## **CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION**

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	Ī	Force	П	Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Christopher Anderson		Squad #2	201706459	1	Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury
Christopher / macrison		Squad #2	201700135		riouse	ш	O.L.	Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		F	Precinct:	18 N	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Wednesday, 08/02/2017 6:00 A	M	§ 87(2)(b)			72	2/	2/2019	2/2/2019
		Manhattan Central Bo	<del></del>		<del></del>	<u> </u>		
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	1:	Date/Time			
Wed, 08/02/2017 6:33 PM		IAB	Phone		Mon, 08/0	7/2017	4:29 PM	[
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addr	ess					
Witness(es)		Home Addr	ess					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. POM Ugur Bek	06681	948648	NARCBBS					
2. POM Steven Droluk	23240	952697	NARCBBS					
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name					
1. CPT Robert Bava	00000	924929	NARCBBS					
2. POM Stanley Mateo	08797	947804	NARCBBS					
3. SGT Andrew Parfenoff	03603	938855	NARCBBS					
4. DT3 Michael Kobus	4926	941226	NARCBBS					
5. POM Vincent Dibenedetto	01449	948886	NARCBBS					
6. POM Michael Cama	25948	950142	NARCBBS					
7. POM Michael Wolfe	15392	945107	NARCBBS					
Officer(s)	Allegati	on			Inve	estigat	tor Recor	nmendation
A.POM Steven Droluk	Force: A Officer S	t <sup>§ 87(2)(b)</sup> Steven Droluk struck <sup>§ 8</sup>	in Brooklyn, P with a de					
B.POM Steven Droluk	Abuse: F § 87(2)(b)	Police Officer Steven Din Br	roluk entered and se ooklyn.	arche	ed			
C.POM Steven Droluk	Force: A Officer S shield.	t <sup>§ 87(2)(b)</sup> Steven Droluk struck <sup>§ 8</sup>	in Brooklyn, P with a pe					
D.POM Ugur Bek		t Manhattan Central Bo l physical force against		er Ug	gur			

## **Case Summary**

On August 2, 2017, Sergeant Andrew Parfenoff of Narcotics Borough Brooklyn South								
was called by the IAB Command Center, and he reported that §87(2)(b) had complained of								
injuries during the process of his arrest. The IAB Log was subsequently received by the CCRB on								
August 7, 2017.								
On August 2, 2017, § 87(2)(b) was asleep in his home, located at								
in Brooklyn. At approximately 6:00 a.m., he was awoken by a "banging" sound at the entry								
door of the apartment. \$87(2)(b) immediately went to the door and, seeing individuals he did not								
recognize outside of it, held his hands against the door in an attempt to prevent the individuals								
from entering. The door was pushed open, causing \$\frac{8}{5}\frac{87(2)(b)}{1}\tag{6}\tag{7}								
on the floor, and sustain neck and back pain (Allegation A). Sergeant Parfenoff, Police Officer								
Steven Droluk, Police Officer Ugur Bek, Captain Robert Bava, Police Officer Stanley Mateo,								
Detective Michael Kobus, Police Officer Vincent Dibenedetto, Police Officer Michael Cama, and								
Police Officer Michael Wolfe, all from Narcotics Borough Brooklyn South, entered the apartment ( <b>Allegation B</b> ). Police Officer Droluk allegedly struck with a ballistic shield one time								
( <b>Allegation B</b> ). Police Officer Droluk allegedly struck with a ballistic shield one time on the left side of his forehead ( <b>Allegation C</b> ). Officers searched the apartment (within								
Allegation B).								
complained of injuries and was transported to §87(2)(b) in								
Brooklyn and subsequently to Manhattan Central Booking ("MCB"). Police Officer Bek escorted								
into a hallway inside MCB and pushed him in his shoulder, causing him to strike his								
head against a wall and sustain pain and a contusion ( <b>Allegation D</b> ). S87(2)(b) was subsequently								
incarcerated at \$87(2)(b) and charged with \$87(2)(b)								
No video footage was found in this case.								
Madiation Civil and Calminal Histories								
Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories								
• This case was not suitable for mediation due to \$87(2)(b) s arrest.								
• As of October 26, 2017, \$87(2)(b) has not filed a Notice of Claim with the City of New								
York regarding this incident (Board Review 07).								
[§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]								
[§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]								
13 5 14/1-11 133 5 14/1-1-14 1/1 13 5 14/1-14								
Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories								
• This is \$87(2)(b) s first CCRB complaint (Board Review 02).								
Police Officer Droluk has been a member of the NYPD for five years and has one prior								
allegation against him, which was not substantiated. §87(2)(9)								
•								
<ul> <li>Police Officer Bek has been a member of the NYPD for seven years and has seven prior</li> </ul>								
• Police Officer Bek has been a member of the NYPD for seven years and has seven prior allegations, \$87(2)(9). \$87(4-5) , against him in five								

Page 2

TeleConference on September 25, 2017. 887(2)(b) was asked follow-up questions at 887(2)(b) on October 6, 2017 (Board Review 08). Police Officer Droluk and Police Officer Bek were interviewed at the CCRB on October 16, 2017. Police Officer Mateo was interviewed at the CCRB on December 12, 2017.

It is undisputed that the officers entered and searched \$87(2)(b) as apartment two days after Judge § 87(2)(b) issued search warrant number § 87(2)(b) to recover from the apartment, among other things, drugs and drug-related paraphernalia and evidence. It is undisputed that attempted to physically block officers from opening the door and that Police Officer Droluk subsequently forced the door open and was the first officer to enter the apartment.

acknowledged that after his apartment's entry door had been opened, he stood behind it and held his hands against it in an attempt to prevent the individuals outside it from opening it and entering his apartment. The door was then pushed harder, causing \$37(2)(5) to fall backwards and to the floor. He sustained lower back and neck pain as a result.

Police Officer Droluk stated that after Police Officer Wolfe used an Ogura mini-ram to open \$87(2)(5) s apartment's entry door, the door was abruptly shut from what Police Officer Droluk thought was an interior force. Police Officer Droluk repeatedly identified himself as an officer and announced his possession of a warrant. Police Officer Droluk kicked the door one time, causing it to open. Police Officer Droluk opened the door further and saw [887(2)(b)] lying on his side on the floor of the apartment and thought that he had been pushed backwards by the

Page 3

force of Police Officer Droluk's kick against the door. Police Officer Droluk stated that he had not taken any action intended to force to the ground.  Police Officer Bek stated that he stood at the rear of the group of officers outside apartment, paid attention to a different apartment's entry door, and was not aware of the process of opening apartment entry door or any struggle involved therein.  If an individual resists or refuses to permit a search in the execution of a search warrant, officers may use as much physical force as is necessary to execute the warrant. NYS Criminal
Procedure Law Section 690.50 (Board Review 09).
§ 87(2)(g)
·
Allogation C. Forgo, At Market Stranger
Allegation C –Force: At \$87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Steven  Droluk struck \$87(2)(b) with a police shield.
stated that after he fell to the floor as a result of his apartment's entry door being pushed into him, he lay on his side. When the officers entered, he attempted to turn and look up at them. Using his ballistic shield, Police Officer Droluk struck one time on the left side of his forehead, causing pain, whiteness to briefly cover his field of vision, and a contusion that he later noticed and attributed to the strike.  Police Officer Droluk stated that after entering the apartment and seeing lying on its floor, for did not do anything with his body. Police Officer Droluk did not strike in the head or on any part of his body with his police shield and did not recall his ballistic shield making contact, intentionally or unintentionally, with for breathing difficulty to Police Officer Droluk but did not make any complaint of being struck with a shield in Police Officer Droluk's presence. Police Officer Droluk did not observe any injuries to for shead or any part of his body during the incident.  Police Officer Mateo stated that he entered for support of his feet. Police Officer Mateo and other officers took hold of for Droluk's shield made any contact whatsoever with for Mateo denied both that Police Officer Droluk's shield made any contact whatsoever with
during the incident and that any officer made any physical contact with root of his being lifted to his feet.  Police Officer Bek stated that when he entered sapratement, he saw him sitting, handcuffed, on the floor. Police Officer Bek did not see Police Officer Droluk physically interact with save in any way, including striking him with his police shield or otherwise. Police Officer Bek did not observe any injuries on save did not recall hearing save complain of any injuries, and did not recall hearing complain of any actions taken by officers, including Police Officer Droluk striking him with his police shield.  In the Medical Treatment of Prisoner Report (Board Review 05) prepared at save on the save him sitting, being the save him sitting, and did not recall hearing save complain of any actions taken by officers, including Police Officer Droluk striking him with his police shield.  In the Medical Treatment of Prisoner Report (Board Review 05) prepared at save of the save him sitting, handcuffed at approximately save price of his save him sitting, handcuffed him save him s

Page 4

that \$87(2)(b) complained of neck and chest pain and being struck with a shield. \$87(2)(b) was administered pain medication.
§ 87(2)(g)
5 37 (-7/8)
Allegation D -Force: At Manhattan Central Booking, Police Officer Ugur Bek used physical
force against §87(2)(b)
stated that during transport to a "clinic" at a location that he thought to be the
Manhattan Detention Complex, he complained to Police Officer Bek of wrist pain. Police Officer Bek informed him that his handcuffs would be loosened after he received treatment. After
arriving at the location, § 87(2)(b) continued to complain of pain and raised the volume of his
voice when he did not receive a response from Police Officer Bek. Police Officer Bek escorted
stood immediately next to a wall,
pushed him with an open palm in his right shoulder, causing \$887(2)(b) to strike against the wall
the left side of his head, the same location at which Police Officer Droluk allegedly struck him
with his police shield. §87(2)(b) stated that prior to this, his head had been "on the wall," but he
could not describe whether his head was in direct contact with the wall. §87(2)(b) stated that his
head made contact with the wall as a result of Police Officer Bek's push.
Police Officer Bek stated that at MCB, \$87(2)(b) who was handcuffed, became
"agitated" and kicked himself out of a chair while in a room with Emergency Medical Service
(EMS) personnel. Police Officer Bek escorted him into a hallway, where nobody else was
present. §87(2)(b) who was "irate," paced back and forth and talked to himself. §87(2)(b) stood
directly next to and facing a wall and moved his head backwards and forwards one time, striking
his forehead against the wall. Police Officer Bek instructed \$87(2)(b) to stop this action, which he did, and escorted him away from the wall.
Shortly after this, § 87(2)(b) became "loud," paced again, and struck his head against a
wall two additional times. Police Officer Bek saw redness on \$87(2)(6) as s forehead and escorted
him away from the wall. §87(2)(b) complained of being tightly handcuffed and had not
complained of any action Police Officer Bek had taken prior to this. Police Officer Bek informed
that he would loosen the handcuffs if \$87(2)(b) "calmed down" and stopped "banging"
his head. \$87(2)(b) said, "I didn't bang my head. You banged my head." \$87(2)(b) became
"calm" after this. Police Officer Bek denied pushing \$87(2)(b) s head or body into a wall, moving
s head towards a wall, or making contact with \$87(2)(0) intentional or otherwise,
which could have caused his head to make contact with a wall.
In the Investigating Supervisor's Assessment Report (Board Review 06) prepared by
Captain Christopher Giambron regarding this incident, Captain Giambrone noted that Police Officer Bek stated that \$87(2)(b) forced his own head against a wall at MCB and that Police
Officer Bek stated that \$87(2)(b) forced his own head against a wall at MCB and that Police Officer Bek grabbed \$87(2)(b) to prevent him from doing so further.
As per both \$87(2)(b) and Police Officer Bek, nobody else was present when this alleged
force occurred.
§ 87(2)(g)

Page 5

Squad: 2			
Investigator: _	Signature	Print	Date
Squad Leader: _	Title/Signature	Print	 Date
Reviewer:	Title/Signature	Print	 Date