CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
John Butler		Squad #11	201807181	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Friday, 08/17/2018 10:21 AM		Over the phone		104	2/17/2020	2/17/2020
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCI	RB
Sat, 08/18/2018 8:00 PM		Other City agency	On-line website	Thu, 08/30	/2018 11:02 AM	[
Complainant/Victim	Туре	Home Addre	ess			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. SGT Martha Lequerica	04572	906634	104 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegation	on		Inve	stigator Recon	nmendation
A.SGT Martha Lequerica	Abuse: S § 87(2)(b)	Sergeant Martha Lequerio	ca threatened to arre	st		
B.SGT Martha Lequerica	Abuse: S § 87(2)(b)	Sergeant Martha Lequerica threatened to arrest				

Case Summary On August 18, 2018, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint online with 311 on behalf of himself and his employee, § 87(2)(b) The complaint was received at IAB on the same day. The complaint was received at the CCRB on August 30, 2018. On August 17, 2018, at approximately 10:21 a.m., § 87(2)(b) called the 104th Precinct stationhouse several times via phone and spoke with Sgt. Martha Lequerica of the 104th Precinct. Sgt. Lequerica allegedly said, "You gotta stop calling here, or I'm gonna have you arrested for harassment" (Allegation A – Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(9) also called the stationhouse and spoke with Sgt. Lequerica, who allegedly said, "If you say another word, I'm going to have you arrested – you and [87/2] (Allegation B – Abuse of Neither § 87(2)(b) nor § 87(2)(b) was arrested or issued a Authority, § 87(2)(g) summons during the incident. There is no video footage of this incident. Findings and Recommendations Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Martha Lequerica threatened to arrest [397(2)5] Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Martha Lequerica threatened to arrest § 37(2)(b) On the date of the incident, § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) discovered that his company truck had been towed from outside of his office (BR 01). He believed that the vehicle was towed by the NYPD because he previously received several parking summonses for parking in front of a driveway. §87(2)(b) called the 104th Precinct stationhouse to get information about his towed vehicle. He called the stationhouse at least seven times from 10:21 a.m. until 3:43 p.m. During his calls, he spoke with Sgt. Lequerica, who informed him that the NYPD did not tow his vehicle but that she would try to find out which towing company did so. \$87(2)(0) did not believe Sgt. Lequerica and repeatedly called the stationhouse to complain about his truck. During at least one of those phone calls, Sgt. Lequerica allegedly said, "You gotta stop calling here, or I'm gonna have you arrested for harassment." provided an unverified phone statement (BR 02). She was not interviewed because she was uncooperative with the investigation (BR 03). According to §87(2)(b) S § 87(2)(b) unverified statement, she was working as a receptionist at §87(2)(b) company when a neighbor entered the office and said that \$87(2)(b) s truck had been towed. \$87(2)(b) then called the 104th Precinct stationhouse and spoke with an officer who told her that someone would call her back. After waiting an hour, § 87(2)(6) called the stationhouse again and spoke with Sgt. Lequerica. §87(2)(b) tried to ask about the truck, but Sgt. Lequerica cut her off and told her that she was already communicating with \$87(2)(b) protested, and Sgt. Leguerica then allegedly said, "If vou say another word, I'm

protested, and Sgt. Lequerica then allegedly said, "If you say another word, I'm gonna have you arrested – you and \$87(2)(b)

According to Sgt. Lequerica (BR 04), \$87(2)(b) called the stationhouse repeatedly and spoke with the T/S operator, PO Minhaj Khalique of the 104th Precinct. PO Minhaj told Sgt. Lequerica that \$87(2)(b) was preventing him from answering other phone calls, and Sgt. Lequerica instructed him to forward all of \$87(2)(b) s calls to her. Sgt. Lequerica then spoke with \$87(2)(b) during several phone calls, telling him that the NYPD did not tow his vehicle but that she would attempt to identify the towing company that did so.

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201807181

actions constituted other phone calls. S any other crime. Sg phone, but Sgt. Lee	aggravated harassment Sgt. Lequerica never the gt. Lequerica acknowled	dged that she also spoke with \$87(2)(b) threatened to arrest her in any way. Sa	harassment or for over the
(BR 05). Sgt. Lequerica CCRB compla S87(2)(b) On November	has been a member of sints and six allegations, Mediation, declined to mediate th 14, 2018, a notice of clawill be added to the case	service for 24 years and has been a su none of which were substantiated. So Civil and Criminal Histories	7(2)(g)
Squad No.:			
Investigator:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:			

Page 3

Print Title & Name

Date

Signature

CCRB Case # 201807181