

## DISTRICT ATTORNEY KINGS COUNTY

350 JAY STREET BROOKLYN, NY 11201-2908 (718) 250-2000 WWW.BROOKLYNDA.ORG

[INSERT NAME]
Assistant District Attorney

[INSERT DATE]

[INSERT D/C INFO]

Re: [INSERT CASE NAME]

Kings County Dkt./Ind. No. [#######]

In connection with the above-named case, the People voluntarily provide the following information regarding:

MOS NAME: Michael Folino

MOS TAX: 953872

In satisfaction (to the extent applicable) of their constitutional, statutory, and ethical obligations. In addition to any information provided below, disciplinary information regarding this officer may exist online at the following websites: <a href="https://www1.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/policy/MOS-records.page">https://www1.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/policy/MOS-records.page</a>, <a href="https://nypdonline.org/link/13">https://www1.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/policy/MOS-records.page</a>, <a href="https://nypdonline.org/link/13">https://nypdonline.org/link/13</a>, and <a href="https://www.50-a.org">https://www.50-a.org</a>. The People make no representation regarding the accuracy of any information contained on these websites. Please note that disclosures regarding law enforcement witnesses may not include lawsuits pending against police witnesses inasmuch as this information is also publicly available through various means, including the N.Y.C. Law Department's website at: <a href="https://www1.nyc.gov/site/law/public-resources/nyc-administrative-code-7-114.page">https://www1.nyc.gov/site/law/public-resources/nyc-administrative-code-7-114.page</a>. The People reserve the right to object to the use or introduction of any or all disclosures provided below and any other potential impeachment information.

## Disclosure # 1:

NYPD SUBSTANTIATED THE FOLLOWING ALLEGATION AGAINST THE MOS ARISING OUT OF AN INCIDENT ON 06/11/2014:

1. TRAFFIC VIOLATIONS BUREAU - COURT NON-APPEARANCE

CASE STATUS: CLOSED ON 02/05/2015

ACTION TAKEN: SCHEDULE A COMMAND DISCIPLINE

BASED UPON CCRB RECORDS UP TO DATE THROUGH JULY 14, 2021, THE PEOPLE ARE UNAWARE OF ANY PENDING OR SUBSTANTIATED CCRB ALLEGATIONS AGAINST THIS OFFICER. NOR ARE THE PEOPLE AWARE OF ANY REFERENCES BY CCRB TO OTHER MISCONDUCT NOTED, SUCH TERM TYPICALLY REFERRING TO CCRB'S IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIAL MISCONDUCT BY AN OFFICER WHERE THE NATURE OF THE MISCONDUCT WAS NOT WITHIN CCRB'S JURISDICTIONAL PURVIEW.