

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
ONE HOGAN PLACE
New York, N. Y. 10013
(212) 335-9000**

OFFICER: EDSON BRUTUS
TAX NUMBER: 932 325

DISCLOSURE ADVISORY

For the person named above, whom the People may call as a witness, please be advised as follows.

1. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated an allegation(s) that on December 29, 2012, i. Edson Brutus wrongfully impeded a department investigation in that he attempted to misdirect an NYPD investigation into the identity of an unauthorized civilian that he permitted to enter an NYPD headquarters security booth ii. he wrongfully permitted an unauthorized civilian to remain in a NYPD headquarters security booth and iii, he failed to make entries in his activity log regarding personal time taken. Edson Brutus pled guilty to the charges.
2. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated an allegation that on January 4, 2013, Edson Brutus made an incomplete or improper memobook entry.
3. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated an allegation that on August 18, 2015, Edson Brutus failed to appear for a scheduled court appearance at the Traffic Violations Bureau.
4. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated an allegation that on May 25, 2017, Edson Brutus failed to appear for a scheduled court appearance at the Traffic Violations Bureau.
5. The New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) has deemed substantiated an allegation that on December 5, 2017, Edson Brutus was discourteous to a civilian in that he twice referred to a civilian at the scene of a police incident as “a moron.”
6. The NYPD, in connection with the incident referenced in paragraph 5 above, also has deemed substantiated an allegation that on December 5, 2017, Edson Brutus violated department policy and guidelines regarding courtesy, professionalism, and respect by referring to a civilian witness as “a moron.”

Various publicly available websites and databases contain disciplinary information for certain law enforcement officers. Information in such databases about this officer is not necessarily included in this advisory.

Any information herein regarding civil lawsuits against an officer is not necessarily a complete list of civil lawsuits in which that officer is a defendant.

Allegations of misconduct that have not been substantiated and are not pending (including, but not limited to, findings of unsubstantiated, unfounded, and exonerated), and allegations of technical infractions, are not subject to disclosure and are not included in this advisory.

The decision to include information in this advisory does not represent a conclusion by the People that it is required to be disclosed.

The People reserve the right to oppose or move to limit the use of any information included herein or disclosed in the future.

If you have any questions, please contact the Assistant District Attorney assigned to the case on which you are receiving this advisory.

Date: October 19, 2020