

- 5) *Pierre v. City of New York, et al.*, 18-cv-06509-ARR-PK: settled in 2019 for \$13,000 with stipulation of settlement and dismissal with prejudice filed ending litigation in Eastern District of New York;

The People are further aware that during the time he/she has been employed by the New York City Police Department, that he has been named as a defendant or co-defendant in several state lawsuits.

1. *Rowe v. City of New York, et al.* 501586/2015, which settled for \$15,000 in 2018, ending litigation in Kings County Supreme Court.
2. *Skolnick v. City of New York, et al.*, 150035/2017, which is currently pending in Richmond County Supreme Court.

In the cases that settled, a stipulation of settlement and order of dismissal with prejudice was filed with the respective court, indicating, in sum and substance, that nothing in the settlement shall be construed as an admission or concession of liability by any of the defendants or the City of New York regarding any of the allegations made by the plaintiffs in their complaints, or that any of the plaintiffs' rights under the Federal or New York Constitutions or Statutes had been violated.

A review of the officer's Central Personnel Index (CPI) revealed the following:

1. On January 18, 2012 Sergeant Stefopoulos had a substantiated departmental investigation for failure to prepare a stop question and frisk worksheet and failure to document this interaction in his activity log for which he forfeited two (2) hours of time.
2. On March 8, 2016 Sergeant Stefopoulos had a substantiated departmental investigation for improper/incomplete activity log and received a schedule "A" command discipline.
3. On February 28, 2018 Sergeant Stefopoulos had a substantiated departmental investigation or invoice discrepancy regarding marijuana and a report of incomplete/inaccurate property clerk invoice that were substantiated and as a result the officer received verbal instructions.
4. On May 31, 2018 Sergeant Stefopoulos had a substantiated departmental investigation for invoice discrepancy regarding marijuana and a report of incomplete/inaccurate property clerk invoice that were substantiated and as a result the officer received verbal instructions.
5. On July 20, 2018 Sergeant Stefopoulos had a substantiated departmental investigation invoice discrepancy regarding a controlled substance, invoice discrepancy regarding marijuana, and a report of incomplete/inaccurate property clerk invoice that were substantiated and as a result the officer received verbal instructions.

A review of the officer's CCRB history revealed the following:

1. [REDACTED]