CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Isabel Cifarelli		Squad #11	201908098	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Monday, 09/09/2019 2:45 PM		the 43rd Precinct statio	nhouse	43	3/9/2021	10/24/2021
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCI	RB
Mon, 09/09/2019 4:43 PM		IAB	Phone	Fri, 09/13/	2019 11:04 AM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POF Charesse Davis	15982	927864	043 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM Sean Odwyer	18223	922905	043 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.POF Charesse Davis	Abuse: Police Officer Charesse Davis threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b)					

Case Summary On September 9, 2019, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint over the phone with IAB, reflected in IAB log number 19-34085, garnered from original IAB log number 19-33827. On January 10, 2020, this case was reassigned from Inv. Benjamin Shelton to the undersigned investigator § 87(2)(g) On September 9, 2019, at approximately 6:30 a.m., §87(2)(b) called 911 to report her vehicle as stolen. Two unidentified 44th Precinct officers responded and informed \$87(2)(b) the vehicle had been involved in an accident and was towed by the 43rd Precinct. At approximately 7:25 a.m., \$87(2)(6) arrived at the 43rd Precinct stationhouse in the Bronx. She was directed to the towing company where her car had been brought. At approximately 10:30a.m., she returned to the stationhouse and spoke with Police Officer Charesse Davis, who, after some discussion, told \$87(2)(b) that she could not report her vehicle as stolen. PO Davis allegedly stated that if \$87(2)(6) did fill out a report, she would arrest her (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(9) No arrests were made and no summonses were issued during this incident. The investigation obtained stationhouse footage from the 43rd Precinct for this incident (BR 01-02). **Findings and Recommendations** Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Charesse Davis threatened to arrest testified that at 6:30 a.m. on September 9, 2019, she went to the place where she had last parked her vehicle, a black 2015 Infiniti Q50 with New York license plate 387(2)(5) and noticed that it was missing, so she called 911 to report the vehicle as stolen (BR 03). ser(2)(b) did not testify that she had previously given anyone permission to drive her car. Two unidentified 44th Precinct officers responded and informed §87(2)(b) that the vehicle had been involved in an accident and was towed by the 43rd Precinct, and advised her to contact the 43rd At approximately 7:25 a.m., \$87(2)(6) arrived at the 43rd Precinct stationhouse, where an unidentified officer provided her with an accident report and an incident information slip. completed at that time. §87(2)(b) then went to Universe Towing, located at 1825 Givan Avenue in the Bronx, where she observed that the rear of her vehicle was completely wrecked and that there was damage on all sides of it. For her insurance, she had to report the vehicle as stolen, so she returned to the 43rd Precinct stationhouse at 10:30 a.m. to try again to file a criminal report. She then spoke to PO Davis, who told her that she could not file a report. After some discussion, PO Davis allegedly stated, "you can fill out a report but if you do, I will have you arrested as soon as vou leave the Precinct."

PO Davis did not dispute that she threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b) (BR 04). She stated that she had spoken with an officer from the previous tour who informed her that \$97(2)(b) s vehicle had been involved in an accident hours earlier and had been picked up by officers and impounded. PO Davis showed \$87(2)(b) the section on a blank "Lost or Stolen Property" report that stated that knowingly making a false report was a crime. She advised \$87(2)(b) that if she completed the paperwork asserting her vehicle had been stolen, she would be making a false report. PO Davis explained to the investigation that the sole reason she told \$87(2)(b) she would be arrested if she filled out the paperwork was because \$87(2)(b) had told her that she had given her boyfriend permission to drive the vehicle. Therefore, the vehicle was in her boyfriend's custody upon permission from and the authority of \$87(2)(b) at the time of the accident, and thus could not be

would have had PO Sea that \$87(2)(b) "Investigatory" (BR 05). On Oct and license plat number \$87(2)(b)	le was not stolen and the le was not stolen and the le or choice but to arrest an O'Dwyer, who was a could not report her wand therefore could not ober 4, 2019, a request e number returned neg	hat if \$87(2)(5) had signed the rest ther. at the stationhouse during the incide vehicle as stolen because it had been to be reported as stolen until an inverse for Property Vouchers by \$87(2)(5) active results (BR 06). According to 2)(5) s testimony, \$87(2)(5) owing service located in the Bronx	eport at that time, she lent, stated that he believed en vouchered as estigation had occurred s name, date of birth, o Police Accident Report s vehicle was towed by
 PO Day 	the first complaint to was has been a member ons in three other CCF CCRB Case #200913 recommended charge	has been party (and of service for 18 years and has been party (but of service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction) and the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction) and service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years and has been party (construction). Which says are the service for 18 years are the	en subject of four other ion (see officer history). allegation. The CCRB nd Discipline B.
• On Nov Notices • [§ 87(2)(b)]	declined to media vember 22, 2019, the C		_
Squad No.: Investigator:	Signature	Print Title & Name	 Date
Squad Leader:	· ·	Print Title & Name Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:	Signature	Print Title & Name	 Date

CCRB Case # 201908098