CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☑ Fore	e 🔽	Discourt.	U.S.
Noah Kim		Squad #9	201907293	☑ Abu	se [O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Preci	nct: 1	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Thursday, 08/15/2019 9:00 PM		Outside 1058 Pacific S	treet	77		2/15/2021	10/2/2021
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date	/Time Re	eceived at CCl	RB
Fri, 08/16/2019 10:35 AM		CCRB	Phone	Fri,	08/16/20	19 10:35 AM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command				
1. POM Joshua Zucker	15733	958202	077 PCT				
2. POM Christophe Messina	01448	961950	077 PCT				
3. SGT John Nicolosi	03027	950949	077 PCT				
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name				
1. POM Nicholas Loweth	04250	944749	077 PCT				
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Investi	igator Recon	nmendation
A.POM Christophe Messina		esy: Police Officer Chris cously to § 87(2)(b)	stopher Messina spo	ke			
B.POM Joshua Zucker	Discourte discourte	esy: Police Officer Joshu cously to § 87(2)(b)	ua Zucker spoke				
C.POM Christophe Messina		olice Officer Christophe ainst § 87(2)(b)	r Messina used phys	ical			
D.POM Christophe Messina		olice Officer Christophe ninst § 87(2)(b)	r Messina used phys	ical			
E.POM Joshua Zucker	Force: Po against §	olice Officer Joshua Zuc 87(2)(b)	ker used physical fo	rce			
F.SGT John Nicolosi	Abuse: S § 87(2)(b)	ergeant John Nicolosi se was an occupant.	earched the vehicle i	n which			

Case Summary

On August 16, 2019, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via phone [BR01]. This complaint was reassigned to the undersigned on September 23, 2020, after Investigator Leilani Rhodes' departure from the agency [BR02]. On August 15, 2019, at approximately 9:00 p.m., at 1058 Pacific Street in Brooklyn, Police Officer Christopher Messina and Police Officer Joshua Zucker, both of the 77th Precinct, approached § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) who were working on § 87(2)(b) s truck on the s vehicle parked on the sidewalk next to it. After \$87(2)(6) street with § 87(2)(b) stated that he did not have him identification on him and refused to provide his name, PO Messina told "Put your fucking hands behind your back." (Allegation A: Discourtesy, \$87(2)(9) PO Zucker told § 87(2)(b) "Get the fuck off my arm" and "Shut the fuck up" (Allegation B: Discourtesy, \$87(2)(9)). PO Messina and PO Zucker grabbed \$87(2)(6) by his arms and attempted to pull them behind his back. PO Messina punched §87(2)(b) in the head (Allegation C: Force, § 87(2)(9)). § 87(2)(b) was placed in handcuffs. PO Messina and PO Zucker then forcibly took § 87(2)(b) to the ground (Allegation D and E: Force, was placed in handcuffs and taken back to the stationhouse by unidentified officers who responded to the incident as back up. PO Zucker searched the vehicle parked on the sidewalk under the supervision and instruction of Sergeant John Nicolosi of the 77th Precinct (Allegation F: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(9) was arrested as a result of this incident for § 87(2)(b) Two body-worn camera (BWC) videos from PO Messina and PO Zucker were provided in relation to this complaint [BR03 and BR04]. Allegation (A) Discourtesy: Police Officer Christopher Messina spoke discourteously to Allegation (B) Discourtesy: Police Officer Joshua Zucker spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b) It is undisputed that PO Zucker and PO Messina approached \$87(2)(b) who were working on §87(2)(b) struck, which was parked on the side of the road. s vehicle was parked on the sidewalk next to the truck. It is also undisputed that did not have any form of identification on him, provided a fake name, and refused to provide his real name when repeatedly asked by PO Zucker. It is further undisputed that PO Zucker and PO Messina used profanity toward § 87(2)(b) BWC footage from PO Zucker captures PO Zucker telling § 87(2)(b) repeatedly at 06:48 minutes into the video to turn around and put his hands behind his back. \$37(2)(5) appears to physically resist being handcuffed. \$87(2)(b) says, \$87(2)(b) don't fight them, please don't fight them." PO Zucker says, "Woah my arm is underneath you." At 07:14 minutes, PO Messina states, "Put your hands behind your back. Put your fucking hands behind your back." yells something back at PO Messina but it is unclear what he is saying. The footage is unclear but there appears to be the sound of handcuffs clicking. § 87(2)(b) "Go ahead, have fun motherfucker. You bitch ass punk, pussy ass nigger." § 87(2)(b) yells, "These niggers are a pussy ass bitch." While §87(2)(b) continues to yell, PO Zucker tells him "Shut up. Shut the fuck up." § 87(2)(b) continues yelling, "Niggers try to do the Page 2

right shit and niggers that do the wrong shit run and this pussy as nigger doesn't have the energy to run. They just want to fuck with niggers that do the right shit. Suck my dick you punk ass "Get the fuck off my arm." motherfuckers." At 08:05 minutes, PO Zucker tells § 87(2)(b) asks why. PO Zucker tells him because he says so [BR04]. PO Zucker's BWC footage was played for PO Zucker during his interview. PO Zucker confirmed that he and PO Messina made the statements noted above. PO Zucker stated that he used profanity because \$87(2)(b) grabbed his hand, which put both he and \$87(2)(b) a dangerous situation. PO Zucker furthered that he used profanity to get his point across [BR08]. The same portions of PO Zucker's BWC footage was played for PO Messina during his interview. He could not identify the voices in the footage using discourteous language [BR09]. In DAO-DCT case number 2017-17005, it was maintained by the trial commissioner that "profane remarks, made during stressful situations or while an officer is trying to get a chaotic situation under control, are not misconduct" [BR12]. Allegation (C) Force: Police Officer Christopher Messina used physical force against § 87(2)(b) testified that after he provided his name and date of birth to the officers, PO Zucker told him to put his hands behind his back. \$87(2)(6) asked PO Zucker and PO Messina what he did wrong but neither officer answered him. \$87(2)(5) told him that he should listen to the officers and place his hands behind his back, PO Messina then punched § 87(2)(b) in the side of the head once. § 87(2)(b) was not yet handcuffed at this point. § did not fall and did not react in any way. § 87(2)(b) s testimony for this allegation can be found from 23:58-29:55 minutes in the interview recording [BR13]. testified that PO Messina grabbed § 87(2)(b) s right arm and PO Zucker grabbed § 87(2)(b) s left arm. § 87(2)(b) did not physically resist in any manner. § was swearing at the officers. §87(2)(b) did not recall which officer handcuffed but stated that he was handcuffed. PO Messina then punched §87(2)(b) in the factors are the first of the factors and the factors are the factors and the factors are the facto two times in quick succession. PO Zucker was holding \$87(2)(5) by his wrists when these punches occurred. §87(2)(b) s testimony for this allegation can be found from 15:20-24:35 minutes in the interview recording [BR07]. PO Messina testified that after \$87(2)(b) refused to provide his name and his tone and demeanor became "aggressive and irate," PO Zucker grabbed \$87(2)(b) s wrist and PO Messina grabbed § 87(2)(b) s other arm. § 87(2)(b) kept his arms tense and tight and physically resisted the officers' attempts to place his arms behind his back. PO Messina struck with his right hand in a closed fist. PO Messina believed the strike made contact but did not recall where he contacted \$87(2)(b) s body. PO Messina did with § 87(2)(b) not recall how § 87(2)(b) reacted to the hand strike. PO Messina stated that he used the hand strike because § 87(2)(b) was actively resisting arrest. PO Messina's testimony for this allegation can be found from 16:59-20:28 minutes, 26:10-28:21 minutes, and 28:50-29:03 minutes in the interview recording [BR09]. PO Zucker testified that after \$87(2)(b) refused to provide his name, he grabbed one s arms and PO Messina grabbed his other arm. §87(2)(b) stiffened his Page 3

body. PO Zucker and PO Messina repeatedly told \$87(2)(b) to put his arms behind his back
but he refused to do so. §87(2)(b) shifted and twisted his body side to side to try and stop the
officers from handcuffing him. PO Messina punched \$87(2)(b) in the head while PO Zucker
was attempting to get a grip on \$87(2)(b) s arm. PO Zucker and PO Messina were then able
to handcuff \$87(2)(b) PO Zucker's testimony can be found between 14:10-16:55 minutes
and 32:45-34:40 minutes in the interview recording [BR08].
At 6:48 into PO Zucker's BWC footage, PO Zucker tells \$887(2)(b) repeatedly to
turn around and put his hands behind his back. PO Zucker grabs \$87(2)(b) s wrists.
\$87(2)(b) appears to physically resist being handcuffed, and a scuffle ensues. \$87(2)(b) says,
'\$87(2)(b) don't fight them, please don't fight them." Given the proximity of the officers, BWC
footage was unable to capture the exact actions of the officers [BR04].
§ 87(2)(9)
3 ₹ (=)(9)
Detroil Childs Dressed was 221 01 states that MOS will was only the ressertable force
Patrol Guide Procedure 221-01 states that MOS will use only the reasonable force
necessary to gain control or custody of a subject. In determining whether the use of force is
reasonable, members of the service should consider the following:
a. The nature and severity of the crime/circumstances
b. Actions taken by the subject
c. Duration of the action
d. Immediacy of the perceived threat or harm to the subject, members of the
service, and/or bystanders
e. Whether the subject is actively resisting custody
f. Whether the subject is attempting to evade arrest by flight
g. Number of subjects in comparison to the number of MOS
h. Size, age, and condition of the subject in comparison to the MOS
i. Subject's violent history, if known
j. Presence of hostile crowd or agitators
k. Subject apparently under the influence of a stimulant/narcotic which
would affect pain tolerance or increase the likelihood of violence. [BR17].
§ 87(2)(g)
Allered D France Delta Office Chairten M. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
Allegation (D) Force: Police Officer Christopher Messina used physical force against
§ 87(2)(b)

Page 4

Allegation (E) Force: Police Officer Joshua Zucker used physical force against [327(2)(5)] testified that he was in handcuffs when PO Messina grabbed his foot and by his arms. § 87(2)(6) lost his balance lifted it off the ground. PO Zucker held § 87(2)(6) when his foot was lifted off the ground. His arms swung backwards in an attempt to keep his balance. § 87(2)(b) was later informed that his elbow hit PO Messina. § 87(2)(b) proceeded to fall on his stomach. § 87(2)(6) s testimony can be found from 29:55-32:08 minutes in the interview recording [BR13]. testified that after § 87(2)(b) was placed in handcuffs, PO Messina by his leg and arm. PO Zucker grabbed § 87(2)(b) leg. PO Messina and PO Zucker attempted to pull \$87(2)(b) to the ground but he was able to stay on his feet. PO Messina and PO Zucker then successfully pulled \$87(2)(b) landed on his right side. During this process, PO Messina exclaimed that his tooth had been hit. § 87(2)(b) stated that he thought § 87(2)(b) s elbow or shoulder accidentally hit PO Messina and chipped his tooth as he was being taken to the ground. s testimony can be found from 24:35-30:20 minutes in the interview recording [ERO7]. PO Zucker testified that he and PO Messina handcuffed § 87(2)(b) while he was upright. § 87(2)(b) had his back to the officers and was facing a wall. In the process of handcuffing § 87(2)(b) PO Messina's tooth was chipped. PO Zucker did not recall how this happened. PO Messina became disoriented and went to look for the other part of his tooth. grabbed PO Zucker's hand. In response, PO Zucker took §87(2)(b) to the ground. PO Zucker did not recall how he took \$87(2)(b) to the ground. PO Zucker's testimony can be found from 16:55-18:02 minutes and 34:40-34:46 minutes in the interview recording [BR08]. PO Messina testified that [37(2)] was taken to the ground, but he did not recall how this happened or whether this was involved. PO Messina stated that his tooth was chipped during a physical struggle with \$87(2)(b) but he did not recall how exactly that occurred. PO Messina did not recall if \$87(2)(b) was handcuffed while he was upright or when he was on the ground. PO Messina's testimony can be found between 21:50-26:10 minutes and 28:21-28:50 minutes in the interview recording [BR09]. TRI Reports filled out by PO Messina and PO Zucker both listed a forcible takedown This force was listed as used in defense of themselves and other MOS. The TRI Report filled out by PO Messina included a picture of his chipped tooth [BR16] and BR 18]. BWC footage of PO Zucker at 08:47 minutes, PO Zucker tells § 87(2)(b) fuck off my arm right now." § 87(2)(b) asks why. PO Zucker says because he said so. is in handcuffs and appears to be pushed up against a brick wall. § 87(2)(b) tells to stop resisting. PO Zucker to stop pushing on his arms. PO Zucker tells § 87(2)(b) yells at PO Zucker, "You asshole." PO Zucker yells back "Why are you cursing?" A scuffle immediately ensues. At 09:05 minutes the footage becomes blurry and it sounds as if something is hitting the camera. PO Zucker tells PO Messina, "Foot on the ground, foot on the ground. Drop him, foot on the ground." A voice tells \$87(2)(6) to stop resisting. When the footage becomes clear again, \$87(2)(b) is laying on the ground on his back. The footage does not show how exactly \$87(2)(b) is taken to the ground. [BR04]. Patrol Guide procedure 221-01 states that members of the service shall not use any level of force on handcuffed or otherwise restrained subjects unless necessary to prevent injury, escape

Page 5

or to overcome active physical resistance or assault. [BR17].

§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation (F) Sergeant John Nicolosi searched the vehicle in which was an
occupant.
At 17:15 minutes into PO Zucker's BWC, Sgt. Nicolosi asks PO Zucker if he looked in
the vehicle. PO Zucker says that he has not and proceeds to search the silver sedan parked on the
sidewalk. He first searches the front passenger's seat. PO Zucker then searches the back-
passenger's seat. PO Zucker walks around and searches the front driver's seat area. PO Zucker
goes around to the rear passenger's door and opens the door. He pulls the backseats forward and
searches the trunk. PO Zucker does not appear to remove anything from the vehicle [BR04].
Sgt. Nicolosi testified that he instructed PO Zucker to search \$87(2)(b) s vehicle as he
smelled a strong odor of recently burned marijuana coming from the vehicle. There was no one in
the vehicle at the time, but the front door was open, and Sgt. Nicolosi was standing directly next
to the vehicle. No marijuana or marijuana paraphernalia was recovered in the search of the
vehicle [BR27].
PO Zucker testified that he did not recall searching the vehicle. BWC footage from PO
Zucker, which depicted him searching the vehicle, did not assist in his independent recollection of
the incident [BR08]. Neither PO Zucker nor PO Messina, who were interviewed prior to Sgt. Nicolosi, mentioned an odor of marijuana during their recount of the incident.
During a follow up phone call, §87(2)(b) stated that he smoked marijuana in the
evenings when he was at home but had not smoked marijuana on the day of the incident.
stated that there was no marijuana in the vehicle that was parked on the sidewalk.
stated that there was no marijuana in the venicle that was parked on the sidewark. \$87(2)(b) refused to answer when he last smoked marijuana prior to the incident. \$87(2)(b)
stated that he did not smell any marijuana during the incident. \$87(2)(b) stated that \$2.00
smokes marijuana, but he did recall if he had smoked marijuana that day [BR28].
When an officer detects the odor of marijuana coming from the parked vehicle of an
individual, they are then given probable cause for a warrantless search of the individual's vehicle.
People v. Norman, 142 A.D.3d 1107 (2016) [BR29].
§ 87(2)(g)

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

Page 6

This is the first complaint has been party to [BR23]. PO Zucker has been a member of service for six years. Over his tenure, he has been subject of 11 allegations in six complaints. None of these allegations have been
substantiated. Three of these complaints are still open. §87(2)(9)
PO Messina has been a member of service for four years. Over his tenure, he has be subject of 12 allegations in five complaints. PO§ 87(2)(9)
 CCRB 201906247 contained an allegation of Force – Hit against inanimate object, which was substantiated and resulted in Command Discipline A. Sgt. Nicolosi has been a member of service for nine years. Ove his tenure, he has been the subject of 19 allegations in 11 complaints. None of these allegations have been
substantiated. § 87(2)(9)
Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories
This complaint was unsuitable for mediation due to the arrest of §87(2)(b)
According to the New York City Office of the Comptroller, \$87(2)(b) and \$17(2)(b) had not filed a Notice of Claim regarding this incident as of January 19, 2021[BR24].
§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)

Page 7

Investigator:	Noah Kim	Investigator Kim	03/01/2021_
-	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader: _	Monique West Signature		Date
Reviewer:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Page 8