CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Enoch Sowah		Squad #7	201902377	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b)		Precinct: 75	18 Mo. SOL 9/15/2020	EO SOL 5/2/2021
Friday, 03/15/2019 8:00 PM						
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Tim	ne Received at CCI	RB
Mon, 03/18/2019 7:25 PM		CCRB	On-line website	Mon, 03/18/2019 7:25 PM		[
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. CPT Mark Vazquez	00000	933447	075 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	n		Inv	vestigator Recon	nmendation
A.CPT Mark Vazquez	Abuse: Captain Mark Vazquez stopped § 87(2)(b)					
B.CPT Mark Vazquez	Abuse: Captain Mark Vazquez stopped § 87(2)(b)					
C.CPT Mark Vazquez	Abuse: Captain Mark Vazquez threatened to arrest §87(2)(b)					
D.CPT Mark Vazquez	Abuse: Captain Mark Vazquez searched the vehicle in which and \$87(2)(b) were occupants.					

Case Summary On March 18, 2019, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB on behalf of via the on-line website. § 87(2)(b) did not provide a herself and a male friend, § 87(2)(b) contact information for \$87(2)(b) when she filed this complaint. The CCRB did not receive video evidence for this case. On March 21, 2019, § 87(2)(b) was called at the number she provided when she filed this complaint. She answered, confirmed her contact information, and said she did not know s date of birth or his contact information. §87(2)(b) scheduled an interview at the CCRB for March 26, 2019. On March 24, 2019, a text message reminder was sent to § 87(2)(b) However, on March 26, 2019, [87(2)] failed to appear at her interview without cancelation notice. Between March 28, 2019 and April 5, 2019, three voicemail messages were left for Between March 26, 2019 and April 2, 2019, two letters were mailed to \$87(2)(6) at her confirmed mailing address, one of which was returned as undeliverable. On April 8, 2019, \$87(2)(5) was called a fifth time. She answered and said she had forgotten about her prior scheduled interview. §87(2)(b) again confirmed that she did not know s contact information or date of birth, but said that §87(2)(b) was approximately 22-years-old. §37(2)(b) elected to withdraw this complaint and said she was doing so because she did not have time to appear at the CCRB for an interview. The investigation offered a field interview at a location of her choosing, but she refused and elected to have this complaint closed. [87(2)[5] said she was withdrawing voluntarily, and that she was not coerced into doing so by any member of the NYPD or the CCRB. A withdrawal letter was mailed to which she agreed to sign and return to the CCRB. The letter will be added to the case file upon receipt. The withdrawal conversation was recorded digitally and can be found in IA #22, beginning at the 1:03 minute mark. Investigative Manger Vanessa Rosen reviewed the withdrawal audio and confirmed it conforms to agency standards. Between March 22, 2019 and April 8, 2019, searches of the following databases were conducted for § 37(2)(b) The CCRB's Complaint Tracking System, Lexis Nexis, and the NYPD's Booking and Arraignment Disposition System. These attempts yielded multiple results, none of which matched directly to \$87(2)(b) or the approximate age \$87(2)(b) for him. The UF-250 log from the 75th Precinct also yielded negative results for §87(2)(b) any reports prepared in the vicinity of the incident location. § 87(2)(9)

Squad	No.:	

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201902377

Investigator:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:			
======================================	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:			
· <u></u>	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date