

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Amy Greenstein	Team: Team # 3	CCRB Case #: 200610785	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Tuesday, 08/15/2006 7:45 PM	Location of Incident: In front of § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 114	18 Mo. SOL 2/15/2008	EO SOL 2/15/2008	
Date/Time CV Reported Wed, 08/16/2006 1:08 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 08/16/2006 1:08 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. SGT Joseph Hayward	04193	923936	PSA 9
2. Officers			

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.SGT Joseph Hayward	Abuse: Joseph Hayward stopped and questioned § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b)	
B. Officers	Force: Officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	

Synopsis

On August 16, 2006 § 87(2)(b) called the undersigned investigator to file an additional complaint on behalf of her children, § 87(2)(b) and their friends § 87(2)(b).

The following is a summary of the narrative she provided:

On August 15, 2006 at approximately 7:45 PM § 87(2)(b) -old § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) -old § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) -old § 87(2)(b) along with § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were playing cards and dominoes outside § 87(2)(b) in Queens. Sergeant Hayward, shield number 4193, and nine additional uniformed officers approached them. § 87(2)(b) identified Sergeant Hayward by looking at his shield. Sergeant Hayward asked everyone, with the exception of § 87(2)(b) their ages and told them to get against the gate. § 87(2)(b) made a derogatory comment that was not directed at the officers. In response, officers grabbed and threw § 87(2)(b) against the gate. § 87(2)(b) went upstairs and told § 87(2)(b) to come downstairs. Sergeant Hayward told her that someone called to complain that the children were throwing dominoes. Sergeant Hayward asked all civilians for identification. § 87(2)(b) did not have identification because he is § 87(2)(b) -old. Sergeant Hayward told § 87(2)(b) that if § 87(2)(b) did not show identification he would have to go to the precinct. § 87(2)(b) showed Sergeant Hayward § 87(2)(b) birth certificate.

§ 87(2)(b) specified that Sergeant Hayward initially stopped her children, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b). She identified Sergeant Hayward by looking at his badge. § 87(2)(g) § 87(2)(b) did not know the names of the officers that allegedly pushed § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(g).

When § 87(2)(b) contacted the investigator to file the complaint she scheduled an appointment for herself and her sons to come to the CCRB on August 23, 2006. § 87(2)(b) and her sons missed this appointment. The investigator contacted her on August 23, 2006, and § 87(2)(b) stated that she would like to withdraw her complaint. She also confirmed that neither a member of the CCRB nor the NYPD coerced her to withdraw her complaint. ASI Serrano listened to the tape-recorded conversation in which § 87(2)(b) withdrew her complaint. Additionally, a withdrawn complaint form was mailed to § 87(2)(b) on September 7, 2006 and will be added to the file upon its receipt.

§ 87(2)(b) did not provide contact information for § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b). Because these individuals are minors § 87(2)(b)'s cooperation is necessary in order to contact them. However, she provided an address for § 87(2)(b). Two please call letters were mailed to him on August 25, 2006 and August 29, 2006, but § 87(2)(b) has not contacted the investigator. The investigator also searched in the Coles Directory for a phone number corresponding to § 87(2)(b)'s address, however the results were negative. Thus § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) have not been contacted and are unavailable. Additionally, according to CTS none of the other victims filed a CCRB complaint § 87(2)(b).

Investigator:

Date:

Supervisor:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date: