CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	V	Force	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Discourt.	U.S.
Harry Feigen		Squad #4	201501154	 <u> </u>	Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury
				L,		1.0		
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		I	Precinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Monday, 12/22/2014 7:00 AM		§ 87(2)(b)			81	6.	/22/2016	6/22/2016
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:		Date/Time	Rec	eived at CC	RB
Thu, 02/12/2015 10:45 PM		IAB	Phone		Wed, 02/1	8/201	15 2:42 PM	[
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess					
Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. LT Barbara Fischer	00000	920284	081 PCT					
2. An officer			ESS 08					
3. Officers			081 PCT					
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name					
1. POM Conrad Narcisse	07812	948103	081 PCT					
2. POM Jonathan Thomas	09859	948146	081 PCT					
3. DTS Charles Steiger	4289	939527	ESS 07					
4. DTS Thomas Whalen	07869	902593	ESS 08					
Officer(s)	Allegation	on			Inve	estiga	ator Recor	nmendation
A. Officers	Abuse: (Officers entered and sear	ched in Brooklyn.					
B. An officer	Force: A instrume	n officer struck § 87(2)(b)	with a b	lunt				
C.LT Barbara Fischer	Discourt	esy: Lt. Barbara Fischer	spoke rudely to \$87(2	?)(b)				

Case Summary

On February 12, 2015, §87(2)(b) filed this complaint with IAB by phone, generating
IAB log number 15-3850. The case was received at the CCRB on February 22, 2014.
On December 22, 2014, at approximately 7:00 a.m., §87(2)(b) was asleep in her
apartment, which is located on \$87(2)(b) in Brooklyn. \$87(2)(b) was in her
bedroom with her boyfriend, §87(2)(b) Her son, §87(2)(b) was asleep on a
couch in the living room; her brother \$87(2)(b) was asleep in a second bedroom; and her
other son, §87(2)(b) was in a third bedroom with his girlfriend, §87(2)(b) and their
three children.
The front door of the apartment was broken in, and several ESU officers entered the
living room (Allegation A). An unidentified officer struck §87(2)(b) in the face twice
with a hard object (Allegation B), and he was then brought to the dining room. The officers went
into the rest of the rooms in the apartment, and brought all of the occupants out to the dining
room. While they were waiting there, Lt. Barbara Fischer asked [887(2)(b)] about his age
and arrest history. When \$87(2)(6) refused to answer, Lt. Fischer said, "I'm only gonna
ask you one fucking more time. What were you arrested for?" (Allegation C).
\S 87(2)(b) and \S 87(2)(b) were then brought to the
81 st Precinct stationhouse, and charged with criminal possession of a controlled substance.
Mediation, Criminal, and Civil Histories
• This case was not suitable for mediation, due to \$87(2)(b) s arrest.
• §87(2)(b) s criminal case relating to this incident is still open. Her next court date is
(01 Board Review). §87(2)(b) has no prior arrests on her record.
• A notice of claim request was submitted to the Comptroller's office on June 15, 2015. It
will be added to the case file upon its receipt.
Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

• § 87(2)(b)
• § 87(2)(b)
• Lt. Fischer has been a member of service for 17 years. She has 11 previous discourtesy
allegations on her record, one of which has been substantiated. Of the others, five were
unsubstantiated, two were unfounded, and three were closed because the cases truncated.
unsubstantiated, two were unrounded, and three were crossed because the cases translated.
Findings and Recommendations
Explanation of Subject Officer Identification
was alone in the living room when the ESU officers entered the

Page 2 CCRB Case # 201501154

apartment, and he claimed to be asleep before the first alleged strike to his head (02 Board

Review). Upon being struck, he opened his eyes, but he could not see the faces of any of the officers around him, nor could he describe them in any way whatsoever, as the room was dark at the time. He claimed he was struck a second time in the head immediately after the first strike, but he again could not say which officer did this, or whether it was even the same officer who hit him the first time.

Det. Thomas Whalen and Det. Charles Steiger were on the ESU squad that initially entered and secured sapartment, and both were assigned to bunkers, meaning they would be equipped with ballistic shields and would be among the first group of officers to enter the apartment. Neither officer had any recollection of the incident, however. Neither recognized upon being shown a picture of him, neither recalled any sort of altercation with any of the civilians inside of the apartment, and neither recalled any force that was used either by themselves or any other officers against (03 Board Review and 04 Board Review, respectively).

Sericion

Given Sericion

s inability to describe which officer or officers struck him in the head, and the lack of recollection from multiple officers who would have been present at the time of the allegation, the investigation was unable to determine which officer is the subject of Allegation B. Thus, it is pleaded against an officer from Squad 8 of the ESU command.

Allegations not pleaded

• Property damage, Guns pointed – Neither allegation is pleaded, as they are both standard procedure when executing a search warrant, and the officers in this case had a valid search warrant (05 Board Review).

Allegation A: Abuse of Authority – Officers entered and searched 887(2)(b) in Brooklyn.

It is undisputed that officers from ESU Squad 8 and the 81st Precinct entered and searched \$87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, on December 22, 2014. It is also undisputed that the officers did so pursuant to search warrant number \$87(2)(b), which was valid and active at the time. \$87(2)(b)

Page 3 **CCRB Case # 201501154**

Allegation B: Force – An officer struck §87(2)(b) with a blunt instrument.

As discussed in the officer identification section, the investigation could not determine which officer was the subject of this allegation. §87(2)(9)

Allegation C: Discourtesy – Lt. Barbara Fischer spoke rudely to \$87(2)(b)

It is undisputed that Lt. Fischer and PO Conrad Narcisse stood in the living room with all of the apartment's occupants while they were preparing to transport the civilians to the 81 st Precinct stationhouse. §87(2)(9)
§ 87(2)(b)
alleged that when he did not say anything in response to Lt. Fischer, she said, "Answer the fucking question." [8 87(2)(9)
stated that Lt. Fischer told \$87(2)(b) to "Answer the fucking question," as \$87(2)(b) alleged (07 Board Review). While \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b)
could not recall what Lt. Fischer said to \$87(2)(5) they both corroborated that she was angry and yelling at \$87(2)(5) at this time (08 Board Review and 09 Board Review, respectively). \$87(2)(9)
Lt. Fischer denied that she made the alleged statement, § 87(2)(9) She claimed to have no recollection of
interacting with any civilians in the living room, or of any civilians giving any trouble to the
officers (10 Board Review). PO Narcisse, however, was interviewed at the CCRB one day prior to Lt. Fischer, and he stated that \$87(2)(b) was "mouthing off" to the officers, which is consistent with all of the civilian's accounts that there was a dispute between \$87(2)(b) and Lt. Fischer (11 Board Review). \$87(2)(9)

Page 4 CCRB Case # 201501154

§ 87(2)(g)	tł	there are eleven previous discourtesy				
allegations made against her, \$87(2) of these allegations was substantia or closed due to truncation. Further past eight years of Lt. Fischer's 1787(2)(9)	ated, and the majority of termore, all but one of t	of the others were either uns	One Substantiated			
Team:						
Investigator:Signature	Print	Date	_			
Supervisor: Title/Signature	Print	Date	-			
Reviewer: Title/Signature	Print	 Date	_			
Reviewer:Title/Signature	 Print	 Date				