

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Benjamin Wilbur	Team: Team # 3	CCRB Case #: 201114383	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Monday, 10/24/2011 9:24 PM	Location of Incident: Corner of East 180th Street and Webster Avenue	Precinct: 46	18 Mo. SOL 4/24/2013	EO SOL 4/24/2013	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 11/04/2011 12:02 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: On-line website	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 11/04/2011 12:03 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Ryan Bracconeri	07459	948690	PBBX
2. POM John Reiber	07101	949533	PBBX

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM John Reiber	Abuse: PO John Reiber stopped the car in which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were occupants.	
B.POM Ryan Bracconeri	Abuse: PO Ryan Bracconeri stopped the car in which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were occupants.	
C.POM Ryan Bracconeri	Discourtesy: PO Ryan Bracconeri spoke obscenely to § 87(2)(b)	
D.POM John Reiber	Discourtesy: PO John Reiber spoke obscenely to § 87(2)(b)	
E.POM Ryan Bracconeri	Abuse: PO Ryan Bracconeri searched the car in which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were occupants.	

## Synopsis

§ 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the Civilian Complaint Review Board on November 4, 2011, via its on-line website. § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint on behalf of himself and his friend, § 87(2)(b)

On October 24, 2011, at approximately 9:24 p.m. § 87(2)(b) was the passenger in a vehicle driven by § 87(2)(b). The vehicle was pulled over at the corner of East 180<sup>th</sup> Street and Webster Avenue in the Bronx by two officers, identified by § 87(2)(b) via shield number as PO Ryan Bracconeri and PO John Reiber of Patrol Borough Bronx (**Allegations A and B**). There was a third unidentified officer in the police vehicle. § 87(2)(b) was on the telephone when the officers approached and PO Bracconeri asked § 87(2)(b) to hang up his phone twice. § 87(2)(b) did not do so and PO Bracconeri allegedly reached into the vehicle, opened the door's lock and both he and PO Reiber pulled § 87(2)(b) out of the vehicle. § 87(2)(b) was handcuffed by PO Bracconeri. § 87(2)(b) angrily asked why he was treated in such a manner and PO Bracconeri allegedly responded "fuck you" (**Allegation C**) and PO Reiber allegedly said that § 87(2)(b) is going to "fucking get what he deserved" (**Allegation D**). PO Bracconeri allegedly entered § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle and searched the area that § 87(2)(b) was seated in (**Allegation E**). The officers transported § 87(2)(b) to the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct stationhouse, where he was issued a summons for § 87(2)(b) by PO Bracconeri. § 87(2)(b) was not arrested or issued a summons regarding this incident. § 87(2)(g)

On November 25, 2011, the undersigned investigator spoke with § 87(2)(b). He provided a phone statement, verified his contact information and scheduled an appointment for December 1, 2011. § 87(2)(b) also provided a phone number for § 87(2)(b) but did not know his home address. On December 1, 2011, § 87(2)(b) did not arrive for his appointment, nor did he call prior to cancel or reschedule and a missed appointment letter was mailed on that date. On December 5, 2011, the undersigned investigator spoke with § 87(2)(b) who stated that he had had the wrong date for the appointment and scheduled a second appointment for December 12, 2011. § 87(2)(b) also did not arrive for this appointment and he did not contact the investigator to cancel or reschedule it.

Between the dates of December 5, 2011, and December 16, 2011, four telephone calls were placed to § 87(2)(b) and messages were left for him each time asking him to contact the CCRB. On December 20, 2011, the undersigned investigator spoke with § 87(2)(b) who provided a brief telephone statement and his address. § 87(2)(b) was with § 87(2)(b) at the time, and both men stated that they would check their schedule and call back to schedule an appointment. They were told to call the CCRB by December 22, 2011, in order to schedule an appointment. When they did not respond, on December 23, 2011, the undersigned investigator called § 87(2)(b) and left him a message asking him to contact the CCRB. On December 29, 2011, and January 5, 2012, letters were mailed to § 87(2)(b)

None of the letters mailed to § 87(2)(b) or § 87(2)(b) were returned to the CCRB by the United States Postal Service (USPS). On January 10, 2012, a search of the New York City Department of Correction database indicated that neither § 87(2)(b) nor § 87(2)(b) was currently incarcerated. As of the date of this report, neither § 87(2)(b) nor § 87(2)(b) has contacted the CCRB. § 87(2)(g)

---

Team: \_\_\_\_\_

Investigator: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Print Date

Supervisor: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date