

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Genevieve Lamont	Team: Squad #8	CCRB Case #: 201900273	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Saturday, 06/30/2018 7:00 PM	Location of Incident: 60th Precinct stationhouse	Precinct: 60	18 Mo. SOL 12/30/2019	EO SOL 12/30/2019	
Date/Time CV Reported Thu, 01/10/2019 11:42 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Thu, 01/10/2019 11:42 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Witness(es)	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. POM Officer			060 PCT
2. SGT Fitzroy Vigilance	04583	918667	060 PCT
3. POM Danny Calemine	06888	956477	060 PCT
4. POF Lorenza Fragoso	13504	961767	060 PCT
5. POM Alexandre Tilan	16422	961370	060 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POF Ananda Mirandamessner	06066	958938	060 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.SGT Fitzroy Vigilance	Force: Sergeant Fitzroy Vigilance used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
B.POF Lorenza Fragoso	Force: Police Officer Lorenza Fragoso used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
C.POM Danny Calemine	Force: Police Officer Danny Calemine used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
D.POM Alexandre Tilan	Force: Police Officer Alexander Tilan used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
E.SGT Fitzroy Vigilance	Force: Sergeant Fitzroy Vigilance used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
F.POM Officer	Force: Officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
G.POM Officer	Force: An officer struck § 87(2)(b) with a blunt instrument.	
H.SGT Fitzroy Vigilance	Force: Sergeant Fitzroy Vigilance used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
I.SGT Fitzroy Vigilance	Force: Sergeant Fitzroy Vigilance used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)		

Case Summary

On January 10, 2019, § 87(2)(b) filed the following complaint by phone with the CCRB.

On June 30, 2018, § 87(2)(b) was inside the holding cell of the 60th Precinct stationhouse in Brooklyn, when, at approximately 7:00 p.m., he got into a physical altercation with another cellmate, § 87(2)(b). About six officers, including Sgt. Fitzroy Vigilance, PO Danny Calemine, PO Ananda Miranda, PO Lorenza Fragoso, and PO Alexandre Tilan, who were all of the 60th Precinct at the time of the incident, entered the holding cell area. Sgt. Vigilance, PO Fragoso, and PO Tilan were transferred to the 60th Precinct for the summer. Sgt. Vigilance pulled § 87(2)(b) out of the holding cell and he, PO Fragoso, PO Calemine, and PO Tilan conducted a forcible takedown of § 87(2)(b) (**Allegations A through D: Force;** § 87(2)(g)). When § 87(2)(b) landed on the floor, Sgt. Vigilance allegedly stomped on § 87(2)(b)'s face five times in succession (**Allegation E: Force;** § 87(2)(g)). Other officers allegedly kicked and hit § 87(2)(b) all over his body (**Allegation F: Force;** § 87(2)(g)). An officer allegedly administered blows to § 87(2)(b)'s arm, which possibly came from a baton (**Allegation G: Force;** § 87(2)(g)). An officer, which PO Miranda later identified as Sgt. Vigilance, pulled on § 87(2)(b)'s arm, which he stated, "almost broke" his arm (**Allegation H: Force;** § 87(2)(g)). Once § 87(2)(b) was handcuffed, he was carried upstairs to another cell. While being transported, Sgt. Vigilance elbowed § 87(2)(b) three times in the ribs (**Allegation I: Force;** § 87(2)(g)). He was later taken to § 87(2)(b) Hospital in Brooklyn.

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)

The investigation was unable to obtain video footage for this complaint. § 87(2)(b) stated that his previous civil attorney, § 87(2)(b) and the Brooklyn District Attorney's office possessed stationhouse footage of the incident that had since expired. The undersigned contacted both offices, which both stated that they never obtained such footage. No body-camera footage exists for this incident.

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation (A) Force: Sergeant Fitzroy Vigilance used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (B) Force: Police Officer Lorenza Fragoso used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (C) Force: Police Officer Danny Calemine used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (D) Force: Police Officer Alexander Tilan used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

It is undisputed that § 87(2)(b) was taken to the ground by officers in the holding cell area. § 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

Threat Resistance and Injury (TRI) reports obtained by the investigation documented that a forcible takedown was used against § 87(2)(b) [BR 01 through BR 04].

§ 87(2)(b) was interviewed at the CCRB on January 15, 2019 [BR 05]. § 87(2)(b) stated that he had put § 87(2)(b) in a headlock when officers entered the holding cell area. An officer unlocked the cell door and ordered him to exit. § 87(2)(b) walked out of the cell and

began to raise his hands above his head. He was only able to raise them to shoulder height when six officers grabbed his arms and brought him to the ground. As he was being brought down, his head fell sideways into a production fan. He landed on his side, with his left arm pinned under his body and his knee bent at an angle. § 87(2)(b) did not attribute any specific injuries to the forcible takedown. According to his medical records, § 87(2)(b) was treated for head trauma, but no abnormalities were found [BR 56, BR 77 and BR 78]. § 87(2)(b) provided photographs of his sustained injuries, which showed bruising to his head, arms, and legs [BR 06 through BR 55]. § 87(2)(b)'s statement during his initial intake call did not specify what physical force the officers used [BR 75].

§ 87(2)(b)'s friend with whom he was arrested, was interviewed at the CCRB on January 24, 2019 [BR 58]. He stated that § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were in a "bear hug" when officers entered the holding cell. Sgt. Vigilance, whom § 87(2)(b) recognized as the desk officer, ordered the two men to separate. Sgt. Vigilance then opened the cell gate, grabbed § 87(2)(b) on the back of the head with an open palm, grabbed § 87(2)(b) with his other hand, and pulled him out of the holding cell. § 87(2)(b) did not remember if § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were in the process of separating before Sgt. Vigilance removed § 87(2)(b) from the cell. Two other officers grabbed onto § 87(2)(b) and they and Sgt. Vigilance threw § 87(2)(b) into a fan. § 87(2)(b) did not see which part of § 87(2)(b)'s body hit the fan. The officers then threw § 87(2)(b) against the left wall of the holding cell area, where he hit a desk and the wall with the left side of his body. These officers then took § 87(2)(b) to the ground, where he landed on his back, stomach facing upwards.

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
In his phone statement, he stated that § 87(2)(b) pushed § 87(2)(b) against the bars of the cell and put him in a headlock. When officers entered the holding cell, they ordered § 87(2)(b) to release § 87(2)(b) who did so, but not immediately. Officers punched § 87(2)(b) in his stomach and ribcage, and swiped his feet to take him to the ground.

The investigation obtained the Command Log from the 60th Precinct on June 30, 2018, and identified § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) as individuals who were in the holding cell during the incident [BR 76]. Contact attempts were made to these individuals, as well as to § 87(2)(b) but they were unsuccessful [BR 59; see detailed IA 236].

Sgt. Vigilance was interviewed at the CCRB on February 21, 2019 [BR 60]. When he entered the cell, § 87(2)(b) had § 87(2)(b) in a headlock. He did not observe any injuries on § 87(2)(b) at this time. He ordered § 87(2)(b) to release § 87(2)(b) but § 87(2)(b) did not comply. Sgt. Vigilance repeated this command several times. He then attempted to remove § 87(2)(b)'s arm from § 87(2)(b) but § 87(2)(b) did not release § 87(2)(b) when he did this. Other officers attempted to remove § 87(2)(b)'s arm from § 87(2)(b). After several seconds, § 87(2)(b) released § 87(2)(b). Sgt. Vigilance then pulled § 87(2)(b) out of the holding cell with the assistance of other officers, but he did not know who. After he was removed, § 87(2)(b) tensed up and refused Sgt. Vigilance's orders to put his hands behind his back. Sgt. Vigilance, along with four or five other officers, then took § 87(2)(b) to the ground. He did not remember where he was positioned or where he was holding § 87(2)(b) during the takedown. § 87(2)(b) did not make contact with a fan when he was taken down. Sgt. Vigilance's statement was consistent with his TRI report, as he noted that a forcible takedown occurred [BR 04].

PO Fragoso was interviewed at the CCRB on February 25, 2019 [BR 61]. She first observed § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) punching each other on the cameras located above the

stationhouse desk, which showed the holding cell. She did not recall what actions § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were taking when she entered the holding cell area. She did not observe any injuries on § 87(2)(b). Once she opened the cell door, PO Fragoso and approximately eight other officers reached into the cell to separate the two males. She did not recall what part of § 87(2)(b)'s body she grabbed. It took a few seconds to separate § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) and to bring § 87(2)(b) out of the cell. Once he was removed from the cell, § 87(2)(b) continued to lunge back at § 87(2)(b). He resisted being handcuffed by the officers, and moved his hands to the front of his body. PO Fragoso stated that these actions made § 87(2)(b) a threat to the individuals in the cell and the officers. PO Fragoso, along with three or four other officers, took § 87(2)(b) to the ground. The officers did not communicate with each other prior to taking § 87(2)(b) to the ground. § 87(2)(b) did not hit a fan or any other surface on his way to the ground. PO Fragoso's statement was consistent with her TRI report, as she noted that she participated in a forcible takedown of § 87(2)(b) [BR 02].

PO Calemene was interviewed at the CCRB on March 7, 2019 [BR 62]. When he entered the holding cell, PO Calemene observed § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) in an aggressive fistfight. He stated that Sgt. Vigilance removed § 87(2)(b) from the cell, but did not recall how he did this. § 87(2)(b) ignored the officers' commands and continued to swing his fists towards § 87(2)(b). PO Calemene stated that these actions made § 87(2)(b) a threat to § 87(2)(b) and the officers, and that § 87(2)(b) was immediately taken to the ground as a result. He did not remember if the takedown was communicated between the officers. He did not remember if he participated in the takedown. He did not observe § 87(2)(b) hit a fan on his way to the ground. PO Calemene did not observe any injuries on § 87(2)(b) prior to the incident. PO Calemene prepared a TRI report regarding this incident, which noted that he participated in the takedown [BR 01]. He stated that a TRI report must be prepared for any type of interaction in the holding cell. PO Calemene also prepared an AIDED report for § 87(2)(b) noting that he was injured and was removed to § 87(2)(b) Hospital [BR 63]. The AIDED report did not provide details as to what kind of injuries § 87(2)(b) sustained nor did it detail how he sustained these injuries.

On March 12, 2019, PO Tilan was interviewed at the CCRB [BR 64]. When PO Tilan entered the holding cell area, he observed § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) holding each other by their t-shirts and pushing each other back and forth. He recalled that § 87(2)(b) was bleeding, but he did not remember from where. Once the cell door was open, Sgt. Vigilance grabbed § 87(2)(b) by the shirt and pulled him out the cell without resistance. PO Tilan then grabbed § 87(2)(b)'s left hand to handcuff him, but he resisted giving his right hand to the officers. Other officers were holding onto § 87(2)(b) but PO Tilan did not know how many or which officers. He stated that the momentum of the officers caused himself, § 87(2)(b) and the officers to fall to the ground. He stated that § 87(2)(b) did not have enough time to take any physical actions from when he was removed from the cell to when they fell to the ground. He did not recall if § 87(2)(b) hit a fan when he fell to the ground. Despite stating that the takedown was a result of the momentum, PO Tilan prepared a TRI report which stated that he participated in a forcible takedown [BR 03]. He stated that this was due to Sgt. Vigilance pulling § 87(2)(b) out of the cell, which caused him to fall down, constituting a forcible takedown.

On March 8, 2019, PO Miranda was interviewed at the CCRB [BR 65]. When PO Miranda entered the holding cell, she observed § 87(2)(b) holding § 87(2)(b) in a headlock. Sgt. Vigilance separated § 87(2)(b) from § 87(2)(b) and removed him from the holding cell. PO Miranda did not remember if other officers were holding onto § 87(2)(b) but stated that he did not resist being removed from the cell. When he was removed, § 87(2)(b) fell to the

ground. PO Miranda did not observe any officers take specific actions to take § 87(2)(b) to the ground. Sgt. Vigilance was still holding onto § 87(2)(b) when they fell to the ground. PO Miranda did not observe § 87(2)(b) hit a fan on his way to the ground. PO Miranda did not prepare any documentation for this incident.

Medical records from § 87(2)(b) Hospital, obtained by the investigation, documented that § 87(2)(b) was admitted for head trauma and pain his left knee and lower leg. A CT scan for head trauma showed that there were no abnormalities and a radiology exam determined that the pain in § 87(2)(b)'s knee and leg was due to degenerative damage [BR 56, BR 77 and BR 78]. These records did not account for the bruising on § 87(2)(b)'s face, arms, and legs, which were shown in the photographs that he provided [BR 06 through BR 55]. Absent additional testimony or evidence, the investigation could not determine if these injuries were sustained as a result of the takedown or from the altercation between § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b).

According to Patrol Guide Procedure 221-01, members of service may use force “when it is reasonable to ensure the safety of a member of service or a third person or when it is reasonable to place a person in custody or to prevent escape from custody” [BR 66].

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

Allegation (E) Force: Sergeant Fitzroy Vigilance used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (F) Force: Officers used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation (G) Force: An officer struck § 87(2)(b) with a blunt instrument.

Allegation (H) Force: Sergeant Fitzroy Vigilance used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b) stated that when he fell to the ground, officers placed weight on his right arm in an effort to remove his left arm from under his body [BR 05]. He told the officers that he could not move his arm. Sgt. Vigilance stomped on his face and told him to shut up. Sgt. Vigilance then stomped § 87(2)(b)'s face five more times in succession. § 87(2)(b) felt blows to his arm, which he stated felt like they were from a club, but he did not see where the blows came from. An officer then pulled on § 87(2)(b)'s arm, which felt like it “almost broke”. § 87(2)(b) stated that he did not take any physical actions during this altercation. He heard PO Miranda yell, “Stop! Stop! You’re going to break his arm”, and the officers stopped. § 87(2)(b) was then handcuffed. § 87(2)(b) did not allege any specific injuries to the force used by the officers, but provided the investigation with photographs taken of his injuries, which showed bruising to his face, arms, and legs [BR 06 through BR 55]. According to his medicals records, § 87(2)(b) was treated for head trauma and pain to his left knee and lower leg, but it was determined that he had no abnormalities related to head trauma and that the pain in his knee and leg were due to degenerative damage [BR 56, BR 77, and BR 78]. § 87(2)(b) did not mention his injuries during his intake call [BR 75].

§ 87(2)(b) stated that once § 87(2)(b) was taken to the ground, ten officers formed a wall around him, obstructing his view [BR 58]. He observed officers kick § 87(2)(b) about ten times as well as hit him, but did not know how many times. Officers also stomped on § 87(2)(b).

§ 87(2)(b)'s right leg. Sgt. Vigilance placed his knee on § 87(2)(b)'s head, by his temple and ear area. § 87(2)(b) then observed a Hispanic officer holding a metal rod, which he elevated above his head and brought down on § 87(2)(b)'s left side. He was unable to provide any additional description of this officer. PO Miranda then entered the holding cell and told the officers "that's enough". PO Miranda then left the holding cell, and the officers continued to administer kicks and hits to § 87(2)(b). After about five to ten minutes, § 87(2)(b) was carried out of the holding cell area, as he was unable to walk due to the force used on his leg. § 87(2)(b) was consistent with his phone statement [BR 57].

Sgt. Vigilance stated that, after the takedown, § 87(2)(b) tensed up and refused to give the officers his hands [BR 60]. It took multiple officers pulling on § 87(2)(b)'s arms to handcuff him, and they communicated with each other to do so, but Sgt. Vigilance could not remember specific statements. He did not handcuff § 87(2)(b). He did not recall officers lifting § 87(2)(b) up by his right arm. Sgt. Vigilance did not stomp on § 87(2)(b)'s head. He did not observe any officer do this. He did not strike § 87(2)(b) with a blunt instrument and he did not observe anyone doing that. Sgt. Vigilance did not use any physical force against § 87(2)(b) aside from the takedown. He did not observe any officer use any physical force except for the takedown. Sgt. Vigilance did not recall preparing a TRI report for this incident, despite being shown a copy of his handwritten report, which he verified was in his handwriting [BR 04]. Sgt. Vigilance did not recall § 87(2)(b) complaining of any injuries or substantial pain.

PO Fragoso left the holding cell after the takedown was completed, as she felt that the situation was contained [BR 61]. She could not recall what actions the officers were taking when § 87(2)(b) was on the ground. She did not observe an officer pulling on § 87(2)(b)'s arm and lifting him up. She did not hit or kick § 87(2)(b). She did not observe any officer do this. She did not strike § 87(2)(b) with a blunt instrument and did not observe any other officer do this. She did not stomp on § 87(2)(b)'s face and did not see any officer do this. PO Fragoso prepared a TRI report after she left the holding cell [BR 02]. She filled out this report based upon her own observations during the incident. She did not recall § 87(2)(b) complaining of any injuries or substantial pain.

According to PO Calemene's interview, when § 87(2)(b) was taken to the ground, PO Calemene grabbed his legs to prevent him from kicking while officers attempted to restrain him [BR 62]. He stated that § 87(2)(b) was not kicking at the time. He stated that § 87(2)(b) resisted being handcuffed, but did not see how the officers handcuffed him, as he was down by § 87(2)(b)'s legs. PO Calemene did not hit § 87(2)(b) with an instrument and did not see another officer do this. He did not stomp on § 87(2)(b)'s face and did not observe any officer do this. PO Calemene did not use any other force against § 87(2)(b). Aside from the takedown, he did not observe any officer use any other force against § 87(2)(b). He observed bruising and swelling on § 87(2)(b)'s face after he was handcuffed. PO Calemene prepared an AIDED report and a TRI report for § 87(2)(b) [BR 63 and BR 01, respectively]. After he was shown the TRI report, PO Calemene recalled § 87(2)(b) complaining of substantial pain.

PO Tilan stated that he had § 87(2)(b)'s left hand handcuffed and was waiting for an officer to give him his right hand [BR 64]. He did not see what actions the other officers were taking to get § 87(2)(b)'s right hand. He did not see any officer strike § 87(2)(b) with a blunt instrument. He did not observe any officer use any force against § 87(2)(b) as he was focused on getting him handcuffed. He did not see any officer stomp, step on, or kick § 87(2)(b). PO Tilan did not use any physical force with § 87(2)(b). When PO Tilan left the holding cell with the other officers, there were still officers inside. PO Tilan then prepared a TRI for the incident,

as Sgt. Vigilance pulling § 87(2)(b) out of the cell and causing him to fall down constituted a forcible takedown [BR 03].

PO Miranda stated that she observed Sgt. Vigilance pulling § 87(2)(b)'s arm behind his head in an attempt to remove it out from under his body [BR 65]. Other officers were telling § 87(2)(b) to give them his hand, to which he responded that he could not. PO Miranda stated that § 87(2)(b) was not resisting. She told Sgt. Vigilance, "You have to lift him up, he can't move his arm." Sgt. Vigilance then told PO Miranda to leave, which she did. She did not recall telling the officers that they were going to break his arm, but stated she was concerned that Sgt. Vigilance was going to break his arm from how he was pulling on it. She did not observe any officer strike § 87(2)(b) with an instrument before she left the holding cell. She did not observe any officer stomp on his face. Aside from falling to the ground, PO Miranda did not observe an officer use any physical force against § 87(2)(b).

§ 87(2)(b)'s medical records, obtained by the investigation, did not conclude if any additional force, aside from the takedown, was used during the incident. § 87(2)(b) underwent a CT scan for head trauma, which showed no abnormalities. He was also treated for pain in his knee, which a radiology exam concluded was from degenerative damage, and was not the result of a fracture or subluxation [BR 56, BR 77 and BR 78]. § 87(2)(b) provided the investigation with photographs of his sustained injuries, which showed bruising on his face, arms, and legs [BR 06 through BR 55]. One photograph showed several thin bruise lines on § 87(2)(b)'s arm, which are consistent with bruises that would be sustained from an asp strike or being struck with a thin instrument [BR 67].

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

Allegation (H): Sergeant Fitzroy Vigilance used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

It remains in dispute as to whether Sgt. Vigilance elbowed § 87(2)(b) while he was transported upstairs to another cell.

§ 87(2)(b) stated that after he was handcuffed, four officers, including Sgt. Vigilance, carried him upstairs to another cell [BR 05]. While being carried, Sgt. Vigilance elbowed him in the ribs three times. He was placed in a cell upstairs until a couple hours later, when he was transported to § 87(2)(b) Hospital to be treated for his injuries.

§ 87(2)(b) observed § 87(2)(b) carried out of the holding cell, but was unable to see where he was taken [BR 58]. He discovered that § 87(2)(b) had been taken to the hospital when he was in central booking, where he observed § 87(2)(b) on crutches with bruises on his face.

Sgt. Vigilance stated that § 87(2)(b) was stood up after he was handcuffed and was walked upstairs to the holding pen [BR 60]. § 87(2)(b) was not carried. Sgt. Vigilance walked behind the officers who escorted § 87(2)(b) upstairs. He did not elbow § 87(2)(b) in the ribs while he was transported. He did not observe any officer do so. Neither he nor any other officer used physical force while transporting § 87(2)(b) upstairs.

PO Fragoso, PO Calemine, and PO Tilan did not observe § 87(2)(b) being removed from the holding cell. They did not have further contact with § 87(2)(b) [BR 61, BR 62, and BR 64, respectively].

PO Miranda did not observe § 87(2)(b) being removed from the holding cell [BR 65]. She later learned from Sgt. Vigilance that he had been moved upstairs, as he asked her to check on him. She did not interact with him, as he was bent over with his head down.

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the first CCRB complaint that § 87(2)(b) has been a party to [BR 71].
- Sgt. Vigilance has been a member of service for 21 years and has been subject to 26 complaints and 53 allegations, none of which have been substantiated. Sgt. Vigilance's CCRB history shows that he has 12 previous CCRB cases involving allegations of physical force, none of which were substantiated. Sgt. Vigilance is a subject officer in two current CCRB cases.

- PO Fragoso has been a member of service for two years and has been party to no prior CCRB complaints. § 87(2)(g)
- PO Calemene has been a member of service for four years and has been party to one CCRB complaint and one allegation. PO Calemene's CCRB history shows that his only other CCRB case involved an allegation of physical force, which was closed as pending litigation.
- PO Tilan has been a member of service for three years and has been party to two previous CCRB complaints and three allegations, none of which were substantiated. § 87(2)(g)

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- This complaint was not suitable for mediation due to § 87(2)(b)'s injuries.
- Prior to filing his CCRB complaint, § 87(2)(b) had filed a Notice of Claim against the City of New York for five million and five hundred thousand dollars on July 20, 2018 [BR 72]. His lawsuit has since been dropped by his representation, § 87(2)(b). On April 5, 2019, a FOIL request was submitted to the New York City Office of the Comptroller to determine the status of this Notice of Claim and will be added to the case file upon receipt [BR 73].
- According to the Office of Court Administration (OCA), between January 10, 1990 and June 17, 2012, § 87(2)(b) was § 87(2)(b) of § 87(2)(b) disorderly conduct (once), and § 87(2)(b) [BR 74].

Squad No.: _____

Investigator:	_____ Signature	_____ Print Title & Name	_____ Date
---------------	--------------------	-----------------------------	---------------

Squad Leader:	_____ Signature	_____ Print Title & Name	_____ Date
---------------	--------------------	-----------------------------	---------------

Reviewer:	_____ Signature	_____ Print Title & Name	_____ Date
-----------	--------------------	-----------------------------	---------------

