

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Cheyanne Ralph	Team: Squad #16	CCRB Case #: 201603798	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Wednesday, 03/30/2016 3:11 PM	Location of Incident: Inside of § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 28	18 Mo. SOL 9/30/2017	EO SOL 9/30/2017	
Date/Time CV Reported Tue, 05/03/2016 5:22 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Call Processing System	Date/Time Received at CCRB Tue, 05/03/2016 5:22 AM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address			
Witness(es)	Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command		
1. POM Brian Davis	25667	931624	028 PCT		
Officer(s)	Allegation			Investigator Recommendation	
A.POM Brian Davis	Force: An officer used pepper spray against § 87(2)(b)				

Case Summary

On May 3, 2016, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint via the CCRB's call processing system. Only a mailing address was provided by § 87(2)(b) when the complaint was filed.

On May 9, 2016, a Lexis Nexis search yielded one phone number for § 87(2)(b). Between May 11, 2016, and June 10, 2016, seven calls were made to § 87(2)(b). During the first phone call, the phone rang and there was no option to leave a voicemail. The second time an automated message was received and a voicemail message was left. On May 16, 2016, § 87(2)(b) left a voicemail message for the undersigned investigator with her correct telephone number. On May 17, 2016, § 87(2)(b) was directed to confer with her criminal attorney, § 87(2)(b) due to her pending criminal charges. On May 20, 2016, two calls were made to § 87(2)(b). During the first time the phone number was temporarily out of service, the second time a voicemail message was left. On May 24, 2016, the undersigned investigator called § 87(2)(b) at which point she said that she discussed the matter with § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) told her that she would get back to her. § 87(2)(b) was informed that the case could not be kept open indefinitely and would be closed if she is unable to speak with her attorney. On May 31, 2016, an automated message was received and there was no option to leave a voicemail because the mail box was full. On June 10, 2016, a call was made to § 87(2)(b) and a voicemail message was left. Between May, 5, 2016, and June 2, 2016, three please call letters were mailed to § 87(2)(b) and they were not returned by the United States Postal Service as of this writing.

On June 10, 2016, an online search of the New York City Department of Corrections Inmate Lookup Service revealed that § 87(2)(b) was not incarcerated while call attempts were being made to her. § 87(2)(g)

Squad: 16

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print	Date
Squad Leader:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date