## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	<b>1</b>	Force		Discourt.	U.S.
Caitlin Schnur		Team # 3	201007646		Abuse	V	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	l	Pı	recinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Saturday, 04/03/2010 11:20 AM		§ 87(2)(b)  AND the 67th Precinct PCT, Brooklyn)	t stationhouse (67th		113	1	0/3/2011	10/3/2011
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:		Date/Time	Rec	eived at CC	RB
Mon, 05/31/2010 5:05 PM		IAB	Phone	]	Mon, 06/0	7/201	10 3:38 PM	I
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess	•				
Witness(es)		Home Addro	ess					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. Officers								
2. An officer								
3. CPT Raymond Festino	00000	915709	PBMN					
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name					
1. POM Kevin Devine	08234	925191	067 DET					
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inve	stiga	ator Recor	nmendation
A. An officer	Force: A § 87(2)(b)	n officer used physical tat § 87(2)(b)	force against § 87(2)(b) in Queens.					
B.CPT Raymond Festino	Off. Language: Captain Raymond Festino made remarks to \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) based upon her sex at Queens.							
C. An officer	Off. Language: An officer made remarks to \$87(2)(b) \$87(2) based upon her sex at \$87(2)(b) in Queens.							
D. Officers	at § 87(2)(b)	fficers used physical for in Queens ouse in Brooklyn.	rce against \$87(2)(b) \$8 s AND at the 67th Pr	87(2)(b) ecino	et .			
E. Officers	Abuse: Officers threatened \$87(2)(b) with the use of force at the 67th Precinct stationhouse in Brooklyn.							

## **Case Summary**

On May 31, 2010, \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(c) \$1 filed the following complaint with IAB on behalf of herself and her boyfriend, \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(c) \$87(2)(

At approximately 11:20 a.m. on April 3, 2010, \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) were at \$87(2)(b) s residence at § 87(2)(b) in Queens. § 87(2)(b) received a phone call that fifteen police officers were at his mother's home in Brooklyn (within the confines of the 67th Precinct) looking for him. § 387(2)(b) agreed to go to the 67th Precinct stationhouse to speak with the officers. § 87(2)(b) left § 887(2)(b) s home to make a food purchase before going to the stationhouse. \$ 87(2)(b) heard yelling and commotion. She ran outside and saw \$ 87(2)(b) handcuffed and positioned against a RMP. Approximately five plainclothes officers were at the location, including an officer identified by (\$ 387(2)(a) as Officer Kevin Devine of the 67th Precinct Detective Squad. See \$87(2)(b) tried to find out what was happening. Two officers, PO1 and PO2, placed [8 10] \$87(2)(b) into the RMP. The investigation identified PO1 as Captain Raymond Festino of Patrol Borough Manhattan North. Captain Festino and PO2 "manhandled" but did not "beat up" \$ 87(2)(b) as they placed him into the RMP. \$ 87(2)(b) ended up facedown against the RMP's back seat, and Captain Festino and PO2 pushed his legs and the rest of his body inside of the RMP. Captain Festino used his hands to push \$800 \$87(2)(b) as body into the RMP. PO2 kicked at \$887(2)(b) to make him go into the RMP (Allegation A). \$887(2)(b) asked what was happening and Captain Festino elbowed \$ 500 in the arm and said either, "Get the fuck out of here" or "Move, bitch" (Allegation B). \$87(2)(b) asked where the officers were going to take state and PO2 said, "Bitch, you'll figure it out" (Allegation C). The officers left with \$ \$87(2)(b) . \$ \$87(2)(b) determined that \$ \$87(2)(b) had been taken to the 67<sup>th</sup> Precinct stationhouse and reported there. Officer Devine and PO2 interviewed \$87(2)(b). During the interview, she was told that \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) was in the room next to her. She heard commotion from this room. Later, \$ \$87(2)(b) received a phone call from \$ \$87(2)(b) while he was at Brooklyn Central Booking. \$87(2)(b) told \$87(2)(b) that while he was being questioned at the stationhouse, officers had slammed around his body and slammed his hands into the table (Allegation D). He also told \$300 that the officers said they were going to kill and poison him (Allegation E). § 87(2)(b)

\$37(2)(b) described PO1 as a Caucasian male in his 40s who stood 6'3" – 6'4" tall, was heavyset and had salt and pepper hair. \$37(2)(b) said PO1 appeared to be a supervisor. A review of the DD5s for this case found that Captain Festino, then a Lieutenant, approved \$37(2)(b) s I-Card. According to CCRB Case #200800818, Captain Festino is a Caucasian male who is \$37(2)(b) old, stands 6'2 tall, weighs 250 pounds and has brown/gray hair. \$37(2)(b)

Caucasian male in his late 20s who stood 5'9" tall, had a medium build, likely had blonde hair and had blue eyes. A review of the DD5s in conjunction with CTS showed that at least fifteen Caucasian male officers worked on this case, yielding no clear identification for PO2. The DD5s said Detective Patrick Smith of the 67<sup>th</sup> Precinct Detective Squad interviewed \$3.50 \$87(2)(b) at the 101<sup>st</sup> Precinct stationhouse, but Detective Smith does not match \$3.50 \$87(2)(b) s description of PO2. Moreover, IAB provided the undersigned investigator with the incorrect roll call and movement

Page 2 CCRB Case # 201007646

log. § 87(2)(g)							
DD5s note which officers interviewed	d § 87(2)(b) at the 101st P	None of the Precinct stationhouse. §87(2)(9)					
On June 29, 2010, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{27(2)}\$ provide allegations on behalf of herself and \$\frac{8}{27}\$ that \$\frac{8}{27(2)}\$ was incarcerated on \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{27(2)}\$, was contacted to distundersigned investigator that he did r his criminal case was pending. On Juletters were sent to \$\frac{8}{27(2)}\$ \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{27(2)}\$ at R The letters asked \$\frac{8}{27(2)}\$ \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{27(2)}\$ to conwould like to proceed with the investigator that he did represents the sent to \$\frac{8}{27(2)}\$ \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{27(2)}\$ to conwould like to proceed with the investigator that he did represents the sent to \$\frac{8}{27(2)}\$ \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{27(2)}\$ to conwould like to proceed with the investigator that he did represents the sent to \$\frac{8}{27(2)}\$ \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{27(2)}\$ to conwould like to proceed with the investigator that he did represents the sent to \$\frac{8}{27(2)}\$ \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{27(2)}\$ to conwould like to proceed with the investigator that he did represents the sent to \$\frac{8}{27(2)}\$ \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{27(2)}\$ to conwould like to proceed with the investigator that he did represents the sent to \$\frac{8}{27(2)}\$ \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{27(2)}\$ to conwould like to proceed with the investigator that he did represents the sent to \$\frac{8}{27(2)}\$ \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{27(2)}\$ to conwould like to proceed with the investigator that he did represents the sent to \$\frac{8}{27(2)}\$ \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{27(2)}\$ to conwould like to \$\frac{8}{2}\$ \$\	The New York Riker's Island. On July 16 scuss the investigation. State of want 200 and August 18, iker's Island informing him tact the CCRB by September	s City Inmate Locator showed (2010, \$1.2010) s attorney, s attorney, told the vide an official statement while 2010, first and final please call of \$1.2010 and state how he					
Between July 16, 2010 and August 19, 2010, three calls were placed to \$\frac{1}{27/20}\$ \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{27/20}\$ to inform her of \$\frac{1}{27/20}\$ advice and find out how she would like to proceed with Allegations B and C. On the first call, there was no answer and a voicemail message was left asking \$\frac{1}{27/20}\$ \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{27/20}\$ to contact CCRB. On the second call, \$\frac{1}{27/20}\$ \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{287(2)(0)}\$ answered the phone, acknowledged receiving the voicemail message and said she needed to contact \$\frac{1}{27/20}\$ \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{287(2)(0)}\$ before moving forward with the investigation. On the third call, \$\frac{1}{27/20}\$ \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{287(2)(0)}\$ answered the phone and said that as per \$\frac{1}{27/20}\$ \$\frac{887(2)(0)}{287(2)(0)}\$ advice, she could no longer speak to the undersigned investigator. \$\frac{1}{27/20}\$ \$\frac{897(2)(0)}{287(2)(0)}\$ was told that her case could be closed and then re-opened on a later date pending the conclusion of \$\frac{877(2)(0)}{27/20}\$ s criminal case. \$\frac{877(2)(0)}{27/20}\$ responded by saying that she had to speak with her attorney before cooperating further with the CCRB. As of the date of this report, she has not contacted the CCRB.							
§ 87(2)(g)							
Team:							
Investigator:Signature	Print	Date					
Supervisor:Title/Signature	Print	Date					
Reviewer:	Print	Date					
Reviewer:Title/Signature	Print	Date					

Page 3 CCRB Case # 201007646