CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:	Tea	am:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	U.S.
Matthew Donaghy	Squ	ıad #14	201907008	☑ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)	Loc	cation of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Wednesday, 08/07/2019 7:23 PM Over the telephor Squad)		er the telephone (1st uad)	Precinct Detective	01	2/7/2021	9/24/2021
Date/Time CV Reported		Reported At:	How CV Reported: Date/Time Received at CCR		RB	
Thu, 08/08/2019 1:37 PM		CCRB In-person Thu, 08/08/2019 1:37 I		/2019 1:37 PM		
Complainant/Victim Typ	pe	Home Address				
Subject Officer(s) Shio	eld	TaxID	Command			
1. DT3 Fabian Pelaez 189	0	947334	001 DET			
Officer(s) Allo	Allegation		Investigator Recommendation			
	Abuse: Detective Fabian Pelaez refused to provide his name					

Case Summary

On August 8, 2019, \$87(2)(b) and her uncle, \$87(2)(b) filed this
complaint in-person at the CCRB.
On August 7, 2019, at approximately 7:23 p.m., \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b)
had a telephone conversation with Detective Fabian Pelaez of the 1 st Precinct Detective Squad.
Det. Pelaez told \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) that a criminal complaint they had made
was not going to be investigated by the NYPD. \$87(2)(b) asked Det. Pelaez for his name,
and Det. Pelaez responded by disconnecting the phone call without stating his name (Allegation
A: Abuse of Authority – \$87(2)(9)
The investigation did not identify any video or audio recordings related to this incident, and the nature of the incident did not require the use of body-worn-camera as per the Patrol
Guide.
Guide.
Findings and Recommendations
Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Detective Fabian Pelaez refused to provide his name to
§ 87(2)(b)
During their CCRB interviews (Board Review 01) (Board Review 02), §87(2)(b)
and \$87(2)(b) stated that they spoke to Det. Pelaez when Det. Pelaez initiated a call to
regarding a criminal complaint she had made. After answering Det. Pelaez's phone
call, § 87(2)(b) and added him into the phone call. Det. Pelaez then
told § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) that the NYPD would not be further investigating or
taking any action in relation to the criminal complaint made by §87(2)(b) After hearing
this, §87(2)(b) asked Det. Pelaez for his name, but Det. Pelaez did not state it. Det. Pelaez
then disconnected from the telephone call.
During Det. Pelaez's CCRB interview (Board Review 03), he stated that he had made at
least one telephone call to \$87(2)(b) in relation to her criminal complaint. However, Det.
Pelaez stated that he did not recall any telephone conversation with \$87(2)(b) and did not
believe that there had been a second person on his phone call with \$87(2)(6) Det. Pelaez
did not recall if he told \$87(2)(6) that the criminal complaint she made was not going to be
further investigated by the NYPD. Det. Pelaez stated that when he makes telephone calls with
criminal complainants he always identifies himself at the beginning of the phone call, and had no recollection of further being asked for his name during any related phone call with
§ 87(2)(b)
The call log on \$87(2)(0) s phone, captured in a photo taken during her CCRB
interview (Board Review 04), showed that she had received a phone call from the 1 st Precinct
Detective Squad at 7:23 p.m. on August 7, 2019. A call log of \$87(2)(6)
in a photo taken during his CCRB interview (Board Review 05), showed that he received a phone
call from \$87(2)(b) at 7:24 p.m. on August 7, 2019, consistent with \$800 \$87(2)(b)
account that she called \$87(2)(b) to merged their calls together.
The CCRB obtained a DD5 generated by Det. Pelaez (Board Review 06), that
documented a phone call he had with \$87(2)(b) in relation to this case. The DD5 states that
this phone call took place at approximately 7:00 p.m. on August 7, 2019, and that during this
phone call Det. Pelaez and \$87(2)(b) discussed the details of this case, with \$87(2)(b)
Page 2

CCRB Case # 201907008

individu § 87(2)(b) 2019, re	ng that an individual be arrested in this case. There is no description of a second al on this call, and no discussion of the content of this call beyond the details of scriminal complaint. The next DD5 by Det. Pelaez, made at 7:50 p.m. on August 7, quests that the investigation be closed and referred to civil court. Patrol Guide Procedure No. 203-09 (Board Review 07) requires that officers courteously rly state their name to anyone who requests they do so. [87(2)(9)]
	Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories
•	This is the first complaint to which \$87(2)(b) has been a party (Board Review 08). This is the first complaint to which \$87(2)(b) has been a party (Board Review 09). Det. Pelaez has been a member-of-service for twelve years and has been subject in two previous CCRB complaints and two allegations, neither of which were substantiated. \$27(2)(9)
	Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories
	On December 26, 2019, this case was sent to mediation and on November 2, 2020, this case was returned for investigation as the complaint was no longer suitable for mediation. § 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]
İ	
[§	
	As of November 17, 2020, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of a Notice of Claim being filed in regard to this complaint.

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201907008

Squad No.:	14		
Investigator:	Matthew Donaghy Signature	Inv. Matthew Donaghy Print Title & Name	March 22, 2021 Date
Squad Leader: _	Cassandra Fenkel Signature	IM Cassandra Fenkel Print Title & Name	March 22, 2021 Date
Reviewer:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Page 4