

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
ONE HOGAN PLACE
New York, N. Y. 10013
(212) 335-9000**

**OFFICER: MICHAEL MORENO
TAX NUMBER: 927230**

DISCLOSURE ADVISORY

For the person named above, whom the People may call as a witness, please be advised as follows.

1. The New York City Police Department has deemed substantiated allegations that on or about June 26, 2004, MICHAEL MORENO (1) did an incomplete/improper investigation, (2) failed to take police action, (3) failed to take/make a report, and (4) violated other department rules/procedure. The complainant reported that she confronted an unidentified male when his unleashed dogs charged at her while she was walking her own dogs. They engaged in a verbal dispute and the complainant called 911. The unidentified male then grabbed the complainant by the hair and assaulted her, stated, "If I see you and your dog again, I will kill both of you. You Mexicans shouldn't be around here. The complainant bit the unidentified male. After waiting 30 minutes for the police to arrive, the complainant called 911 again. The operator instructed the complainant to meet the police at a nearby location. The complainant spoke to MICHAEL MORENO and his partner, and identified herself as a member of NYPD. The complainant asked MICHAEL MORENO and his partner if she could use one of their cellphones so she could call her husband and ask him to bring her police identification to the scene. MICHAEL MORENO and his partner let the unidentified male walk away. The partner told the complainant, "Either you take the C-Summons or you will be arrested." The complainant was then issued a C-Summons for disorderly conduct.
2. The New York City Police Department has deemed substantiated an allegation(s) that, on or about January 11, 2005, MICHAEL MORENO, while on duty and assigned to the 46th Precinct was sleeping in a police van while on duty.
3. The New York City Police Department has deemed substantiated that on or about September 18, 2011, MICHAEL MORENO failed to notify the Command Operations Unit about an off-duty incident.
4. The New York City Police Department has deemed substantiated that on or about September 20, 2011, MICHAEL MORENO failed to notify IAB about a domestic verbal dispute.
5. The New York City Police Department has deemed substantiated an allegation that on or about July 12, 2012, MICHAEL MORENO failed to properly voucher a defendant's property through the PETS system, although the property was returned to the defendant later on.
6. The New York City Police Department has deemed substantiated upon his plea(s) of guilty, Charges and Specifications that, on or about September 4, 2017, while off-duty, in Sullivan

County, MICHAEL MORENO i) wrongfully operated a motor vehicle while under the influence of an intoxicant, ii) wrongfully operated a motor vehicle while his ability was impaired by the consumption of alcohol, iii) was unfit for duty, iv)& v) was unfit for duty while armed, and vi) was in possession of an unauthorized firearm and unauthorized rounds of ammunition. These Charges & Specifications arose from MICHAEL MORENO'S arrest for Driving While Intoxicated in Sullivan County. At 0045 hours on September 4, 2017, New York State Police Officers were summoned to the scene of an overturned motor vehicle with no injuries. State Police first on the scene state that MICHAEL MORENO, the operator, was intoxicated. MICHAEL MORENO refused any testing and medical attention. MICHAEL MORENO's driver's license was suspended as of May 29, 2019 upon a conviction for Driving While Ability Impaired by Alcohol.

7. MICHAEL MORENO is a named defendant in the civil action, CESAR HERNANDEZ V. THE CITY OF NEW YORK ET AL., 306849/12, filed in the New York State Supreme Court, Bronx County arising from an incident that occurred on February 23, 2011.

Various publicly available websites and databases contain disciplinary information for certain law enforcement officers. Information in such databases about this officer is not necessarily included in this advisory.

Any information herein regarding civil lawsuits against an officer is not necessarily a complete list of civil lawsuits in which that officer is a defendant.

Allegations of misconduct that have not been substantiated and are not pending (including, but not limited to, findings of unsubstantiated, unfounded, and exonerated), and allegations of technical infractions, are not subject to disclosure and are not included in this advisory.

The decision to include information in this advisory does not represent a conclusion by the People that it is required to be disclosed.

The People reserve the right to oppose or move to limit the use of any information included herein or disclosed in the future.

If you have any questions, please contact the Assistant District Attorney assigned to the case on which you are receiving this advisory.

Date: October 27, 2020