

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Maura Roche	Team: Squad #12	CCRB Case #: 201806019	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Sunday, 07/15/2018 5:50 AM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 60	18 Mo. SOL 1/15/2020	EO SOL 1/15/2020	
Date/Time CV Reported Sun, 07/15/2018 6:25 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Mon, 07/23/2018 10:57 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. LT Timothy Brovakos	00000	943025	PA UPTU

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Imran Mahmood	18991	962566	060 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.LT Timothy Brovakos	Abuse: Lieutenant Timothy Brovakos stopped § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
B.LT Timothy Brovakos	Abuse: Lieutenant Timothy Brovakos stopped § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
G.LT Timothy Brovakos	Abuse: Lieutenant Timothy Brovakos searched § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)
H.LT Timothy Brovakos	Discourtesy: Lieutenant Timothy Brovakos spoke discourteously to § 87(2)(b)	§ 87(2)(b)

Case Summary

On July 15, 2018, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint on behalf of herself and her boyfriend, § 87(2)(b) with IAB by phone. It was received at the CCRB on July 23, 2018, under IAB log number 2018-27764.

On July 26, 2018, § 87(2)(b) was contacted by phone. He confirmed his contact information and provided a brief statement but declined to schedule an interview before consulting with his attorney, § 87(2)(b), because he is facing criminal charges regarding this incident. He also requested that the CCRB reach out to § 87(2)(b). The process to request to reopen the complaint at a later date, should the case be closed pending litigation, was explained to § 87(2)(b).

On August 13, 2018, § 87(2)(b) was contacted by phone. She confirmed her contact information and provided a brief phone statement. She stated that she would defer to § 87(2)(b) decision in regard to closing the complaint pending litigation. The process to request to reopen the case at a later date was explained to § 87(2)(b).

On July 26, 2018, a call was placed to § 87(2)(b) and a message was left explaining the option to close the complaint pending litigation. Instructions for how to request to reopen the case at a later date were included in the message. § 87(2)(b) voicemail recording indicated that she would be on vacation until August 13, 2018. On August 13, 2018, § 87(2)(b) contacted the CCRB by phone and left a voicemail stating that she did not want § 87(2)(b) to provide the CCRB with a statement at this time. She added that she would contact § 87(2)(b) directly to explain her decision to him.

There was no video of the incident.

§ 87(2)(g)

Squad No.: 12

Investigator:	_____ Signature	_____ Print Title & Name	_____ Date
Squad Leader:	_____ Signature	_____ Print Title & Name	_____ Date
Reviewer:	_____ Signature	_____ Print Title & Name	_____ Date