

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Simon Wang	Team: Squad #2	CCRB Case #: 201504119	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Monday, 05/18/2015 2:30 AM	Location of Incident: Stillwell Avenue and Surf Avenue	Precinct: 60	18 Mo. SOL 11/18/2016	EO SOL 11/18/2016	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 05/22/2015 11:48 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 05/22/2015 11:48 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Matthew Ansbro	13974	954490	TB DT34

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Matthew Ansbro	Force: PO Matthew Ansbro used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On May 18, 2015, Sgt. Philip Berfond of Transit District 34 notified IAB of an injury to § 87(2)(b) who was arrested by PO Matthew Ansbro of Transit District 34. § 87(2)(b) was allegedly found intoxicated on a train by PO Ansbro who woke him up. § 87(2)(b) allegedly punched PO Ansbro in the face, and PO Ansbro responded by punching § 87(2)(b) in the face and wrestling him to the ground, placing him under arrest. § 87(2)(b) was taken to a hospital where he was diagnosed with a contusion to the eye. There was no indication from the IAB report that § 87(2)(b) attempted to file a complaint.

IAB did not have any contact information for § 87(2)(b). Despite conducting Lexis-Nexis searches, no contact information could be found. Upon receiving arrest documents from Transit District 34, the undersigned obtained a mailing address for § 87(2)(b) in his arrest paperwork. Call request letters were sent to that address on June 10, and June 17, 2015. Those letters were returned undelivered by the US Postal Service with “not known” as the reason. New Lexis-Nexis searches using that address and § 87(2)(b)'s name did not yield any results. Call request letters were also sent to another address noted in the command log as § 87(2)(b)'s but those letters were also returned undelivered by the US Postal Service. Searches of the Department of Corrections inmate-locator indicated that § 87(2)(b) was not incarcerated. Because all available means of contacting § 87(2)(b) have been exhausted, and § 87(2)(b) did not file a complaint of this incident, § 87(2)(g)

Pod: 2

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print	Date

Supervisor:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date

Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date

Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date