACTION AND PROPERLY INVESTIGATE A RADIO RUN.

ACTION TAKEN: SEE DISCLOSURE # 3

#### Disclosure # 3:

MOS JABER PLEAD GUILTY TO THE FOLLOWING DEPARTMENTAL CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS, WHILE THE MOS WAS ASSIGNED TO THE  $77^{TH}$  PRECINCT AND ON DUTY:

- ON 11/30/2016 AT APPROXIMATELY 2007 HOURS AT THE 77<sup>TH</sup> PRECINCT STATIONHOUSE, KINGS COUNTY, MOS JABER WAS DISCOURTEOUS TO AN INDIVIDUAL KNOWN TO THE DEPARTMENT IN THAT ON THREE (3) OCCASIONS, AND WITHOUT WARNING, SAID POLICE OFFICER HUNG UP THE TELEPHONE ON SAID INDIVIDUAL.
- ON 11/30/2016 AT APPROXIMATELY 2007 HOURS AT THE 77<sup>™</sup> PRECINCT STATIONHOUSE, KINGS COUNTY, MOS JABER DID REFUSE TO PROVIDE HIS NAME AND SHIELD NUMBER TO AN INDIVIDUAL KNOWN TO THE DEPARTMENT.
- ON 12/30/2016 IN NEW YORK COUNTY, MOS JABER DID WRONGFULLY MAKE MISLEADING AND INACCURATE STATEMENTS TO THE CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD, TO WIT: SAID MOS DID, IN SUM AND SUBSTANCE, DENY SPEAKING TO AN INDIVIDUAL KNOWN TO THE DEPARTMENT OVER THE

# TELEPHONE ON 11/30/2016, AND DENY SPEAKING TO AN NYPD SERGEANT REGARDING HIS INTERACTIONS WITH SAID INDIVIDUAL KNOWN TO THE DEPARTMENT 11/30/2016. ACTION TAKEN: DISMISSAL PROBATION, 30 PRE-TRIAL SUSPENSION DAYS, 15 VACATION DAYS

## BASED UPON CCRB DOCUMENTS UP TO DATE THROUGH OCTOBER 13, 2020, THE PEOPLE ARE AWARE OF THE FOLLOWING CCRB SUBSTANTIATED AND/OR PENDING ALLEGATIONS AGAINST THIS OFFICER:

#### Disclosure # 4:

CCRB CASE: 201609900 REPORT DATE: 12/01/2016 INCIDENT DATE: 11/30/2016

CCRB SUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATION(S):

- 1. Abuse Refusal to provide name/shield number
- 2. Discourtesy Action

NYPD Disposition: Guilty - DCT Suspension days: 30 +, Vacation days: 15+, Probation months: 1

OTHER MISCONDUCT NOTED (NO CCRB JURISDICTION):

1. Other Misconduct Noted - False official statement

Eric Gonzalez District Attorney Kings County