

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
ONE HOGAN PLACE
New York, N. Y. 10013
(212) 335-9000**

OFFICER: Jonathan Goenner
TAX NUMBER: 941 821

DISCLOSURE ADVISORY

For the person named above, whom the People may call as a witness, please be advised as follows.

1. Jonathan Goenner is a named defendant in the civil action Lexie Andre Peak v. City of New York, 161 163/2014, filed in New York Supreme Court, for an incident that occurred on April 20, 2012.
2. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated an allegation that on January 16, 2013, the witness did fail to appear for his scheduled hearing at the Manhattan North Traffic Violations Bureau.
3. In 2017, Officer Goenner and two other officers were involved in the arrest of a defendant and the recovery of a firearm from the defendant's vehicle. The search and the arrest were based on information provided to the police by an informant. Following the arrest, one of the two other officers was interviewed over the telephone by the assistant district attorney assigned to draft a criminal complaint against the defendant. During the interview, that officer withheld from the ADA the existence of an informant in the matter, and misled the assistant into believing that the case had begun simply as a routine matter of illegal parking. That officer stated that he, Officer Goenner, and the third officer had been on regular patrol, and that he had initially planned on telling the defendant, whose vehicle was parked by a fire hydrant, just to move the vehicle. While the officers were assigned to patrol that day, they were at that particular location because of the information received from the informant, and were waiting to act on that information. Several weeks after the arrest, Officer Goenner was interviewed at the District Attorney's Office by the same assistant district attorney, who was now assigned to prosecute the case. During this interview, Officer Goenner denied having known at the time of the arrest, and knowing at the time of his interview, about the involvement of an informant in the matter. These denials were false. The third officer in the case, a sergeant, was also interviewed at this time, and made the same false denials. Shortly after Officer Goenner and the sergeant left the District Attorney's Office, the sergeant had a telephone conversation with the assistant district attorney, during which the sergeant admitted that in fact he and Officer Goenner did know and had known about the existence of an informant in the case, and that what they had just said in this regard during the interview was false.

Various publicly available websites and databases contain disciplinary information for certain law enforcement officers. Information in such databases about this officer is not necessarily included in this advisory.

Any information herein regarding civil lawsuits against an officer is not necessarily a complete list of civil lawsuits in which that officer is a defendant.

Allegations of misconduct that have not been substantiated and are not pending (including, but not limited to, findings of unsubstantiated, unfounded, and exonerated), and allegations of technical infractions, are not subject to disclosure and are not included in this advisory.

The decision to include information in this advisory does not represent a conclusion by the People that it is required to be disclosed.

The People reserve the right to oppose or move to limit the use of any information included herein or disclosed in the future.

If you have any questions, please contact the Assistant District Attorney assigned to the case on which you are receiving this advisory.

Date: November 23, 2020