

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Cassandra Fenkel	Team: Squad #11	CCRB Case #: 201604567	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Sunday, 05/22/2016 8:35 AM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 102	18 Mo. SOL 11/22/2017	EO SOL 11/22/2017	
Date/Time CV Reported Sun, 05/22/2016 11:21 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Thu, 05/26/2016 10:42 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Witness(es)	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Joseph Amato	26432	927855	102 PCT
2. POM Ted Saintgerard	26747	934145	102 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. LT Mohammad Khan	00000	931761	102 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Joseph Amato	Force: Police Officer Joseph Amato used a Taser against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
B.POM Joseph Amato	Force: Police Officer Joseph Amato used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
C.POM Ted Saintgerard	Force: Police Officer Ted Saintgerard used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Case Summary

On May 22, 2016, Sgt. Brian Cusumano of the 102nd Precinct called the IAB Command Center to document that a Taser was used on § 87(2)(b) while she was being placed into custody. This complaint was received at the CCRB on May 26, 2016.

This incident was captured on a video surveillance camera, and a SnagIt copy of the relevant scenes has been placed below. However, the camera was positioned far from the scene and was partially obscured by a gate, thereby making it difficult to determine what took place based solely on the footage.



201604567_20160606_1454_DM.mp4

Between May 26, 2016, and June 23, 2016, § 87(2)(b) was called six times, three voicemail messages were left for her, two text messages were sent to her, and three unreturned letters were mailed to her. When § 87(2)(b) was called on July 8, 2016, she scheduled an appointment for June 13, 2016. On June 11, 2016, an automated text message was sent, reminding § 87(2)(b) of the appointment. However, § 87(2)(b) failed to appear in regard. On June 17, 2016, § 87(2)(b) was called and she rescheduled her appointment for June 23, 2016. On June 21, 2016, an automated text message was sent, reminding § 87(2)(b) of the appointment. On June 23, 2016, § 87(2)(b) called the CCRB before her scheduled appointment, and rescheduled for June 30, 2016. However, § 87(2)(b) failed to appear for that appointment as well.

A search of the NYC Department of Corrections Inmate Lookup database conducted on July 5, 2016, confirmed that § 87(2)(b) is not incarcerated. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

Squad: #11

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print	Date
Squad Leader:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date