CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	▼ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Tiffany Miller		Team # 2	200600941	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Wednesday, 01/18/2006 11:3'	7 PM	§ 87(2)(b)		47	7/18/2007	7/18/2007
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	: Date/Time	e Received at CC	RB
Thu, 01/19/2006 12:49 AM		IAB	Phone	Mon, 01/2	23/2006 3:31 PM	[
Complainant/Victim	Type	Type Home Address				
Witness(es)		Home Addı	ress			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Robert Fortune	01938	925304	047 PCT			
2. SGT Donald Abrams	00085	921890	047 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegation	n		Inve	estigator Recor	nmendation
A.SGT Donald Abrams		Abuse: Sgt. Donald Abrams stopped and questioned \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b)				
B.POM Robert Fortune	Force: PO	Force: PO Robert Fortune used physical force against \$87(2)(b)				

Synopsis

	26, Sgt. Abrams accompanied by PO Robert Fortune (7(2)(e), § 87(2)(f) 2 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) three males if they had seen § 87(2)(b) pocket very quickly. PO Fortune grabbed § 87(2)(b) nd. § 87(2)(b) claimed injury to his back, hip and
attempted to contact \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)} \text{but it was the wro to \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)} \text{urging him to contact the CCRB. Or provided an account of the incident, we see that the second of the incident, we see that the contact the CCRB. On February provided an account of the incident, we see that the second of the incident of the contact \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ as scheduled nor did they call to cancel or reschedule. and \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ are the was no answer and to contact \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)}\$ and March 13, 2006, the investigator attempted to contact he was not home and a message was left with her asking a letter was mailed to \$\frac{87(2)}{87(2)}\$ asking him to contact the second of the contact \$\frac{87(2)}{87(2)}\$ was returned to the CCRB stamped "return to investigator contacted \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)}\$ on his cell phone. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ statement he was 2006, the investigator attempted to contact \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ on the same date a letter was CCRB. On April 28, 2006, a final letter was mailed to \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ on the same date a letter was contact \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ and \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ on the same date a letter was contact \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ on the same date a letter was contact \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ and \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ on the same date a letter was contact \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{87(2)(b)}\$ and \$87(2)(b	In g number. On January 31, 2006, a letter was mailed in February 10, 2006, a final letter was mailed to 23, 2006, the investigator contacted 37(2)(b) which was consistent with the statement provided by ary 27, 2006 and stated that he would also inform his failed to appear at the CCRB On March 3, 2006, a letter was mailed to 37(2)(b) ment. On March 6, 2006, the investigator attempted a message was left on the answering machine. On order to contact 387(2)(b) and there was no are available and then disconnected the call. On 387(2)(b) but a female at the residence stated that the CCRB. On the same date the CCRB. On March 27, 2006, the letter mailed to to sender, unable to forward." On April 14, the 387(2) stated that he was not present when the as not listed as a witness or a victim. On April 14, but he was not home and a message was as mailed to 387(2)(b) asking him to contact the CCRB.
Investigator:	Date:
Supervisor:	Date:
Reviewed by:	Date:
Reviewed by:	Date: