

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: John Butler	Team: Squad #5	CCRB Case #: 201604430	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Saturday, 05/14/2016 5:30 PM	Location of Incident: Bay Ridge Avenue and 4th Avenue	Precinct: 68	18 Mo. SOL 11/14/2017	EO SOL 11/14/2017	
Date/Time CV Reported Sat, 05/14/2016 10:15 PM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 05/23/2016 10:45 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Randall Yan	26079	948284	068 PCT
2. POM Jerome Victor	05970	953528	068 PCT
3. POM Juan Cruz	07400	947906	068 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.POM Randall Yan	Force: Police Officer Randall Yan used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM Juan Cruz	Force: Police Officer Juan Cruz used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
C.POM Jerome Victor	Force: Police Officer Jerome Victor used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

This case involves physical force allegations causing a fractured eye socket and fractured nose.

On May 14, 2016, Sgt. Derek Epstein of the 68th Precinct filed this complaint on behalf of § 87(2)(b) with IAB via phone, generating log number 16-17242. The complaint was received at the CCRB on May 23, 2016, via log number 2016-17863.

On May 23, 2016, § 87(2)(b)'s criminal attorney, § 87(2)(b), was contacted via phone. § 87(2)(b) stated that he would not allow § 87(2)(b) to provide a statement about the incident until his pending criminal charges were resolved. § 87(2)(b) could not provide a definitive timeline for when § 87(2)(b)'s criminal case would be concluded, and § 87(2)(b)'s next court date was June 20, 2016. § 87(2)(b) was informed that § 87(2)(b)'s CCRB complaint would be closed because the case could not be held open indefinitely, and § 87(2)(b) understood. § 87(2)(b) was also informed that he could request that the case be reopened at the conclusion of § 87(2)(b)'s criminal case.

§ 87(2)(b)'s legal representative, § 87(2)(b) would not permit § 87(2)(b) to provide a statement until the conclusion of § 87(2)(b)'s criminal case, and § 87(2)(b) could not provide a definitive timeline for the resolution of § 87(2)(b)'s charges. § 87(2)(g)

Squad:

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Date

Squad Leader: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date