

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Sara Griffin	Team: Squad #5	CCRB Case #: 201802369	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Tuesday, 03/20/2018 12:09 AM	Location of Incident: Southwest corner of De Graw Street & Bond Street	Precinct: 76	18 Mo. SOL 9/20/2019	EO SOL 9/20/2019	
Date/Time CV Reported Tue, 03/20/2018 1:05 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 03/26/2018 11:11 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. SGT Wilson Alba	4724	936109	076 PCT
2. POM Jerry Antoine	25557	962218	076 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Thomas Fox	19654	958601	076 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.SGT Wilson Alba	Force: Sergeant Wilson Alba used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM Jerry Antoine	Force: Police Officer Jerry Antoine used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
C.SGT Wilson Alba	Force: Sergeant Wilson Alba used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On March 20, 2018, Sergeant Vincent Seminerio of the 76th Precinct called IAB and reported that force had been used against § 87(2)(b). On March 26, 2018, IAB referred the complaint to the CCRB via Log #18-11144.

On April 2 and April 10, 2018, the undersigned investigator attempted to call § 87(2)(b) two times and left a voicemail message on each attempt. On April 2 and April 10, 2018, please call letters were mailed to § 87(2)(b). On April 13, 2018, a Lexis Nexis search was conducted on § 87(2)(b). The search identified an additional telephone number and three email addresses. On March 13, 2018, please call letters were emailed to § 87(2)(b) at the addresses identified through the search. All three emails went undelivered.

On April 16, 2018, the undersigned investigator attempted to call § 87(2)(b). A female answered, who identified herself as the mother of § 87(2)(b)'s daughter, § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) was placed on the telephone and confirmed the mailing address on file for § 87(2)(b) and stated that § 87(2)(b) did not have a telephone at the moment. § 87(2)(b) stated she would be with § 87(2)(b) the next day and agreed to have § 87(2)(b) call the undersigned investigator then. On April 18, 2018, a second final please call letter was mailed to § 87(2)(b) at the address confirmed by § 87(2)(b). On April 24, 2018, the undersigned investigator attempted to contact § 87(2)(b) at the number found in the Lexis Nexis search. The telephone line rang continuously and there was no option to leave a voicemail message.

On April 25, 2018, the undersigned investigator received a voicemail message from § 87(2)(b) stating he had received the please call letter and requested a return call. On April 27, 2018, the undersigned investigator attempted to call § 87(2)(b). The telephone line connected and only a faint white noise was heard in the background. There was no option to leave a voicemail message. On April 27, 2018, § 87(2)(b) attempted to call the undersigned investigator but upon answering the undersigned investigator only heard the faint white noise in the background. There was no option to leave a voicemail message. On April 27, 2018, a third final please call letter was mailed to § 87(2)(b).

On April 30, 2018, the undersigned investigator called § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) confirmed the contact information on file and provided an email address. § 87(2)(b) indicated his criminal case was still open and the undersigned investigator encouraged § 87(2)(b) speak to his attorney before providing a statement. § 87(2)(b) agreed to speak to his attorney and contact the undersigned investigator. On May 3, 2018, the undersigned investigator called § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) had not spoken to his attorney yet and reiterated that he wished to contact his attorney before scheduling an interview with the CCRB.

Between May 7 and May 14, 2018, the undersigned investigator attempted to call § 87(2)(b) three times. On each attempt an automated voice stated, "subscriber not in network." There was no option to leave a voicemail message on any attempt. On May 7, 2018, a fourth final please call letter was mailed and emailed to § 87(2)(b). Since speaking with the undersigned investigator on May 7, 2018, § 87(2)(b) has not been in contact with the CCRB.

There is no known video footage in this case.

A May 14, 2018 search of the Department of Correction's Inmate Locator confirmed that § 87(2)(b) is not incarcerated. The please call letters were not returned to the CCRB by the United States Postal Service. The last please call email did not go undelivered. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

Squad No.: _____

Investigator: _____

Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
-----------	--------------------	------

Squad Leader: _____

Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
-----------	--------------------	------

Reviewer: _____

Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
-----------	--------------------	------