

Disclosures:

ROBERT CAMPBELL:

On March 19, 2009, Police Officer Robert Campbell had a substantiated departmental investigation which resulted in the filing of charges and specifications. He pled guilty to the charges and specifications and forfeited thirty (30) vacation days as a disciplinary penalty for the below-described conduct, namely: for failing to make memo book entries in response to a motor vehicle accident; for failing to follow proper DARP (Directed Accident Response Program) procedures by allowing a non-DARP tow truck company to remove a vehicle from the scene of a motor vehicle accident; for not issuing a notice of violation to the unauthorized towing company; and, for failing to give a proper radio disposition to the communications section.

On July 10, 2012, Police Officer Robert Campbell had a substantiated departmental investigation for failing to make a complete/accurate memo book entry. He received a Schedule B Command Discipline.

KAPPA FARID

The People are aware that during the time he/she has been employed by the New York City Police Department, Kappa Farid has been named as a defendant or co-defendant in several federal civil lawsuits.

1. *Pinckney v. City of New York*, et al, 16CV06151, settled in 2017 for \$9,000, ending litigation in the Southern District of New York.

A review of the officer's Central Personnel Index (CPI) revealed the following:

1. On October 26, 2000 Sergeant Kappa Farid had a substantiated departmental investigation for losing his ID card. He was issued a command discipline.
2. On December 21, 2010, Sergeant Kappa Farid had a substantiated departmental investigation for improperly issuing disorderly conduct summonses to two individuals who were stranded in the street. He was transferred.
3. On or about April 7, 2013 Sergeant Kappa Farid had a substantiated departmental investigation for failing to properly safeguard a metal chain which was the property of a prisoner that he arrested in violation of patrol guide procedures, and his failure to safeguard said property led to its loss. Additionally, on or about April 7, 2013 Sergeant Kappa Farid failed to invoice all of his prisoner's currency, including currency that the prisoner considered to have "sentimental value" and instead Sergeant Kappa Farid released said currency to the prisoner's spouse, in violation of patrol guide procedures. Sergeant Kappa Farid was issued a "B" command discipline and lost two vacation days.

4. On May 29, 2015 Sergeant Kappa Farid had a substantiated departmental investigation for failure to make a timely notification to a supervisor. He received an “A” command discipline.
5. On April 20, 2018 Sergeant Kappa Farid had a substantiated departmental investigation for an invoice discrepancy and for incomplete/inaccurate reporting on a Property Clerk Invoice. He received verbal instructions.
6. On October 14, 2019 Sergeant Kappa Farid had a substantiated departmental investigation for invoice discrepancy on a controlled substance lab and for incomplete/inaccurate reporting on a Property Clerk Invoice. He received verbal instructions.
7. On February 10, 2019 Sergeant Kappa Farid, had a substantiated departmental investigation for while on duty, he did wrongfully engage in conduct prejudicial to the good order, efficiency, and discipline of the department, namely he conducted personal business while in uniform and on duty, in violation of patrol guidelines. Sergeant Kappa Farid lost two vacation days, and was issued a “B” command discipline.
8. On February 14, 2019 Sergeant Kappa Farid, had a substantiated departmental investigation for while on duty, offered the owner of a vehicle that was involved in a motor vehicle accident currency in exchange for the vehicle. Sergeant Kappa Farid received a command discipline for department rules violation, specifically, purchase of the vehicle while on duty.

A review of the officer’s CCRB history revealed the following:

1. On April 15, 2003, Sgt. Farid had a substantiated CCRB complaint for refusal to provide name and shield number and was given verbal instructions.

LANCE LEVINE:

A review of the officer’s Central Personnel Index (CPI) revealed the following:

1. On August 7, 2010, Officer Lance Levine had a substantiated departmental investigation for failing to safeguard his firearm. [insert discipline].
2. On February 28, 2012, Officer Lance Levine had a substantiated departmental investigation for failing to make an activity log. He was warned and admonished.
3. On July 25, 2013, Officer Lance Levine had a substantiated departmental investigation for a wrongful stop and frisk. He received a CD and loss of 3 vacation days.

ERIC ZEILINSKY:

Zielinski has been named as a defendant or co-defendant in a federal civil lawsuit.

1. Iacone v. 123rd Police Station, et al., 19-cv-03859 ? filed in the Eastern District of New York and presently pending litigation

The People are unaware of any other disclosures for any other officers