

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: John Butler	Team: Squad #5	CCRB Case #: 201606777	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday, 07/29/2016 11:11 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 40	18 Mo. SOL 1/29/2018	EO SOL 1/29/2018	
Date/Time CV Reported Sat, 07/30/2016 4:35 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 08/05/2016 11:32 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Witness(es)	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. SGT Jonathan Hom	4803	940269	023 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Jonathan Perez	17154	951041	023 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.SGT Jonathan Hom	Force: Sergeant Jonathan Hom used a taser against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Case Summary

The victim in this case, § 87(2)(b) sustained a fractured left arm.

On July 30, 2016, Sgt. Jonathan Hom of the 23rd Precinct filed this complaint with IAB via phone on behalf of § 87(2)(b) generating log number 2016-26893. On August 5, 2016, the complaint was received at the CCRB via log number 2016-27444.

On August 9, 2016, a New York City Department of Correction search revealed that § 87(2)(b) was incarcerated. Between August 9, 2016, and August 12, 2016, four calls were placed to § 87(2)(b)'s attorney, § 87(2)(b), and voicemails were left in each case. On August 15, 2016, § 87(2)(b) contacted the CCRB via phone and stated that he wanted to speak with § 87(2)(b) before deciding whether to pursue § 87(2)(b)'s CCRB complaint. § 87(2)(b) stated that his preference was to wait until the conclusion of § 87(2)(b)'s criminal case to make § 87(2)(b) available for an interview, and § 87(2)(b) estimated that the case would last six to twelve months. § 87(2)(b) was informed that § 87(2)(b)'s case would be closed if § 87(2)(b) decided to wait until the conclusion of his criminal case, and § 87(2)(b) was informed that he could submit a request to reopen the case at a later date. § 87(2)(b) stated that he would contact the CCRB by August 19, 2016, with a final decision. On August 18, 2016, § 87(2)(b) attempted to contact the CCRB via phone and left a voicemail confirming that he wanted to wait until the conclusion of § 87(2)(b)'s criminal case before pursuing a CCRB investigation. During the voicemail, § 87(2)(b) stated that he understood that § 87(2)(b)'s case would be closed and that he could request to reopen the case at a later date.

§ 87(2)(g)

Squad:

Investigator:	_____	_____	_____
	Signature	Print	Date
Squad Leader:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date