

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Zev Carter	Team: Squad #3	CCRB Case #: 201806244	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Thursday, 05/10/2018 1:19 AM	Location of Incident: West 149th Street and Adam Clayton Powell Jr Boulevard	Precinct: 32	18 Mo. SOL 11/10/2019	EO SOL 11/10/2019	
Date/Time CV Reported Wed, 08/01/2018 11:51 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: On-line website	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 08/01/2018 11:51 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Nairobi Grayson	18162	958652	032 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. SGT Asa Barnes	02040	936173	032 PCT
2. POM Gregory Paduano	19040	959035	032 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Nairobi Grayson	Abuse: Police Officer Nairobi Grayson stopped the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) was an occupant.	
B.POM Nairobi Grayson	Abuse: Police Officer Nairobi Grayson refused to provide his shield number to § 87(2)(b)	
C.POM Nairobi Grayson	Discourtesy: Police Officer Nairobi Grayson spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	
D.POM Nairobi Grayson	Abuse: Police Officer Police Officer Nairobi Grayson threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)	
E.POM Nairobi Grayson	Abuse: Police Officer Nairobi Grayson issued a summons to § 87(2)(b)	

## Case Summary

On August 1, 2018, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB online.

On May 10, 2018, § 87(2)(b) was driving in his car on 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue in Manhattan. At the intersection of 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue and West 145<sup>th</sup> Street, § 87(2)(b) noticed an unmarked police car. § 87(2)(b) continued driving until Police Officer Nairobi Grayson, Police Officer Gregory Paduano, and Sergeant Asa Barnes, all of the 32<sup>nd</sup> Precinct, pulled him over at 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue and West 148<sup>th</sup> Street (**Allegation A: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g)). After § 87(2)(b) exited his car, PO Grayson refused to provide his information to § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation B: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g)). PO Grayson told § 87(2)(b) “I’m not giving you shit,” “I’m not giving you a goddamn thing,” and asked § 87(2)(b) “why the fuck are you yelling at me?” (**Allegation C: Discourtesy**, § 87(2)(g)). PO Grayson then threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b) (**Allegation D: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g)). PO Grayson put § 87(2)(b) in handcuffs and then frisked and searched him. PO Grayson searched § 87(2)(b)’s pocket and removed his wallet in order to write § 87(2)(b) a summons (**Allegation E: Abuse of Authority** - § 87(2)(g)).

This case contains video evidence which was recorded by § 87(2)(b)’s passenger, § 87(2)(b) (05, 06 Board Review).

## Findings and Recommendations

**Allegation (A): Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Nairobi Grayson stopped the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) was an occupant.**

It is undisputed that § 87(2)(b) was pulled over by PO Grayson. It is undisputed that § 87(2)(b) was driving along 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue when he was signaled to pull over, and that he came to a stop at 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue and West 148<sup>th</sup> Street. It is undisputed that § 87(2)(b)’s temporary New Hampshire license plates are good 20 days from their issuance, and that the date of issuance is hand-written on the plate.

§ 87(2)(b) stated (02 Board Review) that on May 10, 2018 at approximately 1:19 a.m., he was returning from a club in a car with his friend, § 87(2)(b). The car’s temporary New Hampshire plates were issued on May 4, 2018. § 87(2)(b) was driving westbound when, at the intersection of Adam Clayton Powell Jr. Boulevard (7<sup>th</sup> Avenue), he spotted a dark-colored Ford sedan to his right. The car was stopped on West 145<sup>th</sup> Street. § 87(2)(b) turned to travel northbound on 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue, and the car followed him. § 87(2)(b) told his friend to turn on his camera, because it may have been the police that were following them. The car tailgated § 87(2)(b) until he stopped at a red light at West 146<sup>th</sup> Street. The car pulled up beside § 87(2)(b)’s car on the passenger’s side so that § 87(2)(b) could see two officers sitting in the front seat of the car. § 87(2)(b) made eye contact with the officers and continued driving. § 87(2)(b) drove his car until he was between West 148<sup>th</sup> and West 149<sup>th</sup> Street, at which point the officers turned on their car’s lights to signal for him to pull over. § 87(2)(b) complied.

§ 87(2)(b) stated **(09 Board Review)** that while he and § 87(2)(b) were driving through Harlem, they noticed that they were being followed by a police car. The police car pulled aside the car they were driving in, and turned on its lights and siren to pull the car over.

PO Grayson stated **(11 Board Review)** that he, PO Paduano, and Sgt. Barnes were on general patrol driving northbound on 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue at approximately 1:15 a.m. on May 10, 2018. PO Grayson was sitting in the back seat, while PO Paduano drove and Sgt. Barnes sat in the passenger's seat. § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle pulled up alongside the officers' vehicle on the passenger side. When the light turned green § 87(2)(b)'s car pulled ahead of the officer's car, and when it was approximately one car-length away, PO Grayson noticed that its plates appeared to be expired. They were temporary plates, made of paper. The date written in marker on the plate was May 9, 2018. It was dark out, but the street was lit by streetlamps, and § 87(2)(b)'s car had plate lights. PO Grayson asked his partner what the day's date was, and he responded that it was the tenth of May. PO Grayson decided to pull the car over. The officers turned on their vehicle's lights and used the siren to signal for § 87(2)(b) to pull his car over. § 87(2)(b) was compliant.

PO Paduano stated **(13 Board Review)** that that he, PO Grayson, and Sgt. Barnes first saw § 87(2)(b)'s car on 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue, a few blocks south of West 148<sup>th</sup> Street. PO Paduano was driving, and Sgt. Barnes was sitting in the passenger's seat, while PO Grayson was sitting in the back of the car. PO Paduano did not know which officer saw § 87(2)(b)'s plates first, but they had the date May 4, 2018 written on them, which raised the officers' suspicion of the vehicle's license plate being expired. The officers' car was directly behind § 87(2)(b)'s vehicle at a red light. It was dark out, but streetlights were on, and PO Paduano could still see the plate. PO Paduano did not recall whose idea it was to pull § 87(2)(b) over, but § 87(2)(b) was compliant once the officers signaled for him to pull over.

Sgt. Barnes stated **(15, 16 Board Review)** that he was sitting in the back seat of the car with PO Grayson driving and PO Paduano sitting in the passenger seat, when PO Grayson saw § 87(2)(b)'s license plates. Sgt. Barnes could only recall that the stop was initiated by a traffic violation regarding a license plate. There was no conversation about stopping the car. PO Grayson activated the car's emergency lights to signal for § 87(2)(b) to pull over, and § 87(2)(b) was compliant.

PO Grayson's memo book **(12 Board Review)** reads that at 1:15 a.m., he made a car stop on West 148<sup>th</sup> Street and 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue for what "appeared" to be an expired New Hampshire license plate.

PO Paduano's memo book **(14 Board Review)** reads that at 1:15 a.m., a car stop was made going northbound on 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue at West 148<sup>th</sup> Street. The car's New Hampshire plates and registration "appeared" to be expired, and were dated May 4, 2018.

§ 87(2)(b)'s temporary New Hampshire license plate **(03 Board Review)** reads: "Only good twenty days from date." The date listed on the plate is May 4, 2018.

§ 87(2)(b) s temporary New Hampshire license plate purchaser's copy (**04 Board Review**) reads that § 87(2)(b) purchased the temporary license plates on May 4, 2018 from a car dealer in New Hampshire.

New Hampshire state law section 261:56 (**17 Board Review**) states that "a dealer may issue temporary plates furnished by the department" to a car buyer, but "the vehicle shall not be driven under temporary plates for a period in excess of 20 days from the date of issuance by the dealer."

A stop initiated due to a police officer's objectively reasonable mistake of law or fact is constitutional. (*People v. Guthrie*, 25 N.Y.3d 130 (2015)).

§ 87(2)(g)  
[REDACTED]

**Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Nairobi Grayson refused to provide his shield number to § 87(2)(b)**

**Allegation (C) Discourtesy: Police Officer Nairobi Grayson spoke discourteously to**

§ 87(2)(b)

**Allegation (D) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Nairobi Grayson threatened to arrest**

§ 87(2)(b)

**Allegation (E) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Nairobi Grayson issued a summons to**

§ 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) stated (**02 Board Review**) that PO Paduano asked him for his license and registration, and he replied by asking why the officers had pulled him over. PO Paduano told § 87(2)(b) not to escalate the situation, and § 87(2)(b) asked him why they had pulled his car over. PO Paduano told § 87(2)(b) that his plate was expired, but § 87(2)(b) told the officer that it was valid, and that it was visibly legal. The officers told § 87(2)(b) that they just wanted to make sure that everything was "okay" with the car, and § 87(2)(b) said that the officers were racially profiling him. § 87(2)(b) spoke with PO Paduano for approximately 2-3 minutes until PO Grayson introduced himself by name and asked § 87(2)(b) to step out of the vehicle. § 87(2)(b) and the officers went to the rear of his vehicle, where § 87(2)(b) explained that he had been pulled over by unmarked cars numerous times in Harlem. PO Grayson told § 87(2)(b) to leave, and § 87(2)(b) asked the officers for their information. PO Grayson told § 87(2)(b) "we won't give you shit," and said that since § 87(2)(b) had not given over his information, they would not give him theirs.

§ 87(2)(b) told the officers that they had no reasonable suspicion to stop him, and continued to ask for their information. PO Grayson told § 87(2)(b) that if the officers had to give § 87(2)(b) their information, they would have to arrest him. § 87(2)(b) said that he did not care, and that he wanted their information. PO Grayson took out his handcuffs and put them on § 87(2)(b) then put § 87(2)(b) in the back seat of the unmarked car for 15-20 minutes. PO Grayson told § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) to step out of the car, and, without asking for permission or telling § 87(2)(b) what he was doing, searched § 87(2)(b)'s waist, pants pockets, the back of his legs, and knees. PO Grayson then put his hand in § 87(2)(b)'s right rear pocket to remove his wallet.

§ 87(2)(b) stated (09, 10 Board Review) that PO Grayson approached the car, introduced himself, and asked for § 87(2)(b)'s license. § 87(2)(b) told PO Grayson that he needed to know why he was being asked to provide his ID, and that if the stop was only about the plates, then he would not provide his information, because the plates were not a problem. PO Grayson asked § 87(2)(b) to exit the car, and he did so. § 87(2)(b) told the officers present that it was unfair that he and § 87(2)(b) had driven through Pennsylvania, and no one had stopped them, but as soon as they got into Harlem, they were pulled over. PO Grayson said that he did not know why. PO Grayson told § 87(2)(b) that he could go, and § 87(2)(b) replied that he would leave under the condition that he could see the officers' information. PO Grayson said no, because § 87(2)(b) had not provided his own information. § 87(2)(b) repeated his request, and PO Grayson refused again. PO Grayson said that if § 87(2)(b) kept asking for the officers' information, then he would have to arrest § 87(2)(b).

§ 87(2)(b) recalled that § 87(2)(b) said that this was fine, and put his hands behind his back. PO Grayson put handcuffs on § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) told § 87(2)(b) that he had asked the officer for his information, and the officer did not want to give him the information, and that now he was under arrest. § 87(2)(b) was put inside of the officers' car, and § 87(2)(b) could not approach him.

§ 87(2)(b) came back to § 87(2)(b) from the officers' car, and he had a ticket. § 87(2)(b) asked § 87(2)(b) how he was given a ticket if he refused to give the officers his information. § 87(2)(b) told § 87(2)(b) that they reached into his pocket and took out his wallet, where they found his ID. § 87(2)(b) stated that at no point did he hear an officer say anything impolite or obscene. He stressed that he does not speak English perfectly, but that he did not get the sense that any obscenities were uttered during the interaction.

PO Grayson stated (11 Board Review) that he does not remember if § 87(2)(b) stepped out of the vehicle of his own accord, or if he was asked to, but § 87(2)(b) exited the vehicle and went to the rear of his vehicle, where, standing in the street, he yelled and made motions with his hands. § 87(2)(b) was "irate," and told PO Grayson that his plate was still valid. PO Grayson said that this was okay, and that if he could confirm this with § 87(2)(b)'s paperwork, § 87(2)(b) could be on his way. § 87(2)(b) asked the officers if they were stupid, and told them that they could not read. PO Grayson told § 87(2)(b) that if he did not provide his information, he could be arrested and taken back to the precinct stationhouse for the obstruction of governmental administration. § 87(2)(b) told PO Grayson to do what he had to do.

PO Grayson placed § 87(2)(b) in handcuffs and put him in the back of the police car. § 87(2)(b) was considered under arrest at this point. PO Grayson asked for § 87(2)(b)'s information, and § 87(2)(b) told him it was in his back pocket. § 87(2)(b) said that if he wanted his ID, he would have to retrieve it from his pocket. § 87(2)(b) asked for PO Grayson's information, and PO Grayson said that it would be on the bottom of his summons.

PO Grayson did not recall if he told § 87(2)(b) “I’m not giving you shit” or “I’m not giving you a goddamn thing” when § 87(2)(b) asked for his information. PO Grayson did not know if he ever asked § 87(2)(b) “why the fuck are you yelling at me?” He did not recall any other officer doing so, or using any profanity. PO Grayson did not search § 87(2)(b)’s person other than when he was in the back of the police vehicle. PO Grayson frisked and searched § 87(2)(b) because he considered § 87(2)(b) to be under arrest for obstructing governmental administration. However, PO Grayson decided to write § 87(2)(b) a summons for disorderly conduct after finding § 87(2)(b)’s ID.

PO Paduano stated (**13 Board Review**) that after pulling § 87(2)(b) over, he walked to § 87(2)(b)’s driver’s side window and asked for § 87(2)(b)’s license, registration, and proof of insurance. PO Grayson was standing behind PO Paduano. § 87(2)(b) immediately asked the officers why he had been pulled over, and screamed at PO Paduano that he had only been pulled over because he is black. PO Paduano told § 87(2)(b) that he would be happy to explain the reason for the stop if § 87(2)(b) would provide his license and registration. § 87(2)(b) refused to provide his information, and told the officers that he had just been pulled over for the same reason recently. He asked the officers, “can you dumbasses read? It’s not expired.” PO Paduano said that one of the officers on scene walked to the back of § 87(2)(b)’s car and read on the plate that the date written on it was the date that the plate was issued.

PO Paduano said that PO Grayson, who is also a black man, stepped in to speak with § 87(2)(b) to tell him that the car stop had nothing to do with race. § 87(2)(b) and PO Grayson “had words,” and § 87(2)(b) got out of his vehicle. He and the three officers on scene went to the back of § 87(2)(b)’s car. PO Paduano did not recall if § 87(2)(b) was asked to step out of the car, or if he exited the vehicle voluntarily. He continued to be combative; § 87(2)(b) was screaming, pointing, and causing a scene in the road. He was verbally threatening to the officers. PO Grayson may have told § 87(2)(b) “if you don’t calm down, you can be arrested.”

PO Paduano recalled that a small number of cars and pedestrians were on the streets and sidewalks. Some civilians had stopped to watch and record the incident from about ten feet away. § 87(2)(b) exited the vehicle, but officers told him to get back in. PO Paduano did not recall if § 87(2)(b) asked any of the officers for their information. PO Paduano stood back and allowed PO Grayson to take the lead on the stop. PO Grayson put § 87(2)(b) in handcuffs for his safety and the safety of the officers about 30 seconds after § 87(2)(b) exited the vehicle. PO Grayson wrote § 87(2)(b) a summons for disorderly conduct.

PO Paduano did not tell § 87(2)(b) “I’m not giving you shit,” “I’m not giving you a goddamn thing,” ask § 87(2)(b) “Why the fuck are you yelling at me?” or recall any other officer doing so. PO Paduano did not use profanity against § 87(2)(b) or witness any other officer do so. PO Paduano did not witness any officers frisk § 87(2)(b) or do it himself. PO Paduano did not search § 87(2)(b) and did not recall if any other officer did so.

Sgt. Barnes stated (**15, 16 Board Review**) that after the officers stopped § 87(2)(b)’s car, they approached his vehicle. Sgt. Barnes stood at the passenger’s side of the vehicle, while PO Grayson and PO Paduano stood at the driver’s side of § 87(2)(b)’s vehicle. PO Grayson spoke to § 87(2)(b) first, and § 87(2)(b) became belligerent and screamed at the officers. Sgt. Barnes

did not recall what § 87(2)(b) and PO Grayson said to one another, but § 87(2)(b) was “aggressive” towards PO Grayson. § 87(2)(b) did not want to provide his ID to the officers. § 87(2)(b) voluntarily exited the car about two or three minutes after pulling over, did not follow “standard” orders and instructions from the officers, and cursed at them. § 87(2)(b) was standing in the middle of the road at this point. There were passersby on the sidewalk, but nobody interrupted or caused a scene.

Sgt. Barnes denied telling § 87(2)(b) “I’m not giving you a goddamn thing” and “I’m not giving you shit.” Sgt. Barnes denied asking § 87(2)(b) “why the fuck are you yelling at me?” Sgt. Barnes denied using profanity against § 87(2)(b) and refusing to provide his information. Sgt. Barnes did not witness any officer refuse to provide their information. Sgt. Barnes denied threatening to arrest § 87(2)(b) and did not witness any other officers do so. Sgt. Barnes denied frisking § 87(2)(b) and did not witness any other officer do so.

In the first fifteen seconds of a video recording made by § 87(2)(b) **(05 Board Review)** during the incident, an officer’s voice can be heard asking § 87(2)(b) for his license and registration. § 87(2)(b) questions the reason for the stop, and the officer responds that § 87(2)(b)’s license plate is expired. § 87(2)(b) denies this, says that his registration is valid for 20 days, and refuses to provide his information. The officer tells § 87(2)(b) that his license plate expires May 4, 2018. § 87(2)(b) goes back and forth with the officer until PO Grayson introduces himself by name and asks for § 87(2)(b)’s ID. § 87(2)(b) argues that the officers had no reason to stop him, and says that he has been racially profiled. § 87(2)(b) tells PO Grayson that he has been stopped in this car in Harlem before. PO Grayson asks § 87(2)(b) to step out of the car, and § 87(2)(b) complies. At the back of the car, § 87(2)(b) can be heard explaining that his plate reads that it is valid for 20 days, and says that he will not provide any information. PO Grayson and the officer who first asked for § 87(2)(b)’s information argue over whether the stop was racially motivated. When presented with this video footage, PO Paduano and PO Grayson identified PO Paduano’s voice asking for § 87(2)(b)’s information in the beginning of the recording.



2019-01-08\_14-25-34.mp4

§ 87(2)(b) recorded a second video during the incident **(06 Board Review)**. § 87(2)(b) is recording from the passenger seat of § 87(2)(b)’s vehicle, and voices can be heard out of frame. The recording starts while PO Grayson’s voice is in the middle of a sentence, saying “... your information, I’m not giving you shit.” § 87(2)(b) responds, “no, no, you stopped me, you asked me to give you a reason.” PO Grayson says, “I’m not giving you a god damn thing.” At 00:10, PO Paduano tries to explain the reason for the stop, and § 87(2)(b) interrupts him by saying, “I’m not a criminal!” PO Grayson responds, “So why the fuck are you yelling at me? Get back in the car!” In the background, PO Grayson tells § 87(2)(b) “you can leave.” § 87(2)(b) calls for an officer to come to him, and PO Paduano begins to explain that temporary license plates are issued differently in New Hampshire. § 87(2)(b) calls to § 87(2)(b) and exits the car to walk towards where § 87(2)(b) and the officers are arguing. As § 87(2)(b) moves around the car, PO Grayson tells § 87(2)(b) “I’m asking you to leave right now. If you don’t leave right now, you’re coming back with us.” At 00:51, § 87(2)(b) asks for PO Grayson’s information, and PO Grayson says, “I already told him he can go.” § 87(2)(b) requests PO Grayson’s information again. PO Grayson responds by saying, “but you still refused to give your ID.” § 87(2)(b) tells

the officers he has not committed any crime. PO Grayson places § 87(2)(b) in handcuffs, and § 87(2)(b) complies with him. PO Grayson walks § 87(2)(b) to the police vehicle and tells him that his information will be at the bottom of the summons. One plainclothes officer in a white shirt and another in white cargo shorts remain at the rear of the vehicle. Out of frame, PO Paduano tells § 87(2)(b) that if § 87(2)(b) had explained his car's registration without escalating the situation, he would have been on his way.



2019-01-08\_14-12-10.mp4

When asked about this video, § 87(2)(b) recalled **(28 Board Review)** telling PO Grayson, "I'm not a criminal, driving a Mercedes is not a crime," but did not recall PO Grayson responding, "then why the fuck are you yelling at me?" When presented with the video recording, PO Grayson could not identify the officer using profanity and refusing to provide his information in the first ten seconds of the video. PO Grayson could hear § 87(2)(b) yelling, and could hear someone in the video say the word "shit." Neither Sgt. Barnes nor PO Paduano recognized any of the voices in the first fifteen seconds of the video.

PO Grayson's memo book **(12 Board Review)** states that § 87(2)(b) argued and engaged in "violent and tumultuous" behavior in the roadway. For the safety of § 87(2)(b) and other officers, PO Grayson handcuffed § 87(2)(b) and then issued him a summons for disorderly conduct.

PO Paduano's memo book **(14 Board Review)** states that § 87(2)(b) was "extremely combative" and "threatening towards police," and that PO Grayson issued a summons in regard to the stop.

The summons prepared by PO Grayson **(07 Board Review)** states that § 87(2)(b) "engage[d] in tumultuous behavior in [a] public area, causing alarm to by passers [sic] in the middle of an active roadway." The summons has two subsections marked, although PO Grayson clarified in his interview that he observed § 87(2)(b) violate section 1 only, which reads: "engage in fighting or in violent, tumultuous, or threatening behavior to wit."

Officers are directed to "courteously and clearly state your rank, name, shield number and command, or otherwise provide them, to anyone who requests you to do so." (NYPD Patrol Guide 203-09 **(19 Board Review)**).

§ 87(2)(g)  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

NYPD Patrol Guide section 203-09 states that an officer is to be "courteous and respectful" **(19 Board Review)**.



§ 87(2)(g)

“A person is guilty of Obstructing Governmental Administration when that person intentionally [obstructs, impairs or perverts the administration of law or other governmental function or] prevents or attempts to prevent a public servant from performing an official function by means of intimidation, physical force or interference.” (New York State Penal Law §190.05 (**20 Board Review**)). Under the statute, “intent means conscious objective or purpose.” (*id.*)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

“A person is guilty of disorderly conduct when, with intent to cause public inconvenience, annoyance or alarm, or recklessly creating a risk thereof, he engages in fighting or in violent, tumultuous or threatening behavior.” (New York State Penal Law §240.20[1] (**25 Board Review**)) A civilian’s expression of grievance against police action is not an offense under this statute, so long as these expressions are for the personal, rather than public dimension. (People v. Square, 872 N.Y.2d 693 (2008) (**21 Board Review**)). The court in People v. Munafo also stated that in gauging an act’s public ramifications, a court must consider the number of those attracted by the act in question and its surrounding circumstances, including the time and place of the incident. (50 N.Y.2d 326 (1980) (**22 Board Review**)).

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

### Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the first CCRB complaint to which § 87(2)(b) has been a party (**23 Board Review**).
- This is the first CCRB complaint in which PO Grayson is a subject officer (**26 Board Review**).

### Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- § 87(2)(b) elected not to mediate this complaint § 87(2)(b)
- [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]
- A notice of claim regarding this incident has not been filed.

---

Squad No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Investigator: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Squad Leader: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Print Title & Name Date