CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Carter Salis		Squad #4	201810223	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Wednesday, 09/19/2018 12:05	AM	§ 87(2)(b)		104	3/19/2020	3/19/2020
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	d: Date/Time	Received at CCl	RB
Mon, 12/10/2018 9:51 AM		CCRB Phone		Mon, 12/1	Mon, 12/10/2018 9:51 AM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address				
Witness(es)		Home Add	ress			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Nicholas Dimatteo	13602	963489	104 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. SGT Steven Scopinich	5459	946231	104 PCT			
2. POM Henry Sorto	06996	961317	104 PCT			
3. POM Brendan Finnerty	14292	963989	104 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegation	on		Invo	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.POM Nicholas Dimatteo	Abuse: F § 87(2)(b)	Police Officer Nicholas	Dimatteo forcibly re	emoved		

Case Summary

filed this complaint with the CCRB by phone.

On December 10, 2018, § 87(2)(b)

On September 19, 2018, PO Nicholas Dimatteo and PO Henry Sorto, of the 104th Precinct, responded to a 911 call placed by §87(2)(b) s parents at Queens. PO Dimatteo allegedly forcibly removed \$87(2)(b) A: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(9) No arrests or summonses resulted from this incident. There was no known video footage of the incident. **Findings and Recommendations** Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Nicholas Dimatteo forcibly removed to the hospital. It is undisputed that at 11:54 PM on September 18, 2018, § 87(2)(b) s father called in Queens to report that § 87(2)(b) was off of his medication (BR 01). PO Dimatteo and PO Sorto responded to the call and arrived at approximately 12:05 AM on September 19, 2018. PO Dimatteo spoke with §87(2)(b) s mother and determined that \$7(2) needed to be evaluated at a hospital. Sgt. Steven Scoponich and PO Brendan Finnerty, also of the 104th Precinct, also responded to the call. After the officers and FDNY EMS personnel evaluated him. § 87(2)(b) was removed to \$87(2)(b) (BR 02) was unable to provide a consistent statement regarding the incident. He described an incident in which he went out drinking with friends, and upon returning to his parents' home, found that he had lost his keys. He entered the house through a window in the roof, after which, his parents called 911. After officers arrived, he was removed to \$37(2)(5) denied that he is diagnosed with any mental illnesses. The FDNY Pre-Hospital Care Report stemming from this incident (BR 03) states the following: "PT MOTHER WHO CALLED 911 AND WHO WAS ALSO AT SCENE STATE PT IS NONCOMPLIANCE [sic] OF PSYCH MEDICATION FOR MONTHS AND IS YELLING AND THROWING THINGS AROUND THE HOUSE." It also notes that §87(2)(b) bruising and swelling on his left arm and an abrasion on the bridge of his nose. s medical records from § 87(2)(b) (BR 04) note that he was "brought in via ambulance and NYPD as EDP and irrational behavior." The records describe as having a history of bipolar disorder, and being prescribed \$87(2)(b) (a medication), with which he is non-compliant. § 87(2)(b) used to treat § 87(2)(b) noted to have said he drank alcohol earlier in the day. As with the FDNY report, the hospital records describe swelling to § 87(2)(b) s left arm and an abrasion to his forehead. § 87(2)(b) stated that these were the result of an assault. The records also describe contact with s mother, She told hospital staff that § 87(2)(b) was diagnosed with schizoaffective disorder in 1999, and that he lives in her basement apartment. She said that §87(2)(b) "is paranoid, he took the TV, Computers and Clocks out of the apartment, he threatened to burn my house down and leaves burning cigaretts [sic] everywhere, he does not take care of himself or his apartment." Per (370) s mother, his most recent admission to a hospital was to §87(2)(b) for a period of two years; he was released in § 87(2)(b) Attempts were made to contact § 87(2)(b) s parents, but these attempts were ultimately unsuccessful.

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201810223

EMT § 87(2)(b) (BR 10) had no recollection of the incident after being shown a
photo of \$87(2)(6) and reviewing the FDNY Pre-Hospital Care Report that she drafted. In the
FDNY Pre-Hospital Care Report, EMT \$87(2)(b) provided a disposition of "psych emergency"
under the heading "Chief Complaint," although she could not recall whether she wrote this
because officers had told her that \$87(2)(b) was an "EDP" or because \$87(2)(b) stated that
he needed a psychiatric evaluation. EMT § 87(2)(b) also wrote "Psychiatric Emergency" under the
heading "Provider Impression," which she noted she would only have answered if she herself
witnessed behavior indicative of a psychological emergency.
EMT § 87(2)(b) (BR 11) had no recollection of the incident after being shown a
EMT \$87(2)(b) (BR 11) had no recollection of the incident after being shown a photo of \$87(2)(b) and reviewing the FDNY Pre-Hospital Care Report prepared by EMT
§ 87(2)(b) Her statement was consistent with EMT § 87(2)(b) s statement.
PO Dimatteo (BR 05) was the first officer to arrive on-scene, along with his partner, PO
Sorto. PO Dimatteo spoke with \$87(2)(b) s mother, who informed him that \$87(2)(b) had
an extensive psychiatric history and was diagnosed with schizophrenia. She explicitly told PO
Dimatteo that she wanted \$87(2)(b) removed to the hospital. PO Dimatteo had limited
interaction with \$87(2)(b) but noted that \$87(2)(b) did not appear capable of taking care
of himself. PO Dimatteo ascertained this through his observations that \$87(2)(b) was wearing
"raggy jeans," had missing teeth, and appeared to have not showered in some time. PO Dimatteo
did not know if \$87(2)(6) had done anything in particular to prompt the 911 call.
PO Dimatteo did not recall if §87(2)(b) went to the hospital willingly. He relayed his
observations and conversation with \$87(2)(b) s mother to the EMTs, but was not present for
their evaluation of \$87(2)(b) The EMTs ultimately decided that \$87(2)(b) needed to be
hospitalized. Because PO Dimatteo did not recall \$87(2)(b) being handcuffed, or any officers
using force against \$87(2)(b) he did not believe that this occurred; he could not, however, be
certain.
Sgt. Scoponich was definitive in saying that § 87(2)(b) was not handcuffed or
otherwise physically restrained during the incident. It was his impression that \$87(2)(b)
willingly went to the hospital, as §87(2)(b) did not raise any objections to doing so.
Sgt. Scoponich (BR 06) arrived at the house after PO Dimatteo and PO Sorto. He was not
aware of what they had learned prior to his arrival, but it was his understanding that they had
already decided that \$87(2)(b) needed to be hospitalized. He spoke with \$87(2)(b) s
mother and received the same information that PO Dimatteo described. Based on this, he
concurred that §87(2)(b) needed to be hospitalized. He was also not present for the EMTs'
evaluation of \$87(2)(b) s condition. He did not observe any behavior on \$87(2)(b) s part
that made him believe § 87(2)(b) was a danger to himself or others. Sgt. Scoponich also did
not know if § 87(2)(b) had done anything in particular to prompt the 911 call.
The AIDED report prepared by PO Dimatteo was somewhat consistent with his
testimony (BR 07). It noted that \$87(2)(b) suffered from schizophrenia and had been off his
medication. He also had an injury to his left arm and his forehead. The box for "Emotionally
Disturbed" was marked "No"; PO Dimatteo attributed this to clerical error.
§ 87(2)(g)

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201810223

	<u>Civilia</u>	and Officer CCRB Histories	
• § 87(2)(b)		three CCRB complaints and has been	named as a
	our allegations (BR 08).	
○ § 87(2	2)(b)		
-			
		a member of service for one year and l	has not been a
subject in a	ny prior CCRB invest	tigations.	
	Mediation	, Civil and Criminal Histories	
• This case w	as not suitable for me		
		ork City Office of the Comptroller has	s no record of a
		gards to this incident. (BR 12)	no record or d
	1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]	· ,	
und No Cou	ad 4		
uad No.: <u>Squ</u>	ad 4		
uad No.: <u>Squ</u>	<u>ad 4</u>		
		Investigator Carter Salis	
	ad 4 Signature	Investigator Carter Salis Print Title & Name	04/04/2019 Date
vestigator:			
estigator:	Signature		
estigator:		Print Title & Name	Date
vestigator:	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
uad No.: <u>Squ</u> vestigator: uad Leader:	Signature	Print Title & Name	

Page 4

CCRB Case # 201810223