## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Andrew Ridge		Squad #5	201900175	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
		•				_ , ,
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Sunday, 01/06/2019 10:00 PM		§ 87(2)(b)		81	7/6/2020	2/20/2021
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Tir	ne Received at CCI	RB
Sun, 01/06/2019 10:16 PM		CCRB	Call Processing Sun, 01/06/2019 10:16 PM System			
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess			
Witness(es)	Witness(es) Home Address					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Brian Mcgurran	07808	963643	081 PCT			
2. POM Jake Hoina	07779	963572	081 PCT			
3. POF Emily Harris	20669	941882	081 PCT			
4. POM Sajjad Asar	16889	961627	081 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegation		In	vestigator Recon	nmendation	
A.POM Jake Hoina	Abuse: Police Officer Jake Hoina stopped §87(2)(b)					
B.POF Emily Harris	Abuse: Police Officer Emily Harris stopped § 87(2)(b)					
C.POM Sajjad Asar	Abuse: Police Officer Sajjad Asar stopped § 87(2)(b)					
D.POM Brian Mcgurran	Abuse: Police Officer Brian Mcgurran stopped § 87(2)(b)					
E.POF Emily Harris	Abuse: Police Officer Emily Harris threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)					

## Case Summary

Squad Leader:	2age 2	
Investigator: Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad No.:		
The undersigned left a voicemail on March March 7, and \$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$\\$\	said that he could get the "second case." The undersigned up on the undersigned. The underswer so the undersigned could on a times, left him eight voicemails, de that \$87(2)(b) was not incare.	voicemail on not talk, but also and asked lersigned called ly leave a sent him six
On March 1, the CCRB received a new co filed the complaint with IAB regarding an inci complaint with the CCRB.  The undersigned reached sage by undersigned from mailing him any additional libe called back. The undersigned sent emails to	phone on March 1. \$87(2)(b) etters. He said that he could not ta	alk, and asked to
The investigation obtained CCTV footage On January 7, an Intake Investigator left a reached him by phone on January 11. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ email addresses. He provided a brief phone state additional details. He also refused to provide the wanted to speak to his attorney before deciding undersigned emailed \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ during this would send his photos and videos.  The undersigned left a message for \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ him on January 22, but \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ was driving not call back. The undersigned left three more \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ never responded.  The undersigned mailed three sets of letter 18 and February 1. These letters were not returned sets of emails to \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ s two email additional details.	provided two mailing address tement, but became agitated and rephotos and videos he had from g if he wanted to pursue the CCRE phone conversation, in the hopes on January 17. The understang and said he would call back. The messages between January 24 and the state of the state	undersigned sees and two refused to provide the incident. He a complaint. The that \$87(2)(0) did d January 30.
the CCRB's Call Processing System.		ng a message on

CCRB Case # 201900175

	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201900175