

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Casey McCann	Team: Squad #4	CCRB Case #: 201801597	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday, 02/23/2018 1:00 AM	Location of Incident: 1023 Stebbins Avenue	Precinct: 41	18 Mo. SOL 8/23/2019	EO SOL 8/23/2019	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 02/23/2018 5:40 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Call Processing System	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 02/23/2018 5:40 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Jose Reyes	23643	945394	PSA 2
2. POF Lawrice Crawford	01943	954676	041 PCT
3. POM Franklin Perez	31865	955317	PBBX

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Christophe Indovino	18914	958716	041 PCT
2. POM Eric Mcdevitt	14271	961933	041 PCT
3. LT Raymond Kwong	00000	932870	041 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Jose Reyes	Abuse: Outside of § 87(2)(b) Police Officer Jose Reyes stopped an individual.	
B.POF Lawrice Crawford	Abuse: Outside of § 87(2)(b) Police Officer Lawrice Crawford stopped an individual.	
C.POM Jose Reyes	Abuse: Outside of § 87(2)(b) Police Officer Jose Reyes frisked an individual.	
D.POF Lawrice Crawford	Abuse: Outside of § 87(2)(b) Police Officer Lawrice Crawford frisked an individual.	
E.POM Jose Reyes	Abuse: Outside of § 87(2)(b) Police Officer Jose Reyes questioned § 87(2)(b)	
F.POM Jose Reyes	Discourtesy: Outside of § 87(2)(b) Police Officer Jose Reyes spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	
G.POF Lawrice Crawford	Abuse: Outside of § 87(2)(b) Police Officer Lawrice Crawford frisked § 87(2)(b)	
H.POF Lawrice Crawford	Abuse: Outside of § 87(2)(b) Police Officer Lawrice Crawford searched § 87(2)(b)	
I.POM Jose Reyes	Force: Outside of § 87(2)(b) Police Officer Jose Reyes used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
J.POF Lawrice Crawford	Force: Outside of § 87(2)(b) Police Officer Lawrice Crawford used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
K.POM Franklin Perez	Force: Outside of § 87(2)(b) Police Officer Franklin Perez used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
L.POF Lawrice Crawford	Force: Outside of § 87(2)(b) Police Officer Lawrice Crawford struck § 87(2)(b) with an asp.	
M.POF Lawrice Crawford	Abuse: Outside of § 87(2)(b) Police Officer Lawrice Crawford searched the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) was an occupant.	
N.POM Jose Reyes	Abuse: Outside of § 87(2)(b) Police Officer Jose Reyes searched the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) was an occupant.	
O.POM Jose Reyes	Abuse: Outside of § 87(2)(b) Police Officer Jose Reyes seized § 87(2)(b) s property.	
P.POM Franklin Perez	Force: En route to the 41st Precinct stationhouse, Police Officer Franklin Perez struck § 87(2)(b) with an asp.	
Q.POM Jose Reyes	Abuse: At the 41st Precinct stationhouse, Police Officer Jose Reyes did not obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b)	
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)		

Case Summary

On February 27, 2018, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via telephone on behalf of her cousin, § 87(2)(b) did not witness the incident.

On February 23, 2018, at approximately 1 a.m., outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue in the Bronx, Police Officer Jose Reyes and Police Officer Lawrice Crawford, both of the 41st Precinct at the time of the incident, stopped and frisked § 87(2)(b) (**Allegations A-D: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(g)**).

PO Reyes and PO Crawford then approached § 87(2)(b)'s car. PO Reyes asked § 87(2)(b) "What do you have in the car?" (**Allegation E: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(g)**), and called § 87(2)(b) a "smartass" (**Allegation F: Discourtesy, § 87(2)(g)**).

PO Crawford frisked and searched § 87(2)(b) (**Allegations G-H: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(g)**). PO Reyes, PO Crawford, and PO Perez used physical force against § 87(2)(b) (**Allegations I-K: Force, § 87(2)(g)**). PO Crawford struck § 87(2)(b) with an asp (**Allegation L: Force, § 87(2)(g)**).

PO Crawford and PO Reyes searched § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle (**Allegations M-N: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(b)**). PO Reyes drove § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle back to the stationhouse (**Allegation O: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(g)**).

En route to the 41st Precinct stationhouse, PO Perez struck § 87(2)(b) with an asp (**Allegation P: Force, § 87(2)(g)**).

PO Reyes brought § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle back to the stationhouse (**Allegation P: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(g)**). PO Reyes refused to obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation Q: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(g)**).

§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b) provided video of this incident, which an unknown individual recorded from an apartment window of 1023 Stebbins Avenue (BR 12).

§ 87(2)(b) was arrested for § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) (BR 33). § 87(2)(b) was also issued a summons for an idle engine (BR 17).

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue, Police Officer Jose Reyes stopped § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: Outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue, Police Officer Lawrice Crawford stopped § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (C) Abuse of Authority: Outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue, Police Officer Jose Reyes frisked § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (D) Abuse of Authority: Outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue, Police Officer Lawrice Crawford frisked § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) (BR 01) said that before PO Reyes and PO Crawford stopped him, he saw them stop and frisk § 87(2)(b) outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue. § 87(2)(b) did not know the individual, and could only describe him as a Hispanic male in an orange hoodie. § 87(2)(b) did not see PO Perez at this time.

Video footage (BR 12) did not capture this portion of the incident. Attempts to canvass the incident location for other sources of video yielded negative results (BR 31).

The EVENT summaries and UF-250 Log (BR 05-06) requested for this incident returned negative results for identifying information for this individual.

PO Reyes and PO Crawford (BR 02-03) denied having any interaction with this individual, and their memo books did not memorialize any such interaction (BR 07-08).

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (E) Abuse of Authority: Outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue, Police Officer Jose Reyes questioned § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (F) Discourtesy: Outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue, Police Officer Jose Reyes spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)

The following facts are undisputed:

On February 23, 2018, at approximately 1 a.m., § 87(2)(b) got into an argument with his mother, who kicked him out of his house. § 87(2)(b) went to his cousin's, § 87(2)(b)'s car, which was parked outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue. The vehicle did not have license plates. § 87(2)(b) reclined the seat all the way back to go to sleep. Three police officers, identified as PO Reyes, PO Crawford, and PO Perez approached § 87(2)(b) in the car.

There is some dispute as to whether § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle was on or off at the time the officers approached, but because PO Reyes issued § 87(2)(b) a summons for an idle engine, a vehicle stop is not pleaded, as to do so would constitute a summons dispute.

Once the officers approached § 87(2)(b) a conversation ensued, though the details of the conversation are in dispute. When § 87(2)(b) exited the car at the officers' request, a struggle ensued, though the details of the struggle are also disputed.

§ 87(2)(b) (BR 01) said his cousin's car was off when PO Reyes approached the vehicle. PO Reyes knocked on § 87(2)(b)'s driver's side window, which § 87(2)(b) lowered. PO Reyes said, "What do you have in the car?" § 87(2)(b) told PO Reyes that he did not have anything in his car. § 87(2)(b) had not been smoking in the vehicle, did not have marijuana in the vehicle or on his person, and the vehicle did not smell like marijuana. PO Reyes asked § 87(2)(b) to get out of the car. When § 87(2)(b) asked why, PO Reyes said, "You being a smartass."

PO Reyes (BR 02) said that when he approached § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle, which was idling, he smelled a strong odor of marijuana. PO Reyes asked § 87(2)(b) for his identification because PO Reyes planned to issue § 87(2)(b) a summons for the idling vehicle, but § 87(2)(b) could not provide it. PO Reyes also asked § 87(2)(b) who owned the car, but § 87(2)(b) could not produce any ownership papers. PO Reyes did not ask what § 87(2)(b) had in the car. PO Reyes denied calling § 87(2)(b) a "smartass."

PO Crawford and PO Perez (BR 03, 04) were consistent with PO Reyes in that § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle had an odor of marijuana, and that they did not hear PO Reyes call § 87(2)(b) a "smartass."

In People v. Mercado, an officer had the requisite founded suspicion to ask the defendant if his car "was straight," and for consent to search the vehicle, based on the defendant's inability to provide any identification, the defendant's admission that he had a suspended license, and the fact that the car was registered neither to the defendant nor his passenger. People v. Mercado, 120 A.D.3d 441 (2014) (BR 32).

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (G) Abuse of Authority: Outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue, Police Officer Lawrice Crawford frisked § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (H) Abuse of Authority: Outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue, Police Officer Lawrice Crawford searched § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) (BR 01) said that once he stepped out of his vehicle, PO Reyes immediately pushed him against his vehicle, so that his chest faced the car, and PO Crawford patted down § 87(2)(b)'s legs, thighs, and groin area. PO Crawford then entered § 87(2)(b)'s interior left jacket pocket.

PO Crawford (BR 03) and PO Perez (BR 04) denied patting down or entering § 87(2)(b)'s pockets on scene, and said that § 87(2)(b) was only searched incident to his arrest, which occurred later.

PO Reyes (BR 02) testified that when § 87(2)(b) exited the vehicle, he told § 87(2)(b) that because the officers did not know § 87(2)(b)'s identity, they were going to pat him down to ensure that he had no weapons. § 87(2)(b) consented to this by saying, "Okay," but before an officer could conduct the frisk, § 87(2)(b) reached into his waistband, and a struggle ensued.

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (I) Force: Outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue, Police Officer Jose Reyes used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (J) Force: Outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue, Police Officer Lawrice Crawford used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (K) Force: Outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue, Police Officer Franklin Perez used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

It is undisputed that officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b) while trying to handcuff him. Whether § 87(2)(b) resisted arrest is in dispute.

§ 87(2)(b) (BR 01) stated that while searching him, PO Crawford entered his left jacket pocket and pressed her hand downward, pulling § 87(2)(b) to the ground. Once on the ground, § 87(2)(b) felt several officers punch him in his thighs, lower back, and head. § 87(2)(b) did not reach for anything inside his pockets, did not resist arrest, and kept his hands behind his back for the duration of the incident.

§ 87(2)(b) provided cell phone video of the incident (BR 12), which is embedded below:



201801597_20180309_1254_DM.3gp

An unknown individual recorded the video from a window of 1023 Stebbins Avenue. This unidentified individual sent the video to § 87(2)(b)'s cousin, § 87(2)(b) who in turn forwarded the video to § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) was unavailable to the investigation (BR 09) and the investigation was unable to identify the individual who recorded the video by other means.

The quality of the video footage is extremely poor, and thus § 87(2)(b)'s and the officers' actions cannot be determined from the video. Attempts to enhance the footage were not successful. The video shows a blurry group of people on the sidewalk next to a white sedan. At the 00:06 mark, PO Crawford says, "Drop it!" § 87(2)(b) screams, "Ma!" for the remainder of the video, which is 25 seconds long.

§ 87(2)(b) provided the investigation with photographs of his injuries he took the day after the incident. § 87(2)(b) alleged he had swelling to the right side of his face, but this swelling is not clearly depicted in the picture (BR 21). § 87(2)(b) also provided photographs of a scrape on his left hand near his middle knuckle, a photograph of a bruise on his leg, and a photograph of a bruise on his back (BR 22-24).

§ 87(2)(b)'s arrest photograph does not depict any visible injuries (BR 25).

§ 87(2)(b) went to § 87(2)(b) on § 87(2)(b), the day after the incident upon his release from police custody. § 87(2)(b)'s medical records note that he complained of generalized back pain, right jaw pain, and pain to his left ribs. The medical records did not note any visible swelling or injuries. X-rays of the areas in which § 87(2)(b) complained of pain did not reveal any fractures (see medical records).

PO Reyes, PO Crawford, and PO Perez (BR 02-04) all that § 87(2)(b) got out of the vehicle on his own power and stood on the driver's side with PO Reyes and PO Perez while PO Crawford stood on the passenger's side. § 87(2)(b) was facing the passenger side of the vehicle. PO Crawford saw § 87(2)(b) reach down and alerted PO Reyes and PO Perez that he was doing so by saying, "He's reaching." As PO Crawford moved to the driver's side of the vehicle, PO Reyes and PO Perez also observed § 87(2)(b) reaching downward. § 87(2)(b) tensed his body, preventing PO Reyes and PO Perez from grabbing his arms.

PO Crawford (BR 03) said she then punched § 87(2)(b) one time in the back with a closed fist in an effort gain § 87(2)(b)'s compliance. All three officers (BR 02-04) then took § 87(2)(b) to the ground and § 87(2)(b) landed on his stomach.

PO Reyes (BR 02) said that § 87(2)(b) held his right hand under his body and moved his body to prevent the officers from handcuffing him. PO Reyes punched § 87(2)(b) three to four times in the face attempting to get § 87(2)(b) to comply, and may have also punched § 87(2)(b) in the arm, but PO Reyes could not remember. After one to two minutes on the ground, the officers placed § 87(2)(b) into handcuffs by grabbing § 87(2)(b)'s arms. PO Reyes did not notice any injuries to § 87(2)(b)'s face. PO Reyes sustained a line of duty injury, swelling and scratches to his right hand, from punching § 87(2)(b) and trying to pull § 87(2)(b)'s arm from under his body (BR 26).

PO Crawford (BR 03) reviewed the video during her interview and confirmed that she says, "Drop it!" in regard to whatever item § 87(2)(b) was reaching for in his jacket. § 87(2)(b) did not comply. Once § 87(2)(b) was on the ground, he continued to resist by holding his hands under his body and tensing his legs. PO Crawford did not again punch, or see officers punch, § 87(2)(b).

PO Perez (BR 04) testified that he put a handcuff on § 87(2)(b)'s left hand once § 87(2)(b) was on the ground. PO Reyes was struggling with § 87(2)(b)'s right arm. After about one

minute, PO Reyes put § 87(2)(b)'s right hand into the handcuffs, but PO Perez did not see how PO Reyes was able to do so. PO Perez did not use any other force besides taking § 87(2)(b) to the ground and did not see any officer punch § 87(2)(b).

The TRIs PO Reyes and PO Crawford prepared were consistent with their testimonies (BR 13, 14). Both officers noted that § 87(2)(b) sustained minor lacerations.

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (L) Force: Outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue, Police Officer Lawrice Crawford struck § 87(2)(b) with an asp.

§ 87(2)(b) (BR 01) said that when officers got him to the ground, he was not moving and had his hands behind his back. An officer hit § 87(2)(b) in the back-right side of his head with an asp two times. § 87(2)(b) screamed, became dizzy, and blacked out for approximately two minutes.

§ 87(2)(b)'s medical records do not indicate any type of internal or external head injury. § 87(2)(b) only complained of generalized body pain, and did not specify that he was experiencing pain to his head, or that he had lost consciousness (see medical records).

§ 87(2)(b)'s arrest photograph did not show visible injuries to his face or head (BR 25).

PO Crawford (BR 03) testified that once § 87(2)(b) was on the ground, § 87(2)(b) continued to resist by holding his hands under his body and kicking and moving his legs. All three officers continued to give § 87(2)(b) verbal commands to stop resisting and give the officers his hands throughout the struggle.

PO Crawford removed her asp, extended it, and used it to apply pressure to § 87(2)(b)'s legs to stop § 87(2)(b)'s legs from moving. This maneuver successfully stopped § 87(2)(b)'s legs from moving. The pressure PO Crawford placed on § 87(2)(b)'s legs with the asp was successful in stopping § 87(2)(b) from moving his legs. PO Crawford denied striking § 87(2)(b) with an asp on his legs or on his head.

The TRI PO Crawford prepared (BR 14) was consistent with her testimony.

PO Reyes (BR 02) does not carry an asp. PO Reyes and PO Perez (BR 04) denied hitting § 87(2)(b) with an asp and denied seeing any officer strike § 87(2)(b) with an asp on his head or elsewhere.

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (M) Abuse of Authority: Outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue, Police Officer Lawrice Crawford searched the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) was an occupant.

Allegation (N) Abuse of Authority: Outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue, Police Officer Jose Reyes searched the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) was an occupant.

After officers handcuffed § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) (BR 01) saw from his position on the sidewalk a male officer open the driver's side door of his vehicle and look at the driver's side area of the car, including the compartments in the door. Eventually, the officer's entire body was inside the vehicle, but § 87(2)(b) could not see where the officer was looking.

All three officers consistently said that once § 87(2)(b) exited the vehicle, he told the officers that they could search the car. However, because of the struggle that ensued, the officers did not search § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle at that time.

According to PO Crawford (BR 03), once § 87(2)(b) was apprehended, PO Crawford, PO Reyes, and PO Perez searched the “immediate grab-able, reachable areas,” including the driver’s side area, door compartments, and tire wells. The officers did not look in the middle console, glove box, or trunk.

PO Reyes (BR 02) testified that after the officers handcuffed § 87(2)(b) PO Crawford and PO Perez drove § 87(2)(b) back to the stationhouse. PO Reyes looked under § 87(2)(b)'s car and around the driver’s seat area to ensure he was not going to sit on anything when he drove the vehicle back to the stationhouse. PO Reyes did not look into the middle console or glove box. No other officer assisted PO Reyes in the search.

PO Perez (BR 04) denied seeing or participating in any search of § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle.

Because PO Reyes and PO Crawford admitted that they both took part in searching § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle, PO Reyes and PO Crawford were identified as subject officers. Even though PO Crawford said that PO Perez also assisted in searching § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle, PO Perez denied this.

§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (O) Abuse of Authority: Outside of 1023 Stebbins Avenue, Police Officer Jose Reyes seized § 87(2)(b)'s property.

§ 87(2)(b) said that while he was transported back to the 41st Precinct stationhouse, he turned and saw through the back windshield that an officer was driving § 87(2)(b)'s car.

PO Reyes (BR 02) and PO Perez (BR 04) were consistent in that PO Reyes was the officer to drive § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle back to the stationhouse because the vehicle did not have license plates and the officers had to determine ownership. PO Crawford (BR 03) testified that an officer drove § 87(2)(b)'s car back to the stationhouse but she did not know which officer did this.

As PO Reyes identified himself as the officer who drove § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle back to the stationhouse, the investigation identified PO Reyes as the subject officer.

Vehicles and/or property coming into police custody must be invoiced and properly classified as arrest evidence, investigatory evidence, for forfeiture proceedings, or to determine true owner. Patrol Guide Procedure 218-19 (BR 15).

§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (P) Force: En route to the 41st Precinct stationhouse, Police Officer Franklin Perez struck § 87(2)(b) with an asp.

§ 87(2)(b) (BR 01) said that en route to the 41st Precinct stationhouse, an officer sat in the backseat with him and repeatedly hit his body with an asp and punched him in the ribs for the entirety of the ride back to the stationhouse.

§ 87(2)(b)'s medical records listed that he complained of rib pain, but did not note any swelling or visible injuries to his ribs. The X-rays taken did not reveal any fractures to § 87(2)(b)'s ribs (see medical records).

The investigation determined through the testimony of all three officers that PO Perez was the officer that sat in the backseat with § 87(2)(b).

PO Perez (BR 04) sat in the backseat of the vehicle with § 87(2)(b) because the vehicle was not a cage car. PO Perez did not remember anything notable about the ride. PO Perez denied punching § 87(2)(b) or striking him with an asp.

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (Q) Abuse of Authority: At the 41st Precinct stationhouse, Police Officer Jose Reyes did not obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) (BR 01) said that shortly after his arrival to the stationhouse, while in a holding cell with other prisoners, he asked PO Reyes to go to the hospital twice because he was short of breath and his ribs were sore. Each time, PO Reyes said, “No. You’re going to the Bookings.”

The Prisoner Holding Pen Roster (BR 18) listed prisoners § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) inside the holding cell with § 87(2)(b). The investigation could not locate contact information for § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) was unavailable to the investigation (BR 09).

When § 87(2)(b) went to the hospital the day after this incident, he told medical professionals that officers had refused him medical attention (see medical records).

PO Reyes, PO Crawford, and PO Perez (BR 02-04) were consistent in that they did not hear § 87(2)(b) did not request to go to the hospital, and no officer refused him medical treatment.

PO Reyes (BR 02) said that when they arrived at the stationhouse, he called EMS for the injuries § 87(2)(b) sustained on his right hand and knee. PO Perez (BR 04) was consistent with PO Reyes in that EMS arrived for § 87(2)(b)'s injuries, but he did not specify who called EMS. PO Reyes and PO Perez both stated that § 87(2)(b) refused to go to the hospital when EMS arrived.

According to the TRIs prepared by PO Reyes and PO Crawford, § 87(2)(b) had minor swelling and lacerations to his right hand and right knee as a result of the incident. § 87(2)(b) was offered medical attention but refused (BR 13-14).

The Medical Treatment of Prisoner Report (BR 16) prepared by Sergeant David Jimenez of the 41st Precinct noted that § 87(2)(b) did not request or require medical attention. In the section entitled “Prisoner Refused Medical Aid,” Sgt. Jimenez checked the “Yes” box.

Neither the EVENT Summary nor the Command Log listed EMS as responding to the 41st Precinct stationhouse while § 87(2)(b) was there (BR 29-30).

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- § 87(2)(b)
- This is the first CCRB to which § 87(2)(b) has been a party (BR 28).
- PO Jose Reyes has been a member of service for 10 years and has been a subject in seven prior CCRB complaints and 17 allegations, of which three were substantiated.
 - 201403417 involved substantiated allegations of a chokehold, other force, and a stop. The Board recommended Command Discipline B and the NYPD imposed Command Discipline B.
 - § 87(2)(g)
- PO Lawrice Crawford has been a member of service for four years and has been a subject in five prior CCRB complaints and six allegations, none of which was substantiated. § 87(2)(g)
- PO Franklin Perez has been a member of service for four years and has been a subject in one prior CCRB complaint and one allegation, which was not substantiated. § 87(2)(g)

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- This complaint was not suitable for mediation.
- As of March 23, 2018, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of a Notice of Claim being filed in regard to this complaint (BR 10).
- According to the Office of Court Administration (OCA), § 87(2)(b) has no history of convictions in New York City (BR 19).

Squad No.: _____

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Squad Leader: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Reviewer: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date