

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Andrew Ridge	Team: Squad #8	CCRB Case #: 201709882	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Tuesday, 11/21/2017 11:57 AM	Location of Incident: front of 502 East 189th Street	Precinct: 48	18 Mo. SOL 5/21/2019	EO SOL 5/21/2019	
Date/Time CV Reported Wed, 11/22/2017 4:20 PM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 11/29/2017 10:47 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Andres Zambrano	13633	952365	048 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Justin Hoff	24506	953969	048 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Andres Zambrano	Force: Police Officer Andres Zambrano used a chokehold against § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM Andres Zambrano	Force: Police Officer Andres Zambrano used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	

### Case Summary

Between December 8<sup>th</sup>, 2017, and January 4<sup>th</sup>, 2018, Inv. Ridge placed ten phone calls to § 87(2)(b). On December 8<sup>th</sup>, 2017, Inv. Ridge reached § 87(2)(b) who requested Inv. Ridge call her later that day. Later on the same day, Inv. Ridge placed a call to § 87(2)(b) and reached her. Inv. Ridge confirmed § 87(2)(b)'s contact information, and § 87(2)(b) stated that she did not use any other phone numbers. § 87(2)(b) provided Inv. Ridge with an initial phone statement and scheduled an interview at the CCRB for December 19<sup>th</sup>, 2017. Later on December 8<sup>th</sup>, 2017, Inv. Ridge called § 87(2)(b) to advise her that she may wish to speak to her lawyer before her interview. § 87(2)(b) provided Inv. Ridge with the name of the only lawyer she had via text message and requested Inv. Ridge find contact information for her and speak with her about § 87(2)(b) providing a statement to the CCRB. Inv. Ridge called § 87(2)(b) once more on December 8<sup>th</sup>, 2017, to discuss mediation. § 87(2)(b) opted to keep her appointment for December 19<sup>th</sup>, 2017. On December 19<sup>th</sup>, 2017, before § 87(2)(b)'s interview time, Inv. Ridge placed a call to § 87(2)(b) in order to inform her that her lawyer had spoken with Inv. Ridge and informed him that she could not advise § 87(2)(b) in regards to providing a statement to the CCRB because she only represented her in a limited capacity. § 87(2)(b) acknowledged this and stated that she would still be able to appear for her interview. § 87(2)(b) did not appear for her interview on December 19<sup>th</sup>, 2017, and did not contact Inv. Ridge to inform him of this. On December 21<sup>st</sup>, 2017, Inv. Ridge placed a call to § 87(2)(b). Inv. Ridge reached an unpersonalized voicemail, and left a message requesting a call back. The remaining calls to § 87(2)(b) yielded the same results as the call made to her on December 21<sup>st</sup>, 2017.

Between December 8<sup>th</sup>, 2017, and December 27<sup>th</sup>, 2018, Inv. Ridge sent two text messages to § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) responded to the first text message with information for her lawyer. The second text message was not returned as undeliverable.

Between December 4<sup>th</sup>, 2017, and January 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2018, Inv. Ridge sent four letters to § 87(2)(b) at an address that he obtained from an OCA search. During her phone statement on December 8<sup>th</sup>, 2017, § 87(2)(b) confirmed this address as her mailing address. None of these letters were returned by the USPS.

A NYC Department of Correction Search performed for § 87(2)(b) on January 19<sup>th</sup>, 2018, revealed that § 87(2)(b) was not incarcerated.

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

---

Squad No.: 8

Investigator: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Squad Leader: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Print Title & Name Date

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Print Title & Name Date