CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	U.S.
Emma Stydahar		Squad #13	202000832	☑ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct	: 18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Tuesday, 01/28/2020 12:30 PM		23rd Precinct stationhouse		23	7/28/2021	3/14/2022
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	Reported At: How CV Reported:		Date/Time Received at CCRB	
Fri, 01/31/2020 12:17 PM		CCRB	Phone	Fri, 01/	31/2020 12:17 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address				
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. DT3 Matthew Zito	04038	937792	023 DET			
Officer(s)	Allegation			Iı	nvestigator Recon	nmendation
A.DT3 Matthew Zito	Abuse: Detective Matthew Zito questioned § 87(2)(b)					
B.DT3 Matthew Zito	Abuse: Detective Matthew Zito searched § 87(2)(b) s recording device.					
C.DT3 Matthew Zito	Abuse: Detective Matthew Zito seized § 87(2)(b) s property.					
D.DT3 Matthew Zito	Abuse: Detective Matthew Zito threatened \$87(2)(b) with the arrest of \$87(2)(b)					
E.DT3 Matthew Zito	Abuse: Detective Matthew Zito questioned §87(2)(b)					
F.DT3 Matthew Zito	Abuse: Detective Matthew Zito threatened to arrest §87(2)(b)					

Case Summary
On January 31, 2020, \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) called the CCRB and filed this complaint. They each provided their cell phone numbers, a shared home phone number, and a shared mailing address. \$87(2)(b) provided his emailed address. \$87(2)(b) did not provide an email address. \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) scheduled interview appointments for February 5, 2020.
Between February 4, 2020 and February 28, 2020, \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) were called seven times at their home phone number. On February 4, 2020, they were called to confirm their interview appointment for the following day. \$87(2)(b) answered, said he was ill and that he would reschedule his interview appointment when he was feeling better. On February 7, 2020, \$27(2)(b) were unable to leave their apartment because they had the flu and that they would call to reschedule their interviews when they were feeling better. On February 12, 2020, \$87(2)(b) answered and said he needed to speak with \$87(2)(b) about when to reschedule the interview appointments and would call back that day. \$87(2)(b) did not call back. The remaining four calls went unanswered; three please call voicemail messages were left and one call was disconnected before a voicemail message could be left.
Between February 14, 2020 and February 28, 2020, \$87(2)(b) was called six times on his cell phone. On February 20, 2020, \$87(2)(b) answer and stated that he was sick, though he and were still interested in rescheduling their interview appointments when he felt better. The remaining five calls went unanswered and please call voicemail messages were left.
Between February 4, 2020 and February 28, 2020, was called six times on her cell phone. On February 4, 2020, the day before her scheduled interview appointment, savered and stated that she was ill and wanted to reschedule the appointment at a later time. The remaining five calls to savered and please call voicemail messages were left.
Between February 14, 2020 and February 28, 2020, three unreturned letters were mailed to at her confirmed mailing address. Between February 14, 2020 and February 28, 2020, three unreturned letters were mailed to \$87(2)(b) at his confirmed mailing address and three unreturned emails were sent to his confirmed email address.
A March 3, 2020 search of the NYC Department of Correction Inmate Lookup Service revealed that neither \$87(2)(6) are currently incarcerated.
§ 87(2)(g)
Squad No.:
Investigator: Signature Print Title & Name Date
Squad Leader:

CCRB Case # 202000832

Print Title & Name

Signature

Date

Reviewer:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date