## **CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION**

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	☐ Discourt.	U.S.
Carter Salis		Squad #4	201808548	☐ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Sunday, 10/14/2018 4:25 PM		59th Street Columbus (station	Circle Subway	18	4/14/2020	11/29/2020
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCF	RB
Sun, 10/14/2018 7:00 PM		Precinct In-person Mon, 10/15/		5/2018 2:49 PM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. SGT Robert Zhen	05562	931479	TB DT01			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM Ronald Mattza	17845	958891	TB DT01			
2. POM Abdullah Mamun	18456	958865	TB DT01			
3. POM Mohammad Islam	12624	956766	TB DT01			
4. POM Ervin Note	20003	958994	TB DT01			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.SGT Robert Zhen	Force: Se § 87(2)(b)	ergeant Robert Zhen use	d physical force aga	inst		

**Case Summary** On October 14, 2018, \$87(2)(b) filed this complaint in-person at the Transit Bureau District 1 stationhouse. On October 15, 2018, it was received by the CCRB. On October 14, 2018, at approximately 4:25 PM, inside the 59<sup>th</sup> Street-Columbus Circle subway station in Manhattan, Sgt. Robert Zhen, PO Ronald Mattza, PO Ervin Note, PO Mohammad Islam, and PO Abdullah Mamun, from Transit District 1, stopped §87(2)(b) entering the subway system through an emergency gate without payment. PO Mattza issued a summons for theft of service.  $A_{S} \S 87(2)(b)$ walked away from the officers, he clapped his hands and told the officers, "Great Job." § 87(2)(b) walked to a stairwell and was followed by Sgt. Zhen, who that he was trespassing and needed to leave the subway station. Sgt. Zhen then pushed § 87(2)(b) in the middle of his back, causing him to stumble forward several steps (Allegation A: Force, § 87(2)(9) ). PO Mattza then ejected § 87(2)(b) from the transit system by holding on to his upper arm and walking him out of the subway station through the emergency gate. **Findings and Recommendations** Allegation (A) Force: Sergeant Robert Zhen used physical force against \$87(2)(b) It is undisputed that \$87(2)(b) entered the 59<sup>th</sup> Street Columbus Circle subway station through an emergency gate, although it is disputed whether § 87(2)(b) fare. Sgt. Zhen and PO Mattza stopped \$87(2)(b) on the middle of the mezzanine level, and were followed by PO Note, PO Islam, and PO Mamun, who stood nearby as a precautionary measure. PO Note conducted a warrant check for §87(2)(b) on his department issued cell phone and PO Mattza issued Transit Adjudication Bureau summons #\$ 87(2)(b) for entering the subway system without payment. The events following the issuing of s summons are contested, but § 87(2)(b) was ultimately ejected from the transit system and PO Mattza prepared a Transit Ejection Report (BR 02). (BR 03) stated that, after PO Mattza issued him his summons, he told the officers, "Great Job," and applauded the officers as he walked away. As \$87(2)(b) descending a set of stairs, Sgt. Zhen approached §87(2)(b)  $\blacksquare$  and told him that he was trespassing and needed to leave the subway station. §87(2)(b) walked back up the stairs. Sgt. Zhen placed his hand on § 37(2)(b) s shoulder and walked him towards the turnstiles, at which time he pushed \$87(2)(b) with one open hand in the middle of his back, causing him to stumble forward about two steps. PO Mattza then gripped §87(2)(b) right arm and walked him to the turnstiles. § 87(2)(b) exited through the turnstiles, and when he attempted to legally re-enter the transit system, Sgt. Zhen told him that he could not come in. On December 24, 2019, the investigator received four BWC videos related to this incident, although this footage does not capture the period during which the alleged misconduct was said to have occurred. Attempts were made to obtain video footage from the Metropolitan Transit Authority, but these attempts were ultimately unsuccessful. Sgt. Zhen (BR 04) stated that after PO Mattza issued § 87(2)(b) s summons, § walked away, and the officers returned to the location from which Sgt. Zhen first

Page 2

commit the alleged infraction. While Sgt. Zhen was signing PO Mattza's,

approached and clapped his hands in

had not paid his fare and was

that he needed to leave

CCRB Case # 201808548

PO Islam's, and PO Mamun's memo books, § 87(2)(b)

front of the officer, which reminded Sgt. Zhen that §87(2)(b)

subject to ejection from the subway station. Sgt. Zhen told \$87(2)(b)

the subway station, and §87(2)(b) responded that he was not going to leave. Sgt. Zhen and
PO Mattza followed \$87(2)(b) who had started walking back towards the staircase, and PO
Mattza grabbed 18 187(2)(b) upper arm and guided him outside the emergency gate.
Sgt. Zhen denied pushing [887(2)(6)] in his back or seeing any other officer do so.
Sgt. Zhen did not recall making physical contact with \$87(2)(b) and apart from PO Mattza
grabbing \$87(2)(b) supper arm, he did not see any other officer make physical contact with
§ 87(2)(b)
PO Mattza (BR 05) stated that, when ejecting \$87(2)(b) from the subway station, he
grabbed §87(2)(b) s upper arm and guided him out of the emergency gate. PO Mattza
believed that Sgt. Zhen held on to the other side of \$87(2)(b) and helped guide him out of the
station, although he could not recall the exact nature of Sgt. Zhen's physical contact with
PO Mattza denied witnessing Sgt. Zhen push \$87(2)(b) in his back.
PO Islam's (BR 06), PO Mamun's (BR 07), and PO Note's (BR 08) statements were
consistent with Sgt. Zhen's statement. Neither PO Islam nor PO Mamun saw or became aware
that an officer pushed §87(2)(6) in his back. PO Note, who left the scene of the incident
while \$87(2)(b) s summons was being issued, never became aware of an officer pushing \$3000000000000000000000000000000000000
in his back.
§ 87(2)(g)
Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories
• This is the first CCRB complaint to which \$87(2)(b) has been party (BR 09).
<ul> <li>Sergeant Robert Zhen has been a member-of-service for sixteen years and has been a</li> </ul>
subject in three CCRB complaints and four allegations, none of which were substantiated.
§ 87(2)(g)
Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- On December 11, 2018, this case was sent to mediation and on February 5, 2019 the case was returned to investigation as the complaint was no longer eligible for mediation.
- On April 8, 2019, a FOIL request was submitted to the Office of the Comptroller to determine if any Notice of Claim had been filed in relation to this incident. The requested information will be added to the case file upon receipt.
- According to the Office of Court Administration (OCA), §87(2)(b) history of convictions in New York City (BR 10).

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201808548

Squad No.:	Squad 4			
Investigator:		Investigator Carter Salis	04/10/2019	
<b>G</b>	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date	
Squad Leader:				
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date	
Reviewer:				
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date	

## CCRB Case # 201808548