CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		 Геат:	CCRB Case #:	✓ Force	✓ Discourt.	□ U.S.
Diana Murray		Геат # 3	201203212	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Diana Wunay		ream # 3	201203212	Abuse A	V O.L.	injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident	:	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Friday, 03/09/2012 2:25 PM, S 03/11/2012	Sunday,	In the vicinity of § 87(2	2)(b)	28	9/9/2013	9/9/2013
Date/Time CV Reported	(CV Reported At:	How CV Reporte	d: Date/Time	e Received at CC	RB
Sun, 03/11/2012 4:54 PM	(CCRB	Call Processing System	Sun, 03/1	1/2012 4:54 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Add	Iress			
Witness(es)		Home Add	lress			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POF Catherine Vargas	27374	939632	028 PCT			
2. POM Frederic Disla	10123	936498	028 PCT			
3. POF Mirian Jimenez	26463	947107	028 PCT			
4. POM Pedro Vazquez	10452	907508	028 PCT			
5. POM Brian Davis	25667	931624	028 PCT			
6. POM Luis Rodriguez	27492	947421	028 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM Francis Zito	00022	942746	028 PCT			
2. POM Jose Cadavid	09085	941483	028 PCT			
3. SGT Juan Rodriguez	04117	939357	028 PCT			
4. SGT Julio Agron	02611	910975	028 PCT			
5. POM Timothy Purcell	05679	934080	028 PCT			
6. POM Marcus Colon	14439	943097	028 PCT			
7. POM Christian Saal	26739	943766	028 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegation	ı		Inv	estigator Recoi	nmendation
A.POF Mirian Jimenez		sy: On March 9, 201				
	§ 87(2)(b) Mirian Jin	nenez spoke rudely t		nattan, PO		
		nd an individual.				
B.POF Catherine Vargas		age: On March 9, 2				
	§ 87(2)(b)	Vargas made remarl		nattan, PO		
		vargas made remark nd an individual base				
C.POF Catherine Vargas Force: C		on March 9, 2012, inside of \$87(2)(b) in				
	Manhattan		gas used physical for			
	§ 87(2)(b)					

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
D.POF Catherine Vargas	Discourtesy: On March 9, 2012, inside of \$87(2)(b) in Manhattan, PO Catherine Vargas spoke rudely to \$87(2)(b)	
E.POF Mirian Jimenez	Force: On March 9, 2012, inside of \$87(2)(b) in Manhattan, PO Mirian Jimenez used physical force against \$87(2)(b)	
F.POF Catherine Vargas	Force: On March 9, 2012, inside of \$87(2)(b) in Manhattan, PO Catherine Vargas struck \$87(2)(b) with an asp.	
G.POM Pedro Vazquez	Force: On March 9, 2012, inside of \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2012} in Manhattan, PO Pedro Vazquez used physical force against \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2012}	
H.POM Pedro Vazquez	Force: On March 9, 2012, inside of \$87(2)(b) in Manhattan, PO Pedro Vazquez used physical force against \$87(2)(b)	
I.POM Pedro Vazquez	Abuse: On March 9, 2012, inside of \$87(2)(b) in Manhattan, PO Pedro Vazquez damaged \$87(2)(b) s property.	
J.POM Pedro Vazquez	Discourtesy: On March 9, 2012, inside of [887(2)(b)] in Manhattan, and en route to the 28th Precinct stationhouse, PO Pedro Vazquez spoke rudely to [887(2)(b)]	
K.POM Brian Davis	Discourtesy: On March 9, 2012, while en route to the 28th Precinct stationhouse, PO Brian Davis spoke rudely to \$87(2)(b)	
L.POM Frederic Disla	Discourtesy: On March 11, 2012, at the 28th Precinct stationhouse, PO Frederic Disla spoke rudely to § 87(2)(b)	
§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)		
§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)		
§ 87(2)(g), § 87(4-b)		

Case Summary

Inte	On March 13, 2012, \$37(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB via the Call Processing tem (Enc. 4a – 4c). On March 20, 2012, \$37(2)(b) filed a duplicate of this complaint with the rnal Affairs Bureau (IAB) via phone, generating IAB log 12-6081 (Enc. 5a – 5b). On March 9, 2012, at 2:25 p.m., officers stopped \$37(2)(b) near the intersection of West 113 th tet and Lenox Avenue, in Manhattan. On March 11, 2012, \$37(2)(b) arrived at the 28 th Precinct tonhouse to retrieve her property. The following allegations resulted from these two incidents:
•	Allegation A – Discourtesy: On March 9, 2012, in the vicinity of Manhattan, PO Miriam Jimenez spoke rudely to \$37(2)(b) and an an
	individual.
•	Allegation B – Offensive Language: On March 9, 2012, in the vicinity of [887(2)(b)] in
	Manhattan, PO Catherine Vargas made remarks to \$87(2)(b) and an
	individual based on race.
•	Allegation D – Discourtesy: On March 9, 2012, inside of 887(2)(b) in Manhattan, PO
•	Catherine Vargas spoke rudely to \$87(2)(b) Allegation J– Discourtesy: On March 9, 2012, inside of \$87(2)(b) and en route to the
•	Allegation J– Discourtesy: On March 9, 2012, inside of 887(2)(b) and en route to the 28 th Precinct stationhouse, PO Pedro Vazquez spoke rudely to 887(2)(b)
•	Allegation K – Discourtesy: On March 9, 2012, while en route to the 28 th Precinct stationhouse,
	PO Brian Davis spoke rudely to \$87(2)(b)
•	Allegation L – Discourtesy: On March 11, 2012, at the 28th Precinct stationhouse, PO Fredrick
	Disla spoke rudely to § 87(2)(b)
	§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
•	Allegation C – Force: On March 9, 2012, inside of \$87(2)(6) in Manhattan, PO
	Catherine Vargas used physical force against § 87(2)(6)
•	Allegation E – Force: On March 9, 2012, inside of \$87(2)(0) in Manhattan, PO Miriam
	Jimenez used physical force against § 87(2)(b)
•	Allegation F – Force: On March 9, 2012, inside of 887(2)(b) in Manhattan, PO
	Catherine Vargas struck 887(2)(b) with an asp.
	§ 87(2)(g)
	Allegation G – Force: On March 9, 2012, inside of \$87(2)(b) in Manhattan, PO Pedro
•	Vazquez used physical force against § 87(2)(b)
•	Allegation H – Force: On March 9, 2012, inside of \$87(2)(6) in Manhattan, PO Pedro
	Vazquez used physical force against \$87(2)(b)
•	Allegation I – Abuse of Authority: On March 9, 2012, inside of S87(2)(b) in Manhattan,
	PO Pedro Vazquez damaged 887(2)(b) s property.
	§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)

Page 2 CCRB Case # 201203212

Results of Investigation Civilian Statements Complainant/Victim: \$87(2)(b)
Civilian Statements Complainant/Victim: \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ • \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ was \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ old at the time of this incident. \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ Statements to Medical Personnel On March 14, 2012, \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ stated to \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ Hospital personnel, "Five days ago an officer grabbed her by left arm threw her to the ground and kicked her back" (Enc. 10a).
Civilian Statements Complainant/Victim: \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ • \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ was \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ old at the time of this incident. \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ Statements to Medical Personnel On March 14, 2012, \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ stated to \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ Hospital personnel, "Five days ago an officer grabbed her by left arm threw her to the ground and kicked her back" (Enc. 10a).
Civilian Statements Complainant/Victim: \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ • \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ was \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ old at the time of this incident. \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ Statements to Medical Personnel On March 14, 2012, \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ stated to \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ Hospital personnel, "Five days ago an officer grabbed her by left arm threw her to the ground and kicked her back" (Enc. 10a).
Civilian Statements Complainant/Victim: \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ • \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ was \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ old at the time of this incident. \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ Statements to Medical Personnel On March 14, 2012, \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ stated to \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ Hospital personnel, "Five days ago an officer grabbed her by left arm threw her to the ground and kicked her back" (Enc. 10a).
Civilian Statements Complainant/Victim: \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ • \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ was \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ old at the time of this incident. \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ Statements to Medical Personnel On March 14, 2012, \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ stated to \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ Hospital personnel, "Five days ago an officer grabbed her by left arm threw her to the ground and kicked her back" (Enc. 10a).
Civilian Statements Complainant/Victim: \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ • \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ was \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ old at the time of this incident. \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ Statements to Medical Personnel On March 14, 2012, \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ stated to \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ Hospital personnel, "Five days ago an officer grabbed her by left arm threw her to the ground and kicked her back" (Enc. 10a).
Civilian Statements Complainant/Victim: \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ • \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ was \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ old at the time of this incident. \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ Statements to Medical Personnel On March 14, 2012, \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ stated to \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ Hospital personnel, "Five days ago an officer grabbed her by left arm threw her to the ground and kicked her back" (Enc. 10a).
Civilian Statements Complainant/Victim: \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ • \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ was \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ old at the time of this incident. \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ Statements to Medical Personnel On March 14, 2012, \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ stated to \$\frac{8}{57(2)(b)}\$ Hospital personnel, "Five days ago an officer grabbed her by left arm threw her to the ground and kicked her back" (Enc. 10a).
Complainant/Victim: \$87(2)(b) • \$87(2)(b) was \$87(2)(b) old at the time of this incident. \$87(2)(b) • Statements to Medical Personnel On March 14, 2012, \$87(2)(b) stated to \$87(2)(b) Hospital personnel, "Five days ago an officer grabbed her by left arm threw her to the ground and kicked her back" (Enc. 10a).
• \$87(2)(b) was \$87(2)(b) old at the time of this incident. \$87(2)(b) Statements to Medical Personnel On March 14, 2012, \$87(2)(b) stated to \$87(2)(b) Hospital personnel, "Five days ago an officer grabbed her by left arm threw her to the ground and kicked her back" (Enc. 10a).
• \$87(2)(b) was \$87(2)(b) old at the time of this incident. \$87(2)(b) Statements to Medical Personnel On March 14, 2012, \$87(2)(b) stated to \$87(2)(b) Hospital personnel, "Five days ago an officer grabbed her by left arm threw her to the ground and kicked her back" (Enc. 10a).
Statements to Medical Personnel On March 14, 2012, \$87(2)(b) stated to \$87(2)(b) Hospital personnel, "Five days ago an officer grabbed her by left arm threw her to the ground and kicked her back" (Enc. 10a).
On March 14, 2012, stated to stated
On March 14, 2012, stated to stated
officer grabbed her by left arm threw her to the ground and kicked her back" (Enc. 10a).
CCRB Statement
provided a phone statement to the CCRB on March 20, 2012 (Enc. 7a), was
interviewed by IAB Group 10 officers on March 20, 2012 (Enc. 36a – 36g), and was interviewed at the
CCRB on March 29, 2012 (Enc. $8a - 8e$). The statements were mainly consistent with any discrepancies noted below.
On March 9, 2012, at 2:25 p.m., § 87(2)(b) her friend, § 87(2)(b) and a friend known
only as \$87(2)(6) were crossing Lenox Avenue near the intersection of West 113th Street, in Manhattan,
when they heard a police siren. PO Miriam Jimenez of the 28th Precinct, identified via the investigation
and described by §87(2)(b) as a 5'6" tall, slim, Hispanic female in her mid-20s, stated "Whatcha
looking at bitch?" from the RMP passenger's seat. PO Catherine Vargas of the 28th Precinct, identified by
and described as a 5'3" tall, heavyset Hispanic female in her late 20s was driving the
vehicle. ser(2)(b) replied, "Did you just call us a bitch? If I am a bitch you're a bitch, bitch." PO
Jimenez laughed and stated, "Ah you mad, you mad 'cuz I called you a bitch." [872](b) interjected,
"Ya'll the NYPD, right? You're supposed to restore justice and protect us, and you're sitting here trying
to provoke us. You're miserable, if you don't like your job then quit." § 87(2)(b) and
walked through the intersection slowly while intermittently stopping to yell at the officers. PO
Vargas sped the RMP up for a brief second then slammed on the brakes five feet from the three women.
started yelling, "You're trying to hit me with your car now?! PO Vargas exited the vehicle and stated, "Yea, all three of you black bitches!"
The three women entered a deli located at \$87(2)(b) in Manhattan. PO Vargas entered
behind them and obtained \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) s identifications, but \$87(2)(b) did not have hers.
PO Vargas began to handcuff \$87(2)(b) but \$87(2)(b) fled to the back of the store. PO Vargas
chased § 87(2)(b) grabbed her hair, and slammed her face against the freezer. § 87(2)(b) turned
around and punched PO Vargas and the two began "fist fighting."
approached the tussle to attempt to grab \$87(2)(b) seeds scell phone, but PO Vargas stated to \$87(2)(b) seeds and began striking \$100.0000000000000000000000000000000000
in the face with a closed fist an unknown number of times. § 87(2)(b) was still standing at this

Page 3 CCRB Case # 201203212

time and only had one handcuff on her wrist. PO Vargas swung her asp at \$87(2)(b) though \$27(3)
did not see if or where it made contact with §87(2)(b) s body.
Approximately 40 officers entered the bodega. PO Pedro Vazquez, who was identified by
and described as a 5'11" tall, heavyset uniformed white male in his 40s, ran into the bodega and
"jumped on top of \$87(2)(b) could not describe this further, as she ran to the front of the
store and did not see what happened to \$87(2)(b) PO Vazquez then kicked \$87(2)(b) in her back,
forcing her to the floor. During her CCRB phone statement, \$87(2)(b) alleged that PO Vazquez was
grabbing her shoulders and arms and throwing her against the wall, though she did not mention this in her
in-person statement. § 87(2)(b) denied resisting PO Vazquez's attempts to handcuff her, and stated that
she was just "standing there." While \$67(2)(0) was screaming, PO Vazquez stated to her, "Shut the
fuck up." PO Vazquez handcuffed \$87(2)(6) and, in the process, intentionally removed her phone
from her hands and threw it to the ground, causing it to shatter. In her statement to IAB Group 10,
alleged that, while she was getting handcuffed, PO Vazquez removed her phone from her hand
and seconds later she heard it shatter, though she did not observe what caused it to shatter.
PO Vazquez drove the marked van that transported [§ 87(2)(b)] to the 28 th Precinct stationhouse.
While inside of the RMP, PO Brian Davis, who was identified via the investigation and described as a
uniformed black male officer, continuously stated "shut the fuck up" to \$87(2)(b) PO Juan
Rodriguez, who was identified via the investigation and described as a 5'6" tall, uniformed Hispanic male
officer, rode in the front passenger seat of the RMP. During the ride to the precinct, PO Vazquez also
stated "shut the fuck up" to \$87(2)(b) numerous times.
§87(2)(b) received medical treatment from §87(2)(b) Hospital and Harlem Hospital regarding
prior injuries to her back, neck, and wrist that were exacerbated during this incident. \$87(2)(b)
were charged with resisting arrest, disorderly conduct and assaulting an officer.
On March 11, 2012, between the hours of 1 p.m. and 4 p.m., §87(2)(b) returned to the 28 th
Precinct stationhouse to retrieve her property that was confiscated during her arrest. She asked PO
Fredrick Disla, who series identified by name and described as a dark-skinned Indian or Hispanic
male in his late 20s, for her property. PO Disla responded that he could not retrieve her property for her.
A few officers congregated and determined that it was \$87(2)(b) who injured PO Vargas, and
therefore they would not give her back her property. PO Disla stated to \$87(2)(b) "Get the fuck out!
You want to get yourself back here? Get out." [S87(2)(b)] left the stationhouse without her property.
Attempts to Contact Victims
During \$87(2)(b) phone statement and her in-person statement, she denied knowing \$87(2)(b) s
last name or having contact information for her, but stated that she would pass the message along to
and have her contact the CCRB. On April 26, 2012, and July 31, 2012, follow up e-mails were
sent to \$87(2)(b) Confirmed e-mail address requesting information for \$87(2)(b) As of the writing of
this report, \$87(2)(b) has not provided the CCRB with \$87(2)(b) s contact information and \$87(2)(b) has
not contacted the CCRB. \$87(2)(b) was not stopped or arrested as a result of this incident, and there were
no police documents or memo book entries regarding her involvement or presence in this incident.
On April 26, 2012, after one missed appointment, contact was established with \$87(2)(6) and
she stated that she did not want to pursue her portion of the complaint. §87(2)(b) stated that she was
withdrawing her complaint on her own freewill and that she was not coerced by a member of the NYPD
or the CCRB. This conversation, located in IA # 38 on the digital recording
201203212_20120426_1104.WAV., was reviewed by Supervising Investigator Edwin Pena, who
confirmed that it adheres to agency standards. This same day a withdrawal letter was mailed to
s confirmed residence and has not been returned to the CCRB as of the writing of this report.

<u>Fieldwork</u>

Page 4 CCRB Case # 201203212 On May 8, 2012, a canvass of Express Deli Inc., located at \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ in Manhattan was conducted (Enc. 6a – 6c). The interior cameras of the bodega no longer stored the video footage from the day of the incident. The interior and exterior of the bodega were photographed. \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ an employee of Express Deli, acknowledged witnessing the incident but was unable to provide a statement at the time of the canvass. However, on June 19, 2012, contact was established with \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ and he denied witnessing any of the alleged misconduct, as he stepped outside prior to the struggle. On March 20, 2012, IAB Group 10 investigating officers arrived at Express Deli Inc., and \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ informed them that he had seen civilians refuse to provide their identifications and then violently resist arrest. \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ also stated that he observed a female civilian hit an officer in the head with a metal object (Enc. 36a – 36g).

Video Footage

PO Vargas provided the CCRB with two short video clips that she obtained from the bodega's interior cameras. PO Vargas did not have the original copy of the footage, but she arrived at the bodega and took an iPhone video of the bodega's original video.

The video footage depicts PO Vargas and PO Jimenez chasing \$37(2)(b) to the back of the store where PO Vargas and \$67(2)(b) begin wrestling on their feet. PO Jimenez attempts to bear hug from behind and \$67(2)(b) kicks PO Vargas two times, making contact with her legs. PO Vargas and PO Jimenez either grab \$67(2)(b) so clothing behind her neck or her hair, using this as leverage to move her toward a freezer or wall that is out of the range of the video footage, though it appears that \$67(2)(b) is being forced up against a barrier.

swings her right elbow back at PO Jimenez's face, making contact with her forehead, and then strikes PO Jimenez with her left fist, which has an opened handcuff dangling from it. thrashes her body free from the officers' grasp and escapes off of the screen. say(2)(b) appears in the video for the first time since the physical dispute began and crowds around the officers with a cell phone in her hand. PO Jimenez and PO Vargas hold say(2)(b) into an L shaped position and PO Jimenez strikes say(2)(b) one time on the back of her head with a closed fist. say(2)(b) strikes PO Jimenez on the left side of her face with her closed right fist. PO Jimenez appears shaky on her feet and looks at her hand, evidently noticing blood. PO Vargas, who is holding say(2)(b) from behind, strikes say(2)(b) one time on her back with an asp.

NYPD Statements:

Subject Officer: PO CATHERINE VARGAS

- *PO Vargas was* § 87(2)(b)
- On March 9, 2012, PO Vargas worked from 12 p.m. to 8:35 p.m. on an Impact Team with PO Miriam Jimenez. Both officers were in uniform and assigned to marked RMP 4587.

Memo Book

At 2:20 p.m., three females were stopped inside of \$87(2)(b) The females were jay-walking and being disorderly. At 2:25 p.m., PO Vargas called for additional police assistance regarding females who were fighting police and resisting arrest. At 4 p.m., PO Vargas and PO Jimenez were seen at Hospital. PO Vargas had injuries to her knee. At 5 p.m., PO Vargas arrived at the 28th Precinct to process arrest paperwork (Enc. 16a – 16b).

OLBS Reports and Complaint Report

PO Vargas prepared OLBS arrest reports for 887(2)(6) and 887(2)(6) (Enc. 12a - 12f). They were both arrested for assault in the first degree, obstructing governmental administration, resisting

Page 5
CCRB Case # 201203212

arrest, violation of local law, and disorderly conduct for obscene language or gestures and obstructing traffic. In both reports, PO Vargas noted, "At TPO defendant was observed walking in front of a moving motor vehicle thereby obstructing vehicular traffic in a reckless manner. When police officers approached defendant, defendant began cursing and became irate. When police officers attempted to affect an arrest, defendant did cause a laceration and profuse bleeding to police officer's forehead by intentionally striking police officer repeatedly with a closed fist. The defendant then resisted arrest by flailing her arms to prevent the police officers from hand cuffing her." The complaint report prepared reiterates the above information (Enc. 4a - 4c).

Property Vouchers

PO Vargas vouchered \$87(2)(6) and \$87(2)(6) s property together under voucher numbers and \$87(2)(6) Of the various items vouchered, only one smart phone was listed on the invoice and there were no damages noted (Enc. 15a - 15e).

Criminal Court Complaint and Supporting Deposition

The Criminal Complaint Report noted that \$87(2)(b) prevented an officer from performing an official function by grabbing PO Vargas' arm while she was handcuffing \$87(2)(b) also obstructed vehicular and pedestrian traffic. \$87(2)(b) so Criminal Complaint Report noted that took the handcuffs away from PO Vargas, ran away, and struck PO Jimenez multiple times in the face with a handcuff and refused to be handcuffed (Enc. 14a – 14b).

Injury Photos

PO Vargas provided the CCRB with two injury photos. Photo #1 is of PO Vargas' left knee abrasion. Photo #2 depicts PO Jimenez's forehead and left eye laceration (Enc. 17a - 17b).

CCRB Statement

PO Vargas was interviewed at the CCRB on September 11, 2012 (Enc. 18a – 18d).

On March 9, 2012, at 2:20 p.m., PO Vargas and PO Jimenez observed three women jaywalking from the eastside of Lenox Avenue to the west side of Lenox Avenue while the vehicular traffic had the green light. PO Vargas, who was driving the marked RMP, had to slam on the brakes as not to hit the three women. PO Vargas honked the horn and blared her siren.

The three females approached the RMP stating, "Fuck you, what are you going to do about it? I don't have to fucking move. What do you think, that just because you are a cop you can do whatever you want?" Neither PO Vargas nor PO Jimenez used profanity or stated, "Whatcha looking at bitch" or "ahh, you mad because I called you a bitch." PO Vargas did not state, "Yea all three of you black bitches" nor did she hear PO Jimenez make this statement.

The three women entered a bodega at \$87(2)(b) and PO Vargas and PO Jimenez entered shortly behind them with intentions of issuing them a summons for jaywalking. Two individuals, identified as \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) provided their identification to PO Vargas, but the third individual, \$87(2)(b) refused to provide her identification.

PO Vargas began to handcuff \$\frac{8}{2}\frac{1}{2}\fra

Page 6 **CCRB Case # 201203212**

PO Vargas went over the radio to request an additional unit. As PO Vargas and PO Jimenez were
struggling with \$87(2)(b) punched PO Jimenez in the face numerous times with the
handcuffs, causing lacerations to PO Jimenez's scalp, forehead, and left eye. PO Vargas removed her asp
and struck \$87(2)(b) two times on her back in quick succession while \$87(2)(b) was punching PO
Jimenez in the face. §87(2)(b) stated, "Ok, Ok I will stop" but she continued to fight with PO Jimenez.
was getting very close to PO Vargas and PO Jimenez and was yelling at the officers. PO
Vargas repeatedly told § 87(2)(b) to back up, but she did not recall stating "back the fuck up."
Eventually, officers arrived and were able to get \$87(2)(b) fully handcuffed. PO Jimenez was unable to
assist, as she was bleeding profusely from her face. PO Vargas pointed out to additional
officers, and they presumably handcuffed her, though PO Vargas did not observe this. PO Vargas did not
observe an officer kick \$87(2)(b) and is unaware of whether force was used against her. She did not
hear an officer state, "Shut the fuck up" to \$87(2)(b) at any point during the interaction, nor did she
observe an officer damage her cell phone.

Subject Officer: PO MIRIAM JIMENEZ

- *PO Jimenez was* § 87(2)(b)
- On the incident date, PO Jimenez worked the same tour and assignment as PO Vargas.

Memo Book

At 2:20 p.m., three females were stopped inside of \$87(2)(b) for disorderly conduct and jaywalking. At 2:25 p.m., PO Jimenez called for additional assistance regarding females fighting and resisting arrest. At 2:50 p.m., PO Jimenez went to \$87(2)(b) Hospital.

CCRB Statement

PO Jimenez was interviewed at the CCRB on February 15, 2013 (Enc. 20a - 20c). Her statement was consistent with PO Vargas' with only exceptions noted below.

PO Jimenez stopped three women acting disorderly while jaywalking across Lenox Avenue. When PO Vargas blared the siren at them, the three women began yelling at the officers. PO Vargas told them to stop, but they entered a bodega, causing PO Vargas and PO Jimenez to follow them inside and obtain the ID cards of \$87(2)(5) PO Jimenez did not state, or hear PO Vargas state, "Whatcha looking at bitches?" or "You mad that I called you a bitch?" Neither PO Vargas nor PO Jimenez stated, "Yea, all three of you black bitches."

did not have her identification and, as PO Vargas began to handcuff her, she ran to the back of the store with PO Vargas' handcuffs. PO Vargas and \$87(2)(b) began tussling on their feet.

\$87(2)(b) was swinging the open handcuffs toward PO Vargas, and PO Vargas was trying to grasp \$57(2)(b) continuously flailed her arms, striking both officers with the open set of handcuffs. \$87(2)(b) was substantially taller and heavier than both PO Jimenez and PO Vargas, and they were unable to get her to the ground. PO Jimenez did not observe PO Vargas pull \$87(2)(b) s hair and slam her face into the freezer. Upon viewing the video footage, PO Jimenez stated that the officers may have been pulling on the clothing behind \$87(2)(b) s neck, or her hair, though she was unable to recall. \$87(2)(b) was too close to the officers as they were effecting the arrest, though PO Jimenez could not recall whether she or PO Vargas stated "back the fuck up" to her. PO Jimenez continually yelled "stop resisting!"

Jimenez's head which caused her to bleed profusely from her head into her eyes. At first PO Jimenez attempted to grab \$87(2)(6) arms to get control of her body, but PO Vargas and PO Jimenez were unable to get her onto the ground. PO Jimenez could not recall punching \$87(2)(6) but upon reviewing the video footage, she confirmed that she did in fact strike \$87(2)(6) at least one time on the back of her

Page 7
CCRB Case # 201203212

head. PO Vargas removed her asp and struck \$87(2)(6) PO Jimenez is uncertain where PO Vargas struck \$87(2)(6) or how many times \$87(2)(6) was struck, because by this time PO Jimenez had blood pouring down her face and was unable to see or assist PO Vargas. PO Jimenez did not observe a male officer jump onto \$87(2)(6) or kick \$87(2)(6) She did not hear a male officer state, "Shut the fuck up" and she is unaware of any damaged property, including a cell phone in \$87(2)(6) possession.
Subject Officer: PO PEDRO VAZQUEZ
• PO Vazquez was § 87(2)(b)
• On March 9, 2012, PO Vazquez worked from 12 p.m. to 10:35 p.m. as an Impact officer in marked van 5619. He was working in uniform with PO Brian Davis and PO Juan Rodriguez.
Memo Book
PO Vazquez does not have any entries in his memo book regarding this incident (Enc. 22a – 22c).
PO Vazquez was interviewed at the CCRB on February 15, 2012 (Enc. 23a – 23b). At the time of the incident, PO Vazquez arrived at \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ in Manhattan, in response to a call for an additional unit. Upon arriving, he observed PO Jimenez's face covered in blood and PO Vargas on the floor with \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ and an unidentified officer. The officers handcuffed \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ prior to PO Vazquez's arrival, and he did not assist in handcuffing any arrestee. PO Vazquez did not observe a physical struggle, as it appeared to have ended prior to his arrival. PO Vazquez did not use force against any individual, including jumping on \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ or kicking \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ PO Vazquez may have escorted a handcuffed arrestee to a transport vehicle, though he did not handcuff her himself. PO Vazquez did not tell \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ to "shut the fuck up" nor did he throw her phone on the ground. Both arrestees were cursing and screaming on the way out of the bodega. PO Vazquez did not transport an arrestee to the stationhouse and does not believe that his van was used to transport an arrestee.
Subject Officers: PO BRIAN DAVIS and PO LUIS RODRIGUEZ
• PO Davis was \$87(2)(b) . PO Luis Rodriguez was \$87(2)(b)
• On the date of the incident, PO Davis and PO Rodriguez worked from 12 p.m. to 8:35 p.m. and her assigned to Impact with PO Pedro Vazquez. Both officers were in uniform and assigned to marked van #5619.
$\frac{\text{Memo Book}}{\text{PO Davis and PO Rodriguez do not have any memo book entries regarding this incident (Enc. }27a-27d; 25a-25b).}$
CCRB Testimony PO Davis and PO Rodriguez were interviewed at the CCRB on March 20, 2013 (Enc. 28a – 28b; 26a – 26b). Their statements were consistent with each other. At the time of the incident, PO Davis, PO Vazquez and PO Rodriguez responded to street in Manhattan, after received a call for an additional unit. Upon arriving, both arrestees were already handcuffed and no physical struggle took place in their presence. Neither officer observed PO

Page 8 **CCRB Case # 201203212**

Vazquez damage an arrestee's phone or state, "Shut the fuck up." Some of the officers at the location appeared to be seriously injured. PO Davis, PO Vazquez, and PO Rodriguez transported save to the stationhouse. Both PO Davis and PO Rodriguez noted that \$87(2)(b) was acting irate and

agitated during the two minute ride to the stationhouse. She kept asking PO Davis what her charges were, but PO Davis had no information regarding her arrest and informed stating to ask her processing officer instead. PO Davis denied stating "Shut the fuck up" or hearing PO Vazquez make this statement. PO Rodriguez denied hearing any officer tell statement. To "shut the fuck up" nor did he hear any officer use profanity.

Subject Officer: PO FREDRICK DISLA

• *PO Disla was* § 87(2)(b)

• On March 9, 2012, PO Disla worked 12 p.m. to 10:35 p.m. as a foot post at 115th Street and Lenox Avenue, in Manhattan. PO Disla was working in uniform with PO Christian Saal and was not assigned to a vehicle. On March 11, 2012, PO Disla worked from 3 p.m. to 11:35 p.m. assigned to Patrol Sectors D and E with PO Marcus Colon. Both officers were in uniform and assigned to a marked RMP.

Memo Book

On March 9, 2012, at 2:25 p.m., PO Disla responded to a call for an additional unit at West 113th Street and Lenox Avenue, in Manhattan. On March 11, 2012, PO Disla began his tour at 3 p.m. and was present at the stationhouse from the beginning of his tour until 5 p.m. when he did the RMP check and left the precinct (Enc. 29a – 29c).

CCRB Testimony

PO Disla was interviewed at the CCRB on February 15, 2013 (Enc. 30a – 30b).

On March 9, 2012, at 2:25 p.m., PO Disla responded to a bodega located at Manhattan, but remained outside and did not observe any of the alleged misconduct.

On March 11, 2012, PO Disla was at the stationhouse from approximately 3 p.m. to 5 p.m. doing administrative work. He does not recall what the administrative work entailed, where in the stationhouse he was working, or who he was with. Upon viewing an arrest photo of PO Disla stated that he did not recognize her from either the March 9, 2012, or March 11, 2012, incidents. PO Disla was unable to recall a female civilian arriving at the stationhouse on March 11, 2012, to obtain her property.

In regards to the March 11, 2012 incident, PO Disla does not recall stating "Get the fuck out. You want to get yourself back here? Get out," or using any type of profanity toward a civilian.

Medical Records

NYPD Documents

SPRINT Report

SPRINT Report F08614 was generated as a result of the March 9, 2012, incident (Enc. 33a – 33d). At 2:24 p.m., an overtime sergeant transmitted at that an injured MOS was at West 113th Street and Lenox Avenue. A 28th Precinct sergeant arrived at the location and reported that the MOS was being escorted to the hospital with an eye laceration. At 2:27 p.m., two individuals were placed under arrest. At 2:35 p.m., a 28th Precinct foot post transported the two arrestees to the stationhouse.

Page 9 CCRB Case # 201203212

Roll Call

The 28th Precinct tour 3 roll call for March 9, 2012, lists Sgt. Juan Rodriguez as the Conditions supervisor. PO Davis, PO Rodriguez, PO Vazquez, PO Vargas, PO Disla and PO Jimenez were assigned to Impact (Enc. 34a – 34g). The 28th Precinct tour 3 roll call for March 11, 2012, lists PO Disla as being assigned to patrol sectors D and E with PO Marcus Colon (Enc. 39a – 39b).

Command Log

The Command Log from March 9, 2012, lists 387(2) and 387(2) as being logged into the stationhouse at 2:35 p.m. and 2:38 p.m. Both of their conditions are listed as "apparently normal" (Enc. 35a). The March 11, 2012 Command Log does not have any notations regarding 387(2) alleged attempts to retrieve her property from the stationhouse (Enc. 40a - 40c).

Other Evidence

IAB Group 10 Investigating Officer's Report for Call Out

Group 10 performed call out 12-0824 in regard to this incident (Enc. 36a – 36g). On March 20, 2012, the IAB officers interviewed \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) They made various attempts to obtain the video footage associated with the complaint but were ultimately unable to do so. IAB recommended this case to OCD as an arrest dispute with a spin-off to the CCRB for force.

§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(a) 160.50

Status of Civil Proceedings

• \$87(2)(b) filed a Notice of Claim with the City of New York on an unknown date, claiming negligent training and hiring, emotional distress, excessive force, false arrest and malicious prosecution. She is seeking two million dollars as redress (Enc. 43a – 43d).

§ 87(2)	(b)		

Civilians CCRB History

• This is the first CCRB complaint filed by §87(2)(b) and §87(2)(b) (Enc. 3h - 3i).

Subject Officers CCRB History

- PO Miriam Jimenez, PO Pedro Vazquez, PO Fredrick Disla, and PO Luis Rodriguez have been members of the service for 4, 19, 8, and 4 years, respectively, and have no substantiated CCRB allegations against them (Enc. 3b 3e; 3g).
- PO Catherine Vargas has been a member of the service for seven years and there is one substantiated CCRB allegation against her (Enc.3a):
 - In CCRB case number 201202887 the Board substantiated a discourtesy word allegation against PO Vargas and recommended Instructions. As of the writing of this report, the NYPD has not reached a disposition.
- PO Brian Davis has been a member of the service for ten years and there is one substantiated CCRB allegation against him (Enc. 3f):
 - In CCRB case number 200708090 the Board substantiated a property damage allegation against PO Davis and recommended Charges. In November 2008 the NYPD declined to prosecute.

Page 10 CCRB Case # 201203212

Conclusion

Identification of Subject Officers and Pleading of Allegations PO Jimenez and PO Vargas acknowledged their interaction with \$87(2)(b) and were mainly consistent in § 87(2)(b) description of their respective roles. § 87(2)(b) provided PO Pedro Vazquez's last name, described him as a 5'11" tall, heavyset uniformed white male officer in his 40s, and alleged that he used force against her, intentionally damaged her cell phone, and made profane statements while transporting her to the stationhouse. The investigation determined PO Vazquez is a 5'8" tall, 190-pound, 44-year-old, white-Hispanic male who was working in uniform on the day of the incident. PO Vazquez denied using force against \$87(2)(6) cell phone, and transporting her to the stationhouse. However, PO Brian Davis and PO Luis Rodriguez, who were working with PO Vazquez on the day of the incident, contend that PO Vazquez transported ■ to the stationhouse. Therefore, discourtesy, damaged property, and force allegations have been plead against PO Vazquez. alleged that a black male officer used profanity toward her during her transport to the stationhouse. The investigation determined that only PO Vazquez, PO Rodriguez, and PO Davis were present during this time, and PO Davis was the only black male present. Therefore, a discourtesy allegation has been pleaded against him. identified PO Fredrick Disla by his nameplate, described him as a dark-skinned Indian or Hispanic male in his late 20's, and alleged that on March 11, 2012, he spoke profanely to her at the stationhouse. The investigation determined PO Disla is a 30-year-old Hispanic male who was inside of the stationhouse at the time of the incident. Therefore, a discourtesy allegation has been pleaded against him. **Investigative Findings and Recommendations** Allegation A – Discourtesy: On March 9, 2012, in the vicinity of §87(2)(6) PO Miriam Jimenez spoke rudely to §87(2)(b) and an individual. Allegation B – Offensive Language: On March 9, 2012, in the vicinity of 887(2)(b) Manhattan, PO Catherine Vargas made remarks to \$87(2)(b) and an individual based on race. Allegation D – Discourtesy: On March 9, 2012, inside of \$87(2)(b) in Manhattan, PO Catherine Vargas spoke rudely to §87(2)(b) Allegation J – Discourtesy: On March 9, 2012, inside of \$87(2)(b) in Manhattan, and en route to the 28th Precinct stationhouse, PO Pedro Vazquez spoke rudely to \$87(2)(b) Allegation K – Discourtesy: On March 9, 2012, while en route to the 28th Precinct stationhouse, PO Brian Davis spoke rudely to § 87(2)(b) Allegation L – Discourtesy: On March 11, 2012, at the 28th Precinct stationhouse, PO Fredrick Disla spoke rudely to §87(2)(b) alleged that PO Jimenez stated to § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) "Whatcha looking at bitch?" and "You mad because I called you a bitch?" \$ 87(2)(b) alleged that PO Vargas and § 87(2)(b) "Yea all three of you black bitches." § 87(2)(b) alleged that PO Vargas stated, "Back the fuck up" to \$87(2)(b) while effecting \$87(2)(b)

Page 11 **CCRB Case # 201203212**

continually told her to "Shut the fuck up." On March 11, 2012, while retrieving her property from the stationhouse, \$87(2)(6) alleged that PO Disla stated to her, "Get the fuck out. You want to get yourself

alleged that PO Vazquez stated to her, "Shut the fuck up" while he was handcuffing During the transport to the stationhouse, \$87(2)(b) alleged that PO Vazquez and PO Davis

back here? Get out." All officers denied using profanity toward \$87(2)(b)	or hearing another officer
do so. \$87(2)(b) and the bodega employee, \$87(2)(b) was unavailable to the investigation to provide a statement regal and offensive language. The video footage was not equipped with audic yelling at \$87(2)(b) rendering no objective evidence as to whether of	arding the officer's use of profanity and does not depict any officer
language during this incident. \$87(2)(b), \$87(2)(g)	
Allegation C – Force: On March 9, 2012, inside of \$87(2)(b)	in Manhattan, PO Catherine
Vargas used physical force against \$87(2)(b)	
Allegation E – Force: On March 9, 2012, inside of \$87(2)(b) Jimenez used physical force against \$87(2)(b)	in Manhattan, PO Miriam
Allegation F – Force: On March 9, 2012, inside of \$87(2)(b)	in Manhattan, PO Catherine
Vargas struck § 87(2)(b) with an asp.	m Mamatan, 10 catherine
It is undisputed that PO Vargas pushed and punched § 87(2)(b)	and slammed her against a wall
or freezer while PO Jimenez also punched \$87(2)(b) It is undisputed	that PO Vargas struck
with an asp and that \$87(2)(b) was also kicking and punching	
s NYPD arrest photograph does not depict any visible phys	
Patrol Guide Procedure 203-11 directs officers to use only the r to take into custody a resistant individual (Enc. $1a - 1b$).	minimal amount of force necessary
Although § 87(2)(b) withdrew her portion of the complaint, § 8	PO Vargas, PO
Jimenez and the video evidence presented enough information to come	
absent § 87(2)(b) s statement. § 87(2)(b) grabbed PO Vargas' hande	
officers in the head with a metal object, kicking officers, and violently i	
resistance, the officers first attempted to bear hug \$87(2)(b) then grawall, and finally struck her with their fists. When \$87(2)(b) s resistant	b her to position her against a
persisted, PO Vargas struck \$87(2)(b) s back with an asp two times.	
PO Vargas and PO Jimenez was reasonable, in that they started out min	
force when the initial force was unsuccessful in ceasing \$87(2)(b) s h	nigh rate of resistance.
Although §87(2)(b) withdrew her portion of the complaint, §8	
encounter first hand and provided a detailed account of the force used a	
statement, supplemented by the video footage, provided enough eviden	ice to come to a recommended
disposition absent § 87(2)(b) s statement. § 87(2)(g)	
Allegation G – Force: On March 9, 2012, inside of 887(2)(b)	<u>in Manhattan, PO Pedro</u>
Vazquez used physical force against \$87(2)(b) Allegation H – Force: On March 9, 2012, inside of \$87(2)(b)	in Manhattan, PO Pedro
Vazquez used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	III Wiaimattan, 10 1 euro
Allegation I – Abuse of Authority: On March 9, 2012, inside of 887(2)	in Manhattan, PO
Pedro Vazquez damaged §87(2)(b) s property.	
	kicked § 87(2)(b) in the back,
and intentionally threw \$87(2)(b) iPhone to the ground causing it to	
arrest. PO Vazquez contended, and his partners confirmed, that he arriv	ed after § 87(2)(b) and §

Page 12 CCRB Case # 201203212

damaging \$87(2)(b) interaction with inform ground, and kiel she had sustaine vouchered at the No port on the video foo \$87(2)(b) or	cell phone. Five stationhouse, but an iFi on of PO Vazquez's all otage, and no witnesses to state and it was not we bodega and it was not we was stationhouse.	inside of the bodega. hat an officer had grabbed he complained of back pain back from a car accident of back materials. The back from a car accident of back interaction with series were able to attest to wheth id, the extent of that interaction	ner arrestee. PO Vazquez denied iewed denied observing PO Vazquez's Five days after this incident, see by her left arm, threw her to the and informed medical personnel that ne year ago. A smart cell phone was or service was captured er PO Vazquez even interacted with tion. service in mever retrieved the e, rendering the condition of the phone
§ 87(4-b), § 87(2)(g)			
ş 67(4-0), ş 67(2)(g)			
			<u> </u>
Team: 3			
Investigator:		Diana P. Murray	
Sig	gnature	Print	Date
Supervisor:			
	le/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:			
	e/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:			
Title	/Signature	Print	Date

Page 13 CCRB Case # 201203212