

## OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY RICHMOND COUNTY

MICHAEL E. MCMAHON DISTRICT ATTORNEY

130 STUYVESANT PLACE STATEN ISLAND, NEW YORK 10301 TELEPHONE (718) 876-6300

February 7, 2020

Pursuant to Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150, 92 S. Ct. 763, 31 L. Ed. 2d 104 (1972), 1 am making the following disclosure regarding Sergeant Kimberly McKnight.

The People performed a simple name search of the Public Access to Court Electronic Records ["PACER"] website, and found the following cases which list "Kimberly McKnight" as a civil defendant together with the "City of New York" in cases in the Eastern or Southern Districts of New York:

- Thomas Majewski v. City of New York, 1:10-cv-00331-FB-JO dismissed in 2010 with prejudice in the Eastern District of New York;
- 2. Brandon Tuck v. City of New York, 1:14-cv-00490-PKG-MDG dismissed with prejudice in the Eastern District of New York:

In each of the case that was settled, a stipulation of settlement and order of dismissal was filed with the respective court, indicating, in sum and substance, that nothing in the settlement shall be construed as an admission or concession of liability by any of the defendants or the City of New York regarding any of the allegations made by the plaintiffs in their complaints, or that any of the plaintiffs' rights under the Federal or New York Constitutions or Statutes had been violated. Moreover, it appears that any sums paid to the plaintiffs as a result of the settlement was paid solely by the City of New York, without contribution from Officer Morales.

In addition, the People are aware that during the time she has been employed by the New York City Police Department, Scrgeant Kimberly McKnight has received internal discipline, on or about March 2018, for reporting incomplete or inaccurate property clerk invoice, and received verbal instructions, according to the Central Personnel Index. Additionally, the People are aware that during the time she has been employed by the New York City Police Department, Sergeant Kimberly McKnight has received internal discipline, on or about February 2012, for failing to notify IAB, according to the Central Personnel Index.

The People reserve the right to move in limine to preclude reference to this information, or otherwise to object to its use or introduction into evidence during trial.