CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Olga Bentin	Team: Squad #4	CCRB Case #: 201705148	☐ Force ☑ Discourt. ☐ U.S.
			☑ Abuse ☐ O.L. ☐ Injury

Incident Date(s)	Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Sunday, 06/25/2017 5:18 PM	Rogers Avenue and Church Avenue		67	12/25/2018	12/25/2018

Date/Time CV Reported	CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time Received at CCRB
Mon, 06/26/2017 1:24 PM	CCRB	Phone	Mon, 06/26/2017 1:24 PM

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
█████████	██████	████████████████████████

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Miguel Vanbrakle	28743	955616	067 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. SGT James Sinnott	00269	934188	067 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A. POM Miguel Vanbrakle	Abuse: Police Officer Miguel Vanbrakle detained § 87(2)(b) ████	██████████
B. POM Miguel Vanbrakle	Discourtesy: Police Officer Miguel Vanbrakle spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b) ██████	██████████
C. POM Miguel Vanbrakle	Abuse: Police Officer Miguel Vanbrakle threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b) ██████	██████████
D. POM Miguel Vanbrakle	Abuse: Police Officer Miguel Vanbrakle searched the vehicle in which § 87(2)(b) ██████ was an occupant.	██████████
E. POM Miguel Vanbrakle	Abuse: Police Officer Miguel Vanbrakle threatened § 87(2)(b) ████ with the use of force.	██████████

Case Summary

On June 26, 2017, § 87(2)(b) contacted the CCRB via phone and filed this complaint.

On June 27, 2017, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) at the telephone number he had provided when he filed the initial complaint. § 87(2)(b) said that he was busy and asked that he be called back after 11:15 a.m. At 11:27 a.m., on June 27, 2017, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) as per his earlier request. A voice message was left for § 87(2)(b) requesting a call back. On June 27, 2017, § 87(2)(b) contacted the CCRB and scheduled his in-person interview for June 28, 2017. He agreed to receive text message notifications and confirmed his contact information, but refused to provide his e-mail address. On June 28, 2017, § 87(2)(b) missed his scheduled interview without calling the CCRB to cancel or reschedule. On June 28, 2017, a missed appointment letter was mailed to § 87(2)(b) at the address he had confirmed with the CCRB.

On June 29, 2017, a second call was placed to § 87(2)(b) and he scheduled his second in-person interview for July 5, 2017, at the CCRB. § 87(2)(b) said that he missed his first interview because he was working. On June 30, 2017, a voice message was received from § 87(2)(b) requesting a call back because he forgot when he scheduled the interview. On June 30, 2017, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) as per his request. A voice message was left for § 87(2)(b) providing information regarding his scheduled interview. On June 30, 2017, and July 3, 2017, text message reminders were also sent to § 87(2)(b).

On July 5, 2017, § 87(2)(b) contacted the CCRB confirming his interview. Several minutes later, § 87(2)(b) called again and asked to reschedule his interview because he was in Long Island, NY, and had no one to drive him back to New York City. § 87(2)(b) rescheduled his interview for July 7, 2017, at the CCRB. On July 5, 2017, a text message notification was sent to § 87(2)(b) reminding him about his scheduled interview. On July 7, 2017, § 87(2)(b) missed his second scheduled interview without calling the CCRB to cancel or reschedule.

On July 7, 2017, a third call was placed to § 87(2)(b) in an effort to reschedule his interview, and a voice message was left for him requesting a call back. On July 7, 2017, a please-call letter was also mailed to § 87(2)(b) On July 7, 2017, § 87(2)(b) contacted the CCRB and said that he was called to work and forgot about his scheduled interview. § 87(2)(b) said that he would be away on vacation between July 10, 2017 and July 20, 2017. He then scheduled his third interview for July 24, 2017, at the CCRB. On July 22, 2017, an automatic text message notification was sent to § 87(2)(b) reminding him about his third scheduled interview. On July 24, 2017, § 87(2)(b) missed his third scheduled interview without calling the CCRB to cancel or reschedule.

The letters that were mailed to § 87(2)(b) were not returned by USPS as undelivered.

On July 24, 2017, a NYC DOC search was performed and it indicated that § 87(2)(b) is not currently incarcerated.

§ 87(2)(g)

CCRB Case # 201705148

_____

Squad: 4

Investigator: _____ _____ _____
                            Signature   Print   Date

Squad Leader: _____ _____ _____
                            Title/Signature   Print   Date

Reviewer: _____ _____ _____
                            Title/Signature   Print   Date

CCRB Case # 201705148