CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	U.S.
Aliza Klingenstein		Squad #7	202200430	☑ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Sunday, 01/23/2022 7:42 AM		Outside the 81st Precinct stationhouse		81	7/23/2023	7/23/2023
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCI	RB
Sun, 01/23/2022 11:33 PM		CCRB	On-line website	Sun, 01/23	3/2022 11:33 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. PO Anthony Torres	09832	936087	081 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. PO Eric Almeida	00878	963820	081 PCT			
2. SGT Daniel Oliver	02367	955273	081 PCT			
3. PO Raymond Orsini	15680	943858	081 PCT			
4. PO Glenda Holloman	12045	926966	081 PCT			
5. PO David Morfi	18510	968020	081 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	estigator Recon	amendation
A.PO Anthony Torres	Abuse: Police Officer Anthony Torres interfered with \$87(2)					

Case Summary

On January 23, \$5(2)(b) filed this complaint via the CCRB on-line website. On January 23, 2022, at approximately 7:42 a.m., outside the 81st Precinct stationhouse in Brooklyn, Police Officer Anthony Torres of the 81st Precinct interfered \$87(2)(b) recording device (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(g) One cellphone video and one BWC video were used to analyze the allegation (Board Review 01&02). **Findings and Recommendations** Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Anthony Torres interfered with [887(2)(6)] use of a recording device. At the time § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint, he stated PO Torres attempted to grab his cellphone while he was exercising his right to record in public (Board Review 03). Security provided the video he recorded during the incident to the investigation. s cellphone video at minute mark 00:25, \$87(2)(b) records the exterior of an unmarked vehicle parked on the street outside the 81st Precinct stationhouse (**Board Review 01**). At 00:31, PO Torres approaches \$87(2)(b) and at 00:33, PO Torres reaches towards \$87(2)(b) with his left hand. In PO Torres' BWC at minute mark 00:46, PO Torres approaches \$87(2)(5) who stands between parked vehicles and holds a cellphone in his right hand at eye-level in front of him \$87(2)(b) not raise his hand upon PO Torres' approach) (Board Review 02). At 00:50, PO Torres uses his left hand to grasp \$87(2)(b) s right wrist for one second, and PO Torres lowers \$87(2)(b) s right hand from \$87(2)(b) s eye-level to his chest-level, approximately six inches. At 00:51, \$87(2)(b) maintains his grip on his cellphone and pulls his right hand away from PO Torres as he continues to record. In \$87(2)(b) s cellphone video at minute mark 00:35, \$87(2)(b) returns his cellphone to eye-level in front of him. At 00:38, PO Torres asks \$87(2)(b) why he is recording the vehicles, and \$87(2)(b) responds that it is because he is in public. PO Torres repeats his question four times before he tells at 1:44, "You can't record our cars." PO Torres testified that he observed solution walking between vehicles parked outside the 81st Precinct stationhouse and recording their exteriors and interiors by angling his camera, although never made contact with the vehicles (**Board Review 04**). PO Torres approached \$87(2) and when he was approximately one arm-length away, \$87(2)(6) quickly raised his hand from chest-level to eye-level in front of him. As an instinctive reaction, PO Torres reached his hand out and contacted \$87(2)(b) s hand, because PO Torres did not know if \$87(2)(b) would strike him or throw something at him. When PO Torres contacted \$87(2)(6) shand, this was not an attempt to stop or prevent \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2} from recording. PO Torres told \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2} he could not record the vehicles because it was his response to \$87(2)(b) s behavior, which PO Torres found suspicious. PO Torres understood that civilians were legally allowed to record police vehicles parked on the street.

NYPD Administrative Guide procedure 304-21 states individuals have a right to record police activity in public, and it prohibits officers from attempting to prevent or discourage individuals from doing so (**Board Review 05**).

Torres' approach hand was steading s cellphone record the vehice \$87(2)(6) from 1	s hand, it was an instir h, not an attempt to interfer ly positioned at eye-level u). This action, in combinati les (when he legally could) recording. As civilians are	allowed to record in public and tentive reaction to raising re with his recording. However, By antil PO Torres grabbed and lowered ion with PO Torres' statement that), constituted an attempt by PO Torallowed to record in public and Ada from attempting to discourage such	his hand upon PO WC shows \$\$\frac{8}{2}\color \text{S} \text{S} \text{C} \text{O} \text{S} \text{S} \text{C} \text{O} \text{S} \text{S} \text{C} \text{O} \text{O} \text{C} \text{O} \t
	Civilian and	d Officer CCRB Histories	
2.07(2) 2.	<u>Civilian and</u>	I Officer CCRB Histories	
§ 87(2)(b)			
CCRB comp Review 07) o 2019010 Abuse of the Boar	plaints and seven other alle : 685 involved substantiated of Authority: Failure to pro rd recommended Charges,	ce for 17 years and has been a subjections, two of which were substantial allegations of Abuse of Authority: wide RTKA card against PO Torrest and the NYPD has not yet imposed apparent pattern pertinent to this in	ntiated (Board Entry of Premises and s. For both allegations, d discipline. PO
	Civil	and Criminal Histories	
	filed in regards to this inc	ity Office of the Comptroller has n ident (Board Review 08).	o record of a Notice of
Squad:	7		
Investigator:	Inv. Klingenstein	Inv. Aliza Klingenstein	May 11, 2022
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:		Manager Vanessa Rosen	May 11, 2022
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
	Signature	I IIII I III & I IIII	Dute