CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Cassandra Fenkel		Squad #14	201708594	☐ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Wednesday, 10/11/2017 11:52 A	AM	§ 87(2)(b)		114	4/11/2019	4/11/2019
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	: Date/Time	Received at CCI	RB
Wed, 10/11/2017 3:42 PM		IAB	Phone	Tue, 10/17/2017 11:07 AM		
Complainant/Victim	Туре	Home Addr	ess			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Juan Torres	13539	946335	PSA 9			
Officer(s)	Allegation	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.POM Juan Torres	Force: Post Post Post Post Post Post Post Post	olice Officer Juan Torre	es used physical force	e against		

Case Summary

On October 11, 2017, § 87(2)(b) called the IAB Command Center and filed this complaint on behalf of her boyfriend, §87(2)(b) witnessed the incident. The complaint was received at the CCRB on October 17, 2017. Between October 24, 2017 and November 17, 2017, §87(2)(b) was called three times and three voicemails were left for her. A November 17, 2017 search of the CTS database yielded one additional telephone number. \$87(2)(b) was called at this number on November 17, 2017. During this call, \$87(2)(6) answered, provided her contact information, and confirmed the number yielded from the CTS database was her only telephone number. \$87(2)(b) refused to provide an email address. Between November 20, 2017 and December 5, 2017, \$87(2)(b) called four additional times at this number. Three voicemail messages were left for [387(2)(5)] and during the fourth call, \$87(2)(b) answered and scheduled a CCRB interview for December 13, 2017. An automated text message reminder was sent to \$37(2)(b) on December 11, 2017. However, on December 13, 2017. \$27(2)(5) failed to appear for her interview and did not call in advance to cancel or reschedule. Between December 15, 2017 and January 3, 2018, 887(2)(6) was called three more times. On one occasion, \$87(2)(b) answered but stated that she would call back and failed to do so, and on two occasions, voicemails were left for \$87(2)(b) October 24, 2017 and January 3, 2018, four unreturned letters were mailed to [8/87(2)(b)] A November 17, 2017 search of the NYPD Booking, Arraignment, and Disposition System, yielded four possible telephone numbers for \$87(2)(b) which were each called that same day. One of the phone numbers belonged to a family friend named \$87(2)(b) who agreed to call back with § 87(2)(b) s contact information. § 87(2) was called a second time on November s telephone number. § 87(2)(b) 20, 2017, and she provided § 87(2)(b) was called at that number that same day and a voicemail message was left for him. Later that day, \(\) called the CCRB and left a voicemail confirming his phone number as the telephone number provided by \$87(2)(b) Between November 27, 2017 and December 7, 2017, four additional calls were placed to § 87(2)(b) at that telephone number. On three occasions, there was no answer and three voicemails were left for § 87(2)(b) During the fourth call, § 87(2)(b) confirmed his contact information, and stated that he would call back later that day, but he failed was called a fifth time on December 11, 2017. § 87(2)(b) answered and scheduled a CCRB interview for December 13, 2017. An automated text message reminder was sent to § 87(2)(b) on December 11, 2017. However, on December 13, 2017, § 87(2)(b) to appear for his interview and did not call in advance to cancel or reschedule. On December 15, 2017, \$37(2)(b) was called a sixth time. There was no answer and a voicemail message was left for him. On December 19, 2017, §87(2)(b) was called a seventh answered and stated that he missed his appointment because he was very busy. rescheduled his CCRB interview for December 28, 2017. An automated text message reminder was sent to \$87(2)(5) on December 26, 2017. However, on December 28, 2017, failed to appear for his interview and did not call in advance to cancel or reschedule. On December 29, 2017, § 87(2)(b) was called an eighth time. There was no answer and a voicemail message was left for him. On January 3, 2018, \$87(2)(5) was called a ninth time. answered and stated that he missed his appointment due to a parenting class. was unable to reschedule his appointment at the time of the call because he did not know his upcoming schedule. § 97(2)(b) expected to have his schedule for the following week by January 5, 2018. 887(2)(b) was informed that the CCRB could not hold the case open

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201708594

recommended for January 5, 2018.	closure. § 87(2)(b) state was called a	he CCRB by January 5, 2018, his case ted that he understood. He requested a tenth time on January 5, 2018. There we eminding him to contact the CCRB in or the contact the CCRB in the contact the CCRB in the contact thas the contact the contact the contact the contact the contact th	call back on vas no answer
		sure. As of the date of this report, \$87(2)(the	
		RB interview. Between November 11,	
		d four unreturned emails were sent to	
		of Corrections Inmate Lookup Service	
	onfirmed that neither § 870		
		has missed one appointment, nor § 87(2)(b)	
		ontacted the CCRB to further pursue the	
	ous contact attempts desc		,,
1	•		
Squad No.:	#14		
Investigator:			
C —	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:		D:	
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201708594