

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Katherine O'Connor	Team: Team # 1	CCRB Case #: 200712368	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Saturday, 06/30/2007 4:10 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 70	18 Mo. SOL 12/30/2008	EO SOL 12/30/2008	
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 08/27/2007 12:38 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 08/27/2007 12:38 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Witness(es)	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM William Fields	00222	938477	070 PCT
2. POM Misbahul Noor	30628	939120	070 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM William Fields	Force: PO William Fields used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
B.POM Misbahul Noor	Force: PO Misbahul Noor used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
C.POM Misbahul Noor	Abuse: PO Misbahul Noor refused to provide his name and/or shield number to § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Synopsis

On June 30, 2007 at approximately 4:10pm, PO Misbahul Noor and PO William Fields of the 70th Precinct responded to § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn § 87(2)(f)

When § 87(2)(b) refused to allow them to enter, PO Fields grabbed onto § 87(2)(b)'s arm and tried to move him out of the doorway [**Allegation A**]. This allegedly resulted in a bruise to § 87(2)(b)'s left inner bicep. When § 87(2)(b) came to the door, the officers arrested him and escorted him downstairs. Once outside the building § 87(2)(b) grabbed onto § 87(2)(b)'s arm while he was being escorted by the police and told both officers to let his grandson go. § 87(2)(b) also falsely claimed he requested PO Noor's identification, at which time, PO Noor pushed him to the ground [**Allegation B**] and did not provide his name or shield number [**Allegation C**]. § 87(2)(b) was removed from the scene in the officers' patrol car.

§ 87(2)(g)

Summary of Complaint

§ 87(2)(b) was interviewed at the CCRB as a walk-in on August 27, 2007 (encl 5a-b). During his interview, § 87(2)(b) referred to a typed statement that he prepared himself on or about July 2, 2007 (encl. 4). § 87(2)(b) is a § 87(2)(b)-old Hispanic male § 87(2)(b). He provided the following statement to the CCRB (encl. 6a-f):

On June 30, 2007 at approximately 4:10pm, § 87(2)(b) was in his bedroom at his residence (§ 87(2)(b) listening to music. His wife, § 87(2)(b) and his § 87(2)(b)-old grandson, § 87(2)(b) were the only other people who were home at the time—both were in the living room watching a baseball game on television. Earlier that day, § 87(2)(b) had consumed approximately three to four beers, and he was slightly intoxicated at the time. He also explained that he has problems with his memory and does not easily remember faces or other physical attributes of people. § 87(2)(b) explained that the window in his bedroom faces § 87(2)(b), and that on that date, § 87(2)(b) had parked his van on the side of the street. § 87(2)(b) never heard any commotion outside his window at any point that day, and he never heard any glass breaking outside. While he was in his bedroom, § 87(2)(b) heard a knock at the front door, so he went to see who was there. When he opened the door, he observed two police officers standing in the hallway. § 87(2)(b) identified one officer as having the last name "Noor," which he obtained this from the officer's nameplate. (These officers were identified through investigation as PO Misbahul Noor and PO William Fields, both of the 70th Precinct).

PO Fields asked § 87(2)(b) if he and PO Noor could enter the apartment. When § 87(2)(b) asked why, PO Fields replied that § 87(2)(b) had accused somebody in his

apartment of something. § 87(2)(b) could not remember if PO Fields told him the specifics of the accusation at this time or if he told him later during the incident, but at some point, PO Fields told § 87(2)(b) that § 87(2)(b) had accused § 87(2)(b)'s grandson, § 87(2)(b) of breaking one of the windows of his van). § 87(2)(b) told the officers they could not enter unless they had a search warrant for the apartment. At this, PO Fields placed his hand tightly around § 87(2)(b)'s left bicep and attempted to pull him out of the doorway—this resulted in a bruise to the inside of § 87(2)(b)'s upper, right arm. § 87(2)(b) held his ground and refused to move out of PO Noor and PO Fields's way. PO Fields and § 87(2)(b) struggled briefly, and PO Fields maintained his grip on § 87(2)(b)'s upper arm—this was the only part of § 87(2)(b)'s body that PO Fields touched. During the struggle, § 87(2)(b) asked PO Fields, "I want your number," hoping that PO Fields would write it down for him (he explained that he could not see the badge number on PO Fields's uniform, only the name plate). § 87(2)(b) was inconsistent in describing what happened next—at one point, he claimed that PO Fields did not verbally state his badge number, but he then stated that he did not remember if PO Fields replied to his request—it is unknown if PO Fields stated his badge number at this time. § 87(2)(b) did not recall if either PO Fields or PO Noor said anything during the struggle. § 87(2)(b) could not remember if he ever placed his hands on PO Fields—he did not remember if he pushed him at any time. At no point did PO Noor place his hands on § 87(2)(b) while standing outside his apartment.

After PO Fields and § 87(2)(b) had been struggling for about thirty seconds, § 87(2)(b) then came to the front door to see what was going on. While he was still holding § 87(2)(b)'s arm, PO Fields grabbed § 87(2)(b) and pulled him out of the apartment. § 87(2)(b) admitted that he had no recollection of how PO Fields managed to remove § 87(2)(b) from the apartment, or what he did after he was removed from the premises. (Though he initially claimed that PO Fields "slammed" § 87(2)(b) against the hallway wall, § 87(2)(b) later revised his statement to say that he did not actually recall this happening, but he was informed of it by § 87(2)(b). By this time, § 87(2)(b) had come to the door, and when she saw what was going on with § 87(2)(b) she started to scream. PO Fields told her to "shut up." § 87(2)(b) later revised his statement to say that he never heard the officer say this to § 87(2)(b) and that he was only informed of this by § 87(2)(b) after the incident). § 87(2)(b) did not see § 87(2)(b) get handcuffed, but he did observe § 87(2)(b) being escorted downstairs by both officers in handcuffs. (Neither PO Fields nor PO Noor entered § 87(2)(b)'s apartment at any time—they stayed in the hallway). § 87(2)(b) followed them downstairs and outside the building and watched as PO Noor and PO Fields placed § 87(2)(b) inside a patrol car parked directly in front of their building.

After § 87(2)(b) was inside the vehicle, § 87(2)(b) requested PO Fields's badge number "several" more times, but PO Fields did not provide it orally or in written form. After § 87(2)(b) requested the number, PO Fields pushed § 87(2)(b) to the ground. § 87(2)(b) did not recall anything about how PO § 87(2)(b) [sic] pushed him (i.e. what part of his body PO Fields touched or how forcefully he pushed him), but he explained that he landed on his right shoulder. § 87(2)(b) did not sustain any injuries from being pushed or falling onto the sidewalk. § 87(2)(b) stated that he never attempted to get in between the officers and § 87(2)(b) at any time. He never used profanity or raised his voice to PO Fields, nor did he ever place his hands on or raise his hand against the officer. When asked if he'd said or done anything that could have provoked PO Fields into pushing him, § 87(2)(b) explained that did not remember because he was intoxicated at the time of the incident and the alcohol had impaired his memory of the events. He did not recall if he or PO Fields said anything while or after he'd been pushed to the ground. § 87(2)(b) did not recall seeing the officers leave the scene—the next thing he remembered after being pushed was going to the 70th Precinct by himself to check on § 87(2)(b)'s arrest. § 87(2)(b) had no recollection of what occurred inside the 70th Precinct.

Results of Investigation

Witness Statements

§ 87(2)(b) was interviewed at the CCRB as a walk-in on August 27, 2007 (encl. 7a-e). § 87(2)(b) is a § 87(2)(b)-old Hispanic male § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b) stated that when PO Noor and PO Fields arrived at his apartment, he was in his living room watching a baseball game. He had been inside his apartment all day, and had not left it at any time earlier. When § 87(2)(b) exited his bedroom to answer the door, § 87(2)(b) remained seated in the living room. From where he was sitting, § 87(2)(b) could not see or hear what occurred after § 87(2)(b) opened the door. About five minutes after § 87(2)(b) had opened the door, § 87(2)(b) heard § 87(2)(b) begin to yell, though he could not make out what he was saying. § 87(2)(b) left the living room and approached the front door, where he observed § 87(2)(b) standing in the doorway, talking to two police officers. § 87(2)(b) identified one by his nameplate, PO Noor, and described him as a black male with black hair, about 5'6" with a heavy build, no facial hair and wearing a uniform (this officer was later determined to be PO Fields, not PO Noor). He described the second officer (determined through investigation to be PO Noor) as a white male, completely bald, about 5'10", with a thin build, also in uniform. § 87(2)(b) saw that PO Fields was holding § 87(2)(b)'s left bicep with one of his hands and his right shoulder with his other hand. PO Fields appeared to be pushing § 87(2)(b) back into the apartment. § 87(2)(b) was swaying his body back and forth. § 87(2)(b) explained that § 87(2)(b) was "drunk" at the time, and he believed that his intoxication level led him to be unsteady on his feet). It appeared as if PO Noor was trying to get past § 87(2)(b) and PO Fields and enter the apartment, but § 87(2)(b) was standing in the middle of the doorway, blocking his path. Immediately after § 87(2)(b) reached the door, PO Noor said to him, "Hey, come here." § 87(2)(b) approached the doorway and when he got there, PO Noor reached past § 87(2)(b) grabbed § 87(2)(b)'s arm, and guided him into the hallway. Once he was in the hall, PO Noor placed § 87(2)(b)'s body against the hallway wall and placed him in handcuffs. § 87(2)(b) explained that PO Noor did not push him against the wall, and that he was relatively gentle the entire time he handled him. When § 87(2)(b) asked PO Noor why he was arresting him, PO Noor replied, "Shut up." This was the only conversation § 87(2)(b) recalled having with PO Noor during his arrest.

After § 87(2)(b) was led from the apartment by PO Noor, he stopped paying attention to the interaction going on between PO Fields and § 87(2)(b). He did not see PO Fields let go of § 87(2)(b)'s arm. When they got outside, § 87(2)(b) observed that there were approximately two additional police officers there—he could only say that these officers were male and in uniform, as he did not interact with either of them. PO Fields and PO Noor placed § 87(2)(b) inside their patrol car which was parked outside the building. They transported § 87(2)(b) to the 70th Precinct immediately after he'd been placed inside the back of the car. § 87(2)(b) explained that his grandfather and grandmother followed the officers outside. To his knowledge, neither § 87(2)(b) nor § 87(2)(b) said anything to PO Fields or PO Noor while or immediately after placing him inside the patrol car. At no time after he'd been placed inside the patrol car did § 87(2)(b) witness PO Fields place his hands on § 87(2)(b)—he never saw PO Fields push § 87(2)(b) to the ground at any point. § 87(2)(b) explained, however, that he kept his head down and his eyes pointed in front of him, and thus did not see what was going on outside the car. After § 87(2)(b) was brought to the 70th Precinct, he was processed and charged with § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) never heard § 87(2)(b) request PO Fields's or PO Noor's names or badge numbers at any time during the incident—not while he was inside his building, and not after he'd been brought outside in handcuffs.

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) stated during his interview that he spoke to a neighbor who lives in § 87(2)(b) in his building who claimed to have seen § 87(2)(b) get pushed to the ground. On October 29, 2007, the undersigned went to § 87(2)(b) and determined that the witness to the incident was named § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) was interviewed at his residence on that date (encl. 9a-d). § 87(2)(b) is an § 87(2)(b) year-old Pakistani male § 87(2)(b).

§ 87(2)(b) provided the following statement to the CCRB:

On the date of the incident, § 87(2)(b) was standing by himself in front of his building. The building's superintendent, § 87(2)(b) was standing in the street outside the building, washing his car. There was a

small group of people standing with § 87(2)(b) including his daughter-in-law. As § 87(2)(b) was washing the vehicle, § 87(2)(b) heard the rear, driver's side window of § 87(2)(b)'s car break—he did not see how it broke, but he believed that somebody across the street or riding in a passing car may have thrown something at it. The broken window was on the side of the van facing out toward the street (as opposed to the side facing the sidewalk and the front of the apartment building). After the window broke, § 87(2)(b) looked up to the window of apartment § 87(2)(b) and immediately called 911. § 87(2)(f)

§ 87(2)(b) explained that he does not really have a relationship with § 87(2)(b) or the other members of his family, but they have occasional, neighborly interactions, i.e. saying “Hi” to each other in the building. § 87(2)(b) also explained that there was no way that § 87(2)(b) could have broken the vehicle's window from his vantage point. § 87(2)(b)'s apartment window was not facing the side of § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle on which the window was broken, and the source of the break would have had to come from the opposite side of the street). The police arrived on the scene shortly after § 87(2)(b) called them. § 87(2)(b) observed that two officers responded, PO Noor (who he stated he knew from the neighborhood) and another he could not describe (later identified as PO Fields). After the officers arrived, they spoke briefly with § 87(2)(b) outside the building. § 87(2)(f)

At that point, § 87(2)(b) and the two officers went upstairs to the § 87(2)(b) floor in the building. § 87(2)(b) followed them, but stopped at the § 87(2)(b) floor to listen—he did not actually see the officers and § 87(2)(b) go to apartment § 87(2)(b). As he stood in the stairwell, § 87(2)(b) heard the police knock on an apartment door. Shortly after, the door was opened, and a male § 87(2)(b) did not know if it was § 87(2)(b) and an unidentified female said, “What do you want?” § 87(2)(b) heard one of the officers (he did not know who, but he believed it to be PO Noor) say, “This is the police department. We just want to speak to your son.” Either the male or the female replied, “No, no, no. I don't want to let you speak to my son.” After arguing back and forth for less than five minutes, § 87(2)(b) believed that § 87(2)(b) came to the door, because he heard the officers tell somebody upstairs that they were under arrest. § 87(2)(b) also heard the male and female voices saying, “Let him go,” and “Why are you taking my son?” repeatedly. Because § 87(2)(b) could not see what was going on, he was unable to conclusively say whether there was a physical struggle between the officers and any of the individuals upstairs. Shortly after, § 87(2)(b) heard the officers starting to come down the stairs, so he walked down to the lobby and held the door open for them. PO Noor and PO Fields escorted § 87(2)(b) down the stairs and out the door of the building, holding onto his handcuffed arms. § 87(2)(b) followed behind them shortly. § 87(2)(b) followed the group outside to the front of the building and observed as § 87(2)(b) caught up to the officers and § 87(2)(b) on the sidewalk. § 87(2)(b) grabbed onto § 87(2)(b)'s left arm and held onto it tightly, saying, “Let him go. Let him go” to the officers. § 87(2)(b) held onto § 87(2)(b)'s arm for a few seconds, after which point, PO Noor said, “Get back.” When he said this, PO Noor used one hand to push § 87(2)(b) on his upper body § 87(2)(b) could not recall specifically where PO Noor touched). After PO Noor pushed him, § 87(2)(b) fell to the ground, landing on one of his sides. Then, PO Noor and PO Fields placed § 87(2)(b) inside their patrol vehicle. Before the officers left, § 87(2)(b) requested PO Noor's identification. PO Noor responded, “Take my name, here” and gestured to his name and shield. Then, he got back into his vehicle and drove away from the scene with § 87(2)(b).

Officers' Statements

PO William Fields, 70th Precinct

On June 30, 2007, PO Fields made the following entries in his **memo book** (encl. 11): “3:45pm—radio run § 87(2)(b), 29. 4:01pm—1 under at § 87(2)(b). He also completed a **complaint report** regarding § 87(2)(b)'s complaint against § 87(2)(b) (encl. 12a-b). The report indicated that on June 30, 2007 at 3:56pm, § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(a) 160.50

§ 87(2)(b) PO Fields completed the **arrest report** for § 87(2)(b) (encl. 13a-c), which was consistent with the complaint report and added that he was charged with § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(a) 160.50

PO Fields was interviewed at the CCRB on October 25, 2007 (encl. 14a-b). He is a black male with a medium-dark complexion, black hair and is 5'6" and 160 lbs (encl. 10). On June 30, 2007, PO Fields worked with PO Misbahul Noor from 3:00pm to 11:35pm, and they were assigned to patrol sectors I and J. They were in uniform and they were assigned to a marked motor vehicle, RMP number 2364, which PO Noor operated. PO Fields provided the following statement to the CCRB:

At approximately 3:45pm on June 30, 2007, PO Fields and PO Noor were assigned a "past criminal mischief" job (designated as a "10-29") at the location of § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(f)

§ 87(2)(b) did not specify what kind of gun § 87(2)(b) had used. PO Fields observed that one of the vehicle's windows had been shattered, and that there was glass on the ground below it. PO Fields did not recall which window had been broken (e.g., whether it was the driver or passenger side window or if it was the window facing the street or the sidewalk). He also noticed that the van's side was dented. § 87(2)(b) told the officers that he knew where § 87(2)(b) lived, so PO Fields and PO Noor followed him into the building and upstairs to the apartment in question. When they got there, PO Fields knocked on the apartment door, and an individual opened it. PO Fields did not recall specifically who opened the door (whether it was § 87(2)(b) himself or another individual), but he recalled that § 87(2)(b) was standing in the doorway when it was opened. (PO Fields later clarified that the only person he saw in the doorway was § 87(2)(b))

After § 87(2)(b) came to the door, § 87(2)(b) pointed to him and identified him as the male who had shot out his car's window. PO Fields then requested that § 87(2)(b) step outside, and § 87(2)(b) complied. PO Fields explained that he was the officer who interacted with § 87(2)(b)—PO Noor did not have any verbal or physical interaction with him. After § 87(2)(b) was standing in the hallway, PO Fields asked § 87(2)(b) to confirm his identification, and § 87(2)(b) replied, "Yes, this is the guy." At that point, PO Fields placed § 87(2)(b) under arrest and handcuffed him. PO Fields did not recall § 87(2)(b) saying anything while he was being arrested. After the handcuffs were secured, PO Fields and PO Noor escorted § 87(2)(b) to the elevator and brought him downstairs and out of the building. By this point, the patrol supervising sergeant (Sgt. Gerard Castiglione) had arrived outside the building to verify the arrest; other than this, Sgt. Castiglione did not have any other interaction with PO Fields or PO Noor. Sgt. Castiglione did not interact with § 87(2)(b). Then, PO Fields and PO Noor placed § 87(2)(b) in their patrol vehicle and transported him back to the 70th Precinct. The weapon allegedly used in shooting out the window was never recovered; § 87(2)(b) denied that he had a weapon inside his apartment when PO Fields asked him if he had one.

PO Fields explained that, while he was in the hallway arresting § 87(2)(b) no other individuals from within § 87(2)(b)'s apartment ever came to the door or exited the apartment. When shown a photograph of § 87(2)(b) PO Fields stated that he did not recognize him. PO Fields stated that he "never" observed an elderly male come to the door of § 87(2)(b)'s apartment at any time. It was explained to PO Fields that § 87(2)(b) alleged that it was he—not § 87(2)(b) who answered the door when the officers knocked, and that he stood in the doorway to prohibit the officers from arresting § 87(2)(b). He also alleged that one of the officers grabbed his upper arm, causing a bruise to form. PO Fields stated that neither he nor PO Noor grabbed any individuals by their arms while executing the arrest of § 87(2)(b). It was explained to PO Fields that § 87(2)(b) alleged that, after he followed § 87(2)(b) downstairs after his arrest, PO Noor pushed him to the ground. PO Fields denied that this occurred, and said that neither he nor PO Noor had any verbal or physical interaction with any civilians outside the building. At no time did anyone inside or outside § 87(2)(b) ever request either PO Fields or PO Noor's name or badge numbers. PO Fields stated that he never interacted with any intoxicated individuals at the scene of § 87(2)(b)'s arrest.

PO Misbahul Noor, 70th Precinct

On June 30, 2007, PO Noor made the following entries in his **memo book** (encl. 16a-c): "3:45pm—10-29; 4:01pm—1 under."

PO Noor was interviewed at the CCRB on October 25, 2007 (encl. 17a-b). He is a South Asian male who has a medium-light complexion, black hair, is partially bald, 5'9" and is 150 lbs. (encl. 15). On June 30, 2007, PO Noor worked from 3:00pm until 11:35pm with PO William Fields. He was patrolling sectors I and J and was in uniform. He and PO Fields were assigned to a marked vehicle, RMP number 2364, which he operated. The testimony he provided was similar to that provided by PO Fields with no notable exceptions.

Photograph of Civilian's Injury

During his interview, § 87(2)(b) brought with him a photo of the injuries he sustained when PO Fields allegedly grabbed his arm. The photo, taken by § 87(2)(b) himself on an unknown date after June 30, 2007, depicts a small (approximately one inch in diameter), circular bruise on his left, inner bicep (encl. 6f).

Police Documents

The **70th Precinct tour 3 roll call** (encl. 20a-j) indicated that PO Noor and PO Fields were assigned to patrol sectors I and J on June 30, 2007. The **70th Precinct command log** for June 30, 2007 (encl. 21a-n) showed that PO Fields arrested § 87(2)(b) at 4:01pm that day, and charged him with § 87(2)(a) 160.50. § 87(2)(b) was transported to Brooklyn Central Booking at 6:50pm. The **property voucher** associated with § 87(2)(b)'s arrest (encl. 22) listed that four photographs of § 87(2)(b)'s damaged vehicle were vouchered as arrest evidence.

Civilian Record of Criminal Convictions

§ 87(2)(b) [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]

Civilian and Officers' CCRB Histories

§ 87(2)(b) has filed two prior CCRB complaints in addition to the present case (encl. 3). § 87(2)(b) . PO Noor, who has been employed by the NYPD for two years, has had three substantiated allegations filed against him in CCRB case number 200611821, in which the Board found he damaged property, refused to provide his name and shield, and used discourteous language. These allegations are still pending their NYPD disposition (encl. 2). PO Fields has not had any substantiated allegations filed against him during his two year tenure with the NYPD (encl. 1).

Conclusions and Recommendations

Officer Identification

Both PO Noor and PO Fields admitted that they were present at the scene of § 87(2)(b)'s arrest, and they attested that they were the only two officers to interact with civilians at the scene. § 87(2)(g) Both § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) exchanged PO Noor and PO Fields's physical descriptions with one another. They attested that PO Noor was a black male with black hair, while PO Fields was a white male with a light to medium complexion, which clearly indicates they inverted their pedigrees. § 87(2)(b) even claimed that PO Fields is bald, a feature which matches PO Noor's appearance, but not PO Fields's § 87(2)(g) . However, PO Fields and PO Noor both confirmed that it was PO Fields (not PO Noor) who spoke to the apartment residents, which is consistent with § 87(2)(b)'s statement that it was the black officer who spoke to him prior to

§ 87(2)(b)'s arrest. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b) explained that he is familiar with PO Noor, and he provided a clear physical description of him which fit with his pedigree information. § 87(2)(g)

Allegations Not Pleaded

§ 87(2)(g)

Disputed and Undisputed Facts

It is **undisputed** that PO Fields and PO Noor arrested § 87(2)(b) on the date of the incident for allegedly damaging § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle. § 87(2)(g)

Assessment of Evidence

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b) The officers and § 87(2)(b) all explained that § 87(2)(b) provided the officers with § 87(2)(b)'s residential address, and that he identified "the son" who lived there as the suspect who broke his vehicle's window. § 87(2)(b) could not recall if § 87(2)(b) accompanied the officers upstairs and made a visual identification of § 87(2)(b) but both officers agreed that he did. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Both § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) claimed that they had interactions with § 87(2)(b) upstairs inside the apartment building and outside following § 87(2)(b)'s arrest.

§ 87(2)(g) Both § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) testified that this occurred, and both agreed that it was the black officer, as opposed to the lighter-skinned officer, later determined to be PO Noor. § 87(2)(b) was not able to hear or see what was going on upstairs at the time). § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b) claimed that the officer was trying to pull him out of the doorway, § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b) did not see what led to the grab, but he said that it appeared as if PO Fields was trying to push § 87(2)(b) back into the apartment. He also said that § 87(2)(b) was moving back and forth, and appeared to be unsteady because he was drunk.

§ 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

§ 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) all explained that § 87(2)(b) did follow the officers downstairs after they arrested his grandson. § 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

Allegation A: Force: PO William Fields used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

According to § 87(2)(b) PO Fields grabbed his arm in an attempt to remove him from the doorway,

§ 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

§ 87(2)(b) told the officers he knew where the suspect lived and provided them with this information. § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

Allegation B: Force: PO Misbahul Noor used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

Allegation C: Abuse of Authority: PO Misbahul Noor refused to provide his name and/or shield number to § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g) According to him, when § 87(2)(b) asked for PO Noor's identification, PO Noor gestured to his nameplate and shield and said, "Take my name, here." § 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

§ 87(2)(g)

Investigator:

Date:

Supervisor:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date: