CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	Force	☐ Discourt.	U.S.
Carter Garfield		Squad #10	201910657	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Wednesday, 12/11/2019 6:55 PM		79 Leonard Street		01	6/11/2021	1/26/2022
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCF	RB
Wed, 12/11/2019 8:44 PM		CCRB	Call Processing System	Wed, 12/1	1/2019 8:44 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Miles Holman	31489	952856	001 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.POM Miles Holman	Abuse: Police Officer Miles Holman failed to provide with a business card.					
B.POM Miles Holman	Abuse: Police Officer Miles Holman refused to provide his shield number to Khadida §887(2)(b)					
C.POM Miles Holman	Abuse: Police Officer Miles Holman refused to provide his name to \$\frac{8.87(2)(b)}{2.00}					
	§ 87(4-b), § 8	7(2)(g)				

Case Summary

On December 12, 2019 \$37(2)(b) called the CCRB to file the following complaint. On December 11, 2019 at approximately 6:55 p.m., in the vicinity of Leonard and Church Street in Manhattan, who was working as a taxicab driver, was pulled over by Police Officer Miles Holman, of the 1st Precinct. PO Holman issued \$37(2)(b) a summons for double parking on 79 Leonard Avenue. When \$37(2)(b) asked for his name, shield number, and business card, PO Holman allegedly did not answer, stating that his name was on the summons (Allegation A - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide RTKA card, \$37(2)(b) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide shield number, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation C - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (Allegation B - Abuse of Authority – Refusal to provide name, \$37(2)(c) (A
Findings and Recommendations
Allegation A: Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Miles Holman failed to provide \$87(2)(6)
with a business card.
Allegation B: Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Miles Holman refused to provide his shield
number to \$87(2)(b)
Allegation C: Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Miles Holman refused to provide his name to
§ 87(2)(b)
In her in-person interview (BR 01), solution stated that she dropped off a passenger on Leonard Street and was pulled over by PO Holman on Church Street directly afterward. When PO Holman approached her taxi, she asked why she was pulled over, and PO Holman responded to her in a rude tone that he was going to write her a summons. After receiving her summons, solution asked for PO Holman's name, shield number, and business card, but he did not answer her directly, stating that his name was on the summons. Solution statement (BR 03). According to PO Holman (BR 04) solution held up traffic on Leonard Street for three traffic cycles (going from green to red) without moving. PO Holman attempted to get her attention with his siren after the second cycle but was unsuccessful. He then pulled solve over on Church Street and asked her why she held up traffic, to which she responded she was dropping off a passenger. PO Holman told her that was no reason to hold up traffic and went back to his vehicle to write her a summons. When he returned to solve is taxi, she asked for his name and he told her it was on the summons. Solve did not ask for PO Holman's shield number or business card. The investigation obtained solve is carbon copy of summons solve was not legible. Solve is carbon copy of summons solve was not legible.
It was undisputed that PO Holman did not verbally state his name to \$87(2)(b) upon her
request, but instead said his name was on her summons. §87(2)(9)
Patrol Guide 203-09 states that an officer must clearly state, or otherwise provide, their
name to anyone who requests them to do so (BR 05).

CCRB Case # 201910657

§ 87(2)(g)			
	•		
§ 87(4-b) § 87(2)(g)	_	=	
		-	
§ 87(4-b)), § 87(2)(g)		
	<u>Civilia</u>	n and Officer CCRB Histories	
This is §8	s first complai	nt filed with the CCRB. § 87(2)(b)	
DO II-1	1 1	(BR 08).	- 1.1 C
	subject (BR 09).	of service for seven years and this is	s his fourth complaint in
	•	9 involved three allegations, two of	f which were substantiated.
		counts of abuse of authority – reta	
S	earch (of person). The N	YPD disposition and disciplinary a	action was instruction. The
f	orce allegation was unsu	ibstantiated.	
	Media	ation, Civil and Criminal Historie	es
• §	declined to me		-
• [§	87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]		
	•	OIL request was submitted to the O	-
	•	pertaining to this incident. The res	ults will be added to the file
u	pon receipt.		
Squad No.:	10		
Investigator:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader	r:		
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date