CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force		Discourt.	U.S.
Daniel DiRocco		Team # 3	201110584	V	Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		P	recinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Saturday, 07/30/2011 9:30 PM		West 126th Street and 7	7th Avenue		28	1/	30/2013	1/30/2013
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:		Date/Time	Rece	ived at CCI	RB
Mon, 08/15/2011 4:49 PM		CCRB	Phone		Mon, 08/1	5/201	1 4:49 PM	[
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess					
Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess					_
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. POM Aaron Thorn	17386	907442	032 PCT					
2. POM Marc Trelles	09246	939602	032 PCT					
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inve	stiga	tor Recon	nmendation
A.POM Aaron Thorn	Abuse: O	Officer Aaron Thorn stop	pped § 87(2)(b)					
B.POM Marc Trelles	Abuse: Officer Marc Trelles stopped § 87(2)(b)							
C.POM Aaron Thorn	Abuse: Officer Aaron Thorn frisked § 87(2)(b)							
D.POM Marc Trelles	Abuse: Officer Marc Trelles frisked § 87(2)(b)							
E.POM Aaron Thorn	Abuse: O	Officer Aaron Thorn sear	ched § 87(2)(b)					
F.POM Aaron Thorn	Abuse: O § 87(2)(b)	officer Aaron Thorn sear was an occupant.	ched the vehicle in v	whic	eh			
§ 87(4-b) § 87(2)(g)								
§ 87(4-b) § 87(2)(g)								
§ 87(4-b) § 87(2)(g)								
§ 87(4-b) § 87(2)(g)								

Case Summary filed this complaint with the CCRB via telephone on August 15, 2011 [enclosed 4A-4B]. At approximately 9:30 p.m. on July 30, 2011, Thorn was speaking with his friend, on West 126th Street and 7th Avenue in Manhattan. During their conversation, the two encountered Officer Aaron Thorn and Officer Marc Trelles, both of the 32nd Precinct. The following allegations resulted. Allegation A—Abuse of Authority: Officer Aaron Thorn stopped § 87(2)(6) Allegation B—Abuse of Authority: Officer Marc Trelles stopped § 87(2)(b) Allegation D—Abuse of Authority: Officer Marc Trelles frisked §87(2)(6) Allegation C—Abuse of Authority: Officer Aaron Thorn frisked § 87(2)(b) Allegation E—Abuse of Authority: Officer Officer Aaron Thorn searched § 87(2)(g) Allegation F—Abuse of Authority: Officer Aaron Thorn searched the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) was an occupant.

This case was initially assigned to Investigator Max Gross. On August 8, 2012, following Investigator Gross' departure from the CCRB, the case was reassigned to the undersigned investigator.

declined mediation when Investigator Gross presented it to him following his CCRB testimony on August 31, 2011.

Page 2 CCRB Case # 201110584

Results of Investigation

Video Footage

An individual named § 87(2)(b) recorded part of this incident using his cell phone [enclosed 19A]. The video is posted on \$87(2)(b) at the following web address: At the 00:16 minute mark on the recording, Officer Aaron Thorn is standing in front of \$37(2)(6) s car, speaking with § 87(2)(b) Officer Marc Trelles is standing in front of is next to the front driver's side of his car. The driver's side door of § 87(2)(b) s car is open. In less than one minute, both Officer Thorn and Officer Trelles escort § 87(2)(b) to the rear of the car. Between the 1:05 minute mark on the recording and the 3:34 minute mark on the recording. Officer Thorn, with his flashlight in hand, enters the front driver's side, the rear driver's side, and the front passenger's side of \$37(2)(5) side. Some side, and the front passenger's side of \$37(2)(5) side. examines the center console area, behind and underneath the front driver's seat, and the area between the rear windshield and the two back seats. It is unclear if Officer Thorn opens any compartments. In the midst of the vehicle search, at about the 2:00 minute mark on the recording, the camera turns to the rear of the car. Officer Trelles is standing there, in front of [397(2)(b)] with his flashlight in hand. The camera then returns to Officer Thorn, who continues to search the car as described above. At the 3:34 minute mark on the recording, \$37(2)(b) walks to the front passenger's side of the car, removes unidentified documentation from within, and hands it to Officer Thorn. Officer Thorn examines the documentation and returns it to \$87(2)(5) Officer Thorn and Officer Trelles leave soon after. **Civilian Statement** Complainant/Victim: § 87(2)(b) is a black male who was \$87(2)(b) old at the time of the incident. \$87(2)(b) is 5'7" tall and 162 pounds. He has black hair and brown eyes. **CCRB Statement** provided a sworn statement to the CCRB on August 31, 2011 [enclosed 5A-5E] and a follow-up telephone statement on August 26, 2012 [enclosed 6]. § 87(2)(9) At approximately 9:00 p.m. on July 30, 2011, \$87(2)(6) legally parked his four-door, 1994 Acura on West 126th Street and 7th Avenue in Manhattan. No one else was in the car. \$87(2)(b) was wearing a t-shirt and cargo shorts. He had his cell phone and his keys in his left shorts pocket, and his wallet in his back shorts pocket. exited his car and an individual, whom \$87(2)(b) only knew as '\$87(2) [identified via investigation as § 87(2)(b) approached him. § 87(2)(b) had parked his vehicle on the street directly in front of §87(2)(b) s car. and § 87(2)(b) had a brief conversation regarding the condition of § 87(2)(b) s car. § 87(2)(b) asked \$87(2)(b) if he could examine the car and \$87(2)(b) obliged. popped the hood of his car and \$87(2)(b) inspected the engine. \$87(2)(b) returned to and sat in the front driver's seat, leaving the door open. Neither \$37(2)(b) nor \$ had anything in their hands.

> Page 3 CCRB Case # 201110584

In his follow-up telephone statement, \$87(2)(b) claimed that he never popped the hood of his car. Instead, § 87(2)(b) stated that he walked § 87(2)(b) to the front driver's side, opened the door, and allowed § 87(2)(b) to look at the car's interior. Neither of the two physically entered the car. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) then closed the door and stood next to the car for two minutes. Moments later, an unmarked black police vehicle holding two plainclothed officers pulled up near \$37(2)(b) s car. One of the officers was a black male, identified via investigation as Officer Aaron Thorn, of the 32nd Precinct, and the other officer was a Hispanic male, identified via investigation as Officer Marc Trelles, of the 32nd Precinct. Officer Thorn and Officer Trelles simultaneously exited the police vehicle. Officer Thorn approached \$87(2)(b) who, according to his initial CCRB statement, was still in the front driver's seat. Officer Thorn twice ordered § 87(2)(b) to "get out the car." § 87(2)(b) complied with the directive and Officer Thorn placed him against the car. Officer Thorn patted down \$87(2)(b) waist, pants pockets, and crotch, and then reached into each of §87(2)(b) s front shorts pockets. Officer Thorn asked \$87(2)(b) if he "had anything on [him]," to which \$87(2)(b) replied that he did not. Meanwhile, Officer Trelles patted down \$87(2)(b) near the hood of \$87(2)(b) Following the above, Officer Thorn directed 37(2)(b) to the rear of the car, where \$ \$7(2)(b) stood with Officer Trelles. Officer Thorn then entered \$ \$7(2)(b) stood with Officer Thorn searched the entire interior of the car, including the glove compartment and the center console. Once Officer Thorn finished the search, he approached [887(2)(b)] and asked him to produce his license and insurance. §87(2)(5) with permission from Officer Thorn, entered his car and retrieved the requested documentation. Officer Thorn examined §87(2)(b) insurance, and the officers left. No one was summonsed or arrested. **Attempts to Contact Civilians** Between October 3, 2011 and October 14, 2011, Investigator Gross left two voicemail and mailed him an unreturned please call letter. On October 20, 2011, Investigator Gross reached § 87(2)(b) via telephone, at which point § 87(2)(b) confirmed his contact information already on record and scheduled a CCRB statement for October 26, 2011. However, § 87(2)(b) failed to appear as scheduled. Investigator Gross left §87(2)(b) a voicemail message on October 26, 2011 and mailed him an unreturned missed appointment letter on the same date. On November 2, 2011, Investigator Gross reached §87(2)(b) telephone, at which point §87(2)(b) rescheduled his appointment for the following day, November 3, 2011. again failed to appear as scheduled, missing his second consecutive However, § 87(2)(b) appointment. A search of the New York City Department of Correction Inmate Tracking System website performed on October 19, 2012 revealed that §87(2)(6) is not currently incarcerated nor was he incarcerated while Investigator Gross attempted to reach him. Consequently, the CCRB was unable to obtain a sworn statement from §87(2)(b) In addition, according to the [397(2)(b)] video, the individual who filmed the incident is No further contact information is provided for § 87(2)(b) website. Searches of google.com, the Lexis-Nexis database, and the New York State Department of Motor Vehicle website [enclosed 17A-17F] all produced one possible mailing address for \$ 87(2)(b) but no telephone number. Investigator Gross mailed \$ 87(2)(b) a please call letter on September 12, 2012, but it was returned by the United States Postal Service on September 20, 2012. As such, the CCRB was unable to contact § 87(2)(b)

> Page 4 CCRB Case # 201110584

NYPD Statements

Subject Officer: OFFICER AARON THORN

- Officer Thorn is a \$87(2)(b) -old black male. He is 6'2" tall and 225 pounds. Officer Thorn has black hair and brown eyes.
- On July 30, 2011, Officer Thorn, of the 32nd Precinct, was assigned to Anti-Crime and was partnered with Officer Marc Trelles, also of the 32nd Precinct, in an unmarked patrol car. Officer Thorn was dressed in plainclothes. He worked from 7:30 p.m. on July 30, 2011 to 4:05 a.m. on July 31, 2011.

Memo Book Entries

Officer Thorn recorded \$87(2)(b) s and \$87(2)(b) s pedigree information on his flysheet. He had no further entries in his memo book [enclosed 7A-7C].

CCRB Statement

Officer Thorn was interviewed at the CCRB on January 26, 2012 [enclosed 9A-9C]. His statement is summarized below.

At approximately 9:30 p.m. on July 30, 2011, Officer Thorn and Officer Trelles, from their patrol car, observed two individuals, \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) positioned near a parked vehicle on West 126th Street and 7th Avenue in Manhattan. \$87(2)(b) appeared to be leaning into the front driver's side of the vehicle and \$87(2)(b) was standing nearby.

Officer Thorn and Officer Trelles subsequently stopped their patrol car and began to approach and and and and at that point, solve a body was more fully inside of the front driver's side of the vehicle. He was essentially "lying down."

As Officer Thorn moved closer to \$87(2)(0) he noticed that \$87(2)(0) had "tools" in his hands. Officer Thorn believed one of these tools to be a screwdriver. He did not recall what the other tools were. Officer Thorn did not see what \$87(2)(0) was doing inside of the vehicle, including if he was using any of the tools to compromise its interior.

When Officer Thorn reached \$87(2)(6) he asked him to step out of the vehicle. \$87(2)(6) complied. Officer Thorn, from outside of the vehicle, looked through its windows. He did not notice anything damaged inside. Officer Thorn told \$87(2)(6) to place the tools on the ground, which \$87(2)(6) did.

Officer Thorn subsequently asked [87(2)(0)] "if [he] had anything on [him]" and frisked [87(2)(0)] s entire body, from his shoulders to his ankles, in that order. Officer Thorn did not recall if he entered [87(2)(0)] s pockets.

Officer Thorn frisked \$87(2)(b) to ensure that \$87(2)(b) was not in possession of a weapon.

Officer Thorn did not know what \$87(2)(b) was doing inside of the vehicle or what else \$7(2)(b) had on his person, other than the tools that were in his hands. Officer Thorn did not recall having observed anything particular to \$87(2)(b) s person, e.g. a bulge, linking him to possession of a weapon.

During the frisk, Officer Thorn asked \$87(2)(6) what he was doing in the vehicle. \$7(2)(6) replied either that he had just fixed the vehicle or that he was attempting to buy it. Officer Thorn did not recall if \$87(2)(6) provided him with documentation verifying ownership of the vehicle.

Following the frisk, Officer Thorn decided to enter and search the vehicle to determine if [87/2] had broken into it. [87/2] did not consent to the vehicle search. Officer Thorn searched the front and back of the vehicle. He did not recall if he opened the glove compartment. Officer Thorn specifically checked the radio to ensure that it had not been tampered with or damaged. Officer Thorn did not detect any abnormalities while inside of the vehicle.

Page 5 CCRB Case # 201110584

After searching the vehicle, Officer Thorn obtained \$87(2)(b) s and \$87(2)(b) s pedigree information. He and Officer Trelles then left the scene. \$87(2)(b) remained calm and compliant throughout the incident. Officer Thorn did not observe Officer Trelles' interaction with \$87(2)(b) Officer Thorne believed, though he was not sure, that he prepared a stop and frisk report.
Subject Officer: OFFICER MARC TRELLES
• Officer Trelles is a \$87(2)(b) -old Hispanic male. He is 6'0" tall and 240 pounds. Officer Trelles has brown hair and brown eyes.
• On July 30, 2011, Officer Trelles, of the 32 nd Precinct, worked the same tour and assignment as Officer Thorn.
M D LE 4
Memo Book Entries Officer Trelles had no memo book entries regarding the incident [enclosed 10A-10B].
CCRB Statement
Officer Trelles was interviewed at the CCRB on April 25, 2012 [enclosed 12A-12B]. His statement is summarized below.
Officer Trelles did not recall the incident. Officer Trelles viewed the video footage and
confirmed that he was present. However, he still did not independently recall the incident.
NYPD Documents
32 nd Precinct Stop, Question, and Frisk Log The 32 nd Precinct handwritten stop, question, and frisk log reveals no entries for \$87(2)(b) or \$87(2)(b) [enclosed 13C-13I].
NYPD Monitoring and Analysis Section 28th Precinct Stop, Question, and Frisk Log
Consultation with the NYPD Monitoring and Analysis Section (MAS) computer-generated
stop, question, and frisk log for the 28 th Precinct [enclosed 13A] reveals no entries for \$87(2)(b)
or \$87(2)(b) Furthermore, MAS did not have a record of any stop, question, and frisk report prepared by Officer Thorn or Officer Trelles on the date of the incident.
Status of Civil Proceedings
• As of August 21, 2012, roughly nine months following the 90-day filing deadline, neither
had filed a Notice of Claim with the City of New York with regard to the incident.
Civilians Criminal Histories
• [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]
Civilians CCRB Histories
• This is the first CCRB complaint filed by \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b)

Page 6 CCRB Case # 201110584

has been involved [enclosed

This is the first CCRB complaint in which § 87(2)(6)

2B].

Subject Officers CCRB Histories

- Officer Aaron Thorn has been a member of the service for 18 years and there is one substantiated CCRB allegation against him [enclosed 1A-1C].
 - o In CCRB case number 200909090, the CCRB substantiated an allegation of abuse of authority: frisk. The NYPD issued Instructions in December 2010.
- Officer Marc Trelles has been a member of the service for seven years and there are no substantiated CCRB allegations against him [enclosed 1D].

Conclusion

Identification of Subject Officers

Officer Thorn acknowledged interacting with \$87(2)(b) Officer Trelles was partnered with Officer Thorn at the time of the incident and is seen on the video footage.

Investigative Findings and Recommendations

Allegation A—Abuse of Authority: Officer Aaron Thorn stopped §87(2)(b)

It is undisputed that Officer Thorn stopped § 87(2)(b)

Officer Thorn initially saw \$87(2)(b) leaning into the front driver's side of a vehicle parked on West 126th Street and 7th Avenue in Manhattan. \$87(2)(b) was standing behind \$37(2)(b) had "tools," including a screwdriver, in his hand. Officer Thorn did not see what \$87(2)(b) was doing with the tools or if he was compromising the interior of the vehicle.

Officer Thorn asked \$87(2)(b) to exit the vehicle and \$87(2)(b) complied. Officer Thorn looked through the windows of the vehicle and did not notice anything damaged inside. Because Officer Thorn did not know what \$87(2)(b) was doing in the vehicle, he asked him if he had anything illegal on his person and then frisked him.

If an individual is detained by virtue of a significant interruption of his/her liberty of movement, then that individual has been stopped for purposes of the fourth amendment. See People v. Cantor, 36 N.Y.2d 106 (1975) [enclosed EA-EF]. In order to stop an individual, an officer must harbor reasonable suspicion that the individual engaged in, was engaging in, or was about to engage in a crime classified as a felony or a misdemeanor under New York State Penal Law. Founded suspicion alone will justify a common law right to inquire, not a stop. See People v. DeBour, 40 N.Y.2d 210 (1976) [enclosed BA-BK].

An officer's observation of an individual seated in a parked car with a "popped" ignition, wires dangling from the dashboard, and a screwdriver between the two front seats will only generate founded suspicion that criminality is afoot. See <u>People v. Locano</u>, 209 A.D.2d 278 (1994 – 1^{st} Dept.) [enclosed FA-FB].

`	_	, L	3		
	§ 87(2)(g)				
	§ 87(2)(g)				
	- 110				
	§ 87(2)(g)				

Page 7
CCRB Case # 201110584

§ 87(2)(g)
Allered in D. Aleman & Andrew & O.C. M. (D. 11)
Allegation B—Abuse of Authority: Officer Marc Trelles stopped \$87(2)(b)
Allegation D—Abuse of Authority: Officer Marc Trelles frisked \$87(2)(b)
As discussed earlier, \$87(2)(b) failed to cooperate with the CCRB to provide a sworn
statement, missing two consecutive appointments. §87(2)(9)
Allogation C. Abuse of Authority, Officer Agree Them fuilted NOVEN
Allegation C—Abuse of Authority: Officer Aaron Thorn frisked §87(2)(b) It is undisputed that Officer Thorn frisked §87(2)(b)
Once \$87(2)(b) exited the vehicle, Officer Thorn directed him to place the tools, including
the screwdriver, on the ground. §87(2)(b) complied. Officer Thorn asked §87(2)(b) if he had
anything illegal on his person and then frisked \$87(2)(b) sentire body, from his shoulders to his
ankles. §87(2)(b) obeyed all commands and remained calm throughout the encounter.
Officer Thorn testified that he frisked \$87(2)(0) to ensure that he did not possess a weapon.
Officer Thorn stated that he did not know what \$87(2)(b) was doing in the vehicle and was
unsure if he had anything else aside from the tools. Officer Thorn did not recall having observed
anything specific to \$87(2)(b) served specific to \$87(2)(b) served specific to \$100 and the tools. Officer Thorn the not recent having observed anything specific to \$100 and the tools.
In order to frisk an individual, an officer must reasonably suspect that he/she is in danger by
virtue of the individual being armed. See <u>DeBour</u> . Possession of an ordinary tool, such as a
screwdriver, which could conceivably be improvised as a weapon, does not by itself justify a
frisk. See <u>People v. Shuler</u> , 2012 NY Slip Op 6040 (2012 – 2 nd Dept.) [enclosed AA-AB].
§87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation E—Abuse of Authority: Officer Officer Aaron Thorn searched §87(2)(b)
alleged that, following the frisk, Officer Thorn placed his hands into his shorts
pockets.
Officer Thorn did not recall if he entered any of \$87(2)(b) s pockets.
The video footage did not capture this part of the encounter. §87(2)(b) refused to
cooperate with the CCRB to provide a sworn statement and Officer Trelles did not recall the
incident.
§ 87(2)(g)

Page 8 CCRB Case # 201110584

Allegation F—Abuse of Authority: Officer Aaron Thorn searched the vehicle in which was an occupant. It is undisputed that Officer Thorn searched the interior of §87(2)(b) s vehicle, including near and around both the front and the back seats. After \$37(2)(b) exited the vehicle, Officer Thorn frisked him. During the frisk, Officer Thorn asked \$87(2)(b) what he was doing in the vehicle. \$87(2)(b) replied either that he had just fixed the vehicle or that he was attempting to buy it. It was then that Officer Thorn entered the vehicle and searched it. Officer Thorn confirmed that \$87(2)(b) did not consent to the A warrantless search of a vehicle beyond the immediate grabbable area is only permitted when an officer has probable cause to believe that the vehicle contains contraband, a weapon, or evidence of a crime. See People v. Vargas, 89 A.D.3d 771 (2011 – 2nd Dept.) [enclosed CA-CB]. § 87(2)(g) § 87(2)(g)

Page 9 CCRB Case # 201110584

§ 87(4-b) § 87(2)(g)			
		<u> </u>	
Team:			
Investigator:			
Signature	Print	Date	
Supervisor:			
Title/Signature	Print	Date	
Reviewer:Title/Signature	Print	Date	
Reviewer:			
Title/Signature	Print	Date	