CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Frank Montgoris		Squad #7	201700928	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Saturday, 02/04/2017 2:30 PM		West 153rd Street betw Riverside Drive	ween Broadway and	30	8/4/2018	8/4/2018
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Tim	ne Received at CCI	RB
Mon, 02/06/2017 11:36 AM		CCRB	Phone	Mon, 02/	06/2017 11:36 AM	М
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. SGT Anderson Ortiz	3319	949424	033 PCT			
2. POM Joseph Carolan	07447	953730	033 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM John Coyle	09641	951641	033 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inv	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.SGT Anderson Ortiz	Abuse: Sergeant Anderson Ortiz stopped the vehicle in which §87(2)(b) was an occupant.					
B.POM Joseph Carolan	Force: Police Officer Joseph Carolan pointed his gun at § 87(2)(b)					
C.SGT Anderson Ortiz	Abuse: Sergeant Anderson Ortiz frisked § 87(2)(b)					
D.POM Joseph Carolan	Abuse: Police Officer Joseph Carolan frisked § 87(2)(b)					
E.SGT Anderson Ortiz	Abuse: Sergeant Anderson Ortiz searched §87(2)(b)					
F.POM Joseph Carolan	Abuse: Police Officer Joseph Carolan searched § 87(2)(b)					
G.SGT Anderson Ortiz	Abuse: S which § 83	ergeant Anderson Ortiz 7(2)(b) was an	searched the vehicle occupant.	in		

Case Summary

on February 4, 2017, at approximately 2:30 p.m., \$87(2)(b) was sitting in his parked, 2015 Chrysler 200 on West 153rd Street, between Broadway and Riverside Drive, in Manhattan, when Sgt. Anderson Ortiz, PO Joseph Carolan, and PO John Coyle, from the 33rd Precinct, pulled up alongside of him and stopped his vehicle (**Allegation A**). PO Carolan allegedly pointed his gun at \$87(2)(b) (Allegation B). \$87(2)(b) was then removed from his vehicle and subsequently frisked and allegedly searched by both PO Carolan and Sgt. Ortiz (**Allegations C, D, E, and F**). Sgt. Ortiz then allegedly searched \$87(2)(b) s vehicle (**Allegation G**). \$87(2)(b) was ultimately released without being arrested or issued a summons.

§ 87(2)(g

Mediation, Civil, and Criminal Histories

- §87(2)(b) initially accepted mediation during his in-person statement, but later requested that the case be returned to the Investigation Unit.
- [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]
- \$87(2)(6) has filed a Notice of Claim against the City of New York regarding this incident but did not disclose the sum of money he is seeking as redress (Board Review 02).

Civilian and Subject Officer CCRB Histories

- \$87(2)(b) has one prior CCRB complaint, \$87(2)(b)
- Sgt. Ortiz has been a member of the NYPD for six years. Sgt. Ortiz has been the subject of nine prior allegations stemming from five cases. In Case #201510324, frisk, search, vehicle search, and refusal to provide name and shield number allegations were substantiated, and command discipline A was recommended by the CCRB. There has been no disposition given by the NYPD as of the writing of this report.
- PO Carolan has been a member of the NYPD for four years, ans this is his first complaint.

Potential Issues

• Exterior security video footage was obtained by the Stable Car Parking Garage, located at 614 West 153rd Street, in Manhattan. However, the footage did not contain any police activity. Therefore, the investigation was unable to obtain video footage of this incident.

Page 2

Findings and Recommendations Explanation of Subject Officer Identification

	is undisputed that PO Carolan, Sgt. Ortiz, and PO Coyle all participated in the stop of
§ 87	§ 87(2)(g)
de ap wi ph	alleged that the officer who pointed his gun, frisked, and searched him was scribed as a 5'7" tall, 180-pound white male, who had brown hair, a beard, and who speared to be in his early 30s. PO Carolan, who is a 5'10" tall, 165-pound white male, at brown hair and was \$87(2)(5) old at the time of this incident, according to his NYPD actograph, acknowledged approaching \$87(2)(5) s driver's side window and sking him during the incident. \$87(2)(6)
	1 A – Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Anderson Ortiz stopped the vehicle in which
§ 87(2)(b)	was an occupant.
	is undisputed that \$87(2)(b) s vehicle was stopped by officers on February 4,
West 153rd wanted his \$87(2)(b) nose, and I window ar radio. \$87(2) vehicle por PO Carola out, and \$8 by his upport Notice of \$60.	alleged that he parked his rental car, a Chrysler 200, on the north side of Street. He believed the officers, who pulled up alongside of his parked vehicle, a parking spot, so he waved his hand to indicate that he was not leaving the spot. was wearing a black jacket and a black face mask which covered his face below his left only his eyes and nose exposed. He turned around away from the driver's side and gathered his personal belongings from the passenger's seat while listening to the then turned back around and noticed that PO Carolan was outside of his inting his gun at him. Str(2)(b) was not given any commands prior to observing a pointing his gun at him. PO Carolan yelled at Str(2)(b) to open the door and get complied. Once he opened the door, PO Carolan grabbed str(2)(c) in the filing of his own Claim, confirmed both the appearance of the mask he was wearing, noting that it is face from his philtrum down, and that PO Carolan pointed his gun at him (Board
westbound on West 15 black ski in personally which invo- eyes and d prior to the active burg driving the \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) window ar	O Carolan testified that, while sitting in the front passenger's seat at a red light facing don Amsterdam Avenue, he observed drive past the officers northbound 53 rd Street in a gray Chrysler 200 from approximately 15 to 20 feet away, wearing a mask which covered his whole head and only made his eyes visible. PO Carolan was aware of an active crime pattern at the time of this observation, Burglary Pattern 16, olved individuals committing burglaries while wearing masks that displayed only their triving either rental Chrysler 200s or 300s. He noted that approximately a week or two e incident, he responded to a burglary call and reviewed video footage that showed an glary by perpetrators wearing masks displaying only their eyes. Sgt. Ortiz, who was expolice vehicle, followed sar(2)(0)

CCRB Case # 201700928

Page 3

whether he drew his weapon or pointed it at \$87(2)(0) but did fear for his safety because was wearing a mask and not displaying both hands. He asked \$87(2)(0) two
or three times to see his hands, which \$87(2)(b) did not comply with, and then asked \$37(2)(b) to step out of his vehicle. \$87(2)(b) complied and voluntarily stepped out of the
vehicle (Board Review 06).
Sgt. Ortiz stated that he personally observed \$37(2)(5) drive northbound in a Chrysler 200 while wearing a black ski mask, but did not recall the street that he passed the officers on. The observation reminded Sgt. Ortiz of Burglary Pattern 16 involving males wearing masks and driving Chrysler 200s, and added that the burglaries included in the pattern involved the suspects using tools to break into doors and safes. Sgt. Ortiz noted that, because he was the Field Intelligence Officer, he had informed specialty units within his command of the pattern, based on video surveillance, pictures, and prior arrests made. Sgt. Ortiz pulled up alongside of spread sparked vehicle, turned the emergency lights on, and allowed PO Carolan and PO Coyle to effect the stop prior to personally getting out of the vehicle. Sgt. Ortiz did not recall whether PO Carolan drew his firearm and pointed it at \$37(2)(5) (Board Review 07). PO Coyle did not remember whether he personally observed \$37(2)(5) drive past the officers' vehicle, but remembered that a brief conversation among the officers regarding the burglary pattern ensued. PO Coyle approached the passenger's side of \$37(2)(5) s vehicle
He did not see whether PO Carolan drew his gun or pointed it at \$87(2)(b) (Board Review
The Pattern Report of Citywide Burglary Pattern 16 listed 26 different complaint reports and 14 Wanted Posters. The description of the pattern itself describes unknown perpetrators entering commercial establishments and forcing open doors and/or gates. Of the 14 Wanted Posters, ten of them displayed surveillance photos of perpetrators covering their faces with masks and hooded sweatshirts. Only one of the posters specifies a four-door Chrysler sedan (Board Reviews 10 and 11). The Stop, Question, and Frisk Report generated by PO Carolan states that PO Carolan observed wearing a ski-mask displaying only his eyes, and his vehicle and clothing description fit Burglary Pattern 16, which involved males wearing masks and dark-colored clothing to commit burglaries in commercial establishments while also conducting surveillance in newer model four-door sedans (Board Review 12). An officer may stop an individual when he reasonably suspects that a person has committed, is committing, or is about to commit a crime. People v. DeBour, 40 N.Y.2d 210; 352 N.E. 2 nd 562 (1976) (Board Review 09). An officer can draw his firearm and point it at another person so long as he has a reasonable fear for his own or another's personal safety. Police Department v. Gliner, OATH Index, #955/00 (Board Review 13).
Page 4

§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation C – Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Anderson Ortiz frisked 887(2)(b)
Allegation D – Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Joseph Carolan frisked
It is undisputed that PO Carolan frisked § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(g)
alleged that he was asked to put his hands on the car by both PO Carolan
and Sgt. Ortiz. \$87(2)(b) told the officers that he was not consenting to any searches, and
Sgt. Ortiz replied, "We're not searching you. We're frisking you." Both PO Carolan and Sgt.
Ortiz patted down §87(2)(b) with PO Carolan on his right side and Sgt. Ortiz on his left
side. The officers patted \$87(2)(b) down from his shoulders to his ankles, including his two
jacket pockets, waistband, and both ankles (Board Review 04).
PO Carolan acknowledged frisking \$87(2)(b) believing that he frisked his waistban for contraband. PO Carolan stated that he frisked \$87(2)(b) for his safety, and thought that
s ^{87(2)(b)} could possibly have a weapon on him due to the fact that he was suspected of
burglary, a violent crime, and because he had refused to initially show his right hand. PO Carolar
did not observe any bulges on \$87(2)(b) and the frisk yielded negative results for any
weapons (Board Review 06).
Sgt. Ortiz did not remember if a frisk was conducted of 887(2)(b) by either him or
other officers, but stated that it was protocol to frisk someone for suspicion of a violent crime,
such as burglary, which §87(2)(b) was suspected of during this incident (Board Review 07).

Page 5

PO Coyle believed that a frisk of \$87(2)(b) was conducted, but did not recall by whom. He did not specifically remember Sgt. Ortiz or PO Carolan conducting a frisk of
§ 87(2)(b) (Board Review 08).
The Stop, Question, and Frisk Report notes that \$87(2)(b) was frisked because he
refused to show his hands upon request, and because \$87(2)(b) was suspected of burglary, a
violent crime (Board Review 12).
There were two justifications provided by PO Carolan and further corroborated by the
Stop, Question, and Frisk Report for the frisk of \$87(2)(b) the suspicion of burglary, and
s refusal to show his right hand. § 87(2)(9)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation E – Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Anderson Ortiz searched
Allegation F – Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Joseph Carolan searched 887(2)(b)
Allegation G – Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Anderson Ortiz searched the vehicle in which
·
§ 87(2)(b) was an occupant. § 87(2)(g)
3 01 (2)(3)
alleged that, during the frisk, PO Carolan entered the jacket pockets on
both his left arm and right side. §87(2)(b) did not have anything in his left pocket, but had
his keys, which consisted of three keys on a ring, in his right pocket. Also, PO Carolan and Sgt.
Ortiz felt a back brace that \$87(2)(6) was wearing underneath his shirt, so they both lifted up
his shirt, unhooked the brace, and removed it. §87(2)(b) was told to go stand in the back of
the vehicle, where he engaged in "small talk" with PO Carolan and PO Coyle. While doing so,
Sgt. Ortiz entered the front of the vehicle, searched the door panels, inside the center console, and
inside of the glove compartment. He then searched underneath the two seats and looked in the
backseat. Nothing was removed from the vehicle (Board Review 04).
Sgt. Ortiz denied searching \$87(2)(b) s person during the incident, and did not
remember another officer doing so. PO Carolan did not remember if he entered any of
s pockets, and did not remember if Sgt. Ortiz did so either. PO Carolan denied that
there was any conversation regarding a possible back brace that \$87(2)(b) was wearing. PO

person. All of the officers collectively did not remember Sgt. Ortiz searching the vehicle, and all						
testified that § 87(ht to the back of the vehicle to	explain to him why he was			
* * ·	Reviews 06, 07, and 08					
		Report indicated that a search of				
	not mention whether	s vehicle was sea	rched (Board Review 12).			
§ 87(2)(g)						
§ 87(2)(g)						
Squad: 7						
Squad. 7						
Investigator: _		Frank Montgoris				
	Signature	Print	Date			
	8					
Squad Leader: _		Diana Townsend				
_	Title/Signature	Print	Date			
	Č					
Reviewer: _						
	Title/Signature	Print	Date			

Page 7