CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Discourt.	☐ U.S.	
Henry Oliver		Squad #13	201810573	Ø	Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury	
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	I	Precinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOI	,
Thursday, 10/18/2018 7:05 AM				Ī	83	4,	/18/2020	12/3/202	0
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported		Date/Time	Rece	eived at CCI	RB	
Thu, 12/13/2018 11:12 AM		[AB Phone		Thu, 12/20/2018 11:02 AM					
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess						
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command						
1. DT3 Daniel Mizvesky	1465	946012	NARCBBN						
2. DT3 Allan Ward	520	949783	NARCBBN						
3. An officer									
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	Tax No	Cmd Name						
1. SGT William Mcneece	03813	944799	NARCBBN						
2. DT3 James Schuessler	4963	947477	NARCBBN						
3. DT3 Nicholas Kowatch	1299	951884	NARCBBN						
4. DT3 Alejandro Villalona	101	947866	NARCBBN						
Officer(s)	Allegation	on			Inve	stiga	tor Recon	ımendatioı	1
A.DT3 Allan Ward	Discourt § 87(2)(b)	esy: Detective Allan Wa	ard spoke discourteo	usly	v to				
B.DT3 Daniel Mizvesky	Abuse: I	Detective Daniel Mizves	ky searched § 87(2)(b)						
C. An officer	Abuse: A	An officer strip-searched	§ 87(2)(b)						

Case Summary On December 13, 2018, § 87(2)(b) called IAB and filed this complaint. On December 20, 2018, the CCRB received the complaint via IAB log #18-49242. On October 18, 2018, at approximately 7:05 a.m., §87(2)(b) was standing at the intersection of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, when he was approached by Detective Daniel Mizvesky, Detective Allan Ward, and Detective Alejandro Villalona, all of Narcotics Borough Brooklyn North. Det. Ward allegedly told 887(2)(b) he witnessed a man and Det. Mizvesky searched s "dick," (Allegation A, § 87(2)(9) (Allegation B, § 87(2)(9)). § 87(2)(b) was placed under arrest for § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(a) 160.50 and transported to the 83rd Precinct stationhouse. Once at the stationhouse, and unidentified officer allegedly strip-searched 887(2)(b) (Allegation C, 887(2)(g) The Office of Court Administration ("OCA") has no record of or disposition for s arrest (BR 01). There is no video evidence in this case. **Findings and Recommendations** Allegation (A) Discourtesy: Detective Allan Ward spoke discourteously to \$87(2)(5) said that while at the intersection of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, he encountered a woman who he was familiar with but did not know by name, whom he offered a cigarette (BR 02). After they spoke for a few minutes, he walked away from her and was approached by officers in an unmarked vehicle. The officers exited, and Det. Ward, identified via investigation, told § 87(2)(b) he saw a man (presumably the woman §87(2)(b) conversed with) "feel § 87(2)(b) s dick."

witnessing [887(2)(6)] interact with any civilians during the incident (BR 03).

Det. Mizvesky and Det. Villalona denied hearing Det. Ward make the alleged discourteous statement (BR 04 and BR 05).

denied telling §87(2)(b) that he witnessed a man feel §87(2)(b) s "dick," and denied

Det. Ward said that from inside of their police vehicle, Det. Mizvesky observed § 87(2)(b)

contraband in plain sight, parked at the intersection of § 87(2)(b)

officers exited the vehicle. Det. Mizvesky immediately placed § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g)

Ward learned that Det. Mizvesky observed \$87(2)(b) holding a "crack pipe" in plain sight and

was being arrested for criminal possession of a controlled substance. Det. Ward

under arrest. Det.

Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: Detective Daniel Mizvesky searched 887(2)(b)

said Det. Ward accused him of soliciting sex from the individual \$87(2)(b) had been speaking with. \$87(2)(b) told the officers that he and the individual never made physical contact. Det. Mizvesky ordered \$87(2)(b) to produce his ID. \$87(2)(b) reached for his wallet. Det. Mizvesky instructed him to keep his hands out of his pockets. Det. Mizvesky searched \$100 per his hands out of his pockets.

Page 2

s front and back pants pockets, and removed street, swallet from one of the pockets. Street, and a pack of cigarettes on his person that day. Only his wallet was removed from protested the search and in response, Det. Mizvesky asked Det. Ward and Det. Villalona if the officers should take street, and transported to the stationhouse. Det. Ward and Det. Villalona both answered affirmatively. Street, and transported to the stationhouse.
Property Clerk Invoice #\$87(2)(b) notes that a clear pipe with crack cocaine residue was vouchered after \$87(2)(b) s arrest (BR 06). However, \$87(2)(b) denied being in possession of any contraband, including a pipe, and stated that no officer ever mentioned a pipe during the incident (BR 07).
Det. Mizvesky said he observed \$87(2)(b) and an unknown woman speaking in an area known for the sale and use of crack cocaine, heroin, and synthetic marijuana. Det. Mizvesky parked his vehicle to observe \$87(2)(b) and the woman speaking to each other. \$87(2)(b) walked away from the woman and when he was two feet away from the police vehicle, Det. Mizvesky observed \$87(2)(b) holding a foggy glass tube with one burnt end that he recognized to be a "crack pipe." Det. Mizvesky informed his partners of his observations. The officers exited the vehicle together and approached \$87(2)(b) Det. Mizvesky identified himself, presented his shield to \$87(2)(b) and told \$87(2)(b) he was under arrest for possession of a controlled substance. Det. Mizvesky placed \$87(2)(b) in handcuffs and searched him incident to arrest before placing him in the vehicle and transporting him to the 83 rd Precinct stationhouse. Det. Mizvesky denied ever suspecting \$87(2)(b) of soliciting sex from another individual, and denied telling or hearing any other officer tell \$87(2)(b) that he was being arrested for any reason other than possession of the controlled substance.
Det. Ward and Det. Villalona said was considered under arrest the moment Det. Mizvesky observed him in possession of the pipe. He was searched after being placed in handcuffs and before being placed in the police vehicle.
Arrest Report #\$87(2)(b) notes that at the time and place of occurrence, \$87(2)(b) was observed in possession of crack cocaine in plain view in a public place (BR 08). There is no mention of any other charge for \$87(2)(b) s arrest.
NYPD Patrol Guide, Procedure 208-03 requires officers to frisk and field search a prisoner prior to transporting him (BR 09).
§ 87(2)(g)

Page 3

§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation (C) Abuse of Authority: An officer strip-searched said that upon his arrival at the 83 rd Precinct stationhouse, he was immediately taken to the holding cell area and searched in the public area near the cells. An unknown officer, described by \$87(2)(b) as a Hispanic or Asian male, standing 5'7" tall, with black hair, instructed \$87(2)(b) to remove his belt, shoes, socks, pants, and shirt. \$87(2)(b) was unable to recall if the officer was in uniform or plainclothes.) Det. Mizvesky, Det. Ward, and Det. Villalona were not present. The unknown officer searched the items of clothing \$87(2)(b) removed, and never made physical contact with \$87(2)(b) was instructed to put his clothing back on, and was placed in a private holding cell. Det. Mizvesky stated that \$87(2)(b) was searched again at the stationhouse before being placed in a holding cell, but he could not recall if he or another officer conducted the search. Det.
Mizvesky denied that \$87(2)(6) was ever ordered to remove his shirt and pants, and denied that \$87(2)(6) was strip-searched at any point.
Det. Ward denied being present at the time stationhouse, and denied learning that a strip-search occurred. Det. Villalona did not recall if he was present with \$87(2)(b) at the stationhouse. He did not know if \$87(2)(b) was strip-searched. Sgt. McNeece, the supervisor who approved \$87(2)(b) as arrest, did not recall this incident, but denied that \$87(2)(b) was strip-searched given a lack of documentation of a strip-search on the related NYPD documents (BR 10).
s command log entry does not document that \$87(2)(b) was strip-searched (BR 11). \$87(2)(b) s arrest report notes that he was not strip-searched.
The Narcotics Borough Brooklyn North officers were in the area that day after having executed a search warrant. During his CCRB statement, Det. Ward said procedurally, the narcotics officer assigned to operate the prisoner van would be responsible for conducting searches of arrested individuals. The Pre-Execution Search Warrant Plan for October 18, 2018, makes no mention of which officer was assigned to operate the prisoner van nor was any interviewed officer able to identify the officer assigned to the prisoner van (BR 12).
§ 87(2)(g)
Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

Page 4

○ § 87(2)(b)			
_			
		ervice for 11 years and has been a sult of which were substantiated. [87(2)(9)]	oject in six CCRI
		ce for nine years and has been a subject which were substantiated. §87(2)(9)	ect in 10 CCRB
This complain	Mediation, (nt was not suitable for me	Civil and Criminal Histories	
§ 87(2)(b)	it was not suitable for me	diation.	
On July 15, 20	019, a Notice of Claim in	quiry was submitted to the New York	City Office of
		ed to the casefile upon receipt (BR 15	
Squad No.:			
nvestigator:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
17 1			
quad Leader:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
leviewer:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Page 5