

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Rachel Amo	Team: Team # 1	CCRB Case #: 200406262	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Monday, 06/28/2004 1:00 PM	Location of Incident: Park & Carlton Avenues	Precinct: 88	18 Mo. SOL 12/28/2005	EO SOL 12/28/2005	
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 06/28/2004 6:06 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Call Processing System	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 06/28/2004 6:06 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Charles Gesner	09476	925061	088 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Charles Gesner	Discourtesy: PO Charles Gesner threw § 87(2)(b) s summons at her.	

Synopsis

On June 28th 2004, at 6:06 p.m., § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) called the CCRB to file a report against Police Officer Charles Gesner after he pulled her over while she was driving home. § 87(2)(b) stated that the officer stopped her for using her cell phone while driving. § 87(2)(b) alleges that Officer Gesner was discourteous in throwing her ticket at her (**Allegation A**). In the process, she lost her car registration. § 87(2)(b)

Several attempts made by the investigator to contact the complainant for a brief statement of the incident proved unsuccessful. The complainant's primary mode of contact was her cell phone, which the investigator called on 07/07/04 and found that it was not in service. Subsequently, a first complainant please call back letter was mailed to the complainant's residence. A DMV search verified that the address was correct, however, a White Pages search for the same address yielded negative results. The investigator further conducted an arrest history search on BADS for § 87(2)(b) and found no record listed § 87(2)(b)

On 07/09/04, the investigator conducted a search on the Metrosearch database with negative results. Another attempt was made to call § 87(2)(b) on her cell phone to no avail. An answering machine picked up this time and the investigator left a message. Additionally, the investigator sent e-mail to the complainant's e-mail address but received an automated "e-mail address undeliverable" message. On 7/19/04, the investigator called § 87(2)(b)'s cell phone and left a message. A second complainant please call back letter was sent to the complainant on this date.

On Sunday 7/25/04, the complainant left a message on the investigator's voicemail acknowledging that she had in fact received the letters and phone messages and wanted the investigator to call her on Monday 7/26/04 on her cell phone number. On 7/26/04, the investigator called § 87(2)(b) and asked for a brief narrative of the incident. A full explanation of the investigation and mediation services offered at the CCRB was presented to the complainant. Initially, she expressed interest in mediation but changed her mind § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) During the tape-recorded conversation between the investigator and § 87(2)(b) stated she was withdrawing the complaint voluntarily and was not coerced in any way by the CCRB or the New York City Police Department.

On 7/27/04, a complaint withdrawal form was sent to the complainant and will be enclosed in the file upon receipt. Assistant Supervising Investigator Farmer reviewed the tape and verified the withdrawal on 8/3/04.

§ 87(2)(g)

Investigator:

Date:

Supervisor:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date: