

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Alfredo Gonzalez (I)	Team: Intake	CCRB Case #: 201410441	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Wednesday, 09/24/2014 6:31 AM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 114	18 Mo. SOL 3/24/2016	EO SOL 3/24/2016	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 10/10/2014 12:16 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: On-line website	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 10/10/2014 12:16 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Witness(es)	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. Officers			
2. DTS Raymond Hill	04395	932791	PSA 9
3. POM Juan Torres	13539	946335	PSA 9
4. An officer			

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.DTS Raymond Hill	Abuse: Det. Raymond Hill entered § 87(2)(b) in Queens.	§ 87(2)(b)
B.POM Juan Torres	Abuse: PO Juan Torres entered § 87(2)(b) in Queens.	§ 87(2)(b)
C. Officers	Abuse: Officers entered § 87(2)(b) in Queens.	§ 87(2)(b)
D. Officers	Force: Officers pointed their guns at § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
E.DTS Raymond Hill	Abuse: Det. Raymond Hill refused to show a search warrant to § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
F. An officer	Abuse: An officer threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.	§ 87(2)(b)

Case Summary

On October 10, 2014, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via the on-line website. On October 15, 2014, § 87(2)(b) was contacted and scheduled for an interview for October 22, 2014. § 87(2)(b) missed his scheduled appointment and did not call before to cancel or reschedule. On October 23, 2014, a missed appointment letter was mailed to § 87(2)(b) and he was also contacted and rescheduled for October 29, 2014. § 87(2)(b) missed his second appointment and did not call before to cancel or reschedule. To date, the missed appointment letter has not been returned by the U.S. Postal Service.

On November 3, 2014, § 87(2)(b) was contacted and scheduled for an interview for November 10, 2014. § 87(2)(b) missed his scheduled appointment and did not call before to cancel or reschedule. On November 12, 2014, a missed appointment letter was mailed to § 87(2)(b). On November 12, 2014, and November 24, 2014, § 87(2)(b) was called and voicemails were left on each occasion. To date, the missed appointment letter has not been returned by the U.S. Postal Service.

On November 13, 2014, § 87(2)(b) was contacted and scheduled for an interview for November 18, 2014. § 87(2)(b) missed her scheduled appointment and did not call before to cancel or reschedule. On November 18, 2014, a missed appointment letter was mailed to § 87(2)(b). On November 19, 2014, and November 21, 2014, § 87(2)(b) was called and messages were left on each occasion. To date, the missed appointment letter has not been returned by the U.S. Postal Service.

On October 23, 2014, § 87(2)(b) a second witness in the complaint, was contacted, but he stated he did not have time to speak and would call back at a later time. On November 13, 2014, a please call letter was sent to § 87(2)(b). On November 14, 2014, § 87(2)(b) was contacted, but he stated he was unable to talk and would call back at a later time. To date, the please call letter has not been returned by the U.S. Postal Service. On November 24, 2014, a New York City Department of Correction Inmate Look-up Service determined that § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were not incarcerated. § 87(2)(g)

Team: _____

Investigator: _____
Signature _____ Print _____ Date _____

Supervisor: _____
Title/Signature _____ Print _____ Date _____

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature _____ Print _____ Date _____

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date