

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
ONE HOGAN PLACE
New York, N. Y. 10013
(212) 335-9000**

OFFICER: RACHEL LUTZ
TAX NUMBER: 945026

DISCLOSURE ADVISORY

For the person named above, whom the People may call as a witness, please be advised as follows.

1. The New York City Police Department (NYPD) has deemed substantiated an allegation that on or about December 1, 2011, Rachel Lutz failed to appear for a Traffic Violations Bureau court appearance.
2. The New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) noted the following misconduct based on a report made on September 7, 2012: On September 1, 2012 at 1 a.m. between Nagle Avenue and Arden Street, Rachel Lutz, issued an individual a summons for playing loud music in his car, then vouchered the car. Rachel Lutz did not have any entries in her memo book related to this incident therefore it was recommended that they be cited for other misconduct.
3. The New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) noted the following misconduct: On September 8, 2012, Rachel Lutz issued a person summonses for unreasonable noise from a motor vehicle and parking in front of a fire hydrant near the intersection of Dyckman Street and Payson Avenue, in Manhattan. It was alleged that Rachel Lutz's searched the vehicle after the occupants were told to exit the vehicle. Rachel Lutz did not have any entries in her memo book nor did she prepare a Stop, Question, and Frisk Report to document this stop therefore it was recommended that she be cited for other misconduct.

With regard to this same incident, the NYPD has deemed substantiated an allegation that Rachel Lutz failed to make activity log entries regarding the incident.

4. Rachel Lutz is a named defendant in the civil action Sonia Gonzalez V. v. the City of New York, et al., 15CV00494 , filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, involving an incident that occurred on January 13, 2014.

Various publicly available websites and databases contain disciplinary information for certain law enforcement officers. Information in such databases about this officer is not necessarily included in this advisory.

Any information herein regarding civil lawsuits against an officer is not necessarily a complete list of civil lawsuits in which that officer is a defendant.

Allegations of misconduct that have not been substantiated and are not pending (including, but not limited to, findings of unsubstantiated, unfounded, and exonerated), and allegations of technical infractions, are not subject to disclosure and are not included in this advisory.

The decision to include information in this advisory does not represent a conclusion by the People that it is required to be disclosed.

The People reserve the right to oppose or move to limit the use of any information included herein or disclosed in the future.

If you have any questions, please contact the Assistant District Attorney assigned to the case on which you are receiving this advisory

Date: December 28, 2020