CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Fo	rce	$\overline{\checkmark}$	Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Eric Murdy		Squad #6	201405096	✓ Ab	use		O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Prec	inct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Wednesday, 05/21/2014 7:00 A	M	§ 87(2)(b)		11	3	11	/21/2015	11/21/2015
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Dat	e/Time	Rece	eived at CCI	RB
Tue, 05/27/2014 9:47 AM		CCRB	Phone	Tue	e, 05/27	/2014	4 9:47 AM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess	· ·				
Witness(es)		Home Addro	966					
Withess(es)		Home Audi	css					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. Officers								
2. SGT Derrick Milligan	04735	918006	INT CIS					
3. An officer								
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	Tax No	Cmd Name					
1. POM Michael Ferrari	22463	946984	113 PCT					
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inve	stiga	tor Recon	nmendation
A. Officers		Abuse: Officers entered and searched in Queens.						
B. An officer	in Queens. Discourtesy: An officer spoke discourteously to \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b)							
C.SGT Derrick Milligan	Abuse: Sgt. Derrick Milligan failed to show a search warrant to § 87(2)(b)							
D. Officers		Officers searched § 87(2)(b)	s car.					

Case Summary

On May 27, 2014, \$37(2)(0) and
Mediation, Civil, and Criminal Histories
Given \$87(2)(b) arrest, this case is not suitable for mediation. An inquiry was filed with the NYC Comptroller's Office on April 1, 2015, to determine if any notice of claim was filed. To date, no response has been received. [\$87(2)(b)][\$8 85(1)(3)&(4)][\$87(2)(c)] (encl. 170 and 17p).
Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories
 This is \$87(2)(b) first CCRB complaint (encl. 3b). This is \$87(2)(b) first CCRB complaint (encl. 3c). Sgt. Derek Milligan has been a member of the NYPD for 18 years. He has 26 prior CCRB allegations against him, two of which were substantiated. In case #201115506, a force allegation was substantiated against Sgt. Milligan and he received command discipline. In case #201110512, a vehicle search allegation was substantiated against Sgt. Milligan and he received command discipline. Sgt. Milligan has one other open CCRB case, #201403796, which has an entry allegation (encl. 2a-b). \$87(2)(9)

Page 2 CCRB Case # 201405096

Officer Identification

statements as a white man, 5' 9" tall, balding, with a stocky build, wearing glasses (et 8). Possible subject officers were identified based on physical appearance from the sewarrant pre-execution plan and a photo array with \$570000 was conducted on Jan 16, 2015. She did not identify any potential subject officers (encl. 5b and 8g-k). PO Michael Ferrari was identified on the pre-execution plan and matched \$570000 s above description as the potential subject officer who made the discourteous statement However, according to PO Ferrari's testimony, he was re-assigned to side security an never entered the house (encl. 10e). \$5700000 Allegation C - Abuse of Authority: \$57000000000000000000000000000000000000		Allegation A- Abuse of Authority: § 87(2)(9)
statements as a white man, 5' 9" tall, balding, with a stocky build, wearing glasses (et 8). Possible subject officers were identified based on physical appearance from the se warrant pre-execution plan and a photo array with \$\frac{307(20)}{307(20)}\$ was conducted on Jan 16, 2015. She did not identify any potential subject officers (encl. 5b and 8g-k). PO Michael Ferrari was identified on the pre-execution plan and matched \$\frac{307(20)}{307(20)}\$ sabove description as the potential subject officer who made the discourteous statement However, according to PO Ferrari's testimony, he was re-assigned to side security an never entered the house (encl. 10e). \$\frac{307(20)}{307(20)}\$ sabove description as the potential subject officer who made the discourteous statement However, according to PO Ferrari's testimony, he was re-assigned to side security an never entered the house (encl. 10e). \$\frac{307(210)}{307(210)}\$ so the pre-execution plan, Sgt. Milligan is identified as the Entry Supervisor and acknowledged possessing what he thought was only copy of the search warrant while being present at the scene. \$\frac{307(210)}{307(210)}\$ described the officers who searched \$\frac{307(210)}{307(210)}\$ s reighbor, \$\frac{307(210)}{307(210)}\$ described the officers who searched \$\frac{307(210)}{307(210)}\$ s car as six white men. She indicated that one of the officers was Italian, one was wearing a hat, and one was tall. However she could not provide any addition details (encl. 7d) \$\frac{307(210)}{307(210)}\$ made a general request to see the warrant to all of officers in her residence and PO3 responded by saying, "I don't have to show you shi (encl. 8d). As per officer testimony, it was confirmed that PO Michels, the executing officer, also had a copy of the warrant, but never entered the residence. The Entry Supervisor, Sgt. Milligan, confirmed that he had what he thought was the only copy of the search warrant and was on the scene \$\frac{307(210)}{307(210)}\$ stated that multiple officers pointed guns at them as they in		
Allegation D – Abuse of Authority: \$57(2)(5) s car as six white men. She indicated that one of the officers was Italian, one was wearing a hat, and one was tall. However she could not provide any addition details (encl. 7d) \$57(2)(5) made a general request to see the warrant to all of officers in her residence and PO3 responded by saying, "I don't have to show you shi (encl. 8d). As per officer testimony, it was confirmed that PO Michels, the executing officer, also had a copy of the warrant, but never entered the residence. The Entry Supervisor, Sgt. Milligan, confirmed that he had what he thought was the only copy of the search warrant and was on the scene \$57(2)(5) stated that multiple officers pointed guns at them as they initially entered and went through the house \$57(2)(5) stated that as a result of the entry and search, her front door was damaged and that several items in \$57(2)(5) room, including gla and trophies, were broken.		Michael Ferrari was identified on the pre-execution plan and matched 887(2)(b) s above description as the potential subject officer who made the discourteous statements However, according to PO Ferrari's testimony, he was re-assigned to side security and
Findings and Recommendations Findings and Recommendations Findings and Recommendations May be a general request to see the warrant to all of officers in her residence and PO3 responded by saying, "I don't have to show you shi (encl. 8d). As per officer testimony, it was confirmed that PO Michels, the executing officer, also had a copy of the warrant, but never entered the residence. The Entry Supervisor, Sgt. Milligan, confirmed that he had what he thought was the only copy of the search warrant and was on the scene \$57(2)(6) Force: \$57(2)(6) and \$57(2)(6) claimed that as a result of the entry and search, her front door was damaged and that several items in \$57(2)(6) room, including gla and trophies, were broken. \$57(2)(6)		identified as the Entry Supervisor and acknowledged possessing what he thought was the
Abuse of Authority: \$87(2)(5) made a general request to see the warrant to all of officers in her residence and PO3 responded by saying, "I don't have to show you shi (encl. 8d). As per officer testimony, it was confirmed that PO Michels, the executing officer, also had a copy of the warrant, but never entered the residence. The Entry Supervisor, Sgt. Milligan, confirmed that he had what he thought was the only copy of the search warrant and was on the scene \$87(2)(9) Force: \$87(2)(5) and \$87(2)(5) stated that multiple officers pointed guns at them as they initially entered and went through the house \$87(2)(9) Abuse of Authority: \$87(2)(5) claimed that as a result of the entry and search, her front door was damaged and that several items in \$87(2)(5) room, including gla and trophies, were broken. \$87(2)(9)		described the officers who searched sar(2)(0) s car as six white men. She indicated that one of the officers was Italian, one was wearing a hat, and one was tall. However,
Abuse of Authority: \$87(2)(b) made a general request to see the warrant to all of officers in her residence and PO3 responded by saying, "I don't have to show you shi (encl. 8d). As per officer testimony, it was confirmed that PO Michels, the executing officer, also had a copy of the warrant, but never entered the residence. The Entry Supervisor, Sgt. Milligan, confirmed that he had what he thought was the only copy of the search warrant and was on the scene \$87(2)(9) Force: \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) stated that multiple officers pointed guns at them as they initially entered and went through the house \$87(2)(9) Abuse of Authority: \$87(2)(b) claimed that as a result of the entry and search, her front door was damaged and that several items in \$87(2)(b) room, including gla and trophies, were broken. \$87(2)(g)		
Abuse of Authority: \$87(2)(5) made a general request to see the warrant to all of officers in her residence and PO3 responded by saying, "I don't have to show you shi (encl. 8d). As per officer testimony, it was confirmed that PO Michels, the executing officer, also had a copy of the warrant, but never entered the residence. The Entry Supervisor, Sgt. Milligan, confirmed that he had what he thought was the only copy of the search warrant and was on the scene \$87(2)(9) Force: \$87(2)(5) and \$87(2)(5) stated that multiple officers pointed guns at them as they initially entered and went through the house \$87(2)(9) Abuse of Authority: \$87(2)(5) claimed that as a result of the entry and search, her front door was damaged and that several items in \$87(2)(5) room, including gla and trophies, were broken.	•	
Abuse of Authority: \$\frac{857(2)(b)}{2}\$ made a general request to see the warrant to all of officers in her residence and PO3 responded by saying, "I don't have to show you shi (encl. 8d). As per officer testimony, it was confirmed that PO Michels, the executing officer, also had a copy of the warrant, but never entered the residence. The Entry Supervisor, Sgt. Milligan, confirmed that he had what he thought was the only copy of the search warrant and was on the scene \$\frac{857(2)(b)}{2}\$ stated that multiple officers pointed guns at them as they initially entered and went through the house \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ claimed that as a result of the entry and search, her front door was damaged and that several items in \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ room, including gla and trophies, were broken.		Findings and Recommendations
officers in her residence and PO3 responded by saying, "I don't have to show you shi (encl. 8d). As per officer testimony, it was confirmed that PO Michels, the executing officer, also had a copy of the warrant, but never entered the residence. The Entry Supervisor, Sgt. Milligan, confirmed that he had what he thought was the only copy of the search warrant and was on the scene \$87(2)(9) Force: \$87(2)(6) and \$87(2)(6) stated that multiple officers pointed guns at them as they initially entered and went through the house \$87(2)(9) Abuse of Authority: \$87(2)(6) claimed that as a result of the entry and search, her front door was damaged and that several items in \$87(2)(6) room, including gla and trophies, were broken.	i	ions Not Pleaded
them as they initially entered and went through the house \$87(2)(9) Abuse of Authority: \$87(2)(6) claimed that as a result of the entry and search, her front door was damaged and that several items in \$87(2)(6) room, including gla and trophies, were broken. \$87(2)(9)		officer, also had a copy of the warrant, but never entered the residence. The Entry Supervisor, Sgt. Milligan, confirmed that he had what he thought was the only copy of
front door was damaged and that several items in \$87(2)(b) room, including gla and trophies, were broken. \$87(2)(9)		
front door was damaged and that several items in \$87(2)(b) room, including gla and trophies, were broken. \$87(2)(g)	I	
		front door was damaged and that several items in \$87(2)(b) room, including glass and trophies, were broken. \$87(2)(9)
8d).		(engal)

Page 3 CCRB Case # 201405096

Queens. It is undisputed that a team of officers from the 113th Precinct entered and searched the private home located at in Queens. The investigation obtained a copy of a valid search warrant, \$87(2)(b) granting permission for the officers to enter and search said location for firearms, associated paraphernalia, and narcotics (encl. 13a). A search warrant issued by a New York City criminal court may be executed pursuant to its terms. New York State Criminal Procedure Law 690.20 (encl. 1f). Allegation B – Discourtesy: An officer spoke discourteously to §87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) According to \$87(2)(b) an officer, PO3, described as white man, 5' 9", balding, with a stocky build, wearing glasses, told \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) "You know what the fuck we are here for! We are going to start tearing shit up! I'm going to arrest your mother and sister if you don't show us where the shit is" (encl. 8d). Additionally, PO3 told §87(2)(b) "Shut the fuck up. You know why we're fucking here. Don't play fucking games with us" (encl. 6d). Later, while the family was handcuffed and waiting in the living room, asked PO3 for the warrant. In response, PO3 told her, "We don't have to show you shit" (encl. 8d). § 87(2)(b) did not provide a statement to the CCRB on the advice of his attorney, § 87(2)(b) (encl. 18m). From the 113th Precinct pre-execution plan for the search warrant, three officers were identified as possible subject officers based upon physical appearance: PO Michael Ferrari, PO Anthony Rizzo, and PO Matthew Lewis (encl. 15a). On January 16, 2015, a photo array involving photos of PO Rizzo and PO Lewis was shown to \$87(2)(b) who correctly identified PO Lewis as being present during the incident, but did not identify him as the one who made the discourteous statements. The officer from Lineup #42176 that [3] selected as the subject officer was a filler officer who was not present for the incident (encl. 5b, 8g-k). On Lineup #42177, § 87(2)(b) identified PO Lewis as being present for the incident, but did not identify him as the subject officer. Additionally, PO Rizzo and PO Lewis are identified on the pre-execution plan as

Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: Officers entered and searched

Page 4 **CCRB Case # 201405096**

PO Michael Ferrari was interviewed because he matched the description provided by and is listed on the pre-execution plan as inside security. However, during his CCRB statement, PO Ferrari stated that during the tactical meeting he was reassigned to side security and never

side and rear security, respectively (encl. 15a).

entered the house at any point (encl. 10e). There are no other officers listed on the pre-execution plan that fit the description and have assignments that would place them inside of the house during the incident (15a). Allegation C – Abuse of Authority: Sgt. Derrick Milligan failed to show a search warrant to § 87(2)(b) During his CCRB interview, Sgt. Milligan stated that as the Entry Supervisor, he was the only officer in possession of a copy of the search warrant during the search of §87(2)(6) (encl. 9c). In PO Michels' subsequent interview; he stated that he too was in possession of a copy of the warrant (encl. 11e). However, between Sgt. Milligan and PO Michels, only Sgt. Milligan entered the home and interacted with the occupants. PO Michels stated that he only performed front security, never stepped foot inside of the home, and did not interact with any civilians on that day (encl. 9c and 11e). Upon entering the home, Sgt. Milligan stated he saw § 87(2)(b) and her entire family in handcuffs, sitting in the living room. Sgt. Milligan did not hear any member of the household request to see a copy of the warrant. However, at no point during the execution of the warrant did Sgt. Milligan show \$87(2)(b) or any other member of her family, a copy of the search warrant (encl. 9c and 9f). Sgt. Milligan thought that he was the only officer at the scene in possession of a copy of the search warrant. § 37(2)(0) confirmed that she saw a black officer enter her residence, which was identified by the investigation as Sgt. Milligan, and never testified that he presented a warrant (encl. 8d). The member of the service executing the search warrant shall, when able to do so safely, show a copy of the search warrant to any of the occupants of the premises. NYPD Patrol Guide, Procedure 212-105 (encl. 1a-e). Allegation D – Abuse of Authority: Officers searched §87(2)(b) Following the entry into the house, § 87(2)(b) stated she saw six plainclothes officers search a blue sedan belonging to \$87(2)(b) (encl. 7e). § 87(2)(b) confirmed that her car was a

Page 5 **CCRB Case # 201405096**

white men of various heights, with sister, \$87(2)(6) also stated that a search of the car were a mix of white and black mer	one looking Italian ar was outside happened, but could on	nd another wearing a hat (end with her during the car so	ncl.7e). earch. She
In his CCRB interview, Sgt. Millig officers search the vehicle. PO Fer witnessing any other officer do so search of any vehicle (encl. 13a).	rari and PO Michels b	oth denied searching any ve	ehicle or
§ 87(2)(g)			
Pod:			
100.			
Investigator:			
Signature	Print	Date	-
Supervisor:			
Title/Signature	Print	Date	
.			
Reviewer:Title/Signature	Print	Date	_
· ·			
Reviewer:	Print	<u></u> Date	_
Tide/Signature	1 11111	Date	