

 Internal Case Management System Worksheet - Case Closing					Top Allegation OFFICIAL STATEMENT - MISLEADING STATEMENT - PG		Group G25-GROUP 25 Date of This Report 02/12/2018	
Date Reported 11/18/2015	Date Assigned 11/18/2015	IAB Log No. 2015-35717	Case No. (G25) C-2015-695	Folder Type CORRUPTION	Preliminary Case Type CORRUPTION		Follow-Up No.	Worksheet Tracking No. 2639164

Topic/Subject (Case Closing) CASE CLOSING (SUBSTANTIATED)	Activity Date 02/12/2018	Activity Time 19:45
---	------------------------------------	-------------------------------

Referred to Group -	Conferred With	Next Review Date
-------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------

Closing Statement
 INTERNAL AFFAIRS BUREAU INVESTIGATIVE FINDINGS

Case # C-2015-0695

Log # 2015-35717

CASE INVESTIGATOR: SERGEANT TADEUSZ JEREMENKO, TAX# 935066
 CASE SUPERVISOR: LIEUTENANT BRIAN SPARBER, TAX# 932005

Overall Disposition: SUBSTANTIATED

1.Sergeant Gerard F Long, Tax # 920516, 34 precinct
 A.Fail to Notify IAB - Substantiated
 B.Association, Criminal, Family Member ☐- Substantiated
 C.Association, Criminal, Family Member ☐- Substantiated
 D.Official Statement, Misleading Statement PG -☐ Substantiated
 E.Fail to Notify, PB of address/social/phone change - Substantiated

2.Police Officer Milton J Feliberty, Tax # 940132, 43 precinct
 A.Computer Misuse ☐- Substantiated

DETAILS:

On November 18, 2015 Sergeant Currao, DETF, notified IAB Group# 25 that they are assisting a Florida DEA Unit with a money laundering case taking place between Florida and NY. During their investigation an allegation against Sergeant Gerard Long was uncovered.

In January of 2015 a Florida CI set up a deal in NY. DETF set upon the location, [REDACTED] and observed Sgt Long's minivan stop in front of the location. Sgt Long's [REDACTED] was driving the vehicle. [REDACTED] then entered the building, left a short time later and drove away. Approximately an hour later [REDACTED] pulled up to the location in Sgt Long's van again but she does not get out. Another female, later identified as [REDACTED] exits the location and enters the minivan while carrying a large bag. A car stop is initiated and \$157,000.00 USC is recovered in the large bag along with an additional \$7,000.00 USC inside of the minivan.

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were handcuffed and removed to the 33 precinct where a NYPD arrest report was generated. The production of a NYPD arrest report was just a formality and they were released from the 33 precinct sometime later. Even though they were released without incident, when the case was taken down they could have been rearrested and charged accordingly. A Conferral with Sgt Currao, DEA-TF, took place on 3/25/2017 in which he stated that the AUSA ultimately decided to pass on prosecuting either [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]

The seized USC from the arrest was separated into two (2) separate vouchers. One for \$7,000.00 and one for \$157,000.00.

In July of 2015 letters from the DEA were sent to the arrestees who were advised the steps to take if they can prove the money was legally obtained and they wanted to recover it.

In August of 2015 a response by Sgt Long was received by D.C., which was then forwarded and received by the NYC Asset Forfeiture Unit on September 21, 2015.

In October of 2015 telephone contact with Sgt Long is made by the DEA and an in person interview is scheduled for November 18, 2015. Sgt Long is told that he has to bring supporting documentation for the \$7,000.00. Sgt Long did not identify himself as a UMOS.

On November 18, 2015 Sgt Long meets with the assigned DEA agent and identifies himself as a UMOS. According to Sgt Currao, DETF, during this interview the DEA agent told Sgt Long the particulars regarding his [REDACTED] arrest. Sgt Long told the agent he advised his [REDACTED] to stay away from [REDACTED]. He also stated he owns two (2) rental properties and that his [REDACTED] collects rental money for him (the \$7,000.00). One property is located at [REDACTED] (duplex style) and the other is at [REDACTED] (3 story unit with 5 units).

Financial backgrounds were conducted by Group# 2 on Sgt Long and [REDACTED] which did not produce any suspicious activity.

The HSBC account that Sgt Long directly deposits his NYPD paycheck into was subpoenaed. On July 27, 2016 the investigator from HSBC elaborated on Sgt Longs account and stated that there were numerous [REDACTED] red flags [REDACTED] raised from the amount of money coming in and out of the account along with the frequency of deposits. There are incidents of multiple ATM deposits on the same day along with deposits a couple days apart. Ultimately bank accounts at Chase Bank, Wells Fargo Bank and HSBC Bank related to Sgt Long were subpoenaed and reviewed. They showed that Sgt Long carried a low balance on his accounts. However every month numerous cash deposits would be made only to go to paying his high reoccurring bills for that month.

Group# 55 was requested and they conducted a total of thirty-seven (37) surveillances between February 16, 2016 and March 1, 2017. Twenty nine (29) were positive and eight (8) were negative.

Twelve (12) were conducted (8-Pos, 4-Neg) on [REDACTED]. The positives produced no criminality or misconduct.

The remaining twenty five (25) (21 Pos- 4-Neg) were conducted on Sgt Long or his Barber Shop ([REDACTED], [REDACTED]). Those also did not produce any criminality or misconduct.

Phone analysis was conducted on Sgt Longs cellphone. There were no suspicious calls or contacts observed.

On August 12, 2016 members of Group# 25 were at Sgt Longs properties in an attempt to ascertain who was residing at the location and try to rent an apartment. They were unsuccessful at [REDACTED]. At [REDACTED] they had a conversation with an unidentified female who was out front talking on the cellphone. When asked if there were any vacant rooms, the unidentified female stated that she was unsure but would call the owner. She stated that she rents one (1) of the three (3) rooms in the basement. She pays \$150.00 a week and didn't know what the others paid. The female called a lady she called [REDACTED], who she said was the property owner. Group# 25 UC spoke with and asked [REDACTED] if there were any rooms for rent and she responded [REDACTED].

On September 6, 2016 I got the results from an inquiry of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] from the Human Resources Administration (HRA). They stated that none of them were currently obtaining benefits. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] stopped receiving SNAP benefits on August 31, 2013. [REDACTED] only had a Medicaid case which was closed August 18, 2014.

On September 7, 2016 Con Edison conducted a query of Sgt Gerard Longs social security number in order to identify what accounts he had. It was discovered that Sgt Long had an account in [REDACTED] since March 2014. He also had an account coming back to a Barber Shop located at [REDACTED] since June 2015. At his listed residence, [REDACTED] he did not have an account but the following individuals did; [REDACTED] ([REDACTED] as of November 2008), [REDACTED] ([REDACTED] as of November 2008), [REDACTED] (as of December 2011).

On November 16, 2016 Senior Rackets Investigator Christonikos, Manhattan DA Public Corruptions Unit, contacted me. They reviewed a HSBC SAR that was generated after I subpoenaed Sgt Longs direct deposit account. On November 18, 2016 I met with Luke Rettler (Chief of DANY Public Corruption), Julio Cuevas (Deputy of DANY Public Corruption) and others from their office. We started to work the case together but ultimately they decided against criminal charges.

On February 22, 2017 I met with Deputy Commissioner Curtis Woods, [REDACTED] Department of Buildings. He went on to say that [REDACTED] has one (1) previous complaint regarding [REDACTED] illegal apartment [REDACTED] on March 25, 2009. He also showed me a blue print of the location along with the Certificate of Occupancy. He said that the basement has an open layout with two (2) bathrooms and four (4) rooms listed as office/playrooms. He said that the basement can easily be transferred into bedrooms but that a safety issue arises when that happens, there is not a proper egress during an emergency.

On March 24, 2017 Sgt Long was officially interviewed by members of Group# 25. Sgt Long stated that he was currently residing at [REDACTED]. But because his [REDACTED] room [REDACTED] a [REDACTED] is under construction he has been staying at his other property, [REDACTED] for about four (4) months.

On June 27, 2016 he married [REDACTED] and they currently reside in the basement of [REDACTED]. She has been at the location for approximately two (2) years. He was not sure when they met, but it was at a retirement party about six (6) years ago (2010). He stated that [REDACTED] has had about three (3) jobs during the time he has known her. She usually sells clothes and works as a home care attendant, her business is cash based.

He stated that he purchased [REDACTED] in February 2006. It is a four (4) family rental property with a \$4,000.00 a

month mortgage. For the 1st floor he charges \$1,100; for the 2nd floor \$1,400; 3rd floor for \$1,500.

He described [REDACTED] as [REDACTED] pretty much family and friends [REDACTED] who help with the rent/mortgage contributions. He purchased the property in November or December of 2008. His Aunt, [REDACTED], is the co owner of the two (2) family house and the monthly mortgage is about \$4,700. It is a 2, three bedroom duplex with an additional bedroom underneath each one, along with a basement with three (3) rooms. Sgt Longs [REDACTED] [REDACTED] resides at [REDACTED].

Sgt Long originally said that he does not own any commercial property but he has an interest in a Barber Shop located at [REDACTED]. He said he has the lease in his name but he does not collect any money from it. He originally got the lease in order to open a Liquor Store, but later found out that as a UMOS he is not authorized to have a Liquor Store. He claimed he was unaware that the Con Edison account for the Barber Shop was in his name. After being told the Con Ed account was established in June of 2015, he went on to say that he believes that is when the lease started. [REDACTED] had the store next door and her lease expired around the time he started his lease. Since he couldn't open a liquor store he told her to move over and do the Barber Shop at his property until he is ready to open the liquor store.

He was not sure how long [REDACTED] had her Beauty Shop and could not remember when he first met her or when they got married. He said they got married around February 2006, separated around 2012 and officially divorced sometime in 2016. When they met she was working at the Beauty Shop next door, to his leased property, and then sometime later purchased the place. They came to the understanding that she would open the current Barber Shop and maintain it, pay the rent. When he is able to open the Liquor Store they would switch it over. He also stated that he does not receive a financial benefit from the Barber Shop, except the fact that she is paying the rent so he won't default on the lease.

Sgt Long stated that [REDACTED] did not lose her lease to her Beauty Shop because of the search warrant that was executed there, according to her. He could not recall the name of the person who was selling marijuana at the location that triggered the search warrant. He said her case was [REDACTED] thrown out [REDACTED]. He admitted to not notifying the department that his wife was arrested at that time.

Regarding the arrest of [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], Sgt Long said that she told him she was going to Chuck E Cheese with the kids. He was not able to go to the bank so he gave her the money to deposit in the bank on her way there. She leaves Yonkers in his van and picks up [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. She then tells him that she picks up her two nieces and then drives by the arrest location to pick something up. That location, [REDACTED] is an apartment building where [REDACTED] parents reside in apartment [REDACTED]. While there she claims [REDACTED], [REDACTED] asks for a ride and she comes out of the location carrying a box. The DEA immediately grab [REDACTED] at the door as she walks out. After talking to [REDACTED] the agents walk over to the van where [REDACTED] is sitting inside. They see [REDACTED] his rent money [REDACTED], take it and then walk them all back into the apartment. While inside they take information from them and sometime later let them go.

Sgt Long stated that he initially did not believe her story and thought she took his money. When he received the forfeiture letter from the DEA and did the in person interview with them he knew that something actually did happen. During that interview they showed him pictures of everyone that was on scene in order to identify them. When he saw the photo of [REDACTED] he told the DEA investigators that he wasn't nothing to do with her because she is [REDACTED] young and dumb [REDACTED]. Sgt Long stated that he did not notify the department that he had a sit down interview with the DEA or that his [REDACTED] was detained/arrested by the DEA. Sgt Long also claims he was not aware of a 2010 incident in Massachusetts where [REDACTED] vehicle was used for narcotics trafficking. In that case she was not arrested, her vehicle was being used by someone else.

Sgt Long continued that the \$7,000 the DEA seized was going to be used to pay his mortgages. When he was shown a breakdown of his cash deposits and asked why \$1,000 was deposited the day of the arrest and where that cash came from he went on to say that he keeps extra cash in the house. If he needs it or when he wants to do something he will deposit in into the account. He will deposit checks when he gets them but he will keep extra cash in the house.

Sgt Long stated that most people pay cash. Between his NYPD paycheck and the [REDACTED] rent [REDACTED] contributions, he is able to cover his bills. He claims that he will roughly get \$5,000 from [REDACTED] and \$5,000 from [REDACTED] when they are fully occupied. If they are not at full occupancy then his NYPD paycheck assists.

Regarding the [REDACTED] mortgage contributions [REDACTED], either he or [REDACTED] would make deposits but [REDACTED] has made deposits in the past. The money comes in sporadically which will explain the deposits made every couple of days. He explained the multiple deposits on a single day as the ATM only being able to accept a certain amount of bills. Also he might make a deposit and then when he gets back home another tenant will give him money, so then he will go back to the bank and deposit it.

On May 16, 2017 Sgt Long was served with Charges and Specifications for Failure to Notify IAB, Criminal Association and Fail to Notify Department of Change of Address.

On May 31, 2017 I was at [REDACTED] the company that leased the Barber Shop space to Sgt Long. While there I was told that in December 2016 they became aware that [REDACTED] was on the insurance for the Barber Shop. Gerard Long is the leaser but [REDACTED] should not be listed. [REDACTED] stated that she has been attempting to contact Sgt Long regarding [REDACTED] being on the insurance paperwork but he has not yet returned their calls. The lease states that Gerard Long will be permitted to change the location from a Barber Shop into a Liquor Store once the appropriate licenses were received. [REDACTED] also mentioned that [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] had Barber Shops next to each other. After the search warrant arrests [REDACTED] location was closed indefinitely. [REDACTED] went to court in an attempt to close and remove [REDACTED] from her location but they were unsuccessful. Eventually [REDACTED] closed her Beauty Salon but still owes back rental payments.

Due to the money owed and the arrests at the location they will not rent another location to [REDACTED].

On August 3, 2017 I received copies of the rental payments Sgt Long made for the Barber Shop from 9/9/2015 through 12/01/2016. [REDACTED] does not accept cash so the payments were made with checks. The checks had Sgt Long's name and address along with his signature on them.

On September 14, 2017 I spoke with Jamie Moran from the Department Advocates Office and how newly obtained documents seem to contradict some statements Sgt Long made during his first official department interview.

On September 27, 2017 Sgt Long was officially interviewed by Group# 25 for the second time. When asked "Do you have any involvement in that business at all", he replied "No". Regarding the lease payments for the barber shop he stated that he believes [REDACTED] pays with money orders. He stated that he paid the original lease signing requirements, possibly the first three (3) months' rent upfront. After those payments [REDACTED] made the remainder of the payments. When asked "for 2016 until now, how are those (lease) payments being made?" "By money order I believe" he replied. "Is the money being given to you?" "No" he said.

When he was asked "so you have no payments, you never made a payment to [REDACTED] in the past year, year and a half"? Sgt Long sat silent for a few moments then stated "you know what, I'm sorry, there's a Wells Fargo account, there's a Wells Fargo account, yea, um sorry, there's a Wells Fargo account that the money is being deposited into and then the payments are being made on the Wells Fargo account I believe".

"Who's writing the checks"? "My name is, damn, I completely forgot about the Wells Fargo account, yea, I sign the checks but I believe I told her to change the account".

"When did this Wells Fargo account start"? "This is all [REDACTED], it's my name on the account, it is, but this is [REDACTED]'s account that she puts the money in to pay the lease, the lease company".

"You reviewed your last PG correct", Sgt Long responded "Right".

"When the question was asked if you have any involvement in that business you said no", "right", "ok, is that a truthful statement"?

Sgt Long responds by saying "I'm going to answer that question with, my involvement as far as me receiving money for myself, benefiting, is no, but yes I do have involvement because the lease and the lease payments are being made through an account in my name Wells Fargo".

"By you, you're making the payments because you're signing the checks", Sgt Long interrupts and says "right, yes, absolutely by me and that would be a false statement". "Is there any reason why that didn't come out at our last hearing".

"Once again, even though I have dealings, I don't, I'm not on it, I don't get a benefit its more, like I said, she was giving me the checks that I was signing, she's putting the money in, she's paying it out". "it's not that I was trying to be evasive or anything, I just, wasn't really thinking of it or, I wasn't trying to be evasive, there's nothing I'm trying to hide with that, so for that, I guess yes I do have dealings with the business because I pay the lease and that's how it was originally set up".

On September 29, 2017 I requested the Charges and Specification be amended to include False Statements and Off Duty Employment.

On January 23, 2018 Advocate Jamie Moran amended and finalized the Charges and Specifications against Sgt Gerard Long as follows:

Specification 1: Allegation of Corruption and Other Misconduct against Member of the Service
- failing to notify the Department of [REDACTED] arrest by DE A on November 18, 2015

Specification 2: Public Contact - Prohibited Conduct
- knowingly associate with [REDACTED] between 11/18/2015 and 6/26/2016 being that she is a person believed to be engaged in, likely to be engaged in or to have engaged in criminal activities.

Specification 3: Personal Information via Department Intranet
- failed to notify the Department of his change in home address

Specification 4: Public Contact - Prohibited Conduct
- during official Department interviews he wrongly made inaccurate or misleading statements as to the lease payments of the Barber Shop/Hair Salon.

Specification 5: Public Contact - Prohibited Conduct
- knowingly associate with [REDACTED] between 5/11/2016 and 1/18/2018 being that she is a person reasonably believed to be

engaged in, likely to engage in or to have engaged in criminal activities.

On February 15, 2018 I spoke with Advocate Jamie Moran. She went on to say that Sgt Long accepted his penalty and that she was forwarding the paperwork to the Police Commissioners Office for endorsement. Once the Police Commissioner approves the penalty Sgt Long will be suspended for thirty (30) days and be placed on dismissal probation. Once his thirty (30) day suspension is over he will have to retire.

An audit of [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and was conducted in order to see if Sgt Long or anyone else had searched for their arrests. PO Milton Feliberty was identified as searching this arrest along with other arrests the arresting officer, Det Suarez, conducted over the past couple of months. On March 1, 2017 PO Feliberty was officially interviewed by members of Group# 25. He went on to say that his father retired from the NYPD and that his [REDACTED] Det Freddy Suarez, is currently active and assigned to the DEA Task Force. He was shown a copy of the omniform inquiries he made. He was also shown the arrest reports for [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. His [REDACTED] Det Suarez, was the arresting officer for them. He went on to say that he ran the arrests for no particular reason, just to see what type of arrests his uncle was making. PO Feliberty admitted that he was always admired by the fact that his uncle was a detective because it is something he is interested in doing. The two of them had conversations about it when they were still family but Det Suarez is currently divorced from his mothers [REDACTED] sister. Because of the divorce they are no longer in contact but he went on to say that even though they lost contact, he was still [REDACTED] buffering out [REDACTED] to see what he was doing. He stated he only looked at the arrest reports, he did not print any information or have any conversations about them. He did not know the name Gerard Long or who the females ([REDACTED] and [REDACTED]) were. On March 15, 2017 the allegation of Computer Misuse against PO Feliberty was substantiated and a Letter of Instruction was issued.

With regard to the allegation Fail to Notify IAB against Sgt Gerard Long it is recommended that the allegation be CLOSED as SUBSTANTIATED. Sergeant Gerard Long, while assigned to the 34th Precinct, on or about November 18, 2015, after becoming aware that his [REDACTED] [REDACTED] had been arrested in connection with a Federal investigation involving money laundering and after being interviewed by the Drug Enforcement Administration regarding the incident, failed to notify the Department, as required.

It is recommended that the allegation of Association Criminal [REDACTED] Family ([REDACTED]) be CLOSED as SUBSTANTIATED. Sergeant Gerard Long, while assigned to the 34th Precinct, on or about and between November 18, 2015 and June 26, 2016, knowingly associated with [REDACTED] a person believed to be engaged in, likely to be engaged in or to have engaged in criminal activities.

It is recommended that the allegation of Association Criminal [REDACTED] Family ([REDACTED]) be CLOSED as SUBSTANTIATED. Sergeant Gerard Long, while assigned to the 34th Precinct, on or about and between May 11, 2016 and January 18, 2018, knowingly associated with [REDACTED] a person reasonably believed to be engaged in, likely to engage in, or to have engaged in criminal activities.

It is recommended that the allegation of Official Statement [REDACTED] Misleading Statement PG be CLOSED as SUBSTANTIATED. Sergeant Gerard Long, while assigned to the 34th Precinct, on or about March 24, 2017 and September 27, 2017, engaged in conduct prejudicial to the good order, efficiency, or discipline of the Department, to wit: said Sergeant, during official Department interviews, wrongfully made inaccurate or misleading statements as to lease payments regarding a Barber Shop/Hair Salon.

It is recommended that the allegation of Fail to Notify PB of address/social/phone change be CLOSED as SUBSTANTIATED. Sergeant Gerard Long, while assigned to the 34th Precinct, on or about and between November 24, 2016, and March 24, 2017, failed and neglected to notify the Department of his change in home address.

With regard to the allegation Computer Misuse against Police Officer Feliberty it is recommended that the allegation be CLOSED as SUBSTANTIATED. PO Feliberty was issued a Letter of Instruction. During his official department interview PO Feliberty admitted to having queried his uncles [REDACTED] arrests.

It is further recommended that this case be closed with an overall disposition of SUBSTANTIATED

Subjects Involved

Name	Status	Sex	Race	Dob
920516 GERARD LONG SGT (034-034 PRECINCT)	UNIFORM MEMBER OF SERVICE	MALE	BLACK	[REDACTED]

Allegations

1	Full Allegation	Classification	Allegation Index	Allegation Date	Finding	Finding Date
	Fail to Notify - IAB	Abuse of Dept Regulations				

			Fail to Make Notification	02/12/2018	07 - Substantiated	02/12/2018
		Comments Sergeant Gerard Long, while assigned to the 34th Precinct, on or about November 18, 2015, after becoming aware that his [REDACTED] [REDACTED] had been arrested in connection with a Federal investigation involving money laundering and after being interviewed by the Drug Enforcement Administration regarding the incident, failed to notify the Department, as required.				
2	Full Allegation Association - Criminal - Family Member	Classification Abuse of Dept Regulations	Allegation Index ASSFAM- Abuse/Associates Family	Allegation Date 02/12/2018	Finding 07 - Substantiated	Finding Date 02/12/2018
		Comments Sergeant Gerard Long, while assigned to the 34th Precinct, on or about and between November 18, 2015 and June 26, 2016, knowingly associated with [REDACTED] a person believed to be engaged in, likely to be engaged in or to have engaged in criminal activities.				
3	Full Allegation Association - Criminal - Family Member	Classification Abuse of Dept Regulations	Allegation Index ASSFAM- Abuse/Associates Family	Allegation Date 02/12/2018	Finding 07 - Substantiated	Finding Date 02/12/2018
		Comments Sergeant Gerard Long, while assigned to the 34th Precinct, on or about and between May 11, 2016 and January 18, 2018, knowingly associated with [REDACTED] a person reasonably believed to be engaged in, likely to engage in, or to have engaged in criminal activities.				
4	Full Allegation Official Statement - Misleading Statement - PG	Classification Perjury/False Statements	Allegation Index GO15-PG 206-13	Allegation Date 02/12/2018	Finding 07 - Substantiated	Finding Date 02/12/2018
		Comments Sergeant Gerard Long, while assigned to the 34th Precinct, on or about March 24, 2017 and September 27, 2017, engaged in conduct prejudicial to the good order, efficiency, or discipline of the Department, to wit: said Sergeant, during official Department interviews, wrongfully made inaccurate or misleading statements as to lease payments regarding a Barber Shop/Hair Salon.				
5	Full Allegation Fail to Notify - PB of addr/social/phone change	Classification Abuse of Dept Regulations	Allegation Index Administrative Integrity Issue	Allegation Date 02/12/2018	Finding 07 - Substantiated	Finding Date 02/12/2018
		Comments Sergeant Gerard Long, while assigned to the 34th Precinct, on or about and between November 24, 2016, and March 24, 2017, failed and neglected to notify the Department of his change in home address.				
6	Full Allegation Modified MOS - Other - Non-IAB	Classification NOTIFICATION/Additional Information	Allegation Index ADD-Additional Information	Allegation Date 04/25/2018	Finding 04 - Info. and Intelligence	Finding Date 04/25/2018
		Comments The allegation of Modified MOS - Other - Non IAB - against Sgt. Gerard Long should be closed as information and intelligence. On April 25, 2018, Sgt. Long was placed on Modified Assignment by the Dept. Advocate's Office pending the result of his upcoming department trial.				
7	Full Allegation Suspended MOS - Other - Non-IAB	Classification NOTIFICATION/Additional Information	Allegation Index ADD-Additional Information	Allegation Date 08/10/2018	Finding 04 - Info. and Intelligence	Finding Date 09/10/2018
		Comments The allegation of Suspended MOS - Other - Non IAB - against Sgt. Gerard Long should be closed as information and intelligence. Sgt. Long was Suspended for 30 days on August 10, 2018 at 1442 hours as part of his penalty related to him being found Guilty at a Department Trial.				
Name 940132 MILTON FELIBERTY POM (043-043 PRECINCT)		Status UNIFORM MEMBER OF SERVICE		Sex MALE	Race	Dob [REDACTED]

Allegations						
1	Full Allegation Computer Misuse -	Classification Abuse of Dept Regulations	Allegation Index COMPMIS-Computer Misuse	Allegation Date 02/16/2016	Finding 07 - Substantiated	Finding Date 03/15/2017
	Comments PO Feliberty is being added as a subject with an allegation of Computer Misuse. An Omniform audit revealed that his access code queried the information of the individuals arrested in this case by Det-1 Suarez, DEA-TF. The arrests were made on January 16, 2015 and the query was done on January 26, 2015. On March 15, 2017 the allegation of Computer Misuse is being substantiated with a Letter of Instruction issued.					

ATTACHMENT

Reporting Officer:	Rank SDS	Name JEREMENKO TADEUSZ		Tax Reg. No. 935066		Command G25-GROUP 25
Reviewing Supervisor:	Manner of Closing 5 - SUBSTANTIATED	Date Reviewed 03/09/2018	Date of Next Review	Name CHANG ELLEN		Supv. Tax No. 905027
Endorser:	Rank LSA	Name SPARBER BRIAN	Tax Reg. No. 932005	Command G25-GROUP 25	DateTime Reviewed 03/05/2018 18:06	Status Approved
	Comments CONCUR WITH FINDINGS.					
Endorser:	Rank DC	Name CHANG ELLEN	Tax Reg. No. 905027	Command SIU-SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS UNIT	DateTime Reviewed 03/09/2018 18:00	Status Approved
	Comments CONCUR					