CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	Ιп	Force	П	Discourt.	П	U.S.
Daniel Cooper		Squad #7	201603976	_	Abuse	П	O.L.	_	Injury
		•							J J
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		F	Precinct:	18	Mo. SOL	E	O SOL
Friday, 04/01/2016 11:35 PM, Sa 05/07/2016	turday,				75	10	0/1/2017	10	/1/2017
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	•	Date/Time	Rece	eived at CCl	RB	
Sat, 05/07/2016 11:13 AM		CCRB	Call Processing System		Sat, 05/07/	2016	11:13 AM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ess						
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command						
1. POM James Seder	06788	947479	075 PCT						
2. POM Matthew Solomito	25805	951284	075 PCT						
3. POM Christopher Walsh	23571	951413	075 PCT						
4. SGT Henry Daverin	03631	945645	075 PCT						
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	No Tax No	Cmd Name						
1. POM Tony Cuoco	17359	950261	075 PCT						
Officer(s)	Allegati	on			Inve	stiga	tor Recon	nmer	dation
A.SGT Henry Daverin	Street, in	On April 1, 2016, at Lind In Brooklyn, Sergeant He his shield number to \$870	nry Daverin refused	lolly to	7				
B.POM James Seder		On April 1, 2016, at the 3, Police Officer James S s cell phone.			se in				
C.SGT Henry Daverin	Abuse: (Brooklyi	On May 7, 2016, in front n, Sergeant Henry Daver	of § 87(2)(b) rin stopped individua	als.	in				
D.POM Christopher Walsh		On May 7, 2016, in front n, Police Officer Christo al.		d an	in				
E.POM Matthew Solomito	Abuse: (Brookly) individua	On May 7, 2016, in front n, Police Officer Matthe al.	of \$87(2)(b) w Solomito searched	l an	in				
F.SGT Henry Daverin	Abuse: (Brookly)	On May 7, 2016, in front n, Sergeant Henry Daver	of § 87(2)(b) rin stopped § 87(2)(b)		in				
G.SGT Henry Daverin	Abuse: (Brookly)	On May 7, 2016, in front n, Sergeant Henry Daver	of § ^{87(2)(b)} rin frisked § ^{87(2)(b)}		in				
H.SGT Henry Daverin	Abuse: (Brookly)	On May 7, 2016, in front n, Sergeant Henry Daver	of \$87(2)(b) rin searched \$87(2)(b)		in				

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
I.SGT Henry Daverin	Abuse: On May 7, 2016, in front of S87(2)(b) is Brooklyn, Sergeant Henry Daverin refused to provide his	n
	name and shield number to § 87(2)(b)	
§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)		
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)		

Case Summary

On April 1, 2016, at approximately 11:35 p.m., near the intersection of Linden Boulevard and Holly
Street, in Brooklyn, \$87(2)(b) was speaking with his girlfriend on the phone when he
noticed that Sgt. Henry Daverin, PO James Seder, and PO Tony Cuoco from the 75 th Precinct Anti-
Crime Unit exited an unmarked vehicle. Sgt. Daverin, PO Seder and PO Cuoco began speaking to an
individual, or a group of individuals, about an investigation. §87(2)(b) approached the officers
and asked, "What is going on?" Sgt. Daverin asked § 87(2)(b) to walk away, which he did. As §
walked away, he requested Sgt. Daverin's shield number. Sgt. Daverin allegedly did not
provide the requested information (Allegation A). § 87(2)(b) was then arrested for obstruction of
governmental administration (OGA) and transported to the 75 th Precinct stationhouse. While at the
stationhouse, PO Seder requested the passcode to \$87(2)(b) s cell phone, which \$87(2)(b)
then provided to him. §87(2)(b) watched as PO Seder allegedly searched §87(2)(b) seed seed to public them.
phone (Allegation B). §87(2)(b) was eventually released from the stationhouse with a summons
for disorderly conduct.
On May 7, 2016, at approximately 9:27 p.m., while § 87(2)(b) sat in front of his home at §
in Brooklyn, he witnessed Sgt. Daverin, PO Matthew Solomito and PO Christophe
Walsh from the 75 th Precinct Anti-Crime Unit exit an unmarked vehicle. Sgt. Daverin exited the
vehicle and approached two individuals (Allegation C). PO Solomito and PO Walsh then allegedly
searched the individuals (Allegation D and Allegation E). While the officers searched the
individuals, Sgt. Daverin stopped §87(2)(b) (Allegation F). Sgt. Daverin then allegedly frisked
and searched § 87(2)(b) (Allegation G and Allegation H). After the search, § 87(2)(b) asked
Sgt. Daverin for his name and shield number and he allegedly did not provide the requested
information (Allegation I). There were no summonses written or arrests made as a result of this
incident.

There is no video evidence for this case. The case surpassed the 90-day benchmark. A delay in the investigation occurred awaiting police documentation to confirm the officers involved.

Mediation, Civil, and Criminal Histories

- §87(2)(b) declined mediation.
- As of June 24, 2016, \$87(2)(b) has not filed a Notice of Claim (Board Review 1).
- According to the Office of Court Administration (OCA) records, there are no past criminal convictions for [887(2)(b)] (Board Review 2).

Civilian and Officer Histories

- §87(2)(b) filed one additional complaint, CCRB case number 201604407 in which the victims were unidentified regarding a stop and a frisk allegation (Board Review 3).
- Sgt. Daverin has been a member of the service for eight years and has been the subject of 37 allegations stemming from 17 cases. In case number 201502623, the Board substantiated refusal to provide name and shield number, a frisk, and vehicle search allegations. The Board recommended command discipline, and to date, the NYPD has not instituted any disciplinary action.

 S87(2)(9)

 Sgt.

 Daverin has three open cases that are pending the Board's recommendation.

 S87(2)(9)
- PO Seder has been a member of the service for eight years and has been the subject of nine allegations stemming from four cases, none of which have been substantiated or \$87(2)(g)

Page 2

- Upon the closure of this report, PO Seder has one open case pending the Board's recommendation.
- PO Walsh has been a member of the service for five years and currently has three additional open cases in which he is the subject of ten allegations, § 87(2)(9)
- PO Solomito has been a member of the service for five years and has been the subject of two allegations stemming from two cases. One of the cases is currently open pending the Board's recommendation and the other allegation was unsubstantiated and \$87(2)(g)

Potential Issues

stated that he did not know the two male individuals who the officers stopped and searched in front of § 87(2)(b) on May 7, 2016. § 87(2)(b) was only able to provide that the two men were possibly Hispanic, one of whom lived in an apartment next door at A Clear and Lexis Nexis search conducted for the address yielded over 90 names associated with the address at \$87(2)(b)

The numbers provided for were all wrong numbers. Three letters were sent to \$87(2)(b) and they were not returned by the United States Postal Service. On May 11, 2016 and June 7, 2016, field work was conducted but was unsuccessful in locating any witnesses to the incident or obtaining any video footage of the incident. On June 7, 2016, a free content letter was also posted at the location. However, to date, no contact has been established with the victims or with any additional witnesses to the incident (Board Review 36). According to the Event Summary for May 7, 2016, there were no events generated at the time of the incident or within close proximity to the incident location (Board Review 4). A search of BADS did not yield any arrests made by the 75th Precinct Anti-Crime team, or any arrests that happened near the time and place of the incident. Warrant checks conducted for the date, time and location were also negative (Board Review 5, 8 and 37). The stop, question and frisk index only yielded one report, which was eight blocks away from the location of the incident and it did not correspond to the details of this case (Board Review 6 and 11).

Based on the lack of documentation, that field work yielded no leads regarding the identity of the individuals involved, and attempts to contact the individuals were unsuccessful, **Allegations C**, **D** and **E** have been pled against "an individual" and "individuals" respectively.

Findings and Recommendations

Explanation of Subject Officer Identification

It is undisputed, based on \$87(2)(b) s statement and Sgt. Daverin's acknowledgement, that Sgt. Daverin interacted with \$87(2)(b) on both April 1, 2016 and May 7, 2016. Therefore, **Allegations A, C, F, G, H and I** have been pled against Sgt. Daverin. PO Seder, who was working with Sgt. Daverin on April 1, 2016, also acknowledged his interaction with \$87(2)(b) Both PO Solomito and PO Walsh were identified as working with Sgt. Daverin on May 7, 2016, via police documentation. \$87(2)(b) stated that the officers working with Sgt. Daverin searched the two individuals, which he accurately described as white males who were working with Sgt. Daverin. Therefore, **Allegation E** and **Allegation F** have been pled against each officer accordingly.

Page 3

Allegation A- Abuse of Authority- On April 1, 2016, at Linden Boulevard and Holly Street, in
Brooklyn, Sergeant Henry Daverin refused to provide his shield number to \$87(2)(b)
Allegation B- Abuse of Authority- On April 1, 2016, at the 75th Precinct stationhouse in
Brooklyn, Police Officer James Seder searched \$87(2)(b) s cell phone. \$87(2)(b) stated that he approached Sgt. Daverin, PO Seder, and PO Cuoco, who had other individuals stopped, and he asked, "What's going on?" Sgt. Daverin replied by telling \$87(2)(b) to walk away. \$87(2)(b) walked approximately 15 feet away, then turned back and said to Sgt. Daverin, "Hey buddy, can I get your badge number?" PO Seder replied, "Hey, didn't he tell you to walk away?" Sgt. Daverin and PO Seder then approached \$87(2)(b) and he was subsequently arrested. Sgt. Daverin never provided his shield number to \$87(2)(b) While at the stationhouse, PO Seder asked \$87(2)(b) for the passcode to his phone, which \$87(2)(b) provided. \$87(2)(c) watched as PO Seder looked through \$87(2)(b) s phone (Board Review 10).
Sgt. Daverin stated that \$87(2)(b) may have requested his name or shield number but he did not recall if he did. Sgt. Daverin did not recall if another officer was asked for their name and shield number and if they ever provided the requested information. Sgt. Daverin did not know what happened to \$87(2)(b) s cell phone after he was placed in handcuffs but he denied that he was ever in possession of the cell phone (Board Review 13and 16).
PO Seder denied that \$87(2)(b) asked for any officer's name or shield number and denied hearing Sgt. Daverin refuse to provide it. PO Seder acknowledged that he was the only officer who was in possession of \$87(2)(b) as cell phone during his arrest and he placed the cell phone in a property voucher bag. PO Seder denied that he asked for \$87(2)(b) as passcode or that he searched \$100 cell phone (Board Review 11 and 12).
PO Cuoco stated that \$87(2)(b) asked him for his name and shield number and he provided it, but did not recall if \$87(2)(b) asked anyone else, including Sgt. Daverin, for their name or shield number. PO Cuoco did not recall how many times \$87(2)(b) requested his name and shield number or if he requested it more than once. PO Cuoco did not recall if he was ever in possession of \$87(2)(b) s cell phone but denied that he asked for his passcode, searched his cell phone and denied that he observed PO Seder do so (Board Review 17 and 19).
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
Allegation C- Abuse of Authority- On May 7, 2016, in front of 887(2)(b)
Brooklyn, Sergeant Henry Daverin stopped individuals. Allogation D. Abyse of Authority On May 7, 2016, in front of property in
Allegation D- Abuse of Authority- On May 7, 2016, in front of 887(2)(6) in Brooklyn, Police Officer Christopher Walsh searched an individual.
Allegation E- Abuse of Authority- On May 7, 2016, in front of \$87(2)(b)
Brooklyn, Police Officer Matthew Solomito searched an individual.
acknowledged that when he exited his apartment building he was listening to music on
a set of headphones. At this time, \$87(2)(b) noticed two individuals who were sitting in front of the apartment building next door. \$87(2)(b) did not know how long the individuals were at the
Dago 4

Page 4

location, where they had been prior to arriving at the location, or what statements they were making, if any. Sgt. Daverin, PO Walsh, and PO Solomito arrived, approached the two individuals and began speaking to them. Although lowered his music, he could not hear the conversation between the officers and the individuals. PO Solomito and PO Walsh then "checked the males" by going inside their pockets and removing items from their pockets (Board Review 10).

Sgt. Daverin stated that he recalled doing an investigation at the location which involved him speaking to a group of individuals. However, Sgt. Daverin did not recall the number of individuals he spoke to, what the content of the conversation was or what he was investigating. Sgt. Daverin also did not recall what the individuals were doing prior to when he approached them. Sgt. Daverin did not recall if there was any drug related activity in the area at the time and did not recall if the individuals were free to leave (Board Review 13 and 16). PO Solomito and PO Walsh both denied that they took part in a stop or search of any individuals at the location and both denied recalling the incident. Both officers did not recall whether Sgt. Daverin requested that they stop any individuals at the location. There were no stop, question, and frisk reports prepared. Sgt. Daverin, PO Solomito and PO Walsh also did not have any memo book entries corresponding to this incident (Board 15, 18, 20 and 21).

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
·
Allegation F- Abuse of Authority- On May 7, 2016, in front of \$87(2)(6)
Brooklyn, Sergeant Henry Daverin stopped § 87(2)(b)
Allegation G- Abuse of Authority- On May 7, 2016, in front of \$87(2)(6)
Brooklyn, Sergeant Henry Daverin frisked § 87(2)(b)
Allegation H- Abuse of Authority- On May 7, 2016, in front of \$87(2)(6)
Brooklyn, Sergeant Henry Daverin searched § 87(2)(b)
Allegation I- Abuse of Authority- On May 7, 2016, in front of \$87(2)(b)
Brooklyn, Sergeant Henry Daverin refused to provide his name and shield number to \$87(2)(b)
stated that after PO Walsh and PO Solomito searched the other individuals, Sgt.
Daverin gestured to \$87(2)(b) to step off the steps and \$87(2)(b) complied. Sgt. Daverin
approached §87(2)(b) patted down the outside of his clothing, his upper body, down to his legs
and his back, and patted down the area under the sweatshirt he was wearing. Sgt. Daverin then went
into \$87(2)(b) s shorts' pockets and sweatshirt pockets but did not remove any of its contents.
After Sgt. Daverin searched \$87(2)(b) Sgt. Daverin gestured to \$87(2)(b) to go back to the
steps. As §87(2)(b) walked away, he asked Sgt. Daverin for his name and shield number. Sgt.
Daverin ignored 887(2)(b) and did not provide the requested information (Board Review 10).

Page 5

Sgt. Daverin recalled that after approximately one minute, while he spoke to the group of individuals, exited from one of the apartment buildings and sat on the ledge of one of the stoops near the front door of the building. When street with the said, "There is that asshole sergeant." During this time, street with the could be summonsed for spitting on the sidewalk, as it was a violation. Sgt. Daverin maintained that this was the extent of their interaction and he denied that he or any other officer approached street was free to leave at any time. Sgt. Daverin denied that he frisked street was free to leave at any time. Sgt. Daverin denied that he frisked street or not street whether or not street was free to leave at any time. Sgt. Daverin denied that he ever refused to provide the information. After ten minutes, street was left the stoop and returned back to his apartment building (Board Review13 and 16).
As noted above, PO Walsh and PO Solomito did not recall the incident. However, both denied that they saw Sgt. Daverin go into \$87(2)(b) solved a pockets and did not recall a frisk happening. They did not hear \$87(2)(b) request Sgt. Daverin's name and shield number (Board Review 15, 18, 20 and 21).
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)

Page 6

	_		
Signature	Print	Date	
Title/Signature	Print	Date	
Title/Signature	Print	Date	
	Signature Title/Signature Title/Signature	Title/Signature Diana Murray Print	Signature Print Date Diana Murray Title/Signature Print Date