# CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	Force Discourt. U.S.	
Michael King		Team # 4	200301256	☐ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Saturday, 02/15/2003 2:45 PM		53rd Street and 3rd Ave	enue in Manhattan	17	8/15/2004	8/15/2004
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Date/Time Received at CCRB	
Tue, 02/18/2003 10:08 AM		CCRB	On-line website	Tue, 02/18	/2003 10:08 AM	[
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	SS			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. LT Walter Aanonsen	00000	874905	MOUNTED			
Officer(s)	Allegation			Investigator Recommendation		
A.LT Walter Aanonsen		t. Walter Aanonsen back lied victims.				

### **Synopsis**

This complaint stems from the anti-war rally that took place on February 15<sup>th</sup>, 2003 at the United Nations headquarters, which received extensive press coverage. As such, the case was determined to be sensitive and placed on the sensitive case list. \$\frac{837(2)(b)}{2}\$ attempted to attend the anti-war demonstration with a group of his friends when their movement towards 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue came to a halt at the intersection of 53<sup>rd</sup> Street and 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue in Manhattan. The group remained at the intersection, which soon amassed a large amount of protesters in the middle of the street. A group of Mounted Unit officers arrived on the scene and proceeded to disperse the crowd. \$\frac{837(2)(b)}{2}\$ observed a White male officer back his horse into a group of protesters, forcing them onto the sidewalk. \$\frac{837(2)(b)}{2}\$ identified Lt. Walter Aanonsen of the Mounted Unit in a photographic array, though the lieutenant denied backing his horse into a crowd of protesters or observing other Mounted Unit officers commit such action. \$\frac{837(2)(b)}{2}\$

#### **Summary of Complaint**

### Initial email complaint

On February 18<sup>th</sup>, 2003, 887(2)(b) filed his complaint by emailing the *nyc.gov* website (Enclosure 3-3a). He stated that on February 15<sup>th</sup>, 2003 in the vicinity of 53<sup>rd</sup> Street and 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue, "without any warning or announcement, a row of police officers on horses charged straight into the crowd." also claimed that some of the protesters "fell under the horses" and that the police action caused both "trampling and panic." §87(2)(b) also claimed that unidentified officers shoved the protesters against a storefront.

#### Formal Statement

was formally interviewed at the CCRB on February 26<sup>th</sup>, 2003 (Enclosure 6-6c). On February 15<sup>th</sup>, 2003, \$87(2)(b) attempted to attend the anti-war rally with a group of his friends: \$87(2)(b) , his wife, \$87(2)(b) , \$87(2)(b) , and \$87(2)(b) . At approximately 2:45 PM, the group was walking towards the anti-war rally via 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue. At the corner of 52<sup>nd</sup> Street and 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue, the group walked through a "bottleneck" of civilians onto the middle of the street between 52<sup>nd</sup> Street and 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, where approximately 60-70 people had gathered. \$87(2)(b) observed that a police barricade had been established across 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue as well as across 53<sup>rd</sup> Street on the eastern side, thereby preventing people from walking over to 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue.

They then waited in the middle of the street while more protesters entered the area, thereby creating a larger crowd. A column of Mounted Unit officers arrived on the scene and stretched across 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue. \$\sigma\_{87(2)(b)}\$ became uncomfortable and believed that the situation was going to get worse. His group was carrying a banner that was about 8-10 feet long, which was stapled to two separate poles. The group was approximately 10 feet from the curb on the western side of the street and approximately 20-25 feet from the front or edge of 53<sup>rd</sup> Street. \$\sigma\_{87(2)(b)}\$ and \$\sigma\_{87(2)(b)}\$ bent down to remove the banner so that it would not cause anyone to trip in case people started running. When \$\sigma\_{87(2)(b)}\$ and \$\sigma\_{87(2)(b)}\$ rose up, the mounted officers started "cantering" their horses into the crowd, moving south on the avenue. This action caused many people to panic and run in different directions. As a result, \$\sigma\_{87(2)(b)}\$ was separated from his wife and friends. \$\sigma\_{87(2)(b)}\$ saw people falling over and stated that other people bumped into him, though he did not see any civilians stepped on by horses.

After the horses walked through, police officers on foot walked through to force people onto the sidewalks. At one point, a Mounted officer backed his horse into a group of people directly in front of though no injuries resulted. \$87(2)(6) described this officer as a White male with a gray bushy mustache and approximately in his 40s. \$87(2)(6) did not observe any civilians knocked over by this action and \$87(2)(6) did not sustain any injuries nor did any of his friends. \$87(2)(6) was never touched by an officer. \$87(2)(6) also did not know any of the civilians with which the horse made contact. After this, \$87(2)(6) remained on the scene for about 25 minutes, looking around for his wife and friends. He did not find them and then left the scene without attending the rally on 1st Avenue.

### **Results of Investigation**

### CCRB complaints concerning the same time, date, and location of occurrence

A CCRB Complaint Tracking System (CTS) search revealed that a total of ten complaints (not including this one) concerning incidents that occurred in the vicinity of the intersection of East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street and 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue at approximately 3:00 PM on February 15, 2003, were filed with the agency. Five of these complaints (200301248, 200301253, 200301268, 200301273, and 200301311) allege a similar type of misconduct, as alleged by 87(2)(b) Since 37(2)(b) Since 37(2)(c) Since 37(2)(d) Since 37(2)(d)

### Photographic Array

Based on \$87(2)(b) s initial statement, the undersigned reviewed all of the photographs of the Mounted Unit, paring the list down to match \$87(2)(b) s initial description: that the subject officer was a White male with a gray mustache. All White male officers with mustaches were collected and then shown to \$87(2)(b) on September 8<sup>th</sup>, 2003 (Enclosure 7-7x). Initially, \$87(2)(b) on the photographic array had mustaches and that he did not recall the subject officer having a mustache. \$87(2)(b) was informed that the photographic array was based on his initial statement, which described the officer as having a mustache. Of the photographs shown to him, \$87(2)(b) stated that the officer depicted in photograph five was the officer who "most closely resembles" the subject officer, though he offered, unprompted, that he was only 50% certain. Lt. Walter Aanonsen was the officer depicted in photograph five.

#### Officer Interview - Lt. Walter Aanonsen

Lt. Walter Aanonsen was interviewed at the CCRB on June 20<sup>th</sup>, 2003 (Enclosure 8-8d) regarding CCRB case number 200301248 after being identified in a photographic array. He was also interviewed on October 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2003, after being identified in a photographic array for this case (Enclosure 8-8d). The two statements are summarized as follows.

On February 15, 2003, Lt. Aanonsen was assigned to the Mounted Unit, specifically as the supervisor of two sergeants and ten officers, though he did not recall who the sergeants were. His memo book indicated that at 3:30 PM, he directed the arrest of a white male who was identified as throwing firecrackers, causing Sgt. John Driscoll to fall off of his horse. This occurred at the intersection of 52<sup>nd</sup> Street and 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue.

Lt. Aanonsen could not recall any specific things that occurred at 53<sup>rd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> at approximately 3:00 PM. He explained that Mounted Unit Captain Christopher Acerbo ordered him to disperse crowds at this location, and that he ordered other mounted police officers to do so. He did not observe any horse make contact with civilians and denied making contact with his horse. The lieutenant also denied backing his horse into the crowd and did not observe any civilians get injured.

### Mounted Unit Training Manual

A copy of the Mounted Unit Training Manual was obtained (Enclosure 10a-10h) and reviewed. According to the Mounted Unit's training manual, the pushing of the civilians with the horses would be incident to the tactic of dispersing the crowd by walking the horses into the crowd in one of three formations: "Wedge," in which the Mounted officers form a chevron pattern; "Extended Line," whereby a single row is formed, simulating a wall; and "Box," in which the Mounted officers form a box. The training manual also recommends that Mounted officers "avoid physical contact if possible" and that they use the "minimum force necessary to control a situation."

## **Conclusions and Recommendations**

individual contact with any of the office civilian complaint based on what he allowed in the concerned to notice or warning to the crowd gathered civilians being "trampled" by the Mour denying that any civilians were trample to FADO allegations, \$87(2)(b) \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$100 \$10	d or issued a summons on February 15, 2003, nor did he have ers assigned to the anti-war demonstration. Instead, he filed this leged were inappropriate tactics used by mounted and foot officers. he use of Mounted Unit officers entering the crowd without giving d. §87(2)(6) s initial email complaint alleged that he observed atted Unit, though his formal statement indicated to the contrary, ed by the Mounted Unit or even knocked to the ground. With regard formal statement focused primarily on a White male officer with a rese into the crowd, causing the civilians to move onto the sidewalk. The expressed a desire to complain about the actions of the NYPD, to to identify the officers involved in the incident. He also did not who were allegedly backed into by the horse in question. In his found it odd that the officers depicted all had mustaches and the subject officer as having a mustache.  The state of the have a summon of the horse in question. In his a subject officer as having a mustache.  The state of the have a summon of the horse in question, and the subject officer as having a mustache.  The state of the have a summon of the horse in question, and the subject officer as having a mustache.  The state of the have a summon of the have a summon of the hard of the horse in question, and the subject officer as having a mustache.	d It
and numerous others. § 87(2)(g)		
		i
§ 87(2)(g)		
	in responding to \$87(2)(b) s allegation of	
officers commit such an action, though	ers, Lt. Aanonsen denied doing so himself or observing other he admitted that such an incident "probably happened at some point conditions and crowds of the day. Regarding \$87(2)(5) sesulted from the action. \$87(2)(9)	nt
		ı
		_
Investigator:	Date:	
Supervisor:	Date:	
Reviewed by:	Date:	
Reviewed by:	Date:	