

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

| | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Investigator: Joshua Richardson | Team: Squad #8 | CCRB Case #: 201801661 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force | <input type="checkbox"/> Discourt. | <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Abuse | <input type="checkbox"/> O.L. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury |
| Incident Date(s) Saturday, 06/25/2016 4:30 PM | Location of Incident: Lake Avenue and Wenlock Street | Precinct: 121 | 18 Mo. SOL 12/25/2017 | EO SOL 12/25/2017 | |
| Date/Time CV Reported Wed, 02/28/2018 6:23 PM | CV Reported At: CCRB | How CV Reported: On-line website | Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 02/28/2018 6:23 PM | | |

| Complainant/Victim | Type | Home Address |
|--------------------|------|--------------|
| | | |

| Subject Officer(s) | Shield | TaxID | Command |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| 1. POM Omar Elsayed | 06763 | 950376 | 121 PCT |
| 2. POM Michael Venditti | 18781 | 952318 | 121 PCT |

| Witness Officer(s) | Shield No | Tax No | Cmd Name |
|-------------------------|-----------|--------|----------|
| 1. SGT Felix Concepcion | 00742 | 924845 | INT CIS |

| Officer(s) | Allegation | Investigator Recommendation |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| A.POM Michael Venditti | Force: Police Officer Michael Venditti used physical force against § 87(2)(b) | |
| B.POM Omar Elsayed | Force: Police Officer Omar Elsayed used physical force against § 87(2)(b) | |
| C.POM Michael Venditti | Force: Police Officer Michael Venditti struck § 87(2)(b) with a blunt instrument. | |

Case Summary

On February 28, 2018, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via its online website, almost two years after the incident happened.

On January 25, 2016, at approximately 4:30pm, after leading PO Omar Elsayed, PO Michael Venditti, and Sgt. Felix Concepcion, all of the 121st Precinct, on a vehicle pursuit for several blocks, § 87(2)(b) crashed his vehicle into a parked vehicle near the intersection of Lake Avenue and Wenlock Street, in Staten Island. When § 87(2)(b) exited his vehicle and ran up Wenlock Street, he was allegedly pulled down to the ground by PO Venditti (**Allegation A; Force, § 87(2)(g)**). PO Elsayed then pressed his knee onto § 87(2)(b) face forcing his face to drag along the ground and then punched § 87(2)(b) more than two times to his left rib area (**Allegation B; Force, § 87(2)(g)**). PO Venditti then hit § 87(2)(b) with a black object to his left eyebrow area (**Allegation C; Force, § 87(2)(g)**). § 87(2)(b) was then placed in handcuffs, and then transported by ambulance to § 87(2)(b).

There is no video evidence.

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation A: Force: Police Officer Michael Venditti used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation B: Force: Police Officer Omar Elsayed used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation C: Force: Police Officer Michael Venditti struck § 87(2)(b) with a blunt instrument.

It is undisputed that § 87(2)(b) led PO Venditti, PO Elsayed, and Sgt. Concepcion on a vehicle pursuit in Staten Island on June 25, 2016. It is also undisputed that this vehicle pursuit resulted in § 87(2)(b) crashing into a parked vehicle, and then being arrested. What remains in dispute is whether PO Venditti pulled § 87(2)(b) to the ground and hit him in the face with a black object, and whether PO Elsayed pressed his knee on § 87(2)(b) face and punched him several times to his left rib area during § 87(2)(b) apprehension.

§ 87(2)(b) testified that on June 25, 2016, he was alone, driving a white Dodge Charger, along Union Avenue towards Richmond Terrace, in Staten Island. § 87(2)(b) stated to his knowledge nothing was wrong with the vehicle, everything was working fine and up to date. § 87(2)(b) stated he did not commit any traffic infractions at this time. Upon approach of a stop sign on Union Avenue and Richmond Terrace, § 87(2)(b) observed a grey Chevy Impala driving down on Richmond Terrace, past Union Avenue, and then pull over. § 87(2)(b) made a right onto Richmond Terrace, and drove past this vehicle. § 87(2)(b) observed the vehicle pull out and put on police lights but no sirens. § 87(2)(b) refused to pull over because in a prior interaction he had with the police, he felt harassed by them, so he kept driving down Richmond Terrace. § 87(2)(b) added there was a fair amount of traffic on the streets during this time. On Richmond Terrace between Sharpe Avenue and Faber Street, § 87(2)(b) crossed over the double yellow traffic lines, into oncoming traffic in order to drive around a vehicle. When § 87(2)(b) did this, he hit the driver side window of that vehicle. After making a right onto Faber Street, and then several other turns onto various blocks, § 87(2)(b) made a left off of Lake

Avenue onto Wenlock Street, but drove up onto a sidewalk and struck a parked vehicle head on in a driveway on Wenlock Street. § 87(2)(b) added that during this vehicle pursuit he did commit several traffic infractions, including running red lights and stop signs, and speeding in that he was traveling approximately 30-35 mph. § 87(2)(b) stated the vehicle pursuit lasted approximately seven minutes, and he observed the police vehicle behind him during this vehicle pursuit.

After § 87(2)(b) struck the parked vehicle, his air bag deployed but, he did not recall where it hit him, and stated he knows he was not injured due to the air bag deploying. § 87(2)(b) exited his vehicle by climbing out of the driver side window because the vehicle's driver side door was too damaged to open. § 87(2)(b) then ran past the intersection of Lake Avenue and Wenlock Street, further up along Wenlock Street. Once in the middle of that block, § 87(2)(b) felt PO Venditti, grab his shirt collar from the back, and pull him to the ground, causing § 87(2)(b) back to make contact with the ground first. Then PO Elsayed arrived immediately after and put his knee onto the left side of § 87(2)(b)'s face pressing the right side of his face against the concrete ground. § 87(2)(b) stated he obtained scratches to the right side of his face because of this. Simultaneously, PO Elsayed punched § 87(2)(b) to his left rib area several times. These two officers grabbed § 87(2)(b) arms and were trying to place them behind his back while stating things to the effect of "Stop resisting arrest", and "Put your hands behind your back." § 87(2)(b) didn't say anything to the officers during this time but kept pulling his arms away. PO Venditti then hit § 87(2)(b) with a black object to the left eyebrow area which caused § 87(2)(b) to become dizzy and bleed. § 87(2)(b) was then handcuffed, lifted up by under the shoulders area, and then walked to an ambulance which was back at the intersection of Lake Avenue and Wenlock Street. § 87(2)(b) stated that he realized during this time his front right tooth was broken, in that half if it was gone, and also a right rear molar tooth was cracked on the side which caused a filling he had to come out. § 87(2)(b) did not know what caused his teeth to break. § 87(2)(b) stated all his teeth were intact before this interaction with the police. § 87(2)(b) was put inside the ambulance and was transported to § 87(2)(b), with PO Venditti accompanying him. § 87(2)(b) stated because of the hit with the black object to his left eyebrow, he received stitches. § 87(2)(b) also received bandages to the right side of his face, since he suffered many scratches to it from having it pressed against the concrete. § 87(2)(b) spent the night at the hospital, and on the morning of June 26, 2016, he was transferred to the 120th Pct. by an officer, he did not recognize. (Board Review 02)

On March 22, 2018, the investigation received § 87(2)(b) arrest photograph in regards to this incident. In the photograph, it does show a bruise to the right forehead area of § 87(2)(b) face and bandages to the left eyebrow area of his face. (Board Review 05)

On May 11, 2018, the investigation received § 87(2)(b) Medical Records in regards to his stay at § 87(2)(b), in Staten Island on § 87(2)(b). In these records, it was noted that § 87(2)(b) informed the staff that he was involved in a motor vehicle accident and that he was restrained by a seat belt, but the air bags deployed. He also stated that he exited his vehicle and ran away from the police but was ultimately tackled to the ground by the police. § 87(2)(b) informed the staff that he did not remember what happened to him after this. § 87(2)(b) only complained of face pain, and denied any headaches, or dizziness. The records note that § 87(2)(b) injuries were: lacerations to the right and left forehead area. The records revealed that after § 87(2)(b) was examined, the assigned doctor noted that § 87(2)(b)

injuries were consistent with a motor vehicle accident. § 87(2)(b) was prescribed Ibuprofen. (Board Review 10)

PO Elsayed testified that on June 25, 2016, while driving on Richmond Terrace, in Staten Island, he observed the driver of a white Dodge Charger, identified via the investigation as § 87(2)(b) turn right onto Richmond Terrace without signaling, from a street that PO Elsayed could not recall. PO Elsayed activated the lights of his vehicle and drove behind § 87(2)(b) however § 87(2)(b) did not stop. PO Elsayed then activated the sirens to his vehicle, but § 87(2)(b) again did not stop, and instead kept driving. In an attempt to gain § 87(2)(b) attention so he could pull over, PO Elsayed crossed over the double yellow lines into oncoming traffic and pulled up parallel with § 87(2)(b) vehicle. PO Elsayed did not recall if he tried to speak or made any motions to § 87(2)(b) at this time but stated § 87(2)(b) still did not pull over. When PO Elsayed went directly behind § 87(2)(b) vehicle again, § 87(2)(b) sped up and drove over the double yellow pavement markings into oncoming traffic, and squeezed in-between two vehicles: one traveling eastbound and one traveling westbound. While doing this, § 87(2)(b) sideswiped these vehicles, in that § 87(2)(b) vehicle rubbed against the sides of the other vehicles. PO Elsayed observed § 87(2)(b) do this to a number of vehicles but could not recall how many. At some point, § 87(2)(b) made a right turn off of Richmond Terrace, onto a street that PO Elsayed did not recall. PO Elsayed lost sight of § 87(2)(b) vehicle due to § 87(2)(b) continuing to make turns. However, civilians waved to the officers and pointed in the direction of § 87(2)(b) vehicle, helping the officers find § 87(2)(b) again. PO Elsayed did not know how long he lost sight of § 87(2)(b) for and did not recall how many times he lost sight of § 87(2)(b) during this vehicle pursuit. PO Elsayed noted that he observed § 87(2)(b) commit many traffic infractions during the vehicle pursuit including: crossing over the double yellow lines, driving into oncoming traffic, leaving the scene of an accident, speeding, and not stopping for stop signs.

While driving on Lake Avenue above the legal limit of 25mph, § 87(2)(b) drove through another stop sign and then turned left; however § 87(2)(b) lost control of the vehicle, in that, the vehicle slid and drifted, and then struck a vehicle parked at the first curb of Wenlock Street, and then struck a second vehicle in the first driveway of Wenlock Street. This second vehicle had three passengers in it-a two year old in the rear passenger seat, identified via the investigation as Giuliana Fornarelli, an adult in the driver seat, identified via the investigation as Antonio Fornarelli, and another adult in the front passenger seat, identified via the investigation as Stephanie Fornarelli. PO Elsayed observed § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle was severely damaged, in that, the whole front was smashed in. § 87(2)(b) jumped out of the driver side window, and then began to run the opposite way up Wenlock Street. PO Elsayed stated there were no other police vehicles on scene at this point. PO Elsayed and PO Venditti gave chase to § 87(2)(b) however PO Venditti fell near the scene of the motor vehicle accident for a reason unbeknownst to PO Elsayed. PO Elsayed did not know where Sgt. Concepcion was at this point. After a short chase, PO Elsayed caught up to § 87(2)(b) as § 87(2)(b) was halfway over a grassy fence behind a house on Wenlock Street. PO Elsayed grabbed § 87(2)(b) by the torso and under the arm area and successfully pulled § 87(2)(b) off of the fence. PO Elsayed did not recall seeing any scratches or bruises to § 87(2)(b)'s body at this point and was not able to observe anything about § 87(2)(b)'s condition at this point. § 87(2)(b) pushed PO Elsayed away and closed his fists and tried to strike PO Elsayed, in that, he swung his closed fists towards PO Elsayed. PO

Elsayed did not recall how many times § 87(2)(b) swung at him and stated he didn't recall if § 87(2)(b) made contact with him. PO Elsayed grabbed § 87(2)(b) and used his own body weight and pulled § 87(2)(b) so that they both fell towards the floor. PO Elsayed did not recall how he grabbed § 87(2)(b) or what part of § 87(2)(b)'s body he grabbed and did not recall which body part they landed on, but confirmed that they both went to the ground. While on the ground, § 87(2)(b) still swung, tensed, and locked his arms so they wouldn't be put behind his back and tried to stand up so he could get away from PO Elsayed's grasp. During this struggle, PO Elsayed kept telling § 87(2)(b) to put his arms behind his back but § 87(2)(b) did not comply. PO Elsayed did not put his knee on § 87(2)(b) face during this struggle, and did not recall if he punched or used any hand strikes on § 87(2)(b) during this struggle. PO Elsayed did not observe PO Venditti strike § 87(2)(b) face with a black object and added that he never observed PO Venditti have any physical interaction with § 87(2)(b). No officer assisted PO Elsayed in apprehending § 87(2)(b) and PO Elsayed did not recall seeing any officer close to him as he was apprehending § 87(2)(b). PO Elsayed did not recall where PO Venditti was during this time but stated Sgt. Concepcion was with the passengers of the vehicle that had been struck during this time. PO Elsayed handcuffed § 87(2)(b) but did not recall how long it took and did not recall if he did anything else physically to § 87(2)(b) for him to put his hands behind his back, or if § 87(2)(b) eventually surrendered and stopped resisting. PO Elsayed never heard or observed § 87(2)(b) complain about any pain, injuries, or any conduct performed by any officer. PO Elsayed did not recall § 87(2)(b) saying anything to him during the struggle or after he was handcuffed. PO Elsayed did not recall observing any injuries to § 87(2)(b) after the struggle. § 87(2)(b) was then walked to the ambulance located at the intersection of Lake Avenue and Wenlock Street, where the EMT's interacted with him. PO Elsayed had no further interaction with § 87(2)(b) after this point.

During his testimony at the CCRB on April 18, 2018, PO Elsayed was presented with § 87(2)(b)'s arrest photograph in regards to this incident. His attention was directed to the bandages on § 87(2)(b)'s left eyebrow and the bruise to the right side of his forehead. After seeing this photograph, PO Elsayed recalled that § 87(2)(b) was bleeding from his head as PO Elsayed was struggling to put him in handcuffs but PO Elsayed did not know how § 87(2)(b) obtained that injury and stated he believed it was from the motor vehicle accident. PO Elsayed did not recall when he first observed § 87(2)(b) bleeding from his head, and stated he did not perform any conduct or observe any conduct that could have resulted in the injuries to § 87(2)(b)'s head. (Board Review 02)

On March 27, 2018, the investigation obtained Threat Resistance and Injury (TRI) Worksheet § 87(2)(b), reported by PO Elsayed in regards to § 87(2)(b) for this incident. The report notes that PO Elsayed did use a forcible takedown on § 87(2)(b) with the reason for this force being a fleeing suspect. During his testimony, PO Elsayed confirmed that the forcible takedown on the report referred to when he used his body weight to pull § 87(2)(b) to the ground. PO Elsayed stated in addition to using the takedown because § 87(2)(b) was attempting to flee the scene, he also used it because § 87(2)(b) attempted to strike him several times. Also in the report, it notes that § 87(2)(b) sustained minor lacerations/abrasions with the explanation for how they were obtained being a vehicle accident and airbag deployment. (Board Review 06)

PO Venditti's testimony was consistent with that of PO Elsayed's with the following exceptions: PO Venditti testified that § 87(2)(b) only struck one vehicle on Wenlock Street- a parked vehicle in a driveway with an infant in a car seat inside of it. PO Venditti fell into a flower bed once he exited the police vehicle to try and pursue § 87(2)(b) and explained he fell because there were a bunch of pieces of metal surrounding the flower bed that he did not realize were there. PO Venditti stood up quickly and continued to run down the block in the direction § 87(2)(b) ran. PO Venditti stated at this point other officers were starting to arrive on scene, but he did not recall who or how many but stated there were a couple police vehicles. As he was running, he observed PO Elsayed catch up to § 87(2)(b) and then grab him from behind and then observed them both fall to the floor. PO Venditti did not recall what area of § 87(2)(b)'s body PO Elsayed grabbed, and was not able to describe why they fell further; stating they could have possibly lost their balance and fell. PO Venditti did not recall observing PO Elsayed pull § 87(2)(b) to the ground, and PO Elsayed never informed PO Venditti that he did that. PO Venditti observed § 87(2)(b) on the ground physically resist being put in handcuffs by flailing his arms and screaming. PO Venditti observed other officers approaching the area where PO Elsayed and § 87(2)(b) were, so at that point he turned back around and focused his attention on the vehicle that was struck by § 87(2)(b). PO Venditti did not observe much of the handcuffing process of § 87(2)(b) because he stated during that time his attention was on the vehicular accident, checking to see if everything was okay. PO Venditti was not involved in the handcuffing of § 87(2)(b) and PO Elsayed never informed PO Venditti how § 87(2)(b) was handcuffed or how long it took for him to be handcuffed. PO Venditti never made any physical contact with § 87(2)(b) and added that he only observed PO Elsayed make physical contact with § 87(2)(b). PO Venditti never pulled § 87(2)(b) to the ground and never hit § 87(2)(b) in his face with a black object. PO Venditti did not observe PO Elsayed put his knee on § 87(2)(b) face or any part of § 87(2)(b)'s body, and PO Elsayed never informed PO Venditti that he did. PO Venditti did not observe PO Elsayed punch § 87(2)(b) and PO Elsayed never informed him of such. PO Venditti accompanied § 87(2)(b) in the ambulance to § 87(2)(b), and during this time PO Venditti observed lacerations to § 87(2)(b) but did not know how he obtained them and did not recall where these lacerations were. PO Venditti guarded § 87(2)(b) while inside the hospital, but had no interaction with him. PO Venditti did not recall if he stood with § 87(2)(b) the entire time § 87(2)(b) was in the hospital or if at some point he was relieved of his duty.

During his testimony at the CCRB on April 24, 2018, PO Venditti was presented with the arrest photograph of § 87(2)(b) in regards to this incident. PO Venditti's attention was directed to the injuries on § 87(2)(b) face. PO Venditti stated he knew § 87(2)(b) had lacerations but reiterated that he did not recall exactly where they were or how § 87(2)(b) obtained them. § 87(2)(b) never complained about these injuries to PO Venditti. PO Venditti did not do anything to § 87(2)(b) that could have resulted in the injuries depicted in the arrest photograph, nor did he observe PO Elsayed do anything that could have resulted in those injuries. (Board Review 03)

Sgt. Concepcion's testimony was consistent with PO Elsayed's and PO Venditti's testimonies with the following exceptions: When he observed § 87(2)(b) cross over the double yellow lines into oncoming traffic and sideswipe a vehicle, Sgt. Concepcion put § 87(2)(b) vehicle

information over the police radio and requested additional police units to respond since § 87(2)(b) was leading the police on a vehicle pursuit. Sgt. Concepcion stated that when they lost sight of § 87(2)(b) during the vehicle pursuit it was for less than minute. Sgt. Concepcion added that the vehicle § 87(2)(b) struck in the driveway on Wenlock Street contained three occupants with one being a two year old. PO Elsayed parked the police vehicle next to the first curb on Wenlock Street, and they all exited with PO Elsayed and PO Venditti both giving chase to § 87(2)(b) while Sgt. Concepcion stood on the corner of Wenlock Street and Lake Avenue. While standing on the aforementioned corner, Sgt. Concepcion put the situation over the radio- a motor vehicle accident, a man fled on foot, and was running up Wenlock Street. Sgt. Concepcion did not observe the apprehension of § 87(2)(b) and was informed by an officer he didn't recall- that § 87(2)(b) had to be taken to the ground because he was running away and was physically resisting being handcuffed. Sgt. Concepcion did not observe PO Elsayed and PO Venditti make any physical contact with § 87(2)(b). As § 87(2)(b) was being apprehended, Sgt. Concepcion was speaking to passengers of the vehicle that was struck and making sure the other passengers were okay. Shortly thereafter, Sgt. Concepcion observed § 87(2)(b) in handcuffs being walked back towards the intersection of Lake Avenue and Wenlock Street. Sgt. Concepcion spoke to § 87(2)(b) as he was seated on the back grate of the ambulance in regards to obtaining his pedigree information. This was the only interaction he had with § 87(2)(b) during this incident. Sgt. Concepcion observed bleeding to § 87(2)(b) face, but could not recall exactly where, but added that he believed these injuries were from the severe vehicular accident § 87(2)(b) was just involved in. § 87(2)(b) never complained of his injuries to Sgt. Concepcion, and never complained of any officer conduct. After this conversation, the ambulance left the location, and Sgt. Concepcion continued handling the scene of the motor vehicle accident.

During his testimony at the CCRB, on April 27, 2018, Sgt. Concepcion was presented with § 87(2)(b) arrest photograph in regards to this incident. Sgt. Concepcion's attention was brought to the injuries on § 87(2)(b) face. Sgt. Concepcion recalled seeing the bruise on § 87(2)(b) forehead and stated that was where he observed the bleeding coming from. However, Sgt. Concepcion reiterated how he believed that injury came from the vehicle accident, and didn't observe any officer conduct that could have resulted in any injury to § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 04)

On April 11, 2018, the investigation obtained Motor Vehicle Accident Report § 87(2)(b); which is in regards to this incident. This report documents that § 87(2)(b) fled from the police, and at a high rate of speed drove through a stop sign on Lake Avenue, made a left turn onto Wenlock Street when he lost control of his vehicle, struck an unoccupied parked vehicle in the rear on the curb of Wenlock Street, and then jumped the curb and struck an occupied second parked vehicle in a driveway on the rear passenger area. This second vehicle was occupied with three passengers- § 87(2)(b) who was in the driver's seat and § 87(2)(b) of age, § 87(2)(b) who was seated in the right rear passenger seat and § 87(2)(b) of age, and Mrs. § 87(2)(b) who was seated in the left rear passenger seat and § 87(2)(b) of age. All three occupants suffered pain to their head due to whiplash from the vehicle accident. The report also notes that § 87(2)(b) suffered an abrasion to his head due to the vehicle accident. (Board Review 07)

§ 87(2)(b) and the officers involved provided conflicting testimonies in regards to the allegations. While § 87(2)(b) alleged that PO Venditti pulled him to the ground and hit him

with a black object, PO Venditti testified that he was not involved in the apprehension of § 87(2)(b) and made no physical contact with § 87(2)(b). PO Elsayed corroborated this by testifying that he was the only officer involved in the apprehension of § 87(2)(b). While § 87(2)(b) alleged that PO Elsayed pressed his knee on his face, PO Elsayed denied this allegation. Lastly, while § 87(2)(b) alleged that PO Elsayed punched him several times, PO Elsayed didn't recall using any hand strikes during the apprehension of § 87(2)(b). Sgt. Concepcion was not in a position to observe the apprehension of § 87(2)(b) as he was focused on the motor vehicle accident and the civilian occupants.

§ 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

‘Force may be used when it is reasonable to ensure the safety of a member of the service or a third person, or otherwise protect life, or when it is reasonable to place a person in custody or to prevent escape from custody. In all circumstances, any application or use of force must be reasonable under the circumstances.’ *Patrol Guide Procedure 221-01* (Board Review 11)

§ 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- PO Elsayed has been a member of service for six years and has been a subject in 16 cases and 35 allegations, of which 4 were substantiated:
 - 201401094 involved substantiated allegations of a discourtesy-word, and a frisk against PO Elsayed. The Board recommended Charges and the NYPD imposed a forfeiture of two vacation days.
 - 201403333 involved a substantiated allegation of a vehicle search against PO Elsayed. The Board Recommended instructions and the NYPD imposed instructions and formalized training.
 - 201410712 involved a substantiated allegation of an entry into a residence against PO Elsayed. The Board Recommended Command Discipline A, and the NYPD imposed Command Discipline A.
- PO Venditti has been a member of service for six years and has been a subject in 4 cases and 8 allegations, none of which were substantiated. § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- This complaint was not suitable for mediation.
- On May 17, 2018, a request was made determine if a Notice of Claim was filed in regards to this incident. Confirmation from the Office of the New York City Comptroller will be added to the case file upon receipt.
- § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(c) (impair contract awards or CBAs) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Squad No.: _____

Investigator: _____

| | | |
|-----------|--------------------|------|
| Signature | Print Title & Name | Date |
|-----------|--------------------|------|

Squad Leader: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Reviewer: _____
Signature Print Title & Name Date