

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Cassandra Fenkel	Team: Squad #14	CCRB Case #: 201610261	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Wednesday, 12/14/2016 8:18 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b), 28th Precinct stationhouse, § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 28	18 Mo. SOL 6/14/2018	EO SOL 6/14/2018	
Date/Time CV Reported Thu, 12/15/2016 2:43 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Thu, 12/15/2016 2:43 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Andrew Conza	20716	958430	028 PCT
2. POM Suthom Ungcharoen	26224	946349	028 PCT
3. Officers			028 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POF Shakara President	14690	956181	028 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Andrew Conza	Force: § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan and at the 28th Precinct stationhouse, Police Officer Andrew Conza used physical force against § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b).	§ 87(2)(b)
B. Officers	Force: § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan and at the 28th Precinct stationhouse, officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b).	§ 87(2)(b)
C.POM Suthom Ungcharoen	Force: § 87(2)(b), Police Officer Suthom Ungcharoen used a chokehold against § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b).	§ 87(2)(b)

Case Summary

On December 15, 2016 § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) filed the following complaint via the CCRB's call processing system. On December 15, 2016 Sgt. Thomas Helley of the 28th Precinct also contacted the IAB Command Center and reported that § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) was injured during his arrest and transported to § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b).

A search of the NYC Department of Correction Inmate Lookup website conducted on December 19, 2016 § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) . [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]

phone calls to Legal Aid, New York County Defenders, Neighborhood Defenders, and 18B revealed that none of these firms represented § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b). On January 3, 2017 a video teleconference was conducted with § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) confirmed his interest in pursuing the complaint, confirmed his contact information upon release, but had no viable information as to who his attorney was.

A search of the NYC Department of Correction Inmate Lookup website conducted on January 6, 2017 revealed that § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) was released. § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) was called on January 9, 2017 and scheduled a CCRB interview for January 13, 2017. § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) did not wish to receive a reminder text message, and instead requested a reminder email be sent to him. On January 12, 2017 a reminder email was sent to § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b). However, on January 13, 2017 § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) failed to appear and did not call in advance to cancel or reschedule. Missed appointment letters and a missed appointment email were sent to § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) on January 17, 2017. On January 17, 2017 § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) was called, and he rescheduled a CCRB interview for January 23, 2017. § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) opted for both a reminder text message and a reminder email.

On January 20, 2017 a reminder email was sent to § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b), and on January 21, 2017 a reminder text message was sent. However, on January 23, 2017 § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) failed to appear at the CCRB and did not call in advance to cancel or reschedule. A search of the NYC Department of Correction Inmate Lookup website conducted on January 24, 2017 confirmed that § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) was not incarcerated.

To date, § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) has missed two scheduled appointments despite multiple reminders and has not contacted the CCRB to further pursue this complaint. § 87(2)(g) § 87(2)(g)

Squad: #14

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Date

Squad Leader: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer:

Title/Signature

Print

Date