

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Michael Miskovski	Team: Squad #3	CCRB Case #: 201908740	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday, 10/04/2019 4:40 PM	Location of Incident: 75th Precinct stationhouse	Precinct: 75	18 Mo. SOL 4/4/2021	EO SOL 11/19/2021	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 10/04/2019 4:50 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 10/04/2019 4:50 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Steve Torres	17913	959310	075 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Steve Torres	Abuse: Police Officer Steve Torres threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM Steve Torres	Force: Police Officer Steve Torres used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
C.POM Steve Torres	Discourtesy: Police Officer Steve Torres spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	
D.POM Steve Torres	Discourtesy: Police Officer Steve Torres spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	
E.POM Steve Torres	Abuse: Police Officer Steve Torres threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)	
F.POM Steve Torres	Abuse: Police Officer Steve Torres threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On October 4, 2019, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB over the phone on behalf of himself, his grandfather § 87(2)(b) and his uncle § 87(2)(b) while also providing their addresses and phone numbers. During his intake call, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were scheduled for an in-person interview for October 11, 2019. There is video footage of the incident.

The undersigned received this complaint on October 7, 2019. On October 9, 2019, § 87(2)(b) was sent a text message reminder for his interview. On October 11, 2019, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) failed to appear for their interview and did not provide any prior notice nor attempt to reschedule. § 87(2)(g)

On October 15, 2019, the investigator called § 87(2)(b) who informed the undersigned that the family wanted to speak with an attorney before providing a statement. Since § 87(2)(b) gave the indication that the case might close pending litigation and that the attorney might object to the CCRB procuring statements from the involved parties, the undersigned attempted to communicate first with § 87(2)(b) regarding the process with the family's attorney.

On October 17, 2019, the undersigned called § 87(2)(b) who then informed the undersigned that he would call the CCRB the next day with more information. On October 21, 2019, the undersigned called § 87(2)(b) the second time, but § 87(2)(b) disconnected the call when the investigator identified himself. On October 21, 2019, a letter was mailed and emailed to § 87(2)(b). On October 23, 2019, the undersigned called § 87(2)(b) for the fourth time and left a voicemail message. On October 28, 2019, a second set of letters was mailed and emailed to § 87(2)(b). On November 6, 2019, the undersigned called § 87(2)(b) for a fifth time and left a voicemail message.

On October 21 and October 28, 2019, letters were mailed to § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b). On November 6, 2019, § 87(2)(b) called the undersigned, confirmed his contact information, and scheduled an in-person interview for November 15, 2019. On November 13, 2019, § 87(2)(b) was sent a text-message reminder for his interview. § 87(2)(b) failed to appear for his interview on November 15, 2019, and did not give any prior notice nor attempt to reschedule. On November 18, 2019, a third letter was mailed to § 87(2)(b). On November 18, 2019, § 87(2)(b) called the undersigned with § 87(2)(b) also on the line. They scheduled an interview for November 29, 2019.

On November 29, 2019, several hours before the interview, § 87(2)(b) called the undersigned and expressed his and § 87(2)(b)'s desire to no longer follow through with the complaint for fear of retaliation. On the same date, the undersigned called § 87(2)(b) to confirm that he also wanted to no longer participate in the investigation. § 87(2)(b) confirmed that he no longer wanted to participate because he did not want to continue to reschedule appointments. § 87(2)(b) refused to meet for a field interview.

None of the letters mailed to any of the parties were returned by USPS. Searches of the Department of Corrections website for NYC and NYS confirmed that § 87(2)(b) was not incarcerated during contact attempts. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Squad No.: _____

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Squad Leader: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Reviewer: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date