

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Rosemary Espinal	Team: Team # 2	CCRB Case #: 200906600	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Wednesday, 04/29/2009 8:00 PM	Location of Incident: East 105th Street and Glenwood Road	Precinct: 69	18 Mo. SOL 10/29/2010	EO SOL 10/29/2010	
Date/Time CV Reported Wed, 04/29/2009 8:19 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Call Processing System	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 04/29/2009 8:19 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Forrest Hirsch	05029	941905	069 PCT
2. SGT Eric Delman	01832	922228	069 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Forrest Hirsch	Abuse: PO Forrest Hirsch stopped § 87(2)(b)	
B.SGT Eric Delman	Abuse: PO Eric Delman stopped § 87(2)(b)	

Synopsis

§ 87(2)(b) called the CCRB on April 29, 2009 and filed the following complaint through the Call Processing System. On April 29, 2009, at approximately 8:00 PM, § 87(2)(b) was walking home in the vicinity of East 105th Street and Glenwood Road in Brooklyn. As she crossed the street, PO Forrest Hirsh exited from a black Chevy Impala and asked § 87(2)(b) why she was going in and out of buildings in the area (Allegation A). § 87(2)(b) told PO Hirsch that he was “drunk” and that he should not stop people when he is inebriated. Sgt. Eric Delman stepped out of the vehicle and explained to § 87(2)(b) that she was stopped because she was in a drug prone area and asked her for identification (Allegation B). § 87(2)(b) provided her identification to the officers. § 87(2)(b) stated that Sgt. Delman was also intoxicated. A referral of this allegation was sent to the Internal Affairs Bureau on May 13, 2009. Before leaving, § 87(2)(b) asked both officers for their names and shield numbers and they provide them to her.

Between May 1, 2009 and May 19, 2009, the undersigned investigator placed three calls to § 87(2)(b) and left messages requesting a call back. On May 4, 2009, and on May 14, 2009, the undersigned mailed two letters to § 87(2)(b) and the letters were not returned to the CCRB. On May 19, 2009, § 87(2)(b) called the CCRB and scheduled an appointment for June 4, 2009. On June 4, 2009, § 87(2)(b) did not appear for her appointment and did not call to cancel. On June 5, 2009, the undersigned mailed a missed appointment letter to § 87(2)(b). On June 9, 2009, the undersigned placed a call to § 87(2)(b) and left a message requesting a call back. On June 12, 2009, the undersigned placed a call to § 87(2)(b) and she scheduled an appointment for June 19, 2009. On June 19, 2009, § 87(2)(b) did not appear for her appointment and did not call to cancel. A search of the Department of Correction inmate lookup service confirmed that § 87(2)(b) is not incarcerated. To date, § 87(2)(b) has not made any attempts to contact the CCRB to pursue her complaint. § 87(2)(g)

Investigator:

Date:

Supervisor:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date: