

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Rebecca Ho	Team: Squad #3	CCRB Case #: 201602825	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday, 03/18/2016 2:35 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b) and at the s/e corner of Grant Avenue and Pitkin Avenue	Precinct: 75	18 Mo. SOL 9/18/2017	EO SOL 9/18/2017	
Date/Time CV Reported Tue, 03/29/2016 8:19 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 04/04/2016 10:58 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. LT Timothy Skretch	00000	926130	075 PCT
2. POM Bibek Thapa	28719	955572	075 PCT
3. POM Warren Hutchinson	21648	954952	075 PCT
4. Officer(s)			

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Gregg Minardi	04986	940475	075 PCT
2. POM Todd Hansen	13329	949083	075 PCT
3. SDS Damon Martin	04173	920558	INT CIS

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.LT Timothy Skretch	Abuse: On March 18, 2016, Lieutenant Timothy Skretch entered and searched § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn.	
B. Officer(s)	Abuse: On March 29, 2016, officers entered § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn.	
C.POM Bibek Thapa	Force: On March 29, 2016, at the southeast corner of Grant Avenue and Pitkin Avenue in Brooklyn, PO Bibek Thapa used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
D.POM Warren Hutchinson	Force: On March 29, 2016, at the southeast corner of Grant Avenue and Pitkin Avenue in Brooklyn, PO Warren Hutchinson used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
E.POM Bibek Thapa	Force: On March 29, 2016, at the southeast corner of Grant Avenue and Pitkin Avenue in Brooklyn, PO Bibek Thapa used a chokehold against § 87(2)(b)	

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
F.POM Warren Hutchinson	Force: On March 29, 2016, at the southeast corner of Grant Avenue and Pitkin Avenue in Brooklyn, PO Warren Hutchinson used a chokehold against § 87(2)(b)	[REDACTED]
G. Officer(s)	Abuse: On March 30, 2016, officers entered and searched § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn.	[REDACTED]

Case Summary

On March 29, 2016, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with IAB via telephone, which generated log #16-11347. It was referred to the CCRB on April 4, 2016.

On March 29, 2016, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with IAB via telephone, which generated log #16-11371. It was referred to the CCRB on April 4, 2016.

On March 30, 2016, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with IAB via telephone, which generated log #16-11619. It was referred to the CCRB on April 6, 2016.

Please call letters were mailed to § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) on April 8, 2016, April 14, 2016, and April 20, 2016. Please call letters were mailed to § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) on April 14, 2016, and April 20, 2016. A please call letter was also mailed to § 87(2)(b) on April 25, 2016.

The undersigned called § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) at the number they provided to IAB, on April 6, 2016; the undersigned spoke with § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) wanted to schedule the entire family for an interview at the same time, but did not want to schedule it until she conferred with her husband. § 87(2)(b) provided a second mobile phone number of which to reach her and the family. On April 8, 2016 and April 11, 2016, the undersigned called the family at both phone numbers and with each call, left a voice message requesting a call back. On April 12, 2016, § 87(2)(b) called after receiving the letter mailed by the undersigned. The undersigned scheduled the family for interviews on April 14, 2016. The same day, an automated text message appointment reminder was sent to § 87(2)(b). On April 14, 2016, the family missed their scheduled appointment without calling to cancel or reschedule. On April 14, 2016 and April 18, 2016, the undersigned called the family at the number they provided to IAB, and left a voice message requesting a call back with each call. On April 14, 2016, April 18, 2016, and April 20, 2016, the undersigned called the family at the additional number § 87(2)(b) provided, and left a voice message requesting a call back with each call.

On April 5, 2016, the undersigned conducted a LexisNexis search which found an additional phone number for § 87(2)(b) two additional phone numbers for § 87(2)(b) and no additional phone numbers for § 87(2)(b). On April 20, 2016, IM Buchanan conducted a CLEAR search which did not reveal any additional phone numbers not found in the LexisNexis search. The undersigned called the additional number found for § 87(2)(b) on April 20th, 22nd, 25th, 27th, and 29th of 2016; a voice message was left with each call requesting a call back. On April 20th and 28th of 2016, the undersigned called the two numbers found for § 87(2)(b) with each call, an automated voice stated the phone number is temporarily not in service.

On April 28, 2016, a search of the DOC for NYC database showed § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) are not incarcerated. § 87(2)(g)

Squad: 3

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Date

Supervisor: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date