DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ONE HOGAN PLACE New York, N. Y. 10013 (212) 335-9000

OFFICER: JOSUE SOUFFRANCE **TAX NUMBER:** 940 758

DISCLOSURES

In connection with the above person, whom the People may call as a witness, please be advised as follows:

- 1. The New York Police Department has deemed substantiated allegations from January 25, 2016, that Officer Souffrance, in responding to a radio run of a burglary in progress, failed to notify Central Dispatch of his arrival at the location; lacked effort in conducting a proper investigation and in his attempted apprehension efforts; failed to make proper memobook entries; and failed to issue a final disposition before leaving the scene.
- 2. Josue Souffrance is a named defendant in the civil action Latisha Thomas v. City of New York et al., 20079/2012, filed in Kings County Supreme Court, involving an incident that occurred on July 8, 2011.
- 3. As of October 13, 2020, the Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) has pending allegations that Officer Souffrance refused to provide his shield number and threatened force (verbal or physical). These allegations are from an incident on January 23, 2020.
- 4. The New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) noted the following misconduct: On June 13, 2013, Officer Souffrance failed to prepare a memo book entry after arriving on scene where an individual had already been stopped by another officer.
- 5. The New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) noted the following misconduct: On August 5, 2014, Officer Souffrance failed to prepare a memo book entry after responding to the scene of an incident.

Various publicly available websites and databases contain disciplinary information for certain law enforcement officers. Information in such databases about this officer is not necessarily included in this advisory.

Any information herein regarding civil lawsuits against an officer is not necessarily a complete list of civil lawsuits in which that officer is a defendant.

Allegations of misconduct that have not been substantiated and are not pending (including, but not limited to, findings of unsubstantiated, unfounded, and exonerated), and allegations of technical infractions, are not subject to disclosure and are not included in this advisory.

The decision to include information in this advisory does not represent a conclusion by the People that it is required to be disclosed.

The People reserve the right to oppose or move to limit the use of any information included herein or disclosed in the future.

If you have any questions, please contact the Assistant District Attorney assigned to the case on which you are receiving this advisory.

Date: January 5, 2021