

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK  
ONE HOGAN PLACE  
New York, N. Y. 10013  
(212) 335-9000**

OFFICER: RONNIE RODRIGUEZ  
TAX NUMBER: 947 425

**DISCLOSURE ADVISORY**

For the person named above, whom the People may call as a witness, please be advised as follows.

1. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated by plea of guilty the following allegations: Ronnie Rodriguez, while off duty, engaged in conduct prejudicial to the good order, efficiency, or discipline of the department, to wit: Ronnie Rodriguez failed to timely identify himself as a member of the department to an on-duty New York City Police Sergeant during an off-duty incident. Further, Ronnie Rodriguez did wrongfully and without just cause prevent or interfere with an official department investigation, to wit, Ronnie Rodriguez made misleading statements to the on-duty Sergeant in that Ronnie Rodriguez falsely stated that he was the son of Deputy Inspector Rodriguez when that statement was not true. And finally, Ronnie Rodriguez did fail and neglect to carry his identification card at all times as required. The above was regarding an incident that took place on December 18, 2010.
2. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated two allegations that Ronnie Rodriguez failed to properly search a location in a department facility, and one allegation that a controlled substance was found in that department facility. These allegations had to do with an incident that took place on or about August 2, 2019.
3. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated allegations that Ronnie Rodriguez created an incomplete/inaccurate property clerk invoice and that there was a shortage in the bank drop invoice. These allegations had to do with an incident that took place on or about December 11, 2019.
4. The New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) has deemed substantiated an allegation that, on August 12, 2017, Ronnie Rodriguez abused his authority by threatening to remove a civilian to the hospital. The civilian had entered the station house to make a report regarding the theft of her personal belongings. A Special Police Officer and another Police Officer both refused to take her report. The civilian repeatedly asked the Police Officer's name, and the officer repeatedly gave her name. The civilian yelled about the officers not taking her report. Ronnie Rodriguez asked the civilian to leave the station house and threatened to have her removed by ambulance to the hospital if she did not leave on her own. Although Ronnie Rodriguez told another officer present that he thought the civilian was an emotional disturbed person, the other officer did not believe that the civilian posed a threat to herself or

others, and Ronnie Rodriguez also never said that he believed this. The patrol guide indicates that a person is considered to be emotionally disturbed and must be taken in to protective custody when a police officer reasonably believes that her behavior is likely to result in serious injury to herself or others. Since this did not appear to be the case, Ronnie Rodriguez's threat to remove the civilian to the hospital was unreasonable.

5. The New York city Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated that Officer Rodriguez, on August 14, 2014 and again on December 17, 2017, failed to attend Traffic Violations Bureau court as assigned.
6. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) deemed substantiated an allegation that on April 21, 2018, Officer Rodriguez did fail to provide the owner of a copy a voucher for his property.

Various publicly available websites and databases contain disciplinary information for certain law enforcement officers. Information in such databases about this officer is not necessarily included in this advisory.

Any information herein regarding civil lawsuits against an officer is not necessarily a complete list of civil lawsuits in which that officer is a defendant.

Allegations of misconduct that have not been substantiated and are not pending (including, but not limited to, findings of unsubstantiated, unfounded, and exonerated), and allegations of technical infractions, are not subject to disclosure and are not included in this advisory.

The decision to include information in this advisory does not represent a conclusion by the People that it is required to be disclosed.

The People reserve the right to oppose or move to limit the use of any information included herein or disclosed in the future.

If you have any questions, please contact the Assistant District Attorney assigned to the case on which you are receiving this advisory.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_