

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Jeffrey Kukulski	Team: Team # 5	CCRB Case #: 201206193	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Saturday, 05/12/2012 9:45 PM	Location of Incident: 729 Burke Avenue between Holland Avenue and Cruger Avenue	Precinct: 47	18 Mo. SOL 11/12/2013	EO SOL 11/12/2013	
Date/Time CV Reported Sat, 05/12/2012 10:28 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Call Processing System	Date/Time Received at CCRB Sat, 05/12/2012 10:28 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Edwin Vega	27670	948435	047 PCT
2. POM Brandon Ravelo	26010	948409	047 PCT
3. Officers			047 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Brandon Ravelo	Abuse: PO Brandon Ravelo searched the car in which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were occupants.	
B. Officers	Abuse: Officers searched the car in which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were occupants.	
C.POM Edwin Vega	Abuse: PO Edwin Vega frisked § 87(2)(b)	
D.POM Edwin Vega	Abuse: PO Edwin Vega searched § 87(2)(b)	
E. Officers	Abuse: Officers frisked § 87(2)(b) a person named § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b).	
F. Officers	Abuse: Officers searched § 87(2)(b) a person named § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b).	

Case Summary

§ 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB by phone on the Call Processing System on May 12, 2012. On May 12, 2012, at approximately 9:45 p.m., § 87(2)(b) stopped his 2008 Mercedes-Benz in front of a barbershop and next to a fire hydrant at 729 Burke Avenue between Holland Avenue and Cruger Avenue in the Bronx. § 87(2)(b) was with three friends, § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) whose last name is unknown, and an unidentified friend. Four officers in uniform then walked over to where § 87(2)(b) was parked and asked to see his ID. § 87(2)(b) complied and was then ordered to exit his car with the rest of his friends. PO Brandon Ravelo and several unidentified officers then searched § 87(2)(b)'s car (**Allegation A and B**). As the car search was going on, the officers frisked and searched § 87(2)(b) and his three friends (**Allegation C, D, E, and F**). Ultimately, § 87(2)(b) was issued a summons for § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) provided names and badge numbers for two of the officers. § 87(2)(b) stated the officer that frisked and searched him was PO Vega with badge number 27670. In addition, § 87(2)(b) stated that PO Ravelo was one of the officers that searched his car. § 87(2)(b) provided a description for PO Ravelo as an Hispanic male, 5'6", muscular build, 26-27 years-old, low cut hair, and clean shaven with tattoos on his arms. Descriptions for the other officers present are as follows: a white female, 5'6", 25-26 years-old, with a slim build and black male, 5'8"-5'9", 28-29 years-old, with a medium build. § 87(2)(b) provided a description of the officer that frisked and searched him as a Hispanic male, 5'9", 25-30 years-old, with a stocky build. In addition, § 87(2)(b) described an officer that searched the car as a black male, 6'1" to 6'2", early 30s, with a slim figure and a Caesar haircut. § 87(2)(g)

On May 15, 2012, the undersigned mailed and emailed first please call letters to § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b). That same day, the undersigned called and left a voice message for § 87(2)(b). The next day, the undersigned received an email from § 87(2)(b) and again called § 87(2)(b) and left a voice message. On May 17, 2012, the undersigned received a phone statement from § 87(2)(b) and during this phone conversation § 87(2)(b) rejected mediation. § 87(2)(b) agreed to call the undersigned back and set up an interview which he failed to do so. The next day, § 87(2)(b) called the undersigned and provided a phone statement and agreed to call back and set up an interview which he failed to do so. On May 29, 2012, the undersigned mailed please call letter to § 87(2)(b)'s verified address. On July 9, 2012, the undersigned called and left voice messages for § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b). Later that day, the undersigned mailed and emailed final please call letters to § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b). On July 13, 2012, the undersigned called § 87(2)(b) and left a voice message stating his complaint may be closed without a full investigation if he does not respond in the next few days. Later that day, the undersigned called § 87(2)(b) and scheduled an interview for July 17, 2012. On July 17, 2012, § 87(2)(b) missed his scheduled appointment without calling to cancel. As of July 23, 2012, a search of the NYC Department of Correction database showed that § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) are not currently incarcerated. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) have not responded to the investigation's attempts to schedule an interview. § 87(2)(g)

Team: 5

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print	Date

Supervisor:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date

Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date

Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date