CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Fo	rce	V	Discourt.	☐ U.S.	
Sylvia Davidovicz		Squad #12	201905934	☑ Al	ouse		O.L.	☐ Injury	
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Prec	einct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL	,
Thursday, 06/27/2019 6:00 PM		122nd Precinct stationh	nouse	1	22	12	/27/2020	8/13/2021	1
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Da	te/Time	Rece	eived at CCI	RB	
Mon, 07/08/2019 1:21 PM		CCRB	Call Processing System	Mo	on, 07/08	8/201	9 1:21 PM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess						_
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)							
G 11 + 0 em ()	G1 1 1 1		~ 1						
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command						
1. DTS James Mcglyn	03942	925713	122 PCT						
2. An officer									
3. Officers									
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name						
1. POF Christina Basile	19098	964913	122 PCT						
2. SGT Francis Maurio	01396	953071	122 PCT						
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inve	stiga	tor Recon	nmendation	<u> </u>
A.DTS James Mcglyn	Discourte to § 87(2)(b)	esy: Detective James Mo	eglyn spoke discourt	eously	§ 87(2)	(g)			
B. An officer	Discourte	esy: An officer spoke dis	scourteously to § 87(2)	(b)	§ 87(2)((g)			
C. Officers	Abuse: C	Officers threatened § 87(2)(t	with the use	e of	§ 87(2)((g)			

Case Summary

made this complaint to the CCRB on July 8, 2019 via the call processing system. On June 27, 2019, at approximately 4:00 PM, he went to the 122 nd Precinct stationhouse to file a criminal complaint. Detective James Mcglyn, assigned to the 122 nd Precinct, said, "I can't believe this fucking guy" in reference to (Allegation A: Discourtesy, (S87(2)(9))). (S27(2)(9)) (Allegation A: Discourtesy). (S87(2)(9)) (Allegation B: Discourtesy). (S87(2)(9)) (PM. When (S87(2)(9))) (Please note that the CV left the stationhouse and returned at 6:00 p.m. When he returned, he attempted to provide evidence for the criminal complaint to the officers.] An officer told (S87(2)(9)) (Allegation B: Discourtesy, (S87(2)(9))). A group of five to six officers drew their tasers and threatened, both verbally and with gestures, to use their Tasers against (Allegation C: Force, (S87(2)(9)))
The assigned investigator obtained stationhouse camera video for the times at which stated he was in the stationhouse, but \$87(2)(b) is not shown in this video (Board Review 05, 06, video summary in 07).
Findings and Recommendations
Allegation A-Discourtesy: Detective James Mcglyn spoke discourteously toward 887(2)(6)
In his CCRB statement, \$87(2)(b) was unable to definitively state at what time he entered the 122 nd Precinct stationhouse on June 27, 2019. He stated that he went to the stationhouse early in the evening to make complaints about an ex-girlfriend who was stealing credit cards and a home health aide who he believed was stealing from his mother (Board Review 01). \$87(2)(b) first spoke to PO Basile, assigned to the 122 nd Precinct, who was sitting behind a window next to the front desk. While \$87(2)(b) explained his complaint to PO Basile, Det. Mcglyn came up to him and made annoyed-seeming faces at him. Det. Mcglyn said "I can't believe this fucking guy" under his breath. The investigation obtained video footage from the 122 nd Precinct stationhouse covering 5:55 PM to
(Board Reviews 05, 06, video summary in 07). In his CCRB interview, Det. Mcglyn stated that \$\frac{87(2)(6)}{2}\$ entered the stationhouse around 6:00PM while Det. Mcglyn was sitting at the telephone switchboard (Board Review 03). Det. Mcglyn did not describe what he was doing prior to 6:00 PM and did not recall the exact time of the incident. In his CCRB interview, Det. Mcglyn stated that the incident must have occurred "at some point on that date." Det. Mcglyn was the first officer to whom \$\frac{87(2)(6)}{2}\$ spedigree information and listened to a summary of his complaint. No other officers witnessed this interaction. After hearing the complaint, Det. Mcglyn referred \$\frac{87(2)(6)}{2}\$ to PO Basile, who was the telephone switchboard operator, and left the desk area to work in the property room. Det. Mcglyn had no further interaction with \$\frac{87(2)(6)}{2}\$ Det. Mcglyn denied saying, "I can't believe this fucking guy" or using any profanity in reference to \$\frac{87(2)(6)}{2}\$ entered the stationhouse at approximately 6:00PM (Board Review 02). After giving his complaint to PO Basile, \$\frac{87(2)(6)}{2}\$ went home to
gather the evidence and returned to the stationhouse around 10:00PM or 10:30PM. Det. Mcglyn was in the property room while sa(2)(b) was at the stationhouse and never interacted with said "I can't believe this fucking guy," or used any profanity in reference to sa(2)(b)

CCRB Case # 201905934

§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation B-Discourtesy: An officer spoke discourteously toward street with the use of force. Allegation C-Force: Officers threatened to street with the use of force.
stated that, after he spoke with PO Basile, he left the stationhouse to collect evidence of the crimes he was trying to report. He returned to the stationhouse at 6:00 PM with a shoebox full of papers and spread the papers on a desk to show them to PO Basile. A group of five to six uniformed officers them came down the stairs and approached \$87(2)(b) at the desk. \$87(2)(b) described these officers as all being very muscular and in uniform. All except one were white. \$2(a) believed the non-white officer was Hispanic. The Hispanic officer told \$87(2)(b) to "get [his] shit and get the fuck out."
stated that he tried to gather up his papers but there were a lot of them, in addition to his own coffee and some bottles of water he had purchased at the stationhouse. As collected his things, the Hispanic officer and the other five to six white officers drew their tasers and fired them at the floor so that the electrical currents were visible to saw this as the officers threatening to use their Taser against him. The Hispanic officer may also have said something about saveling zapped," but saveling could not recall the exact words or whether the officer made this statement at all. saveling stated that he was made to leave the stationhouse around 6:00 PM, about 35 to 45 minutes after he had returned with the evidence.
Det. Mcglyn did not recall the exact time at which the incident occurred, but stated that after he referred \$37(2)(0) s complaint, he left the desk to work in the property room, where he remained for 15 to 20 minutes. He then returned to the desk area for a reason he could not recall, it might have been because though he heard a "commotion" coming from the area. Det. Mcglyn saw being escorted out of the stationhouse by several officers who were walking behind without touching him. Det. Mcglyn believed that Sergeant Francis Maurio, the desk sergeant that day, had asked \$37(2)(0) to leave the stationhouse. Det. McGlyn did not hear an officer say, "get your shit and get the fuck out" to \$37(2)(0) Det. McGlyn did not hear any officer verbally threaten to use their Taser against \$37(2)(0) and did not witness any officers drawing or discharging their Tasers.
§ 87(2)(g)
PO Basile stated that \$87(2)(b) was upset, anxious, and sweating throughout the interaction. He was also stuttering and having trouble speaking to the officers. The desk officer offered to call him an ambulance, but \$87(2)(b) declined. \$87(2)(b) left the stationhouse voluntarily and was not escorted out or forcibly removed. PO Basile denied that any officers told \$87(2)(b) to "get [his] shit and get the fuck out," drew or discharged their Tasers, or threatened to use their Tasers against \$87(2)(b)
The Command Log does not include notations regarding \$57(2)(b) s removal from the stationhouse. All of the arrests listed in the command log have been redacted, so it is unknown which officers were in the stationhouse and to which command they were assigned (Board Review

CCRB CTS – Confidential Page 3

10). There is also no mention of \$87(2)(b) s removal in Det. Mcglyn and PO Basile's memo

books.

87(2)(g)			
	<u>Civilian and</u>	Officer CCRB Histories	
		mber of service for 19 years and has ballegation, which was not substantiate	
§ 87(2)(b)			
			l
	Mediation,	Civil and Criminal Histories	•
-	was not suitable for med	diation.	
§ 87(2)(b)			
As of October 2	28 2019 the New York	City Office of the Comptroller has no	record of a Noti
		omplaint (Board Review 09).	
Squad No.:			
nvacticator			
nvestigator:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:			
Squad Leader:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date