

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Julia Moran	Team: Squad #6	CCRB Case #: 202003528	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Monday, 05/18/2020 10:00 AM	Location of Incident: Over the phone	Precinct: 101	18 Mo. SOL 11/18/2021	EO SOL 5/4/2022	
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 05/18/2020 3:55 PM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Tue, 05/26/2020 9:42 AM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address			
Witness(es)	Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command		
1. POF Malika Thompson	21788	945328	101 PCT		
Officer(s)	Allegation			Investigator Recommendation	
A.POF Malika Thompson	Abuse: Police Officer Malika Thompson threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)				

Case Summary

On May 25, 2020, § 87(2)(b) reported this complaint with IAB under log number 2020-12975.

On May 18, 2020, at approximately 10 a.m., § 87(2)(b) received a phone call from an unknown private number. When § 87(2)(b) answered, PO Malika Thompson of the 101st Precinct stated she was calling from the 101st Precinct and that she was with § 87(2)(b) the foster mother of § 87(2)(b)'s children. PO Thompson stated § 87(2)(b) filed a report against § 87(2)(b) for harassing her and calling the Administration for Children's Services (ACS). PO Thompson told § 87(2)(b) that she would be arrested for harassment (**Allegation A: Abuse of Authority - § 87(2)(g)**). § 87(2)(b) immediately hung up and then called the 101st Precinct to get PO Thompson's name to file a complaint with IAB.

§ 87(2)(b) was not arrested or issued any summonses during this interaction.

As this incident occurred over the phone, no BWC or stationhouse footage were received in regards to this incident.

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Malika Thompson threatened to arrest

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) testified that PO Thompson called her cellphone and stated she was from the 101st Precinct (BR 01). PO Thompson told § 87(2)(b) that she was with § 87(2)(b) who filed a report against her and that she would be arrested for harassment. § 87(2)(b) then disconnected the call, Googled the 101st Precinct, and called the phone number that came up. § 87(2)(b) testified that the officer who answered was the same female officer who had called her earlier that day. § 87(2)(b) asked the officer for their name and they identified themselves as PO Thompson. § 87(2)(b) asked PO Thompson which officer took § 87(2)(b)'s complaint because they had just called her. PO Thompson stated they did not know who called her. § 87(2)(b) then asked for IAB's phone number to file a complaint and hung up after she received the information.

§ 87(2)(b) was unavailable to the investigation.

PO Thompson testified that on May 18, 2020, she worked as the telephone switchboard (T/S) operator (BR 02). She did not recall placing any phone calls to any civilians that day. She did receive and answer phone calls from civilians, but did not recall any conversations. PO Thompson did not remember if she received any calls that mentioned the Administration of Children Services or if she had a phone conversation with a civilian about receiving a phone call from an officer from the 101st Precinct. She did not recall if any civilian filed a report about harassment on this day or a conversation with § 87(2)(b) about a report being filed. She did not recall having a conversation with § 87(2)(b) where she stated § 87(2)(b) would be arrested for harassment. She did not recall if a civilian called to file a complaint with the CCRB or if any civilian asked for her name. PO Thompson did not recall interacting with a civilian twice on this date. According to PO Thompson, if a civilian called the 101st Precinct, that was typically not recorded in the T/S log. There would be no documentation of incoming or outgoing civilian phone

calls.

The 101st Precinct roll call notes that the only other officer working the desk on May 18, 2020, at approximately 10 a.m. was Sgt. Paul Ianuale, who CTS notes is a male (BR 03). The 101st Precinct T/S log does not note any incoming or outgoing calls to § 87(2)(b)

The 101st Precinct roll call and T/S log confirm that PO Thompson was the only female officer working the phone and desk on May 18, 2020 when § 87(2)(b) allegedly received a phone call. Additionally, § 87(2)(b) believed that the officer she spoke to when she called the 101st Precinct was the same officer who previously called her and allegedly threatened to arrest her. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the first CCRB complaint to which § 87(2)(b) has been a party (BR 04).
- PO Thompson has been a member of service for 13 years and has been a subject in one CCRB complaint and one allegation, none of which were substantiated (BR 05). § 87(2)(g)

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- PO Thompson declined to mediate this complaint.
- According to the Office of Court Administration (OCA), § 87(2)(b) has no history of convictions in New York City (BR 06).
- As of July 10, 2020, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of a Notice of Claim being filed in regards to this complaint (BR 07).

Squad No.: 6

Investigator: Julia Moran Inv. Julia Moran 11/9/2020
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Squad Leader: Jessica Peña IM Jessica Peña 11/9/2020
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Reviewer: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date