

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: John Butler	Team: Squad #5	CCRB Case #: 201500629	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Wednesday, 01/21/2015 11:45 AM	Location of Incident: 115th Avenue and 168th Street	Precinct: 113	18 Mo. SOL 7/21/2016	EO SOL 7/21/2016	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 01/23/2015 9:31 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 01/28/2015 9:31 AM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address			
Witness(es)	Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command		
1. POM Matthew Lewis	25652	941054	113 PCT		
Officer(s)	Allegation			Investigator Recommendation	
A.POM Matthew Lewis	Abuse: PO Matthew Lewis stopped the car in which § 87(2)(b) was an occupant.				

Case Summary

§ 87(2)(b) filed this complaint via phone with IAB on January 23, 2015, generating log number 15-1638. The complaint was received at the CCRB on January 28, 2015, via log number 2015-1781. § 87(2)(b) identified PO Matthew Lewis as the subject officer because PO Lewis provided his name and shield number to § 87(2)(b) during the incident. Therefore, Allegation A is pleaded against PO Lewis.

On January 29, 2015, a please call letter was sent to § 87(2)(b) and it was not returned to the CCRB by the US Postal Service. On the same day, § 87(2)(b) was contacted via phone, and he provided a brief phone statement. During this call, § 87(2)(b) was scheduled for an interview on February 5, 2015. § 87(2)(b) failed to appear for his appointment and made no attempt to contact the CCRB ahead of time to cancel or reschedule. On February 5, 2015, a missed appointment letter was sent to § 87(2)(b) and it was not returned to the CCRB by the US Postal Service. On the same day, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) and a voicemail was left. On February 6, 2015, § 87(2)(b) was contacted via phone and was scheduled for an interview on February 12, 2015. § 87(2)(b) failed to appear for his second scheduled appointment and made no attempt to contact the CCRB ahead of time to cancel or reschedule. On February 12, 2015, a New York City Department of Correction search revealed that § 87(2)(b) was not incarcerated.

During the IAB intake call, § 87(2)(b) listed § 87(2)(b) as a witness to the incident, provided her address, but did not provide a phone number for her. On January 29, 2015, a please call letter was sent to § 87(2)(b) and it was not returned to the CCRB by the US Postal Service. On January 29, 2015, § 87(2)(b) was contacted via phone, and he provided a phone number for § 87(2)(b). On February 3, 2015, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) and a voicemail was left. On February 4, 2015, § 87(2)(b) was contacted via phone at which time she provided a brief phone statement and was scheduled for an interview for February 5, 2015. § 87(2)(b) failed to appear at the CCRB for her appointment and made no attempt to contact the CCRB ahead of time to cancel or reschedule. On February 5, 2015, a missed appointment letter was sent to § 87(2)(b) and it was not returned to the CCRB by the US Postal Service. On February 6, 2015, § 87(2)(b) was contacted via phone and was scheduled for a second interview on February 12, 2015. § 87(2)(b) failed to appear for her second scheduled appointment and made no attempt to contact the CCRB ahead of time to cancel or reschedule. On February 12, 2015, a New York City Department of Correction search revealed that § 87(2)(b) was not incarcerated.

§ 87(2)(g)

Team: _____

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Date

Supervisor: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date