CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

| Investigator: | | Team: | CCRB Case #: | ☐ Force | ☑ Discourt. | ☐ U.S. | |
|------------------------------|--|---|--------------|-----------|----------------------------|------------|--|
| Faria Tasnim | | Squad #5 | 201905045 | ✓ Abuse | O.L. | ☐ Injury | |
| Incident Date(s) | | Location of Incident: | | Precinct: | 18 Mo. SOL | EO SOL | |
| Tuesday, 06/11/2019 12:45 PM | | 61st Street and 23rd Avenue | | 62 | 12/11/2020 | 7/28/2021 | |
| Date/Time CV Reported | | CV Reported At: How CV Reported: | | Date/Time | Date/Time Received at CCRB | | |
| Гue, 06/11/2019 1:23 РМ | | CCRB On-line website | | Tue, 06/1 | Tue, 06/11/2019 1:23 PM | | |
| Complainant/Victim | Type | Home Addre | ess | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Subject Officer(s) | Shield | TaxID | Command | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | |
| 1. POF Tamara Clement | 21959 | 962322 | 062 PCT | | | | |
| Officer(s) | Allegation | on | | Inve | estigator Recon | nmendation | |
| A.POF Tamara Clement | Discourtesy: Police Officer Tamara Clement spoke discourteously to \$87(2)(b) | | | | | | |
| B.POF Tamara Clement | Discourtesy: Police Officer Tamara Clement spoke discourteously to \$87(2)(b) | | | | | | |
| C.POF Tamara Clement | Abuse: Police Officer Tamara Clement refused to provide her name to § 87(2)(b) | | | | | | |
| D.POF Tamara Clement | | Abuse: Police Officer Tamara Clement refused to provide her shield number to \$87(2)(b) | | | | | |

Case Summary

On June 11, 2019, \S 87(2)(b) • filed this complaint online on the CCRB's website. On June 11, 2019, at approximately 12:45 p.m., at the intersection of 61st Street and 23rd Avenue in Brooklyn, Police Officer Tamara Clement of the 62nd Precinct was seated in a parked vehicle. PO Clement allegedly spoke discourteously to §87(2)(b) s boyfriend, § 87(2)(b) father, § 87(2)(b) (Allegations A-B: Discourtesy, § 87(2)(g)). PO Clement later refused to provide her name and shield number to § 87(2)(6) (Allegations C-D: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(g) The investigation obtained cellphone video footage of this incident from \$37(2)(5) (BR01). Findings and Recommendations Allegation (A) Discourtesy: Police Officer Tamara Clement spoke discourteously to \$37(2)(5) Allegation (B): Discourtesy: Police Officer Tamara Clement spoke discourtesouly to \$87(2)(6) recounted that \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) encountered PO Clement while they were driving home. PO Clement allegedly spoke discourteously to them after they asked her to move her vehicle so that they could get access to the block. However, § 87(2)(b) present during this interaction, and merely reported the incident on behalf of \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) provided a phone statement, but he was unable to say whether PO Clement used profanity, as he did not understand English well. § 97(2)(b) later decided not to pursue the complaint any further. \$87(2)(b) provided a phone statement and confirmed that PO Clement used profanity when speaking to him and his father. However, \$37(2)(b) did not provide a sworn statement and did not respond to extensive contact attempts. Allegation (C) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Tamara Clement refused to provide her name to § 87(2)(b) Allegation (D) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Tamara Clement refused to provide her shield number to § 87(2)(b) It is undisputed that § 87(2)(b) approached PO Clement's vehicle and requested PO Clement's name and shield number. recounted in her CCRB testimony that she asked PO Clement for her name and shield number, and that she told PO Clement she wanted the information because she was unhappy with how PO Clement acted toward her father-in-law during the earlier incident involving \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) (BR02). PO Clement nodded, and then rolled up her

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201905045

| Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| § 87(2)(g) | | | | | |
| <u>Patrol Guide Procedure 203-09</u> states that when a uniformed member of service interacts with a member of the public, they must courteously and clearly state their rank, name, shield number, and command, or otherwise provide them, to anyone who requests them to do so (BR04). | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| § 87(2)(g) | | | | | |
| mention her father-in-law, and she heard \$\frac{\mathbb{37(2)(b)}}{\mathbb{2}}\$ ask for her name and shield number. PO Clement could not recall if she stated her name or shield number to \$\frac{\mathbb{37(2)(b)}}{\mathbb{2}}\$ PO Clement continued her phone call for a few minutes. She could not recall for how long \$\frac{\mathbb{87(2)(b)}}{\mathbb{2}}\$ stood at her window, and she could not recall if \$\frac{\mathbb{87(2)(b)}}{\mathbb{2}}\$ was still standing there when her phone call concluded. | | | | | |
| PO Clement confirmed that [87(2)(6)] approached her window and waved to get her attention (BR03). At that time, PO Clement received and answered a phone call from another officer. PO Clement lowered her window briefly while speaking on the phone. She heard [87(2)(6)] | | | | | |
| s cellphone video was consistent with her account of the incident (BR01). It shows that \$87(2)(b) knocked on the driver's window, asked if PO Clement was going to continue to ignore her, and said multiple times that she was waiting for PO Clement to provide her name. PO Clement continued to look straight ahead and did not respond while \$87(2)(b) knocked on the window. | | | | | |
| vehicle's window and proceeded to speak on her cellphone. After ending the call, PO Clement sat and looked straight out the windshield, and she did not respond to \$87(2)(b) while \$37(2)(b) knocked on the window and waved in order to get her attention. | | | | | |

- This is the first CCRB complaint to which \$87(2)(6) has been a party (BR05).

 PO Clement has been a member of service for three years and this is the first CCRB complaint to which she has been a subject.

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201905045

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- §87(2)(b) declined to mediate this complaint.
- On November 18, 2019, the undersigned requested a copy of any Notice of Claim filed regarding the incident with the NYC Comptroller's office. As of the date of this report, no response has been received. The response will be added to the case file upon receipt.

| The BADS databa | se shows no previous arr | sests for \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(g) | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------|
| Squad No.: | | | |
| Investigator: | Signature | Print Title & Name | Date |
| Squad Leader: | Signature | Print Title & Name | Date |
| Reviewer: | Signature | Print Title & Name | Date |

Page 4

CCRB Case # 201905045