CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force		Discourt.		U.S.
Harry Feigen		Squad #04	201500421		Abuse		O.L.		Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	l		18 N	Mo. S	OL	F	Precinct:
Thursday, 01/01/2015 12:00 AM, Friday, 01/02/2015 4:00 PM, Saturday, 01/10/2015 12:00 AM		PSA 4 stationhouse; en route to Manhattan Central Booking			7/2/2016			09	
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	<u> </u>	Date/Tin	ne Rec	eived at CC	RB	
Wed, 01/21/2015 11:28 AM		CCRB	In-person		Wed, 01/	21/201	15 11:28 A	M	
Complainant/Victim	Туре	Home Addro	ess	•					
Witness(se)		Home Addre							
Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess						
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command						
1. SGT Anthony Peters	03997	927348	PSA 4						
2. POM Gary Byrd	29354	914311	PSA 4						
3. POM Jorge Garcia	00284	938528	PSA 4						
4. POM Alexis Ponce	07910	944284	PSA 4						
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name						
1. POM Michael Taormina	13435	948706	PSA 4						
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inv	estiga	ator Reco	mme	ndation
A . SGT Anthony Peters		Authority: Inside of the Peters did not obtain m				§ 87(2)	(g)		
B . POM Alexis Ponce		Abuse of Authority: En route to Manhattan Central Booking, B. PO Alexis Ponce did not obtain medical treatment for [8] 87(2)							
C. POM Jorge Garcia		Abuse of Authority: En route to Manhattan Central Booking, C. §87(2)(9) PO Jorge Garcia did not obtain medical treatment for (5)(8)(2)							
D . POM Gary Byrd		O Byrd intentionally ma CRB in violation of Patr				§ 87(2)	(g)		

Case Summary

On January 21, 2015, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB in-person.
On \$87(2)(b) , at approximately \$87(2)(b) was transported to \$87(2)
in an ambulance after being involved in an altercation in which he was stabbed
nine times. § 87(2)(b) was given Percocet for the pain caused by his injuries. Approximately
one hour after he arrived at the hospital, \$87(2)(b) was placed under arrest for assault with a
weapon by PO Michael Taormina.
On January 2, 2015, at approximately 3:00 p.m., §87(2)(b) was transported directly
from \$87(2)(b) was processed, and then
placed in a holding cell. During this time, PO Gary Byrd was watching over \$\frac{867(2)(0)}{2}\$
Approximately one hour after \$87(2)(b) arrived at the stationhouse, the effects of the
painkillers he had been given began to wear off, and \$87(2)(b) s injuries started causing him
severe pain. He asked PO Byrd to take him back to the hospital, and PO Byrd went to find Sgt.
Peters, who was the desk officer at that time. Both officers returned to \$87(2)(b) who asked
again to be taken to a hospital. Sgt. Peters told §87(2)(b) he was going to be taken to Central
Booking first, and he could have further medical treatment after being arraigned. §87(2)(b)
insisted that he needed medical treatment immediately, and Sgt. Peters walked away without
requesting medical treatment for \$87(2)(b) (Allegation A).
was taken to Central Booking by PO Alexis Ponce and PO Jorge Garcia.
While he was in their patrol car, §87(2)(b) asked PO Ponce and PO Garcia several times for
medical treatment, but neither ever responded, and neither obtained medical treatment for
(Allegations B and C). PO Byrd followed the officers and \$87(2)(b) in a separate
vehicle, and met them at Central Booking for \$87(2)(b) s arraignment. Afterwards,
was brought to Manhattan Detention Center, where he was able to see a doctor and
receive treatment.
Mediation, Civil, and Criminal Histories
• This case was not suitable for mediation due to §87(2)(b) s arrest.
● [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]
[§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]
• A notice of claim request was submitted to the Comptroller's office on June 4, 2015, and
returned with negative results on June 9, 2015.
returned with negative results on June 9, 2013.
Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories
• This is \$87(2)(b) s second CCRB complaint. \$87(2)(b)
s second CCRB complaint.
Cot Determine the form of a mine for form of the form
• Sgt. Peters has been a member of service for fourteen years. There are no substantiated
allegations on his record, \$ 87(2)(9)
PO Garcia has been a member of service for nine years. There are no substantiated
allegations on his record, § 87(2)(9)
 PO Ponce has been a member of service for seven years. There are no substantiated
allegations on his record, § 87(2)(9)
• PO Byrd has been a member of service for 21 years. He has no previous false official
statements on his record.

Page 2 CCRB Case # 201500421

Findings and Recommendations

Explanation of Subject Officer Identification

identified Sgt. Peters by name and shield number. He also provided a description that matched Sgt. Peters. According to Sgt. Peters' memo book from the date of the incident, he was the desk sergeant in the PSA 4 stationhouse at the time that was being held in the stationhouse (03 Board Review).

was being held in the stationhouse (03 Board Review).
Allegations not pleaded
• § 87(2)(g)
Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: Inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse, Sgt. Anthony Peters
did not obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b)
\$87(2)(b) while being held in a cell in the
PSA 4 stationhouse on January 2, 2015, asked PO Byrd for medical treatment. PO Byrd went to find Sgt. Peters, the desk sergeant at the time, and the two officers returned to \$87(2)(5) asked PO Byrd for medical treatment. PO Byrd went to find Sgt. Peters, the desk sergeant at the time, and the two officers returned to \$87(2)(5) asked PO Byrd for medical treatment.
together. Sgt. Peters told § 87(2)(b) that taking him back to the hospital would prolong his
arrest processing. He asked § 87(2)(b) if he really needed to go to the hospital, as they were
almost done processing his arrest, and informed \$87(2)(0) that he could receive medical
attention later on. §87(2)(b) told Sgt. Peters that it was an emergency and he needed to be
taken to a hospital immediately. However, Sgt. Peters walked away without saying anything
further (05 Board Review).
It is undisputed that \$87(2)(b) was stabbed nine times on the morning of \$87(2)(b)
He was subsequently taken to \$87(2)(5) where he was placed under arrest by PO
Michael Taormina of PSA 4. [887(2)(b)] was prescribed Percocet at the hospital to alleviate the pain caused by his injuries. On January 2, 2015, at 2:00 p.m., [887(2)(b)] was transported to the
PSA 4 stationhouse for arrest processing. § 87(2)(b) was taken to Manhattan Central Booking
at 4:05 p.m. for his arraignment. No ambulance was ever called for \$87(2)(b) nor did he
receive any medical treatment while in the custody of the NYPD on that date. After
s arraignment, he was taken to Manhattan Detention Center (MDC), where he saw a
doctor within several hours of arriving. §87(2)(b) received treatment for his injuries at MDC
the following day as well, and on \$87(2)(b), he received further treatment at \$87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(g)
● § 87(2)(9)
§ 87(2)(b) received medical
treatment almost immediately upon arriving at MDC after his arraignment, §87(2)(9)

Page 3 **CCRB Case # 201500421**

	§ 87(2)(g)
•	The officers whom \$87(2)(b) filed the complaint against had nothing to do with \$87(2)(b) s arrest. \$87(2)(b) did not make any allegations against his arresting officer, PO Taormina, nor did he protest the arrest in his CCRB statement. \$87(2)(b) did not make any other allegations against PO Byrd or Sgt. Peters either. \$87(2)(b)
•	he was interviewed at the CCRB on January 21, 2015, and provided phone statements on January 30, 2015, and on April 13, 2015. §87(2)(9)
•	§ 87(2)(g)
•	returned to the PSA 4 stationhouse on two separate dates after this incident in order to obtain the names and shield numbers of PO Byrd and Sgt. Peters. [87/2](9)
affirme transpe Furthe memo	He denied having any interaction with at the PSA 4 stationhouse on January 2, 2015 (06 Board Review), \$87(2)(9) PO and PO Garcia (the officers who transported \$87(2)(6) to Central Booking) both ed that they were inside of the stationhouse with PO Byrd and \$87(2)(6) prior to orting him to his arraignment (07 Board Review and 08 Board Review, respectively). Ermore, the command log from January 2, 2015 (09 Board Review), and PO Byrd's own book (10 Board Review) both document that \$87(2)(6) was in PO Byrd's custody at the shouse on that date.
PO By § 87(2)(b)	He merely denied interacting with \$87(2)(b) or
	According to Patrol Guide procedure 210-04(3), if a prisoner requires medical treatment
_	arrest processing, the desk officer is required to call an ambulance for him or her (04
Board	Review). § 87(2)(g)

Ponce did not obtain medical treatment for \$87(2)(b)
Allegation C - Abuse of Authority: En route to Manhattan Central Booking, PO Jorge
Garcia did not obtain medical treatment for §87(2)(b)
alleged that, while being driven to Central Booking by PO Ponce and PO
Garcia, he asked both officers several times for medical treatment, and neither officer ever
responded. PO Ponce denied that \$87(2)(b) requested medical treatment from him, but
recalled almost no other details of the transport, including §87(2)(b) s demeanor, physical
condition, or if he complained of any injuries. PO Garcia did not recall if \$87(2)(b) requested
medical treatment, and also recalled few details of the transport.
§ 87(2)(g)
According to Patrol Guide Procedure 210-04(1), if a prisoner requires medical treatment
while in NYPD custody, an officer must request an ambulance and accompany the prisoner to the
hospital (04 Board Review).
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation D – Other: PO Gary Byrd intentionally made a false official statement to the
CCRB in violation of NYPD Patrol Guide Procedure 203-08.
As discussed in Allogation A the investigation determined that DO Burd's denial of
As discussed in Allegation A , the investigation determined that PO Byrd's denial of
having any interaction with §87(2)(b) inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse was patently false.
having any interaction with \$87(2)(b) inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse was patently false. \$87(2)(g)
having any interaction with \$87(2)(5) inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse was patently false. \$37(2)(9) Furthermore, both PO Ponce and PO Garcia affirmed that they were inside of the PSA 4
having any interaction with \$87(2)(b) inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse was patently false. \$27(2)(g) Furthermore, both PO Ponce and PO Garcia affirmed that they were inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse with \$87(2)(b) and PO Byrd prior to transporting \$87(2)(b) to Central
having any interaction with \$87(2)(b) inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse was patently false. \$27(2)(g) Furthermore, both PO Ponce and PO Garcia affirmed that they were inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse with \$87(2)(b) and PO Byrd prior to transporting \$87(2)(b) to Central Booking. Finally, the command log and PO Byrd's own memo book both confirm that PO Byrd
having any interaction with \$87(2)(b) inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse was patently false. \$37(2)(g) Furthermore, both PO Ponce and PO Garcia affirmed that they were inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse with \$87(2)(b) and PO Byrd prior to transporting \$87(2)(b) to Central Booking. Finally, the command log and PO Byrd's own memo book both confirm that PO Byrd was involved in the transportation of \$87(2)(b) from the PSA 4 stationhouse to Central
having any interaction with \$87(2)(b) inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse was patently false. \$87(2)(g) Furthermore, both PO Ponce and PO Garcia affirmed that they were inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse with \$87(2)(b) and PO Byrd prior to transporting \$87(2)(b) to Central Booking. Finally, the command log and PO Byrd's own memo book both confirm that PO Byrd was involved in the transportation of \$87(2)(b) from the PSA 4 stationhouse to Central Booking.
having any interaction with \$87(2)(b) inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse was patently false. \$87(2)(g) Furthermore, both PO Ponce and PO Garcia affirmed that they were inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse with \$87(2)(b) and PO Byrd prior to transporting \$87(2)(b) to Central Booking. Finally, the command log and PO Byrd's own memo book both confirm that PO Byrd was involved in the transportation of \$87(2)(b) from the PSA 4 stationhouse to Central Booking. PO Byrd claimed that his only interaction with \$87(2)(b) on this date was at Central
having any interaction with \$87(2)(0) inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse was patently false. \$37(2)(0) Furthermore, both PO Ponce and PO Garcia affirmed that they were inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse with \$87(2)(0) and PO Byrd prior to transporting \$87(2)(0) to Central Booking. Finally, the command log and PO Byrd's own memo book both confirm that PO Byrd was involved in the transportation of \$87(2)(0) from the PSA 4 stationhouse to Central Booking. PO Byrd claimed that his only interaction with \$87(2)(0) on this date was at Central Booking. Who was in the custody of PO Ponce and PO Garcia
having any interaction with \$87(2)(b) inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse was patently false. \$87(2)(g) Furthermore, both PO Ponce and PO Garcia affirmed that they were inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse with \$87(2)(b) and PO Byrd prior to transporting \$87(2)(b) to Central Booking. Finally, the command log and PO Byrd's own memo book both confirm that PO Byrd was involved in the transportation of \$87(2)(b) from the PSA 4 stationhouse to Central Booking. PO Byrd claimed that his only interaction with \$87(2)(b) on this date was at Central Booking. He stated that he met \$87(2)(b) who was in the custody of PO Ponce and PO Garcia at the time, at that location, but that he did not know where \$87(2)(b) had been transported
having any interaction with \$87(2)(0) inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse was patently false. \$37(2)(0) Furthermore, both PO Ponce and PO Garcia affirmed that they were inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse with \$87(2)(0) and PO Byrd prior to transporting \$87(2)(0) to Central Booking. Finally, the command log and PO Byrd's own memo book both confirm that PO Byrd was involved in the transportation of \$87(2)(0) from the PSA 4 stationhouse to Central Booking. PO Byrd claimed that his only interaction with \$87(2)(0) on this date was at Central Booking. Who was in the custody of PO Ponce and PO Garcia
having any interaction with \$87(2)(b) inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse was patently false. \$87(2)(g) Furthermore, both PO Ponce and PO Garcia affirmed that they were inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse with \$87(2)(b) and PO Byrd prior to transporting \$87(2)(b) to Central Booking. Finally, the command log and PO Byrd's own memo book both confirm that PO Byrd was involved in the transportation of \$87(2)(b) from the PSA 4 stationhouse to Central Booking. PO Byrd claimed that his only interaction with \$87(2)(b) on this date was at Central Booking. He stated that he met \$87(2)(b) who was in the custody of PO Ponce and PO Garcia at the time, at that location, but that he did not know where \$87(2)(b) had been transported
having any interaction with \$\frac{\$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}}\$ inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse was patently false. \$\frac{\$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}}{2}\$ Furthermore, both PO Ponce and PO Garcia affirmed that they were inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse with \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{2}\$ and PO Byrd prior to transporting \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{2}\$ to Central Booking. Finally, the command log and PO Byrd's own memo book both confirm that PO Byrd was involved in the transportation of \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{2}\$ from the PSA 4 stationhouse to Central Booking. PO Byrd claimed that his only interaction with \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{2}\$ on this date was at Central Booking. He stated that he met \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{2}\$ who was in the custody of PO Ponce and PO Garcia at the time, at that location, but that he did not know where \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{2}\$ had been transported from. \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{2}\$
having any interaction with \$87(2)(b) inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse was patently false. \$87(2)(g) Furthermore, both PO Ponce and PO Garcia affirmed that they were inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse with \$87(2)(b) and PO Byrd prior to transporting \$87(2)(b) to Central Booking. Finally, the command log and PO Byrd's own memo book both confirm that PO Byrd was involved in the transportation of \$87(2)(b) from the PSA 4 stationhouse to Central Booking. PO Byrd claimed that his only interaction with \$87(2)(b) on this date was at Central Booking. He stated that he met \$87(2)(b) who was in the custody of PO Ponce and PO Garcia at the time, at that location, but that he did not know where \$87(2)(b) had been transported
having any interaction with \$\frac{\$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}}\$ inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse was patently false. \$\frac{\$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}}{2}\$ Furthermore, both PO Ponce and PO Garcia affirmed that they were inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse with \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{2}\$ and PO Byrd prior to transporting \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{2}\$ to Central Booking. Finally, the command log and PO Byrd's own memo book both confirm that PO Byrd was involved in the transportation of \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{2}\$ from the PSA 4 stationhouse to Central Booking. PO Byrd claimed that his only interaction with \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{2}\$ on this date was at Central Booking. He stated that he met \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{2}\$ who was in the custody of PO Ponce and PO Garcia at the time, at that location, but that he did not know where \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{2}\$ had been transported from. \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{2}\$
having any interaction with \$\frac{\$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}}\$ inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse was patently false. \$\frac{\$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}}{2}\$ Furthermore, both PO Ponce and PO Garcia affirmed that they were inside of the PSA 4 stationhouse with \$\frac{\$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}}{2}\$ and PO Byrd prior to transporting \$\frac{\$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}}{2}\$ to Central Booking. Finally, the command log and PO Byrd's own memo book both confirm that PO Byrd was involved in the transportation of \$\frac{\$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}}{2}\$ from the PSA 4 stationhouse to Central Booking. PO Byrd claimed that his only interaction with \$\frac{\$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}}{2}\$ on this date was at Central Booking. He stated that he met \$\frac{\$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}}{2}\$ who was in the custody of PO Ponce and PO Garcia at the time, at that location, but that he did not know where \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ had been transported from. \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$

Page 5 CCRB Case # 201500421

§ 87(2)(g)			
§ 87(2)(g)			
T.			
Team:			
Investigator:			_
Signature	Print	Date	
Supervisor:			
Title/Signature	Print	Date	_
Reviewer:			_
Title/Signature	Print	Date	
Reviewer:			
Title/Signature	Print	Date	