CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

-		T	Icann a "	I= =	— ~:	—
Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	_	_
Wassim Abedrabbo		Squad #9	201804810	✓ Abus	e 🔲 O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precino	ct: 18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Thursday, 06/14/2018 8:00 AM		§ 87(2)(b) Stationhouse	67th Precinct	67	12/14/2019	12/14/2019
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	: Date/	Time Received at CCR	RB
Mon, 06/18/2018 10:11 AM		CCRB	Phone	Mon,	06/18/2018 10:11 AM	1
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess			
Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. SGT Carl Scogmanillo	04519	940723	067 PCT			
2. POF Kristen Mastrangelo	15675	961927	067 PCT			
3. POM Matthew Pagano	17526	959036	067 PCT			
4. POM Nasimdzhon Melikov	18434	964164	067 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM Hector Martinez	17545	938950	067 PCT			
2. POM Brian Montross	25528	946523	067 PCT			
3. POM Mina Gergis	11824	956671	067 PCT			
4. POF Ciera Lawson	26868	955047	067 PCT			
5. POM Christophe Goetz	15897	964019	067 PCT			
6. POM Omar Rivera	30424	940365	067 PCT			
7. POF Ashley Ashby	25564	962227	067 PCT			
8. POF Jasmine Sweat	20021	962825	067 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	n]	Investigator Recon	nmendation
A.POM Matthew Pagano	Abuse: A Officer M	t § 87(2)(b) Latthew Pagano drew hi	in Brooklyn, Polices gun.	ce		
B.POM Matthew Pagano	Abuse: Po	olice Officer Matthew I in Brooklyn.	Pagano entered \$ 87(2)			
C.SGT Carl Scogmanillo	iı	ergeant Carl Scogmanil n Brooklyn.	lo entered § 87(2)(b)			
D.SGT Carl Scogmanillo	Abuse: A Carl Scog force.	t ^{§ 87(2)(b)} gmanillo threatened ^{§ 87(2}	in Brooklyn, Serg	eant e use of		
E.SGT Carl Scogmanillo	Abuse: A Scogman	t ^{§ 87(2)(b)} illo arrested ^{§ 87(2)(b)}	in Brooklyn, Serg	eant Carl		

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
F.SGT Carl Scogmanillo	Abuse: At \$87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Sergeant Car Scogmanillo arrested \$87(2)(b)	
G.POM Nasimdzhon Melikov	Discourtesy: At the 67th Precinct stationhouse, Police Officer Nasimdzhon Melikov spoke discourteously to	
H.SGT Carl Scogmanillo	Abuse: Sergeant Carl Scogmanillo searched §87(2)(b) in Brooklyn.	
I.POF Kristen Mastrangelo	Abuse: Police Officer Kristen Mastrangelo searched in Brooklyn.	

Case Summary

on June 16, 2016, 8 of 2016.
On June 14, 2018, at approximately 8:00 a.m., Police Officer Matthew Pagano and Police
Officer Kristen Mastrangelo, both of the 67 th Precinct, responded to a 9-1-1 call at § 87(2)(b)
in Brooklyn, made by $\S^{87(2)(b)}$ who stated that she was menaced with a firearm. After learning that $\S^{87(2)(b)}$ the perpetrator, was in the home, PO Pagano
firearm. After learning that \(\frac{\frac{8}}{87(2)(b)} \) the perpetrator, was in the home, PO Pagano
approached the front door with his gun drawn and entered the home (Allegation A: Abuse of
Authority - Gun drawn, § 87(2)(9) (Allegation B: Abuse of Authority – Entry of premises,
§ 87(2)(9)). Sergeant Carl Scogmanillo, also of the 67 th Precinct, arrived shortly after, decided
the location would be "frozen" and then also entered the home (Allegation C: Abuse of
Authority – Entry of premises, $\S^{87(2)(9)}$).
Sgt. Scogmanillo instructed officers to place §87(2)(b) structed and §87(2)(b) and §87(2)(b)
who are all brothers, in custody. When § 87(2)(b) expressed his displeasure, and
stated that he did not want to be handcuffed, Sgt. Scogmanillo drew his Taser (Allegation D:
Abuse of Authority – Threat of Force, $\S 87(2)(9)$).
§ 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were arrested and transported to the 67 th Precinct
stationhouse, along with §87(2)(b) (Allegation E and F: Abuse of Authority – Other,
§ 87(2)(9)). While Police Officer Nasimdzhon Melikov was lodging § 87(2)(b) into a
cell at the stationhouse, § 87(2)(b) asked him why he was there and PO Melikov allegedly told
him to "shut the fuck up" (Allegation G: Discourtesy, § 87(2)(9)
Ultimately a search warrant was obtained by PO Mastrangelo, supervised by Sgt.
Scogmanillo, and a search of §87(2)(b) was conducted (Allegations H and I:
Abuse of Authority – Search of Premises, § 87(2)(9)
Body Worn Camera (BWC) footage was obtained via the IAB-CCRB liaison unit in relation
to this case.
Findings and Recommendations
Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer
Matthew Pagano drew his gun.
Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Matthew Pagano entered
in Brooklyn.
Allegation (C) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Carl Scogmanillo entered § 87(2)(b)
in Brooklyn.
It is undisputed that $\S 87(2)(b)$ is owned by $\S 87(2)(b)$ the aunt of $\S 87(2)(b)$
§ 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) (b)
As depicted in PO Pagano's BWC footage, attached to IA 60 of the electronic case file, at
07:59:12 AM, § 87(2)(b) immediately informed PO Pagano that § 87(2)(b) threatened to
shoot her and that he was inside of the home with the gun (Board Review 01). At 07:59:18 AM,
exits the home; after which, § 87(2)(b) told PO Pagano that she saw a gun in
the home but she did not know where it was, and that §87(2)(b) older brother, §87(2)(b)
was inside of the home. At 08:00:30 AM, PO Pagano enters the home.
The angle captured by PO Mastrangelo's BWC, attached to IA 61 of the electronic case file,
The angle captured by PO Mastrangelo's BWC, attached to IA 61 of the electronic case file, shows that as §87(2)(b) begins to exit the home at 07:59:12 AM, PO Pagano draws his gun
The angle captured by PO Mastrangelo's BWC, attached to IA 61 of the electronic case file, shows that as $\frac{887(2)(b)}{887(2)(b)}$ begins to exit the home at 07:59:12 AM, PO Pagano draws his gun with his right hand (Board Review 02). After $\frac{887(2)(b)}{887(2)(b)}$ exits the home and presents his hands
The angle captured by PO Mastrangelo's BWC, attached to IA 61 of the electronic case file, shows that as §87(2)(b) begins to exit the home at 07:59:12 AM, PO Pagano draws his gun

Page 2

with the use of force. PO Martinez's BWC footage shows that after officers informed \$87(2)(b)	uld be stated red in aty belt at was at. red att. he part subject
PO Martinez's BWC footage shows that after officers informed \$87(2)(b) that he wo placed in handcuffs and taken to the Precinct stationhouse, he became upset and repeatedly that he was not going and that the officers were not going to put him in handcuffs. (Board Review 13). \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ stated in his sworn CCRB statement that after he said he would not be placed and that made him feel threatened (Board Review 08). He did not see what the tool was, but informed at a later point by \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ that it was a Taser. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ did not see Sg Scogmanillo draw the Taser or hear him make any statements in relation to the Taser. During his CCRB statement, Sgt. Scogmanillo confirmed that he was the officer depict holstering his Taser at 08:14:19 AM in PO Murrell's BWC footage (Board Review 09). Sg Scogmanillo stated that he drew his Taser because he wanted to prevent any resistance on the formula of \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ and to prevent the situation from escalating. **Patrol Guide Procedure 221-08** (Board Review 10). A Taser should only be used againgersons who are actively resisting, exhibiting active aggression, or to prevent injury to the sport other party present. Active resistance includes verbally signaling an intention to avoid or other party present.	uld be stated red in aty belt at was at. red att. he part subject
PO Martinez's BWC footage shows that after officers informed \$87(2)(b) that he wo placed in handcuffs and taken to the Precinct stationhouse, he became upset and repeatedly that he was not going and that the officers were not going to put him in handcuffs. (Board Review 13). \$\frac{887(2)(b)}{887(2)(b)}\$ stated in his sworn CCRB statement that after he said he would not be placed and that made him feel threatened (Board Review 08). He did not see what the tool was, but informed at a later point by \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ that it was a Taser. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ did not see Sg Scogmanillo draw the Taser or hear him make any statements in relation to the Taser. During his CCRB statement, Sgt. Scogmanillo confirmed that he was the officer depict holstering his Taser at 08:14:19 AM in PO Murrell's BWC footage (Board Review 09). Sg Scogmanillo stated that he drew his Taser because he wanted to prevent any resistance on the formula of \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ and to prevent the situation from escalating. Patrol Guide Procedure 221-08 (Board Review 10). A Taser should only be used againgtersons who are actively resisting, exhibiting active aggression, or to prevent injury to the second in the procedure 221-08 (Board Review 10).	uld be stated red in aty belt at was at. red att. he part subject
PO Martinez's BWC footage shows that after officers informed \$87(2)(b) that he wo placed in handcuffs and taken to the Precinct stationhouse, he became upset and repeatedly that he was not going and that the officers were not going to put him in handcuffs. (Board Review 13). \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ stated in his sworn CCRB statement that after he said he would not be place that made him feel threatened (Board Review 08). He did not see what the tool was, but informed at a later point by \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ that it was a Taser. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ did not see Sg Scogmanillo draw the Taser or hear him make any statements in relation to the Taser. During his CCRB statement, Sgt. Scogmanillo confirmed that he was the officer depict holstering his Taser at 08:14:19 AM in PO Murrell's BWC footage (Board Review 09). Sg Scogmanillo stated that he drew his Taser because he wanted to prevent any resistance on the off \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ and to prevent the situation from escalating. *Patrol Guide Procedure 221-08* (Board Review 10). A Taser should only be used again.	uld be stated red in ty belt at was tt. ed gt. he part
PO Martinez's BWC footage shows that after officers informed \$87(2)(b) that he wo placed in handcuffs and taken to the Precinct stationhouse, he became upset and repeatedly that he was not going and that the officers were not going to put him in handcuffs. (Board Review 13). \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ stated in his sworn CCRB statement that after he said he would not be placed and that made him feel threatened (Board Review 08). He did not see what the tool was, but informed at a later point by \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ that it was a Taser. \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ did not see Sg Scogmanillo draw the Taser or hear him make any statements in relation to the Taser. During his CCRB statement, Sgt. Scogmanillo confirmed that he was the officer depict holstering his Taser at 08:14:19 AM in PO Murrell's BWC footage (Board Review 09). Sg Scogmanillo stated that he drew his Taser because he wanted to prevent any resistance on the of \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ and to prevent the situation from escalating.	uld be stated red in ty belt ut was tt.
PO Martinez's BWC footage shows that after officers informed \$87(2)(b) that he wo placed in handcuffs and taken to the Precinct stationhouse, he became upset and repeatedly that he was not going and that the officers were not going to put him in handcuffs. (Board Review 13). \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ stated in his sworn CCRB statement that after he said he would not be place that made him feel threatened (Board Review 08). He did not see what the tool was, but informed at a later point by \$87(2)(b) that it was a Taser. \$87(2)(b) did not see Sg Scogmanillo draw the Taser or hear him make any statements in relation to the Taser. During his CCRB statement, Sgt. Scogmanillo confirmed that he was the officer depict holstering his Taser at 08:14:19 AM in PO Murrell's BWC footage (Board Review 09). Sg Scogmanillo stated that he drew his Taser because he wanted to prevent any resistance on the station of the taser.	uld be stated eed in ty belt at was tt.
PO Martinez's BWC footage shows that after officers informed \$87(2)(b) that he wo placed in handcuffs and taken to the Precinct stationhouse, he became upset and repeatedly that he was not going and that the officers were not going to put him in handcuffs. (Board Review 13). \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ stated in his sworn CCRB statement that after he said he would not be placed and that made him feel threatened (Board Review 08). He did not see what the tool was, but informed at a later point by \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ that it was a Taser. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ did not see Sg Scogmanillo draw the Taser or hear him make any statements in relation to the Taser. During his CCRB statement, Sgt. Scogmanillo confirmed that he was the officer depict tholstering his Taser at 08:14:19 AM in PO Murrell's BWC footage (Board Review 09). Sg	uld be stated eed in ty belt at was tt.
PO Martinez's BWC footage shows that after officers informed \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ that he wo placed in handcuffs and taken to the Precinct stationhouse, he became upset and repeatedly that he was not going and that the officers were not going to put him in handcuffs. (Board Review 13). \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ stated in his sworn CCRB statement that after he said he would not be placed and that made him feel threatened (Board Review 08). He did not see what the tool was, but informed at a later point by \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ that it was a Taser. \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ did not see Sg Scogmanillo draw the Taser or hear him make any statements in relation to the Taser. During his CCRB statement, Sgt. Scogmanillo confirmed that he was the officer depict	uld be stated red in ty belt at was tt.
PO Martinez's BWC footage shows that after officers informed \$87(2)(b) that he wo placed in handcuffs and taken to the Precinct stationhouse, he became upset and repeatedly that he was not going and that the officers were not going to put him in handcuffs. (Board Review 13). \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ stated in his sworn CCRB statement that after he said he would not be place than douffs or taken to the stationhouse, Sgt. Scogmanillo placed a hand on a tool on his utilisand that made him feel threatened (Board Review 08). He did not see what the tool was, but informed at a later point by \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ that it was a Taser. \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ did not see Sg	uld be stated eed in ity belt it was
PO Martinez's BWC footage shows that after officers informed \$87(2)(b) that he wo placed in handcuffs and taken to the Precinct stationhouse, he became upset and repeatedly that he was not going and that the officers were not going to put him in handcuffs. (Board Review 13). \$\frac{887(2)(b)}{887(2)(b)}\$ stated in his sworn CCRB statement that after he said he would not be placed and that the other stationhouse, Sgt. Scogmanillo placed a hand on a tool on his utilizand that made him feel threatened (Board Review 08). He did not see what the tool was, but	uld be stated eed in ity belt it was
PO Martinez's BWC footage shows that after officers informed \$87(2)(b) that he wo placed in handcuffs and taken to the Precinct stationhouse, he became upset and repeatedly that he was not going and that the officers were not going to put him in handcuffs. (Board Review 13). Stated in his sworn CCRB statement that after he said he would not be placed and cuffs or taken to the stationhouse, Sgt. Scogmanillo placed a hand on a tool on his utility.	uld be stated eed in
PO Martinez's BWC footage shows that after officers informed \$87(2)(b) that he wo placed in handcuffs and taken to the Precinct stationhouse, he became upset and repeatedly that he was not going and that the officers were not going to put him in handcuffs. (Board Review 13). \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ stated in his sworn CCRB statement that after he said he would not be placed.	uld be stated
PO Martinez's BWC footage shows that after officers informed § 87(2)(b) that he wo placed in handcuffs and taken to the Precinct stationhouse, he became upset and repeatedly that he was not going and that the officers were not going to put him in handcuffs. (Board Review 13).	uld be stated
PO Martinez's BWC footage shows that after officers informed §87(2)(b) that he wo placed in handcuffs and taken to the Precinct stationhouse, he became upset and repeatedly that he was not going and that the officers were not going to put him in handcuffs. (Board)	uld be
Scogmanillo threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force. PO Martinez's BWC footage shows that after officers informed § 87(2)(b) that he wo placed in handcuffs and taken to the Precinct stationhouse, he became upset and repeatedly	uld be
Scogmanillo threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force. PO Martinez's BWC footage shows that after officers informed § 87(2)(b) that he wo	uld be
Scogmanillo threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.	
	<u>arı</u>
Allegation (D) Abuse of Authority: At § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Sergeant C	'owl
§ 87(2)(g)	
§ 87(2)(g)	
unreasonable entries is satisfied (Board Review 05).	
evidence, the exigent circumstances exception to Fourth Amendment protections against	i iiiiu
that belief with the area entered, and motive to enter the area other than to affect an arrest o	
<u>People v. Greenleaf</u> , 222 A.D.22 838 (1995) If an officer has a reasonable belief that the an emergency requiring officer assistance to protect life or property, reasonable basis to ass	
articulable belief that the potential for serious physical injury is present (Board Review 04)	
Patrol Guide Procedure 221-01 An officer's decision to draw a gun should be based or	
occupants inside and did not search the location at that time.	
	the
It is undisputed that after the officers entered the location, they secured the location and	
he entered the home. It is undisputed that after the officers entered the location, they secured the location and	and
he entered the home.	
not know where it was. Sgt. Scogmanillo then instructed officers to "lock the house down," he entered the home.	did

§ 87(Z)(g)	
Allegation (E) Abuse of Authority: At § 87(2)(b)	in Brooklyn, Sergeant Carl
Scogmanillo arrested § 87(2)(b)	
Allegation (F) Abuse of Authority: At § 87(2)(b)	in Brooklyn, Sergeant Carl
Scogmanillo arrested § 87(2)(b)	
It is undisputed that § 87(2)(b) alleged that only § 87	had a gun and had
threatened her with it. Additionally, it is undisputed that Sgt.	Scogmanillo ordered that §
and § $87(2)(b)$ be arrested along with § $87(2)(b)$	for possession of a fixearm
(Board Review 25) (Board Review 26).	
During his CCRB statement, Sgt. Scogmanillo stated tha	at § 87(2)(b) informed him that
the gun was inside of a couch. She did not specify to Sgt. Sco	ogmanillo who the gun belonged to.
When he entered the home, civilians, who he could not ident	ify, were sitting on the couch and
Sgt. Scogmanillo instructed them to move away from the cou	
§ 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were ultimately arrested	
knowledge that there was a gun in the home in a common are	
who had the gun. Because they did not know who had the gu	in, Sgt. Scogmanillo determined that
all occupants had access to the gun. Sgt. Scogmanillo did not	t have any other reason to arrest §
or § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 09).	(b)
Between 07:59:52 AM and 07:59:59 AM of the footage	captured by PO Pagano's BWC,
attached to IA 60 of the electronic case file, $\frac{5}{87(2)(b)}$	informed PO Pagano that only § 87(2)
had a gun and that his "brother" did not (Board Re	
Between 08:02:22 AM and 08:02:30 AM of the footage	
62 of the electronic case file, § 87(2)(b) informed Sgt. S	
home, but did not know where it was. Sgt. Scogmanillo then	
"lock the house down." Sgt. Scogmanillo did not ask § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 03).	who the gun belonged to
Upon review of all BWC footage of the incident, Sgt. Sc	ecomonillo did not speak with §
a second time between their initial conversation a	and the time be decided $\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)}$
and § 87(2)(b) would be arrested.	and the time he decided 3 5.1-7.67
The investigation obtained the Declined to Prosecute for	ms prepared in relation to the arrests
	pard Review 07). In both instances,
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(a) 160.50	
	<u>'</u>
	•
Patrol Guide Procedure 208-01. An officer may affect a	n arrest without a warrant if they
believe a crime occurred and had reasonable cause to believe	e that the person being arrested
committed the crime (Board Review 23).	
§ 87(2)(g)	

Page 4

Allegation (G) Discourtesy: At the 67th Precinct stationhouse, Police Officer Nasimdzhon
Melikov spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b) stated that approximately five minutes after he was placed in a cell at the 67 th
Precinct stationhouse, PO Melikov walked § 87(2)(b) into the cell area to place him in a
cell. §87(2)(b) asked PO Melikov why he was there, and PO Melikov told him to "shut the fuck
up" (Board Review 08).
In his CCRB statement, § 87(2)(b) initially was unable to provide any information
regarding statements made by PO Melikov as he was being brought into the cell area. However, when asked, he stated that he did hear PO Melikov stated, "Shut the fuck up" right before he
walked away (Board Review 11).
PO Melikov confirmed that he placed §87(2)(b) into a cell (Board Review 24). He
did not recall $\S 87(2)(b)$ or $\S 87(2)(b)$ being upset while he did so, he did not recall speaking
to them, and he did not recall telling $\S{87(2)(b)}$ to "shut the fuck up."
Upon review of PO Melikov's BWC, attached to IA 78 of the electronic casefile, it was
determined that the BWC was turned off before the interaction in which the alleged statement
occurred (Board Review 12).
Because the prisoner holding pen roster and the command log were redacted, additional
witnesses were unable to be identified (Board Review 27) (Board Review 28).
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation (H) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Carl Scogmanillo searched § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn.
Allegation (I) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Kristen Mastrangelo searched 887(2)
in Brooklyn.
It is undisputed that PO Mastrangelo obtained a search warrant from the Kings County
Supreme Court; after which, a complete search of the home was conducted.
On § 87(2)(b) , search warrant § 87(2)(b) was granted and signed by the Honorable
of the Kings County Supreme Court, based on the sworn testimony of PO
Mastrangelo (Board Review 13). The scope of the warrant allowed for the search of all areas,
including locked storage spaces, at §87(2)(b) between 6:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m., in
which "the property sought to be seized can be stored," i.e. the gun.
In a phone statement provided to the CCRB, § 87(2)(b) stated that she was allowed to re-
enter her home on the evening of June 14, 2018, at approximately 7:00 p.m., at which time all
officers on scene left (Board Review 14).
NYS Criminal Procedure Law § 690.20 (Board Review 15). A search warrant issued by an NYC criminal court judge may be executed pursuant to its terms in the county of issuance.
NYS Criminal Procedure Law § 690.20 (Board Review 15). A search warrant issued by an
NYS Criminal Procedure Law § 690.20 (Board Review 15). A search warrant issued by an NYC criminal court judge may be executed pursuant to its terms in the county of issuance.

Page 5

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

	This is the first CCRB complaint to which \$87(2)(0) has been a party (Board Review
•	16). This is the first CCRB complaint to which § 87(2)(b) has been a party (Board
	Review 17).
•	This is the first CCRB complaint to which §87(2)(b) has been a party (Board Review
	18).
•	PO Pagano has been a member-of-service for three years and has been a subject in two other CCRB complaints and two allegations, none of which were substantiated.
	§ 87(2)(g)
	(see officer history).
•	Sgt. Scogmanillo has been a member of service for 13 years and has been a subject in 15
	CCRB complaints and 39 allegations, of which three allegations were substantiated (see
	officer history):
	o CCRB case number 201104149 involved substantiated allegations of a stop,
	frisk, and search of person against Sgt. Scogmanillo. The Board recommended
	charges and the NYPD imposed a Instructions.
	O § 87(2)(g)
•	PO Melikov has been a member-of-service for one year and this is the first CCRB
	complaint to which he has been a subject (see officer history).
•	PO Mastrangelo has been a member-of-service for two years and this is the first CCRB complaint to which she has been a subject (see officer history).

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- This case was not suitable for mediation.
- According to the Office of Court Administration (OCA), §87(2)(b) has no history of convictions in New York City (**Board Review 19**).
- According to the OCA, §87(2)(b) has no history of convictions in New York City (Board Review 20).
- According to the OCA, §87(2)(b) has no history of convictions in New York City (Board Review 21).
- As of May 13, 2019, the New York City Office of the Comptroller has no record of a Notice of Claim being filed in regards to this complaint (**Board Review 22**).

Page 6

Squad No.:			
Investigator:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:	Sionature	Print Title & Name	 Date