

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Christopher Anderson	Team: Squad #11	CCRB Case #: 201907723	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Sunday, 08/18/2019 4:00 AM	Location of Incident: 69th Precinct stationhouse; Foster Avenue and Rockaway Parkway	Precinct: 69	18 Mo. SOL 2/18/2021	EO SOL 10/5/2021	
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 08/19/2019 4:03 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Thu, 08/29/2019 11:07 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. SGT Dana Martillo	02415	945954	069 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Stanley King	03228	961865	069 PCT
2. LT Justin Simms	00000	937542	069 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.SGT Dana Martillo	Force: On August 18, 2019, at the 69th Precinct stationhouse, Sergeant Dana Martillo used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
B.SGT Dana Martillo	Abuse: On August 19, 2019, at Foster Avenue and Rockaway Parkway in Brooklyn, Sergeant Dana Martillo stopped § 87(2)(b)	
C.SGT Dana Martillo	Abuse: On August 19, 2019, at Foster Avenue and Rockaway Parkway in Brooklyn, Sergeant Dana Martillo threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.	

Case Summary

On August 19, 2019, a 911 operator called the IAB Command Center and connected § 87(2)(b) who filed this complaint, which was subsequently received at the CCRB on August 29, 2019.

Between August 30, 2019 and September 16, 2019, § 87(2)(b) was called five times at the telephone number provided in the IAB log, called four times and left four voicemail messages at a telephone number located in the CCRB's Complaint Tracking System (CTS), and two letters were mailed to the address provided in the IAB log. The first call to the telephone number in the IAB log connected to a voicemail box with "full memory," and no voicemail message could be left or automated text message sent.

In the second call, § 87(2)(b)'s brother, § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) answered and stated that § 87(2)(b) had left their home yesterday. § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) did not know § 87(2)(b)'s whereabouts. § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) confirmed the home's address and the two potential telephone numbers for § 87(2)(b). In the third call, an individual answered, identified himself as § 87(2)(b)'s father, stated that he had not heard from § 87(2)(b) recently, and agreed to inform him of the CCRB's attempts to contact him should he hear from him. In the fourth call, a voicemail message was left for § 87(2)(b).

In the fifth call, § 87(2)(b) answered, stated that he had no contact information beyond the telephone number at which he had just been called and the mailing address to which he had been mailed letters. § 87(2)(b) scheduled an in-person statement at the CCRB for September 24, 2019. However, on September 24, 2019, § 87(2)(b) failed to appear for his scheduled appointment or contact the CCRB in advance to reschedule it. On September 24, 2019, a sixth call, in which no voicemail message could be left, was placed to § 87(2)(b) and a third letter was mailed to him. None of the letters mailed to § 87(2)(b) were returned as undeliverable.

On September 25, 2019, a search of the NYC Department of Correction Inmate Lookup Service indicated that neither § 87(2)(b) nor anyone with the surname § 87(2)(b) is currently incarcerated.

§ 87(2)(b) failed to appear for one scheduled appointment and was unresponsive to subsequent contact attempts. § 87(2)(g)

Squad No.: _____

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Squad Leader:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date