

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Brian Hardingham	Team: Team # 1	CCRB Case #: 200405792	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Tuesday, 06/15/2004 9:40 AM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 75	18 Mo. SOL 12/15/2005	EO SOL 12/15/2005	
Date/Time CV Reported Wed, 06/16/2004 7:37 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Call Processing System	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 06/16/2004 7:37 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Frank Chiodi	02201	924057	075 PCT
2. POM Jesse Duncan	20560	923789	075 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Jesse Duncan	Force: PO Jesse Duncan shoved § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
B.POM Jesse Duncan	Discourtesy: PO Jesse Duncan spoke obscenely and/or rudely to § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
C.POM Frank Chiodi	Discourtesy: PO Frank Chiodi spoke obscenely and/or rudely to § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Synopsis

In her intake call to the CCRB, § 87(2)(b) stated that on the morning of June 15, 2004, PO Frank Chiodi and PO Jesse Duncan entered her apartment at § 87(2)(b) because her son had dialed 911 while playing with the phone. § 87(2)(b) and her boyfriend, § 87(2)(b) were in the bedroom at the time, but her son allowed the officers to enter the apartment. § 87(2)(b) stated that the two officers spoke rudely to both § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) and PO Duncan shoved § 87(2)(b). During the incident, § 87(2)(b) was arrested for an assault that he allegedly perpetrated against § 87(2)(b) on February 4, 2004.

§ 87(2)(b) scheduled three appointments at the CCRB, but did not cancel or appear at any of them. § 87(2)(b) was sent a please call letter on 6/22/04. The investigator called § 87(2)(b) on 6/29/04 and obtained a brief account of the incident over the phone. § 87(2)(b) was unsure of her upcoming schedule so the investigator told her he would call her during the following week to set up an appointment. On 7/6/04, the investigator called § 87(2)(b) and scheduled an appointment for both her and § 87(2)(b) on 7/8/04. On the afternoon of 7/8/04, the investigator called § 87(2)(b) to find out whether or not she was running late and still planned to appear and was told that she did not come because § 87(2)(b) was working. During this call the investigator rescheduled § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) appointment for 7/14/04. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) again failed to appear or call to cancel. On 7/19/04, the investigator called § 87(2)(b) to find out why she had missed this appointment and whether she was interested in rescheduling. § 87(2)(b) informed the investigator that she had been sick but that he could call back later to reschedule. The investigator then called § 87(2)(b) on 7/30/04 and set up an appointment for 8/6/04. § 87(2)(b) failed to appear or call to cancel for a third time. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Investigator:

Date:

Supervisor:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date: