## QUEENS COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY



125-01 QUEENS BOULEVARD KEW GARDENS, NEW YORK 11415-1568

> 718.286.6000 WWW.QUEENSDA.ORG

MELINDA KATZ DISTRICT ATTORNEY

The following information is provided pursuant to the People's obligations under CPL §245.20(k) and is obtained from the files of the Queens County District Attorney's Office as well as from data maintained by the New York City Police Department. Additionally, in a spirit of full disclosure consistent with our obligations under CPL §§245.20(7) and 245.55, additional material obtained from non-law enforcement sources may also be included below. The fact that this additional information is hereby disclosed is not to be taken as a concession that its disclosure is compelled under CPL Article 245.

The information below pertains to **Detective Filip Glowa**, **Shield No. 00832** whom the People intend to call as a witness in the above captioned hearing/trial.

## **CIVIL:**

**DETECTIVE FILIP GLOWA** WAS LISTED AS A DEFENDANT IN THE FOLLOWING CIVIL LAWSUITS:

- 1. JOHNNY ESPINAL v. City of New York et al., INDEX NO. 11551/2015
- 2. FRANCES NIMMONS v. City of New York et al., INDEX NO. 13CV06553

Please note that additional information regarding lawsuits, if desired, is available from a variety of public data bases.

## **DISCIPLINARY MATTER(S):**

After a departmental trial, **PO Filip Glowa** was found guilty of the following specifications: While assigned to the 106th Precinct and off duty on or about **August 14, 2008**, in Suffolk County, said officer did engage in conduct prejudicial to the good order, efficiency, or discipline of the department, in that: 1. Said wrongfully engaged in a domestic- verbal altercation with an individual. 2. Said officer wrongfully engaged in a physical altercation with the individual. 3. Said officer wrongfully attempted to prevent the individual from calling 911. 4. Said officer failed and neglected to safeguard his on duty firearm.

After a departmental trial, **PO Filip Glowa** was found guilty of the following specifications: While assigned to the 106<sup>th</sup> Precinct, on or about and between **February 16, 2011** and **February 17, 2011**, said officer failed to properly safeguard property (two watches) taken into custody, resulting in their loss and failed to properly voucher arrest property.

The People reserve the right to move in *limine* to preclude or limit reference to this information in any further proceedings in this prosecution.