

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
ONE HOGAN PLACE
New York, N. Y. 10013
(212) 335-9000**

**CAPTAIN: EDISON GUTIERREZ
TAX NUMBER: 932 763**

DISCLOSURE ADVISORY

For the person named above, whom the People may call as a witness, please be advised as follows.

1. Edison Gutierrez is a named defendant in the civil action *Ebony Billingsley v. City of NY et al.*, 14 CV 02 942, filed in the Eastern District of New York, for an incident that occurred on June 20, 2013.
2. Edison Gutierrez is a named defendant in the civil action *Christopher Mendez v. City of NY et al.*, 709 048/2014, filed in Queens Supreme Court, for an incident that occurred on February 28, 2014.
3. The New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) has noted other misconduct for Edison Gutierrez, on or about February 1, 2014, having failed to prepare a stop, question, and frisk report when he was required to do so. A civilian complainant told the CCRB that on February 1, 2014 at approximately 1:15 AM, his automobile was stopped when an unmarked New York City Police car pulled perpendicular in front of his car blocking his path. Two officers approached his car. The nature of the interaction at that point is in dispute. The investigation identified Edison Gutierrez (then a Lieutenant) and another officer as the ones who had conducted that stop. After a brief stop the other officer, after conferring with Edison Gutierrez, returned the motorist's documents and explained that they had misidentified his car and were actually looking for a different car. No arrest was made or summons issued. Although Edison Gutierrez and the other officer claimed to have no recollection of the stop, the CCRB investigation concluded that they were the officers who had made the stop. As neither of them had prepared a stop, question, and frisk report, Edison Gutierrez was cited for other misconduct for not preparing a stop, question, and frisk report when he was required to prepare one.

Various publicly available websites and databases contain disciplinary information for certain law enforcement officers. Information in such databases about this officer is not necessarily included in this advisory.

Any information herein regarding civil lawsuits against an officer is not necessarily a complete list of civil lawsuits in which that officer is a defendant.

Allegations of misconduct that have not been substantiated and are not pending (including, but not limited to, findings of unsubstantiated, unfounded, and exonerated), and allegations of technical infractions, are not subject to disclosure and are not included in this advisory.

The decision to include information in this advisory does not represent a conclusion by the People that it is required to be disclosed.

The People reserve the right to oppose or move to limit the use of any information included herein or disclosed in the future.

If you have any questions, please contact the Assistant District Attorney assigned to the case on which you are receiving this advisory.

Date: November 20, 2020