CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	rce Discourt. U.S	
Leah Abeles		Team # 1	200406235	☐ Abuse	O.L.	✓ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Saturday, 06/26/2004 2:00 AM		in front of Spirit nightc West 27th Street	lub, located at 530	10	12/26/2005	12/26/2005
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCI	RB
Sun, 06/27/2004 12:44 PM		CCRB Call Processing System		Sun, 06/27/2004 12:44 PM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ss			
Witness(es)		Home Addre	SS			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. LT Theodore Lauterborn	00000	897840	010 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM Robert Ramirez	20138	929005	010 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.LT Theodore Lauterborn	Force: Lt. Theodore Lauterborn used physical force against \$87(2)(b)					
B.LT Theodore Lauterborn	Force: Lt. Theodore Lauterborn used physical force against § 87(2)(b)					

Synopsis

on 06/26/04 at 2:00 AM, she and her fric Spirit, which is located at 530 West 27 th became annoyed and alerted Lt. Theodo and \$87(2)(b) and instruction doing so and PO Ramirez then asked for RMP with the ID and began driving awa ID had been confiscated. Lt. Lauterborn and began pushing them backward off o Lt. Lauterborn pushed her and \$87(2)(b) incurred bruising \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) summon At the time \$87(2)(b) we scheduled for 07/09/04. However, when appointment, \$87(2)(b) requested	Street in Manhattan. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ spoke with the bouncer, who are Lauterborn and PO Robert Ramirez. The officers approached ructed them to move off of the sidewalk. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ began if \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ s ID. When she provided it, he returned to his expressed concern to Lt. Lauterborn that her in then grabbed both \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ was wearing high heels and when both women fell to the ground. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ reported that g as a result of Lt. Lauterborn's actions. PO Ramirez later issued as initially contacted, an appointment to interview her was in she was contacted that day for the purpose of confirming the d to reschedule for 07/16/04. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ failed to appear for eduled for 08/04/04. However, she contacted the CCRB on the day
the complaint voluntarily and that no rep the complaint. This conversation was re Supervisor Sarah Graizbord. A complai Although \$87(2)(b) had investigation of this case, several attemp The phone number provided by \$87(2)(b)	The possibility of mediation was discussed with and decided to withdraw the complaint \$87(2)(b) She stated that she had chosen to withdraw presentatives of the NYPD or CCRB had coerced her to withdraw ecorded on CCRB tape number 200406235, Vol. II and reviewed by int withdrawal form was mailed to \$87(2)(b) on 08/04/04. It reported that \$87(2)(b) did not want to be involved in the lots were made to contact \$87(2)(b) in order to confirm her feelings. proved to be an incorrect number and no other telephone However, contact letters were mailed to \$87(2)(b) s \$87(2)(c) s \$87(2)(
Investigator:	Date:
Supervisor:	Date:
Reviewed by:	Date:
Reviewed by:	Date: