# CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	☑ Discourt. ☐ U.S.	
Paul Baron		Team # 4	201004595	✓ Abuse	O.L.	✓ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Tuesday, 03/16/2010 12:53 PM		3521 Dekalb Avenue		52	9/16/2011	9/16/2011
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	e Received at CCRB	
Thu, 04/01/2010 11:53 AM		IAB	Phone	Wed, 04/07/2010 1:41 PM		I
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Erick Acevedo	12656	901082	052 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegati	on		Inve	estigator Recor	nmendation
A.POM Erick Acevedo	Discourtesy: PO Erick Acevedo spoke obscenely and/orudely to \$87(2)(5)			l/or		
B.POM Erick Acevedo	Force: PO Erick Acevedo used physical force against \$87(2)(b)			t		
C.POM Erick Acevedo	Abuse: PO Erick Acevedo searched the car in which san occupant.					

#### **Case Summary**

<u>Case Summary</u>
filed a complaint with IAB on April 1, 2010, which was forwarded to the CCRB on April 7, 2010. She reported that on March 16, 2010 at approximately 12:53 PM, she was approached by officers while sitting in her vehicle, outside of 3521 Dekalb Avenue, in the Bronx. Strong was subsequently arrested by PO Erick Acevedo, assigned to the 52 <sup>nd</sup> Precinct, who was also identified as an involved officer by IAB. The following allegation resulted from the officers' actions:
<ul> <li>Allegation A- Discourtesy: PO Erick Acevedo spoke obscenely to \$87(2)(b)</li> <li>Allegation B- Force: PO Erick Acevedo used physical force against \$87(2)(b)</li> <li>Allegation C- Abuse of Authority: PO Erick Acevedo searched the car in which \$87(2)(b)</li> <li>was an occupant.</li> </ul>

### **Results of Investigation**

## **Attempts to Contact Civilians**

On April 9, 2010, the undersigned spoke with \$87(2)(b) s attorney, \$87(2)(b)
stated that after speaking with her client, she wanted to withdraw ser/(2)(b)
complaint because her criminal case was still open and would likely be going to trial.
understood the withdrawal procedure and that it would be possible to request that the
case get re-opened once \$87(2)(b) s criminal case is closed.
made allegations against one of the two officers that were present, but did not
provide a description of the subject officer or clarify whether her arresting officer was the subject
of her allegations.

#### **Conclusion**

<u>Investigative Findings and Recommendations</u>						
Given that § 87(2)(b) decide		plaint on behalf of § 87(2)(b)	an			
investigation of the allegations is	impossible. § 87(2)(g)					
Team:						
Investigator:						
Signature	Print	Date				
g :						
Supervisor:	Print	 Date				
Title/Signature	FIIII	Date				
Reviewer:						
Title/Signature	Print	Date				
-						
Reviewer:						
Title/Signature	Print	Date				