## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	<b>✓</b> Force	☐ Discourt.	U.S.
Greg Finch		Squad #14	201706328	☐ Abuse		_
Greg Finch		Squad #14	201700328	Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Saturday, 07/29/2017		Playground outside of	§ 87(2)(b)	40	1/29/2019	1/29/2019
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Tim	ne Received at CC	RB
Sun, 07/30/2017 12:19 AM		IAB	Phone	Thu, 08/0	03/2017 11:00 AN	Л
Complainant/Victim	Туре	Home Addre	ess			
Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess			
v incess(es)						
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. Officers			PSA 7			
2. LT Eric Dym	00000	933762	PSA 7			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM John Olsen	16266	957920	PSA 7			
2. POM Brian Greaige	13811	960616	PSA 7			
3. POF Katlin Murphy	10259	960988	PSA 7			
4. POM Mike Suarez	05841	955540	PSA 7			
5. POM Felix Baez	04759	953654	PSA 7			
6. POM Pasquale Dethomas	11005	955873	PSA 7			
7. POM Jeremiah Hass	00368	940240	PSA 7			
8. POM Robert Ferguson	24681	934849	PSA 7			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inv	estigator Recor	nmendation
A. Officers	Force: On and § 87(2)	fficers used physical for	rce against § 87(2)(b)			
B. Officers	Force: O	fficers used physical for	ce against § 87(2)(b)			
C.LT Eric Dym	Force: Li § 87(2)(b)	eutenant (8 87(2)) Dym use	d pepper spray agair	nst		

Case Summary					
On July 30, 2017, \$37(2)(b) called the IAB Command Center to file this complaint on behalf of her neighbors, \$37(2)(b) and \$37(2)(b) She alleged that force was used against them as they were being taken into police custody, but made no additional allegations. That same day, Sgt. Desalvo of PSA8 called the IAB Command Center to report that in addition to \$37(2)(b) were also arrested (he did not mention was pepper-sprayed, and that \$37(2)(b) sustained an injury prior to his interactions with officers that required medical attention. On August 3, 2017, the CCRB received the case.					
On August 7, 2017, \$87(2)(b) provided a phone statement to the CCRB. \$87(2)(b) scheduled an interview at the CCRB for August 16, 2017. However, on August 15, 2017, and called the CCRB and cancelled her appointment due to a family illness. She rescheduled it for August 21, 2017. On August 21, 2017, \$87(2)(b) text messaged the undersigned investigator and requested he call her. When called that same day, \$87(2)(b) said she wished to withdraw her complaint because the victims' attorneys advised them not to participate, so she did not wish to proceed. \$87(2)(b) said that she was withdrawing her complaint of her own free will and was not coerced by any member of the CCRB or NYPD to do so. \$87(2)(b) s withdrawal decision can be found in \$87(2)(b) she beginning at the 00:55 mark. Investigative Manager Laura Kastner reviewed the withdrawal audio and confirmed it adhered to agency standards. On August 21, 2017, a withdrawal letter was mailed to \$87(2)(b) and will be added to the case file upon receipt.					
Between August 9, 2017 and August 31, 2017, searches of the following databases were conducted for \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2017}\$ the CCRB's Complaint Tracking System, the NYC Department of Motor Vehicles, LexisNexis, CLEAR, the NYPD's Booking and Arraignment Disposition System and COLE. All of these attempts yielded a total of five potential phone numbers, two potential mailing addresses, and two potential email addresses for \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2017}\$ Between August 9, 2017 and August 15, 2017, calls placed to two of the additional potential phone numbers for \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2017}\$ determined them to be wrong numbers. Between August 7, 2017 and August 25, 2017, five calls were placed to each of the remaining three phone numbers for \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2017}\$ One voicemail was left, and the other 14 calls rang out or were not in service. Between August 7, 2017 and August 31, 2017, three unreturned emails were sent to \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2017}\$ Between August 7, 2017 and August 31, 2017, three unreturned letters were mailed to each of \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2017}\$ addresses, and three unreturned emails were sent to him. Between August 21, 2017 and August 30, 2017, three unreturned phone calls were placed to \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2017}\$ attorney at \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2017}\$, and three voicemails were left.					
Between August 9, 2017 and August 31, 2017, searches of the following databases were conducted for \$87(2)(6) the CCRB's Complaint Tracking System, the NYC Department of Motor Vehicles, LexisNexis, CLEAR, the NYPD's Booking and Arraignment Disposition System and COLE. All of these attempts yielded a total of four potential addresses and two potential phone numbers for \$87(2)(6) Between August 7, 2017 and August 25, 2017, 16 calls were placed to \$87(2)(6) s phone numbers, and all were unresponsive or disconnected. Between August 7, 2017 and September 8, 2017, three unreturned letters were mailed to each of \$27(2)(6)					

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201706328

s potenti calls were place	_	31, 2017 and September 14 by at $\$^{87(2)(b)}$ , and two v	4, 2017, two unreturned poicemails were left.	hone
conducted for Motor Vehicles System and CO potential phone unreturned lette 25, 2017, 20 cal not accepting in	the CCRB, LexisNexis, CLEAR, the LE. All of these attempts numbers for \$87(2)(b) ars were mailed to \$87(2)(b) aroming calls. Between A te placed to \$87(2)(b)		tem, the NYC Department rraignment Disposition ential addresses, and four 17 and August 17, 2017, to August 9, 2017 and August 9, 2017 and August 11, 2017, and all were disconnected	two ust or
2017, a search or results for an ar	of the NYPD's Booking a rest for § 87(2)(b) by	r contact information for and Arraignment Disposition PSA8 on the incident date.	on System returned negati Because §87(2)(b) ha	
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)				
Squad:				
Investigator:		· <del></del>		
Squad Leader: _	Signature	Print	Date	
•	Title/Signature	Print	Date	
Reviewer:	Title/Signature	Print	Date	

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201706328