

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK  
ONE HOGAN PLACE  
New York, N. Y. 10013  
(212) 335-9000**

**OFFICER: GERASIMOS PYRAMIDES  
TAX NUMBER: 947369**

**DISCLOSURE ADVISORY**

For the person named above, whom the People may call as a witness, please be advised as follows.

1. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated an allegation that on May 17, 2018, Gerasimos Pyramides failed to completely and accurately complete a voucher report for currency resulting in an invoice—"bank drop" discrepancy (overage).
2. The New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) has deemed substantiated an allegation that on January 11, 2010, Gerasimos Pyramides abused his authority by (i) wrongfully stopping three people on the sidewalk, and (ii) wrongfully issuing disorderly conduct summonses to two of them and noted other misconduct that Gerasimos Pyramides failed to prepare a stop, question, and frisk report when he was required to do so. On January 10, 2010, at approximately 12:30 AM, on East 78<sup>th</sup> Street between First and Second Avenues, Gerasimos Pyramides and his partner stopped two men and a woman. Gerasimos Pyramides issued disorderly conduct summonses to the two men at the scene. The three civilian complainants stated that they had been walking on East 78<sup>th</sup> Street after leaving a bar and en route to another bar. There were others in the group, but they were not all walking together. The civilian complainants claimed that they were not loud or boisterous and were just walking on the sidewalk when they were approached and stopped by the officers. The woman was reportedly crying as this was the first time she had an encounter with the police. Gerassimos Pyramides claimed that they were patrolling in that area because there are frequent noise complaints about the patrons of a bar in the area. Gerassimos Pyramides claimed that he observed the civilian complainants being loud and boisterous. He stated that they approached them and asked them to lower the volume and move along. He claimed that they responded aggressively and with profanity and that a group of bystanders started to gather. He took their identification back to the car and issued disorderly conduct summonses to the men. The entire stop took from five to ten minutes. Citing inconsistencies between the two officers' accounts, as well as, the accounts of the other witnesses, the CCRB did not credit Gerassimos Pyramides' account of the incident. The CCRB concluded that "reasonable suspicion did not exist, and the stops were unjustified." Consequently, CCRB also concluded that Gerassimos Pyramides issued the disorderly conduct summons unlawfully. Four allegations of Abuse of Authority were substantiated as to the stop and summoning of the two men. Finally, because records requests indicated that neither officer prepared stop, question and frisk reports CCRB also noted other misconduct fro failure to prepare a stop, question and frisk report.
3. The New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) noted the following misconduct: that in relation to the above-described January 11, 2010, stop, Officer Pyramides failed to prepare a stop, question, and frisk report as required.

4. Gerasimos Pyramides is a named defendant in the civil action William Hairston v. City of New York, 11/100608, filed in New York Supreme Court, for an incident that occurred on November 1, 2009.

Various publicly available websites and databases contain disciplinary information for certain law enforcement officers. Information in such databases about this officer is not necessarily included in this advisory.

Any information herein regarding civil lawsuits against an officer is not necessarily a complete list of civil lawsuits in which that officer is a defendant.

Allegations of misconduct that have not been substantiated and are not pending (including, but not limited to, findings of unsubstantiated, unfounded, and exonerated), and allegations of technical infractions, are not subject to disclosure and are not included in this advisory.

The decision to include information in this advisory does not represent a conclusion by the People that it is required to be disclosed.

The People reserve the right to oppose or move to limit the use of any information included herein or disclosed in the future.

If you have any questions, please contact the Assistant District Attorney assigned to the case on which you are receiving this advisory.

Date: October 19, 2020