

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Patrick Harrison	Team: Team # 2	CCRB Case #: 201012593	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Wednesday, 09/15/2010 1:10 PM	Location of Incident: 110 East 129th Street	Precinct: 25	18 Mo. SOL 3/15/2012	EO SOL 3/15/2012	
Date/Time CV Reported Wed, 09/15/2010 1:19 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Wed, 09/15/2010 1:19 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. CPT Chris Morello	00000	923414	PSA 5
2. POM Francisco Alcantara	04625	927837	PSA 5
3. POM Martin Vazquez	30984	914998	PSA 5

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POF Quathisha Epps	00204	938435	PSA 5

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.CPT Chris Morello	Abuse: Cpt. Chris Morello authorized the stop of § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b)	
B.POM Martin Vazquez	Abuse: PO Martin Vazquez frisked § 87(2)(b)	
C.POM Francisco Alcantara	Abuse: PO Francisco Alcantara frisked § 87(2)(b)	
D.POM Martin Vazquez	Abuse: PO Martin Vazquez searched § 87(2)(b)	
E.POM Martin Vazquez	Discourtesy: PO Martin Vazquez spoke obscenely to § 87(2)(b)	
F.CPT Chris Morello	Abuse: Cpt. Chris Morello threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)	

Case Summary

§ 87(2)(b) filed a complaint via phone with the CCRB on September 15, 2010 (encl. 4A-B). On September 15, 2010, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were sitting on a bench in the courtyard of the Jackie Robinson Houses at 111 East 128th Street in Manhattan, when they were stopped by PO Martin Vazquez and PO Francisco Alcantara. The following allegations resulted:

Allegation A – Abuse of Authority - Capt. Chris Morello authorized the stop of § 87(2)(b)

and § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(g)

Allegation B – Abuse of Authority PO Martin Vazquez frisked § 87(2)(b)

Allegation C – Abuse of Authority: PO Francisco Alcantara frisked § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation D – Abuse of Authority: PO Martin Vazquez searched § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation E – Discourtesy: PO Martin Vazquez spoke obscenely to § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(g)

Allegation F – Capt. Chris Morello threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g)

Mediation was offered to § 87(2)(b) via telephone on September 24, 2011. § 87(2)(b) declined mediation.

Results of Investigation

Civilian Statements

Complainant/Victim: § 87(2)(b)

- § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) *black male who stands 6'1" tall, weighs 225 lbs., and has gray hair and brown eyes, § 87(2)(b) old.*

On September 15, 2010, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via telephone (encl. 4A-B). § 87(2)(g) On September 17, 2010,

§ 87(2)(b) gave a brief phone statement to the CCRB § 87(2)(g)

On October 8, 2010, § 87(2)(b) was interviewed at the CCRB (encl. 6A-K). On September 15, 2010, at approximately 1:10 p.m., § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) was sitting on a bench in the courtyard of the Jackie Robinson Houses at 111 East 128th Street in Manhattan, where they had been for two hours drinking tea and reading. § 87(2)(b) was wearing a brown hooded sweatshirt and had a cell phone clipped to his belt and a wallet, keys, change, and paper in his pockets. The officers approached § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) from behind and initiated the encounter by instructing them to put their hands up and not move. § 87(2)(b) stood as PO Vazquez frisked his jean pockets. PO Vazquez then searched inside of § 87(2)(b)'s left front pocket and his rear right pocket, and told § 87(2)(b) to pull out the contents of his front right pocket. PO Francisco Alcantara frisked § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) asked PO Vazquez why he was being searched. PO Vazquez told § 87(2)(b) to turn around, put his hands up, and be quiet. § 87(2)(b) asked why he was being searched and read aloud PO Vazquez's name and badge number. PO Vazquez said to § 87(2)(b) that he had been working this job for sixteen "fucking" years and that he didn't "have to take this shit." PO Vazquez told § 87(2)(b) to show him his ID and questioned him about where he lived and why he was at the Jackie Robinson projects. PO Vazquez told § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) to walk away. As § 87(2)(b) was walking up a ramp out of the courtyard to East 128th Street, he walked past Capt. Chris Morello (identified by investigation), who told § 87(2)(b) that if he saw § 87(2)(b) at the Jackie Robinson Houses again then he would be arrested.

Immediately after § 87(2)(b) gave the above statement, a photo array viewing was conducted with § 87(2)(b) (encl. 6D-I). § 87(2)(b) was first shown photo array 18900, which was comprised of a photo of Lt. Samuel Toledo of PSA 5 (tax 918410) and five filler photos. § 87(2)(b) was next shown photo array 18902, which included a photo of Lt. Dennis Gray of PSA 5 (tax 917089) and five filler photos. § 87(2)(b) was lastly shown photo array 18903, which was comprised of a photo of Capt. Dominick Colasuonno of PSA 5 (tax 880768) and five filler photos. § 87(2)(b) recognized no officers in any of these photo arrays.

Attempts to Contact Civilians

During his CCRB interview, § 87(2)(b) insisted that § 87(2)(b) (whom he identified by first name only) did not want to be involved in this investigation. § 87(2)(b) referred to § 87(2)(b) only as § 87(2)(b) in his phone statement and did not provide the investigation with § 87(2)(b)'s name or contact information. A Lexis Nexis search showed a § 87(2)(b) who had recently resided at § 87(2)(b) in Manhattan. In a phone statement on November 22, 2010, § 87(2)(b) stated that he was acquainted with § 87(2)(b) and had seen § 87(2)(b) be stopped by police. § 87(2)(b) was interviewed at the CCRB on December 9, 2010 (encl. 7A-D). During the interview, it became clear that § 87(2)(b) had not been involved in the incident being investigated but had rather witnessed a separate incident involving § 87(2)(b) which occurred in April 2009.

Francisco Alcantara's memo book entries from this incident provided the investigation with § 87(2)(b)'s full name, mailing address, and date of birth (encl. 12C). On February 4, 2011, phone calls were made to two numbers for § 87(2)(b) that were obtained through a Lexis Nexis search. Both numbers were out of service. Letters were sent to the address that § 87(2)(b) provided PO Alcantara on February 4, 2011, and February 10, 2011. The letter sent on February 4, 2011, was received marked return-to-sender at the CCRB on February 11, 2011. § 87(2)(b) has not contacted the investigation.

NYPD Statements:

Subject Officer: PO MARTIN VAZQUEZ

- *PO Martin Vazquez, a Hispanic male who stands 5'8" tall, weighs 160 lbs., and has brown hair and brown eyes, is § 87(2)(b) old.*
- *Worked from 7:05 a.m. to 3:40 p.m. on September 15, 2010, patrolling sector D, in uniform, in marked police vehicle 9047, with PO Francisco Alcantara.*

Memo Book Entries

September 15, 2010: 1:00 p.m., courtyard check at 110 East 129th Street. 1:05 p.m., one black male stopped, § 87(2)(b) address § 87(2)(b), date of birth § 87(2)(b) (encl. 8A-C)

CCRB Testimony

PO Vazquez was interviewed at the CCRB on January 26, 2011 (encl. 10A-D). On September 15, 2010, at 1:00 p.m., PO Vazquez and PO Alcantara were on patrol when their commanding officer, Capt. Chris Morello, asked them to meet him at 110 East 129th Street. § 87(2)(e), § 87(2)(f)

PO Vazquez believed that he received a full description of the suspects, but, at his CCRB interview, could only remember that the description stated that there were two men seated on a bench in the courtyard. Capt. Morello told PO Vazquez and PO Alcantara to approach the two men seated on a bench in the courtyard § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) and to investigate what they were doing. Capt. Morello did not give PO Vazquez and PO Alcantara any specific instructions about what actions they should take.

PO Vazquez's intentions as he approached § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were to find out what was going on and what their business was being in the courtyard. PO Vazquez and PO Alcantara approached § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) from the side, and PO Vazquez could not see the civilians' hands as he approached. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were sitting and talking as the officers approached. The bench on which § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were sitting was in an area surrounded with scaffolding. PO Vazquez could not recall any other civilians being in the courtyard. Because PO Vazquez could not see the civilians' hands, he initiated the encounter by politely asking them to stand up. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) complied. PO Vazquez checked the area where § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) had been sitting. § 87(2)(b) was wearing baggy clothing. PO Vazquez could not remember any more details about § 87(2)(b) clothing.

PO Vazquez asked § 87(2)(b) where he lived and what he was doing in the courtyard, but § 87(2)(b) was initially uncooperative. PO Vazquez asked § 87(2)(b) for his ID several times, and § 87(2)(b) refused. PO Vazquez asked § 87(2)(b) where he lived, and § 87(2)(b) told him. § 87(2)(b) eventually gave PO Vazquez his ID. The ID listed a different address than that which § 87(2)(b) had given PO Vazquez verbally. When PO Vazquez asked about the discrepancy, § 87(2)(b) stated that he lived at the address on the ID. § 87(2)(b) repeatedly demanded to know why he was being stopped, and PO Vazquez explained to § 87(2)(b) why he had been stopped. PO Vazquez did not recall if § 87(2)(b) used profanity during this incident. PO Vazquez did not

recall telling § 87(2)(b) that he had been a cop for sixteen “fucking” years and that he did not have to take § 87(2)(b) “shit”.

PO Vazquez conducted a frisk of § 87(2)(b) waist area simultaneous to questioning § 87(2)(b). PO Vazquez conducted the frisk for his personal safety, based on the facts that he could not see § 87(2)(b) hands when he approached, that § 87(2)(b) was wearing baggy clothing, that the scaffolding limited visibility of § 87(2)(b) that § 87(2)(b) was uncooperative, and that there have been incidents involving weapons in that courtyard in the past. PO Vazquez did not recall seeing any bulges in § 87(2)(b) clothing, nor did he feel any bulges or other suspicious objects during the frisk. PO Vazquez did not search § 87(2)(b) or withdraw anything from § 87(2)(b) pockets.

PO Alcantara interacted with § 87(2)(b). PO Vazquez had no interaction with § 87(2)(b). PO Vazquez did not know if Capt. Morello had any interaction with § 87(2)(b). PO Vazquez had no interaction with PO Epps as part of this incident.

Subject Officer: PO FRANCISCO ALCANTARA

- *PO Francisco Alcantara, an Hispanic male who stands 5’7” tall, weighs 160 lbs., and has brown hair and brown eyes, is § 87(2)(b) old.*
- *Worked from 7:00 a.m. to 3:40 p.m. on September 15, 2010, patrolling sector D, in uniform, in marked police vehicle 9047, with PO Martin Vazquez.*

Memo Book Entries

September 15, 2010: 1:00 p.m., courtyard check at 110 East 129th Street. 1:05 p.m., one male stopped in front of 110 East 129th Street, § 87(2)(b) black male, date of birth § 87(2)(b), address § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(b) 6’3” tall, criminal possession of controlled substance in the fifth degree. (encl. 12A-C)

CCRB Testimony

PO Alcantara was interviewed at the CCRB on February 4, 2011 (encl. 14A-B). § 87(2)(g) PO Alcantara recalled that PO Vazquez frisked but did not search § 87(2)(b). PO Alcantara did not recall any argument between PO Vazquez and § 87(2)(b) nor any use of profanity by PO Vazquez. PO Alcantara did not know if Capt. Morello had any interaction with § 87(2)(b) or § 87(2)(b).

PO Alcantara frisked § 87(2)(b) for his own safety. PO Alcantara frisked § 87(2)(b) for his own safety, stating that it is routine to conduct a frisk for weapons in that area because people are known to carry weapons there. Nothing about the behavior of § 87(2)(b) or the other male suggested that they were armed. PO Alcantara saw no suspicious bulges on § 87(2)(b) s person, nor did he feel any during the frisk. PO Alcantara did not search § 87(2)(b). After he conducted a frisk, PO Alcantara questioned § 87(2)(b) and asked for his identification. § 87(2)(b) was compliant throughout.

Subject Officer: CAPT. CHRIS MORELLO

- *Capt. Chris Morello, a white male who stands 5'10" tall, weighs 195 lbs., and has brown hair and brown eyes, is § 87(2)(b) old.*
- *Worked from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. on September 15, 2010, as commanding officer of PSA 5, in uniform, in an unmarked vehicle, with PO Quathisha Epps.*

CCRB Testimony

Capt. Morello was interviewed at the CCRB on February 13, 2011 (encl. 16A-B). § 87(2)(e)

§ 87(2)(f)

On September 15, 2010, Capt. Morello drove past the courtyard and observed a number of individuals inside the courtyard. Capt. Morello could not recall any description of the individuals he observed in the courtyard, nor could he recall what drew his attention to the individuals. Capt. Morello gave instructions to several officers to observe activity both inside and outside of the courtyard. Capt. Morello could not recall giving specific instructions to any officers to stop specific individuals. Capt. Morello could not recall giving PO Vazquez and PO Alcantara specific instructions to stop § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) nor could he recall discussing the stop and frisk of § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) with PO Vazquez and PO Alcantara. Capt. Morello did not recall observing the stop and frisk of § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b). Capt. Morello recalled observing a stop of unidentified males outside the courtyard. Capt. Morello did not recall having any interaction with individuals stopped by any officer. Capt. Morello did not recall having an interaction with § 87(2)(b). Capt. Morello did not recall telling § 87(2)(b) that if he saw § 87(2)(b) in the courtyard again he would arrest him. Capt. Morello did not recall making any similar statement to any individual on September 15, 2010.

Witness Officer: PO QUATHISHA EPPS

- *PO Quathisha Epps, a black female who stands 5'4" tall, weighs 130 lbs., and has brown hair and brown eyes, is § 87(2)(b) old.*
- *Worked from 9:05 a.m. to 5:28 p.m. on September 15, 2010, assigned to community affairs, in plain clothes, in an unmarked vehicle, with Capt. Chris Morello.*

Memo Book Entries

PO Quathisha Epps had the following memo book entries from September 15, 2010, regarding this incident (encl. 18A-B): 12:50 p.m., arrived at Jackie Robinson Houses Management Office. 13:00 p.m., resuming patrol to PSA 5.

CCRB Testimony

PO Quathisha Epps was interviewed at the CCRB on February 22, 2011 (encl. 19A-B), and on March 10, 2011 (encl. 20A-B). § 87(2)(g)

The following contains facts gathered from both interviews: § 87(2)(e), § 87(2)(f)

§ 87(2)(e), § 87(2)(f)

PO Epps left her office at approximately 9:45 a.m. to go to a meeting. After the meeting, at approximately 1:10 p.m., on September 15, 2010, PO Epps and Capt. Morello drove to the Jackie Robinson Houses and, as they drove past the location heading east on East 128th Street, they saw a group of three black males by benches in the middle of the courtyard, and a group of four or five black males standing at the entrance to the courtyard walkway. Two of the males in the middle of the courtyard were older men. One of them, § 87(2)(b) (identified by investigation), had a large build and wore a brown sweatshirt. All of the males in the middle of the courtyard were looking around in every direction in a manner which seemed suspicious. The males standing at the entrance of the courtyard were younger males, and two of them appeared to have a hand to hand transaction. Nothing about the appearance or behavior of either group of males made PO Epps suspicious that they were armed. PO Epps did not recall any other civilians being inside or outside the courtyard.

Capt. Morello used his cell phone to call police officers to meet him at East 129th Street. Capt. Morello stated to PO Epps that he would give the officers instructions to “250” the males that they had observed at the Jackie Robinson House. Capt. Morello parked their car, and PO Epps went to the office of the manager of the Jackie Robinson Houses, where she remained for the next twenty minutes. Through the window of the manager’s office, PO Epps observed uniformed officers stop the group of males in the middle of the courtyard of which § 87(2)(b) was a part. PO Epps’ view of the males was partially obstructed such that she could not observe them from the waist down. Hence, PO Epps could not observe whether the males were frisked. After the stop concluded, § 87(2)(b) walked away from the courtyard toward the males standing at the entrance to the courtyard walkway. PO Epps also observed uniformed officers stop the group of the males standing at the entrance to the courtyard walkway. Capt. Morello was present at the latter stop. When PO Epps finished her business in the manager’s office, she returned to her vehicle. Capt. Morello was waiting in the vehicle for her when she arrived. PO Epps did not observe Capt. Morello have any interaction with § 87(2)(b). As PO Epps was leaving the location, she observed § 87(2)(b) sitting in a vehicle writing something down on a piece of paper.

NYPD Documents

Police Communication Records

SPRINT W07052 shows that officers in vehicle 9047 in the 25th Precinct corrected a non-crime at 1:10 p.m. on September 15, 2010, and that a Stop and Frisk Report was prepared (encl. 21A).

Stop and Frisk Report Prepared by PO Martin Vazquez

A handwritten Stop and Frisk Report prepared by PO Vazquez regarding this incident states that PO Vazquez stopped and frisked § 87(2)(b) at 1:05 p.m. on September 15, 2010 at 111 East 128th Street in Manhattan (encl. 9A-B). The report states that PO Vazquez explained the reason for the stop, that a frisk was conducted, that no search was conducted, and that no weapons or contraband were found. Listed circumstance which led to the stop are: “fits description.” Listed primary reason for conducting of frisk: “refusal to comply with officer’s directions leading to reasonable fear for safety.” Additional circumstances listed are: “report from victim/witness,” “area has high incidence of reported offense of type under investigation,” and “evasive, false, or inconsistent response to officer’s questions.” A handwritten note on the report states that § 87(2)(b) was “uncooperative to police directions and unresponsive or evasive to police questions.”

Stop and Frisk Report Prepared by PO Francisco Alcantara

A handwritten Stop and Frisk Report prepared by PO Alcantara regarding this incident states that PO Alcantara stopped and frisked § 87(2)(b) at 1:05 p.m. on September 15, 2010, at 110 East 129th Street in Manhattan (enc. 13A-B). The report states that PO Alcantara explained the reason for the stop, that a frisk was conducted, that no search was conducted, and that no weapons or contraband were found. Listed circumstance which led to stop are: “fits description.” Listed primary reason for conducting of frisk: “furtive movements.” Listed additional circumstances are: “ongoing investigations.”

Status of Civil Proceedings

- § 87(2)(b) has not filed a Notice of Claim with the City of New York as of February 8, 2011, with regard to the incident (encl. 26J).

Civilian Criminal History

- [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]

Civilian CCRB History

- This is the first CCRB complaint filed by § 87(2)(b) (encl. 3A).

Subject Officer CCRB Histories

- Capt. Morello has been a member of the Service for seventeen years and has no CCRB allegations against him (encl. 2A)
- PO Vazquez has been a member of the Service for fifteen years and has seven substantiated allegations against him and one other misconduct noted (encl. 2B). In CCRB case 200000045, allegations against PO Vazquez of a stop, search, and discourtesy were substantiated. No disciplinary action resulted due to the expiration of the statute of limitations regarding that incident. In CCRB case 200307535, allegations against PO Vazquez of a stop, use of force, discourtesy, and refusal to provide name and shield number were substantiated § 87(4-b) § 87(2)(g) Command discipline A was enforced against PO Vazquez.
- PO Alcantara has been a member of the Service for nine years and has no substantiated CCRB allegations against him (encl. 2C).

Conclusion

Identification of Subject Officers

PO Vazquez, and PO Alcantara confirmed their participation in this incident.

§ 87(2)(g)

Capt. Morello had no recollection of the stop of § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) and recalls only giving general instructions to officers under his command to observe the courtyard of the Jackie Robinson Houses § 87(2)(e)

However, PO Epps, PO Vazquez, and PO Alcantara, present a consistent account of events in which Capt. Morello, § 87(2)(e), § 87(2)(f)

gave specific instructions to PO Vazquez and PO Alcantara to investigate specific individuals at the Jackie Robinson Houses. PO Alcantara stated that Capt. Morello instructed him to stop and question the civilians; PO Vazquez stated Capt. Morello instructed him to approach

and investigate the civilians; and PO Epps's stated that Capt. Morello told her he would instruct the officers to "250" the civilians, a term frequently used as a synonym for to stop. § 87(2)(g)

Investigative Findings and Recommendations

Allegation A – Abuse of Authority - Capt. Chris Morello authorized the stop of § 87(2)(b)
and § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(g)

(1) Capt. Morello was informed that, between 9:05 a.m. and 9:45 a.m. on the date of the incident, § 87(2)(e), § 87(2)(f)

(3) At approximately 12:50 p.m., PO Capt. Morello and PO Epps arrived at the Jackie Robinson Houses and observed a group of three males in the courtyard, who were looking around in a suspicious manner, and four or five males at the courtyard entrance, who were observed making a hand to hand transaction.

N.Y.S. Criminal Procedure Law Section 140.50.1 authorizes a police officer to stop an individual when the officer has a reasonable suspicion that the individual has committed, is committing, or is about to commit a crime (encl. 1A). In considering what constitutes reasonable suspicion, courts have focused on the conjunction of numerous factors, focusing especially on the existence and reliability of detailed descriptions of the perpetrator, the proximity of suspects to the location of the crime within a short amount of time after the time of occurrence, and the behavior of suspects. For example, reasonable suspicion has been found to exist where a suspect is the only individual matching a detailed description of the perpetrator found near to the scene of a crime, shortly after the time of occurrence, and behaves in a suspicious manner such as by fleeing on sight of police (People v. Cane, 231 A.D.2d 435, 1st Dept., 1996) (encl. 1B). Reasonable suspicion also exists where a group of suspects are the only group matching a detailed description of the perpetrators and are found near to the location of a crime, shortly after the time of occurrence, and are engaged in behavior consistent with the reported behavior of the perpetrators, such as traveling in the same direction that the perpetrators reportedly fled (People v. Rodriguez 262 A.D.2d 177, 1st Dept., 1999)(encl. 1E).

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

Allegation B – Abuse of Authority PO Martin Vazquez frisked § 87(2)(b)

Allegation C – Abuse of Authority: PO Francisco Alcantara frisked § 87(2)(b)

PO Vazquez confirmed that he frisked § 87(2)(b) and PO Alcantara confirmed that he frisked § 87(2)(b). PO Vazquez cited the following reasons for believing that § 87(2)(b) posed a danger to him: that he could not see § 87(2)(b) hands as he approached him, that the scaffolding limited visibility of § 87(2)(b) that § 87(2)(b) was uncooperative with questioning, and that there have been incidents involving weapons in that location in the past. This explanation is consistent with the Stop and Frisk Report filed by PO Vazquez regarding this stop. PO Alcantara stated that frisked § 87(2)(b) immediately upon encountering him and prior to questioning him. PO Alcantara frisked § 87(2)(b) for his own safety, stating that it is routine to frisk civilians for weapons when conducting a stop and that people are known to carry weapons in that area. A Stop and Frisk Report filed by PO Alcantara further states that “furtive movements” and an “ongoing investigation” were factors that lead to the frisk of § 87(2)(b).

The New York State Court of Appeals held in People v. Russ, 61 N.Y.2d 693 (1984) that an officer is authorized to frisk an individual when the officer possesses, in addition to the reasonable suspicion required to stop the individual, an independent and reasonable basis for suspecting that the individual is armed and dangerous (encl. 1E-F). § 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Reviewer: _____

Title/Signature	Print	Date
-----------------	-------	------

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date