

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Christopher Connors	Team: Squad #12	CCRB Case #: 201807486	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Saturday, 09/01/2018 11:40 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 81	18 Mo. SOL 3/1/2020	EO SOL 3/1/2020	
Date/Time CV Reported Sun, 09/02/2018 12:28 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Tue, 09/11/2018 11:16 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Witness(es)	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM William Gunderson	18154	954901	081 PCT
2. POM Job Bellevue	16310	945501	081 PCT
3. POM Dragos Danetiu	30464	954698	081 PCT
4. POM Andrew Fredrickson	03273	956659	081 PCT
5. POM Jonathan Forte	21722	962409	081 PCT
6. LT Matthew Cahill	00000	932397	081 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.LT Matthew Cahill	Abuse: Lieutenant Matthew Cahill entered § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn.	§ 87(2)(b)
B.POM Job Bellevue	Abuse: Police Officer Job Bellevue searched § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn.	§ 87(2)(b)
C.POM Dragos Danetiu	Abuse: Police Officer Dragos Danetiu searched § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn.	§ 87(2)(b)
D.POM Andrew Fredrickson	Abuse: Police Officer Andrew Fredrickson searched § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn.	§ 87(2)(b)
E.POM Jonathan Forte	Abuse: Police Officer Jonathan Forte searched § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn.	§ 87(2)(b)
F.POM William Gunderson	Abuse: Police Officer William Gunderson searched § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn.	§ 87(2)(b)
G.LT Matthew Cahill	Abuse: Lieutenant Matthew Cahill searched § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
H.LT Matthew Cahill	Abuse: Lieutenant Matthew Cahill refused to show the search warrant to § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Case Summary

On September 2, 2018, § 87(2)(b) called IAB to file this complaint on behalf of herself and § 87(2)(b). It was received at the CCRB on September 11, 2018 under IAB log #18-34918. § 87(2)(b) filed a second complaint on November 20, 2019. It was received at the CCRB on December 5, 2019, under IAB log # 18-47273.

One address and one phone number were provided for § 87(2)(b) in the IAB log. A LexisNexis search yielded one additional phone number. A search of CLEAR and the Department of Motor Vehicle (DMV) database search confirmed the address provided in the IAB log but did not yield additional contact information. A review of the IAB Command Center intake call confirmed the contact information provided by § 87(2)(b) to IAB.

One address and one phone number were provided by § 87(2)(b) in his second complaint. § 87(2)(b) indicated he had no e-mail address.

Between September 17, 2018 and October 12, 2018, § 87(2)(b) was contacted at the phone number provided in the IAB log five times. During four of these call, an automated message stated the call could not be completed as dialed or the line emitted a busy signal. During one call, a voice message requesting a call back was left. Between November 26, 2018, and November 28, 2018, § 87(2)(b) was contacted at the phone number provided in LexisNexis two times. During each call, an automated message stated the phone number was not in service.

Between February 26, 2019, and March 11, 2019, § 87(2)(b) was contacted at his confirmed phone number five times. During each call, a personalized voice message stated the subscriber is not accepting incoming calls. Voice messages requesting call backs could not be left.

On September 27, 2018, October 3, 2018, and October 12, 2018, letters were mailed to § 87(2)(b) at the address provided in the IAB log. On February 26, 2019 and March 5, 2019, letters were mailed to § 87(2)(b) at his confirmed address. As of March 11, 2019, none of the letters sent to § 87(2)(b) or § 87(2)(b) have been returned by the United States Postal Service as undeliverable. To date, neither § 87(2)(b) or § 87(2)(b) have contacted the CCRB.

On February 19, 2019, searches of the New York City and New York State Department of Correction websites indicated that § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) are not currently incarcerated within New York.

A request for Body-Worn Camera (BWC) video footage was returned with negative results. Cell phone video footage of this incident was obtained.

§ 87(2)(g)

