CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	▼ Force	✓ Discourt.	□ U.S.
					_	
Filip Woroniecki		Squad #12	201805215	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Thursday, 06/28/2018 10:00 PM		§ 87(2)(b)		47	12/28/2019	12/28/2019
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	e Received at CCI	RB
		Precinct	Call Processing System	Fri, 06/29/	/2018 1:01 AM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. Officers			047 PCT			
2. SGT Joseph Spalding	05171	927545	047 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM Mark Tooma	06338	964807	047 PCT			
2. POM Nicholas Jusino	24245	952913	047 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.SGT Joseph Spalding	Force: Se	ergeant Joseph Spalding	pointed a Taser at §	87(2)(b)		
B.SGT Joseph Spalding	Abuse: Sergeant Joseph Spalding refused to provide his name and shield number to \$87(2)(5)					
C. Officers	Force: Officers used physical force against \$87(2) Carabello.					
D.SGT Joseph Spalding	Abuse: Sergeant Joseph Spalding threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)					
E.SGT Joseph Spalding	Discourtesy: Sergeant Joseph Spalding spoke discourteously to §87(2)(b)					
F.SGT Joseph Spalding	Force: Se § 87(2)(b)	ergeant Joseph Spalding	used physical force	against		

Case Summary

On June 28, 2018, Lieutenant Kevin Maloney of the 52nd Precinct filed this complaint with the CCRB on behalf of § 87(2)(b) On July 3, 2018, contact with §87(2)(b) was established via phone. § 87(2)(b) confirmed her identity, contact information, provided an email address, and agreed to receive text messages from the CCRB. § 87(2)(b) listed two additional victims in this complaint, her year-old son, § 87(2)(b) and her boyfriend, § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) was then scheduled for an in-person interview at the CCRB on July 11, 2018. On July 11, 2018, § 87(2)(b) left a voicemail with the CCRB, stating that she could not appear at the scheduled appointment and asked to reschedule it. Between July 3, 2018 and July 20, 2018, six phone calls were made to §87(2)(b) confirmed cellphone number, each time resulting in a voicemail message. Between July 3, 2018 and July 24, 2018, four letters and emails were sent to s confirmed home and email addresses. None of the letters were returned by the U.S. Postal Service as undeliverable. On July 24, 2018, contact with \$87(2)(b) was established via phone. \$87(2)(b) confirmed his identity and contact information, and agreed to receive text messages from the confirmed that § 87(2)(b) was § 87(2)(b) -old and stated that the CCRB Or § 87(2)(b) could only contact him through § 87(2)(b) who are his guardians. s age, the CCRB needed § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) was informed that due to § 87(2)(b) was scheduled for an in-person s permission to interview him. § 87(2)(b) appointment at the CCRB on July 27, 2018, and was urged to bring \$87(2)(6) to his interview, if he wished for him to provide a statement. On July 26, 2018, a voicemail and a phone call were received from \$87(2)(b) which he asked to reschedule his appointment as he wanted § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) accompany him to the CCRB to provide a statement. §87(2)(6) appointment was rescheduled to August 2, 2018. On August 2, 2018, § 87(2)(b) contacted the CCRB via phone and stated that he was unable to appear at his scheduled appointment due to an emergency, and that he was going to be out of state until August 20, 2018. § 87(2)(b) confirmed that § 87(2)(b) were also not going to appear at the CCRB on August 2, 2018. asked for his appointment to be rescheduled to August 22, 2018, however, he was informed that the CCRB could not keep this complaint opened indefinitely and that he needed to provide a sworn statement sooner. § 37(2)(5) agreed to appear at the CCRB on August 6, 2018, and a text message reminder of the appointment was sent to his confirmed cellphone number on August 4, 2018. On August 6, 2018, \$37(2)(b) missed his scheduled appointment and did not contact the CCRB to cancel or reschedule it in advance. A missed appointment letter and email were sent to his confirmed home and email addresses. The letter was not returned by the U.S. Postal service as undeliverable. On August 6, 2018, contact with \$87(2)(b) was reestablished via phone. \$87(2)(b) stated that he wanted to close the complaint as he was unable to provide a sworn statement at this was informed that he could request to have the complaint reopened at a later time and was given instructions on how to get this done. The call was disconnected before could be asked the agency required withdrawal questions.

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201805215

confirmed cellpho agency required withdrawal que- letter and email were also sent to	8 and August 9, 2018, two additional phone cannot be number, asking him to contact the CCRB estions, each time resulting in a voicemail meso his confirmed home and email addresses. Note the U.S. Postal Service as undeliverable.	to answer the sage. A please call
In total, six phone calls	were placed to § 87(2)(b) confirmed cellp	
	nt to his confirmed home and email addresses.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	eld Team determined that no video footage fro	
	ally, on August 28, 2018, undersigned received obtained of this incident could not be obtained of	
•	rders could not be obtained due to \$87(2)(b)	s and §
§ 87(2)(b) lack of cooperation wi		97/2)
_	City and New York State Department of Corre	ection determined
that § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b)	are not currently incarcerated.	
§ 87(2)(g)		
Squad No.: <u>12</u>		
Investigator:	INV. WORONIECKI	09/10/2018
Signatur	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:	IM RIGIE	
Signatur		Date
Reviewer:		
Signatur	e Print Title & Name	Date

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201805215