

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Katherine White	Team: Squad #13	CCRB Case #: 202203515	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force <input type="checkbox"/> Discourt. <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse <input type="checkbox"/> O.L. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Tuesday, 05/31/2022 1:31 AM, Tuesday, 05/31/2022 1:41 AM	Location of Incident: Outside 2052 Jerome Avenue; 46th Precinct stationhouse	18 Mo. SOL 11/30/2023	Precinct: 46
Date/Time CV Reported Tue, 05/31/2022 3:02 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Call Processing System	Date/Time Received at CCRB Tue, 05/31/2022 3:02 AM

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. An officer			
2. PO Kelvin Paulinocepeda	07720	969279	046 PCT
3. PO Claude Staten	05269	891057	046 PCT
4. SGT Daliza Matoslugo	04420	948245	046 PCT
5. SGT James Torres	01709	955587	048 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. PO James Geberth	19747	969724	046 PCT
2. PO Michael Mccabe	31701	966698	046 PCT
3. PO Christopher Rios	08104	968744	046 PCT
4. PO Natalie Sanchez	06346	966327	046 PCT
5. PO Jacob Newman	19914	933090	046 PCT
6. PO Bryan Reyes	24712	970130	046 PCT
7. PO Michael Phipps	23908	965415	046 PCT
8. PO Carolyn Daley	09308	967471	046 PCT
9. PO Josue Rodriguez	08490	964735	046 PCT
10. PO Paul May	15781	957828	046 PCT
11. PO Sean Massey	09984	957819	046 PCT
12. PO Tori Faber	09654	968398	046 PCT
13. LT Rafael Tosado	00000	937928	046 PCT
14. PO Gladwin Sato	28937	965514	046 PCT
15. PO Ednise Sanchezramirez	03549	967683	046 PCT
16. PO Valon Rugova	07286	957113	046 PCT
17. SGT Michael Mcdermott	03802	950858	046 PCT
18. PO Alnaldo Rodriguez	27536	933934	FTS
19. PO Carlos Nunez	06098	958996	046 PCT
20. PO Suwatana Dunckley	11770	946963	CW TRAFF TF
21. PO Trevis Roberts	08510	958011	HWY 01

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
22. PO Nicholas Terrett	20643	964314	HWY 01
23. PO Davit Osmanovic	18417	955283	046 PCT
24. PO Manuel Andeliz	21379	972382	046 PCT
25. PO Eric Bernard	19619	958308	046 PCT
26. PO Luis Sotomayor	21209	959262	046 PCT
27. DTS Fausto Ramirez	05515	956787	046 PCT
28. SGT Ariel Cruz	01114	951644	046 PCT
29. SGT Tell Beharry	00058	927910	046 PCT
30. PO John Mcging	11170	917971	046 PCT
31. PO Philip Billik	13224	953683	046 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A . An officer	Force: Outside 2052 Jerome Avenue in the Bronx, an officer used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
B . An officer	Abuse: Outside 2052 Jerome Avenue in the Bronx, an officer interfered with § 87(2)(b) use of a recording device.	
C . PO Claude Staten	Abuse: At the 46th Precinct stationhouse, Police Officer Claude Staten did not process § 87(2)(b) complaint regarding an officer.	
D . SGT Daliza Matoslugo	Abuse: At the 46th Precinct stationhouse, Lieutenant Daliza Matoslugo did not process § 87(2)(b) complaint regarding an officer.	
E . PO Kelvin Paulinocepeda	Abuse: Police Officer Kelvin Paulinocepeda did not process § 87(2)(b) complaint regarding (an) officer(s).	
§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)		

### Case Summary

On May 31, 2023, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via the call processing system (BR01).

On May 31, 2022, at 01:31 a.m., § 87(2)(b) witnessed the arrest of § 87(2)(b) outside 2052 Jerome Avenue in the Bronx and filmed the arrest using his cell phone. An officer slapped his phone out of his hand (**Allegation A: Force - physical force, § 87(2)(g)** **Allegation B: Abuse of Authority – interference with recording, § 87(2)(g)** At 01:41 a.m., § 87(2)(b) arrived at the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct stationhouse and told Police Officer Claude Staten of the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct that he wanted to file a complaint about the officer who slapped the phone from his hand. PO Staten told him to get out of the stationhouse (**Allegation C: Abuse of Authority – refusal to process civilian complaint, § 87(2)(g)** Sergeant Daliza Matos Lugo and Police Officer Kelvin Paulino Cepeda of the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct failed to process § 87(2)(b) complaint at the stationhouse (**Allegations D and E: Abuse of Authority – refusal to process civilian complaint, § 87(2)(g) § 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)**

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

The investigation obtained CCTV video footage of this incident from the McDonald's located at 2065 Jerome Avenue (BR 02-03, summarized at BR04), CCTV video footage of this incident from the Montefiore Substance Abuse Treatment Program Unit I, located at 2058 Jerome Avenue (BR05-06, summarized at BR07), NYPD TARU footage (BR08-09, summarized at BR10), NYPD body-worn camera video (BR11-16, summarized at BR17) and NYPD stationhouse CCTV from the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct (BR18-19, summarized at BR20).

CCRB case #§ 87(2)(b) was split from this case to address allegations involving § 87(2)(b)

### Findings and Recommendations

#### **Allegation (A) Force: Outside 2052 Jerome Avenue in the Bronx, an officer used physical force against**

§ 87(2)(b)

#### **Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: Outside 2052 Jerome Avenue in the Bronx, an officer interfered with**

§ 87(2)(b) **use of a recording device.**

§ 87(2)(b) testified (BR21) that he was delivering UberEats food orders on a moped and wore a blue t-shirt, black baseball cap, green pants, and a ponytail. § 87(2)(b) was at the northeast corner of Burnside Avenue and Jerome Avenue when an NYPD van hit a man riding his bike on Jerome Avenue and knocked him to the ground (see CCRB case § 87(2)(b). Officers began arresting the man, who was screaming in pain. § 87(2)(b) rode towards the incident and filmed on his cell phone. An officer told him to move. The officer was 6' tall, White, male, heavy and muscular, had long blonde hair, and wore a blue NYPD uniform. § 87(2)(b) moped was off, so he could not move immediately. Before § 87(2)(b) was able to move, the officer hit § 87(2)(b) hand, which was holding his cell phone, and knocked his phone to the ground. This left his hand red, and it later bruised (BR46-49).

§ 87(2)(b) declined to provide contact information for his friend, § 87(2)(b) and the investigation was thus unable to obtain a statement from this individual.

CCTV from the McDonald's restaurant located at 2065 Jerome Avenue (BR03, runtime 00:00 – 06:53) captures the collision between § 87(2)(b) and a police van. Several moped drivers ride north on Jerome Avenue toward the van. Between runtimes 03:47 and 05:39, a dark grey, unmarked Jeep Grand Cherokee with police lights parks north of the incident location, and a tall male officer exits the vehicle. The officer walks south, passes the marked van, and approaches the moped drivers. The officer motions with his hand, and the moped driver closest to him rides away. The officer then approaches the second moped driver, raises his right forearm so it is parallel with the ground, and quickly moves his hand and forearm towards the ground. The second driver drives across Jerome Avenue to the western sidewalk, dismounts, then walks back towards the officer. When illuminated by NYPD vehicle lights, his shirt is blue, and he wears a black baseball cap and a long ponytail. Ultimately, the officer gets back in his original vehicle and leaves.

Montefiore CCTV video footage (**BR05-06**) did not capture FADO allegations. NYPD TARU footage (**BR08-09**) captured alternate, yet duplicative views of the incident from the north and south. However, the camera's view is obscured by a large pole.

Police Officer Carlos Nunez of the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct captured BWC video (**BR15, runtime 01:08 – 1:30**) in which § 87(2)(b) approaches the incident on his moped behind another moped driver. A second male sits on the moped with him. A tall, white officer with a ponytail approaches the moped drivers from the left side of the marked NYPD van and waves at them. The first moped driver rides away. The officer gestures once at § 87(2)(b) who is holding his phone up with his right hand, then throws his arm backwards near § 87(2)(b). When the video is slowed, § 87(2)(b) phone is visible flying across the bottom of screen (**BR51**). None of this officer's identifying features are visible in this video.

Police Officer Valon Rugova of the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct captured BWC video (**BR16, runtime 02:26-02:40**) in which the subject officer is seen wearing a short-sleeved blue uniform and blue pants, a nameplate, shield, and several "ribbon" badges. The officer's shield looks like an NYPD Detective shield. The officer does not appear to be equipped with a BWC. The officer's shoulder patch is different from those of the other NYPD officers on scene. Its central shield has a dark background, and its inner shield has a different symbol than the standard inner shield on an NYPD shoulder patch (**BR22**).

None of the BWC footage received was filmed by the subject officer (**BR17**).

Per EVENT § 87(2)(b) (**BR23**), officers from the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct responded to this incident. Per BWC footage paperwork (**BR24**), officers from Highway Unit 1, the Citywide Traffic Task Force, and the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct responded to this incident. The investigation initially attempted to identify the subject officer by executing an MOS search for White male officers with long hair from the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct, the Citywide Traffic Task Force, Highway Unit 1, and the Firearms and Tactics Section. Firearms and Tactics section was included as this incident involved a gun. Police Officer David Wheeler, of Firearms and Tactics Section, was the only officer from these commands who fit the description. PO Wheeler testified (**BR25**) that he was not working at the date and time of this incident, and only does firearms training at the Rodman's Neck facility. His memo book reported no activities on May 31, 2022 (**BR26**).

The investigation attempted to identify the subject officer using CCTV and BWC footage of his vehicle. The McDonald's video footage summarized above established which vehicle this officer used, as well as its position and color. Police Officer Michael McDermott of the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct captured BWC video (**BR14, runtime 03:01-03:06**), in which the subject officer enters the vehicle. Police Officer James Geberth of the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct's first BWC video (**BR12, runtime 05:53-05:59**) captures the vehicle up close. It is a dark grey Jeep Grand Cherokee with New York license plate #§ 87(2)(b) and red and blue lights in the rear windshield. However, NYPD Fleet Services found no record of an unmarked Jeep Grand Cherokee with license plate #§ 87(2)(b) (**BR27**). NYPD Legal Bureau searched NYPD databases for this vehicle, with negative results (**BR28**). On May 31, 2022, Citywide Traffic Task Force and Highway Unit 1 had no unmarked vehicle with plate #§ 87(2)(b) (**BR29, BR30**). The 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct did not have an unmarked vehicle utilization log on file but conducted a license plate search for the request for plate #§ 87(2)(b) which was not listed as an NYPD vehicle (**BR31**). A DMV search for the vehicle listed it as being registered to "Treetop Travel" in Brooklyn (**BR32**). A Lexis Nexis search (**BR50**) and a CLEAR search (**BR51**) for Treetop Travel both yielded negative results.

The investigation also attempted to identify the officer by requesting documents from commands in which detectives might drive unmarked vehicles while dressed in uniform. Patrol Borough Bronx Public Safety responded by stating that all PBBX Public Safety officers had regular days off during this incident. PBBX Public Safety was provided a screenshot of the subject officer and stated that the officer has not been, and is not currently, assigned to the command (**BR33**). Sergeant Mohammed Khan of Legal Bureau stated that Criminal Intelligence Section, Bronx Narcotics, and the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct Detective Squad do not work in uniforms (**BR34**).

PO McDermott's BWC video (**BR14, runtime 02:12-02:24**) captures the subject officer briefly standing next to Sergeant James Torres of the 48<sup>th</sup> Precinct. Sgt. Torres testified (**BR35**) that he could not identify the subject officer. He did not recall having any conversations or interactions with the officer. Sgt. Torres did not learn that officer was an NYPD member of service, but likely assumed this per his uniform and shield. Sgt. Torres

reviewed screenshots of the officer's shoulder patch and could not definitively identify it but noted that it did not look like a standard or specialty unit NYPD patch. Sgt. Torres noted that this patch might belong to a different law enforcement agency or a private security firm. Sgt. Torres did not remember officers from other agencies arriving but noted that this was possible. NYPD Legal Bureau was unable to identify which unit uses this patch (BR36).

§ 87(2)(g)

**Allegation (C) Abuse of Authority: At the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct stationhouse, Police Officer Claude Staten did not process § 87(2)(b) complaint regarding an officer.**

**Allegation (D) Abuse of Authority: At the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct stationhouse, Sergeant Daliza Matoslugo did not process § 87(2)(b) complaint regarding an officer.**

**Allegation (E) Abuse of Authority: At the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct stationhouse, Police Officer Kelvin Paulino Cepeda did not process § 87(2)(b) complaint regarding an officer.**

§ 87(2)(b) testified that he and § 87(2)(b) went directly to the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct stationhouse to make a complaint about the officer who slapped the phone from his hand. PO Staten asked what they wanted, and § 87(2)(b) said, "Quiero hacer un reporte" ("I want to make a report"). PO Staten told § 87(2)(b) and his friend in English to leave the stationhouse, and they complied. Outside the stationhouse, § 87(2)(b) told Sgt. Matos Lugo in Spanish that PO Staten made him leave the stationhouse when he tried to make a report about the officer. Sgt. Matos Lugo entered the stationhouse with § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) and argued with PO Staten in English for ten to fifteen minutes, then told § 87(2)(b) "Wait here until someone takes care of you here, or call this number," and gave him the CCRB's telephone number. Sgt. Matos Lugo said, "Call this number, they have to help you. Here, the complaint is done by an officer, and they might throw it out, forget about it, and not do anything. Before you do the complaint here, call CCRB." § 87(2)(b) called the number, but the voice on the other end of the line spoke English, which he does not speak. He never received a complaint form. PO Kelvin Paulino Cepeda of the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct gave § 87(2)(b) a second CCRB number, "In case you can't communicate with the other one." PO Paulino Cepeda told § 87(2)(b) "They're not going to do the complaint here, call here," and gave him the CCRB's Spanish phone number.

§ 87(2)(b) filed this complaint in Spanish via the CCRB's call processing system at 3:02 a.m. on May 31, 2022 (BR01). There is no record of § 87(2)(b) having completed a civilian complaint form (BR45).

46<sup>th</sup> Precinct stationhouse CCTV footage (BR19, runtime 01:07-54:55) captures § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) enter the vestibule while § 87(2)(b)'s arrest is being processed at the front desk. From 01:07 to 01:51, PO Staten gets up, speaks to them, and then waves his hand and nods his head towards the door. They exit the stationhouse without ever entering further than the vestibule. At 20:52, they re-enter the stationhouse with Sgt. Matos Lugo, who speaks with PO Staten, moves off screen, then returns and gives § 87(2)(b) a white paper in the front vestibule. § 87(2)(b) appears to make a phone call but cannot be heard in the footage due to the lack of audio. At 50:10, PO Paulino Cepeda approaches § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) then exits the vestibule holding a white paper. PO Paulino Cepeda briefly talks to an officer at the desk and writes something on the paper, then gives the paper back to § 87(2)(b) who then exits with § 87(2)(b) without speaking to any other officers or filling out any paperwork.

Police Officer Carolyn Daley of the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct captured BWC footage of this incident (BR13, runtime 09:45-10:30). As PO Daley processes § 87(2)(b)'s arrest at the main desk, § 87(2)(b) enters the stationhouse in the background. PO Claude Staten sits at a nearby desk. A loud conversation is audible, but unintelligible due to background noise. PO Staten gets up and approaches § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b). He speaks to them briefly, but this conversation is unintelligible due to background noise. At runtime 10:06, PO Staten says, "Salida" ("way out" or "exit" in Spanish) multiple times, and § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) leave.

PO Staten testified (BR37) that he was working as stationhouse security and has a functional knowledge of Spanish, which he uses daily on the job, but is not an authorized NYPD interpreter. Initially, PO Staten testified that he spoke in Spanish with two Hispanic males, who reported that officers had mistreated them during an incident. PO Staten believed that they wanted to make a complaint, but was unsure, and relayed their information

to the desk officer. PO Staten reviewed stationhouse footage (**BR19, runtime 01:04-01:39**), which refreshed his memory. PO Staten testified at this point that the two men arrived to inquire about someone who had witnessed being arrested on firearms charges. PO Staten only remembered that they asked for someone by name. The men did not tell him that they wanted to make a complaint. PO Staten did not remember if this initial interaction was in English or Spanish but remembered speaking Spanish to them at some point. PO Staten told the men that they had to wait outside while the arrest was being processed due to security concerns because the arrest involved firearms. Shortly after, Sgt. Matos Lugo entered the stationhouse with the men, told PO Staten, “You don’t speak Spanish,” and instructed him not to speak Spanish with anyone. PO Staten never told the men to leave the stationhouse or go home. PO Staten did not give the men a complaint form and did not know if they were ever able to submit a complaint form. PO Staten never told anyone that they could not file a civilian complaint at the stationhouse. Sgt. Matos Lugo told PO Staten that PO Paulino Cepeda was the only officer authorized to speak Spanish. The investigation presented a still image of stationhouse footage capturing the interior of the 46<sup>th</sup> Precinct at runtime 50:07, and PO Staten identified the officer in the still as PO Paulino Cepeda.

Sgt. Matos Lugo testified (**BR44**) that she was working as the Administrative Sergeant. § 87(2)(b) told her that he needed to make a complaint, but she did not remember what the complaint was about. Sgt. Matos Lugo did not remember if § 87(2)(b) had a problem making the complaint, if an officer refused to take the complaint, or if an officer told him to leave the stationhouse. As the Admin sergeant, Sgt. Matos Lugo was not responsible for processing this complaint. Sgt. Matos Lugo told the stationhouse security officer and desk sergeant that someone wanted to make a complaint, told § 87(2)(b) that someone would help him, then left the stationhouse. Sgt. Matos Lugo did not believe she had an argument with PO Staten regarding his interaction with § 87(2)(b) and his friend. Sgt. Matos Lugo did not remember telling § 87(2)(b) “Wait here until someone takes care of you here, or call this number,” then giving him the CCRB’s phone number. Sgt. Matos Lugo did not remember telling § 87(2)(b) that she would take the complaint, but that it would be better to make the complaint with CCRB because the officers might not help him. Sgt. Matos Lugo did not remember if she gave § 87(2)(b) a complaint form, but she did not believe she did so. Sgt. Matos Lugo did not know if § 87(2)(b) ever received a complaint form, or if his complaint was ultimately processed at the stationhouse. Sgt. Matos Lugo reviewed stationhouse CCTV video (**BR19, runtime 20:45-29:53**), which captures her handing a paper to § 87(2)(b) which did not refresh her recollection in any way. She did not remember what was on the paper.

PO Paulino Cepeda testified (**BR43**) that he did not recall this incident. He did not recall hearing Sgt. Matos Lugo tell § 87(2)(b) to call the CCRB because the officers at the stationhouse may not assist him. PO Paulino Cepeda did not recall § 87(2)(b) receiving a complaint form or giving § 87(2)(b) a complaint form himself. He did not remember giving § 87(2)(b) the CCRB’s telephone number. PO Paulino Cepeda reviewed CCTV of the stationhouse’s desk area (**BR19**) from 51:20 to 52:18, which captures PO Paulino Cepeda speaking to § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) in the vestibule, exit the vestibule with their paper, walk to the desk, write something on the paper, and return to the vestibule. PO Paulino Cepeda stated that the video captures him approaching and standing at the Telephone Switchboard desk, behind which is a CCRB poster is usually posted. He could not remember if he wrote the CCRB’s phone number on the paper, but he noted that this was possible. PO Paulino Cepeda initially stated that the paper was not a complaint form, but later said he did not know what kind of paper it was and noted that it looks like a blank sheet of paper. PO Paulino Cepeda did not know what a civilian complaint form looks like because he has never seen a civilian complaint form. In the past, when people have asked for the CCRB phone number, PO Paulino Cepeda would direct them to the poster, and they take a picture of it or write down the number. PO Paulino Cepeda did not refuse to process a civilian complaint, and he did not observe PO Staten or Sgt. Matos Lugo refusing to process a civilian complaint. PO Paulino Cepeda did not recall telling § 87(2)(b) that officers would refuse to process his complaint at the stationhouse. He did not remember anything he said to § 87(2)(b).

Complaints against uniformed members of the service may be made at any patrol precinct, police service area, transit district, traffic unit, the Internal Affairs Bureau, or any other office of the Department, including the Office of the Police Commissioner or office of a deputy commissioner. An officer must give the complainant a Civilian Complaint Report to be prepared in the civilian’s own handwriting and furnish assistance as needed. Patrol Guide Procedure 207-31 (BR41).

§ 87(2)(b) testified that he told PO Staten that he wanted to make a complaint in Spanish. PO Staten testified that he speaks Spanish, and initially testified that § 87(2)(b) wanted to make a complaint, although he later changed



his testimony after reviewing the stationhouse footage. Sgt. Matos Lugo testified that § 87(2)(b) told her that he wanted to make a complaint in Spanish. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) testified that Sgt. Matos Lugo gave him the CCRB's telephone number, which he called but was greeted by an English voice. PO Paulino Cepeda then gave § 87(2)(b) another number, and § 87(2)(b) ultimately filed this complaint in Spanish via the CCRB's call processing system. There is no record or evidence of § 87(2)(b) having completed a Civilian Complaint Report at the stationhouse. The stationhouse footage shows Sgt. Matos Lugo handing § 87(2)(b) a paper, followed by § 87(2)(b) making a telephone call, PO Paulino Cepeda taking the paper and writing something on it, and PO Paulino Cepeda returning the paper to § 87(2)(b). Neither Sgt. Matos Lugo nor PO Paulino Cepeda identified the paper as being a Civilian Complaint Report. PO Paulino Cepeda noted that it was possible that he wrote the CCRB's phone number on the paper because he had approached the CCRB poster when he wrote on the paper. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

#### Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the first CCRB complaint to which § 87(2)(b) has been a party (BR38).
- Lt. Daliza Matos Lugo has been a member-of-service for 13 years and this is the first CCRB complaint to which she has been a subject.
- PO Claude Staten has been a member-of-service for 36 years and has been a subject in 15 CCRB complaints and 26 allegations, none of which were substantiated. § 87(2)(g)
- PO Kelvin Paulino Cepeda has been a member-of-service for 3 years and has been a subject in one CCRB complaint and one allegation, which was not substantiated. § 87(2)(g)

#### Mediation, Civil, and Criminal Histories


- § 87(2)(b) declined to mediate this complaint.

- As of June 15, 2023, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of a Notice of Claim being filed regarding this incident (**BR39**).
- [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]  
[REDACTED]

#### **RPBP History**

- This complaint did not contain any allegations of Racial Profiling/Bias-Based Policing.

Squad: 13

Investigator:		INV Katherine White	07/07/2023
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:	<i>JP Lozada</i>	IM Jean-Paul Lozada	07/07/2023
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date