

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Noah Kim	Team: Squad #9	CCRB Case #: 202003170	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Saturday, 05/02/2020 4:00 PM	Location of Incident: 350 East 143rd Street	Precinct: 40	18 Mo. SOL 11/2/2021	EO SOL 5/4/2022	
Date/Time CV Reported Sat, 05/02/2020 11:55 PM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 05/11/2020 11:34 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. SGT Natalie Bautista	01127	926408	PSA 7
2. LT Eric Dym	00000	933762	PSA 7
3. Officers			

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. LT Anselmo Ioele	00000	938869	PSA 7
2. POM Kelvis Rodriguez	17696	964252	PSA 7
3. POM Carlos Gomez	14919	955954	PSA 7
4. POM James Weck	10752	963803	PSA 7

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.SGT Natalie Bautista	Force: Sergeant Natalie Bautista used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
B.SGT Natalie Bautista	Force: Sergeant Natalie Bautista struck § 87(2)(b) with a radio.	
C.SGT Natalie Bautista	Discourtesy: Sergeant Natalie Bautista spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	
D.LT Eric Dym	Force: Lieutenant Eric Dym used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
E. Officers	Force: Officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	

### Case Summary

On May 2, 2020, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with IAB via phone. On May 11, 2020, the CCRB received this complaint via IAB log 2020-11567. This complaint was originally assigned to Investigator Leilani Rhodes but was reassigned to Investigator Noah Kim on August 31, 2020.

On May 11, 2020, a BADS search provided an arrest report for § 87(2)(b) related to the incident of this complaint. On June 11, 2020, an OCA search yielded negative results for the arrest report number of § 87(2)(b). On the same day, an E-Courts search yielded negative results for § 87(2)(b).

On May 28, 2020, a phone call was made to § 87(2)(b) at the phone number provided by IAB. § 87(2)(b) stated that she was going to file a lawsuit regarding this incident and requested to speak to her attorney before providing a statement with the CCRB. On July 8, 2020, a phone call was made to § 87(2)(b) in which she stated that she had a court date on August 30, 2020, and her attorney § 87(2)(b) would be representing her. § 87(2)(b) provided the phone number of her attorney.

On July 28, 2020, a phone call was made to § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) stated that she had not been able to speak to § 87(2)(b) regarding her court case, but that § 87(2)(b) could provide a statement to the CCRB.

On August 3, 2020, a phone call was made to § 87(2)(b) in which she was scheduled for a phone interview with the CCRB for August 4, 2020 at 4:00 p.m. On August 4, 2020, three phone calls were made to § 87(2)(b) at 4:00 p.m., 4:04 p.m., and 5:05 p.m. § 87(2)(b) did not answer any of these calls and her voicemail box was full on each occasion.

On September 1, 2020, a phone call was made to § 87(2)(b) in which she was scheduled for a phone interview with the CCRB for September 2, 2020. On September 2, 2020, a phone call was made to § 87(2)(b) to conduct a phone interview. During her interview, § 87(2)(b) spoke about a prior incident she had with police officers. When asked about this prior incident, § 87(2)(b) became upset that the questions were not about her initial complaint and disconnected the call. The same day, a call was made to § 87(2)(b) in which § 87(2)(b) agreed to continue the interview. However, § 87(2)(b) repeatedly strayed from the incident and when the undersigned attempted to ask questions about the incident of this complaint, she became angry and disconnected the call. The same day, a call was made to § 87(2)(b) but there was no answer and no option to leave a voicemail as the voicemail box was full. On September 9, 2020, a call was made to § 87(2)(b) in which § 87(2)(b) stated that she did not want to discuss the complaint anymore. § 87(2)(b) disconnected the call before the undersigned could respond.

§ 87(2)(b) stated that she did not want to pursue her complaint and to date, has not contacted the CCRB. § 87(2)(g)

Squad No.: 9

Investigator: Noah Kim  
Signature

Investigator Kim  
Print Title & Name

9/29/2020  
Date

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature                      Print Title & Name                      Date