CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	☐ Discourt. ☐ U.S.		
Shevani Patel		Squad #3	201508316	☐ Abuse	O.L.	✓ Injury	
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL	
Friday, 09/25/2015 2:20 AM		Bushwick Avenue and Weirfield Street		83	3/25/2017	3/25/2017	
Date/Time CV Reported	CV Reported At:		How CV Reported:	: Date/Time	Date/Time Received at CCRB		
Fri, 09/25/2015 4:25 AM		IAB	Phone	Thu, 10/01/2015 2:04 PM			
Complainant/Victim	Type	e Home Address					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command				
1. POM Jonathan Taveras	30195	953469	073 PCT				
2. POM Brian Ramirez	22014	946143	073 PCT				
3. An officer			073 PCT				
Officer(s)	Allegation			Inve	Investigator Recommendation		
A. An officer	Force: An officer struck § 87(2)(b) with a vehicle.			icle.			
B.POM Brian Ramirez	Force: PO Brian Ramirez used physical force against §87(2)(b)						
C.POM Jonathan Taveras	Force: PO Jonathan Taveras used physical force against						

Case Summary	
On September 25, 2015, Sgt. Matthew Cahill of the 73 rd Precinct filed this complaint with IAB	
over the phone on behalf of \$87(2)(b) IAB generated log number 2015-29026 and referred the case to the CCRB on October 1, 2015. \$87(2)(9)	
·	
The IAB log contained no contact information for \$87(2)(b) The arrest report listed only his address. A search of CTS found a different complaint filed by \$87(2)(b) in 2014 (\$87(2)(b)	
His address from the prior case matched the one on the arrest report. The prior case also revealed	d
phone numbers. On October 2, 2015 and October 9, 2015, letters were sent to \$87(2)(b)	
These letters were not returned by the United States Postal Service. On October 2, 2015,	
was called on his cell phone (found in the prior case). He answered and confirmed his contact information. §87(2)(b) requested to call back at a later time as he had just woken up.	
He provided the undersigned with a different phone number which he preferred to be contacted	
by. From October 5, 2015 to October 20, 2015, this number was called five times. Voicemails	
were left each time, aside from the fourth call in which a man answered. When asked to speak with \$87(2)(b) the man passed the phone to a woman. She told the undersigned that it was the	he
wrong number. On October 13, 2015 and October 15, 2015, \$87(2)(b) was called on the cell	
number where he was originally reached. During the first call, a man who identified himself as	
s cousin said that \$87(2)(b) was not with him. He agreed to pass on a message to \$87(2)(b) During the second call, a man answered and said that \$87(2)(b) was not	
there. When asked if he could take a message, he said something incomprehensible and hung up	į
the phone. On October 15, 2015, a search of the NYC Department of Correction website did not	
indicate that § 87(2)(b) was incarcerated.	
On October 2, 2015, a search of Google Street View revealed the Church of God of Prophecy on	1
the corner of the incident location. On October 2, 2015 and October 7, 2015, messages were left	
with the Church of God of Prophecy. On October 9, 2015, \$87(2)(b) called. He said the	
there are no exterior facing cameras. No officers from the 83 rd Precinct wear body worn cameras	3.
§ 87(2)(g)	
	٠
Pod:	
Investigator:	
Signature Print Date	
Pod Leader:	
Title/Signature Print Date	
A 44 a 22	
Attorney:	