



**QUEENS COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

125-01 QUEENS BOULEVARD  
KEW GARDENS, NEW YORK 11415-1568

**MELINDA KATZ**  
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

718.286.6000  
WWW.QUEENSDA.ORG

The following information is provided pursuant to the People's obligations under CPL §245.20(k) and is obtained from the files of the Queens County District Attorney's Office as well as from data maintained by the New York City Police Department. Additionally, in a spirit of full disclosure consistent with our obligations under CPL §§245.20(7) and 245.55, additional material obtained from non-law enforcement sources may also be included below. The fact that this additional information is hereby disclosed is not to be taken as a concession that its disclosure is compelled under CPL Article 245.

The information below pertains to **Police Officer Michael O'Sullivan, Shield No. 13084** whom the People intend to call as a witness in the above captioned hearing/trial.

**CIVIL:**

**POLICE OFFICER MICHAEL O'SULLIVAN WAS LISTED AS A DEFENDANT IN THE FOLLOWING CIVIL LAWSUIT:**

1. **SEFOLOSHA, THABO v. City of New York et. al., INDEX NO. 16CV02564**

Please note that additional information regarding lawsuits, if desired, is available from a variety of public data bases.

**DISCIPLINARY MATTERS:**

**Police Officer Michael O'Sullivan** has a substantiated IAB charge of the following specifications:

On **January 16<sup>th</sup>, 2020**, while assigned to Patrol Borough Queens South, PO Michael O' Sullivan failed to safeguard and could not account for a department parking permit.

**Police Officer Michael O'Sullivan** is the subject of a pending IAB investigation for the following specification:

On or about **November 5, 2019**, while assigned to PBQS anti-crime patrol, PO O'Sullivan having been involved in a vehicle pursuit, wrongfully failed to notify the radio dispatcher at the beginning or end of the pursuit.

This officer was also the subject of allegations that were found to be, "unsubstantiated" following an investigation. The District Attorney is not in actual possession of any documentation supporting these allegations and are informed by representatives of the NYPD that they are currently unable to provide such documents for CPL §245.20 disclosure. Under our discovery obligation defined in CPL §245.20(1)(k), we disclose only allegations that are either pending or have been substantiated. There is no controlling authority

that requires the disclosure of an unsubstantiated allegation but we bring their existence to your attention pursuant to the presumption of openness required under CPL §245.20(7).

Please note that additional information regarding this officer's NYPD disciplinary history may be available at <https://nypdonline.org/link/2>.

The People reserve the right to move in *limine* to preclude or limit reference to this information in any further proceedings in this prosecution.