

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: William Moss	Team: Squad #6	CCRB Case #: 201906907	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Monday, 07/22/2019 4:55 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 109	18 Mo. SOL 1/22/2021	EO SOL 9/8/2021	
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 07/22/2019 4:59 PM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Tue, 08/06/2019 11:06 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POF Loreal Lurch	07385	961539	109 PCT
2. POM Kevin Martin	24921	941068	109 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. SGT Jakub Danisewicz	03255	948473	109 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Kevin Martin	Abuse: Police Officer Kevin Martin refused to provide his name to § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
B.POM Kevin Martin	Abuse: Police Officer Kevin Martin refused to provide his shield number to § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
C.POM Kevin Martin	Abuse: Police Officer Kevin Martin threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
D.POF Loreal Lurch	Abuse: Police Officer Loreal Lurch refused to provide her name to § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
E.POF Loreal Lurch	Abuse: Police Officer Loreal Lurch refused to provide her shield number to § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
F.POF Loreal Lurch	Abuse: Police Officer Loreal Lurch threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

### Case Summary

On July 22, 2019, § 87(2)(b) called 911 and filed the following complaint with IAB under log #19-29135. IAB forwarded the complaint to the CCRB on August 6, 2019.

LexisNexis, Clear, and CTS searches for § 87(2)(b) yielded sixteen potential phone numbers, seven potential email addresses, and one potential mailing address. Between August 7, 2019, and September 10, 2019, § 87(2)(b) was called a total of twenty times at those numbers, emailed once at each email address, and sent one letter. One of the emails returned as undeliverable. Twelve of the phone numbers were no longer in service, one belonged to a person who did not know § 87(2)(b) and one was not set up to receive voicemails. Seven voicemails were left between the two fully operating numbers.

On September 11, 2019, § 87(2)(b) confirmed his contact information over the phone. § 87(2)(b) was offered mediation but rejected it because he does not want to see or speak with the subject officers. § 87(2)(b) scheduled an interview with the CCRB for September 17, 2019, and signed up for text-message appointment reminders. § 87(2)(b) failed to show up for his interview on September 17, 2019, and did not provide prior notice. § 87(2)(b) was called twice to reschedule his interview and was sent a missed-appointment letter and email. He answered neither call and did not respond to the letter or email. Over the course of the investigation, § 87(2)(b) was contacted at his confirmed phone number a total of seven times, his confirmed email address three times, and his confirmed mailing address twice.

On September 30, 2019, § 87(2)(b) called and left a voicemail saying that he has no desire to further pursue his complaint with the CCRB because it has been resolved. § 87(2)(b) clarified that he does not care enough about his case to reschedule an interview with the CCRB. Although § 87(2)(b) was not asked the formal withdrawal questions, his voicemail suggests he is unwilling to provide the CCRB with a verified statement.

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

Squad No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Squad Leader:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date