

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
ONE HOGAN PLACE
New York, N. Y. 10013
(212) 335-9000**

**OFFICER: ANTHONY CASSASE
TAX NUMBER: 952 553**

DISCLOSURE ADVISORY

For the person named above, whom the People may call as a witness, please be advised as follows.

1. As of December 17, 2019, the New York City Police Department (NYPD) has pending an allegation that on October 2, 2019, ANTHONY CASSASE prepared an inaccurate or incomplete property clerk invoice for currency and a resultant discrepancy between the invoice and a “bank drop.”
2. The New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) has deemed substantiated an allegation that on March 15, 2017 ANTHONY CASSASE abused his power by performing an unlawful vehicle search as well as an unlawful frisk and search of the driver. ANTHONY CASSASE along with two other officers and a sergeant, was involved in a car stop in the vicinity of East 168th Street and the Grand Concourse. According to the complainant, Officer Cassase asked the driver to exit the vehicle, Officer Cassase grabbed the car keys from the ignition and pressed a button to open the trunk. While another officer searched the driver’s vehicle, Officer Cassase frisked and searched the driver’s person. ANTHONY CASSASE and the other three officers all had memobook entries that indicated that they had stopped the car because it had obstructed visibility and excessive tints and had only issued a warning. When interviewed by the CCRB, however, all four claimed to have no independent recollection of the event. Because the officers did not directly refute the allegations, CCRB deemed them to be substantiated. The New York City Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) also noted the following misconduct: Officer Cassase failed to draw up a stop and frisk report related to an incident that occurred on March 15, 2017 when he was required to do so..
3. ANTHONY CASSASE testified at a suppression hearing before the Honorable Paul A. Crotty in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York in the case of *UNITED STATES V. ROLAND JARVIS*, 18 CR 475. After the hearing, Judge Crotty granted the motion to suppress, and on April 30, 2019 issued the attached written decision.

Various publicly available websites and databases contain disciplinary information for certain law enforcement officers. Information in such databases about this officer is not necessarily included in this advisory.

Any information herein regarding civil lawsuits against an officer is not necessarily a complete list of civil lawsuits in which that officer is a defendant.

Allegations of misconduct that have not been substantiated and are not pending (including, but not limited to, findings of unsubstantiated, unfounded, and exonerated), and allegations of technical infractions, are not subject to disclosure and are not included in this advisory.

The decision to include information in this advisory does not represent a conclusion by the People that it is required to be disclosed.

The People reserve the right to oppose or move to limit the use of any information included herein or disclosed in the future.

If you have any questions, please contact the Assistant District Attorney assigned to the case on which you are receiving this advisory.

Date: November 1, 2020