## 1MELINDA KATZ DISTRICT ATTORNEY

## **QUEENS COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

125-01 QUEENS BOULEVARD KEW GARDENS, NEW YORK 11 415-1568

> 718.286.6000 1www.queensda.org

1The following information is provided pursuant to the People's obligations under CPL §245.20(k) and is obtained from the files of the Queens County District Attorney's Office as well as from data maintained by the New York City Police Department. Additionally, in a spirit of full disclosure consistent with our obligations under CPL §§245.20(7) and 245.55, additional material obtained from non-law enforcement sources may also be included below. The fact that this additional information is hereby disclosed is not to be taken as a concession that its disclosure is compelled under CPL Article 245.

1 The information below pertains to **Police Officer Wailok Wong**, **Shield No. 12002** whom the People intend to call as a witness in the above captioned hearing/trial.

## **DISCIPLINARY MATTER(S):**

Police Officer Wailok Wong has substantiated IAB charges of the following specifications:

On January 26<sup>th</sup>, 2018, while assigned to Patrol Boro Queens South, Police Officer Wailok Wong sustained a department rules violation when he failed to conduct proper Precinct Property Inventory when a vouchered vehicle that was intended to be used as evidence went missing.

On March 21<sup>st</sup>, 2019, while assigned to the 100<sup>th</sup> precinct, Police Officer Wailok Wong failed to accurately complete a property clerk invoice concerning a controlled substance.

This officer was also the subject of an allegation that was found to be, "unsubstantiated" following an investigation. The District Attorney is not in actual possession of any documentation supporting this allegation and are informed by representatives of the NYPD that they are currently unable to provide such documents for CPL §245.20 disclosure. Under our discovery obligation defined in CPL §245.20(1)(k), we disclose only allegations that are either pending or have been substantiated. There is no controlling authority that requires the disclosure of an unsubstantiated allegation but we bring its existence to your attention pursuant to the presumption of openness required under CPL §245.20(7).

The People reserve the right to move in *limine* to preclude or limit reference to this information in any further proceedings in this prosecution.