

DISTRICT ATTORNEY KINGS COUNTY

350 JAY STREET BROOKLYN, NY 11201-2908 (718) 250-2000 WWW.BROOKLYNDA.ORG

> [INSERT NAME] Assistant District Attorney

[INSERT DATE]

[INSERT D/C INFO]

Re: [INSERT CASE NAME]

Kings County Dkt./Ind. No. [#######]

In connection with the above-named case, the People voluntarily provide the following information regarding:

MOS NAME: LEONARDO MOSCOSO

MOS TAX:

in satisfaction (to the extent applicable) of their constitutional, statutory, and ethical obligations. Further, the People reserve the right to move <u>in limine</u> to preclude reference to this information, or otherwise to object to its use and/or introduction into evidence.

Disclosure #1:

THE NYPD PARTIALLY SUBSTANTIATED THE FOLLOWING, DATED 01/01/2011, AGAINST MOS MOSCOSO:

ALLEGATION: FAIL TO TAKE POLICE ACTION - OMN

CASE STATUS: CLOSED ON 04/05/11

Disclosure # 2:

THE NYPD ISSUED THE FOLLOWING CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS, TO WHICH MOS MOSCOSO PLED GUILTY TO THE FOLLOWING:

- SAID MOS MOSCOSO, WHILE ASSIGNED TO NARCOTICS BOROUGH BRONX, ON OR ABOUT JANUARY 10, 2014, IN
 BRONX COUNTY, DID FAIL AND NEGLECT TO PROPERLY SAFEGUARD OFF-DUTY FIREARM, GLOCK 26, SERIAL
 IN THAT SAID MOS PLACED SAID FIREARM UNATTENDED ON THE ROOF OF HIS PERSONAL VEHICLE. (AS AMENDED).
- 2. SAID MOS MOSCOSO, WHILE ASSIGNED AS INDICATED ABOVE, ON OR ABOUT JANUARY 10, 2014, IN BRONX COUNTY, WHILE OFF-DUTY, DID ENGAGE IN CONDUCT PREJUDICIAL TO THE GOOD ORDER, EFFICIENCY, OR DISCIPLINE OF THE DEPARTMENT, IN THAT SAID MOS DISPLAYED HIS DEPARTMENT ISSUED PARKING PERMIT IN HIS PERSONAL VEHICLE TO PARK BY A FIRE HYDRANT. (AS AMENDED). P.G. 219-29, PAGE 6, ADDITIONAL DATA (B) DISTRIBUTION AND USE OF NYPD RESTRICTED PARKING PERMITS P.G. 203-10, PAGE 1, PARAGRAPH 5 PUBLIC CONTACT PROHIBITED CONDUCT P.G. 206-03, PAGE 2, PARAGRPAHS 29 & 30 VIOLATIONS SUBJECT TO COMMAND DISCIPLINE
- SAID MOS MOSCOSO, WHILE ASSIGNED AS INDICATED ABOVE, ON OR ABOUT JANUARY 10, 2014, ENGAGED IN
 OCNDUCT PREJUDICIAL TO THE GOOD ORDER, EFFICIENCY OR DISCIPLINE OF THE DEPARTMENT IN THAT SAID MOS
 REPORTED LATE FOR HIS ASSIGNED TOUR OF DUTY AND FAILED TO NOTIFY A SUPERVISOR THAT HE WAS REPORTING
 LATE. (AS AMENDED)

ACTION TAKEN: ONE YEAR DISMISSAL PROBATION; SIXTEEN (16) PRE-TRIAL SUSPENSION DAYS; LOSS OF FOURTEEN (14) VACATION DAYS.

CASE STATUS: CLOSED ON 10/16/14.

Disclosure # 3:

THE NYPD ISSUED THE FOLLOWING CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS, TO WHICH MOS MOSCOSO PLED GUILTY TO THE FOLLOWING:

1. SAID MOS MOSCOSO, ON OR ABOUT AUGUST 6, 2017, WHILE ASSIGNED TO THE 20TH PRECINCT, ENGAGED IN CONDUCT PREJUDICIAL TO THE GOOD ORDER, EFFICIENCY OR DISCIPLINE OF THE DEPARTMENT, TO WIT: SAID MOS MOSCOSO USED A DEPARTMENT COMPUTER FOR AN UNAUTHORIZED AND NON-DEPARTMENTAL PURPOSE.

ACTION TAKEN: LOSS OF FIFTEEN (15) VACATION DAYS.

CASE STATUS: CLOSED ON 05/16/19.

Disclosure # 4:

THE NYPD SUBSTANTIATED THE FOLLOWING, DATED 04/12/18, AGAINST MOST MOSCOSO:

1. COMPUTER MISUSE.

ACTION TAKEN: A-CD ISSUED.

CASE STATUS: CLOSED ON 06/22/18.

Disclosure # 5:

THE NYPD ISSUED THE FOLLOWING CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS, TO WHICH MOS MOSCOSO PLED GUILTY TO THE FOLLOWING:

1. SAID MOS MOSCOSO, ON OR ABOUT SEPTEMBER 15, 2017, WHILE ASSIGNED TO THE 20TH PRECINCT, WAS OUT OF RESIDENCE WHILE OUT ON SICK REPORT WITHOUT PERMISSION OR AUTHORITY GRANTED BY THE MEDICAL DIVISION SICK DESK SUPERVISOR OR HIS DISTRICT SURGEON.

ACTION TAKEN: CONCURRENT PENALTY WITH DISCLOSURE # 3; LOSS OF FIFTEEN (15) VACATION DAYS.

CASE STATUS: CLOSED ON 05/16/19.

Disclosure # 6:

THE NYPD SUBSTANTIATED THE FOLLOWING, DATED 01/22/19, AGAINST MOST MOSCOSO:

1. FAIL TO NOTIFY – SUPERVISOR

ACTION TAKEN: A-CD ISSUED.

CASE STATUS: CLOSED ON 03/26/19.

Disclosure #7 (PENDING):

| THE | FOLLOWING CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS, ARE PENDING, AGAINST MOS MOSCOSO, FOR THE FOLLOWING: |
|-----|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Disclosure # 8 (PENDING):

THE FOLLOWING, DATED 08/18/20, IS PENDING AGAINST MOS MOSCOSO:

ALLEGATION:

BASED UPON CCRB DOCUMENTS UP TO DATE THROUGH OCTOBER 13, 2020, THE PEOPLE ARE UNAWARE OF ANY PENDING AND OR SUBSTANTIATED CCRB ALLEGATIONS AGAINST THIS OFFICER.