CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Benjamin Wurtzel		Squad #2	201606160	☐ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Monday, 07/11/2016 9:00 PM		West 116th Street and Lenox Avenue		28	1/11/2018	1/11/2018
Date/Time CV Reported	Cime CV Reported		How CV Reported:	: Date/Tin	ne Received at CCI	RB
Mon, 07/11/2016 11:20 PM		IAB	Phone	Mon, 07/	/18/2016 10:51 AM	Л
Complainant/Victim Type		Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Michael Ponzi	21249	931898	SRG 5			
2. An officer						
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. SGT Matthew Fried	01790	934884	SRG 5			
2. POM Jonathan Formichelli	06293	948979	SRG 5			
3. CPT Kevin Taylor	00000	917183	EMP REL			
4. INS John Dadamo	00000	913627	SRG			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inv	vestigator Recon	nmendation
A.POM Michael Ponzi	Force: Poagainst §	olice Officer Michael Po	d			
B. An officer	Force: A flashligh	n officer struck § 87(2)(b) t.	with a			
C. An officer	Force: A	n officer used physical f	force against § 87(2)(b)			

Case Summary

provide additional details to inform his belief that he was struck with a flashlight. Sa7(2)(b) alleged during his telephone statement that he was struck in the back of his neck but did not allege this during his verified statement.

Findings and Recommendations

Explanation of Subject Officer Identification could only describe the officer who came up behind him and forcibly detained him as a uniformed white male. Police Officer Ponzi, a white male who was dressed in a uniform at the time of the incident, identified himself as the officer who first approached [887(2)(b)] from behind and placed him in handcuffs. § 87(2)(9) could provide no description for the officer who allegedly struck him with a flashlight, § 87(2)(b) further stated that he was struck in the back a second time but could provide no description of the officer who committed this allegation and could not say whether this was the same officer that allegedly struck him with a flashlight. Police Officer Ponzi and Sergeant Fried were the only officers who admitted to touching §87(2)(6) and stated that no other officers were involved in his detainment. s account of the incident indicates that he did not believe Sergeant Fried approached him until after he had felt the pain to his back. Sergeant Fried and Police Officer Ponzi denied striking § 87(2)(b) in any way and denied seeing any other officer approach Police Officer Formichelli corroborated this account. § 87(2)(9) Allegation A – Force: Police Officer Michael Ponzi used a chokehold against § 37(2)(b) Allegation B – Force: An officer struck § 87(2)(b) with a flashlight. Allegation C – Force: An officer used physical force against §87(2)(b) provided a telephone statement to the CCRB on July 20, 2016. § 87(2)(b) was interviewed at the CCRB on July 27, 2016. Sergeant Fried was interviewed at the CCRB on September 1, 2016 (Board Review 08). Police Officers Ponzi and Formichelli were interviewed at the CCRB on September 27, 2016 (Board Review 09-10). stated that he was walking in the direction of a demonstration and that he blew into a horn that he had in his possession while crossing the street mid-block. § 87(2)(b) not provide any justification for blowing his horn and denied joining or intending to join the protest that he was walking towards. § 87(2)(b) alleged that Police Officer Ponzi reached an arm around his shoulder and applied pressure to his throat with his forearm. § 87(2)(b) approximately 5'7" tall. In his telephone statement, §87(2)(b) stated that this action restricted his breathing but stated in his verified statement that he was able to speak and that his breathing was not restricted. further alleged that he was struck in the back with an object he believed to be a flashlight and was struck a second time with a closed fist. As stated above, \$87(2)(b) Page 3

provide no description for the officer who performed this action. §87(2)(b) identified Sergeant Fried as being in the vicinity but stated that he arrived after he had been struck. As stated above, §87(2)(b) refused to provide his medical records to the investigation.
Police Officer Ponzi, Sergeant Fried, and Police Officer Formichelli provided consistent accounts of striction is detainment. All three officers stated that they observed strictions to get on the sidewalk, and that he did not resist when Police Officer Ponzi and Sergeant Fried went to detain him.
Police Officer Ponzi confirmed that he approached \$87(2)(b) from behind and was the first officer to make physical contact with him. Police Officer Ponzi stated that he grabbed ahold \$7(2)(b) s hands, pulled them behind his back, and placed him in handcuffs. Police Officer Ponzi stated that \$87(2)(b) did not offer any resistance and denied that he could have reached around \$87(2)(b) s neck, citing his own height as 4'10" tall and stating that it would be difficult for him to reach over the shoulder of \$87(2)(b) who was much taller. Police Officer Ponzi denied striking \$87(2)(b) in any way and did not utilize any tools in \$87(2)(b) s detainment. He used plastic handcuffs to handcuff \$87(2)(b) and stated that he would have used metal handcuffs if \$87(2)(b) had resisted.
Police Officer Ponzi stated that \$87(2)(b) did not ask for medical attention until he was in handcuffs, that he refused to provide a reason for his request, that he attempted to decline medical attention once EMS actually arrived at the location and that it was officers and EMS who insisted on taking him to the hospital since he had initially requested medical attention. Police Officer Ponzi's memo book reflects this information and notes that all medical tests had negative results. Police Officer Ponzi's memo book further reflects that \$87(2)(b) informed medical personnel that officers choked him (Board Review 12).
Sergeant Fried stated that he placed \$87(2)(b) in handcuffs by pulling his arms behind him and placing him in handcuffs with assistance from Police Officer Ponzi. Sergeant Fried denied reaching over \$87(2)(b) or seeing any other officer do so. Sergeant Fried denied using any tools to detain \$87(2)(b) and denied striking him
Police Officer Formichelli denied having any physical contact with \$87(2)(b) and did not observe Police Officer Ponzi or Sgt. Fried reach over \$87(2)(b) s shoulder as they were handcuffing him from behind.
All three officers denied that \$87(2)(b) expressed difficulty breathing or exhibited any signs of labored breathing during the process of detainment. Sergeant Fried's and Police Officer Formichelli's memo books also reflect \$87(2)(b) s allegations of injuries and his negative medical test results (Board Review 13-14). All three officers denied using their flashlights at any time on the incident date and noted good lighting conditions at the incident location.
The Threat, Resistance or Injury Incident Worksheet (TRI) prepared for this incident by Sergeant Fried corroborates the accounts provided by the officers in their CCRB interviews, noting that no physical injuries were observed on and that his arrest was uneventful and that he did not complain of unspecified pain until after he was in handcuffs. The TRI reflects that Page 4
r age 4

	rmed medical staff that he force was used against h	e was assaulted by the policinim (Board Review 15).	ce and notes the officers'	
no apparent red photographs of	investigation. In the photoness, swelling or any other	er apparent injury. Addition	neck is in a brace but there	
§ 87(2)(g)				
				ı
§ 87(2)(g)				
3 (=/(3/				
§ 87(2)(g)				
Squad: 2				
Investigator:	Cionatura	Deine	Doto	
	Signature	Print	Date	
Squad Leader: _	T: 1 /G:			
	Title/Signature	Print	Date	
Reviewer:	FD: 1 /G:			
	Title/Signature	Print	Date	

Page 5