

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Owen Godshall	Team: Squad #2	CCRB Case #: 201904945	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Wednesday, 05/29/2019 4:10 PM	Location of Incident: Schenectady Avenue and Saint Johns Place	Precinct: 77	18 Mo. SOL 11/29/2020	EO SOL 7/16/2021	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 06/07/2019 4:14 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 06/07/2019 4:14 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. SGT Gabriel Cuevas	02226	945633	077 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Andrew Tofalli	26431	955584	077 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.SGT Gabriel Cuevas	Abuse: Sergeant Gabriel Cuevas frisked § 87(2)(b)	
B.SGT Gabriel Cuevas	Abuse: Sergeant Gabriel Cuevas frisked § 87(2)(b)	
C.SGT Gabriel Cuevas	Abuse: Sergeant Gabriel Cuevas searched § 87(2)(b)	
D.SGT Gabriel Cuevas	Abuse: Sergeant Gabriel Cuevas searched the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were occupants.	
E.SGT Gabriel Cuevas	Abuse: Sergeant Gabriel Cuevas refused to provide his shield number to § 87(2)(b)	
F.SGT Gabriel Cuevas	Discourtesy: Sergeant Gabriel Cuevas spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	
G.SGT Gabriel Cuevas	Discourtesy: Sergeant Gabriel Cuevas acted discourteously toward § 87(2)(b)	
H.SGT Gabriel Cuevas	Abuse: Sergeant Gabriel Cuevas seized § 87(2)(b) s property.	

Case Summary

On June 7, 2019, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via telephone on behalf of himself and his friend, § 87(2)(b).

At approximately 4:10 p.m. on May 29, 2019, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were driving near the intersection of Schenectady Avenue and St. Johns Place in Brooklyn when they were pulled over for a broken brake light by Sergeant Gabriel Cuevas and Police Officer § 87(2)(b) Tofalli of the 77th Precinct. The officers ordered both civilians to exit the vehicle. When the civilians stepped out, the officers frisked them (**Allegations A and B: Abuse of Authority – Frisk, § 87(2)(g)**). Sergeant Cuevas also searched § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation C: Abuse of Authority – Search, § 87(2)(g)**). Police Officer Tofalli then searched the civilians' vehicle while Sergeant Cuevas stood with them at the rear of the vehicle (**Allegation D: Abuse of Authority – Vehicle search, § 87(2)(g)**). Marijuana and an expandable baton were recovered from the vehicle. § 87(2)(b) was arrested for unlawful possession of marijuana, criminal possession of a weapon and for having a broken brake light (Board Review #01: Arrest Report). Sergeant Cuevas allegedly refused to provide his shield number to § 87(2)(b) after his arrest (**Allegation E: Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide shield number, § 87(2)(g)**). The investigation also determined that, throughout the incident, Sergeant Cuevas spoke and acted in a consistently rude and disrespectful manner towards § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation F: Discourtesy – Demeanor/tone, § 87(2)(g)**; **Allegation G: Discourtesy – Action, § 87(2)(g)**). The officers took custody of § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle and transported it to the 77th Precinct stationhouse (**Allegation H: Abuse of Authority – Seizure of property, § 87(2)(g)**).

§ 87(2)(b) provided two cell phone videos that § 87(2)(b) recorded during the incident. They are attached in IAs #23 and #25 (Board Review #02-03: Cell Phone Video) and summarized in IA #25 (Board Review #04: Video Summary). The investigation also obtained body-worn camera (BWC) footage from Sergeant Cuevas and Police Officer Tofalli, as well as Police Officer Corey Johnson and Police Officer Nathaniel Lester of the 77th Precinct. The BWC footage was attached in IAs #60-61 and #63-65 (Board Review #05-09: BWC Footage) and summarized in IA #70 (Board Review #10: BWC Summary). Subclips from the BWC footage have been attached in IAs #82-85 (Board Review #11-14: BWC Subclips).

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Gabriel Cuevas searched the vehicle in which

§ 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were occupants.

Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Gabriel Cuevas frisked § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (C) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Gabriel Cuevas frisked § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (D) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Gabriel Cuevas searched § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) was interviewed at the CCRB on June 18, 2019. § 87(2)(b) provided a telephone statement on July 8, 2019 (Board Review #15: Telephone Statement). He declined to provide a sworn statement. Sergeant Cuevas and Police Officer Tofalli were interviewed at the CCRB on August 1, 2019.

It is undisputed that the brake light on § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle was broken at the time of the incident. Sergeant Cuevas and Police Officer Tofalli pulled § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) over as a result. § 87(2)(b) the driver, stopped his vehicle in front of a bus stop. Both officers approached the civilians' vehicle, with Police Officer Tofalli going to the driver's side and Sergeant Cuevas going to the passenger's side. Police Officer Tofalli ordered both civilians to exit the vehicle. When the civilians stepped out, Police Officer Tofalli entered the vehicle and searched its passenger cabin. He recovered an expandable baton from the driver's door panel. § 87(2)(b) was arrested and both he and his vehicle were transported to the 77th Precinct stationhouse. There, Police Officer Tofalli conducted a further search of the vehicle. During one of Police Officer Tofalli's two searches, marijuana was recovered from the vehicle.

§ 87(2)(b) stated that at the time his vehicle was pulled over, there two used-up butts from marijuana cigarettes sitting in an ashtray that he stored in the trunk. He had smoked the cigarettes two days prior to the incident, but denied having smoked any further marijuana since then. He denied that he had consumed any illicit substances before the incident or that he was intoxicated or impaired. He was not aware of § 87(2)(b) using any illicit substances or being impaired at the time. He denied that there was any other marijuana in the vehicle besides the two marijuana cigarette butts. He denied that there was any odor of marijuana emanating from anywhere inside his vehicle. All four of the windows on § 87(2)(b)'s vehicles were rolled down. When § 87(2)(b) was ordered to exit the vehicle, he told Police Officer Tofalli that he did not consent to let his vehicle be searched. He made no mention of being frisked or searched when he exited the vehicle and denied that officers searched his person until later, when he was transported to the 77th Precinct stationhouse. § 87(2)(b) did not watch Police Officer Tofalli as he searched the vehicle's passenger and did not know the extent of Police Officer Tofalli's search, but he denied seeing Police Officer Tofalli enter the trunk. He did not see Police Officer Tofalli remove anything from his vehicle beyond his baton during the vehicle search by the bus stop. After he was lodged at the stationhouse, § 87(2)(b) was informed that marijuana was recovered from his vehicle. Because the only marijuana in the vehicle was in the trunk, and the trunk was not searched by the bus stop, § 87(2)(b) concluded that officers had conducted a second vehicle search after transporting his vehicle to the stationhouse. He did not witness any such searches himself.

§ 87(2)(b) denied that either he or § 87(2)(b) had any marijuana with them at the time of the incident. He denied seeing officers remove marijuana from the vehicle at any point. He did not state whether there was any odor of marijuana emanating from the vehicle. When § 87(2)(b) exited the vehicle, Sergeant Cuevas frisked him by patting the exterior of his jacket and pants pockets. He denied that Sergeant Cuevas reached into his pockets or took anything from him. § 87(2)(b) was not arrested and therefore was not at the stationhouse when the second vehicle search was conducted.

Sergeant Cuevas stated that § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle was pulled over solely due to its broken light. He noted that all of the windows on § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle were rolled up when he and Police Officer Tofalli approached it. Police Officer Tofalli asked § 87(2)(b) to roll the windows down. Once he did, Sergeant Cuevas detected an odor of burning marijuana emanating from inside the vehicle. He did not make any other observations about the vehicle or its occupants

to suggest that there was marijuana or other contraband in the vehicle. Sergeant Cuevas directed Police Officer Tofalli to have the civilians exit the vehicle so that they could search it for marijuana. Sergeant Cuevas stated that he and Police Officer searched both civilians when they exited the vehicle. He denied that they were frisked. These searches took place at the rear of the vehicle, not the side of the vehicle. He did not recall which officer searched which civilian or what specific parts of the civilians' bodies were searched. The searches were conducted to investigate the odor of marijuana emanating from the vehicle. Nothing was recovered during these searches. Police Officer Tofalli then searched the passenger cabin of § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle. Sergeant Cuevas did not see what specific areas within the vehicle Police Officer Tofalli searched. Police Officer Tofalli retrieved an expandable baton, a bag containing marijuana, and a lit marijuana cigarette from the passenger cabin. Sergeant Cuevas did not see where in the vehicle Police Officer Tofalli found these objects. He did not know how much marijuana was in the bag and did not describe the bag's appearance. No other contraband was located. § 87(2)(b) was subsequently arrested for possession of a weapon and possession of marijuana. Because § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle was not stopped in a valid parking spot, it was transported to the stationhouse for safekeeping. He did not know if any further search was conducted on the vehicle at the stationhouse, but noted that it would have been standard procedure for Police Officer Tofalli, as the arresting officer, to conduct an inventory search of the vehicle once at the stationhouse.

Police Officer Tofalli also stated that § 87(2)(b) was pulled over solely due to his broken light. He did not recall if § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle's windows were rolled up or down when he and Sergeant Cuevas stopped it, but recalled that they were rolled down by the time he and Sergeant Cuevas approached it. Sergeant Cuevas told Police Officer Tofalli that he detected an odor of marijuana emanating from the vehicle. Police Officer Tofalli did not detect the odor himself at that time. He noted that he was recovering from a cold at the time and that the resulting congestion had limited his sense of smell. He did not make any independent observations about the civilians or their vehicle that suggested that there was marijuana inside. Police Officer Tofalli, at Sergeant Cuevas' direction, ordered the civilians to exit the vehicle. When § 87(2)(b) exited, Police Officer Tofalli frisked him around his waistband and ankles. He did so because he anticipated that Sergeant Cuevas would stand with the two civilians at the rear of the vehicle while he searched its interior, and he wanted to be sure that § 87(2)(b) did not have a weapon that he could use to threaten Sergeant Cuevas. He had no specific suspicion that § 87(2)(b) was armed at the time. He did not know if Sergeant Cuevas frisked or searched § 87(2)(b). Both civilians were moved to the rear of the vehicle. Police Officer Tofalli then searched the passenger cabin to look for marijuana. He searched the vehicle's seats, floors, center console and door panels. He did not recall if he searched the glove compartment or a bag inside the vehicle. While Police Officer Tofalli had not detected any odor from outside the vehicle, once he got inside, he detected the odor of marijuana. He did not recall if it was the odor of burning marijuana. Police Officer Tofalli retrieved the expandable baton from the driver's door panel, but found no marijuana at that time. He estimated that he searched the passenger cabin for about two minutes. Later, when § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle was transported to the stationhouse, Police Officer Tofalli searched it again in the stationhouse parking lot. No other officers assisted him. During the follow-up search, he found a quarter-sized Ziploc bag containing an unknown amount of marijuana and a partially-burnt marijuana cigarette underneath the driver's seat. He acknowledged that he had looked under the front seat during his initial search of the vehicle. When asked why he had not noticed the marijuana during the first search, Police Officer Tofalli

stated that he had given the area only a brief glance during the first search and did not thoroughly examine it.

Police Officer Tofalli prepared § 87(2)(b)'s arrest report (Board Review #1: Arrest Report). The narrative states that there was a strong odor of marijuana emanating from § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle when the officers approached it. The vehicle was searched, and a baton was recovered from within. A subsequent search of the vehicle after § 87(2)(b)'s arrest recovered a bag of marijuana and burnt marijuana cigarettes.

Police Officer Tofalli prepared property vouchers for § 87(2)(b)'s arrest (Board Review #16: Property Vouchers). A cellphone, headphones, watch and jewelry were vouchered for safekeeping. An expandable baton, a small Ziploc bag containing marijuana and a burnt marijuana cigarette were vouchered as arrest evidence.

Subclips from Sergeant Cuevas' and Police Officer Tofalli's BWC footage are attached in IAs #83 and #84, respectively (Board Review #12-13: BWC Subclips). These subclips show Police Officer Tofalli standing on the driver's side of § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle and Sergeant Cuevas standing on the passenger's side. Sergeant Cuevas' BWC shows that he patted § 87(2)(b) around his torso when § 87(2)(b) exited the vehicle. § 87(2)(b) is wearing a vest. Sergeant Cuevas reaches between the vest and § 87(2)(b)'s shirt. Police Officer Tofalli's BWC shows him patting § 87(2)(b) around his torso and legs when he exited the vehicle.

§ 87(2)(g)

The odor of marijuana emanating from a vehicle during a valid traffic stop provides officers with probable cause to search the vehicle's occupants as well as the vehicle itself. People v. Smith, 66 A.D.3d 514 (App. Term, 1st Dept., 2009) (Board Review #17: Legal Reference).

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (E) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Gabriel Cuevas refused to provide his shield number to § 87(2)(b)

It is undisputed that when the officers placed § 87(2)(b) in handcuffs, § 87(2)(b) asked Sergeant Cuevas for his shield number. Sergeant Cuevas did not immediately respond to this request.

§ 87(2)(b) stated that when he asked Sergeant Cuevas for his shield number, Sergeant Cuevas responded by telling § 87(2)(b) that he was under arrest. Sergeant Cuevas did not state his shield number, but § 87(2)(b) was able to read the number directly from his shield.

Sergeant Cuevas also stated that § 87(2)(b) requested his shield number while being handcuffed. Sergeant Cuevas replied that he would provide his shield number later, when they arrived at the stationhouse. Sergeant Cuevas did eventually provide § 87(2)(b) with his shield number at the stationhouse, though he did not recall the exact time he provided it. He did not explain what, if anything, prevented him from providing his shield number at that time.

Police Officer Tofalli did not recall § 87(2)(b) asking Sergeant Cuevas for his shield number at any point. He did not recall Sergeant Cuevas providing his shield number to § 87(2)(b) either at the scene of the arrest or later at the stationhouse. He was not aware of Sergeant Cuevas refusing to provide his shield number during this incident.

A subclip from Sergeant Cuevas' BWC footage is attached in IA #85 (Board Review #11: BWC Subclip). It takes place while § 87(2)(b) is being handcuffed. § 87(2)(b) asks Sergeant Cuevas, "Can I get your badge number, please? Two two..." Sergeant Cuevas states, "Alright, he's under arrest. You're under arrest. For the weapon. For the weapon." The officers' BWC footage continues until § 87(2)(b) is transported to the stationhouse and lodged in a holding cell. Sergeant Cuevas is not heard providing his shield number during that time (Board Review #05-09: BWC Footage).

NYPD Patrol Guide procedure 203-09 states that officers must courteously and clearly state their rank, name, shield number and command, or otherwise provide them, to anyone who requests them to do so (Board Review #18: Legal Reference).

§ 87(2)(g)
[REDACTED]

Allegation (F) Discourtesy: Sergeant Gabriel Cuevas spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (G) Discourtesy: Sergeant Gabriel Cuevas acted discourteously toward § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) stated that Sergeant Cuevas stood with him and § 87(2)(b) at the rear of his vehicle while Police Officer Tofalli searched the interior. § 87(2)(b) tried to look towards the vehicle to observe Police Officer Tofalli's actions, but Sergeant Cuevas prevented him from doing so by grabbing the lapels of his jacket. Sergeant Cuevas then started "shaking" § 87(2)(b) by his lapels and asking § 87(2)(b) if he was intoxicated or high. He then pulled down on § 87(2)(b)'s lapels, forcing § 87(2)(b) to bend over. § 87(2)(b) was not injured and did not fall as a result of this action.

Sergeant Cuevas stated that § 87(2)(b) appeared to be "agitated" throughout the incident. When he and Police Officer Tofalli first approached § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle, § 87(2)(b) asked if the officers were harassing him. Sergeant Cuevas replied, "Yeah, it's harassment." When asked why he made this statement, he explained that he was only repeating § 87(2)(b)'s words back to him. Later, when the civilians were brought to the rear of the vehicle and Police Officer Tofalli started searching the vehicle, § 87(2)(b) tried to shut one of his vehicle's doors. Sergeant Cuevas prevented him from doing so by grabbing § 87(2)(b) by the lapels of his jacket. § 87(2)(b) tried several times to turn around and walk towards the vehicle. Sergeant Cuevas held him in place by his lapels. He denied that he pulled § 87(2)(b) down by his lapels. Sergeant Cuevas suspected that § 87(2)(b) might have been intoxicated because he kept trying to turn around despite Sergeant Cuevas telling him not to. Sergeant Cuevas did not make any other observations that caused him to suspect that § 87(2)(b) was intoxicated.

Police Officer Tofalli did not recall Sergeant Cuevas telling § 87(2)(b) that he was being "harassing him." He did not pay attention to Sergeant Cuevas and § 87(2)(b) while he was searching § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle, so he did not see if Sergeant Cuevas grabbed § 87(2)(b) by the lapels or pulled him down by his lapels. He did not recall Sergeant Cuevas asking § 87(2)(b) if he was intoxicated. Police Officer Tofalli did not suspect that § 87(2)(b) was intoxicated.

A subclip from Sergeant Cuevas' BWC footage is attached in IA #82 (Board Review: BWC Subclip). It depicts the officers speaking to § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) while they were still seated in § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle. § 87(2)(b) asks Sergeant Cuevas, "Are you guys harassing me right now?" Sergeant Cuevas replies, "Yeah, it's harassment. Step out the car."

The cell phone video recorded by § 87(2)(b) is attached in IA #21 (Board Review #02: Cell Phone Footage). It begins after § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were removed from their vehicle and moved to the rear. At 0:07 into the recording, Sergeant Cuevas asks § 87(2)(b) "Are you drunk?" § 87(2)(b) replies, "I'm not drunk." § 87(2)(b) looks over his left shoulder towards his vehicle, but does not walk towards it. At 0:30 into the recording, Sergeant Cuevas states, "Oh, I can't help now. Now, I can't help. Now that's a problem. Did you just see that?" He grabs § 87(2)(b) by his lapels and turns § 87(2)(b) towards him. Sergeant Cuevas asks, "Did you just see that? Yeah, a nightstick." He turns to face § 87(2)(b). He tells § 87(2)(b)

“Say that one more time to that camera right there and to the camera on my chest.” § 87(2)(b) states that his nightstick is not illegal. Sergeant Cuevas insists that it is. § 87(2)(b) denies that he has a weapon with him. At 1:00 into the recording, Sergeant Cuevas states, “You just said it on your own camera.” § 87(2)(b) states, “It’s not a weapon.” Sergeant Cuevas then turns § 87(2)(b) s body towards § 87(2)(b) s camera. § 87(2)(b) asks, “Why you trying to...” Sergeant Cuevas states, “No, because I want you to turn to that camera. Because you’re turning away from me.” § 87(2)(b) looks over his right shoulder towards his vehicle, but does not turn his body. Sergeant Cuevas states that he is concerned for his safety because a weapon was found in his vehicle. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) both remark that it is absurd for Sergeant Cuevas to fear for his safety because he is an armed police officer. At 1:30 into the recording, Sergeant Cuevas asks, “Have you moved yet? Have you? Look what he keeps doing.” § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) replies that Sergeant Cuevas is holding § 87(2)(b). At 1:40 into the recording, Sergeant Cuevas pulls down on § 87(2)(b) s lapels. § 87(2)(b) remains standing but his torso is brought parallel to the ground. § 87(2)(b) then leans back upright. Sergeant Cuevas pulls § 87(2)(b) s torso down by the lapels two more times. Sergeant Cuevas tells § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) to stop moving. § 87(2)(b) objects, pointing out that Sergeant Cuevas is the one moving his body. § 87(2)(b) does not appear to make any movements or take any actions to prevent Sergeant Cuevas from pulling his torso down. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) retain a calm demeanor throughout the interaction.

Sergeant Cuevas was shown the portion of his BWC footage depicting him pulling § 87(2)(b) down by his jacket. When asked why he was pulling on § 87(2)(b) s jacket, Sergeant Cuevas stated that § 87(2)(b) was trying to pull away from him at the time, and that he pulled down on the jacket to keep § 87(2)(b) in place. He denied that he deliberately forced § 87(2)(b) s body into the angled position seen on the video.

The video footage shows that, § 87(2)(g), § 87(2)(b) was not trying to move away when Sergeant Cuevas while at the rear of the vehicle. While he turned to look over his shoulder towards Police Officer Tofalli, he did not try to evade Sergeant Cuevas or interfere with Police Officer Tofalli’s vehicle search. He also displayed a calm and reasonable demeanor throughout the incident. The video shows that Sergeant Cuevas had control over § 87(2)(b) s body when he pulled down on his lapels. § 87(2)(g)

NYPD Patrol Guide procedure 200-02 states that the NYPD is committed to treating every citizen with compassion, courtesy, professionalism and respect. Officers are expected to respect the dignity of each individual and render their services with courtesy and civility (Board Review #19: Legal Reference).

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (H): Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Gabriel Cuevas seized § 87(2)(b)'s property.

It is undisputed that when § 87(2)(b) was initially pulled over, he stopped his vehicle in front of a bus stop. § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle was taken into custody after his arrest and transported to the 77th Precinct stationhouse. Both officers stated that § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle was taken into custody because it would have blocked access to the bus stop if it was left in place.

When officers arrest the driver of a motor vehicle, they may remove the driver's vehicle if the vehicle is positioned in a manner that impedes the flow of traffic. New York v. Sullivan, 29 N.Y.2d 69 (1971) (Board Review #22: Legal Reference).

§ 87(2)(g)

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the first CCRB complaint to which § 87(2)(b) or § 87(2)(b) has been a party (Board Review #20: Civilian CCRB Histories).
- Sergeant Cuevas has been a member of service for eleven years and has been a subject in eight previous CCRB complaints and seventeen allegations, of which five were substantiated.
 - 201610447 involved substantiated allegations of refusal to provide name and/or shield number, frisk and stop. The Board recommended that he receive Command Discipline B. The NYPD imposed Command Discipline A.

- 201804454 involved substantiated allegations of frisk and search. The Board recommended that he receive Command Discipline B. The NYPD has not yet imposed discipline.
- § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- This complaint was not suitable for mediation.
- A Notice of Claim request was submitted to the NYC Office of the Comptroller on August 28, 2018. The results will be included in the case file upon receipt.
- The criminal case resulting from § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED]'s arrest during this incident is still open. His next court date is scheduled for § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED].
- According to the Office of Court Administration, neither § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED] nor § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED] has a history of convictions in New York City (Board Review #21: Civilian Conviction Histories).

Squad No.: _____

Investigator: _____

Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
-----------	--------------------	------

Squad Leader: _____

Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
-----------	--------------------	------

Reviewer: _____

Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
-----------	--------------------	------