

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Ji-Young Yoon	Team: Team # 8	CCRB Case #: 200511840	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Monday, 09/12/2005 7:45 PM	Location of Incident: 50 Precinct	Precinct: 50	18 Mo. SOL 3/12/2007	EO SOL 3/12/2007	
Date/Time CV Reported Tue, 10/04/2005 9:38 AM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Tue, 10/04/2005 9:38 AM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. SGT Julio Delgado	02981	918927	050 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.SGT Julio Delgado	Discourtesy: Sgt. Julio Delgado spoke rudely to § 87(2)(b)	
B.SGT Julio Delgado	Abuse: Sgt. Julio Delgado threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b)	

Synopsis

§ 87(2)(b) a § 87(2)(b) year old white female called the CCRB on October 4, 2005 and reported that on September 12, 2005 at 3:30 p.m., she called 911 to report her daughter missing. Sgt. Delgado responded, but he did not take a report, and instead told § 87(2)(b) that she was complaining about a custody battle. According to communication records, at 7:13 p.m. § 87(2)(b) called 911 again to report her daughter missing. At 7:45 p.m., she appeared at the 50th Precinct station house to make a missing persons report. § 87(2)(b) stated that she spoke with Sgt. Delgado again, who told her, “This shit better be important because you took me from what I was doing” [Allegation A]. Sgt. Delgado also questioned her psychiatric history and commented that she was close to being arrested [Allegation B].

On October 12, 2005, § 87(2)(b) was contacted by telephone. § 87(2)(b) refused to appear at the CCRB for an interview appointment. § 87(2)(b) argued that she was too preoccupied with work and taking care of her children, and asked to make a phone statement. § 87(2)(b) was advised that, as per agency policy, an in-person interview was required. The undersigned offered to meet § 87(2)(b) at her work place and interview her on her lunch hour, but § 87(2)(b) declined. § 87(2)(b) was advised that the investigation would be closed without her in-person statement, but she still refused to make an appointment with the CCRB. § 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Investigator:

Date:

Supervisor:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date:

Reviewed by:

Date: