

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

| | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|--|
| Investigator: Shevani Patel | Team: Squad #3 | CCRB Case #: 201502957 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt. | <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. |
| | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse | <input type="checkbox"/> O.L. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury |
| Incident Date(s) Friday, 04/17/2015 6:35 AM | Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b) | Precinct: 75 | 18 Mo. SOL 10/17/2016 | EO SOL 10/17/2016 | |
| Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 04/20/2015 9:45 AM | CV Reported At: CCRB | How CV Reported: Phone | Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 04/20/2015 9:45 AM | | |

| Complainant/Victim | Type | Home Address |
|--------------------|------------|--------------|
| § 87(2)(b) | § 87(2)(b) | § 87(2)(b) |
| § 87(2)(b) | § 87(2)(b) | § 87(2)(b) |

| Witness(es) | Home Address |
|-------------|--------------|
| § 87(2)(b) | § 87(2)(b) |
| § 87(2)(b) | § 87(2)(b) |

| Subject Officer(s) | Shield | TaxID | Command |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| 1. SDS Daniel Gervasio | 04650 | 915783 | WARRSEC |
| 2. DT2 Richard Kuhnappel | 04765 | 911295 | WARRSEC |
| 3. DT3 Miguel Nunez | 01777 | 918656 | WARRSEC |

| Witness Officer(s) | Shield No | Tax No | Cmd Name |
|----------------------|-----------|--------|----------|
| 1. DT1 James Lusk | 04127 | 901870 | WARRSEC |
| 2. DT1 John Fogelman | 07316 | 905436 | WARRSEC |
| 3. DT2 James Picicci | 04296 | 898289 | WARRSEC |

| Officer(s) | Allegation | Investigator Recommendation |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| A.SDS Daniel Gervasio | Abuse: Sgt. Daniel Gervasio entered and searched § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn. | § 87(2)(b) |
| B.SDS Daniel Gervasio | Abuse: Sgt. Daniel Gervasio damaged § 87(2)(b)'s property. | § 87(2)(b) |
| C.SDS Daniel Gervasio | Discourtesy: Sgt. Daniel Gervasio spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b) | § 87(2)(b) |
| D.SDS Daniel Gervasio | Force: Sgt. Daniel Gervasio used physical force against § 87(2)(b) | § 87(2)(b) |
| E.DT2 Richard Kuhnappel | Force: Det. Richard Kuhnappel used physical force against § 87(2)(b) | § 87(2)(b) |
| F.DT3 Miguel Nunez | Abuse: Det. Miguel Nunez refused to provide his shield number to § 87(2)(b) | § 87(2)(b) |
| G.SDS Daniel Gervasio | Abuse: Sgt. Daniel Gervasio refused to provide his shield number to § 87(2)(b) | § 87(2)(b) |

Case Summary

On April 20, 2015, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) filed the following complaint with the CCRB over the phone. On April 21, 2015, § 87(2)(b) filed the same complaint with the CCRB over the call processing system.

On April 17, 2015, at approximately 6:35 a.m., officers from the New York/ New Jersey Regional Fugitive Task Force, a sub-unit of the Warrant Section, arrived at § 87(2)(b)'s apartment at § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, in search of § 87(2)(b) who had an open I-Card and bench warrant. § 87(2)(b) was home with her husband, § 87(2)(b) and her son, § 87(2)(b). Officers led by Sgt. Daniel Gervasio forcibly entered § 87(2)(b)'s residence through her terrace door and the front door, breaking her terrace door lock and a closet door (**Allegations A and B**). At the terrace door, Sgt. Gervasio told § 87(2)(b) "I'm the fucking police" (**Allegation C**). Sgt. Gervasio and Det. Richard Kuhnappel entered through the terrace door. Sgt. Gervasio grabbed both of § 87(2)(b)'s wrists, turned him around, Det. Kuhnappel held the right side of § 87(2)(b)'s body and both officers pushed him chest down onto the bed in front of him (**Allegations D and E**). Det. Miguel Nunez and Sgt. Gervasio failed to provide § 87(2)(b) with their shield numbers (**Allegations F and G**). The officers left without arresting or summoning anyone.

CCRB case number 201502972 includes allegations involving the same officers and their entry into § 87(2)(b) residence at § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn on the same date.

§ 87(2)(b) recorded the incident. Relevant clips are attached to the analysis section of the report.

This case is over 90 days old. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Mediation, Civil and Conviction Histories

- This case was unsuitable for mediation because of a civil lawsuit.
 - On § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) filed a Notice of Claim with the City of New York, claiming serious personal injuries, loss of liberty, confinement, embarrassment, humiliation, mental anguish and emotional distress, psychological disability and distress and property damage, and seeking one million dollars as redress (Board Review 01).
 - [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]
- § 87(2)(b)
- § 87(2)(b)
- § 87(2)(b)

Civilians and Officers CCRB Histories

- § 87(2)(b)
- Sgt. Gervasio has been a member of the NYPD for 20 years and has had ten previous CCRB cases involving 18 allegations. Eight of these are entry and search allegations with one

substantiated (NYPD imposed no disciplinary action), four exonerated and three unsubstantiated.

- Det. Kuhnappel has been a member of the NYPD for 23 years and has had four previous CCRB cases involving eight allegations, none of which were substantiated.
- Det. Nunez has been a member of the NYPD for 18 years and has had four previous CCRB cases involving four allegations, none of which were substantiated.

Potential Issues

- Surveillance footage was provided by Spring Creek Gardens. No angle showed any relevant part of this incident (Board Review 09).
- When § 87(2)(b) was presented with the nine video clips he recorded, he stated that he believed more of the incident had been recorded. He did not have any further recordings in his possession. His attorney § 87(2)(b), confirmed that he did not have any additional footage.

Findings and Recommendations

Allegations not pleaded

- **Entry and search:** Because Sgt. Gervasio was the highest ranking officer on scene, the entry and search allegation is pleaded only against him.
- **Discourtesy:** When she first filed the complaint, § 87(2)(b) alleged that an officer at the front door asked, “Why didn’t you open the fucking door?” Because she did not allege this during her sworn statement, no discourtesy allegation was pleaded in regards.
- § 87(2)(g)
[REDACTED]
- **Discourtesy:** § 87(2)(b) alleged that officers told § 87(2)(b) to “shut the fuck up.” § 87(2)(b) stated that no officers used profanity towards him. Because § 87(2)(b) did not make this allegation, no discourtesy allegation is being pleaded in regards to this statement.
- § 87(2)(g)
[REDACTED]
- **Refusal to provide shield number:** § 87(2)(b) alleged that he asked every officer he passed throughout the incident to see his shield, but he could not specifically recall which officers he asked. Because the requests made to Det. Nunez and Sgt. Gervasio were captured on video, the allegation is pleaded against only these two officers.

Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: Sgt. Daniel Gervasio entered and searched § 87(2)(g) § 87(2)(b), in Brooklyn.

Allegation B – Abuse of Authority: Sgt. Daniel Gervasio damaged § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b)s property.

§ 87(2)(b) provided a sworn statement on April 24, 2015 (Board Review 05). § 87(2)(b)s apartment is located at § 87(2)(b). She woke up to officers knocking and kicking her front door and yelling, “Open the door.” She did not open the door, and went back to bed while they knocked for another 40 minutes. § 87(2)(b) got up again when she heard officers picking the lock on the terrace door attached to her bedroom. The terrace is on the opposite side of the building from the front door. It is shared with a neighbor. § 87(2)(b) grabbed the doorknob in an effort to keep it shut. The officers on the other side tried to pull it open while she struggled to keep it closed, causing the door to open and shut four or five times over the course of a few minutes. She released the door and § 87(2)(b) grabbed onto it. She went to the front door and opened it about a foot wide. There were approximately five officers outside. They asked her for her name and who else was inside. § 87(2)(b) told them her full name, as well as § 87(2)(b)s and § 87(2)(b)s names. She held the door in place while one of the officers pushed it into her. She never provided the officers with consent to enter. Officers searched through the apartment with flashlights. They opened up the closet doors, went behind the couch and looked in the corners. Officers also entered the bathroom and § 87(2)(b)s room. After ten minutes, the officers left the apartment. The terrace door lock broke and the closet door in her bedroom had fallen off of the hinges. § 87(2)(b) never learned who the officers were looking for. § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) provided a statement on April 24, 2015 and October 26, 2015 (Board Review 06 and 23). § 87(2)(b)

When the officers were initially knocking at the front door, § 87(2)(b) went over alone and asked who it was through the door. He learned they were police and never opened the door. While he and § 87(2)(b) were in bed, he noticed an officer’s head through the terrace door window. After he and § 87(2)(b) struggled with the officers at the door trying to keep it shut, § 87(2)(b) left him there and went to the front door. He got tired after about five to eight minutes and let go of the door. The officers broke the lock and came inside.

§ 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b)s friend from the building, provided a telephone statement on April 30, 2015 (Board Review 07). She saw two officers on § 87(2)(b)s terrace. One officer appeared to be picking the lock and engaged in a tussle at the door. Both officers entered through the terrace door.

§ 87(2)(b) failed to cooperate with the investigation’s attempts to obtain a statement from him. Contact attempts made to reach the residents of the apartment with the shared terrace elicited no response. Inv. Kevin O’Connor and Inv. Stanislav Sazonov knocked on the doors of both neighboring apartments on May 4, 2015. There was no answer at either apartment so business cards were left (Board Review 08). Please call letters were also sent. These letters were not returned by the United States Postal Service.

Surveillance footage was provided by Spring Creek Gardens. No angle showed any relevant part of this incident (Board Review 09).

Spring Creek Gardens, the owners of § 87(2)(b)'s building, provided the CCRB with work orders placed by § 87(2)(b) on April 20, 2015 for a broken peephole, bedroom closet door, and balcony door (Board Review 10).

Det. Lusk was interviewed at the CCRB on June 19, 2015 and October 23, 2015 (Board Review 11 and 12). Det. Lusk was the investigating officer searching for § 87(2)(b) who had an outstanding bench warrant (which listed his address § 87(2)(b)) and an I-Card in regards to an ongoing investigation of a non-fatal shooting. The officers went to § 87(2)(b), where Det. Lusk interviewed § 87(2)(b)'s mother, § 87(2)(b) and looked into § 87(2)(b)'s bedroom. In plain view on the nightstand was a Chinese food menu with a receipt on top of it. The receipt listed § 87(2)(b)'s cell phone number along with the address § 87(2)(b). The receipt did not have a date listed. In the course of Det. Lusk's investigation, he determined that an individual named § 87(2)(b) with a listed address as § 87(2)(b) was a close associate or friend of § 87(2)(b). The information on the receipt paired with his prior knowledge of § 87(2)(b)'s relationship with § 87(2)(b) led Det. Lusk to suspect that § 87(2)(b) might have information regarding the whereabouts of § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) never said anything about § 87(2)(b)'s relationship to § 87(2)(b). The officers went to a neighboring building in the same complex, § 87(2)(b) in order to interview § 87(2)(b) about § 87(2)(b)'s whereabouts.

After knocking at the front door, Det. Lusk and other officers received no response from anyone inside. The team decided to leave. One of the team members who had been in the courtyard of the complex noticed the curtain on the terrace of § 87(2)(b) move. The officers decided to try again. Because both apartments share a terrace, Det. Lusk and Sgt. Gervasio went to the apartment next door and got consent from the neighbor to go through her terrace to reach the terrace of § 87(2)(b). Sgt. Gervasio knocked on the terrace door. It swung open and promptly swung closed. People inside were yelling. § 87(2)(b) yelled, "Get the fuck out," and, "Let me see the fucking warrant." At this point, Det. Lusk retreated to his car in order to get the warrant as he was directed to by Sgt. Gervasio. He did not see officers enter the apartment and never learned how entry was gained. To Det. Lusk's knowledge, § 87(2)(b) had not been in the apartment that day, and none of the officers had any conversation with the present occupants regarding § 87(2)(b).

Det. Lusk prepared a Complaint Follow-up Informational Report Log documenting this incident. He wrote that he and other members of the regional fugitive task force visited § 87(2)(b), the residence of § 87(2)(b), who was identified as an associate of § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) had given the address of § 87(2)(b) as his residence when he was issued a C-summons which he had since warranted on. § 87(2)(b) opened the door and granted the officers access to search the residence. § 87(2)(b) was not inside. § 87(2)(b) demanded that they leave immediately and they complied (Board Review 24).

In CCRB case number 201502972, § 87(2)(b)'s mother, § 87(2)(b), filed a complaint about the Regional Fugitive Task Force team entering her apartment at § 87(2)(b), on

the same day. Det. Lusk was interviewed for that case on October 23, 2015. He stated that he confirmed § 87(2)(b) residence to be § 87(2)(b), through § 87(2)(b). He only intended to knock on the door § 87(2)(b) to ask about § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 25).

Sgt. Gervasio was interviewed at the CCRB on July 14, 2015 and on October 8, 2015 (Board Review 13 and 14). § 87(2)(g) Since § 87(2)(b) had been wanted for the shooting, he had taken up a new residence and was not staying with his mom. Careful analysis of his telephone records showed that his highest frequency caller came to his associate § 87(2)(b) who resided at § 87(2)(b). The investigation also found that when § 87(2)(b) received summonses in 2013 and 2014, he provided § 87(2)(b) as his address; Sgt. Gervasio stated that § 87(2)(b) was not specifically listed with that address on those summonses. The investigation led the officers to believe that § 87(2)(b) was closely associated with § 87(2)(b) and the family in there.

At the balcony, Sgt. Gervasio knocked on the door which was neither latched nor locked. The door swung open into the apartment about 9 to 12 inches. The door was tied with a wire coat hanger from the handle to a radiator. Sgt. Gervasio announced, "Police." The door swung closed onto his hand. Sgt. Gervasio jumped back and grabbed onto the door handle. He sent additional detectives around to the front. Screaming and yelling came from behind the door. Sgt. Gervasio pushed the door open and entered into what seemed to be a bedroom. Sgt. Gervasio did not take the door off of its hinges or break the lock; he did not damage it as it was already open and broken. There was no other detective with him when he entered. Sgt. Gervasio checked the sides of the bed and furniture in the room he entered. No one else was in there. He went into the living room where the other officers were, but entered no other room. The officers left after one minute. They engaged in no conversation with the occupants about § 87(2)(b) because they were screaming and yelling.

Det. Miguel Nunez was interviewed at the CCRB on July 14, 2015 and October 21, 2015 (Board Review 15 and 16). He was at the front door. Officers only knocked and did not damage the front door. The peephole was never struck. § 87(2)(b) opened the front door all the way. She told them, "You guys can come on in," once they explained to her who they were. He did not recall if she stepped back. No officer pushed the door onto her. She provided no resistance to their entry.

Det. James Picicci was interviewed at the CCRB on July 15, 2015 and October 23, 2015 (Board Review 17 and 18). He was at the front door. § 87(2)(b) opened the door only wide enough to show her body. She was told that they had a warrant. She asked, "For who?", and Det. Picicci said, "§ 87(2)(b)". She said no. § 87(2)(b) was yelling inside of the apartment. § 87(2)(b) said, "Okay," and turned around going into the apartment. He followed her in, perceiving her verbal response and movement as consent.

Det. Richard Kuhnappfel was interviewed at the CCRB on July 23, 2015 and October 29, 2015 (Board Review 19 and 20). He did outside security. Once he noticed Sgt. Gervasio struggling at the terrace door, he went upstairs to help him out. By the time he arrived, Sgt. Gervasio was entering through the terrace door. Det. Kuhnappfel followed him in. He saw no damage on the terrace door.

Det. John Fogelman was interviewed at the CCRB on July 21, 2015 and October 21, 2015 (Board Review 21 and 22). He did outside security in the courtyard from where he saw Sgt. Gervasio go onto the terrace. He could not see any struggle. When he learned officers entered the apartment over the radio, he went upstairs, went through the front door and remained at the entryway.

§ 87(2)(b) I-Card, active from March 14, 2015 to June 8, 2015, lists his address as § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 17). § 87(2)(b) ADWS data has one result. It lists him as a transit recidivist and his address as § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 18). An arrest warrant issued for § 87(2)(b) on October 24, 2013 lists his address as § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 19).

Absent exigent circumstances, an officer cannot legally search for the subject of an arrest warrant in the home of a third party without a search warrant. Steagald v. United States, 451 U.S. 204 (1981) (Board Review 26); People v. Bowens, 127 Misc. 2d 460; 486 N.Y.S.2d 627 (1985) (Board Review 27); Criminal Procedure Law 120.80(4) (Board Review 28); and Patrol Guide Procedure 208-42 (Board Review 29).

Neither the warrant information nor the I-Card listed § 87(2)(b) residence as § 87(2)(b). Investigating officer Det. Lusk indicated that the officers went to that location to question § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b)'s son and a close associate of § 87(2)(b) supported by Det. Lusk's prior investigation on § 87(2)(b) and Sgt. Gervasio's addition of the phone record analysis. Det. Lusk cited a Chinese food menu with a receipt on top of it listing § 87(2)(b) cell phone number along with the address § 87(2)(b), as further indication that § 87(2)(b) had information about § 87(2)(b) whereabouts. § 87(2)(g) Det. Lusk had just come from § 87(2)(b) residence § 87(2)(b), where § 87(2)(b) mother confirmed that he lived there. Det. Lusk knew that § 87(2)(b) did not reside at § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

Allegation C – Discourtesy: Sgt. Daniel Gervasio spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) alleged that as the two officers were attempting to gain entry through her terrace door, she held it shut. She asked to see a warrant. Sgt. Gervasio responded, "I'm the fucking police."

§ 87(2)(b) who said that he was pulling the door along with § 87(2)(b) stated that neither officer who came through the terrace door used profanity towards him.

Sgt. Gervasio did not recall if he said, "I'm the fucking police." He stated that he "escalated it considerably when the door slammed back on {him}." He said that he was yelling and that he did identify himself as police. He stated that it was possible that he used profanity, but he did not recall any exact statement that he made.

None of the other officers interviewed heard any officer say, “I’m the fucking police.”

This statement was not captured in § 87(2)(b)'s video recordings, although the recordings were not continuous and did not capture the entirety of the incident.

Patrol Guide Procedure 203-09 requires that officers be courteous and respectful when dealing with the public (Board Review 30).

It is undisputed that there was a struggle at the terrace door § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

Allegation D – Force: Sgt. Daniel Gervasio used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation E – Force: Det. Richard Kuhnappel used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

According to § 87(2)(b) when Sgt. Gervasio and Det. Kuhnappel came into the bedroom, he was the only person inside. Sgt. Gervasio grabbed § 87(2)(b)'s wrists from behind, turned him around and pulled his left hand upwards towards his neck. Det. Kuhnappel held the right side of § 87(2)(b)'s body in what he believed was an attempt to obstruct him from getting away. Immediately, they pushed him chest down into the bed that was in front of him. One officer put his knee on § 87(2)(b)'s back and held him on the bed. He could not estimate how long he was on the bed. § 87(2)(b) told the officer to get off of him. They let go of him without ever handcuffing him. § 87(2)(b) stated that the video footage did not capture this portion of the incident.

§ 87(2)(b) went to § 87(2)(b) after the officers departed. His medical records note that he complained of left elbow and lower back pain from being pushed. He was diagnosed with contusions to multiple, but unspecified, sites (Privileged Documents).

§ 87(2)(b) stated that she was not in the bedroom when officers came through the balcony door. From the living room, she heard tussling, but could not see what was going on. She only heard § 87(2)(b) yelling, asking about § 87(2)(b)

Sgt. Gervasio stated that he entered the balcony door without any other officer. § 87(2)(b) got into Sgt. Gervasio's face and said, “Fuck you guys. Get the fuck out of here.” Sgt. Gervasio did not restrain § 87(2)(b) or push him against the bed. Sgt. Gervasio attempted to speak with § 87(2)(b) who tried to agitate him by screaming. § 87(2)(b) never complained of any injury.

Det. Kuhnappel stated that when he reached the balcony, Sgt. Gervasio was entering. Det. Kuhnappel followed behind him. § 87(2)(b) was in the room, yelling profanities and holding a camera. Det. Kuhnappel did not restrain him or push him against the bed. Neither officer made physical contact with § 87(2)(b)

The other officers, none of whom entered through the balcony door, did not see any officer push or restrain § 87(2)(b)

According to Patrol Guide Procedure 203-11, officers are responsible and accountable for the proper use of force under appropriate circumstances, and only that amount of force necessary to overcome resistance will be used (Board Review 31).

It is undisputed that § 87(2)(b) was yelling profanities at the officers. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

Allegation F – Abuse of Authority: Det. Miguel Nunez refused to provide his shield number to § 87(2)(b)

Allegation G – Abuse of Authority: Sgt. Daniel Gervasio refused to provide his shield number to § 87(2)(b)

The video captured by § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 32) reveals the following interaction:



§ 87(2)(b) "Where your badge at?"

Det. Nunez says something incomprehensible. He is shown on screen.

§ 87(2)(b) "No badges? Where your badges at?"

Det. Nunez: "Watch yourself. You're going to fall."

§ 87(2)(b) "Where your badges at?"

Det. Nunez: "You're going to fall."

§ 87(2)(b) "Show us some badges."

The camera pans towards Sgt. Gervasio who looks at the camera.

§ 87(2)(b) "Show us some badges."

Sgt. Gervasio: "I got my badge out."

§ 87(2)(b) "He ain't got one. Where's his?" He points the camera at Det. Nunez.

Sgt. Gervasio: "I got mine out. I'm the boss here. I'm the boss here."

§ 87(2)(b) "I don't care who are you."

§ 87(2)(b) (who is not on camera) yells something incomprehensible.

§ 87(2)(b) "Don't say nothing baby. Don't worry about it. You don't say nothing. We got this. Don't say nothing." § 87(2)(b) (who is still not on camera) yells something incomprehensible. § 87(2)(b) "Okay."

At no point does either officer point to his shield. Neither officer's shield is shown in the video.

§ 87(2)(b) alleged that he asked all of the officers he passed by for their shields. No officer ever showed him his shield.

Sgt. Gervasio did not recall § 87(2)(b) requesting to see his or any other officer's shield or shield number. Det. Nunez stated that § 87(2)(b) never made these requests to him, and he did not hear § 87(2)(b) ask any other officer. Det. Picicci heard Sgt. Gervasio provide § 87(2)(b) with his name and shield number, but he did not hear § 87(2)(b)'s request. No other officer interviewed corroborated the allegation.

The remaining videos do not show any officer presenting or reciting his shield number (Board Review 33 – 41 for videos and Board Review 42 for transcription of all videos).

Patrol Guide Procedure 203-09 requires that officers courteously and clearly state their rank, name, shield number and command to anyone who requests them to do so (Board Review 30).

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b)

Squad:

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Date

Pod Leader: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Attorney: _____
Title/Signature Print Date