

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Casey McCann	Team: Squad #14	CCRB Case #: 201908323	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Saturday, 09/14/2019 9:30 PM	Location of Incident: Southeast corner of Avenue M and Utica Avenue	Precinct: 63	18 Mo. SOL 3/14/2021	EO SOL 10/29/2021	
Date/Time CV Reported Sat, 09/14/2019 9:30 PM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 09/20/2019 11:15 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. An officer			063 PCT
2. POM Max Lindon	23513	963122	063 PCT
3. POM George Antzoulis	20684	962929	063 PCT
4. POM John Brennan	12838	960275	063 PCT
5. Officers			063 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Max Lindon	Abuse: Police Officer Max Lindon stopped § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM George Antzoulis	Abuse: Police Officer George Antzoulis stopped § 87(2)(b)	
C.POM John Brennan	Abuse: Police Officer John Brennan stopped § 87(2)(b)	
D.POM John Brennan	Abuse: Police Officer John Brennan stopped § 87(2)(b)	
E. Officers	Abuse: Officers stopped individuals.	
F. An officer	Abuse: An officer searched § 87(2)(b)	
G. An officer	Abuse: An officer searched § 87(2)(b)	
H. An officer	Abuse: An officer searched § 87(2)(b)	
I. An officer	Abuse: An officer searched § 87(2)(b)	
J. Officers	Abuse: Officers searched individuals.	
K. An officer	Abuse: An officer stopped § 87(2)(b)	
L. An officer	Abuse: An officer frisked § 87(2)(b)	
M. An officer	Discourtesy: An officer spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	
N. An officer	Force: An officer used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
O. An officer	Abuse: An officer failed to provide § 87(2)(b) with a business card.	

Case Summary

On September 14, 2019, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with IAB via telephone and provided his mailing address and telephone number. The complaint was received at the CCRB on September 20, 2019. On November 7, 2019, the case was reassigned from Investigator Shola Gbemi to the undersigned.

On September 23, 2019, Inv. Gbemi contacted § 87(2)(b) at the number listed in the IAB log and left a message requesting a call back. On September 24, 2019, § 87(2)(b) left Inv. Gbemi a voicemail requesting a call back. On the same day, Inv. Gbemi returned § 87(2)(b)'s call, but § 87(2)(b) was on the train and the call was disconnected. § 87(2)(b) later called Inv. Gbemi back and said he would be off the train in 10 minutes and would contact Inv. Gbemi then. On October 1, 2019, Inv. Gbemi contacted § 87(2)(b) but he again was on the train and the call was disconnected. On the same day, Inv. Gbemi placed another call to § 87(2)(b) who provided a telephone statement and made six unidentified individuals victims of allegations. § 87(2)(b) could not identify these individuals. § 87(2)(b) was scheduled to provide a sworn statement at the CCRB on October 17, 2019, but did not appear for his appointment. On the same day, Inv. Gbemi contacted § 87(2)(b) who said he did not appear for his appointment because he did not think "anything would happen." § 87(2)(b) then disengaged the call. Between October 21, 2019 and October 28, 2019, Inv. Gbemi placed three additional calls to § 87(2)(b) and left voicemails each time. To date, these calls have not been returned.

On November 7, 2019, the undersigned received the case and reviewed the UF-250s, which were prepared for eight individuals. None of the UF-250s provided the name of the individuals stopped, but did list the officers that prepared the UF-250s. As such, on November 25, 2019 warrant audits were requested for each officer and were received on January 3, 2020. The warrant audits provided names of four individuals involved in this incident: § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b).

On January 3, 2020, a LexisNexis search was conducted for § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) which returned mailing addresses for § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b). The search for § 87(2)(b) returned negative results. On the same day, a NYS DMV search was conducted, which returned negative results for all three individuals. On January 6, 2020 and January 7, 2020, searches of the CLEAR and CTS+ databases respectively were performed, which revealed negative results for § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b). Between January 3, 2020 and January 17, 2020, three please call letters were sent to § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) none of which was returned as undeliverable. Neither § 87(2)(b) nor § 87(2)(b) responded to this correspondence.

On January 17, 2020, a LexisNexis search was conducted for § 87(2)(b) which returned an address and telephone number. Between January 17, 2020 and January 27, 2020, three calls were placed at the number listed in the LexisNexis search and each time a voicemail was left requesting a call back. On February 3, 2020, a fourth call was placed to the number listed in the LexisNexis search and a female answered. When the undersigned asked for § 87(2)(b) the female disengaged the call. On February 5, 2020, a fifth call was placed to § 87(2)(b) and a voicemail was left requesting a call back.

On January 17, 2020, searches of the NYS DMV and CTS+ databases were performed for § 87(2)(b). The NYS DMV search returned the same mailing address as listed in the LexisNexis search. The search of the CTS+ database returned negative results.

On January 27, 2020, a search of the CLEAR database was performed for § 87(2)(b) which revealed an additional telephone number and address. On January 28, 2020, a call was placed to the number listed in the CLEAR search, but the individual that answered stated the undersigned had the wrong number.

Between January 17, 2020 and February 3, 2020, three please call letters were sent to § 87(2)(b) at the address listed in the LexisNexis search. Additionally, on January 28, 2020 and February 3, 2020, please call letters were sent to § 87(2)(b) at the address returned in the CLEAR search. None of the aforementioned letters was returned as undeliverable.

On February 5, 2020, a search of the DOC database confirmed that § 87(2)(b)

and § 87(2)(b) are not incarcerated.

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Squad No.: _____

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Squad Leader:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date