

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Christopher DeNitto	Team: Team # 2	CCRB Case #: 200812859	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Wednesday, 09/03/2008 6:00 PM	Location of Incident: Outside § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 62	18 Mo. SOL 3/3/2010	EO SOL 3/3/2010	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 09/05/2008 9:55 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 09/05/2008 9:55 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. DT3 Madelline Correa	00147	918882	NARCBBS
2. DT3 Mathew Reich	29311	933211	NARCBBS

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.DT3 Mathew Reich	Abuse: Det. Mathew Reich frisked § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and an individual.	
B.DT3 Mathew Reich	Abuse: Det. Mathew Reich questioned § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and an individual.	
C.DT3 Madelline Correa	Abuse: Detective Madelline Correa questioned § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and other individuals.	
D.DT3 Mathew Reich	Abuse: Det. Mathew Reich searched vehicles outside § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn.	
E.DT3 Madelline Correa	Abuse: Detective Madelline Correa searched vehicles outside § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn.	

Synopsis

On September 5, 2008, § 87(2)(b) called the CCRB and filed a complaint on behalf of his son § 87(2)(b) and his son's friends, § 87(2)(b) and "§ 87(2)(b) (last name unknown)". § 87(2)(b) was not a witness to the incident and the details he provided were based on information he received from § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b). On September 3, 2008, § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and "§ 87(2)(b)" were talking and smoking cigarettes on the stoop of § 87(2)(b)'s house located at § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn. § 87(2)(b) parked his car on § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and another car which belonged to one of § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) friends was double parked along side his car. At approximately 6:00pm an unmarked silver car arrived at the location and parked behind the double parked car. Two plainclothes police officers got out of the silver car and displayed their badges to § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and his friends. § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) identified one of the officers as Det. Rice however there is no Det. Rice assigned to NARCBBS but there is a Det. Mathew Reich assigned to the command and he has the most similar name to "Rice" of any officer that was on duty. The second officer was identified through the investigation as Det. Madelline Correa because she is listed as § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) arresting officer and was the only female officer on duty at the time of the incident. One of § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b)'s friends asked Det. Reich if he wanted him to move the car but Det. Reich told him to go back where he was and sit down. At this time Det. Reich spotted several cigarettes on the ground in front of § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b)'s stoop and after taking a closer look he determined that they were legal cigarettes. Det. Reich then proceeded to frisk § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and "§ 87(2)(b)" (**Allegation A**) and also instructed them to remove the contents of their pockets. Det. Reich then took everyone's car keys and he used the alarm buttons to find out which cars belonged to each individual. § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) stated that Det. Reich and Det. Correa questioned and "interrogated" everyone (**Allegations B and C**) and then proceeded to search everyone's car (**Allegations D and E**). A small amount of marijuana was found in the backseat of § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b)'s car and he was arrested for possession of marijuana.

On September 16, 2008, the undersigned spoke with § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and he provided a brief account of the incident that was consistent with the initial narrative. He also provided contact information for § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) but he did not know "§ 87(2)(b)" last name and he did not have any contact information for him. § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) stated that he was still interested in pursuing the complaint but he wanted to speak with § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) before he scheduled an interview. § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) did not contact the undersigned as he said he would and the investigator was not able to speak with him again until December 4, 2008. At this time § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) stated that he was still interested in pursuing the complaint and he would bring § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) with him for an interview on December 16, 2008. On December 16, 2008, § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) did not appear for their scheduled interview and they did not call in advance to cancel or reschedule. On December 30, 2008, the undersigned spoke with § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and asked if he would be willing to provide a statement about the incident. § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) stated that he wanted to speak with § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) before making a decision. Shortly thereafter § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) called the undersigned and stated that he spoke with § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) and they both decided that they were no longer interested in pursuing the complaint and they did not want to provide statements about what happened. On December 30, 2008, the undersigned spoke with § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) who stated that he also did not want to provide a statement about the incident because § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) was no longer interested in pursuing the complaint and § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) was the person most concerned with what happened. § 87(2)(g) § 87(2)(g)

Investigator:
Christopher DeNitto
Supervisor:
Reviewed by:
Reviewed by:

Date:
Date:
Date:
Date: