CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	☑ Discourt.	\square U.S.
Janet Gooding		Team # 4	200605470	☐ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Tuesday, 04/25/2006 3:51 PM		39th St & Queens Boul	evard	108	10/25/2007	10/25/2007
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCI	RB
Wed, 04/26/2006 1:46 PM		IAB	Phone	Fri, 04/28/	2006 1:46 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM James Lee	20964	930421	108 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegation			Investigator Recommendation		
A.POM James Lee	Discourtesy: PO James Lee spoke rudely to § 87(2)(b)					
B.POM James Lee	Force: PO James Lee used physical force against \$ 27/21					

Synopsis

Bureau that PO James Lee "manhandled" her sassumed that PO Lee got upset with \$87(2) \$87(2)(6) alleged that PO Lee threw \$87(2) \$87(2)(6) her that she had a big mouth. PO Lee placed \$87(2)(6) a retard." During a telephone conversation with \$8 time of incident there was a fight at her school.	
admittedly resisted arrest by moving he 108 th Precinct stationhouse and charged with di From May 2, 2006 though June 19, 200	er against a wall and then onto the ground. Street body. She was subsequently transported to the sorderly conduct. Of, nine telephone calls were made and six contact in an attempt to obtain an official statement
from them.	in an attempt to obtain an official statement
Telephone calls were made to \$87(2) \$87(2)(b) and	June 19, 2006. Letters were mailed to them on
During the May 8, 2006, telephone con	versation, \$87(2) \$87(2)(0) scheduled an interview failed to show up for that appointment and did not
that she was getting conflicting information as a wanted to discuss the incident with fam	I that she spoke with PO Lee at Family Court, and to what led up to her daughter's arrest. Since the proceed with
complaint, because the parents of students that	ated that she wanted her daughter to withdraw this witnessed the incident did not want to give their Despite assurances that the CCRB would contact daughter's best interest to withdraw her for \$87(2)(b) to contact the CCRB so as
As of the date of this report, §87(2)(b) withdraw her complaint, and she failed to response	has not contacted the CCRB to formally ond to telephone messages that were left on her e 19, 2006. She also failed to respond to a letter
During a telephone conversation with the she stated that she would only participate in this also failed to respond to a final letter the	s investigation if § 87(2)(b) participates. §
Investigator: Janet Gooding	Date: June 21, 2006
Supervisor:	Date:
Reviewed by:	Date:
Reviewed by:	Date: