CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	▼ Force	☐ Discourt.	U.S.
Rolando Vasquez		Squad #5	201707527	☑ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Wednesday, 09/13/2017 6:05 AM				48	3/13/2019	3/13/2019
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	CV Reported At: How CV Reported: Da		ate/Time Received at CCRB	
Wed, 09/13/2017 3:24 PM		CCRB Phone		Wed, 09/1	Wed, 09/13/2017 3:24 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Type Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Luis Maldonado	00836	950810	NARCBBX			
2. POF Samantha Angelo	11754	950008	NARCBBX			
3. DT3 Jeremy Rodriguez	5913	934346	NARCBBX			
Officer(s)	Allegation	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.POF Samantha Angelo		Abuse: Police Officer Samantha Angelo entered and searched \$87(2)(0) in the Bronx.				
B.DT3 Jeremy Rodriguez		Force: Detective Jeremy Rodriguez used physical force against § 37(2)(b)				
C.POF Samantha Angelo		Abuse: Police Officer Samantha Angelo refused to provide her name and shield number to \$87(2)(b)				
D.POM Luis Maldonado		Abuse: Police Officer Luis Maldonado refused to provide his name and shield number to \$87(2)(b)				

Case Summary

This complaint was filed by \$87(2)(b) on September 13, 2017 by phone with the CCRB. \$87(2)(b) also filed this complaint on September 15, 2017 by phone with IAB.

On September 13, 2017, at approximately 6:05 a.m., \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) were inside their apartment at \$87(2)(b) in the Bronx. PO Samantha Angelo and a field team consisting of officers from Bronx Narcotics entered and searched the location pursuant to a search warrant she obtained (**Allegation A**). Det. Jeremy Rodriguez allegedly threw \$87(2)(b) to the floor and handcuffed him (**Allegation B**). PO Angelo and PO Luis Maldonado allegedly refused to provide their name and shield numbers to \$87(2)(b) (**Allegation C-D**).

No summonses or arrests resulted from this incident.

There is no video of this incident.

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- This case was suitable for mediation and it was offered to \$87(2)(6) and and during their interviews. They chose to have the complaint investigated.
- A notice of claim request was submitted to the NYC Comptroller's office and will be added to the case file upon its receipt.
- \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) have no history of any criminal convictions in NYC in the last ten years (BR01-02).

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the first complaint filed by \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) (BR03-04).
- PO Angelo has been a member of the service for six years and has no substantiated allegations. PO Angelo's only other complaint exists in case 201706222 which is still under investigation \$37(2)(9)
- Det. Rodriguez has been a member of the service for 13 years and has no substantiated allegations. Det. Rodriguez has one prior force allegation which was closed by the Board as complainant uncooperative.
- PO Maldonado has been a member of the service for six years and has no substantiated allegations. [\$87(2)(9)

Findings and Recommendations

Explanation of Subject Officer Identification

• Aside from describing him as a male, \$87(2)(5) was unable to describe the officer who allegedly threw him to the floor. PO Angelo and Det. Rodriguez both provided consistent testimony that they were the only ones to enter \$87(2)(5) s bedroom when he was handcuffed and secured and that Det. Rodriguez handcuffed him while PO Angelo held a ballistic shield. Given that Det. Rodriguez would have been in a position to use the alleged force against \$87(2)(5) and he was the only male officer in the room, Allegation B is pleaded against him.

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201707527

Allegations not pleaded alleged than an officer had his gun pointed at him when he was being handcuffed in his bedroom. Given that officers were executing a search warrant and the pointing of guns is a standard safety precaution when entering a location for this purpose, this allegation is subsumed under the entry allegation and no separate guns pointed allegation has been pleaded. and § 87(2)(b) both testified that § 87(2)(b) initially refused requests to sign the search warrant because he could not read it. §87(2)(b) testified that an officer punched into his own fist when \$87(2)(b) refused. \$87(2)(b) interpreted this to mean that the officer intended to hit §87(2)(b) for refusing. §87(2)(b) testified that the only reason he felt intimidated during this incident was because he was handcuffed and fearful he might be arrested. Because §87(2)(6) did not make this allegation on his own behalf, no threat of force allegation has been pleaded. Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Samantha Angelo entered and searched in the Bronx. It is undisputed that officers entered and searched § 87(2)(b) in the Bronx. The officers entered and searched the apartment pursuant to the terms outlined in search warrant § 87(2)(b) (BR05). This warrant was obtained by PO Angelo and was signed by the Honorable § 87(2)(b) on § 87(2)(b) . The warrant authorized the search of the entire apartment for evidence of narcotics crimes. New York State Criminal Procedure Law § 690.50 authorizes officers to enter and search a location when they have obtained a search warrant (BR06). Allegation B – Force: Detective Jeremy Rodriguez used physical force against 337(2)(5) testified that he was asleep in his bedroom when he was awoken by officers entering his apartment (BR07). §87(2)(b) sat up in his bed to go see what was happening. Multiple officers who \$\frac{37(2)(b)}{27(2)(b)} could not describe suddenly entered his bedroom. \$\frac{37(2)(b)}{27(2)(b)} did not make any movements when the officers entered. A male officer immediately grabbed near his chest, pulled him forward, and threw him to the floor. § 87(2)(b) handcuffed, picked up and brought to the living room. § 87(2)(6) reported that he experienced pain in his shoulders which he attributed to being handcuffed which aggravated a prior injury. did not seek medical treatment. Det. Rodriguez and PO Angelo both testified that they entered § 87(2)(b) s room where they found him on his bed (BR08-09). PO Angelo entered the room first carrying a ballistic shield and

CCRB Case # 201707527

was followed by Det. Rodriguez who was her bunker security. No other officers entered the Page 3

bedroom with them. Det. Rodriguez handcuffed \$87(2)(b) and brought him to the living room. Both officers denied that \$87(2)(b) was ever taken to the floor by any officer.
Det. Rodriguez did not recall if he or PO Angelo issued any commands to \$87(2)(b) when they entered the bedroom. Det. Rodriguez did not recall if \$87(2)(b) but did not recall how he did so. Det. Rodriguez did not recall if \$87(2)(b) physically resisted in any way. Det. Rodriguez denied that he used any force against \$87(2)(b)
PO Angelo described that upon entering the room, \$87(2)(b) was in his bed. The officers told him, "Let us see your hands." \$87(2)(b) stood up with his hands up and was quickly handcuffed by Det. Rodriguez. \$87(2)(b) was compliant and did not offer any resistance.
PG 221-01 states that force may be used when it is reasonable to ensure the safety of a member of the service or a third person, or otherwise protect life, or when it is reasonable to place a person in custody or to prevent escape from custody. In all circumstances, any application or use of force must be reasonable under the circumstances (BR10).
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation C- Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Samantha Angelo refused to provide her name and shield number to \$87(2)(6)
Allegation D – Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Luis Maldonado refused to provide his
name and shield number to \$87(2)(b)
both testified that they were held in their living room while officers searched the apartment (BR 07, 11). They were informed that the officers were executing
a warrant and were looking for drugs. They both told the officers that they did not have any
drugs. During their interviews, §87(2)(b) and §87(2)(b) expressed suspicions that
neighbors were making false reports to the police that they were selling drugs.

Page 4

CCRB Case # 201707527

numbers. At some point during the incident, \$87(2)(5) heard the name "Maldonado" while officers were talking amongst themselves.
testified that \$87(2)(b) asked officers for their names to which they responded that they had a warrant. \$87(2)(b) did not hear any officer ever say their name. \$37(2)(b) made this request to.
PO Angelo testified that \$37(2)(b) asked her for her name and shield number when she brought him to the living room. PO Angelo provided her name and shield number verbally and noted that it was visible on her shield around her neck. While PO Angelo was searching the apartment she heard \$37(2)(b) yelling repeatedly, "I want everyone's information." She heard officers answering him but she did not know what they were saying. PO Angelo did not observe anyone refuse to provide their name and shield number to \$37(2)(b) PO Angelo completed the search of the apartment and went back to the living room to speak with \$37(2)(b) and \$37(2)(c) and \$37(2)(c) and \$37(2)(c) and \$37(2)(c) asked questions repeatedly and never seemed to understand what she was telling him.
PO Maldonado testified that he was assigned to guard \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) in the living room while the search was conducted (BR12). During this time, \$87(2)(b) repeatedly asked to see the search warrant. PO Angelo showed the warrant to \$87(2)(b) Someone got his glasses because he might have complained about not being able to see. Even after seeing the warrant, \$87(2)(b) continued to ask to see the warrant. \$87(2)(b) asked PO Maldonado for his name and shield number which he provided. \$87(2)(b) asked PO Maldonado if he knew what CCRB was and what a lawsuit was. PO Maldonado did not recall asking anyone else for this information and did not observe any officer refuse to provide this information to him.
PG 203-09 states that officers must courteously and clearly state your rank, name, shield number and command, or otherwise provide them, to anyone who requests you to do so (BR13).
and \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) provided consistent testimony that \$87(2)(b) asked officers for their name and shield numbers and this information was not provided to him. \$87(2)(g)

Page 5

CCRB Case # 201707527

Squad: 5			
Investigator: _			
	Signature	Print	Date
Squad Leader: _			
~ 1 ~~~	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:			
_	Title/Signature	Print	Date