CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Aaron Cole		Squad #14	201701686	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Monday, 02/27/2017 1:22 PM		230 Vesey Street		01	8/27/2018	8/27/2018
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCI	RB
Mon, 02/27/2017 1:41 PM		IAB	Phone	Fri, 03/03/	2017 11:03 AM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	Home Address			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Audon Simpson	08433	937544	001 PCT			
2. An officer						
Officer(s)	Allegation			Investigator Recommendation		
A.POM Audon Simpson	Discourtesy: Police Officer Audon Simpson spoke discourteously to \$87(2)(6)					
B. An officer	Abuse: An officer threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)					
C.POM Audon Simpson	Abuse: Police Officer Audon Simpson threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b)					

Case Summary

On February 27, 2017, \$87(2)(b) called the IAB Command Center to file this complaint, which was received at the CCRB on March 3, 2017.

At approximately 1:22 p.m. on February 27, 2017, in the vicinity of 230 Vesey Street in Manhattan, street was driving his taxi cab when PO Audon Simpson of the 1st Precinct stopped value is vehicle and issued him a summons for parking his cab in a "no standing any time" zone. street his taxi, approached PO Simpson, and protested that he did not deserve to receive the summons. PO Simpson allegedly told street summons. PO Simpson continued arguing. Two unidentified officers approached, and PO1 allegedly told street to get inside his taxi or he would be arrested (Allegation B). PO Simpson also threatened to arrest street (Allegation C). street reentered his taxi and drove away.

There is no video evidence in this case.

This case was delayed due to difficulties identifying officers.

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- \$87(2)(b) accepted mediation, but one subject officer remains unidentified (Board Review 01).
- [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]
- As of August 1, 2017, \$87(2)(b) has not filed a Notice of Claim with the NYC Comptroller's Office regarding this incident (Board Review 03).

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the third complaint that \$87(2)(b) has filed with the CCRB (Board Review 04).
- PO Simpson, a 12-year-member-of-service, has one prior CCRB complaint filed against him with a total of three allegations, including two discourtesy allegations, which were all withdrawn (Board Review 05).

Findings and Recommendations

Explanation of Subject Officer Identification

testified that PO1 was a white male, approximately 45 years old, wearing a light blue uniform. PO1 was on foot and accompanied by a partner whom did not pay attention to and could not describe. PO Simpson acknowledged the presence of PO1 and PO2, but said they were counterterrorism officers. PO Simpson described one officer as a white male in his thirties, and the second as a Hispanic male in his twenties. was scheduled to view a photo array on April 27, 2017, but called the CCRB that same day and refused to do so because he did not believe it would be useful (Board Review 06).

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201701686

According to Det. Andre Crandon of the IAB-CCRB Liaison Unit, community affairs officers are the only NYPD officers who wear light blue uniform shirts. Counterterrorism officers do not. He noted that 230 Vesey Street is covered by the World Trade Center command, which is a subcommand of the Counterterrorism Division and operates within the confines of the 1st Precinct (Board Review 07).

PAA Cevallos from the Roll Call office of the World Trade Center command was called on July 27, 2017, and corroborated that officers assigned to that command never wear light blue uniform shirts (Board Review 08).

The tour 2 Roll Call for the World Trade Center command identified Sgt. George Giga as working as the community affairs officer at the time of this incident (Board Review 09), and his pedigree information matches secreption of PO1(Board Review 10). Sgt. Giga was interviewed, but denied being involved in this incident. Sgt. Giga always dresses in plainclothes. Sgt. Giga noted that officers from all over the city work paid details in the vicinity of the incident location because it is near the World Trade Center (Board Review 11). The tour 2 Roll Call for the 1st Precinct identified PO Eugene Schatz and Det. Thomas Moran as working together as community affairs officers at the time of the incident (Board Review 12) and their pedigree information both matched security security of PO1 (Board Review 13, Board Review 14). PO Schatz and Det. Moran were interviewed, but denied being involved in this incident. They both said they wore plainclothes on the date of this incident (Board Review 15, Board Review 16). Neither Sgt. Giga, PO Schatz, nor Det. Moran maintain memo books.

§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation A- Discourtesy: Police Officer Audon Simpson spoke discourteously to \$ 37(2)(b)
It is undisputed that after PO Simpson issued \$87(2)(b) a summons for parking his cab in a no standing zone, \$87(2)(b) approached PO Simpson in the street and protested the summons in a raised voice. PO Simpson acknowledged that he repeatedly ordered \$87(2)(b) to return to his taxi,
but denied that he used the word "fuck" or any profanity in speaking with \$87(2)(b) Due to the conflicting statements, and absent any independent corroboration, the investigation was unable to determine whether PO Simpson spoke discourteously to \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b)

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201701686

Allegation B- Abuse of Authority: An officer threatened to arrest 887(2)(b) Allegation C- Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Audon Simpson threatened to arrest 827(2)(b)						
alleged that after he exited his tax pulled his vehicle over, and as he was stan being issued the summons, PO1 and PO2 arrest him if he did not get back inside of he threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b) but acknowledged.	ading in the street yellin arrived on foot and PO his vehicle. PO Simpso	g at PO Simpson in protest of 1 told \$87(2)(b) that he would on did not recall whether PO1				
During his CCRB interview, PO Simpson conduct because he was raising his voice a had the potential to make other civilians no stopped to observe the interaction, but assit is a generally busy one. PO Simpson fee PO Simpson was seated, PO Simpson had not know anything about \$87(2)(6) as character of the street of the street. PO Simpson digather.	at a uniformed police of ervous. PO Simpson di umed that pedestrians vared for his safety becar just issued \$87(2)(b) a seter. At this point of the too, no?" PO Simpson	ifficer in a public place, which id not recall if any bystanders were walking in the area because use \$87(2)(b) was standing while ummons, and PO Simpson did e interview, PBA Rep. Louis then agreed, noting that \$87(2)(b)				
New York State Penal Law, Article 240.20 disorderly conduct when, with intent to car recklessly creating a risk thereof: he engage he makes unreasonable noise; or he obstruelement is necessary Statements using coarse	use public inconvenien ges in a violent, tumultu acts vehicular or pedestr	ce, annoyance or alarm, or nous or threatening behavior; or rian traffic. The public harm				
According to an August 8, 2017 Google M. with Three World Financial Center and Br § 87(2)(g)						
Squad: 14						
Investigator: Signature	Print	Date				
Squad Leader:						

CCRB Case # 201701686

Page 4

Print

Print

Date

Date

Reviewer:

Title/Signature

Title/Signature