CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Team:										
Incident Date(s)	Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force		Discourt.	☐ U	.S.
Wednesday, 01/17/2018 1:20 AM Powell Street and Bellmint Avenue 73 7/17/2019 7/17/2019 Date/Time CV Reported CV Reported At: How CV Reported: Call Processing System Wed, 01/17/2018 2:03 AM CCRB CALL Processing System Wed, 01/17/2018 2:03 AM CCRB CALL Processing System Wed, 01/17/2018 2:03 AM	Jeffrey Mulinelli (S)		APU	201800398		Abuse		O.L.	☐ In	ijury
Date/Time CV Reported M: How CV Reported: Wed, 01/17/2018 2:03 AM CCRB Call Processing System Wed, 01/17/2018 2:03 AM Complainant/Victim Type Home Address Subject Officer(s) 1. An officer 2. SGT David Grieco 3830 940216 PSA 2 2. SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco stopped the vehicle in which sards a summons to \$327210 Occupants. B.SGT David Grieco Abuse: An officer questioned \$37210 D. An officer Abuse: An officer frisked \$37210 E. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$37210 E. An officer Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which and \$37210 E. An officer Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$37210 E. An officer Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$37210 E. An officer Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$37210 E. An officer Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$37210 E. An officer E. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$37210 E. An officer E. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$37210 E. An officer E. An officer Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$37210 E. An officer E. An officer E. An officer E. An officer Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$37210 E. An officer	Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	1	Pı	recinct:	18	Mo. SOL	ЕО	SOL
CCRB Call Processing System Wed, 01/17/2018 2:03 AM Complainant/Victim Type Home Address Subject Officer(s) Shield TaxID Command 1. An officer PSA 2 2. SGT David Grieco 03830 940216 PSA 2 2. SGT David Grieco Allegation Assert Sergeant David Grieco stopped the vehicle in which and \$37(2)(0) occupants. B.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco threatened to issue a summons to \$37(2)(0) D. An officer Abuse: An officer questioned \$37(2)(0) E. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$37(2)(0) E. An officer Abuse: An office	Wednesday, 01/17/2018 1	:20 AM	Powell Street and Bel	mont Avenue		73	7,	/17/2019	7/17	//2019
Complainant/Victim Type Home Address Subject Officer(s) Shield TaxID Command 1. An officer 2. SGT David Grieco Allegation A.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco stopped the vehicle in which summons to	Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:		Date/Time	Rece	eived at CCl	RB	
Subject Officer(s) Shield TaxID Command 1. An officer 2. SGT David Grieco Officer(s) Allegation A.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco stopped the vehicle in which structure occupants. B.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco threatened to issue a summons to structure C. An officer Abuse: An officer questioned \$37(2)(0) D. An officer Abuse: An officer frisked \$37(2)(0) E. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$37(2)(0) F. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$37(2)(0) B. An officer Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which specified and \$37(2)(0) B. Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which specified and \$37(2)(0) B. Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$37(2	Wed, 01/17/2018 2:03 AM	Λ	CCRB			Wed, 01/1	7/201	18 2:03 AM	I	
1. An officer 2. SGT David Grieco 2. SGT David Grieco 3830 940216 PSA 2 2. SGT David Grieco 3830 940216 PSA 2 1. Investigator Recommendation A.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco stopped the vehicle in which and \$37(2)(0) occupants. B.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco threatened to issue a summons to \$37(2)(0) C. An officer Abuse: An officer questioned \$37(2)(0) D. An officer Abuse: An officer frisked \$37(2)(0) E. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$37(2)(0) F. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$37(2)(0) H.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which and \$37(2)(0) and \$37(2)(0) and \$37(2)(0) were occupants. I.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$37(2)(0)	Complainant/Victim	Туре	Home Addı	ess						
1. An officer 2. SGT David Grieco 2. SGT David Grieco 3830 940216 PSA 2 2. SGT David Grieco 3830 940216 PSA 2 1. Investigator Recommendation A.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco stopped the vehicle in which and \$37(2)(0) occupants. B.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco threatened to issue a summons to \$37(2)(0) C. An officer Abuse: An officer questioned \$37(2)(0) D. An officer Abuse: An officer frisked \$37(2)(0) E. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$37(2)(0) F. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$37(2)(0) H.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which and \$37(2)(0) and \$37(2)(0) and \$37(2)(0) were occupants. I.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$37(2)(0)										
1. An officer 2. SGT David Grieco 2. SGT David Grieco 3830 940216 PSA 2 2. SGT David Grieco 3830 940216 PSA 2 1. Investigator Recommendation A.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco stopped the vehicle in which and \$37(2)(0) occupants. B.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco threatened to issue a summons to \$37(2)(0) C. An officer Abuse: An officer questioned \$37(2)(0) D. An officer Abuse: An officer frisked \$37(2)(0) E. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$37(2)(0) F. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$37(2)(0) H.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which and \$37(2)(0) and \$37(2)(0) and \$37(2)(0) were occupants. I.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$37(2)(0)										
1. An officer 2. SGT David Grieco 2. SGT David Grieco 3830 940216 PSA 2 2. SGT David Grieco 3830 940216 PSA 2 1. Investigator Recommendation A.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco stopped the vehicle in which and \$37(2)(0) occupants. B.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco threatened to issue a summons to \$37(2)(0) C. An officer Abuse: An officer questioned \$37(2)(0) D. An officer Abuse: An officer frisked \$37(2)(0) E. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$37(2)(0) F. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$37(2)(0) H.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which and \$37(2)(0) and \$37(2)(0) and \$37(2)(0) were occupants. I.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$37(2)(0)										
2. SGT David Grieco Officer(s) Allegation A.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco stopped the vehicle in which and str(2)(b) were occupants. B.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco threatened to issue a summons to str(2)(b) C. An officer Abuse: An officer questioned str(2)(b) D. An officer Abuse: An officer frisked str(2)(b) E. An officer Abuse: An officer searched str(2)(b) F. An officer Abuse: An officer frisked str(2)(b) F. An officer Abuse: An officer searched str(2)(b) F. An officer Abuse: An officer searched str(2)(b) H.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which str(2)(b) and str(2)(b) were occupants. I.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched str(2)(b) Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which str(2)(b) and str(2)(b) were occupants.	Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command						
Allegation A.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco stopped the vehicle in which and \$\frac{\sqrt{27(2)}}{\sqrt{2}}\$ were occupants. B.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco threatened to issue a summons to \$\frac{\sqrt{27(2)}}{\sqrt{2}}\$ business An officer questioned \$\frac{\sqrt{27(2)}}{\sqrt{2}}\$ business An officer frisked \$\frac{\sqrt{27(2)}}{\sqrt{2}}\$ business An officer searched \$\frac{\sqrt{27(2)}}{\sqrt{2}}\$ business An officer frisked \$\frac{\sqrt{27(2)}}{\sqrt{2}}\$ business An officer frisked \$\frac{\sqrt{27(2)}}{\sqrt{2}}\$ business An officer searched the vehicle in which \$\frac{\sqrt{27(2)}}{\sqrt{27(2)}}\$ business Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which \$\frac{\sqrt{27(2)}}{\sqrt{27(2)}}\$ and \$\frac{\sqrt{27(2)}}{\sqrt{27(2)}}\$ were occupants. I.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$\frac{\sqrt{27(2)}}{\sqrt{27(2)}}\$ business Sergeant David Grieco searched \$\sqrt{27(1. An officer			PSA 2						
A.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco stopped the vehicle in which serge occupants. B.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco threatened to issue a summons to summons t	2. SGT David Grieco	03830	940216	PSA 2						
B.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco threatened to issue a summons to \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ C. An officer Abuse: An officer questioned \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ E. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ F. An officer Abuse: An officer frisked \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ G. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ G. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ H.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ were occupants. I.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$	Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inve	estiga	ator Recon	nmend	ation
Summons to \$87(2)(b) C. An officer Abuse: An officer questioned \$87(2)(b) D. An officer Abuse: An officer frisked \$87(2)(b) E. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$87(2)(b) F. An officer Abuse: An officer frisked \$87(2)(b) G. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$87(2)(b) H.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) were occupants. I.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$87(2)(b)	A.SGT David Grieco	§ 87(2)(b)		stopped the vehicle in and § 87(2)(b) w		ch				
D. An officer Abuse: An officer frisked \$87(2)(b) E. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$87(2)(b) F. An officer Abuse: An officer frisked \$87(2)(b) G. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$87(2)(b) H.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) were occupants. I.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$87(2)(b)	B.SGT David Grieco			threatened to issue a						
E. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$87(2)(b) F. An officer Abuse: An officer frisked \$87(2)(b) G. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$87(2)(b) H.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which were occupants. I.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$87(2)(b) Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$87(2)(b)	C. An officer	Abuse: A	n officer questioned §	37(2)(b)						
F. An officer G. An officer Abuse: An officer searched \$87(2)(b) H.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which and \$87(2)(b) Occupants. I.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$87(2)(b) Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$87(2)(b)	D. An officer	Abuse: A	n officer frisked § 87(2)(t	0)						
G. An officer H.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which and \$87(2)(b) were occupants. I.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$87(2)(b) were occupants.	E. An officer	Abuse: A	n officer searched § 87(2	2)(b)						
H.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which and \$87(2)(b) were occupants. I.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$87(2)(b)	F. An officer	Abuse: A	n officer frisked § 87(2)(t	p)						
and \$87(2)(b) were occupants. I.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched \$87(2)(b) were	G. An officer	Abuse: A	n officer searched § 87(2	2)(b)						
I.SGT David Grieco Abuse: Sergeant David Grieco searched § 87(2)(b)	H.SGT David Grieco	§ 87(2)(b)		searched the vehicle is and \$87(2)(b) w		ich				
	LSGT David Grieco	•		searched § 87(2)(b)						
	§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)	110 000. 0								

Case Summary

On January 17, 2018, § 87(2)(6)	filed this complaint via the CCRB's Call
Processing System on behalf of himself and his passe	engers, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b)
On January 17, 2018, at approximately 1:20 a.m., §87	(2)(b) was driving § 87(2)(b) with two
passengers when Sgt. David Grieco stopped his vehi	cle in the vicinity of Powell Street and
Belmont Avenue in Brooklyn (Allegation A – Abus	e of Authority: §87(2)(9)). After
requesting § 87(2)(6) s documents, Sgt. Grieco stat	
disputed this, Sgt. Grieco stated that § 87(2)(b)	
wanted a ticket (Allegation B – Abuse of Authority). The officers ordered $\S_{77(2)}$
and §87(2)(b) to exit the vehicle, after whi	ich officers questioned § 87(2)(b) and
frisked and searched both men (Allegation C – Abu	se of Authority: § 87(2)(9)
Allegations D, F, and G – Abuse of Authority: §870	; Allegation E – Abuse of
Authority – § 87(2)(9)). Afterward, Sgt. Grieco se	earched the rear seat of §87(2)(b) s car,
including § 87(2)(b) s backpack (Allegations H	and I – Abuse of Authority:
§ 87(2)(g)).	-
recorded a 42-second video of the incide	ent with his cell phone [01 Board Review].

Findings and Recommendations

Identification of Subject Officers

testified that his vehicle was stopped by two plainclothes officers in an unmarked, silver sedan. One of these officers, a white male in his 30s who had blond hair and a receding hairline, also threatened to issue a summons to \$87(2)(b) and later searched \$87(2)(b) s vehicle. During the incident, \$87(2)(b) noted the RMP's license plate number as \$87(2)(b). \$87(2) Later that same morning, \$87(2)(b) photographed the same vehicle parked outside the PSA 2 stationhouse [02-03 Board Review].

recorded a 42-second video with his dash-mounted cell phone as the above-described officer searched the back seat of his car [01 Board Review]. It briefly captures the officer's face before sarched the back and blocks the camera with his arm. A two-second excerpt of the video and a screenshot of the officer's face were cropped and extracted using Input Ace [see video subclip, 04 Board Review; see photos, 05, 26 Board Review]. In this clip and photo, a light-skinned white male officer with light colored hair, a very short fade-style haircut, and thin lips is seen leaning his body into the back seat.



Nash_Martin_Subclip.avi

Sgt. Grieco denied having any memory of this incident, and his memo book contained no entries concerning his whereabouts or with whom he was working at the time. However, Sgt. Grieco acknowledged that he was working as the PSA 2 FIO Sergeant at the time of the incident and confirmed that he was assigned the vehicle in \$37(2)(b) s photo [03, 06-07 Board Review].

Page 2

Sgt. Grieco's MOS photo, dated August 1, 2016, revealed that he is a white male with light skin, thin lips, and very short brown hair, though his hair appears to be salt-and-pepper and is faded shorter on the sides in the photo [08 Board Review]. During his CCRB interview, Sgt. Grieco had a haircut which closely matched both his MOS photo and the officer captured in the video. Given the fact that Sgt. Grieco closely resembles the officer in sale of the incident and assigned the vehicle in question, the investigation determined by a preponderance of the evidence that Sgt. Grieco was present during this incident. **Allegations A, B, H, and I** were pleaded against Sgt. Grieco as a result.

The PSA 2 Roll Call for tour 3 on January 16, 2018, listed Sgt. Grieco as the FIO Sergeant and PO Luis Fernandez, PO Jonathan Frith, and PO Michael Wallace as FIO Assistants [09 Board Review]. The memo book entries and CCRB testimonies of PO Fernandez, PO Frith, and PO Wallace confirmed that they ended their tours at 11:35 p.m. on January 16, 2018, as scheduled [10-13 Board Review]. The investigation therefore eliminated them as potential subject officers. No FIO Sergeant, FIO Assistants, or Anti-Crime officers were listed on the PSA 2 Roll Call for tour 1 on January 17, 2018, the Command Log did not note any officers ending their tours at the same time as Sgt. Grieco, and Sgt. Grieco did not remember who he was working with while he incurred overtime [14-15 Board Review]. There was no other NYPD documentation available for this incident. Given these facts, the investigation was unable to identify Sgt. Grieco's partners. Allegations C through G were pleaded against "an officer" as a result.

Allegation Not Pleaded In a phone statement, § 87(2)(b) reported that an officer questioned him about weapons. believed that the officer also asked similar questions of \$87(2)(b) though he did not hear the specifics of their conversation. § 87(2)(b) did not provide a sworn statement, and § denied that he was questioned in this manner [16-17 Board Review]. As such, a question allegation was not pleaded on behalf of \$87(2)(6) Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: Sergeant David Grieco stopped the vehicle in which and § 87(2)(b) were occupants. Allegation B – Abuse of Authority: Sergeant David Grieco threatened to issue a summons to Allegation H – Abuse of Authority: Sergeant David Grieco searched the vehicle in which and § 87(2)(b) were occupants. Allegation I – Abuse of Authority: Sergeant David Grieco searched §87(2)(6) In his CCRB interview, \$87(2)(b) testified that he was driving his \$87(2)(b) was in good working order and did not have tinted windows, when he picked up two passengers about five minutes apart. These passengers are known to the investigation as for § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) did not observe either passenger smoking marijuana at any point, and he denied that his vehicle ever smelled of marijuana. Neither of s passengers appeared to be carrying any large objects which could have created a bulge. At an unspecified time, § 87(2)(b) informed § 87(2)(b) that he had observed officers watching him from their car as he waited for the cab. Approximately 30 seconds after [887(2)(b)] picked up \$87(2)(b) and resumed driving, officers in an unmarked silver sedan activated

Page 3

their lights and stopped \$87(2)(b) s cab near Powell Street and Belmont Avenue. \$87(2)(b) did not commit any VTL violations before being stopped.

An officer, identified by the investigation as Sgt. Grieco, informed \$87(2)(0) that he had stopped the vehicle because he smelled marijuana. \$87(2)(0) that disputed this claim and asked Sgt. Grieco how he could have smelled marijuana if the vehicle's windows were closed. Sgt. Grieco responded, "Well, you're double parked. Do you want a ticket?"

Officers removed \$87(2)(0) and \$87(2)(0) from the vehicle, at which point Sgt. Grieco leaned his body into the car through the open rear driver's side door and scanned the back seat using his flashlight. Sgt. Grieco also opened and moved items around inside \$87(2)(0) s backpack, which was in the middle of the back seat. This search lasted approximately one minute [02 Board Review].

provided a video which captures Sgt. Grieco with his upper body leaned into the rear seat of \$87(2)(b) seeds scab. Sgt. Grieco appears to be placing items into a backpack and zipping it shut during the first fifteen seconds of this forty-two second video [01 Board Review].



201800398 20180124 1605 DM.MOV

In his phone statement, \$87(2)(b) stated he was in \$87(2)(b) s cab when \$87(2)(b) picked up \$87(2)(b) did not observe \$87(2)(b) drinking or smoking anything. He never noticed the smell of marijuana emanating from \$87(2)(b) s vehicle, or anywhere else in the vicinity. After picking up \$87(2)(b) resumed driving for approximately two minutes before being stopped by plainclothes officers in a gray or black Dodge Charger. The officers approached the vehicle and commented about crimes that had been committed in the area. \$87(2)(b) did not recall exactly what the officers said, but he noted that they did not provide any specifics. Officers removed \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) from the vehicle, after which another officer entered the back seat. \$87(2)(b) did not see what this officer did inside the back seat [17 Board Review].

In his phone statement, \$87(2)(b) stated he was waiting outside for his \$87(2)(b) to arrive when he noticed an unmarked silver car containing three officers parked across the street from him. \$87(2)(b) was not smoking or drinking anything at the time, and there was no odor of marijuana in the vicinity. \$87(2)(b) was wearing a backpack at the time. \$87(2)(b) entered the \$87(2) without incident. However, the officers did a U-turn and followed the \$87(2) for a minute before pulling the driver over. \$87(2)(b) did not hear any officer mention the odor of marijuana. Officers removed \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) from the vehicle, and \$87(2)(b) left his backpack on the back seat. While \$87(2)(b) spoke with officers, another officer entered the back seat, opened \$87(2)(b) s backpack, and looked inside of it. Nothing was recovered during this search [16 Board Review].

During his CCRB interview, Sgt. Grieco acknowledged that he was working as the PSA 2 FIO Sergeant at the time of the incident and confirmed that he was assigned the vehicle in Page 4

s photo. Sgt. Greico also acknowledged that, as an FIO Sergeant, he could have been conducting street enforcement on the date of the incident. However, he did not remember conducting any enforcement near Powell Street and Belmont Avenue on the date of the incident. He also did not recall stopping or searching a vehicle or questioning, frisking, or searching its occupants, or threatening to issue a summons to anyone. He did not remember approaching any vehicles due to the odor of marijuana on the date of the incident. During his CCRB interview, Sgt. Grieco viewed the video recorded by sylvence as well as photos of the vehicle's occupants. These items did not refresh his memory of the incident [06 Board Review].

Sgt. Grieco's memo book contained no entries concerning this incident, nor did it note any tasks he carried out or with whom he worked on the date of the incident [07 Board Review]. IAB requests for warrant audits and Stop Reports related to this incident produced negative results [18 Board Review]. As noted above, the investigation was unable to identify which officers were working with Sgt. Grieco at the time of the incident.

§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)		

The decision to stop a vehicle is reasonable where the police have probable cause to believe that a traffic violation has occurred. People v. Robinson, 97 N.Y.2d 341 (2001) [20 Board Review]. If a car is readily mobile and probable cause exists to believe it contains contraband, officers are permitted to search the vehicle. Pennsylvania. v. Labron, 518 U.S. 938 (1996) [21 Board Review]. An officer must have probable cause that a person has committed a crime in order to search that individual. People v. De Bour, 40 N.Y.2d 210 (1976) [22 Board Review].

§ 87(2)(g)	
§ 87(2)(g)	
§ 87(2)(g)	
2 or (=VA)	

Page 5

Sgt. Grieco was identified by the investigation as being on scene, but he did not recall or otherwise note who he was working with at the time of the incident. His FIO Assistants – PO Frith, PO Fernandez, and PO Wallace – had all ended their tours by the time the incident

Page 6

	or the Command Log identified any other officers as potential subjects. [887(2)(9)
§ 87(4-b), §	§ 87(2)(g)
	Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories
•	This is the first CCRB complaint to which \$87(2)(b) or \$87(2)(b) has been a party [23 Board Review]. Sgt. Grieco has been a member of service for 12 years and has been a subject in 24 other
	CCRB complaints and 46 allegations, of which three were substantiated [19 Board
	Review]:
	 201111996 involved a substantiated allegation of a premises entered and searched against Sgt. Grieco. The Board recommended command discipline and the NYPD imposed instructions.
	 201207718 involved a substantiated allegation of a stop against Sgt. Grieco. The Board recommended command discipline and the NYPD has not yet imposed the
	forfeiture of one vacation day. 201405351 involved a substantiated allegation of a premises entered and searched against Sgt. Grieco. The Board recommended charges, and Sgt. Grieco was found not guilty at an APU trial. No penalty was imposed.
	○ § 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)
	○ § 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)
	Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories
•	declined to mediate this complaint.
	As of August 22, 2018, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of a

Page 7

§ 87(2)(b)			
	[19 B	Board Review].	
		Administration (OCA), \$87(2)(b) and \$	87(2)(b)
nave no ni	story of convictions in N	Wew York City [25 Board Review].	
Squad No.: 7			
Investigator:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Page 8