CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	☐ Discourt.	\square U.S.
Benjamin Havumaki		Team # 7	200908334	☐ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Tuesday, 05/26/2009		§ 87(2)(b)		101	11/26/2010	11/26/2010
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	Date/Time Received at CCRB		RB
Tue, 05/26/2009 2:53 PM		IAB	Phone	Fri, 05/29/	2009 1:05 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addro	ess			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. LT Gary Messina	00000	874530	101 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegation			Investigator Recommendation		
A.LT Gary Messina	Force: L	t. Gary Messina used ph	ysical force against	§ 87(2)(b)		

Synopsis On May 26, 2009, \$87(2)(b) filed a complaint with the Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB) on behalf of her son, \$87(2)(b) alleged that at an unknown time on May 26, 2009, as \$87(2)(b) was being arrested at \$87(2)(b) in Queens, Lt. Gary Messina slammed \$87(2)(b) s leg and arm in the door of a police car.
The undersigned investigator made several attempts to schedule \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ for an interview at the CCRB, but was not successful. On June 12, 2009, a letter was sent to \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ explaining that his mother's complaint to IAB had been referred to the CCRB, and requesting that he contact the CCRB. On June 17, 2009, \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ was contacted by telephone, and a voicemail message was left for him. Later on the same day, \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ called the CCRB, indicated that she was a witness to this incident, and scheduled an appointment for \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ for June 30, 2009. A letter was sent to \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ and \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ on June 25, 2009 confirming the scheduled appointment. \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ did not appear for his scheduled appointment, and neithe he nor \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ called to cancel or reschedule. On July 8, 2009, a letter was sent to \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ and \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ indicating that \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ had failed to appear for his scheduled appointment. The undersigned investigator called \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ on four subsequent dates: July 9, July 16, July 20, and July 23, 2009. On each date, a recorded message indicated that the telephone was not in-service; it was not possible to leave a voicemail message. A search of the New York City Department of Correction's online database on July 30, 2009 indicated that \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ was not incarcerated.
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

Investigator: Date:

Supervisor: Date:

Reviewed by: Date:

Reviewed by: Date: