CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	Force	☐ Discourt.	U.S.
William MacLure		Squad #6	201901563	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	1	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Sunday, 02/10/2019 6:54 PM		§ 87(2)(b)		61	8/10/2020	3/27/2021
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At: How CV Report		: Date/Time	Date/Time Received at CCRB	
Sun, 02/10/2019 7:21 PM		IAB Phone		Mon, 02/1	Mon, 02/18/2019 12:45 PM	
Complainant/Victim Type		Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Andy Heenpella	17785	960651	061 PCT			
2. POM Sheldon Maxwell	23090	960089	061 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegati	Allegation Investigator Recommendation				
A.POM Andy Heenpella	Abuse: I name to	Abuse: Police Officer Andy Heenpella refused to provide his name to \$87(2)(b)				
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)						

Case Summary

On February 10, 2019, \$87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the IAB over the phone. This case was received at the CCRB on February 18, 2019. On February 10, 2019, at approximately 6:54 p.m., at \$87(2)(b) , in Brooklyn, \$87(2)(b) called 911 and requested that officers respond because his upstairs neighbors were making too much noise. PO Andy Heenpella and PO Sheldon Maxwell, of the supstairs neighbors. \$87(2)(b) asked PO Heenpella to write down his name and PO Heenpella refused to do so (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(g) PO Heenpella and PO Maxwell left the location. \$87(4-b), \$87(2)(g) PO Heenpella and PO Maxwell left the location.
There was no video rootage or this incident.
Findings and Recommendations
Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Andy Heenpella refused to provide his
name to § 87(2)(b)
It is undisputed that \$87(2)(b) asked PO Heenpella for his name.
(Board Review 01) stated that, after providing the officers with a narrative of the incident, he asked PO Heenpella for a pen and paper so that he could write down his name. PO Heenpella refused to provide with a pen and paper. Solve asked PO Heenpella to write his own name down and PO Heenpella refused. PO Heenpella did not
otherwise provide his name to \$87(2)(b)
At 02:54 in the intake audio from IAB, serence spelled the subject officer's name as "Heepolla" and stated that he was reciting this from memory (Board Review 08).
There were no civilian witnesses to this incident.
PO Heenpella (Board Review 02) stated that following the request for his name, \$37(2)(0) asked to use his pen. PO Heenpella told \$37(2)(0) to retrieve a pen from his apartment. \$37(2)(0) obtained either a pen or his phone and recorded PO Heenpella's name and shield number. PO Heenpella verbally provided his information multiple times and spelled out his name to ensure \$37(2)(0) got the information.
PO Maxwell (Board Review 03) stated that PO Heenpella verbally provided his name
and shield number to \$87(2)(b) did not ask PO Heenpella to write down his name
and shield number, nor did he say that he wanted to personally write down this information.
§ 87(2)(g)

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201901563

§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)
Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories
 This was the first CCRB complaint to which \$87(2)(b) was a party (Board Review 07). PO Heenpella has been a member of the service for three years and has been a subject in four CCRB complaints and eight allegations, none of which were substantiated. \$87(2)(g)
• PO Maxwell has been a member of the service for three years and has been a subject in four CCRB complaints and five allegations, none of which were substantiated.
Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- §87(2)(b) wanted time to consider mediation following his interview, but then became unavailable.
- [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201901563

Squad No.: _			
1 -			
Investigator:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer: _	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

CCRB Case # 201901563