CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Force	V	Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Kim Mosolf		Team # 5	200206567		Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		P	recinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Saturday, 09/28/2002 6:11 PM		132 Lenox Ave			28	3/	28/2004	3/28/2004
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:		Date/Time	Rece	ived at CCF	RB
Sat, 09/28/2002 6:20 PM		IAB	Phone		Fri, 10/04/2	2002	10:12 AM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess					
		_						
Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess					
(
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. POM Aaron Thorn	17386	907442	032 PCT					
2. POM Johnnie Bonner	20419	881641	032 PCT					
Officer(s)	Allegation	on			Inve	stiga	tor Recon	ımendation
A.POM Aaron Thorn	Force: PO Aaron Thorn used physical force against \$87(2)							
B.POM Aaron Thorn	Force: PO Aaron Thorn used physical force against							
C.POM Aaron Thorn	Force: PO Aaron Thorn used physical force against \$\frac{8}{5}\frac{87(2)}{(5)}\$.							
D.POM Aaron Thorn	Discourtesy: PO Aaron Thorn spoke obscenely and rudely to \$87(2)(6)							
E.POM Aaron Thorn	Discourtesy: PO Aaron Thorn spoke obscenely and rudely to							
F.POM Aaron Thorn	Force: PO Aaron Thorn struck § 87(2)(b) with a nightstick.							
G.POM Johnnie Bonner	Force: PO Johnnie Bonner used physical force against \$\frac{8}{10}\$.							
H.POM Johnnie Bonner	Force: PO Johnnie Bonner used physical force against § 87(2)(b)							

Synopsis

On September 28, 2002 at approximately 6:00 PM, serce) three unidentified civilians and two officers from the 32 nd Manhattan. One male and two females were seated in a ca with his girlfriend and the officers approached to tell them escalating into a physical struggle between the three civilia complaint with IAB and it was referred to the CCRB on O	Precinct in the vicinity of 132 Lenox Avenue in ar. The male was apparently having a dispute to move their vehicle. A verbal dispute began, ans and two officers. §87(2)(b) filed this					
was contacted by phone and by mail. He made 15, 2002, for which he failed to appear and did not call to further attempts at contact.						
The IAB report was received on October 22, 2002. The rehis girlfriend, \$87(2)(0) and her cousin, \$87(2)(0) Thorn and PO Johnnie Bonner. The report included states Numerous efforts were made to contact these victims. \$87(1)(0) made an appointment for himself and the two other victims.	as well as the two officers as PO Aaron ments made by \$87(2)(b) and the three victims. 2)(b) was the only victim to return contact and					
On October 31, 2002, \$37(2)(b) , \$37(2)(b) , and \$37(2)(b) informed that he and the women would be asked to provid and the other victims arrived, however, they had second the instructed them not to give any statements. They expresse asked for contact information or names of their lawyers, them that they must provide this information or come to a wanted to pursue the case or not and they stated that they wanted to pursue the case or not and they stated that they wanted to pursue the case or not and they stated that they wanted to pursue the case or not and they stated that they wanted to pursue the case or not and they stated that they wanted to pursue the case or not and they stated that they wanted to pursue the case or not and they stated that they wanted to pursue the case or not and they stated that they wanted to pursue the case or not and they stated that they wanted to pursue the case or not and they stated that they wanted to pursue the case or not and they stated that they wanted to pursue the case or not and they stated that they wanted to pursue the case or not and they stated that they wanted to pursue the case or not and they stated that they wanted to pursue the case or not and they stated that they wanted to pursue the case or not and they stated that they wanted the case or not and they stated that they wanted to pursue the case or not and they stated that they wanted the case of the	e a formal tape-recorded statement. When he coughts and stated that their lawyers had a wish to speak with their lawyers. When ney could not provide it. It was made clear to decision as soon as possible as to whether they					
All three victims failed to return further efforts at contact. Several attempts were made to contact the involved lawyers, but they did not return phone messages.						
\$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) were also involved as a victim and witness in another CCRB case, \$87(2)(b)						
§ 87(2)(g)						
Investigator:	Date:					
Supervisor:	Date:					
Reviewed by:	Date:					
Reviewed by:	Date:					