

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Andrew Ridge	Team: Squad #5	CCRB Case #: 201900173	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Tuesday, 01/01/2019 2:58 AM	Location of Incident: in front of 959 Saint Marks Avenue	Precinct: 77	18 Mo. SOL 7/1/2020	EO SOL 2/15/2021	
Date/Time CV Reported Tue, 01/01/2019 2:58 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 01/07/2019 11:04 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Corey Johnson	13116	960090	077 PCT
2. An officer			077 PCT
3. Officers			077 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Ruben Rivera	2365	955386	077 PCT
2. DI John Buttacavoli	00000	918799	077 PCT
3. CPT Abraham Sanabria	00000	926359	077 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Corey Johnson	Force: Police Officer Corey Johnson used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
B. Officers	Force: Officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
C. An officer	Abuse: An officer did not obtain medical treatment for § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On January 1, 2019, § 87(2)(b) filed the following complaint by phone with IAB on behalf of § 87(2)(b) generating original log number 2019-8. The CCRB received the complaint on January 7, 2019.

The investigation obtained CCTV footage regarding this incident.

The undersigned reached § 87(2)(b) by phone on January 10. § 87(2)(b) planned to file a lawsuit and wanted to consult with his civil attorney before deciding whether to pursue a CCRB complaint. He asked to be called back in four days. The undersigned called back on January 14, but § 87(2)(b) asked to be called back the following day. The undersigned called again on January 15 and left a message.

The undersigned called and left messages three more times between January 17 and January 24. The undersigned reached § 87(2)(b) again on January 28. § 87(2)(b) recounted that his attorney had advised him to “stick with” the IAB complaint. The undersigned explained that IAB had also referred the complaint to the CCRB, and that the CCRB case would be closed if § 87(2)(b) did not provide a statement. § 87(2)(b) once again wanted to consult with his attorney, and he asked to be called back on January 30.

The undersigned called and left a message on January 30. The undersigned left a final message on February 4 and told § 87(2)(b) that the case would be closed if he did not schedule an appointment to provide a statement by February 8. § 87(2)(b) never responded.

The undersigned sent three letters and three emails to § 87(2)(b) between January 17 and January 31. These letters were not returned by the USPS.

The undersigned reached § 87(2)(b) by phone on January 15, but § 87(2)(b) refused to talk about the incident without consulting with his civil attorney. He refused to provide his mailing address or email address. He said that he would call back, but he did not do so.

The undersigned left three messages for § 87(2)(b) between January 17 and January 24. The undersigned reached § 87(2)(b) again on January 28. § 87(2)(b) similarly said that his attorney advised him to pursue the IAB complaint, and said he would consult with his attorney again about the CCRB complaint. The undersigned left a final message for § 87(2)(b) on February 4, and told § 87(2)(b) that the case would be closed if he did not schedule an appointment to provide a statement by February 8. § 87(2)(b) never responded.

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

Squad No.: _____

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Squad Leader: _____

	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date