

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Tyler Walls	Team: Squad #4	CCRB Case #: 202103942	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Wednesday, 06/30/2021 10:57 AM, Wednesday, 06/30/2021 11:17 AM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b) 69th Precinct stationhouse	Precinct: 69	18 Mo. SOL 12/30/2022	EO SOL 12/30/2022	
Date/Time CV Reported Thu, 07/01/2021 10:31 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Call Processing System	Date/Time Received at CCRB Thu, 07/01/2021 10:31 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Witness(es)	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Daniel Davidoff	08756	961722	069 DET
2. LT Thomas Redmond	00000	948411	067 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Daniel Davidoff	Force: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Daniel Davidoff used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
B.LT Thomas Redmond	Force: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
C.POM Daniel Davidoff	Force: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Daniel Davidoff used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
D.LT Thomas Redmond	Force: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
E.POM Daniel Davidoff	Discourtesy: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Daniel Davidoff spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
F.POM Daniel Davidoff	Abuse: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Daniel Davidoff threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.	§ 87(2)(b)
G.LT Thomas Redmond	Abuse: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.	§ 87(2)(b)
H.LT Thomas Redmond	Discourtesy: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
I.LT Thomas Redmond	Abuse: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.	§ 87(2)(b)

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
J.LT Thomas Redmond	Abuse: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)	
K.LT Thomas Redmond	Discourtesy: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	
L.LT Thomas Redmond	Abuse: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.	
M.POM Daniel Davidoff	Discourtesy: At the 69th Precinct stationhouse, Police Officer Daniel Davidoff spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	
N.LT Thomas Redmond	Abuse: At the 69th Precinct stationhouse, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

On June 30, 2021, § 87(2)(b) filed the following complaint with the CCRB over the phone.

On June 30, 2021, at approximately 10:57 a.m., PO Daniel Davidoff and Lt. Thomas Redmond, both of the 69th Precinct, apprehended § 87(2)(b) in front of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, for violating an Order of Protection. PO Davidoff and Lt. Redmond pushed § 87(2)(b) to the ground and pulled him dragged him across the ground (**Allegations A – D: Force; § 87(2)(g)**). PO Davidoff told § 87(2)(b) to “roll the fuck over” and said, “I don’t fuck with that shit. You’re gonna get tased. You’re gonna get fucking tased” (**Allegations E and F: Discourtesy and Abuse of Authority; § 87(2)(g)**). Lt. Redmond also informed § 87(2)(b) that he would be tased if he did not comply (**Allegation G: Abuse of Authority; § 87(2)(g)**). § 87(2)(b) girlfriend, § 87(2)(b) and his mother, § 87(2)(b) arrived at the scene. Lt. Redmond told § 87(2)(b) to “get the fuck on the sidewalk before I tase you and lock your ass up” (**Allegations H, I, J: Discourtesy and Abuse of Authority; § 87(2)(g)**). When § 87(2)(b) pleaded for the officers not to tase § 87(2)(b) Lt. Redmond allegedly told § 87(2)(b) “Shut the fuck up” (**Allegation K: Discourtesy; § 87(2)(g)**). Once § 87(2)(b) is in handcuffs, Lt. Redmond again threatened to tase § 87(2)(b) if he did not get inside of the vehicle (**Allegation L: Abuse of Authority; § 87(2)(g)**). The officers transported § 87(2)(b) back to the 69th Precinct stationhouse. At approximately 11:17 a.m., while in the cell with § 87(2)(b) PO Davidoff told § 87(2)(b) to hurry up that they can “get you the fuck out of here” (**Allegation M: Discourtesy; § 87(2)(g)**). § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) went to the 69th Precinct stationhouse to pick up § 87(2)(b). Lt. Redmond accused § 87(2)(b) of preventing their arrest and told her that they should “lock her up” (**Allegation N: Abuse of Authority; § 87(2)(g)**). § 87(2)(b) was charged with violating an order of protection, resisting arrest, obstruction of government administration, disorderly conduct, and criminal possession of a weapon.

The investigation obtained body-worn camera video for this case. No video surveillance could be obtained.

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation (A) Force: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Daniel Davidoff used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (B) Force: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (C) Force: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Daniel Davidoff used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (D) Force: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (E) Discourtesy: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Daniel Davidoff spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (F) Abuse of Authority: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Daniel Davidoff threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.

Allegation (G) Abuse of Authority: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.

At the 01:13 mark of PO Davidoff’s BWC, § 87(2)(b) attempts to run when approached by the officers. PO Davidoff catches up and tackles § 87(2)(b) to the ground. At 01:33 mark of PO Davidoff’s BWC, PO Davidoff tells § 87(2)(b) to “roll the fuck over” and then says, “You’re gonna get fucking tased.” At the 01:45 mark, PO Davidoff then adds, “I don’t fuck with that shit. You’re gonna get tased. You’re gonna get fucking tased.” § 87(2)(b) resists by pulling away and refusing to place his arms behind his back. PO Davidoff attempts to grab his hands (BR 01). At the 01:45 mark of PO Lt. Redmond’s BWC, Lt. Redmond takes out PO Davidoff’s taser and points it at § 87(2)(b)

The officers eventually handcuff § 87(2)(b) while he is on the ground (BR 02).

§ 87(2)(b) testified that on June 30, 2021, at approximately 10:57 a.m., she drove from her job to her residence at § 87(2)(b), in Brooklyn, and observed § 87(2)(b) talking with his friend outside. § 87(2)(b) asked § 87(2)(b) if she could buy him an iced tea. § 87(2)(b) went to a nearby store and bought § 87(2)(b) an iced tea before going inside to cook dinner. Sometime after, § 87(2)(b) girlfriend, § 87(2)(b) went into § 87(2)(b)'s apartment since the door was unlocked. § 87(2)(b) yelled, "Mommy! Mommy! Mommy!" § 87(2)(b) informed § 87(2)(b) that officers were arresting § 87(2)(b) and that she did not know why. § 87(2)(b) ran outside and saw PO Davidoff and Lt. Redmond dragging § 87(2)(b) through the dirt. When the officers initially pulled § 87(2)(b) he was standing up. However, two seconds after § 87(2)(b) ran outside, the officers pulled § 87(2)(b) to his knees. As § 87(2)(b) dropped to the ground, PO Davidoff's BWC dropped simultaneously. The officers threw § 87(2)(b) from side to side. Lt. Redmond used his left hand to grab § 87(2)(b) and used his right arm to grab § 87(2)(b) head to get him into the unmarked vehicle (BR 03).

§ 87(2)(b) did not provide a statement to the CCRB regarding this incident.

PO Davidoff testified that at approximately 10:53 a.m., he received a 9-1-1 job stating that a girl was arguing with her boyfriend at § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn. The caller then hung up. The call did not mention any specifics about the perpetrator. PO Davidoff did not know who made the call. PO Davidoff and Lt. Redmond responded to the location and observed § 87(2)(b) talking with a female outside on the sidewalk near the housing complex. PO Davidoff knew that § 87(2)(b) was wanted for open I-Card for a violation of an Order of Protection. PO Davidoff also interacted with § 87(2)(b) in the past and knew that he was a known gang member that was known to carry firearms. § 87(2)(b) had previously been arrested for gun possession and resisting arrest. PO Davidoff could not recall if they associated § 87(2)(b) with the initial job that they received. The officers approached § 87(2)(b) and told him to put his hands behind his back. § 87(2)(b) fled and headed towards the housing complex. § 87(2)(b) got approximately 15 feet away before the officers caught up to him. PO Davidoff tackled § 87(2)(b) to the ground. PO Davidoff fell forward. He could not recall what part of his body contacted § 87(2)(b). PO Davidoff could not recall if § 87(2)(b) front or back made contact with the ground. Lt. Redmond took out his taser and pointed it at § 87(2)(b). PO Davidoff could not recall if Lt. Redmond deployed his taser but did not think so. § 87(2)(b) gave his hands immediately after Lt. Redmond took out his taser. § 87(2)(b) resisted the officers by refusing to give his hands. PO Davidoff could not recall if § 87(2)(b) flailed. PO Davidoff could not recall if they had to drag and/or pull § 87(2)(b) to get him into handcuffs. PO Davidoff could not recall if he used any profanity during this time (BR 04).

Lt. Redmond testified that a few days prior to the incident date, § 87(2)(b) went to the 69th Precinct and filed a complaint report against § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) expressed fear of § 87(2)(b) especially because he had a firearm. § 87(2)(b) was on the perpetrator list for the 69th Precinct. Lt. Redmond interacted with § 87(2)(b) in the past, but those interactions had mostly been positive. Lt. Redmond knew that § 87(2)(b) had either a warrant or an open I-Card on June 30, 2021, but he could not recall which one. On that day, PO Davidoff received a 9-1-1 radio call regarding § 87(2)(b). Lt. Redmond could not recall the other information provided. At the Field Intelligence Office, PO Davidoff asked Lt. Redmond to accompany him for the arrest since he had a good rapport with § 87(2)(b). Lt. Redmond drove to the location with PO Davidoff. Lt. Redmond saw § 87(2)(b) standing on the sidewalk talking to § 87(2)(b). The officers got out of the vehicle and told § 87(2)(b) to put his hands behind his back. § 87(2)(b) pushed through Lt. Redmond and PO Davidoff and ran away. Lt. Redmond attempted to grab onto § 87(2)(b) arm but failed. After approximately 20 feet, PO Davidoff caught up to § 87(2)(b) and tackled him to the ground. Lt. Redmond did not see how § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) hit the ground. During this time, § 87(2)(b) left the scene. § 87(2)(b) asked what was happening. Lt. Redmond explained that he was under arrest. Lt. Redmond grabbed PO Davidoff's taser and pointed it at § 87(2)(b) to warn him that he needed to stop resisting and needed to place his hands behind his back or he could be tased. Lt. Redmond could not recall what PO Davidoff was doing during this time to keep § 87(2)(b) under control. Lt. Redmond only pointed the taser at § 87(2)(b). After a brief back and forth conversation, § 87(2)(b) eventually complied and allowed himself to be handcuffed while on the ground (BR 05).

TRI § 87(2)(b) noted that PO Davidoff performed a forcible takedown on § 87(2)(b). This takedown was performed to overcome § 87(2)(b)'s fleeing and resistance (BR 06).

Officers can only use the reasonable force necessary to gain control or custody of a subject. NYPD Patrol Guide Procedure 221-01 (BR 07).

A Conducted Electrical Weapon could only be used against persons actively resisting arrest, exhibiting active aggression, or to prevent individuals from injuring themselves or others person(s) actually present. NYPD Patrol Guide Procedure 221-08 (BR 08).

The NYPD must render their services with civility and respect. NYPD Patrol Guide Procedure 200-02 (BR 09).

Profanity has been found to be permissible where the officer has resorted to such language in the heat of a highly dangerous situation. DCT Case 2013-10143 (BR 10).

The BWCs show that § 87(2)(b) attempted to flee the area when confronted by the officers. The officers thus brought § 87(2)(b) to the ground to prevent him from running. While on the ground, § 87(2)(b) resisted the officers by pulling his limbs away to prevent himself from being handcuffed. PO Davidoff stated that he pointed his taser at § 87(2)(b) to get him to comply. PO Davidoff also mentioned that § 87(2)(b) would be tased if he did not comply with giving his hands.

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (H) Discourtesy: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (I) Abuse of Authority: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.

Allegation (J) Abuse of Authority: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (K) Discourtesy: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (L) Abuse of Authority: Outside of § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.

§ 87(2)(b) testified that a crowd of 6-7 people formed. As the officers were trying to get § 87(2)(b) into the vehicle, § 87(2)(b) ran up to Lt. Redmond and stood almost face to face with him but did not touch him. § 87(2)(b) asked Lt. Redmond why they were arresting § 87(2)(b). Lt. Redmond told § 87(2)(b) to “get the fuck out of here!” Lt. Redmond took out his taser, pointed it at § 87(2)(b) and said, “Get the fuck on the sidewalk before I tase you and lock your ass up.” § 87(2)(b) stated that she would not leave until the officers told her what they were doing. § 87(2)(b) eventually got on the sidewalk and stood approximately four feet away from the officers. § 87(2)(b) asked the officers why they threatened to tase his sickly mother. Lt. Redmond told § 87(2)(b) to “shut the fuck up.” Lt. Redmond told § 87(2)(b) to “Get the fuck in the car before I tase your stupid ass.” § 87(2)(b) begged Lt. Redmond not to tase him. The officers were eventually able to get § 87(2)(b) into the vehicle.

Lt. Redmond testified that when they went towards their vehicle, § 87(2)(b) attempted to pull away from them. By the time they were approaching the vehicle, § 87(2)(b) came back to the location with § 87(2)(b). Lt. Redmond interacted with § 87(2)(b) previously and knew that she had a history of violence and interfering with arrests. Lt. Redmond instructed § 87(2)(b) to get into the vehicle, but he attempted to pull away. § 87(2)(b) got in front of the officers and attempted to block the vehicle doors. § 87(2)(b) stood off to the side approximately 10 – 15 feet away. Lt. Redmond instructed § 87(2)(b) to move, but she did not comply. § 87(2)(b) tried to receive a cell phone § 87(2)(b) and claimed that it was hers. However, since § 87(2)(b) was considered under arrest, § 87(2)(b) did not have authority to retrieve the cell phone. Lt. Redmond warned § 87(2)(b) that if she did not get onto the sidewalk she could be arrested. Lt. Redmond testified that § 87(2)(b) could have been arrested for obstruction of government administration (OGA) because of the way she blocked the officers during the arrest process. Lt. Redmond denied ever using any profanity with § 87(2)(b) at any point. § 87(2)(b) complied and went on the sidewalk but then returned shortly after. Lt. Redmond warned § 87(2)(b) that if she did not get onto the sidewalk, she could be tasered. Lt. Redmond made this statement because § 87(2)(b) was interfering with their arrests and could have been arrested herself for OGA. Lt. Redmond stated that whether § 87(2)(b) could have been tasered depended on how things escalated after this statement. Lt. Redmond did not intend to tase § 87(2)(b) and simply wanted her to get back on the sidewalk. Lt. Redmond also informed § 87(2)(b) that he could be tasered if he did not get into the vehicle. Lt. Redmond said this because § 87(2)(b) continued to refuse to get inside. Lt. Redmond stated that he could not have tasered § 87(2)(b) at this point, but he could have administered the equivalent of a spark test to gain compliance. Lt. Redmond stated that he did exercise a spark test at some point but could not remember when. Lt. Redmond did not use any profanity towards § 87(2)(b) at any point. § 87(2)(b) eventually went inside of the vehicle. However, once inside of the vehicle, § 87(2)(b) attempted to kick in the back window. Lt. Redmond pointed his taser at § 87(2)(b) and told him to stop. § 87(2)(b) stopped immediately after.

At the 03:00 mark of Lt. Redmond’s BWC, as § 87(2)(b) is approaching the officers while § 87(2)(b) is in handcuffs, Lt. Redmond threatens to arrest § 87(2)(b). At the 03:05 mark, Lt. Redmond informs § 87(2)(b) that § 87(2)(b) is going to get tased. § 87(2)(b) is in handcuffs when Lt. Redmond makes the remark. § 87(2)(b) stands only a few feet away from the officers and § 87(2)(b) at this time. At the 03:10 mark of PO Davidoff’s BWC, Lt. Redmond sparks his taser and points it at § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b). Lt. Redmond tells them to back up onto the sidewalk. At the 03:25 mark of Lt. Redmond’s BWC, Lt. Redmond takes out his taser out and points it at § 87(2)(b) while he is inside of the vehicle in handcuffs. Lt. Redmond did not use any discourteous language at any point during this interaction.

Officers can only use the reasonable force necessary to gain control or custody of a subject.

Officers are not allowed to use force on handcuffed or otherwise restrained subjects unless necessary to prevent injury, escape, or to overcome active physical resistance or assault. NYPD Patrol Guide Procedure 221-01 (BR 07).

A Conducted Electrical Weapon could only be used against persons actively resisting arrest, exhibiting active aggression, or to prevent individuals from injuring themselves or others person(s) actually present. NYPD Patrol Guide Procedure 221-08 (BR 08).

A person is guilty of obstructing governmental administration when he intentionally obstructs, impairs or perverts the administration of law or other governmental function or prevents or attempts to prevent a public servant from performing an official function, by means of intimidation, physical force or interference. NYS Penal Law § 195.05 (BR 11).

The BWCs show that Lt. Redmond did not use any profanity towards either § 87(2)(b) or § 87(2)(b) at any point while on scene. § 87(2)(g)

The BWCs confirmed that § 87(2)(b) stood a few feet in front of the officers while they were placing § 87(2)(b) into the vehicle. § 87(2)(b) refused to move after being told by to do so several times. § 87(2)(b)'s actions thus constituted an interference with the arrest of § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(g)

Though § 87(2)(b) refused to get into the vehicle, he did not take any further action and was already in handcuffs at the time. During this time, Lt. Redmond also pointed his taser and sparked it at § 87(2)(b) even though she was not under arrest, did not exhibit aggression, and did not attempt to injure herself or others. Furthermore, Lt. Redmond noted that § 87(2)(b) could not have been tased at that point in time and needed to see if the situation with § 87(2)(b) escalated before she could be tasered. § 87(2)(g)

Allegation (M) Discourtesy: At the 69th Precinct stationhouse, Police Officer Daniel Davidoff spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)

PO Davidoff's BWC shows that upon transporting § 87(2)(b) to the 69th Precinct stationhouse, PO Davidoff took § 87(2)(b) to the cell area. At the 18:51 mark of PO Davidoff's BWC, PO Davidoff says, "Just take your laces off so I can put you in there, talk to the squad, and get you the fuck out of here." § 87(2)(b) took off his laces at which point PO Davidoff lodged him into a cell.

PO Davidoff was shown his BWC recording and stated that there was no law enforcement purpose to his comment and only wanted § 87(2)(b) to hurry up.

The NYPD must render their services with civility and respect. NYPD Patrol Guide Procedure 200-02 (BR 09).

PO Davidoff admitted that his comment served no law enforcement. § 87(2)(g)

Allegation (N) Abuse of Authority: At the 69th Precinct stationhouse, Lieutenant Thomas Redmond threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) testified that she and § 87(2)(b) went to the 69th Precinct directly after § 87(2)(b) was arrested. § 87(2)(b) saw Lt. Redmond on the other side of the fence by the computers. § 87(2)(b) pointed her right hand at Lt. Redmond and said that he had been “motherfucking disrespectful” towards her. Lt. Redmond accused § 87(2)(b) of blocking the door to the vehicle as they were attempting to arrest § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) denied blocking the officers. Lt. Redmond said that he would “lock her up” as well.

PO Davidoff did not remember seeing § 87(2)(b) at the 69th Precinct stationhouse later that day.

Lt. Redmond stated that he observed § 87(2)(b) later that day when he was sitting by the desk area. § 87(2)(b) asked a couple of different officers at the stationhouse about § 87(2)(b). Lt. Redmond eventually explained that § 87(2)(b) could not leave because he was wanted for something else that occurred prior. Lt. Redmond could not recall any other details about this interaction. § 87(2)(b) could not have been arrested for her actions at the stationhouse. However, he did not recall threatening to arrest § 87(2)(b) while at the stationhouse.

There are no BWCs capturing the interaction at the stationhouse.

§ 87(2)(g)
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the first CCRB complaint to which § 87(2)(b) has been a party (BR 12).
- § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- PO Davidoff has been a member of service six years and has been a subject in four previous CCRB complaints and 15 allegations, of which four were substantiated.
 - Case number 201904585 involved substantiated allegations of entry, failure to provide business card, and discourtesy. The Board recommended Formalized Training and the NYPD imposed Formalized Training.
- Lt. Redmond has been a member of service for 13 years and has been a subject in eight previous CCRB complaints and 14 allegations, of which four were substantiated.:
 - § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mediation, Civil, and Criminal Histories

- This complaint was not suitable for mediation.

- A Notice of Claim inquiry has been submitted and will be added to the case file upon receipt (BR 15).

- § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

Squad: 4

Investigator:	<u>Tyler Walls</u>	<u>Inv. Tyler Walls</u>	<u>08/03/2022</u>
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Squad Leader:	<u>Raquel Velasquez</u>	<u>IM Raquel Velasquez</u>	<u>08/03/2022</u>
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Reviewer:	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date