

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Sarah Wertheimer	Team: Team # 1	CCRB Case #: 200715073	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Tuesday, 10/16/2007 12:00 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 88	18 Mo. SOL 4/16/2009	EO SOL 4/16/2009	
Date/Time CV Reported Tue, 10/16/2007 12:12 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Tue, 10/16/2007 12:12 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Willy Yankovich	13486	931460	PSA 3
2. POM Matthew Zito	15264	937792	PSA 3

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Matthew Zito	Force: PO Matthew Zito used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM Willy Yankovich	Force: PO Willy Yankovich used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	

Synopsis

On October 16, 2007, § 87(2)(b) of § 87(2)(b) Brooklyn, filed the following complaint with the CCRB via the telephone. § 87(2)(b) alleged that on October 16, 2007, Police Officer Willy Yankovich of PSA 3, identified from his shield number, came to her father, § 87(2)(b)'s apartment located at § 87(2)(b) where § 87(2)(b) was visiting. Officer Yankovich had § 87(2)(b)'s, § 87(2)(b)'s sister, identification in his hand. Officer Yankovich asked if § 87(2)(b) had been in the apartment and if anyone knew her. § 87(2)(b) alleged that she stated that § 87(2)(b) had not yet been in the apartment but that she was her sister and that their father lived in the apartment. § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) alleged that she exited the apartment shortly afterwards and heard yelling from the ground floor where she observed Officer Yankovich and his partner, identified as Officer Matthew Zito, from his badge number, struggling with § 87(2)(b) “throwing” her to the ground and placing her in handcuffs.

On October 17, 2007, the undersigned sent “please call” letters to § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b). On October 17, 2007, the undersigned obtained a phone statement from § 87(2)(b) who confirmed that § 87(2)(b) did not have a telephone number. § 87(2)(b) provided a phone statement at this time. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

On October 19, 2007, the undersigned sent a final “please call” letter to § 87(2)(b). On October 19, 2007, the undersigned unsuccessfully attempted to contact § 87(2)(b) via telephone. On October 22, 2007, the undersigned spoke with § 87(2)(b) at which time she scheduled an appointment to provide a statement. On October 23, 2007, the undersigned attempted to contact § 87(2)(b) via telephone and left a voicemail message in addition to sending her a final please call letter. On October 24, 2007, the undersigned left a message for both § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) with their father, § 87(2)(b). On October 25, 2007, § 87(2)(b) missed her CCRB appointment and the investigator sent her a “missed appointment” letter. On October 25, 2007, the undersigned contacted § 87(2)(b) who told the investigator that she could not talk and that she would call back within the half hour. § 87(2)(b) did not make any subsequent attempts to contact the investigator. The investigator called § 87(2)(b) to leave a message for § 87(2)(b) on October 25, 2007. On October 30, 2007, § 87(2)(b) called the investigator to schedule an appointment for November 1, 2007. § 87(2)(b) missed her second scheduled appointment on November 1, 2007.

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Investigator:

Date:

Supervisor:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date: