

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Cassandra Fenkel	Team: Squad #14	CCRB Case #: 201705935	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday, 07/14/2017 7:00 PM	Location of Incident: In front of § 87(2)(b) 81st Precinct Stationhouse	Precinct: 81	18 Mo. SOL 1/14/2019	EO SOL 1/14/2019	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 07/14/2017 7:35 PM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Thu, 07/20/2017 2:34 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. An officer			
2. POM Sean McDonnell	25832	955162	081 PCT
3. POM Kevin Lynch	05413	958850	PSA 3
4. POM Douglas Connolly	10261	954665	081 PCT
5. Officers			
6. DTS Wilson Verdesoto	01006	937685	PSA 3
7. POM Nelson Liu	19376	959763	PSA 3

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POF Norvely Lopez	20520	954079	PSA 3

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A. An officer	Discourtesy: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, an officer spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	
B. Officers	Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
C. POM Douglas Connolly	Abuse: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Douglas Connolly searched the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were occupants.	

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
D.POM Sean McDonnell	Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Sean McDonnell used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
E.POM Sean McDonnell	Discourtesy: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Sean McDonnell spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	
F.POM Sean McDonnell	Discourtesy: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Sean McDonnell spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	
G.POM Sean McDonnell	Off. Language: At § 87(2)(b) Police Officer Sean McDonnell made remarks to § 87(2)(b) based upon the gender of § 87(2)(b)	
H.POM Sean McDonnell	Discourtesy: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Sean McDonnell acted discourteously toward § 87(2)(b)	
I.POM Sean McDonnell	Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Sean McDonnell hit § 87(2)(b) against a vehicle.	
J. An officer	Abuse: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, an officer detained § 87(2)(b)	
K. An officer	Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, an officer used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
L.POM Kevin Lynch	Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Kevin Lynch used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
M.DTS Wilson Verdesoto	Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Detective Wilson Verdesoto used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
N.POM Nelson Liu	Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Nelson Liu used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
O. Officers	Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
P.POM Sean McDonnell	Discourtesy: At the 81st Precinct stationhouse, Police Officer Sean McDonnell spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	
Q. Officers	Force: At the 81st Precinct stationhouse, officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On July 14, 2017, § 87(2)(b) called 911 and was connected to the IAB Command Center, where she filed this complaint on behalf of herself, her § 87(2)(b)-old daughter, § 87(2)(b), her § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b)-year-old sons, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b), her husband, § 87(2)(b), her adult nephews, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b), and her sister, § 87(2)(b). On July 15, 2017, § 87(2)(b) a non-witnessing complainant, called the IAB Command Center and filed this complaint on behalf of her § 87(2)(b)-old son, § 87(2)(b). This complaint was received at the CCRB on July 20, 2017.

On July 14, 2017, at approximately 7:00 p.m., PO Sean McDonnell and PO Douglas Connolly, both of the 81st Precinct, responded a radio run for an officer in need of assistance at § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn. PO Kevin Lynch, PO Norvely Lopez, PO Nelson Liu, and Det. Wilson Verdesoto, all of PSA 3, also responded to the location. An unidentified officer allegedly spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation A: Discourtesy**, § 87(2)(g)). Unidentified officers allegedly threw § 87(2)(b) to the ground (**Allegation B: Force**, § 87(2)(g)). PO Connolly allegedly searched § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle (**Allegation C: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g)). PO Sean McDonnell allegedly pushed § 87(2)(b), spoke discourteously toward § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) made a remark to § 87(2)(b) based upon her gender, and knocked a cell phone from § 87(2)(b)'s hand (**Allegation D: Force**, § 87(2)(g); **Allegations E - F: Discourtesy**, § 87(2)(g), **Allegation G: Offensive Language**, § 87(2)(g); **Allegation H: Discourtesy**, § 87(2)(g)).

PO McDonnell allegedly pushed § 87(2)(b)'s head against a vehicle (**Allegation I: Force**, § 87(2)(g)). An unidentified officer allegedly pushed, kicked, and handcuffed § 87(2)(b) who was ultimately released (**Allegations J - K: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g)). § 87(2)(b) exited § 87(2)(b) to watch the incident with his grandmother, § 87(2)(b) and PO Lynch, Det. Verdesoto, PO Liu, and unidentified officers allegedly brought § 87(2)(b) to the ground and kicked him (**Allegation L - N: Force**, § 87(2)(g), **Allegation O: Force**, § 87(2)(g)).

§ 87(2)(b) was transported to the 81st Precinct stationhouse, where PO McDonnell allegedly spoke discourteously to him (**Allegation P: Discourtesy**, § 87(2)(g)). § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) went to the 81st Precinct stationhouse and unidentified officers allegedly used force against § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation Q: Force**, § 87(2)(g)).

§ 87(2)(b) was arrested for § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 01). § 87(2)(b) was arrested on an outstanding warrant (Board Review 02). § 87(2)(b) was arrested for § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 03).

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(c)

§ 87(2)(b) provided a cell phone video of the incident that was recorded by a bystander whom § 87(2)(b) refused to identify. The original footage can be found

in IA #41 (Board Review 07) and is summarized in IA #216 (Board Review 08). A SnagIt copy has been placed below.

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation A – Discourtesy: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, an officer spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) (Board Review 09) testified that she received a phone call from § 87(2)(b) who told her that officers were arresting § 87(2)(b) outside of § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) arrived within five minutes and observed 10 uniformed officers standing around § 87(2)(b) who was handcuffed. § 87(2)(b) asked the officers what they were doing and an unidentified officer, who § 87(2)(b) described as a light-skinned Hispanic man standing approximately 5’ tall with a slim build wearing jeans and a blue t-shirt, allegedly stated, “Shut the fuck up, bitch.” The officer told § 87(2)(b) that § 87(2)(b) was arrested on an open warrant, which § 87(2)(b) disputed. The officer allegedly stated, “You could be the next bitch out here.”

§ 87(2)(b) (Board Review 10), who was seated inside of § 87(2)(b)’s parked vehicle outside of the location, said she heard § 87(2)(b) ask the officers what § 87(2)(b) was being arrested for, and an unidentified officer allegedly replied, “It’s none of your business, bitch.”

§ 87(2)(b) was uncooperative with the investigation (see IAs for contact attempts).



201705935_20180301_1208_DM.mp4

The video footage provided by § 87(2)(b) depicts numerous uniformed and plainclothed officers standing in the street and on the sidewalk. No physical interaction with any civilians is depicted. § 87(2)(b) identified as the woman in the purple shirt visible at the 00:01 mark, yells various obscenities such as “faggot ass cop” and “fucking dickhead.” No other verbal interactions are depicted.

PO Connolly (Board Review 11) testified that a dark-skinned woman in her late twenties told him that the officers had no right to be at the location “harassing everyone.” PO Connolly informed the woman that the officers were following up on individuals with open warrants due to a recent shooting in the area. Det. Verdesoto (Board Review 12) testified that he conducted crowd control and specifically ordered a woman to “get your son back.” Det. Verdesoto was unable to recall this aspect of the incident in more detail and could not recall how this woman or her son gained his attention. Both Det. Verdesoto and PO Connolly denied using profanity during this incident and denied hearing any other officers do so.

PO McDonnell (Board Review 13), PO Lopez (Board Review 14), PO Liu (Board Review 15), and PO Lynch (Board Review 16) did not recall having any interactions with § 87(2)(b) or observing any other officer’s interactions with her. PO McDonnell, PO Lopez, and

PO Liu denied using profanity during the incident and denied hearing any other officer do so. PO Lynch did not recall if he or any other officers used profanity during this incident.

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation B – Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) (Board Review 17) provided a brief phone statement, during which he alleged that approximately 20 unidentified officers threw him to the ground. However, § 87(2)(b) was ultimately uncooperative with providing a verified statement to the investigation (see IAs for contact attempts).

§ 87(2)(b) alleged that officers pushed § 87(2)(b) inside a patrol car, so that his neck to hit the roof of the car.

§ 87(2)(b) testified that she observed § 87(2)(b) in handcuffs, but she did not allege that officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b).

§ 87(2)(b) (Board Review 18) testified that upon his arrival, § 87(2)(b) was being placed inside of a patrol car. § 87(2)(b) did not see any part of § 87(2)(b)'s body make contact with the roof of the patrol car.

PO Lopez testified that she observed unidentified officers whom she believed to be from the 81st Precinct escort § 87(2)(b) to a patrol car for transport. § 87(2)(b) initially yelled “I’m not going!,” but ultimately entered the vehicle on his own accord. PO Lopez denied that any physical force was used against § 87(2)(b).

PO Lynch testified that he observed unidentified officers from the 81st Precinct escort § 87(2)(b) to a patrol car, but denied that there appeared to be any issue with § 87(2)(b). PO Lynch did not recall if officers pushed § 87(2)(b) inside the patrol car, and denied seeing officers use any other physical force against him.

PO McDonnell, PO Connolly, PO Liu, and Det. Verdesoto did not observe § 87(2)(b) interact with any officers.

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation C – Abuse of Authority: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Douglas Connolly searched the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were occupants.

Allegation D – Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Sean McDonnell used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation E – Discourtesy: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Sean McDonnell spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)

Allegation F – Discourtesy: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Sean McDonnell spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)

Allegation G – Offensive Language: At § 87(2)(b) Police Officer Sean McDonnell made a remark to § 87(2)(b) based upon the gender of § 87(2)(b)

Allegation H – Discourtesy: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Sean McDonnell acted discourteously toward § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) testified that he observed PO Connolly use his flashlight to look through the windowpanes of his vehicle, which he double-parked outside of § 87(2)(b) with § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) inside. PO Connolly allegedly opened § 87(2)(b)'s unlocked front driver's side door nearly all the way, and closed it after approximately five seconds. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) approached the vehicle, at which point PO McDonnell allegedly placed two hands on § 87(2)(b)'s chest and pushed her. PO McDonnell allegedly ordered both § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) to "get the fuck back." § 87(2)(b) did not know if § 87(2)(b) had her cell phone out at this time.

§ 87(2)(b) testified that PO Connolly opened the front passenger's side door of § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle. § 87(2)(b) told PO Connolly that he had no right to search the vehicle. PO McDonnell allegedly approached § 87(2)(b) outstretched each of his arms, pushed her in her chest, and stated "Bitch, move." PO McDonnell allegedly slapped § 87(2)(b)'s cell phone out of her hand and it fell to the ground.

§ 87(2)(b) testified that PO Connolly and PO McDonnell took photographs of § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle, though she did not see any officers open any of its doors. § 87(2)(b) attempted to enter the vehicle through the front passenger's door, at which point PO McDonnell allegedly pushed her. § 87(2)(b) did not hear officers say anything to § 87(2)(b) and she did not see § 87(2)(b)'s cell phone.

PO Connolly testified that he was informed by an officer, he could not recall who, that § 87(2)(b) may have thrown a firearm into the street. PO Connolly looked around and his attention was drawn to a vehicle double parked outside of the location. PO Connolly approached the driver's side of the vehicle and looked through the windows from the outside. PO Connolly denied that he opened any of the vehicle's doors and denied that he conducted a search of the vehicle. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) approached and began to curse at PO Connolly and PO McDonnell. § 87(2)(b) asked, "Why the fuck are you looking in my car?" PO Connolly denied that PO McDonnell used any profanity towards § 87(2)(b) and

§ 87(2)(b) and he denied that PO McDonnell slapped § 87(2)(b)'s cell phone out of her hand.

PO McDonnell testified that PO Connolly informed him that he wanted to issue a summons for a double-parked vehicle. PO McDonnell was unable to recall any additional details about the vehicle, but he denied that PO Connolly opened the vehicle's doors or otherwise searched it. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) both approached the officers and pushed PO Connolly in his chest. PO McDonnell denied using any profanity, denied that he pushed § 87(2)(b) and denied that he slapped § 87(2)(b)'s cell phone from her hand.

PO Lopez, PO Lynch, PO Liu, and Det. Verdesoto each testified that their time at the location was spent conducting crowd control. PO Lopez, PO Liu, and Det. Verdesoto denied witnessing any of the above allegations. PO Lynch did not recall whether he did so or not.

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation I – Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Sean McDonnell hit § 87(2)(b) against a vehicle.

§ 87(2)(b) testified that after PO McDonnell pushed § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) stated, “That’s my wife” and walked towards PO McDonnell. § 87(2)(b) approximated he got within three feet of PO McDonnell. § 87(2)(b) stood up straight and did not raise his arms or hands. PO McDonnell told § 87(2)(b) that he cannot put his hands on a police officer, though § 87(2)(b) denied that he ever initiated physical contact with PO McDonnell or PO Connolly. PO McDonnell grabbed § 87(2)(b)'s arms, handcuffed them behind his back, and placed him against the hood of his vehicle. PO McDonnell then allegedly pushed § 87(2)(b)'s head against the hood of vehicle.

PO McDonnell testified that after § 87(2)(b) pushed PO Connolly, he grabbed the chest area of § 87(2)(b)'s shirt and turned him around to face the hood of his vehicle in order to place him under arrest. § 87(2)(b) bent down and laid his chest against the hood, at which point PO McDonnell handcuffed him. PO McDonnell denied that § 87(2)(b) resisted in any manner and denied that he made contact with § 87(2)(b)'s head. Though PO McDonnell prepared a TRI Report (Board Review 19), which indicated a forcible takedown was used against § 87(2)(b) PO McDonnell testified that this solely referred to grabbing § 87(2)(b) by his shirt, turning him around, and § 87(2)(b) leaning himself against the vehicle.

PO Connolly's testimony was generally consistent with PO McDonnell's, though he said he maneuvered out of the way before § 87(2)(b) could actually make physical contact with him. He denied that § 87(2)(b) successfully pushed him. PO Connolly denied that PO McDonnell pushed § 87(2)(b)'s head against the vehicle.

PO Lopez denied seeing PO McDonnell push § 87(2)(b)'s head against the hood of the vehicle. PO Lynch, PO Liu, and Det. Verdesoto had no recollection of § 87(2)(b)'s arrest.

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation J – Abuse of Authority: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, an officer detained § 87(2)(b)

Allegation K – Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, an officer used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) testified that when she exited § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle, an unidentified male officer immediately placed two hands on her chest and pushed her. § 87(2)(b) grabbed § 87(2)(b) and pulled her toward the sidewalk. Officers asked § 87(2)(b) what she was doing, and she stated that she was going to the sidewalk. An unidentified officer allegedly kicked § 87(2)(b) once in her groin and she fell to the ground. The officer placed § 87(2)(b) in handcuffs, though she was released after approximately three minutes and was not arrested or summonsed.

§ 87(2)(b) testified that she observed § 87(2)(b) in handcuffs, though she did not see or hear what led up to this. § 87(2)(b) did not see officers use physical force against § 87(2)(b).

§ 87(2)(b) did not observe § 87(2)(b)'s interactions with officers.

None of the officers interviewed had any recollection of participating in or observing § 87(2)(b)'s interactions with officers during this incident. PO Lopez, PO Lynch, PO McDonnell, PO Connolly, PO Liu, and Det. Verdesoto each denied using physical force against § 87(2)(b).

The 81st Precinct has no records of any Stop, Question, and Frisk Report Worksheets prepared for § 87(2)(b) on the date of the incident (Board Review 20).

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation L – Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Kevin Lynch used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation M – Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Detective Wilson Verdesoto used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation N – Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Nelson Liu used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation O – Force: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 21) each alleged that officers brought § 87(2)(b) to the ground. Approximately 20 officers

allegedly circled § 87(2)(b) kicked him in his torso and legs, and stomped on his face numerous times.

§ 87(2)(b) did not observe § 87(2)(b)'s interactions with officers.

§ 87(2)(b) was uncooperative with the investigation (see IAs for contact attempts).

PO Lynch testified that he was conducting crowd control and instructing approximately 50 civilians to get back when § 87(2)(b) emerged from the crowd and pushed Det. Verdesoto in his chest. PO Lynch and Det. Verdesoto each grabbed one of § 87(2)(b)'s arms. § 87(2)(b) tried to pull his arms away. PO Liu approached to assist and also grabbed one of § 87(2)(b)'s arms. Believing he would have more control of § 87(2)(b) on the ground, PO Lynch leg swept § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) landed chest down on the ground. PO Lynch grabbed and held § 87(2)(b)'s legs until § 87(2)(b) was handcuffed. PO Lynch denied that he used any additional physical force against § 87(2)(b) and denied seeing any other officers do so.

PO Liu testified that § 87(2)(b) pushed an officer, he could not recall who, in the chest. This officer, along with other approximately 10 additional unidentified officers, surrounded § 87(2)(b). PO Liu's view was obstructed by the officers' backs for approximately 10 seconds. When he next observed § 87(2)(b) he was lying on the ground moving his legs. Fearing § 87(2)(b) might kick an officer, PO Liu held § 87(2)(b)'s ankles against the ground. PO Liu could not recall if § 87(2)(b) was making any other movements and did not recall what the other officers were doing at this point. § 87(2)(b) was handcuffed within one minute. Aside from holding his ankles, PO Liu did not use any physical force against § 87(2)(b) and denied seeing any other officers do so.

Det. Verdesoto did not recall interacting with § 87(2)(b). He denied that he used any physical force against § 87(2)(b) and denied seeing any other officers do so. Det. Verdesoto had no recollection of being pushed by any civilian and denied that he had any physical contact with any civilian during this incident.

PO Lopez testified that she observed § 87(2)(b) on the ground, but did not see the circumstances leading up to this. She denied seeing officers use any physical force against him.

PO Connolly and PO McDonnell testified that they were transporting § 87(2)(b) and did not witness this aspect of the incident. Though PO McDonnell prepared a TRI Report (Board Review 22) indicating that § 87(2)(b) was brought to the ground, he denied that he witnessed or participated in this aspect of the incident. He said he was instructed to prepare the TRI Report by the desk sergeant at the stationhouse.

§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation P – Discourtesy: At the 81st Precinct stationhouse, Police Officer Sean McDonnell spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) testified that he was transported to the 81st Precinct stationhouse and brought to the stationhouse front desk, where PO McDonnell allegedly stated, “This guy thinks he’s tough and lets his fucking wife speak for him.”

PO McDonnell acknowledged that he accompanied § 87(2)(b) to the front desk and obtained his pedigree information for his command log entry. However, he said no other conversation occurred. PO McDonnell denied making the alleged discourteous statement.

PO Connolly said that he was with PO McDonnell and § 87(2)(b) at the front desk. PO Connolly testified that § 87(2)(b) spoke briefly about his job and how important it was to him. PO Connolly did not recall hearing PO McDonnell make the alleged discourteous statement.

Neither PO Lopez, PO Lynch, PO Liu, nor Det. Verdesoto were present during this aspect of the incident.

§ 87(2)(g)
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Allegation Q – Force: At the 81st Precinct stationhouse, officers used physical force against

§ 87(2)(b) testified that she went to the 81st Precinct stationhouse after the incident, where she met § 87(2)(b) who told her that unidentified officers allegedly “beat” § 87(2)(b) for refusing to leave the stationhouse.

§ 87(2)(b) testified that at the stationhouse, he overheard loud voices and someone, he could not distinguish who, state that “they didn’t do nothing.” § 87(2)(b) observed an officer escort § 87(2)(b) who was handcuffed, toward the rear of the stationhouse.

§ 87(2)(b) did not see § 87(2)(b) after this point.

§ 87(2)(b) was unavailable to the investigation (see IAs for contact attempts) and § 87(2)(b) was uncooperative with the investigation (see IAs for contact attempts).

§ 87(2)(g)
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the first CCRB complaint to which § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 23), § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 24), § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 25), § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 26), § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 27), § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 28), § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 29), § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 30), § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 31), and § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 32) have been a party.
- PO McDonnell has been a member-of-service for four years and has been a subject in five complaints and 10 allegations, none of which were substantiated. § 87(2)(g)
[REDACTED]

- PO Connolly has been a member-of-service for four years and has been a subject in two complaints and four allegations, none of which were substantiated. § 87(2)(g)
- PO Lynch has been a member-of-service for two years and this is the first CCRB complaint to which he has been a subject.
- Det. Verdesoto has been a member-of-service for 13 years and has been a subject in 16 CCRB complaints and 35 allegations, of which four allegations were substantiated:
 - CCRB case #201606686 involved substantiated allegations of physical force, refusal to provide name and shield number, a frisk, and a search of person against Det. Verdesoto. The Board recommended Command Discipline B for each substantiated allegation. The NYPD imposed formalized training for the frisk and search allegations and imposed no disciplinary action for the physical force and refusal to provide name and shield number allegations.
- PO Liu has been a member-of-service for two years and this is the first CCRB complaint to which he has been a subject.

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- This complaint was not suitable for mediation.
- As of March 2, 2018, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of a Notice of Claim being filed in regards to this complaint (Board Review 33).

• § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(c)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Squad No.: #14

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Squad Leader:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

