CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	M	Force	П	Discourt.	П	U.S.
Ben Gilmore		Squad #9	201601121		Abuse		O.L.	□	Injury
		7							
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		P	recinct:	18	Mo. SOL]	EO SOL
Tuesday, 02/09/2016 8:00 PM		§ 87(2)(b) 48th Precinct stationho	§ 87(2)(b) puse		48	8	/9/2017	}	8/9/2017
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	:	Date/Time	Rece	eived at CCl	RB	
Wed, 02/10/2016 1:17 PM		CCRB	Phone		Wed, 02/1	0/201	6 1:17 PM	[
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess						
Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess						
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command						
1. POF Sandra Martinez	4343	955139	PBBX						
2. POM Darrell Lowe	31849	955099	PBBX						
3. LT Luis Crespo	00000	903709	PBBX						
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	Io Tax No	Cmd Name						
1. SGT Yubany Campuzano	00711	917371	048 PCT						
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inve	stiga	tor Recon	nme	endation
A.POF Sandra Martinez	Force: Post 87(2)(b)	O Sandra Martinez used	physical force agair	ıst					
B.POM Darrell Lowe	Force: Po	O Darrell Lowe used ph	ysical force against	§ 87(2) h)					
C.POF Sandra Martinez	Abuse: P § 87(2)(b)	PO Sandra Martinez enter in the Bronx.	ered § 87(2)(b)						
D.POM Darrell Lowe	Force: Po	O Darrell Lowe used ph	ysical force against	§ 87(2) h)					
E.POM Darrell Lowe	Abuse: P § 87(2)(b)	PO Darrell Lowe entered in the Bronx.	§ 87(2)(b)						
F.POM Darrell Lowe	Force: Po	O Darrell Lowe used pe	pper spray against	37(2)					
G.POM Darrell Lowe	Force: Po	O Darrell Lowe used ph	ysical force against	§ 87(2) h)					
H.POM Darrell Lowe	Abuse: P	O Darrell Lowe threater	ned to arrest § 87(2)(b)						
I.LT Luis Crespo	Abuse: L § 87(2)(b)	Lieutenant Luis Crespo a	authorized the strip-s	earc	h of				

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
J.LT Luis Crespo	Abuse: Lieutenant Luis Crespo authorized the strip-search o	f
K.POM Darrell Lowe	Abuse: At the 48th Precinct stationhouse, PO Darrell Lowe strip-searched § 87(2)(b)	
L.POM Darrell Lowe	Abuse: At the 48th Precinct stationhouse, PO Darrell Lowe strip-searched § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

called the Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) On February 10, 2016, § 87(2)(b) and filed this complaint. Video footage captures the incident. On February 9, 2016, at approximately 8:00 p.m. §87(2)(b) and his friend, § 87(2)(b) were in the fourth floor hallway, outside of in the resides. PO Sandra Martinez and PO Darrell Lowe, both from Bronx, where § 87(2)(b) Patrol Borough Bronx, were conducting a vertical patrol of the building, as there is a Clean Halls sign posted in front of the location (Board Review 01). PO Lowe and PO Martinez arrived on the fourth floor via elevator, where they encountered § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) were allegedly in possession of a lit marijuana cigarette (Board Review 02 and 03). PO Lowe and PO Martinez approached § 87(2)(b) walking directly past § 87(2)(b) alleged that PO Martinez jumped on his back (Allegation A). § 87(2)(6) back-pedaled towards \$87(2)(b) as PO Martinez held onto \$87(2)(b) and PO Lowe attempted help PO Martinez stop him. The front door of \$87(2)(b) by someone inside the apartment. § 87(2)(b) alleged that PO Lowe swiped at his feet, causing him to fall inside the apartment (Allegation B). §87(2)(b) fell to the ground and PO Martinez fell on top of him, entering the apartment (Allegation C). PO Lowe stepped just inside the apartment as he attempted to pull § 87(2)(b) by his legs (Allegations D and E). Video footage captures this portion of the incident. 201601121 20160509 1001 DM.mp4 s younger brother, mother and grandmother—§ 87(2)(b) respectively-- were among the civilians inside of § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) stood outside of § 87(2)(b) and filmed the incident on a cellphone. § 87(2)(b) was secured in handcuffs by PO Martinez. § 87(2)(b) alleged that after the handcuffs were secured, PO Lowe used pepper spray against him (Allegation F). It is undisputed that PO Lowe used pepper spray against § 87(2)(b) but video footage shows that § 87(2)(b) was not secured in handcuffs when PO Lowe used pepper spray against him. 201601121 20160509 1038 DM.mp4 PO Lowe exited \$87(2)(b) and asked \$87(2)(b) "You filmed all this? You're going into cuffs for now." §87(2)(b) responded, "Huh," and PO Lowe replied, "Until we get this settled down, you're going in cuffs." PO Lowe placed \$37(2)(b) in handcuffs. \$37(2)(b) was ultimately arrested by PO Lowe for \$87(2)(b) (Board Review 03)

Page 2

§ 87(2)(g) § 87(2)(b)	Video footage captures the above interaction between PO Lowe and
201	1601121_20160509_1039_DM.mp4
§ 87(2)(b) attemp § 87(2)(b) Lowe 1	was secured in handcuffs, \$87(2)(b) retrieved money from one of \$37(2)(b) retrieved money from one of \$37(2)(b) retrieved to retrieve the money out of \$87(2)(b) shand (Allegation G). \$87(2)(b) alleged that PO Lowe said, "This time I'm going to arrest all of y'all," (Allegation H). PO retrieved the money from \$87(2)(b)
Luis C § 87(2)(b) and § 87 02 and	(Allegations K and L). The strip-searches yielded negative results (Board Review
§ 87(2)(b)	was charged with § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(a) 160.50
	. All charges against both §87(2)(b) and §87(2)(b)
were a	ropped. § 87(2)(a) 160.50
§ 87(2)(g)	
•	Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories This case was not eligible for mediation, because \$87(2)(6) retained an attorney for the purposes of filing a civil law suit regarding this incident (Board Review 05). [\$87(2)(6)] [\$8 86(1)(3)&(4)] [\$87(2)(6)]
I	

	• The New York City Comptroller's Office indicated that neither \$87(2)(b) nor
	\$ 87(2)(b) nor \$ 87(2)(b) nor \$ 87(2)(b) filed a Notice of Claim
	regarding this incident (Board Review 09).
	Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories
	• This is the second CCRB complaint involving an interaction between PO Lowe and
	(and others) at the Bronx, within a period of
	three weeks (Board Review 10).
	o CCRB case 201600739 is still pending board review, but the undersigned recommended that seven allegations against PO Lowe be substantiated, including a strip-search of \$87(2)(b)
	further investigation, as there was evidence suggesting that PO Lowe provided a false official statement regarding the incident in question in CCRB 201600739 (Board Review 11).
	• This is the second CCRB complaint filed by \$87(2)(b) (Board Review 12).
	• This is the second CCRB complaint in which § 87(2)(b) and
	are involved (Board Review 12).
	• PO Sandra Martinez has been a member of service for two years, and this is the first CCRB complaint in which PO Martinez is a subject officer.
	• PO Darrell Lowe has been a member of service for two years, and this is the third CCRB
	complaint in which PO Lowe is a subject officer. He has been the subject of 13 previous
	allegations, 10 of which are pending board review. None of the remaining three
	allegations were substantiated.
	• As mentioned above, PO Lowe previously interacted with \$87(2)(b) and
	at the same location, approximately three weeks prior to this incident.
	• Lieutenant Luis Crespo has been a member of service for 22 years and he has been the
	subject of three previous CCRB allegations, none of which were substantiated, and none
	regarded a strip-search.
	regulated a strip search.
	Findings and Recommendations
Alla	egations not pleaded
•	Force: §87(2)(b) alleged, when she filed the complaint, that PO Martinez choked
•	\$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(c) did not allege this during her sworn statement, nor did
	any other civilian, including \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(g)
	Force: § 87(2)(b) alleged, when she filed the complaint, that PO Martinez threw § 87(2)(b)
	to the ground inside her apartment. She did not allege this during her sworn
	statement, nor did any other civilian, including § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(g)

•	Force: \$87(2)(b) alleged, when she filed the complaint, that PO Lowe threw \$87(2)(b)
	to the ground inside her apartment. She did not allege this during her sworn statement, nor did any other civilian, including \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(g)
•	Abuse of Authority: \$87(2)(b) alleged that PO Lowe threatened to arrest all occupants of her apartment. No other civilian alleged that PO Lowe threatened to arrest them, and the only other civilian who reported that a threat of arrest was made was \$87(2)(b) who testified that PO Lowe's threat was directed only to \$87(2)(b)
•	Discourtesy : In video footage provided to the CCRB by § 87(2)(b) PO Lowe may be heard saying, "You're getting arrested this fucking time," and later, "Well what the fuck is he doing?" No civilian alleged that an officer used discourteous language during the incident.
•	Abuse of Authority: A refusal to provide shield number allegation was not pleaded against PO Martinez. \$87(2)(b) alleged that she asked PO Martinez for her shield number, and PO Martinez did not provide it, but then \$87(2)(b) read it from PO Martinez's uniform. PO Martinez acknowledged that \$87(2)(b) asked for her shield number, and she testified that by the time she had the opportunity to provide to \$87(2)(b) was saying her shield number aloud. \$87(2)(b) provided PO Martinez's shield number when she filed this complaint. \$87(2)(b)
•	§ 87(2)(g)
	egation A – Force: PO Sandra Martinez used physical force against \$87(2)(b) egation B – Force: PO Darrell Lowe used physical force against \$87(2)(b)
	While conducting a vertical patrol of in the Bronx, PO Martinez and
PC	Lowe reportedly observed \$87(2)(b) in possession of a lit marijuana cigarette. \$87(2)(b) was arrested and charged regarding this reported observation (Board Review 02), and
a c	igar containing marijuana was vouchered as arrest evidence (Board Review 13).

alleged (Board Review 14) that when PO Martinez approached, prior to saying anything, she jumped on his back. [\$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\] alleged that as he made it to the front door of his apartment, PO Lowe tripped him, causing him to fall to the ground. [\$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\] alleged (Board Review 15) that PO Martinez first grabbed [\$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\] by the front upper body, and [\$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\] made his way towards his apartment before he fell inside.
Both PO Martinez (Board Review 16) and PO Lowe (Board Review 17) testified that PO Martinez's first physical contact with was grabbing him by his arm, and neither reported that PO Martinez jumped on his back or that PO Lowe tripped 887(2)(5)
Video footage shows that within a few seconds of PO Martinez approaching \$87(2)(b) on the stairwell, \$87(2)(b) back-pedals towards his apartment, with PO Martinez positioned in front of him, appearing to hold onto his arm. \$87(2)(b) then falls into his apartment while PO Lowe was pulling on one of \$87(2)(b) s legs.
201601121_20160509_1001_DM.mp4 At the scene of a police incident an officer must use the minimum necessary force, Patrol Guide Procedure 203-11 (Board Review 18). [887(2)(9)]
Allegation C – Abuse of Authority: PO Sandra Martinez entered in the Bronx. Allegation D – Force: PO Darrell Lowe used physical force against Allegation E – Abuse of Authority: PO Darrell Lowe entered
, in the Bronx. S87(2)(b) forced his way from PO Martinez and PO Lowe to the front door of his apartment, in the Bronx. Someone from inside the apartment opened the door, and S87(2)(b) and PO Martinez fell to the ground, partially Page 6

inside the apartment. PO Lowe pulled on the leg of \$87(2)(0) but \$87(2)(0) remained partially inside the apartment. PO Lowe moved partially inside the apartment while still holding the leg of \$87(2)(0) who was not yet secured in handcuffs. It is undisputed that neither PO Lowe nor PO Martinez searched the apartment. At the scene of a police incident an officer must use the minimum necessary force, Patrol Guide Procedure 203-11 (Board Review 18).
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation F – Force: PO Darrell Lowe used pepper spray against \$87(2)(b) It is undisputed that PO Lowe used pepper spray one time against \$87(2)(b) alleged that PO Lowe used the pepper spray after he had been secured in handcuffs. \$87(2)(b) (Board Review 19), \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) (Board Review 19)
20) each testified that \$87(2)(5) was already in handcuffs at the point when PO Lowe used the pepper spray against him.
PO Lowe and PO Martinez both testified that \$87(2)(b) was not in handcuffs at
the point when PO Lowe used the pepper spray against him. This was corroborated by [87(2)(b)] (Board Review 21) and video footage which was provided by [87(2)(b)]
201601121_20160509_1038_DM.mp4
If PO Lowe had used the pepper spray after \$87(2)(b) was already secured in
handcuffs, as he alleged, the use of the pepper spray likely would not have been appropriate.
However, video footage shows that \$87(2)(b) was not in handcuffs at the time that PO
Lowe used pepper spray against him. The video footage shows that \$87(2)(5) had been,
and continued to resist arrest at the point when PO Lowe used the pepper spray against him. PO Lowe's use of pepper spray in this context, as the evidence indicates actually occurred, was
justifiable.
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation G – Force: PO Darrell Lowe used physical force against \$87(2)(0)

After both \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) were secured in handcuffs, \$87(2)(b) retrieved money from the pocket of \$87(2)(b) Video footage shows that PO Lowe wrapped both his arms around \$87(2)(b) from behind, and attempted to physically retrieve the money from \$87(2)(b) shand. After approximately 30 seconds of struggling with \$87(2)(b) —grabbing \$87(2)(b) from behind with both of his arms—PO Lowe successfully retrieved the money. It is undisputed that PO Lowe's use of force against ended as soon as he retrieved the money.
201601121_20160509_1047_DM.mp4
At the scene of a police incident, an officer must use the minimum necessary force, <u>Patrol Guide Procedure 203-11</u> (Board Review 18).
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation H – Abuse of Authority: PO Darrell Lowe threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b) When \$87(2)(b)
201601121_20160510_1018_DM.mp4 § 87(2)(g)
Allegation L – Abuse of Authority: At the 48 th Precinct stationhouse, PO Darrell Lowe strip-searched \$87(2)(b) Allegation M – Abuse of Authority: At the 48 th Precinct stationhouse, PO Darrell Lowe strip-searched \$87(2)(b) It is undisputed that PO Lowe strip-searched \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) because they went inside their pockets when he first observed them, although he did not recall which pockets inside of which

they went; because the building is known for drug activity; because approximately \$1000 USD
was recovered between \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) —PO Lowe did not recall how much
money was recovered from each individual; and that marijuana was involved. PO Lowe testified
that there was no other reason to strip-search either \$87(2)(b) or \$87(2)(b)
PO Martinez testified that she did not observe anything in particular which led her to
believe that either \$87(2)(b) or \$87(2)(b) was concealing contraband. PO Martinez
denied observing either \$87(2)(b) or \$87(2)(b) going in or out of any pockets.
The marijuana cigarette that led to the arrests of \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) was
recovered at the scene, prior to when the strip-searches were conducted. Prior to the strip-
searches, procedural frisks and searches of \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) were conducted at
the scene of the arrest and in front of the desk at the 48 th Precinct stationhouse, and each search
yielded negative results for any illicit item.
\$241 USD was vouchered under \$87(2)(b) s name (Board Review 23), and \$375
was vouchered under \$87(2)(6) s name (Board Review 24).
Both strip-searches yielded negative results (Board Reviews 02 and 03)
An officer may conduct a strip-search when the officer possesses reasonable suspicion
that the arrestee is concealing evidence underneath clothing, <u>People v. Hall</u> , 10 NY3d 303 (2008)
(Board Review 25).
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation J – Abuse of Authority: At the 48 th Precinct stationhouse, Lieutenant Luis
Crespo authorized the strip-search of §87(2)(b)
Crespo authorized the strip-search of §87(2)(b) Allegation K – Abuse of Authority: At the 48 th Precinct stationhouse, Lieutenant Luis
Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b) Allegation K – Abuse of Authority: At the 48th Precinct stationhouse, Lieutenant Luis Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b)
Crespo authorized the strip-search of §87(2)(b) Allegation K – Abuse of Authority: At the 48 th Precinct stationhouse, Lieutenant Luis
Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b) Allegation K – Abuse of Authority: At the 48 th Precinct stationhouse, Lieutenant Luis Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b) Lt. Crespo (Board Review 27) acknowledged authorizing the strip-searches of both 887(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b)
Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b) Allegation K – Abuse of Authority: At the 48th Precinct stationhouse, Lieutenant Luis Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b) Lt. Crespo (Board Review 27) acknowledged authorizing the strip-searches of both
Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b) Allegation K – Abuse of Authority: At the 48 th Precinct stationhouse, Lieutenant Luis Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b) Lt. Crespo (Board Review 27) acknowledged authorizing the strip-searches of both 887(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b)
Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b) Allegation K – Abuse of Authority: At the 48 th Precinct stationhouse, Lieutenant Luis Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b) Lt. Crespo (Board Review 27) acknowledged authorizing the strip-searches of both 887(2)(b) Lt. Crespo made a notation in the command log (Board Review 26) that \$87(2)(b) and
Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b) Allegation K – Abuse of Authority: At the 48 th Precinct stationhouse, Lieutenant Luis Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b) Lt. Crespo (Board Review 27) acknowledged authorizing the strip-searches of both \$87(2)(b) Lt. Crespo made a notation in the command log (Board Review 26) that \$87(2)(b) and were strip-searched, because PO Lowe believed they were hiding contraband
Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b) Allegation K – Abuse of Authority: At the 48 th Precinct stationhouse, Lieutenant Luis Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b) Lt. Crespo (Board Review 27) acknowledged authorizing the strip-searches of both \$87(2)(b) Lt. Crespo made a notation in the command log (Board Review 26) that \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) were strip-searched, because PO Lowe believed they were hiding contraband based on the defendants' history.
Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b) Allegation K – Abuse of Authority: At the 48 th Precinct stationhouse, Lieutenant Luis Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b) Lt. Crespo (Board Review 27) acknowledged authorizing the strip-searches of both and \$87(2)(b) Lt. Crespo made a notation in the command log (Board Review 26) that \$87(2)(b) and were strip-searched, because PO Lowe believed they were hiding contraband based on the defendants' history. Lt. Crespo testified that the "defendants' history" notation referred to PO Lowe telling
Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b) Allegation K – Abuse of Authority: At the 48 th Precinct stationhouse, Lieutenant Luis Crespo authorized the strip-search of \$87(2)(b) Lt. Crespo (Board Review 27) acknowledged authorizing the strip-searches of both and \$87(2)(b) Lt. Crespo made a notation in the command log (Board Review 26) that \$87(2)(b) and were strip-searched, because PO Lowe believed they were hiding contraband based on the defendants' history. Lt. Crespo testified that the "defendants' history" notation referred to PO Lowe telling him that he had previously interacted with one of the defendants. Lt. Crespo did not learn any of

When asked why he authorized the strip-searches, Lt. Crespo testified, "Basically, right from the beginning, like I mentioned, the location of the building, a Clean Halls location, in the

Page 9

impact zone for the 48th Precinct—it's problematic. We have a lot of perpetrators that hang out in front of that building. Once they see us, they run inside of the building, smoking. 24 hours a day, I can tell you. I can go there at 4:00 in the morning and they're still out there, drinking and smoking. The officer indicated to me that he felt that the defendants had the time, based on what he observed, acting suspicious, as far as some movements prior to them getting to the landing. Also, large sums of money. Definitely doing drugs in there, that's obvious. And the fact that there was a history with one of them. And the movements from the scene back to the stationhouse, in the back seat of the RMP."

Lt. Crespo testified that PO Lowe told him that \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) were "fumbling around" in the back of the police vehicle, "kind of moving around." Lt. Crespo added that PO Lowe told him that "they might be putting something in their clothing," but he did not know. PO Lowe did not provide any more details regarding these observations. PO Lowe testified to the CCRB that he did not know what if anything \$87(2)(b) or \$87(2)(b) did in the police vehicle.

Lt. Crespo testified that PO Lowe told him that a large sum of money was recovered from and \$87(2)(b) but Lt. Crespo did not know how much money was recovered, nor did he ask or otherwise learn how much money if any was recovered from each individual.

Lt. Crespo testified that PO Lowe told him that \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) and something," or put something in their pockets, but PO Lowe did not provide any more details regarding these statements.

An officer may conduct a strip-search when the officer possesses reasonable suspicion that the arrestee is concealing evidence underneath clothing, <u>People v. Hall</u>, 10 NY3d 303 (2008) (Board Review 25).

§ 87(2)(g)		
		•

Page 10

Squad:			
Investigator: _	Signature	Print	Date
Squad Leader: _	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:	Title/Signature	Print	Date