# CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	<b>▼</b> Force		Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Ben Gilmore		APU	201705989	☐ Abuse	_	O.L.	☐ Gib.
Bell diffillore		A	201703989	Abuse	<b>′</b> Ц	O.L.	<b>v</b> Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinc	t: 18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Sunday, 07/16/2017 4:36 AM		Outside Prospect Billi Prospect Avenue	iards Cafe, 671	40	1/	16/2019	1/16/2019
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	: Date/T	ime Rece	eived at CCI	RB
Sun, 07/16/2017 5:45 AM		IAB	Phone	Mon,	07/24/201	7 2:45 PM	I
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addr	ess				
Witness(es)		Home Addr	ess				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command				
1. POM Daniel Mardjonovic	6319	955123	040 PCT				
2. POM Majeed Arif	02098	956395	040 PCT				
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name				
1. POM Elbi Martines	22951	962583	040 PCT				
2. POM Juan Santiago	09507	951206	040 PCT				
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		]	nvestiga	tor Recon	nmendation
A.POM Daniel Mardjonovic	Force: Po	olice Officer Daniel Ma inst <sup>§ 87(2)(b)</sup>	ardjonovic used physi	ical			
B.POM Daniel Mardjonovic	Force: Po	olice Officer Daniel Ma inst § 87(2)(b)	ardjonovic used physi	ical			
C.POM Majeed Arif	Force: Po § 87(2)(b)	olice Officer Majeed A	rif used a chokehold	against			
D.POM Majeed Arif	Force: Po	olice Officer Majeed As breathing.	rif restricted § 87(2)(b)				

#### **Case Summary**

<u>Case Summary</u>				
On July 16, 2017, §87(2)(b) called the IAB Command Center and filed this				
complaint on behalf of himself and his wife, \$87(2)(b) IAB forwarded the complaint to				
the CCRB, where it was received on July 24, 2017. Separately, on July 25, 2017, \$87(2)(6)				
called the CCRB and filed a complaint regarding the same incident on his own behalf				
(Board Review 01). The two complaints were combined into this one CCRB complaint.				
On July 16, 2017, at approximately 4:36 a.m., there was a dispute outside a billiards hall,				
"Prospect Billiards Cafe," at 671 Prospect Avenue in the Bronx. An individual, known to the				
CCRB only as \$87(2)(b) called 911 and reported that people were fighting at the location				
and that one person was bleeding (Board Review 02). At least 12 officers responded to the scene,				
including two officers from the 40 <sup>th</sup> Precinct: PO Daniel Mardjonovic and PO Majeed Arif.				
A patron of Prospect Billiards Cafe, \$87(2)(b) was outside the location when				
officers arrived. §87(2)(b) testified that he was not involved in any physical altercation but				
that he was nevertheless approached by only PO Mardjonovic and placed in handcuffs.				
alleged that while he stood still in handcuffs, PO Mardjonovic punched him in the right				
cheek one time with a closed fist (Allegation A). §87(2)(b) alleged that after going to the				
ground, PO Mardjonovic punched him in the back a couple of times (Allegation B).				
stood up from the ground, with the assistance of PO Mardjonovic, and PO Arif				
approached him.				
PO Arif began to physically escort § 87(2)(b) to a police vehicle. § 87(2)(b)				
alleged that PO Arif grabbed him by the neck with both hands—one making contact with the				
front of his neck and the other the back—and applied various amounts of pressure for				
approximately 20 seconds (Allegation C). \$87(2)(b) alleged that as a result of PO Arif's				
pressure around his neck, \$87(2)(b) s breathing was partially restricted (Allegation D).				
Cell phone video footage (Board Review 03) provided to the CCRB by \$87(2)(b)				
Snagit copy of which is placed below and a summary of which may be found at Board Review				
04—appears to capture PO Arif escorting \$87(2)(6) to a police vehicle. The SnagIt copy				
below first plays the video at normal speed and then twice repeats the video at slower speeds.				
201705989_20171031_0909_DM.mp4				
was arrested as a result of this incident and charged with three				
misdemeanors and three violations (Board Review 05). His top charge was \$87(2)(6)				
This case surpassed the 90-day benchmark largely because of the extensive efforts which				
were made to obtain video footage and civilian testimony.				
-				
Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories				
• This case was not suitable for mediation due to §87(2)(b) s arrest.				

- A Notice of Claim inquiry was submitted with the New York City Comptroller's Office on October 30, 2017 (Board Review 06). The results will be added to the case file upon receipt.
- \$87(2)(b) s criminal charges stemming from this incident were adjourned in contemplation of dismissal (Board Review 07). \$87(2)(b)

Page 2

#### **Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories**

- This is the first CCRB complaint in which § 87(2)(b) is involved (Board Review 09).
- PO Mardjonovic has been a member of service for four years, and this is the first CCRB complaint made against him.
- PO Arif has been a member of service for three years, and he has been the subject of two previous CCRB allegations, none of which were substantiated.

#### **Potential Issues**

- Extensive efforts were made to obtain sworn statements from complainant/victim/witness and witnesses statements from complainant/victim/witness and witnesses statements from complainant/victim/witness and witnesses statements from complainant/victim/witness statements from complaina
- Both individuals who called 911 during the incident—\$\(\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\) and \$\(\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\) on the Event documentation)—indicated that they did not witness or recall any interaction between police officers and civilians (see Investigative Actions 86 and 87).
- Extensive efforts were made to obtain video footage from Prospect Billiards Cafe and surrounding businesses—including letters, calls, subpoenas, and in-person visits—but they were ultimately unsuccessful (see Investigative Actions).
- The IAB log indicated that ser(2)(0) attempted to provide IAB with cell phone videos of the incident. Sgt. Kevin Lynch of Patrol Borough Bronx Investigations Unit confirmed that they received four cell phone videos of the incident (see Investigative Action 128). A Reznick request for the video footage was submitted, but the police department refused to provide it, citing that their investigation regarding this incident remained open (see Investigate Action 151).
- The investigation was unable to determine who recorded the video footage that provided to the CCRB. [\$37(2)(5)] indicated that he received the video from someone via Instagram, but he refused to provide this person's name or Instagram account information.

### Findings and Recommendations

## Allegation A – Police Officer Daniel Mardjonovic used physical force against 887(2)(b)

It is undisputed that PO Mardjonovic responded to a call regarding a fight outside of Prospect Billiards Cafe, and that § 87(2)(6) was standing outside Prospect Billiards Cafe when PO Mardjonovic arrived. It is undisputed that PO Mardjonovic alone approached § 27(2)

It is further undisputed that PO Mardjonovic punched \$87(2)(b) in the face one time with a closed fist. The circumstances of the punch remain disputed.

(Board Review 10) testified that he was not involved in any physical altercation prior to PO Mardjonovic approaching him. §87(2)(b) testified that he was solely standing outside Prospect Billiards Cafe talking with an unidentified female individual whose

Page 3

name and contact information he did not know. § 87(2)(b) s arrest report, the criminal court
complaint report and supporting deposition (Board Review 11), and testimonies of PO
Mardjonovic (Board Review 12) and PO Elbi Martines of the 40 <sup>th</sup> Precinct (Board Review 13)
were consistent in describing \$87(2)(b) as being physically engaged in a fight.
PO Mardjonovic testified that he approached \$87(2)(b) and secured his right hand in
handcuffs, but that § 87(2)(b) then tensed his body, moved his arm, and repeatedly turned
away from PO Mardjonovic, refusing to allow his left hand to be handcuffed. PO Mardjonovic
testified that he repeatedly told \$87(2)(6) to just place his hands behind his back. PO
Mardjonovic testified that an unidentified individual approached him, was bumping into him, and
was insisting that \$87(2)(b) had done nothing wrong. PO Mardjonovic testified that \$100.
turned to face him, grabbed the handcuffs with his free hand, and said, "You're not
cuffing me." PO Mardjonovic testified that approximately two seconds after \$87(2)(b) did
this, he punched \$87(2)(b) in the left jaw with his right fist. PO Mardjonovic testified that
stumbled backwards, at which point he was able to take him to the ground and
secure him in handcuffs. PO Mardjonovic testified that \$87(2)(b) had not done anything else,
other than what is described above, prior to PO Mardjonovic punching him in the face.
PO Mardjonovic testified that he punched \$87(2)(b) in the face because there were
two civilians and only him, because §87(2)(b) was physically and verbally resisting arrest,
and because he needed to get the situation under control. PO Mardjonovic testified that he
punched §87(2)(b) particularly in the face because it was his instinctual reaction in the
moment, and that he did not call for assistance prior to punching \$87(2)(b) in the face
because the situation was happening so fast. The Threat, Resistance or Injury Report ("TRI")
(Board Review 14) was consistent with PO Mardjonovic's testimony.
alleged that PO Mardjonovic punched him in the face only after he was
secured in handcuffs and while he was standing still. PO Mardjonovic denied punching
after he was handcuffed, although he acknowledged momentarily grabbing
by the front collar of his shirt when \$87(2)(b) was secured in handcuffs and
allegedly began to verbally threaten PO Mardjonovic's family.
The only other officers reportedly in the area when PO Mardjonovic punched
in the face were PO Martines and PO Arif (Board Review 15). They both denied
seeing PO Mardjonovic punch § 87(2)(b)
An officer may only use the amount of force reasonable under the circumstances. NYPD
Patrol Guide, Procedure 221-01 (Board Review 16).
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)

Page 4

Page 5

PO Arif testified that he had his right hand on the back of street and that PO Arif's left hand was at his own side. PO Arif testified that his left hand never changed positions, as far as he could recall. PO Arif denied putting his hand on the front of sneck and denied ever putting a hand close to the front of sneck. PO Arif testified that there was no reason to put a hand on the front of sneck. PO Santiago denied seeing PO Arif make contact with the front of sneck. PO Santiago denied seeing PO Arif make contact with the front of sneck.  Sneck (Board Review 22). Sneck (Board Review 23). Sneck (Board Review 23). Sneck (Board Review 23). Sneck (Board Review 23). Sneck (Board Review 24). Sneck (Board Review 25). Sneck (Board Review 26). Sneck (Board Review 26). Sneck (Board Review 26). Sneck (Board Review 27). Sneck (Board Review 26). Sneck (Board Review 27). Sneck (Board Review 27). Sneck (Board Review 26). Sneck (Board Review 27). S
201705989_20171031_0909_DM.mp4
During his CCRB interview, PO Arif was presented the above cell phone video footage. After viewing the video, PO Arif testified that the video shows his left hand making contact with solution solutions shoulder and does not show his left hand making contact with solutions of solutions shoulder and does not show his left hand making contact with solutions solved in the video refreshed his memory—after seeing the video, he remembered grabbing the top collar of solved in solved in solved in order to prevent solved in solved in solved in the solv
§ 87(2)(g)
\$ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)

Page 6

§ 87(2)(g)			
Squad: 5			
Investigator:	Signature	Print	 Date
Squad Leader:	Title/Signature	Print	 Date
Reviewer:	Title/Signature	Print	 Date