

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Andrew Chiang	Team: Team # 7	CCRB Case #: 200502115	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Saturday, 02/26/2005 9:00 PM	Location of Incident: Atlantic Avenue and Autumn Avenue, Brooklyn	Precinct: 75	18 Mo. SOL 8/26/2006	EO SOL 8/26/2006	
Date/Time CV Reported Sun, 02/27/2005 1:15 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 02/28/2005 10:32 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Abraham Arteaga	27115	929657	075 PCT
2. SGT Roberto Melendez	05442	899587	075 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Abraham Arteaga	Abuse: PO Abraham Arteaga stopped the car in which § 87(2)(b) was an occupant.	
B.SGT Roberto Melendez	Abuse: Sgt. Roberto Melendez stopped the car in which § 87(2)(b) was an occupant.	
C.SGT Roberto Melendez	Abuse: Sgt. Roberto Melendez authorized the arrest of § 87(2)(b)	
D.SGT Roberto Melendez	Abuse: Sgt. Roberto Melendez authorized the issuance of summonses to § 87(2)(b)	
E.SGT Roberto Melendez	Abuse: Sgt. Roberto Melendez searched the car in which § 87(2)(b) was an occupant.	

Synopsis

On February 26, 2005 at approximately 9:00pm, § 87(2)(b) and his passenger § 87(2)(b) were stopped by PO Abraham Arteaga and Sgt. Roberto Melendez while driving on Atlantic Avenue in Brooklyn. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) claimed that the officers had followed them and stopped them without reason (allegations A and B). During the stop, PO Arteaga obtained § 87(2)(b)'s driver's license and checked him for warrants. According to PO Arteaga, the search yielded the name of an individual who used § 87(2)(b) as an alias, who fit the physical description of § 87(2)(b) and who had an open warrant for his arrest. When PO Arteaga and Sgt. Melendez questioned § 87(2)(b) further about his identity, § 87(2)(b) was not compliant and refused to answer some of the questions. Sgt. Melendez decided that he had sufficient cause to arrest § 87(2)(b) (allegation C). After PO Arteaga transported § 87(2)(b) to the 75th Precinct stationhouse (Sgt. Melendez drove § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle there), the officers made additional attempts to verify § 87(2)(b)'s identity by obtaining more information about § 87(2)(b) and the suspect in question. The officers later determined that § 87(2)(b) was not the suspect in question, and released him with a summonses for § 87(2)(b) and for § 87(2)(b) (allegation D). When § 87(2)(b) returned to his car, he claimed that it showed signs of having been searched (Allegation E).

§ 87(2)(g)

Summary of Complaint

§ 87(2)(b) a § 87(2)(b)-year old black male, filed this complaint over the phone on February 28, 2005 (encl. 3a-b). § 87(2)(b) provided a telephone statement to the CCRB on March 2, 2005 (encl. 4a-b) and was interviewed at the CCRB on March 14, 2005 (encl. 5a-g). A summary of his interview statement follows.

On February 26, 2005 at approximately 9:00pm, § 87(2)(b) drove with his friend § 87(2)(b) to a Getty gas station on Atlantic Avenue and Lowman Avenue in Brooklyn to buy some snacks. § 87(2)(b) who is married with four children, stated that § 87(2)(b) who is § 87(2)(b) old, is a friend. That day, they had been listening to music and watching tv inside his car, and were planning to go bowling in Queens. § 87(2)(b) was driving a green 2000 Dodge Intrepid with special rims on the wheels.

§ 87(2)(b) parked next to the gas station's mini-mart and went inside. Upon exiting his car, § 87(2)(b) noticed a black unmarked sedan with tinted windows pull up near the gas pumps. Someone inside this vehicle rolled down a window and appeared to examine § 87(2)(b)'s car. § 87(2)(b) did not notice this vehicle before he pulled into the gas station. § 87(2)(b) made his purchase, got back in his car and drove onto Atlantic Avenue.

After driving approximately eight blocks on Atlantic Avenue, § 87(2)(b) stopped at a red light at the Autumn Avenue cross street. § 87(2)(b) was the first car at the intersection in the middle lane of a three-lane road. § 87(2)(b) noticed that the black sedan he saw earlier was one car behind the car to his left. When the light turned green, he switched on his right turning signal with the intention of turning into a convenience store that was located to his right past the intersection. According to § 87(2)(b) he wanted to buy barbecue chips and a grape soda, which he did not find at the gas station. § 87(2)(b) drove into the middle of the intersection and was merging into the right lane when the unmarked car drove up behind him and flashed police lights. § 87(2)(b) immediately pulled to the right and parked in front of a bus stop on Atlantic Avenue. The black unmarked car parked behind § 87(2)(b).

A police officer in civilian clothing (identified as PO Abraham Arteaga from the summonses he later issued to § 87(2)(b)) approached § 87(2)(b)'s side of the vehicle, and a second plainclothes officer (identified by the CCRB as Sgt. Roberto Melendez) approached § 87(2)(b)'s side. PO Arteaga asked § 87(2)(b) for his license and registration. § 87(2)(b) gave PO Arteaga a Pennsylvania license that was issued on January 12, 2005 (encl.) and an insurance card but did not have his registration information. PO Arteaga and Sgt. Melendez returned to their vehicle. Moments later, they returned and Sgt. Melendez asked § 87(2)(b) for his social security number. § 87(2)(b) told Sgt. Melendez that he was not comfortable with giving out his social security number because he has had his identity stolen in the past. Sgt. Melendez told § 87(2)(b) he had to tell the officers his social security number in order to prove that he was who he claimed to be. § 87(2)(b) still refused. The officers began asking § 87(2)(b) questions. They asked § 87(2)(b) whether he was forty

years old, but § 87(2)(b) denied that he was. They asked § 87(2)(b) where he was from. § 87(2)(b) responded that he lived in New York but has a house in Pennsylvania. One of the officers accused § 87(2)(b) of making up his name. When the officers again asked § 87(2)(b) for his social security number, he finally gave it to them because he was afraid that he would be arrested.¹ One of the officers asked § 87(2)(b) for his mother's telephone number, but § 87(2)(b) refused to provide it because he did not want his parents to worry about him. The officers continued to ask § 87(2)(b) for more personal information. § 87(2)(b) responded by giving them an expired New York license and his New York address, but it did not appear to satisfy the officers. After approximately 45 minutes of being stopped, the officers told § 87(2)(b) to exit his car, handcuffed him and put him in the dark unmarked car. At some point, a marked patrol car had arrived and parked behind the unmarked car. The officers allowed § 87(2)(b) to give § 87(2)(b) cab money and told him that someone would drive his car to the police station.

While in the patrol car, one of the officers who stopped § 87(2)(b) (he could not recall which one) told him that they were looking for a 40 year-old male who was using the name § 87(2)(b) as an alias, and that § 87(2)(b) fit this suspect's physical description. The officers subsequently asked § 87(2)(b) questions involving credit cards and checks, but § 87(2)(b) did not know what they were talking about.

After arriving at the 75th Precinct stationhouse, PO Arteaga brought § 87(2)(b) to the front desk, searched him and placed him in a cell. At the cell, PO Arteaga told § 87(2)(b) that the suspect they were looking for had certain tattoos on his body, and instructed § 87(2)(b) to strip off his sweatshirt and t-shirt and bare his upper body. PO Arteaga instructed § 87(2)(b) to lift up his arms and turn around. PO Arteaga wrote down § 87(2)(b)'s tattoos and left. PO Arteaga did not instruct § 87(2)(b) to take off any other articles of clothing.² After approximately an hour, PO Arteaga returned and informed § 87(2)(b) that he would be released. However, PO Arteaga issued § 87(2)(b) two summons, one for § 87(2)(b) and the other for § 87(2)(b).

When § 87(2)(b) got to his car which was parked outside the stationhouse, he believed that it had been searched. The panel under his steering wheel and the panels on the side doors had been torn out. It also appeared as if the trunk and the glove compartment were searched because its contents seemed tossed around. A video tape containing footage that § 87(2)(b) shot of the inside of his car after he drove it home shows a damaged panel under § 87(2)(b)'s steering wheel and the contents of his trunk (apparently untidy) but it does not show the glove compartment or the side panels.³

§ 87(2)(b) did not have any prior interactions with either PO Arteaga or Sgt. Melendez. § 87(2)(b) stated that he filed this complaint because he felt harassed by the officers and he doesn't want it to happen to anyone else.

Results of Investigation

Witness Statement

§ 87(2)(b) a § 87(2)(b)-old black female § 87(2)(b) was interviewed at the CCRB on March 14, 2005 (encl. 6a-c). A summary of her interview statement follows below.

On February 26, 2005 § 87(2)(b) drove § 87(2)(b) to a gas station § 87(2)(b) could not recall the address) after picking her up from her house. They planned to go bowling together. § 87(2)(b) parked in front of a convenience store at the gas station. § 87(2)(b) went into the store while § 87(2)(b) stayed in the car. While § 87(2)(b) was at the store, § 87(2)(b) noticed a dark colored sedan drive up and stop near § 87(2)(b)'s car. Somebody inside the car rolled down a window appeared to be staring at § 87(2)(b) and his vehicle. When § 87(2)(b) went back to his car, § 87(2)(b) told him about what she saw. § 87(2)(b) drove out of the gas station onto a main street § 87(2)(b) did not remember what street). § 87(2)(b) noticed that the car she saw at the gas station followed one or two car lengths behind them while they drove. After driving approximately 4 blocks, this car flashed lights and sirens while § 87(2)(b) was driving straight through an intersection. § 87(2)(b) stated that § 87(2)(b) was not changing lanes or turning at the time. § 87(2)(b) pulled over to the right and parked in front of a bus stop.

¹ According to § 87(2)(b) telephone statement to the CCRB, he was arrested after he did not give the officers his social security number. § 87(2)(b) never indicated that he provided it to the officers before he was arrested.

² In § 87(2)(b) telephone statements to the CCRB on February 28, 2005 and March 2, 2005, he claimed that he had been "strip-searched."

³ This tape is contained in the case file.

One plainclothes officer, identified by the CCRB as PO Arteaga, approached § 87(2)(b)'s side of the vehicle. A second plainclothes officer, identified by the CCRB as Sgt. Melendez, approached § 87(2)(b). PO Arteaga asked § 87(2)(b) for his license and registration, which § 87(2)(b) provided. PO Arteaga and Sgt. Melendez returned to their vehicle. Approximately 15 minutes later, the officers returned and began to ask § 87(2)(b) a series of questions about his age, address, and date of birth. Initially, § 87(2)(b) was compliant and answered the questions. However, when PO Arteaga asked § 87(2)(b) for his social security number, § 87(2)(b) became confused and refused to answer. This appeared to upset the officers, who continued to ask § 87(2)(b) for his social security number. According to § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(b) eventually answered the officers. The officers went back to the vehicle. When they came back, they told § 87(2)(b) that his name, date of birth, social security number, and physical description fit those of a suspect that they were looking for. They then asked § 87(2)(b) for a phone number of a family member to call so that they could verify § 87(2)(b)'s identity. § 87(2)(b) refused. At that time, PO Arteaga ordered § 87(2)(b) to exit his vehicle. The officers handcuffed § 87(2)(b) and put him in their vehicle. Before being taken away by the police, § 87(2)(b) gave § 87(2)(b) some money and she took a cab home.

Officer Statements

PO Abraham Arteaga

PO Arteaga, a § 87(2)(b) old Hispanic male who is 5 feet 11 inches tall and 180 pounds, was interviewed at the CCRB on May 25, 2005 (encl. 9a-b). His memo book entry (encl. 7) for this incident reads, "2105 – 1 car stop improper lane change. 2117 – 1 male under for (92c) investigation of warrant parole violation. 2228 – 2 summonses issued from precinct 75 to § 87(2)(b) for § 87(2)(b)." The summonses can be found in enclosure 8. The summary of his interview statement follows below.

On February 26, 2005, PO Arteaga was assigned to Anti-Crime duties with Sgt. Roberto Melendez. PO Arteaga stated that an Anti-Crime officer's responsibility is to look for illegal guns on the street. That day was the first day PO Arteaga, who was substituting for another officer, worked as an Anti-Crime officer. PO Arteaga had not worked with Sgt. Melendez before that day and has not worked with him since. PO Arteaga and Sgt. Melendez were dressed in civilian clothing and working in a green Chevy Impala. PO Arteaga was the driver of the vehicle and Sgt. Melendez was the passenger.

At approximately 9:00pm while driving eastbound on Atlantic Avenue (a three lane avenue), PO Arteaga observed a vehicle that he later determined was being driven by § 87(2)(b) make a lane change from the middle to right lane on Atlantic Avenue without signaling, then immediately park in front of a bus stop. PO Arteaga and Sgt. Melendez were on the leftmost lane when he made this observation. PO Arteaga had observed § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle for approximately one minute before § 87(2)(b) committed the lane change infraction. PO Arteaga first saw § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle while § 87(2)(b) was driving on Atlantic Avenue and denied having monitored § 87(2)(b) at a gas station.

Sgt. Melendez pointed out to PO Arteaga that § 87(2)(b) was parked at a bus stop. PO Arteaga decided to stop § 87(2)(b) at that point. PO Arteaga flashed police lights and pulled up behind § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle. PO Arteaga approached § 87(2)(b)'s side of the vehicle, Sgt. Melendez approached the passenger's side of the vehicle. There was a female in the passenger's seat.

PO Arteaga asked for and obtained § 87(2)(b)'s driver's license § 87(2)(b) had a Pennsylvania license) and registration papers, and returned with Sgt. Melendez to their patrol car. There, PO Arteaga conducted a warrant check of the name § 87(2)(b). The computer generated the name of an individual (PO Arteaga could not recall whom) and indicated that this individual used the name § 87(2)(b) as an alias and had an open warrant for a parole violation. The computer also indicated that this person was 5 feet 6 inches tall, 160 pounds, and bald, fitting § 87(2)(b)'s description. It also indicated that this individual had tattoos on his arm, but PO Arteaga did not see tattoos on § 87(2)(b) during this stop.

PO Arteaga and Sgt. Melendez returned to § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle and began to ask him questions in order to investigate his identity. The officers asked for § 87(2)(b)'s social security number, but § 87(2)(b) refused to provide it. They asked § 87(2)(b) to provide them with a piece of New York State identification but § 87(2)(b) did not have one. PO Arteaga stated that the officers asked him multiple questions in order to ascertain his identity but that § 87(2)(b) was loud and uncooperative. After having stopped § 87(2)(b) for about 15 minutes, Sgt. Melendez decided to conduct an "investigative arrest" of § 87(2)(b). PO Arteaga and PO Melendez transported § 87(2)(b) back to the stationhouse. The officers radioed for a second Anti-Crime unit to arrive at the scene. PO Arteaga did not recall which officers arrived. PO Arteaga believed that these officers brought § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle back to the stationhouse. § 87(2)(b)'s passenger took a cab home.

At the stationhouse, PO Arteaga placed § 87(2)(b) in a cell and asked him to roll up his sleeves and reveal his tattoos. PO Arteaga did not ask § 87(2)(b) to strip off any articles of clothing. At the cell, § 87(2)(b) told PO Arteaga his social security number. § 87(2)(b) did not provide his social security number anytime prior to that point. PO Arteaga checked § 87(2)(b)'s tattoos and social security number with those of the individual with the open warrant, and determined that § 87(2)(b) was not that individual. Sgt. Melendez ordered PO Arteaga to release § 87(2)(b) with a summons for § 87(2)(b) and a summons for § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) did not have any open warrants against him.

PO Arteaga denied searching § 87(2)(b)'s car. PO Arteaga did not know whether Sgt. Melendez searched § 87(2)(b)'s car or whether his car was searched at all.

Sgt. Roberto Melendez

Sgt. Melendez, a § 87(2)(b)-old Hispanic male who is 5 feet 10 inches tall and 210 pounds, was interviewed at the CCRB on June 7, 2005 (encl. 11a-b). His memo book entry (encl. 10a-b) for this incident reads, "2117 – 1 arrest, PO Arteaga [corner of] Atlantic Avenue and [blank]. 2130 – 10-62 A [stationhouse]." The summary of his interview statement follows below.

On February 26, 2005 at approximately 9:00pm, Sgt. Melendez and PO Arteaga were driving on Atlantic Avenue when PO Arteaga saw § 87(2)(b) change lanes in front of him without signaling. Sgt. Melendez did not make this observation. The officers had been behind § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle for "a couple" blocks but had not been following § 87(2)(b). Sgt. Melendez did not remember whether he had previously seen § 87(2)(b) parked at a gas station. § 87(2)(b) parked at a bus stop near the corner of Atlantic Avenue and Autumn Avenue. Sgt. Melendez stated that § 87(2)(b) parked there before the officers stopped him but also stated that he did not recall whether they flashed their turret lights before or after § 87(2)(b) parked at the bus stop.

PO Arteaga approached the driver's side of § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle and Sgt. Melendez approached the passenger's side. After PO Arteaga obtained § 87(2)(b)'s license and registration, the officers returned to their patrol car. PO Arteaga conducted a warrant check of § 87(2)(b). The patrol car's online computer revealed that there was an open warrant under the name § 87(2)(b). PO Arteaga was responsible for the warrant check and Sgt. Melendez did not recall what kind of warrant it was or whether § 87(2)(b) was listed as an alias. Sgt. Melendez stated that the physical description of the suspect fit § 87(2)(b)'s description. The computer also indicated that the suspect had tattoos on his arm but Sgt. Melendez did not remember seeing tattoos on § 87(2)(b)'s arm during the stop. Based on the information available, Sgt. Melendez decided that he had sufficient cause to conduct an investigative arrest of § 87(2)(b) and did so. Sgt. Melendez did not recall asking for § 87(2)(b)'s social security number; however, he stated that PO Arteaga did all of the questioning. PO Arteaga transported § 87(2)(b) to the 75th Precinct in his patrol car. Sgt. Melendez drove § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle back to the stationhouse for safekeeping because § 87(2)(b)'s passenger did not have a license to operate it. Sgt. Melendez did not recall requesting an additional unit to arrive at the scene.

At the stationhouse while § 87(2)(b) was in a cell, Sgt. Melendez contacted the agency that issued the warrant the officers found during the warrant check and had it faxed to the stationhouse the suspect's photograph and personal information. Based on the photograph of the suspect, Sgt. Melendez determined that § 87(2)(b) was not the suspect with the open warrant. § 87(2)(b) was in the stationhouse for approximately 30 minutes. Sgt. Melendez did not order § 87(2)(b) to take off any articles of clothing. PO Arteaga issued § 87(2)(b) a summons for failure to signal and a summons for parking at a bus stop.

Sgt. Melendez did not search § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle and stated that his vehicle was not searched by anyone else. To Sgt. Melendez's knowledge, no officer entered § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle besides him.

PD Documents

A log of warrant checks for § 87(2)(b) that was obtained from the office of the New York State Police Superintendent (encl. 12a-c) displays nine searches for § 87(2)(b) between 8:44pm and 10:59pm. The log does not indicate what information, if any, those searches yielded.

The 75th Precinct command log (encl. 16) indicates that § 87(2)(b) was arrested at 9:17pm and charged with § 87(2)(b). Sgt. Flundry, the desk sergeant, noted on § 87(2)(b)'s entry "Warrant check, no hit."

Summons Disposition

§ 87(2)(b) [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]

Civil Case Status

Bruce Gomez at the New York City Law Department informed the CCRB over the phone on December 23, 2005 that § 87(2)(b) did not file a notice of claim in regards to this incident.

Officer CCRB History

PO Arteaga has no substantiated CCRB allegations in his history (encl. 1b).

Sgt. Melendez possesses four substantiated CCRB allegations stemming from one complaint (CCRB case number 200409169) in his history (encl. 1a), for which the CCRB recommended charges. Those allegations include “question and/or stopped,” “vehicle searched,” “frisk,” and “search (of person).” The NYPD has not rendered a disposition on those charges.

Civilian CCRB/Criminal Conviction History

§ 87(2)(b) has not filed any prior CCRB complaints (encl.2).

§ 87(2)(b) [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]

Conclusion and Recommendations

Undisputed Facts

On February 26, 2005, PO Arteaga and Sgt. Melendez stopped § 87(2)(b) as he was driving his vehicle eastbound on Atlantic Avenue. § 87(2)(b) pulled his car over in front of a bus stop near the corner of Atlantic Avenue and Autumn Avenue. After obtaining § 87(2)(b)'s driver's license and checking § 87(2)(b)'s name for warrants, PO Arteaga received information that led him to believe that § 87(2)(b) was an individual using an alias who had an open warrant for his arrest. PO Arteaga and Sgt. Melendez began asking § 87(2)(b) questions pertaining to his identity. § 87(2)(b) was not compliant in answering the officers' questions. After having stopped § 87(2)(b) for 15 to 45 minutes, Sgt. Melendez decided to arrest § 87(2)(b). PO Arteaga transported § 87(2)(b) to the 75th Precinct stationhouse. Sgt. Melendez drove § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle to the stationhouse. There, PO Arteaga and Sgt. Melendez took additional steps to verify § 87(2)(b)'s identity and determined that he was not the individual they believed he was. Sgt. Melendez decided to release § 87(2)(b) but instructed PO Arteaga to issue § 87(2)(b) two summonses - one, for § 87(2)(b), and the other, for § 87(2)(b).

Disputed Facts

I § 87(2)(g)

Assessment of Evidence

§ 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) both claimed that they saw PO Arteaga and Sgt. Melendez observing § 87(2)(b) while he was at a gas station and that the officers later followed them and pulled them over without reason. PO Arteaga and Sgt. Melendez denied following § 87(2)(b) and claimed that they pulled him over after they saw him make an illegal lane change. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b) stated that he was changing lanes; however, § 87(2)(b) stated that he was “going straight” § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
§ 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] It is not in dispute that the officers made extensive attempts to verify § 87(2)(b)'s identity on the scene. According to § 87(2)(b) the officers kept him on the scene for 45 minutes and repeatedly asked him questions to verify his identity. It is also not in dispute that § 87(2)(b) was uncooperative and refused to answer some of the officers' questions. After arresting § 87(2)(b) the officers made further attempts to verify his identity by checking his tattoos and, according to Sgt. Melendez, obtaining the suspect's photograph. § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
§ 87(2)(b) stated that he knew his car had been searched because the paneling under his steering and on the side doors had been torn out and because the contents of his glove compartment and trunk had been tossed around. § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Determination of Facts

§ 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Allegation A: PO Abraham Arteaga stopped and questioned § 87(2)(b)

Allegation B: Sgt. Roberto Melendez stopped and questioned § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Allegation C: Sgt. Roberto Melendez authorized the arrest of § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Allegation D: Sgt. Roberto Melendez authorized the issuance of summonses to § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Allegation E: Sgt. Roberto Melendez searched the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) was an occupant.

§ 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Investigator:

Date:

Supervisor:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date: