

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Nora Chanko	Team: Squad #3	CCRB Case #: 201810162	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Wednesday, 11/28/2018 12:13 AM	Location of Incident: Inside the 23rd Precinct stationhouse	Precinct: 23	18 Mo. SOL 5/28/2020	EO SOL 1/12/2021	
Date/Time CV Reported Wed, 11/28/2018 1:54 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Thu, 12/06/2018 11:30 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Michael Laborde	05867	954037	023 PCT
2. An officer			
3. SGT Jonathan Hom	4803	940269	023 PCT
4. Officers			

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Kevin Lui	06380	942087	023 PCT
2. POM Joseph Spina	12416	951296	023 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Michael Laborde	Force: Police Officer Michael Laborde used a Taser against § 87(2)(b)	
B. Officers	Force: Officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
C. An officer	Force: An officer used a chokehold against § 87(2)(b) Segars.	
D. An officer	Force: An officer restricted § 87(2)(b)'s breathing.	
E.SGT Jonathan Hom	Abuse: Police Officer Jonathan Hom threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)	

### Case Summary

On November 28, 2018, Sgt. Jonathan Hom of the 23<sup>rd</sup> Precinct called IAB to report that force had been used against § 87(2)(b) while in custody. § 87(2)(b) also called IAB to file this complaint on November 28, 2018. This complaint was received by the CCRB on December 6, 2018. There is no video footage for this complaint.

Between December 12, 2018, and December 17, 2018, contact attempts were made to § 87(2)(b) and another victim in the complaint, § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) provided partial statements, but requested that the undersigned investigator call their civil attorney, § 87(2)(b). Multiple calls were placed to § 87(2)(b) and on December 21, 2018, he called the undersigned investigator and said that he did not want § 87(2)(b) or § 87(2)(b) to provide a statement to the investigation until after the criminal case had been closed. § 87(2)(b) wanted the CCRB complaint to be closed as pending litigation, and was informed that he could request that the CCRB case be re-opened after the criminal case was closed. § 87(2)(g)

---

Squad No.: 3

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date