

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Alexander Opoku-Agyemang	Team: Team # 5	CCRB Case #: 201307542	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Sunday, 08/18/2013 8:00 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED]		Precinct: 120	18 Mo. SOL 2/18/2015	EO SOL 2/18/2015
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 08/19/2013 9:32 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: On-line website	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 08/19/2013 9:32 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Witness(es)	Home Address
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. SGT Bekim Kalicovic	02478	936834	120 PCT
2. POM Joseph Noto	05669	934030	120 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.SGT Bekim Kalicovic	Abuse: Sgt. Bekim Kalicovic entered and searched § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED] in Staten Island.	[REDACTED]
B.POM Joseph Noto	Abuse: PO Joseph Noto entered and searched § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED] in Staten Island.	[REDACTED]

### Case Summary

On August 18, 2013, § 87(2)(b) filed a complaint via the CCRB website. On August 21, 2013, a telephone statement was obtained from § 87(2)(b)'s daughter § 87(2)(b).

§ 87(2)(b) stated that, on August 15, 2013, her § 87(2)(b)-old daughter, § 87(2)(b) and her § 87(2)(b)-old son, § 87(2)(b) left § 87(2)(b) in Staten Island, where they reside with § 87(2)(b) and their § 87(2)(b)-old sister, § 87(2)(b) and went to the residence of their father. At approximately 8:00 p.m. on August 18, 2013, while § 87(2)(b) was not home, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) returned to § 87(2)(b). An altercation occurred between § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) left again. Approximately one hour later, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) returned with two uniformed officers, identified via the investigation as Sgt. Bekim Kalicovic and PO Joseph Neto of the 120<sup>th</sup> Precinct. When § 87(2)(b) opened the front door, both the officers and her siblings entered the house without saying anything (**Allegations A and B**). The officers told § 87(2)(b) her that she had to let her siblings stay in the house and the officers walked out of the house. When the officers walked out of the house, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) fought in the kitchen. As they were fighting the officers returned. Sgt. Kalicovic grabbed § 87(2)(b)'s arm and walked her out of the kitchen. § 87(2)(b) sat down on a stair case inside the house and Sgt. Kalicovic said to her, "I could arrest you if I wanted to, that was a physical altercation."

Several hours after the incident, when § 87(2)(b) returned home, she went to the stationhouse with her children. She spoke with Sgt. Kalicovic and obtained his name. An ARCS obtained from MAS identified Sgt. Bekim Kalicovic's driver during the tour in which this incident occurred as PO Joseph Neto § 87(2)(g)

A call was made to § 87(2)(b) on August 21, 2013. She responded but stated that she could not speak at the time but gave permission for the CCRB to speak to § 87(2)(b) and provided § 87(2)(b)'s cell-phone number. A call was placed to § 87(2)(b) and a telephone statement was obtained. A second call was made to § 87(2)(b) on August 22, 2013. She again stated that she could not speak at the moment and promised to call back later that day. § 87(2)(b) called back later that day and she chose to have her complaint mediated because she did not believe she had anything to gain from an investigation. She scheduled interview appointments for herself and § 87(2)(b) on August 28, 2013. On August 28, 2013, prior to her interview, § 87(2)(b) called and stated that she no longer wanted to mediate her complaint and wanted to proceed with an investigation. Furthermore, she confirmed that she and § 87(2)(b) would be coming to their interview appointment scheduled for later that same day. However, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) did not appear for the interview appointment and § 87(2)(b) did not call to cancel or reschedule. Between August 29, 2013, and September 6, 2013, three calls were made to § 87(2)(b). Voicemail messages were left on two occasions and a message was left with § 87(2)(b) on one occasion. Letters were sent to § 87(2)(b) via both postal mail and email on August 28, 2013, and September 6, 2013. These letters were not returned by the US Postal Service or the email server. § 87(2)(b) has not responded to any of these attempts to contact her. A search for § 87(2)(b) on the Department of Corrections Inmate Tracking website on September 19, 2013, revealed that she was not incarcerated. § 87(2)(b) has failed to appear and to bring her minor daughter for an interview appointment and has not responded to subsequent attempts to contact her. § 87(2)(g)

---

Team: 5

Investigator: \_\_\_\_\_ Alexander Opoku-Agyemang September 19, 2013  
Signature Print Date

Supervisor: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title/Signature Print Date