

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Jean Paul Lozada	Team: Squad #9	CCRB Case #: 201609557	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Tuesday, 11/15/2016 4:14 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 60	18 Mo. SOL 5/15/2018	EO SOL 5/15/2018	
Date/Time CV Reported Wed, 11/16/2016 5:41 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Call Processing System	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 11/16/2016 5:41 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Witness(es)	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM William Dugan	03858	944524	PSA 1
2. DTS Angelo Pizzarro	5111	937294	PSA 1
3. POM Ronald Sanchez	03111	954315	PSA 1

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Patrick Sanon	00269	949616	PSA 1
2. POM Marc Altman	16289	952405	PSA 1

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.DTS Angelo Pizzarro	Abuse: Detective Angelo Pizzarro stopped § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
B.POM William Dugan	Abuse: Police Officer William Dugan stopped § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
C.POM William Dugan	Abuse: Police Officer William Dugan searched § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
D.POM William Dugan	Abuse: Police Officer William Dugan frisked § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
E.POM Ronald Sanchez	Force: Police Officer Ronald Sanchez used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
F.POM Ronald Sanchez	Abuse: Police Officer Ronald Sanchez threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

### Case Summary

On November 16, 2016, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via the Call Processing System on behalf of himself and his friend, § 87(2)(b).

On November 15, 2016, at approximately 4:14 p.m., DTS Angelo Pizzarro and PO William Dugan of Police Service Area 1 were at the PSA 1 stationhouse when they received a radio run for an attempted gunpoint robbery at § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn. DTS Pizzarro and PO Dugan left the stationhouse and were canvassing the § 87(2)(b) when they saw § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) enter the lobby of § 87(2)(b), and they believed they matched the description of the suspect. DTS Pizzarro and PO Dugan stopped § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) respectively (**Allegations A and B**). DTS Pizzarro asked § 87(2)(b) if he had anything on him and § 87(2)(b) said he had marijuana. § 87(2)(b) was subsequently placed under arrest for § 87(2)(b).

§ 87(2)(b). Meanwhile, PO Dugan allegedly searched § 87(2)(b)'s pockets and frisked him (**Allegations C and D**). A show-up was conducted with § 87(2)(b) the victim of the attempted gunpoint robbery, who stated that neither § 87(2)(b) nor § 87(2)(b) attempted to rob her. § 87(2)(b) was placed under arrest, and § 87(2)(b) was free to leave the scene. PO Dugan asked § 87(2)(b) for his identification so he could prepare a Stop, Question, and Frisk Report. § 87(2)(b) allegedly attempted to walk away, however PO Ronald Sanchez of Police Service Area 1 allegedly pushed § 87(2)(b) in his chest and told him that he could be taken to the stationhouse for refusing to provide his identification (**Allegations E and F**). § 87(2)(b) handed his bank card to PO Dugan, who took down his information and then released him. § 87(2)(b) was not placed under arrest or issued a summons as a result of this incident.

Surveillance footage depicting the lobby and the rear of § 87(2)(b) was obtained from NYCHA. Snag-It copies of this footage have been placed below. Please note that the entirety of these videos can be viewed in IA#79 and #80, and can be found in Board Review 01 and 02.



201609557\_20161229\_1125\_DM.mp4



201609557\_20161229\_1306\_DM.mp4

### Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- This case was deemed ineligible for mediation due to the arrest of § 87(2)(b).
- § 87(2)(b) has filed a notice of claim asserting claims of “unlawful stop and frisk, false arrest, false imprisonment, assault, battery, intentional infliction of emotional distress, violations of the federal and state constitutions, and vicarious liability” and is seeking unspecified damages (Board Review 03).
- [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]

### Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the first CCRB complaint in which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) are involved (Board Review 05).
- DTS Pizzarro has been a member of the NYPD for 12 years and is the subject of 15 prior CCRB allegations involving eight cases with no substantiated allegations (Board Review 06).

- DTS Pizarro was the subject of Abuse: Stop allegations in case numbers 200716084 (victim uncooperative), 201103748 (victim uncooperative), and 201510731 (complainant uncooperative).
- PO Dugan has been a member of the NYPD for nine years and is the subject of two prior CCRB allegations involving two cases with no substantiated allegations. § 87(2)(g) (Board Review 07).
- PO Sanchez has been a member of the NYPD for four years and is the subject of one prior CCRB allegation involving one case with no substantiated allegations (Board Review 08).
  - PO Sanchez was the subject of a Force: Physical Force allegation in case number 201503046. This allegation was closed as unsubstantiated.

### **Potential Issues**

- The view of the rear of § 87(2)(b) as depicted by the NYCHA surveillance footage (Board Review 02) is too far away from the officers and § 87(2)(b) to clearly discern what is occurring at the scene. Although an officer can be seen raising his arm towards an individual at one point, no officer can be seen explicitly pushing § 87(2)(b) (see Allegation G).
- The recordings associated with Event § 87(2)(b) were requested January 20, 2017 and will be added to the case file upon receipt.
- The Stop, Question, and Frisk Report prepared for § 87(2)(b) was originally requested from PSA 1 on November 18, 2016, but this request was returned with negative results. § 87(2)(b)'s arresting officer, PO Patrick Sanon of PSA 1, stated in his interview on January 11, 2017 that DTS Pizarro prepared a Stop, Question, and Frisk Report for § 87(2)(b). This document was re-requested on January 13, 2017. DTS Pizarro was interviewed on January 18, 2017, and § 87(2)(b)'s Stop, Question, and Frisk Report was received on January 23, 2017. As such, this Stop, Question, and Frisk Report was not reviewed with DTS Pizarro during his interview.

### **Findings and Recommendations**

#### **Allegations Not Pleaded**

- § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
- § 87(2)(b) stated that before he was frisked and searched, DTS Pizarro asked him if he had anything on him and he replied that he had marijuana. § 87(2)(b) was ultimately charged with § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
- § 87(2)(b) alleged that when his iPad was returned, he noticed that its corner was cracked and that the edges of its screen were chipped, but he did not see or know how this damage was sustained. § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]

**Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: Detective Angelo Pizzarro stopped § 87(2)(b)**

**Allegation B – Abuse of Authority: Police Officer William Dugan stopped § 87(2)(b)**

§ 87(2)(b) stated that he met § 87(2)(b) outside of his apartment building at § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, and then they walked together west through the § 87(2)(b) to § 87(2)(b) where they entered the lobby and waited for an elevator. PO Dugan and DTS Pizzarro entered the lobby. DTS Pizzarro walked towards and stopped in front of the elevator and PO Dugan walked towards the lobby's west entrance. DTS Pizzarro asked § 87(2)(b) where he was coming from, and § 87(2)(b) said he came from his residence. PO Dugan then placed § 87(2)(b) against a wall. § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) old at the time of the incident, is a black male who is 5'3" tall, weighs 140 pounds, and has black braided hair and brown eyes.

§ 87(2)(b) stated that DTS Pizzarro entered the lobby and asked him where he was coming from and if he lived in the area. § 87(2)(b) told DTS Pizzarro that he just came from his apartment. DTS Pizzarro asked § 87(2)(b) if he and § 87(2)(b) were at a park and § 87(2)(b) said no. § 87(2)(b) stated that he was compliant and answered DTS Pizzarro's questions. DTS Pizzarro told § 87(2)(b) who was holding his iPad with both hands, to put his hands to his sides, and § 87(2)(b) raised his hands to shoulder height. DTS Pizzarro asked § 87(2)(b) if he had any suspicious items or illegal substances, and § 87(2)(b) said he had marijuana. § 87(2)(b) stated that while he was interacting with DTS Pizzarro, PO Dugan brought § 87(2)(b) to a corner of the lobby and asked him what he had on him and if he had any guns, and § 87(2)(b) said he did not have any guns. § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) old at the time of the incident, is a Hispanic male who is 5'11" tall, weighs 165 pounds, and has brown hair styled in an afro and brown eyes.

§ 87(2)(b) was not present in the lobby of § 87(2)(b). She stated that the individual who attempted to rob her at gunpoint was 12- to 16-year-old black male who was 5' to 5'2" tall and had an average build, a light complexion, dark hair styled in a small afro, dark eyes, and large teeth. § 87(2)(b) could not recall what information she provided to the 911 operator other than that the suspect was young. In Event § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 09), the suspect is described as a 12- to 15-year-old black male with a gun who was 5' tall and had light skin and a small afro. The Event states that the suspect came from the § 87(2)(b) and fled towards a park at Avenue V and Stillwell Avenue.

The surveillance footage from the lobby of § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 01) shows PO Dugan and DTS Pizzarro enter the lobby approximately 11 seconds behind § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b). PO Dugan directs § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) towards a wall opposite the elevators by gesturing with his arms, and § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) move towards the wall. DTS Pizzarro then interacts with § 87(2)(b) while PO Dugan interacts with § 87(2)(b). PO Sanchez and his partner, PO Marc Altman, are not present in the lobby until after the stop of § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) is initiated.



201609557\_20161229\_1125\_DM.mp4

DTS Pizzarro stated that he heard over his radio that a robbery was in progress at § 87(2)(b) and that the radio run stated that the suspects were two males wearing dark clothing, one of whom had an afro-shaped haircut. DTS Pizzarro noted that he did not recall most of the information in the radio run at the time of this interview, including how the second suspect was described, the details of the robbery, or whether there was any mention of a weapon. After canvassing for approximately two minutes, DTS Pizzarro and PO Dugan noticed two males, later identified as § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) who matched the description of the suspects that they received over the radio. DTS Pizzarro did not recall exactly what they were wearing, but he noted that their attire fit the description of the suspects. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were wearing dark clothing, and § 87(2)(b) had an afro. § 87(2)(b) was a short black male who was wearing dark clothing. DTS Pizzarro was unable to describe § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) further. When asked whether he observed anything remarkable on § 87(2)(b)s and § 87(2)(b)s persons or about their behavior before initiating the stop, DTS Pizzarro stated that he only observed that they “fit the description to a T.” DTS Pizzarro noted that § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were the only people in the vicinity of § 87(2)(b) and that § 87(2)(b) was adjacent to § 87(2)(b) in the § 87(2)(b). DTS Pizzarro stated that he and PO Dugan stopped § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) respectively approximately 15 to 20 feet in front of § 87(2)(b) because they fit the description of the suspects and were walking briskly. DTS Pizzarro stated that he and PO Dugan told § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) who they were and why they were stopping them and asked them if they could ask them a few questions. DTS Pizzarro and PO Dugan asked § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) basic pedigree questions, where they were coming from, where they lived, and if they had any weapons on their persons. DTS Pizzarro stated that he asked § 87(2)(b) if he had any weapons, and § 87(2)(b) told DTS Pizzarro that he had a knife in his pocket that he carried for his safety. DTS Pizzarro had no inclination that § 87(2)(b) was armed or carrying a weapon before this point.

A Stop, Question, and Frisk Report prepared for § 87(2)(b) by DTS Pizzarro (Board Review 10) indicates that § 87(2)(b) was suspected of a gunpoint robbery and that he was stopped because he matched a specific suspect description and was in proximity to the scene of a crime. In the section in which he was asked to describe the circumstances that led to the stop, DTS Pizzarro wrote, “Radio run of gunpoint robbery, defendant matched description of person wanted.”

PO Dugan stated that the suspect of the gunpoint robbery was described by central dispatch as a short, young black male with an afro, and the complainant stated that the suspect ran north, away from the § 87(2)(b). PO Dugan had no knowledge of any additional suspects being described. PO Dugan stated that this was a “loose” or “spotty” transmission without full information and that there was a language barrier between the complainant and the 911 operator, but he was not completely sure. The information was not transmitted all at once; rather, portions of it were transmitted “a little bit at a time.” After canvassing the § 87(2)(b) for approximately one to two minutes, PO Dugan observed § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) enter the rear of § 87(2)(b). PO Dugan described § 87(2)(b) as a black male approximately 5’4” to 5’6” tall and had a hoodie pulled over his head. § 87(2)(b) wore dark clothing, but PO Dugan did not recall what else he was wearing besides the hoodie. PO Dugan was unable to immediately decipher how old § 87(2)(b) was because he was wearing his hood, but he appeared from a distance to be young because he was short. PO Dugan also could not tell whether § 87(2)(b) had an afro due to the hood. § 87(2)(b) was a 6’ tall light-skinned Hispanic male with an afro-style haircut. PO Dugan did not recall approximately how old § 87(2)(b) but he noted that “he wasn’t

in his thirties and he wasn't a child." PO Dugan did not recall what § 87(2)(b) was wearing. PO Dugan told DTS Pizzarro that § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) may be the suspects they were canvassing for. When asked why he believed they were the suspects, PO Dugan replied, "Combined, they fit the description." § 87(2)(b) appeared to fit the description more than § 87(2)(b) because he was a shorter black male. PO Dugan approached § 87(2)(b) while DTS Pizzarro simultaneously approached § 87(2)(b) and they identified themselves as officers and moved § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) away from the main walkway. At this point, PO Dugan noticed that § 87(2)(b) was in his 20s. PO Dugan also noticed that § 87(2)(b) had braids, but he did not recall when he first made this observation or whether § 87(2)(b) removed his hood.

A Stop, Question, and Frisk Report prepared for § 87(2)(b) by PO Dugan (Board Review 11) indicates that § 87(2)(b) was suspected of a robbery and that he was stopped because he matched a specific suspect description and was in proximity to the scene of a crime. In the section in which he was asked to describe the circumstances that led to the stop, PO Dugan wrote, "Above individual was observed with an individual who exactly matched the description of an individual who had just committed an armed robbery minutes prior." PO Dugan stated that § 87(2)(b) matched the description received over the radio because he was a shorter individual. PO Dugan stated that § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) *together* matched the description of the suspect, and noted that due to the language barrier, there could have been a discrepancy in the number of perpetrators described or how the complainant described the perpetrator. PO Dugan noted that he checked that § 87(2)(b) matched the description of the suspect on the front of the Stop, Question, and Frisk Report. PO Dugan did not include this in the narrative section in order to avoid redundancy.

An officer may stop and detain an individual if he or she has reasonable suspicion that the individual has committed, is committing, or is about to commit a crime (People v. DeBour, 40 N.Y.2d 210). Officers have reasonable suspicion to stop an individual based upon the contents of a radio broadcast providing a general description of the suspect if the individual matches the description of the suspect and is in close proximity to the scene of the crime, and if the passage of time between the commission of the crime and the observation of the suspect is short (People v. Holland, 4 A.D.3d 375). A vague or general description is not sufficient to constitute reasonable suspicion, even when there is some temporal proximity to the offense (People v. Dubinsky, 734 N.Y.S.2d 245). In People v. Dubinsky, officers received a description of two 15- to 16-year-old white males in dark black or blue jackets who had just committed a robbery. The officers stopped one white male who was walking alone and towards the scene of the robbery six blocks away, and the court ruled that the officers lacked the reasonable suspicion necessary to stop this individual. See Board Review 12.

§ 87(2)(g)  
[REDACTED]

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

**Allegation C – Abuse of Authority: Police Officer William Dugan searched** § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) alleged that PO Dugan entered his coat and pants pockets before frisking him. § 87(2)(b) stated that PO Dugan entered the pockets on § 87(2)(b)'s buttocks. PO Dugan did not recall whether he entered any of § 87(2)(b)'s pockets. The Stop, Question, and Frisk Report prepared for § 87(2)(b) by PO Dugan (Board Review 11) indicates that § 87(2)(b) was not searched. DTS Pizzarro was not aware of § 87(2)(b)'s pockets being searched.

The surveillance footage from the lobby of § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 01) shows PO Dugan in close proximity to § 87(2)(b) and making physical contact with him. However, § 87(2)(b) and PO Dugan are standing directly underneath the camera at this time, and PO Dugan's hands are either obscured by § 87(2)(b)'s body or out of the frame. PO Dugan cannot be seen in this footage entering any of § 87(2)(b)'s pockets. The footage reveals that PO Sanchez and PO Altman do not enter the lobby of § 87(2)(b) until after PO Dugan's frisk of § 87(2)(b) is complete.

§ 87(2)(g)

**Allegation D – Abuse of Authority: Police Officer William Dugan frisked** § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) stated that PO Dugan placed him against a wall and patted down his legs, lower back, and stomach after searching his pockets.

§ 87(2)(b) stated that while he was interacting with DTS Pizzarro, PO Dugan brought § 87(2)(b) to a corner of the lobby and asked him what he had on him and if he had any guns, and

§ 87(2)(b) said he did not have any guns. § 87(2)(b) patted himself down and showed the officers his waistline, but PO Dugan also patted § 87(2)(b)'s waistline and torso.

In the surveillance footage from the lobby of § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 01) PO Dugan turns § 87(2)(b) around, places him against the wall, and frisks the area of his torso and waistband. § 87(2)(b) is underneath the camera's lens at this point and PO Dugan's hands cannot be seen while he is frisking § 87(2)(b).

PO Dugan stated that he did not observe anything on § 87(2)(b)'s person before frisking him. PO Dugan told § 87(2)(b) that he was going to frisk him to make sure he did not have any weapons. He ordered § 87(2)(b) to place his hands against the wall and § 87(2)(b) complied. PO Dugan frisked § 87(2)(b) from his shoulders, down to his waistband, and then down to his ankles. PO Dugan stated that there was no reason to frisk § 87(2)(b) aside from the information contained in the radio run, the speed at which he was walking ("faster than walking pace, but not a full run"), and his proximity to the location of the robbery. PO Dugan found no objects on § 87(2)(b)'s person.

A Stop, Question, and Frisk Report prepared for § 87(2)(b) by PO Dugan (Board Review 11) states that PO Dugan frisked § 87(2)(b) because he suspected that § 87(2)(b) had committed a violent crime. In the section in which he was asked to describe the circumstances that led to the frisk, PO Dugan wrote, "Suspected gunpoint robbery. Performed frisk of waistband area as well as arms."

When asked whether he had any suspicion that § 87(2)(b) was armed, DTS Pizzarro replied that he did not deal directly with § 87(2)(b). DTS Pizzarro was not aware of § 87(2)(b) being frisked. Neither PO Sanchez nor PO Altman were present in the lobby while § 87(2)(b) was being frisked.

In order to frisk an individual, an officer must have reasonable suspicion to believe that the individual is armed (People v. DeBour, 40 N.Y.2d 210 – Board Review 12).

§ 87(2)(g)  
[REDACTED]



**Allegation E – Force: Police Officer Ronald Sanchez used physical force against § 87(2)(b)**

**Allegation F – Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Ronald Sanchez threatened to arrest**

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) stated that after § 87(2)(b) told the officers that he was not the suspect of the attempted gunpoint robbery, an officer had told him that he was free to leave. § 87(2)(b) asked PO Dugan for his name and shield number and PO Dugan recited this information verbally to § 87(2)(b). PO Dugan then allegedly said, “Now, since you got my information, I’ll need your information,” and asked § 87(2)(b) for his identification. § 87(2)(b) attempted to walk away, and PO Sanchez allegedly pushed him once in his chest without issuing any commands beforehand. PO Sanchez said, “We can do this the easy way or the hard way.” § 87(2)(b) asked what the “hard way” was and PO Sanchez told § 87(2)(b) that he would be taken to the stationhouse for refusing to provide his information. § 87(2)(b) then handed his bank card to PO Dugan, who recorded § 87(2)(b)’s information and then released him.

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b) stated that § 87(2)(b) stumbled back slightly from PO Sanchez’s push but did not fall.

§ 87(2)(b) stated that no officer pushed § 87(2)(b) and that she did not hear any officer tell § 87(2)(b) that he would be taken to a stationhouse if he did not provide identification. However, § 87(2)(b) noted that she stopped paying attention to what was happening outside of the police vehicle that she was seated in once the show-up was concluded.

At 06:09, the surveillance footage from the rear of § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 02) shows a uniformed officer extending his arm towards the area where § 87(2)(b) is standing. At the time, § 87(2)(b) is surrounded by three officers, and the camera’s view of § 87(2)(b) is obstructed by PO Dugan (PO Dugan identified himself in this footage and stated that he is standing between § 87(2)(b) and the camera).



201609557\_20161229\_1306\_DM.mp4

PO Sanchez did not recall whether any officer asked for § 87(2)(b)’s identification after the negative show-up. PO Sanchez stated that he did not push or make physical contact with § 87(2)(b). PO Sanchez did not recall saying, “We can do this the easy way or the hard way,” and stated that he did not tell § 87(2)(b) that he could be taken to the stationhouse for not producing his identification. PO Sanchez denied threatening to arrest § 87(2)(b) at any point. PO Sanchez did not recall taking any police action at the scene and did not recall whether he interacted with § 87(2)(b). PO Sanchez was shown a photograph of § 87(2)(b) and stated that § 87(2)(b) looked familiar, but he was unable to say with certainty whether § 87(2)(b) was the male who was stopped and released.

PO Dugan initially stated that § 87(2)(b) was still stopped by the officers when his identification was requested, but he later stated that § 87(2)(b) was free to leave the scene after the show-up was conducted with negative results. PO Dugan had no recollection of § 87(2)(b).

§ 87(2)(b) attempting to walk away before he furnished his bank card, and he had no knowledge of any other officer asking § 87(2)(b) for his identification. PO Dugan had no knowledge of PO Sanchez pushing § 87(2)(b) in his chest or making physical contact with § 87(2)(b). PO Dugan had no knowledge of PO Sanchez telling § 87(2)(b) that he could be taken to the stationhouse for not providing his identification or threatening to arrest § 87(2)(b) at any point. PO Dugan did not know why the individual in the footage from the rear of § 87(2)(b) raised his arm and took a step back, or what was happening at this moment.

DTS Pizzarro did not know whether any officer asked § 87(2)(b) for his identification after § 87(2)(b) was arrested and stated that no officer pushed § 87(2)(b) in his chest. DTS Pizzarro did not know whether § 87(2)(b) was told that he could be taken to the stationhouse for not providing his identification.

§ 87(2)(b)'s arresting officer, PO Patrick Sanon of Police Service Area 1, stated that he did not observe any officer speak to § 87(2)(b) outside of § 87(2)(b). PO Sanon did not see any officer push § 87(2)(b) and he did not know whether § 87(2)(b) was told that he could be taken to the stationhouse for refusing to provide his identification.

§ 87(2)(g)  
§ 87(2)(g)  
§ 87(2)(g).

§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)  
§ 87(2)(g)  
§ 87(2)(g)  
§ 87(2)(g)  
§ 87(2)(g)  
§ 87(2)(g)

Squad: 9

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print	Date
Squad Leader:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date