CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	☐ Discourt.	U.S.
Zev Levavi		Team # 6	201213297	☑ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Sunday, 10/07/2012 10:30 PM		§ 87(2)(b)		109	4/7/2014	4/7/2014
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Ti	me Received at CCF	RB
Fri, 10/12/2012 12:04 AM		CCRB	Call Processing System	Fri, 10/1	2/2012 3:35 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Jason Ragoo	01854	939268	109 PCT			
2. Officers						
Officer(s)	Allegation Investigator Recommend			nmendation		
A. Officers	Abuse: Officers stopped § 87(2)(b)					
B. Officers	Force: Officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)					
C.POM Jason Ragoo	Force: PO Jason Ragoo used physical force against \$ 87(2)					
D. Officers	Force: Officers used a chokehold against § 87(2)(b)					
E.POM Jason Ragoo	Force: PO Jason Ragoo used a chokehold against \$ 27/21					

Case Summary

officer in his original complaint which a phone conversation with Inv. Richavisually confirmed PO Ragoo's iden	ch was made via the Cardson on January 14, tity during the incidential complaint that the	at, but he did not specify exactly how. ere were three other officers involved in
two letters to \$87(2)(b) On \$87(2)(b) but an appointment for an placed to \$87(2)(b) leaving detaileft on February 25, 2013, the understater than February 27, 2013, or his oplease call letter was sent to \$87(2)(b)	January 14, 2013, Invinterview was not schailed voicemails on easigned advised \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ case could be closed with a mail and esturned by the U.S. Pos	to call the undersigned back no without a full investigation. A final smail on February 14, 2013. None of the stal Service. To date, \$\frac{87}{2}(2)(5)\$ has
§ 87(2)(g)	oddie un appointment	
Team: 6		
Investigator:Signature	_ Zev Levavi Print	Date
Supervisor:	Print	
Reviewer:		
Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:		
Title/Signature	Print	Date