CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force		Discourt.	U.S.	
Amanda Field		Squad #9	201909554	Ø	Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury	
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		P	recinct:	18 M	Io. SOL	EO SOL	
Thursday, 09/19/2019 1:00 PM		§ 87(2)(b) Ja	maica, NY 11435		103	3/1	9/2021	11/3/2021	
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	:	Date/Time	Receiv	ved at CCR	RB	
Mon, 11/04/2019 9:09 AM		CCRB	In-person M			Mon, 11/04/2019 9:09 AM			
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess						
Witness(es)	Home Address								
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command						
1. POF Katherine Argudo	11875	965654	103 PCT						
2. SGT Sesame James	02943	915950	103 PCT						
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name						
1. POM Kevin Kelland	22912	965235	103 PCT						
2. SGT Alexander Wong	04679	931445	103 PCT						
3. POM Elvis Rodriguez	22609	939336	103 PCT						
4. POM Wushen Zhang	13192	961475	103 PCT						
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inve	stigat	or Recon	mendation	
A.POF Katherine Argudo	Abuse: P § 87(2)(b)	olice Officer Katherine	Argudo threatened t	o arr	rest				
B.SGT Sesame James	Abuse: Sergeant Sesame James threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b)								

On November 4, 2019, \$87(2)(6) filed this con On September 19, 2019, at approximately 1:00 PM, \$87 collabor, bad been gut open and his tools had been see

filed this complaint in-person at the CCRB.

On September 19, 2019, at approximately 1:00 PM, \$87(2)(b) called 911 to report that his metal toolbox had been cut open and his tools had been stolen from his former workplace, a ni Queens. Police Officer Kevin Kelland and Police Officer Katherine Argudo of the 103rd Precinct responded to the scene. While speaking with \$87(2)(b) PO Argudo told him that he could be arrested for filing a false report (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority – Threat of Arrest, \$87(2)(9)

Sergeant Sesame James and her operator, Police Officer Wushen Zhang, both of the 103rd Precinct, arrived on scene. Sgt. James allegedly told \$887(2)(6) that he could be arrested if he tried to enter the job site in the future (**Allegation B: Abuse of Authority** — **Threat of Arrest**,

The investigation obtained body-worn camera video from PO Kelland (**Board Review 01**) and PO Argudo (**Board Review 02**), however the footage does not cover the time period during which FADOs are alleged to have occurred. Sgt. James did not activate her body-worn camera during this incident (**Board Review 03**).

was neither arrested nor did he receive a summons during this incident.

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Katherine Argudo threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b)

It is undisputed that \$87(2)(b) called 911 to report that his tools were stolen. It is also undisputed that PO Argudo responded to the scene and told \$87(2)(b) that if he was lying about his tools being stolen, he would be arrested for filing a false police report (**Board Review 05**).

<u>NYS Penal Law 240.50</u> (**Board Review 06**). A person is guilty of falsely reporting an incident when they intentionally report to law enforcement an alleged occurrence of an offense or incident which did not in fact occur.

§ 81(2)(g)

Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Sesame James threatened to arrest \$87(2)(6)

It is undisputed that by September 19, 2019, \$87(2)(b) s employment at the \$87(2)(b) leads to enter the job site and file a police report for his stolen tools.

In his CCRB statement, \$87(2)(b) stated that Sgt. James told him he could not return to

the jobsite again or he would be arrested (**Board Review 04**). Mr \$87(2)(b) also stated that \$87(2)(b) also stated that \$87(2)(b) also stated that he had no problem with \$87(2)(b) returning to the job site at a future time to retrieve the tools that remained and that \$87(2)(b) would make sure the tools would be taken care of until then.

In her CCRB statement, Sgt. James stated that she did not make the statement that would be arrested if he returned to the jobsite, and further, Sgt. James stated that she did not have a conversation about a potential arrest with \$87(2)(b) In his CCRB statement, PO Kelland did not recall if Sgt. James threatened to arrest if he returned to the job site at a future time. In her CCRB statement, PO Argudo did not recall if Sgt. James threatened to arrest for returning to job site at a future (Board Review 05).

When \$87(2)(b) was asked during his CCRB interview whether he told \$87(2)(b) that he could not return to the job site at a future time, \$87(2)(b) responded no, he never told \$37(2)(b) that he could not return to the job site in the future (**Board Review 07**). When Sgt. James

Page 1

CCRB CTS – Confidential

stated t who could ente The BV the time interva	declined to ta t he could not return to that he informed Sgt. Jan r the job site. VC obtained from PO K all during which this alleg e. Sgt. James stated that	remove his property ake that action. When asked if he the building, \$87(2)(6) stated the state one in charge alland and PO Argudo from the it gation is alleged to have occurred she was not required to turn on he	heard Sgt. James state to hat he could not recall. of making decisions for neident does not include which was after Sgt. James
• Sgt. Jan previou	the first CCRB complains gudo has been a member she has been a subject (somes has been a member as CCRB complaints and Mediatical declined to mediat	of service for one year and this is see officer history). of service for 24 years and has been two allegations, none of which we on, Civil and Criminal Historie	een a subject in two were substantiated \$\frac{8}{2}(2)\$
Claim l	•	s complaint (Board Review 9).	record of a Notice of
Squad No.:	9		
Investigator:	Amanda Field Signature	Investigator Amanda Field Print Title & Name	08/28/2020 Date
Squad Leader:	Wassim Abedrabbo Signature	SI Wassim Abedrabbo Print Title & Name	09/21/2020 Date
Reviewer:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date