

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Matthew Wasserman	Team: Team # 8	CCRB Case #: 200918583	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Wednesday, 11/25/2009 8:30 AM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 28	18 Mo. SOL 5/25/2011	EO SOL 5/25/2011	
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 11/30/2009 12:00 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 12/04/2009 11:40 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Witness(es)	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POF Arlene Quinones	22710	947372	028 PCT
2. POM Ian Abramowitz	00891	941307	028 PCT
3. Officers			

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Ian Abramowitz	Force: On November 25, 2009, PO Ian Abramowitz used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
B.POF Arlene Quinones	Force: On November 25, 2009, PO Arlene Quinones used pepper spray against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
C. Officers	Force: On January 6, 2010, officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

## Case Summary

§ 87(2)(b) filed a complaint with IAB on November 30, 2009. The CCRB received this case on December 4, 2009. § 87(2)(b) provided a phone statement to the CCRB on December 14, 2009, and § 87(2)(b) provided a phone statement to the CCRB on December 17, 2009. § 87(2)(b) provided an in-person statement on February 8, 2010, at which time he made allegations of police misconduct in reference to incidents that took place on November 25, 2009, and January 6, 2010.

On November 25, 2009, at about 8:30 a.m., § 87(2)(b) William's son, § 87(2)(b) got into a fight with his girlfriend, § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) at § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan. § 87(2)(b) called the police and five officers responded. An officer punched § 87(2)(b) in the face (**Allegation A**) and an officer pepper-sprayed him (**Allegation B**). § 87(2)(b)'s brother, § 87(2)(b) grabbed an officer while they were hitting his brother. Both § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were arrested.

On January 6, 2010, at about 3:15 p.m., § 87(2)(b) was arrested by police officers at § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan for robbery. § 87(2)(b) tried to intervene and was punched in the face over twenty times by ten separate officers (**Allegation C**). § 87(2)(b) tried to go down the stairs, pushing the officers out of the way, and was brought to the ground, kned in the back and had a boot pressed into his face (**Allegation C**). In addition to § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b)'s sister, § 87(2)(b) were also arrested.

§ 87(2)(b) stated that a 5'7" white male who was about 140 pounds punched § 87(2)(b) on November 25, 2009, and that a female officer whose nameplate read "Quinones" pepper-sprayed him. § 87(2)(b) stated that a 5'8" slim white male officer in uniform whose nameplate read "Abramowitz" punched him and a heavy-set 5'5" or 5'6" Hispanic female in uniform pepper-sprayed him on November 25, 2009. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were arrested by PO Arlene Quinones of the 28<sup>th</sup> precinct, who is a Hispanic female. A search of CTS revealed that there is only one officer named "Abramowitz" or anything similar assigned to the 28<sup>th</sup> precinct, PO Ian Abramowitz, who is a white male. The sprint for this incident shows that only officers from the 28<sup>th</sup> precinct responded. Therefore, Allegation A was pled against PO Abramowitz and Allegation B was pled against PO Quinones.

A sprint for the incident on January 6, 2010, showed that numerous officers from both ESU and the 28<sup>th</sup> precinct responded to the scene. Therefore, Allegation C was pled against "officers" from an unknown command.

The investigation sent two letters and made five phone calls to § 87(2)(b) between December 9, 2009, and January 5, 2010. § 87(2)(b) canceled an interview scheduled for December 30, 2009, and never scheduled another appointment, despite multiple contact attempts. § 87(2)(b) missed scheduled interviews on December 30, 2009 and January 7, 2010, without providing notice or calling to reschedule.

Following the initial truncation of this case, § 87(2)(b) contacted the investigation to schedule another interview. On February 8, 2010, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) appeared at the CCRB, at which time § 87(2)(b) gave a verified statement. However, after § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) discussed the fact that their statements could be

subpoenaed by the District Attorney and these statements could be used in their open criminal cases, they both decided to withdraw this complaint. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) withdrew their statements of their own free will and without coercion by any member of the NYPD or the CCRB. This withdrawal was reviewed by ASI Meltzer. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) also signed withdrawal letters, which are included in the case file. § 87(2)(g)

Team: 8

Investigator: \_\_\_\_\_ : \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Print Date

Supervisor: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date