

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Miriam Lynch	Team: Squad #9	CCRB Case #: 201706663	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Wednesday, 06/21/2017 3:45 AM	Location of Incident: Utica Avenue and Sterling Place	Precinct: 77	18 Mo. SOL 12/21/2018	EO SOL 12/21/2018	
Date/Time CV Reported Sun, 08/13/2017 5:40 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: On-line website	Date/Time Received at CCRB Sun, 08/13/2017 5:40 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Keenen Adamsedwards	10378	953617	077 PCT
2. POM Kenneth Anderson	20151	950001	077 PCT
3. POM Joshua Zucker	15733	958202	077 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. SGT Victor Paribello	02613	930906	077 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Kenneth Anderson	Force: Police Officer Kenneth Anderson used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM Joshua Zucker	Force: Police Officer Joshua Zucker used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
C.POM Keenen Adamsedwards	Force: Police Officer Keenen Adams-Edwards used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On August 13, 2017, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint on behalf of her husband, § 87(2)(b) was § 87(2)(b) On August 16, 2017, 18B-Assigned Counsel Plan confirmed that § 87(2)(b) was being represented by § 87(2)(b) On August 16, 2017, § 87(2)(b) was reached by phone. She stated that she would discuss participating in the complaint with § 87(2)(b) and that she could be reached in regards to the complaint on August 23, 2017 or August 24, 2017. On August 17, 2017, § 87(2)(b) was reached by phone. She confirmed that she did not witness the incident. On August 24, 2017, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) Her receptionist stated that she was not present at the time of the call. On August 28, 2017, another call was placed to § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) stated that she was not permitting § 87(2)(b) to provide a statement to the CCRB while he had an open criminal case, which she expected to last for several months. § 87(2)(b) was informed that § 87(2)(b) could reopen his case by writing a letter to the CCRB at 100 Church Street.

§ 87(2)(g)

Squad #9

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print	Date
Squad Leader:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date