

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Marlon Palacios	Team: Squad #4	CCRB Case #: 201507926	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Thursday, 09/10/2015 9:45 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 40	18 Mo. SOL 3/10/2017	EO SOL 3/10/2017	
Date/Time CV Reported Thu, 09/10/2015 11:00 PM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 09/18/2015 12:59 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Malik Mccloud	30082	954114	040 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Malik Mccloud	Force: POM Malik Mccloud used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Case Summary

On September 10, 2015 a female who solely identified herself as § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint via telephone through IAB. It was forwarded to the CCRB on September 18, 2015 via IAB log #2015-27482.

During her call to IAB § 87(2)(b) provided a telephone number in her initial complaint. § 87(2)(b) provided very little information regarding the incident solely noting that the subject officer pulled her over and hurt her finger. It is unclear whether § 87(2)(b) was the driver and whether there was in fact a vehicle stop. As such a vehicle stop has not been pleaded.

On September 21, 2015, a call was made to § 87(2)(b) and a voice message was left. § 87(2)(b) returned the phone call, said she was not available to speak and would call back during her lunch hour to provide further details pertaining to her complaint and contact information. § 87(2)(b) did not call back and a follow up call was made, on September 21, 2015 and another voice message was left that. Between September 22, 2015 and September 28, 2015, three additional calls were made to § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) returned a call on September 28, 2015, however she did not respond. A follow up call was made to § 87(2)(b) however there was no answer and voice message was left. On October 1, 2015 a final call was made to § 87(2)(b). A man picked up and identified himself as § 87(2)(b)'s brother in law. He confirmed that the phone number belonged to him and not § 87(2)(b). He refused to provide any contact or identifying information but said he would relay the message to § 87(2)(b) to call the CCRB. § 87(2)(b) has made no further attempts to contact the CCRB. Exhaustive attempts were made to contact § 87(2)(b) to pursue her complaint. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

Squad: 4

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Date

Pod Leader: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Attorney: _____
Title/Signature Print Date