CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	☐ Discourt.	U.S.	
Sara Griffin		Squad #5	201803598	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury	
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL	
Sunday, 05/06/2018 2:30 AM		inside of § 87(2)(b)		47	11/6/2019	11/6/2019	
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCI	RB	
Mon, 05/07/2018 7:48 AM		CCRB	Call Processing System	Mon, 05/0	7/2018 7:48 AM	ſ	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess				
Witness(es) Home Address							
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command				
1. POM Leonard Armstrong	15037	956204	047 PCT				
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation	
A.POM Leonard Armstrong	Abuse: Police Officer Leonard Armstrong stopped §87(2)(b)						
B.POM Leonard Armstrong	Force: Police Officer Leonard Armstrong used physical force against \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$						
C.POM Leonard Armstrong	Abuse: Police Officer Leonard Armstrong searched 887(2)(b)						
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)							

Case Summary

On May 7, 2018, \$87(2)(b) filed the following complaint with the CCRB via the Call Processing System. \$87(2)(b) filed the complaint on behalf of \$87(2)(b) was not a witness to the incident.
On May 6, 2018, Police Officer Leonard Armstrong of the 47 th Precinct responded to a noise complaint at \$\frac{8}{5}(2)(b)\$ in the Bronx. PO Armstrong allegedly stopped \$\frac{8}{5}(2)(b)\$ (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority, \$\frac{8}{5}(2)(g)\$). PO Armstrong allegedly used physical force against \$\frac{8}{5}(2)(b)\$ (Allegation B: Force, \$\frac{8}{5}(2)(g)\$). PO Armstrong allegedly searched \$\frac{8}{5}(2)(b)\$ (Allegation C: Abuse of Authority, \$\frac{8}{5}(2)(g)\$).
released on a Desk Appearance Ticket.
There is Body Worn Camera and surveillance camera video footage of the incident.
Findings and Recommendations
Allegation A: Abuse of Authority- Police Officer Leonard Armstrong stopped 887(2)(b)
It is undisputed that \$87(2)(b) had a black, foldable knife clipped onto his left front pants pocket.
testified (Board Review 1) that no portion of the knife was visible other than the clip. PO Armstrong testified (Board Review 2) that he observed the clip and approximately one inch of the top of the knife.
A stop may be conducted only when a police officer has an individualized reasonable suspicion that the person stopped has committed, is committing, or is about to commit a crime. <u>Patrol Guide Procedure 212-11</u> (Board Review 3); <u>People v. DeBour</u> , 40 N.Y.2d 210 (1976) (Board Review 4).
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation B: Force- Police Officer Leonard Armstrong used physical force against \$87(2)(b)
testified (Board Review 1) that PO Armstrong used one hand and pushed him on his chest and up against a nearby wall. The push caused \$87(2)(b) to stumble back approximately one foot. \$87(2)(b) s phone statement (Board Review 5) was consistent with \$87(2)(b) s testimony. PO Armstrong (Board Review 2) testified to Page 2

placing \$87(2)(b) against the wall, but denied pushing \$87(2)(b) or using any force when placing \$87(2)(b) against the wall.							
Attached below is a Snag It from PO Armstrong's BWC and a surveillance camera capturing when §87(2)(b) was placed against the wall.							
2018-08-09_9-04-58.mp4 2018-08-09_9-07-15.mp4							
PO Armstrong's BWC Surveillance Camera (Board Review 6) (Board Review 7)							
In the videos, PO Armstrong is seen placing \$87(2)(b) against the wall in a guiding manner. PO Armstrong is not observed forcibly pushing \$87(2)(b) nor is \$87(2)(b) observed stumbling.							
§ 87(2)(g)							
Allegation C: Abuse of Authority- Police Officer Leonard Armstrong searched 887(2)(b)							
It is undisputed that PO Armstrong entered into \$87(2)(b) s pocket and removed the knife.							
PO Armstrong testified (Board Review 2) that he observed the top inch of the knife, including the gears, and the knife was clipped onto the pocket. PO Armstrong stated that gravity knives are typically folded, have a clip, and are meant for pants pockets. PO Armstrong's training and experience and prior arrests for gravity knives made him believe the knife was a gravity knife.							
PO Armstrong's Body Worn Camera (Board Review 8) corroborated his testimony that the top portion of \$87(2)(6) s knife was visible.							
In <u>People v. Brannon</u> , an officer's observation of the "head" of a knife that was sticking out of and clipped to the defendant's pants pockets paired with the officer's experience that gravity knives are commonly carried in a person's pocket, attached with a clip, with the head protruding provided reasonable suspicion to support the seizure of the knife. <u>People v. Brannon</u> , 16 N.Y.3d 596 (3 rd Dept.) (2011) (Board Review 9).							
§ 87(2)(g)							
Page 3							

§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)			
	<u>Civilian</u>	and Officer CCRB Histories	
PO Arr prior c	mstrong has been a member omplaints, involving seven	st CCRB complaint (Board Review 1 r of the NYPD for four years and is the allegations. None of the complaints were for physical force (Board Review)	ne subject of five were substantiated.
	Mediation,	Civil and Criminal Histories	
	has no crim	diation, as he wanted the officer disci ninal convictions in New York City (Effice of the New York City Comptroll	Board Review 14).
Squad No.:			
Investigator:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:			

Page 4

Signature

Page 5