## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force		Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Charis Jones		Squad #7	201907255		Abuse		O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	P	recinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Wednesday, 08/14/2019 8:00 PM	[	Over the telephone			19	2.	/14/2021	10/1/2021
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At: How CV Reported		:	Date/Time Received at CCRB			
Thu, 08/15/2019 11:38 AM		CCRB Phone			Thu, 08/15/2019 11:38 AM			
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Add	ress					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. POF Alicia Garcia	00394	959649	019 PCT					
Officer(s)	Allegati	on			Inve	estiga	ator Recon	nmendation
A.POF Alicia Garcia	Abuse: Police Officer Alicia Garcia refused to provide her name to §87(2)(5)							
B.POF Alicia Garcia	Abuse: Police Offiicer Alicia Garcia refused to provide her name to §87(2)(b)							
C.POF Alicia Garcia	Abuse: Police Officer Alicia Garcia threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b)							
D.POF Alicia Garcia	Abuse: Police Officer Alicia Garcia threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b)							
E.POF Alicia Garcia	Abuse: Police Officer Alicia Garcia threatened to remove from the lease.							
F.POF Alicia Garcia	Abuse: F	Police Officer Alicia Ga		move				

## **Case Summary**

On August 15, 2019, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ called the CCRB and filed this complaint.  On August 14, 2019, at approximately 8:00 p.m., \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ received a phone call from Police Officer Alicia Garcia of the 19 <sup>th</sup> Precinct in Manhattan regarding a domestic violence complaint. \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ asked PO Garcia for her name and she allegedly did not provide it (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ took the phone, put it on speaker, and identified herself. She asked for PO Garcia's name and PO Garcia allegedly did not provide it (Allegation B: Abuse of Authority, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ and \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ that they would be arrested if they returned to the residence of \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ (Allegation D: Abuse of Authority, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ that she knew they had a court date coming up and that she would make sure both of their names would be removed from the lease (Allegation E: Abuse of Authority, \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ (Allegation F:
Findings and Recommendations
Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Alicia Garcia refused to provide her name to \$87(2)(5)  Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Alicia Garcia refused to provide her name to \$87(2)(5)  Allegation (C) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Alicia Garcia threatened to arrest  \$87(2)(5)  Allegation (D) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Alicia Garcia threatened to arrest  \$87(2)(5)  Allegation (E) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Alicia Garcia threatened to remove  \$87(2)(5)  from the lease.  Allegation (F) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Alicia Garcia threatened to remove  \$87(2)(6)  from the lease.
(Board Review 01) testified that he has had ongoing issues with regarding their current housing situation. \$57(2)(b) and his family could no longer live there and demanded that they leave. \$57(2)(b) and his family could no longer live there and demanded that they leave. \$57(2)(b) and his family could no longer live there and demanded that they leave. \$57(2)(b) and lived there for a long time. Since then \$57(2)(b) and lived there for a long time. Since then \$57(2)(b) and lived there for a long time. Since then \$57(2)(b) and lived there for a long time since then \$57(2)(b) and against him and he was banned from returning to the apartment. In July of 2019, \$57(2)(b) and however, and she had gone to the apartment two times since it had been issued to retrieve her family's belongings. On August 14, 2019, \$57(2)(b) and \$57(2)(b) are ceeived a phone call from PO Garcia. \$57(2)(b) asked PO Garcia to call her back on her husband's phone. She provided PO Garcia with \$57(2)(b) and he answered. She immediately asked to speak with \$57(2)(b) and he answered. She immediately asked to speak with \$57(2)(b) and he answered. She immediately asked to speak with \$57(2)(b) and he answered. She immediately asked to speak with \$57(2)(b) and he answered. She immediately asked to speak with \$57(2)(b) and he answered. She immediately asked to speak with \$57(2)(b) and he answered. She immediately asked to speak with \$57(2)(b) and he answered. She immediately asked to speak with \$57(2)(b) and he answered. She immediately asked to speak with \$57(2)(b) and he answered. She immediately asked to speak with \$57(2)(b) and he answered. She immediately asked to speak with \$57(2)(b) and he answered. She immediately asked to speak with \$57(2)(b) and \$57(2)(b) a

CCRB CTS – Confidential Page 2

assaulted your father?" § 87(2)(b) denied this and asked PO Garcia for her name.
PO Garcia ignored his request and demanded to speak with \$87(2)(b)
took the phone, put it on speaker, and identified herself. PO Garcia told \$87(2)(5)
that if she went back to §87(2)(b) s apartment she would arrest her,
her husband, and her kids for harassment. PO Garcia said that she knew the family had court
date coming up and that she would make sure \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) s names would
be removed from the lease. §87(2)(b) asked for her name again and PO Garcia
immediately hung up the phone. § 87(2)(b) called the 19 <sup>th</sup> Precinct the following
day and PO Garcia picked up. During that conversation he asked for her name and she
provided it to him.
(Board Review 02) testified that she had not been at §87(2)(b)
s residence since the beginning of August 2019, when the order of protection was
issued. § 87(2)(9)
When PO Garcia called back on \$87(2)(b)
s phone, he answered and asked PO Garcia to identify herself. PO Garcia
responded, "You're the one that strangled your father." PO Garcia yelled that she did not
want to speak with him, she wanted to speak with \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b)
asked for her name again as well as what department she was calling from. PO Garcia did
not respond. \$87(2)(b) took the phone and identified herself. PO Garcia told \$87(2)(b)
not to go near \$87(2)(b) s residence again. \$87(2)(b)
informed PO Garcia that she was still allowed to go to the apartment because the order of
protection was not against her. PO Garcia disregarded her response and told her that if she
found out that she went back to the residence, she would have her and her husband arrested.
spoke into the phone and asked PO Garcia for her name again. PO
Garcia ignored him and stated that she knew they had a court date on \$87(2)(6)
and that she would make sure both of their names would be removed from the lease at that
time. She hung up the phone before \$87(2)(b) could respond.
PO Garcia (Board Review 03) testified that she was familiar with the \$87(2)(b) family
prior to the incident on August 14, 2019. She has conducted home visits to \$87(2)(b)
\$87(2)(b) because he is an elderly victim of domestic violence. \$87(2)(b) had
recently been arrested for assaulting his father. An order of protection was issued against
him, banning him from returning to the apartment. §87(2)(b) was still allowed at the
residence because she was not included in court order, however, she had been letting
into the apartment in the middle of the night. PO Garcia was informed of
\$ actions during the multiple home visits she conducted prior to August 14,
2019. §87(2)(b) filed multiple complaints against §87(2)(b) about this
and she went to landlord tenant court to file for illegal eviction. The court ruled against
and ordered her not to return to the apartment until their next court date on
Earlier in the day on August 14, 2019, PO Garcia conducted a home visit
to \$87(2)(b)
could change the locks on his door because \$87(2)(b) was still coming to the
residence in the middle of the night and letting \$87(2)(b) in. PO Garcia approved
because \$87(2)(b) was concerned for his safety. After conducting the home
visit PO Garcia returned to the stationhouse and decided to call \$87(2)(5) When she
called, §87(2)(6) answered the phone and put it on speaker. PO Garcia identified
herself as "Officer Garcia from the 19 <sup>th</sup> Precinct Domestic Violence office" and asked to
speak with \$87(2)(b) PO Garcia informed her that she had just conducted a home
visit to \$87(2)(b)
apartment, which violated the order of protection. She told \$\frac{87(2)(6)}{2}\$ that if she
returned to the residence again before \$87(2)(b) , she could be arrested for criminal
contempt. PO Garcia stated that she could also have been arrested for trespassing. PO Garcia

CCRB CTS – Confidential Page 3

did not know if $\S$ 87(2)(b) and $\S$ 87(2)(b) s ac	ctions warranted their removal from the
lease and she denied telling them that. During their	conversation, § 87(2)(b) asked PO
Garcia for her name and shield number and she pro	
was issued an order of prof	tection on § 87(2)(b) which mandated
that he stay away from the residence of §87(2)(b)	(Board Review $04$ ).
§ 87(2)(g)	

New York State Penal Law § 530.12 states that in any proceeding in which an order of protection has been issued, the court shall issue a copy to the complainant, defendant, and any other person affected by the order. The presentation of a copy of such order to a police officer shall constitute authority for him or her to arrest a person who has violated the terms of such order and bring such person before the court and, otherwise, so far as lies within his or her power, aid in securing the protection such order was intended to afford (Board Review 05).

New York Penal Code § 215.50 states that a person is guilty of criminal contempt when he engages in intentional disobedience or resistance to the lawful process or other mandate of a court (Board Review 06).

	§ 87(2)(g)	
8	§ 87(2)(g)	
8	§ 87(2)(g)	l
8	§ 87(2)(g)	
83	§ 87(2)(g)	
8.7	§ 87(2)(g)	
502	§ 87(2)(g)	
	§ 87(2)(g)	
	§ 87(2)(g)	
	§ 87(2)(g)	

## **Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories**

has been party to two CCRB complaints (including this one) and has been named a victim in five allegations (Board Review 07).						
o §87(2)(b)						
	• This is the first complaint to which §87(2)(b) has been a party (Board Review 08).					
six Co		vice for four years and has been a sone) and 11 allegations, none of w				
•	• Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories					
<ul><li>§ 87(2)(b)</li><li>§ 87(2)(b)</li><li>[§ 87(2)(b)</li></ul>		ate this complaint. this complaint.				
	ice of claim has been sent to the to the case file upon receipt.	e New York City Office of Comptro	oller and will be			
Squad No.:						
Investigator:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date			
Squad Leader	:Signature	Print Title & Name	Date			
Reviewer:						
10 / 10 // 01 .	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date			