

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Rebecca Ho	Team: Squad #3	CCRB Case #: 201603891	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Thursday, 05/05/2016 9:20 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 42	18 Mo. SOL 11/5/2017	EO SOL 11/5/2017	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 05/06/2016 7:55 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: On-line website	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 05/06/2016 7:55 AM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address			
Witness(es)	Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command		
1. POM Dennis Vargas	07471	957245	042 PCT		
Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name		
1. POM Nelson Mangual	23565	943517	042 PCT		
Officer(s)	Allegation			Investigator Recommendation	
A.POM Dennis Vargas	Abuse: PO Dennis Vargas entered § 87(2)(b)				

Case Summary

On May 6, 2016, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via the on-line web site.

The undersigned received this case on May 9, 2016 and called § 87(2)(b) at the phone number she provided in filing her complaint. § 87(2)(b)'s voice mailbox was not set up and a voice message could not be left. The undersigned called § 87(2)(b) again on May 11th, 14th, and 16th of 2016 and with each call, a voice message could not be left. When the undersigned called on May 18, 2016, § 87(2)(b) answered the phone, confirmed her contact information, provided a telephone statement, and was offered mediation. § 87(2)(b) rejected mediation and stated she needed to check her work schedule before scheduling an interview appointment; she was directed to call back by May 20, 2016. On May 20, 2016, the undersigned called § 87(2)(b) and a voice message could not be left. Between May 9, 2016 and May 31, 2016, the undersigned mailed four letters to § 87(2)(b)'s home address and emailed three letters to § 87(2)(b)'s email address.

On May 11, 2016, the undersigned conducted a LexisNexis search and found three additional phone numbers for § 87(2)(b) and another email address. On May 11, 2016 and May 16, 2016, letters were sent to the email address found. Between May 11, 2016 and June 2, 2016, the undersigned called two of the phone numbers five times. With each call to the first number, an automated message stated the number was not in service. With each call to the second number, the phone rang continuously without option to leave a voice message. On May 31, 2016, the undersigned called the third number found and an unidentified male stated the number did not belong to a § 87(2)(b) and that he did not know a § 87(2)(b).

On May 31, 2016, IM Buchanan conducted a CLEAR search for § 87(2)(b) and found one additional phone number. The same day, the undersigned called the number and an unidentified female stated the number did not belong to a § 87(2)(b) and that she did not know a § 87(2)(b).

On May 31, 2016, a search of BADS for additional contact information for § 87(2)(b) found no results as § 87(2)(b) has no arrest history. On May 27, 2016, a search of the NYC for DOC database showed § 87(2)(b) is not incarcerated. To date, § 87(2)(b) has not contacted the undersigned and the USPS has not returned any letters mailed to her.

Squad: 3

Investigator:	_____	<u>Rebecca Ho</u>	<u>06/02/2016</u>
	Signature	Print	Date

Squad Leader:	_____	<u>Dane Buchanan</u>	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date

Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date