

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Carter Salis	Team: Squad #4	CCRB Case #: 201906265	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday, 07/05/2019 3:35 AM	Location of Incident: 1901 Hennessey Place	Precinct: 46	18 Mo. SOL 1/5/2021	EO SOL 8/22/2021	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 07/05/2019 8:18 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 07/17/2019 1:01 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Joseph Iobbi	21087	964067	046 PCT
2. POM Clarence Brown	19647	963885	046 PCT
3. POM Dewan Rahman	11906	963706	046 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Dewan Rahman	Force: Police Officer Dewan Rahman used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM Joseph Iobbi	Force: Police Officer Joseph Iobbi used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
C.POM Clarence Brown	Force: Police Officer Clarence Brown used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
D.POM Clarence Brown	Force: Police Officer Clarence Brown used a Taser on § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On July 5, 2019, Sgt. Jose Feliciano of the 46th Precinct called the IAB Command Center and filed this complaint on behalf of § 87(2)(b). This complaint was received at the CCRB on July 17, 2019. On July 11, 2019, the CCRB received Complaint #201906064 on behalf of § 87(2)(b) and regarding a separate incident. Contact attempts were made for both cases simultaneously.

Between July 16, 2019 and July 23, 2019, CTS, LexisNexis, and CLEAR searches were conducted, which revealed three addresses, six phone numbers, and one email address.

Between July 18, 2019 and July 31, 2019, eleven calls were placed to § 87(2)(b) at the six phone numbers revealed by the database searches. Three phone numbers were not in service and two phone numbers were wrong numbers. Five voicemails were left at two phone numbers, one of which was determined to be a wrong phone number.

Between July 16, 2019 and July 25, 2019, six please contact letters were mailed to § 87(2)(b) at the three addresses revealed by the database searches, and three were returned by the USPS as undeliverable. Between July 23, 2019 and July 30, 2019, two emails were sent to § 87(2)(b) at the email address revealed by the database searches and none have been returned as undeliverable.

On July 16, 2019, an Office of Court Administration search revealed that § 87(2)(b) criminal case was still open and that § 87(2)(b) was assigned an attorney from the Legal Aid Society, although that attorney's name and contact information were not available. On July 31, 2019, an Office of Court Administration search revealed that § 87(2)(b) was represented by § 87(2)(b) of the § 87(2)(b). On July 31, 2019, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) and a voicemail was left. On August 2, 2019, § 87(2)(b) left the investigator a voicemail. Between August 2, 2019 and August 5, 2019, two calls were placed to § 87(2)(b) and two voicemails were left. On August 5, 2019, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) who stated that she no longer represented § 87(2)(b) gave § 87(2)(b) attorney's name as § 87(2)(b) and provided two additional phone numbers for § 87(2)(b).

On August 5, 2019, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) who requested to consult § 87(2)(b). On August 7, 2019, two calls were placed to § 87(2)(b) and two messages were left. On August 8, 2019, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) and a message was left. Later that day, § 87(2)(b) left the investigator a voicemail. A call was then placed to § 87(2)(b) and a message was left. On August 9, 2019, § 87(2)(b) left the investigator a voicemail, provided his cell phone number, and asked the investigator to call him. Later that day, the investigator placed two calls to § 87(2)(b). One call was prematurely terminated due to static and one voicemail was left. Later that day, § 87(2)(b) called the investigator and stated that he had not yet been in contact with § 87(2)(b).

On August 8, 2019, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) at one of the two phone numbers provided by § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) updated his contact information and was informed that, because his criminal case was still pending, any statement he provided could be disclosed pursuant to subpoena or document request in furtherance of civil, criminal, or administrative litigation. § 87(2)(b) was advised to consult his attorney. § 87(2)(b) gave his civil attorney's name as § 87(2)(b).

On August 8, 2019, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) and a desk attendant stated § 87(2)(b) would return to his office later that day. Later that day, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) and a desk attendant advised the investigator to call back the following day. On August 9, 2019, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) and a desk attendant stated that § 87(2)(b).

§ 87(2)(b) would call the investigator back. On August 12, 2019, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) and a desk attendant provided § 87(2)(b) email. On August 12, 2019, an email was sent to § 87(2)(b) notifying him that the CCRB had received two complaints on behalf of § 87(2)(b) and informing him that any statement § 87(2)(b) provided could be disclosed pursuant to subpoena or document request in furtherance of civil, criminal, or administrative litigation. Later that day, § 87(2)(b) emailed the investigator and requested that § 87(2)(b) not be contacted at this time.

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

Squad No.: Squad 4

Investigator:	_____	Investigator Carter Salis	08/14/2019
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date