CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Griffin Sherbert		Squad #7	201810732	☐ Abuse	O.L.	☑ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Tuesday, 12/18/2018 8:10 PM		front of § 87(2)(b)		25	6/18/2020	2/2/2021
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCF	RB
Tue, 12/18/2018 9:38 PM		IAB	Phone	Thu, 12/27	7/2018 11:05 AM	[
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	SS			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Taylor Young	31898	955683	025 PCT			
2. POM Jonathan Trahan	21000	955590	025 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM Jonathan Cannizzaro	04278	951588	025 PCT			
2. POM Daniel Traylor	02758	961442	025 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.POM Taylor Young	Force: Police Officer Taylor Young used physical force against § 87(2)(b)					
B.POM Jonathan Trahan	Force: Police Officer Jonathan Trahan used physical force against § 87(2)(b)					

Case Summary

On December 18, 2018, Sergeant Michael Disanto of the 25 Precinct, called the IAB Command Center, to report that \$87(2)(b) complained of pain and an abrasion to his left shoulder after fleeing Police Officer Taylor Young and Police Officer Jonathan Trahan, both of the 25th Precinct, who employed a forcible takedown pursuant to his arrest. On December 27, 2018, the CCRB received this complaint from IAB. The CCRB had only § 87(2)(b) s name from the IAB log, and independently obtained his date of birth and potential mailing address from his arrest report. On January 2, 2019, a LexisNexis database search was conducted, which revealed four additional potential mailing addresses, five potential phone numbers, and seven potential email addresses associated with On January 3, 2019, calls were made to each of \$87(2)(b) s five potential phone numbers, however, four of the numbers were not in service, and the last was claimed to be a wrong number by the unidentified male who answered and refused to provide his name. That same day, letters were mailed to each of [887(2)(b)] s five potential mailing addresses. On January 14, 2019, \$87(2)(6) called the CCRB, provided his contact information and a brief phone statement, and scheduled an interview for January 16, 2019. On January 16, 2019, failed to appear for his scheduled interview without giving prior notice. That same day, a second letter was mailed to \$87(2)(6) s confirmed mailing address. On January 17, 2019, a second call was made to \$87(2)(6) however, the call was disconnected within seconds. The undersigned immediately called back, however, the phone did not ring and immediately forwarded to a voice mailbox, where a voicemail message was left for regarding his missed appointment. On January 22, 2019, a third call was made to \$87(2)(b) wherein he said he forgot about his previously scheduled interview, and asked to be called the following day in order to schedule an interview. On January 23, 2019, a fourth call was made to \$87(2)(b) scheduled his second interview appointment for January 25, 2019. On January 25, 2019, I failed to appear for his second scheduled interview without giving prior notice. The CCRB obtained several Body-Worn Camera ("BWC") videos that capture portions of the incident. A January 28, 2019 search of the NYC Department of Correction Inmate Lookup system revealed that § 87(2)(b) is not incarcerated. § 87(2)(g)

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201810732

Squad No.:	<u></u>		
Investigator:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

CCRB Case # 201810732