# CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Patrick Yu		Squad #8	202003666	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Tuesday, 02/11/2020 10:45 AM		front of § 87(2)(b)		47	8/11/2021	3/28/2022
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCF	RB
Wed, 05/13/2020 12:00 AM		CCRB	Mail	Thu, 05/28	/2020 10:02 AM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	SS			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. DT3 Robert Regnier	02946	927399	047 DET			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	stigator Recon	nmendation
A.DT3 Robert Regnier	Abuse: D § 87(2)(b)	Abuse: Detective Robert Regnier threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)				

# Case Summary On March 13, 2020, \$87(2)(6) filed this complaint with CCRB via mail. The CCRB

On February 11, 2020, at approximately 10:45 a.m., Det. Robert Regnier of the 47<sup>th</sup> Precinct Detective Squad went to \$87(2)(b) to deliver documents to \$87(2)(b) During the interaction, Det. Regnier allegedly threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b) (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(c) Det. Regnier left after delivering the documents. \$87(2)(b) was not arrested or issued a summons.

#### **Findings and Recommendations**

## Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Detective Robert Regnier threatened to arrest [887(2)(b)] stated that on an unknown date prior to February 11, In his CCRB interview, § 87(2)(b) 2020, he went to the 47<sup>th</sup> Precinct to file a criminal complaint about an assault (Board Review 01). requested that the complaint goes to Det. Regnier, but he was not available. On February 10, 2020, § 87(2)(b) called the 47<sup>th</sup> Precinct to follow up on the complaint and spoke with Det. Regnier. § 87(2)(b) made an arrangement with Det. Regnier to drop off a s residence on February 11, 2020. On February 11, 2020, at copy of the report at § 87(2)(b) s residence, Det. Regnier asked \$87(2)(b) if he wanted the original or a stated that Det. Regnier then told him that if he continued copy of his complaint. § 87(2)(b) to call and harass the officers at the 47th precinct, including Officer Bell, then he would be arrested denied knowing an Officer Bell. § 87(2)(b) for harassment. § 87(2)(b) inside his home. In his CCRB statement, Det. Regnier stated that he was familiar with \$87(2)(b) because he was involved in a previous case of his (Board Review 02). Det. Regnier stated that \$87(2)(b) had called Det. Regnier and his command numerous times prior to the date of incident about obtaining documents, but he did not recall how many times § 87(2)(b) called. Det. Regnier stated that he did not recall the context of the previous phone calls, but in the past \$87(2)(b) was "almost ranting" on the phone. Det. Regnier did not recall the conversation with on February 11, 2020. Det. Regnier stated that he did not recall if he told that if he continued to call and harass the officers at the 47<sup>th</sup> Precinct, then he would be arrested for harassment. Det. Regnier was aware that \$87(2)(b) made harassing phone calls to the Detective Squad so it was "possible" that he spoke to §87(2)(b) about this, but he did not recall. Det. Regnier stated that he was also aware that PO Bell filed a criminal complaint against for aggravated harassment. PO David Belle of th 47<sup>th</sup> Precinct filed a criminal complaint against § 87(2)(b) aggravated harassment on 887(2)(b) (Board Review 05). A person is guilty of aggravated harassment in the second degree when they have intent to harass or threaten another person over the phone with no purpose of legitimate communication, NY Penal Law §240.30 (Board Review 04).

**CCRB Case # 202003666** 

## **Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories**

- This is the first CCRB complaint to which \$87(2)(b) has been a party.
- Det. Regnier has been a member of service for 21 years and has been a subject in nine CCRB complaints and 20 allegations, none of which were substantiated.

#### Mediation, Civil, and Criminal Histories

- On September 3, 2020, this case was sent to mediation and on December 9, 2021, the case was returned to investigation as the complaint was no longer suitable for mediation.
- A Notice of Claim query was sent on January 28, 2022. To date, the results are pending.
- According to the Office of Court Administration (OCA), §87(2)(b) has no history of convictions in New York City (Board Review 03).

Squad:	CCS		
Investigator:	Patrick Yu Signature	IM Patrick Yu Print Title & Name	01/28/2022 Date
Squad Leader:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date