

C.C.R.B. CASE CLOSING FORM

Investigator assigned: Hui		Team: 5	CCRB#: 9903632	<input type="checkbox"/> Force <input type="checkbox"/> Discourtesy
Date of incident: 8/10/99	Time of incident: 9:10 PM	Location of incident: East Fordham Road	Pct. of occurrence: 052	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse <input type="checkbox"/> O.L. <input type="checkbox"/> Injury Date S.O.L. Expires: 2/10/01
Date reported: 8/10/99	Time reported: 10:41 PM	To whom/where/how reported: The complaint was filed by complainant in person at the 52nd Precinct.		
Complainant: § 87(2)(b)		Home address: § 87(2)(b)		
Victim(s): § 87(2)(b)		Home address: § 87(2)(b)		
Witness(es): § 87(2)(b)		Home address: § 87(2)(b)		
Subject officer(s) (include rank): PO Gregory Pekera		Shield: 26254	Tax: 919546	Command: 052
Witness officer(s) (include rank): N/A		Shield: N/A	Tax: N/A	Command: N/A
Allegation(s) by letter: A) PO Gregory Pekera abused his authority when he searched a vehicle in violation of Patrol Guide Procedure 104-01.				Recommendation(s): § 87(2)(g)

Synopsis

§ 87(2)(g) § 87(2)(g) The undersigned investigator sent four letters and made five phone calls to be in contact with the complainant and witness. An appointment was made with the complainant, but the complainant did not keep the appointment and did not respond to any follow-up attempts made by the undersigned investigator.

Summary of Complaint

On 8/10/99 at approximately 9:10 PM, § 87(2)(b) was on East Fordham Road when she noticed that officers searched a vehicle (allegation A). § 87(2)(b) states she was told by the officers to get on the sidewalk. § 87(2)(b) further states that PO Pekera has no human relation skills.

Results of Investigation

§ 87(2)(g)

Conclusions and Recommendations

PO Gregory Pekera abused his authority when he searched a vehicle in violation of Patrol Guide Procedure 104-01. Allegation A.

Efforts were made to be in contact with § 87(2)(b) the complainant, and § 87(2)(b) the witness in this case. Five phone calls were made to § 87(2)(b) place of residence. § 87(2)(b) had returned one phone call and told the undersigned investigator that § 87(2)(b) was no longer interested in being a witness in the case. However, the undersigned investigator made an appointment with § 87(2)(b) for August 26, 1999 at 2:30 PM. § 87(2)(b) did not keep the appointment and did not respond to follow up phone calls. Letters were sent throughout August and September in an effort to be in contact with § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) but neither responded to any of these attempts. § 87(2)(g) § 87(2)(g) § 87(2)(g)

Investigator: Thomas Hui

Date: 9/27/99

Supervisor: [Signature]

Date: 9/28/99

Reviewed by: [Signature]

Date: 9.28.99

Reviewed by: [Signature]

Date: _____