## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force		Discourt.	U.S.
Arthur Albano		Squad #4	201510210	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	L	P	recinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Wednesday, 12/02/2015 7:30 AM		§ 87(2)(b)			78	6	5/2/2017	6/2/2017
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:		Date/Time	Rec	eived at CCR	LB
Wed, 12/02/2015 9:35 AM		CCRB	Phone		Wed, 12/02	2/201	15 9:35 AM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. Officers			013 DET					
2. SGT Rayna Madho	04816	939829	013 DET					
3. DT3 John Santiago	00823	937488	013 DET					
4. CPT Gary Messina	00000	874530	DBM ZN2					
5. An officer			013 DET					
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name					
1. POM Ryan Christopher	24843	946455	013 DET					
2. DT3 Robert Cardona	07724	928019	013 DET					
3. DT3 George Droesch	4611	930076	013 DET					
4. DT3 Damarr Mcbean	2490	942152	013 DET					
5. POM John Stiene	27299	939536	013 DET					
6. DT3 Eric Hayden	4620	934998	013 DET					
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inve	stiga	ator Recon	mendation
A.DT3 John Santiago	Abuse: D	et. John Santiago entere	ed and searched § 87(2)	(b)				
B.DT3 John Santiago	in Brooklyn.  Abuse: Det. John Santiago failed to show the search warrant to \$87(2)(b)							
C.CPT Gary Messina	Abuse: Cpt. Gary Messina failed to show the search warrant to \$87(2)(b)							
D. An officer	Abuse: An officer threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)							
E.SGT Rayna Madho	Abuse: Sgt. Rayna Madho frisked § 87(2)(b)							
F Officers	Abuse: Officers damaged § 87(2)(b)							

## **Case Summary**

Seven days prior to this incident, Ronald § 87(2)(6)
arrested in regards to a shooting within the confines of the 13 <sup>th</sup> Precinct. On November 30, 2016
Det. John Santiago, of the 13 <sup>th</sup> Pct. Det. Squad, obtained a search warrant for \$87(2)(6)
, in Brooklyn.
On December 2, 2015, at approximately 7:30 a.m., Det. Santiago executed a search
warrant at \$87(2)(b) (Allegation A). \$87(2)(b) allegedly requested
generally to the group of officers to see the search warrant, but the officers all ignored her request
(Allegation B). §87(2)(b) was brought into the living room where she was ordered to sit
down, but was not handcuffed. \$87(2)(6) protested, and an officer told her that if she did
not sit she could be handcuffed (Allegation C). §87(2)(b) sat but continued to move and
was told to stop or else she would be arrested. (within <b>Allegation C</b> ).
was informed that they found a gun in her son's room, which they
believed was his and therefore were not going to arrest her. Soon after, the officers then began to
leave the apartment together. Before all the officers left, Sgt. Madho allegedly frisked
(Allegation D). Sgt. Madho did not find anything on \$87(2)(b) and then left
the apartment.
After the officers left, \$87(2)(b) noticed that her closet door and lamp were
damaged, and her curtains were ripped from the windows (Allegation E).
§ 87(2)(g)
·
Video Footage
This incident occurred inside a private home. There was no video footage discovered
that captured this incident.
Madiation Civil and Chiminal Western
Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories
• \$87(2)(b) rejected mediation as an alternative resolution for this complaint.
• A Notice of claim inquiry was sent to the NYC Comptroller's office on April 14, 2016.
A response to this inquiry will be included in the case file upon its receipt.
§ 87(2)(b)
Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories
§ 87(2)(b)

Page 2

§ 87(2)(b)
• Sgt. Madho has been a member of the service for 10 years and there are no substantiated allegations against her. She has had seven prior CCRB cases with a total of 17 allegations. [887(2)(g)]
• Det. Santiago has been a member of the service for 11 years and there are no substantiated CCRB allegations against him. He has seven prior CCRB cases with a total of 18 allegations. §87(2)(g). § 87(4-5)
• Captain Messina has been a member of the service for 35 years and there are six substantiated allegations against him. In case #9601222 allegations of Force, property damage, and discourtesy were substantiated, and the CCRB recommended Charges. There is no NYPD penalty noted for this case. In case #200404633 an Abuse of Authority (other) allegation was substantiated, of which Charges were filed. In CCRB case #201112197 a vehicle stop, vehicle search, and physical force allegation were substantiated. The CCRB recommended instructions for the case, and the NYPD Declined to prosecute and he received no penalty. Overall, Captain Messina has 50 prior CCRB cases with 114 allegations.
Findings and Recommendations
Det. Santiago requested and obtained the search warrant for sale as a result of his investigation. Therefore <b>Allegation A</b> is pleaded against him.  alleged that she requested the entire group of officers to show her the search warrant immediately after they entered, but was ignored. According to Sgt. Madho (Board Review 01), the only officers to have copies of the search warrant at that time were Det. Santiago, Captain Messina, and Sgt. Madho. It is undisputed that Sgt. Madho was not present for the initial entry, and therefore would not have been present for the alleged request. As such, a refusal to show the search warrant ( <b>Allegations B</b> and <b>C</b> ) will be pleaded against Det. Santiago and Captain Messina.
alleged (Board Review 02) that two different officers threatened to arrest her during this interaction. Ser(2)(6) described one of the officers to be a 5'7" tall, 110-120-lbs white man with light brown hair and blue eyes. None of the officers match this description and as such the allegation is pleaded against "an officer."  Ser(2)(6) did not witness any officer damage her property, but discovered the damage only after all of the officers had left her home. Therefore, Ser(2)(6) was unable to attribute the action to any particular officer. Therefore, Allegation F will be pleaded against

## Allegation A –Abuse of Authority: Det. John Santiago entered and searched in Brooklyn.

It is undisputed that Det. Santiago and a team of officers from the 13<sup>th</sup> Det. Squad entered and searched \$87(2)(b) in Brooklyn. It is undisputed that Det. Santiago knocked and announced himself as "police," and \$87(2)(b) opened the door, rather than the

Page 3

## CCRB Case # 201510210

"Officers."

officers forcefully entering. Det. Santiago obtained a search warrant for the premises on November 30, 2016, two days prior to this incident (Board Review 03). The warrant was executed within the 10 day guideline stipulated on the warrant.

NYS Criminal Procedure Law (CPL) 690.50 1, states that a search warrant authorizes a police officer to conduct a search of the designated premises for the purposes of seizing property or kinds of property, and to deliver any property so obtained to the court which issued the warrant (Board Review 04).

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
·
Allegation B- Abuse of Authority- Det. John Santiago failed to show the search warrant to
§ 87(2)(b)
Allegation C- Abuse of Authority- Captain Gary Messina failed to show the search warrant
<u>to</u> § 87(2)(b)
stated that she requested, generally from the group of officers that
initially entered, to show her a search warrant and she was ignored (Board Review 02). The only
officers to have a copy of the search warrant when the request allegedly occurred were Det.
Santiago and Captain Messina. According to Det. Santiago (Board Review 05), he showed a copy of the search warrant before she opened the door for officers because
would not open the door otherwise. Additionally, he stated that once he entered the
residence, he handed \$87(2)(6) a copy of the search warrant to review. Det. Santiago stated
that she did not make another request to see the warrant to Captain Messina.
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
8 0 (0)(0)(1) 8 0 (0)(0)
Allegation D- Abuse of Authority- An officer threatened to arrest §87(2)(b)
It is undisputed that \$87(2)(0) was told to sit in the living room. According to she verbally protested where the officer told her to sit, saying that they could not tell
her where to sit in her own house (Board Review 02). At that point, the officer told
that if she did not sit where he told her to sit, she would be arrested. Further, it is
undisputed that \$87(2)(b) was told multiple times to not move around. According to
this occurred after every slight movement that she made. §87(2)(b) then stated
that she attempted to reach for a tissue that was on the table next to her. In response to this
movement, an officer stated, "If you don't follow instructions, then we are going to arrest you."
A person is guilty of Obstructing Governmental Administration when he intentionally
obstructs, impairs or perverts the administration of law or other governmental function or prevents or attempts to prevent a public servant from performing an official function, by means of
intimidation, physical force or interference. (Board Review 02)

Page 4

stop moving. \$87(2)(b) s a explanation being that she should determine that \$87(2)(b) w	intentional refusal to comply not be told where to sit in vas guilty of obstructing gotallure to comply with law	overnmental administration. ful orders to stop moving, and	he only nt to
3 (-) (-) (-) (-) (-)			
	_		
Allegation E- Abuse of Author			
		s home, Sgt. Madhes. Vouchers relative to the exe	
of this search warrant confirm th			
§ 87(2)(b) (Board Review (	06).		
		arch warrant which directs a s	
a designated or described place, present thereat or therein. (Boar		also direct a search of any pers	son
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)	d Review 02)		
	•		
broken closet door, a lamp, and lamp	eeing any officer damage ner curtains ripped from the could not provide any rty, despite multiple requentiago denied seeing any denied any de	property, but stated that she of e windows after the officers he photographs or other evidence	bserved a lad left ce
Squad:			
Investigator:			
Signature	Print	Date	
D 17 1			
Pod Leader: Title/Signature	Print	Date	
Tido, Signaturo	Page 5	Duit	
	0		

Attorney:			
•	Title/Signature	Print	Date

Page 6