

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Alexander Schiavo	Team: Team # 4	CCRB Case #: 201303548	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Tuesday, 04/23/2013 5:20 AM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 66	18 Mo. SOL 10/23/2014	EO SOL 10/23/2014	
Date/Time CV Reported Tue, 04/23/2013 5:15 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 04/29/2013 10:33 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Colin Miskowitz	05661	934003	066 PCT
2. SGT Raymond Kwong	03627	932870	066 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.SGT Raymond Kwong	Force: Sgt. Raymond Kwong used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
B.POM Colin Miskowitz	Force: PO Colin Miskowitz used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Case Summary

On April 23, 2013, Sgt. Jianping Zeng of the 66th Precinct called the IAB Command Center to report an injured prisoner. This generated log #2013-17707, which was received by the CCRB on April 29, 2013. On April 23, 2013, at § 87(2)(b) PO Colin Miskowitz and Sgt. Raymond Kwong of the 66th Precinct arrested § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b). Sgt. Zeng reported that during the arrest, § 87(2)(b) resisted and the officers pushed her onto a wall and then tackled her to the ground before handcuffing her (Allegations A and B). She was transported to § 87(2)(b) and diagnosed with a broken left humerus bone. On May 20, 2013, § 87(2)(b) provided a brief phone statement to the CCRB. She stated that she was intoxicated and was fighting back against a male who had just choked her. When the police responded, they grabbed her to stop her from attacking the male. As they grabbed § 87(2)(b) her left humerus broke.

The IAB log identified Sgt. Raymond Kwong and PO Colin Miskowitz of the 66th Precinct as the officers who used physical force against § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(g).

Between May 1, 2013, and May 28, 2013, the CCRB had five points of contact with § 87(2)(b) via telephone, and sent her three letters and one email. The letters were not returned by the US Postal Service. On May 20, 2013, § 87(2)(b) scheduled an interview for May 24, 2013. On May 24, 2013, § 87(2)(b) did not appear and did not call to cancel or reschedule. That same date, the CCRB sent her a missed appointment letter via US Postal Service and email. On May 24, 2013, and May 28, 2013, the CCRB left voicemails for § 87(2)(b) asking her if she wanted to reschedule her interview. To date, § 87(2)(b) has not called to reschedule her interview. On July 9, 2013, a search of the Department of Correction website revealed that § 87(2)(b) is not incarcerated. § 87(2)(g)

Team: _____

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Date

Supervisor: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date