CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	Force	☑ Discourt.	U.S.
Christopher DeNitto		Team # 2	200513019	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Saturday, 10/29/2005 10:30 PM		Inside the 44th Precinct.		44	4/29/2007	4/29/2007
Date/Time CV Reported CV R		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	: Date/Time	Date/Time Received at CCRB	
Sun, 10/30/2005 1:31 AM		CCRB	Call Processing System	Sun, 10/30	0/2005 1:31 AM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addr	ess			
Witness(es)	itness(es) Home Address					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. SGT Osvaldo Nunez	03579	918086	044 PCT			
2. An Officer						
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	Io Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POF Arzina Sharpe	15512	937523	044 PCT			
2. POM Patrick Ryan	29054	937461	044 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegati	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A. An Officer	Discourtesy: An Officer spoke obscenely and/or rudely to \$87(2)(b)					
B.SGT Osvaldo Nunez	Abuse: Sgt. Osvaldo Nunez threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b)					

Synopsis

On October 29, 2005, PO Arzina Sharpe arrested \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) for robbery and he was taken to the 44th Precinct where he was held until being taken to \$87(2)(b) a juvenile detention center. \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) went to the precinct after learning that \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) had been arrested. \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) s mother and \$87(2)(b) is his father. \$87(2)(b) stated that she spoke to an officer who had a "nasty attitude." \$87(2)(b) asked if she could see \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) and the officer told her no, she would have to see him the next day in court. The officer then asked \$100 miles asked
8 07(2)(0), 8 07(2)(g)
·
Summary of Complaint
On October 30, 2005, \$87(2)(b) stated that her son \$87(2)(b) was arrested for robbery on October 29, 2005, and was taken to the 44 th Precinct. \$87(2)(b) went to the 44 th Precinct and spoke with \$87(2)(b) s arresting officer \$87(2)(b) did not provide the officers name). \$87(2)(b) stated that the officer had a "nasty attitude" and "abused his authority." \$87(2)(b) did not specify how the officer was nasty and abused his authority. The officer informed \$87(2)(b) that \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) stated that the police car on the way to the precinct and \$87(2)(b) asked if \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) stated that the officer told her no and that she could see her son Monday at court. \$87(2)(b) stated that the officer also threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b) saying, "If you don't get out of here you'll be locked up with your son."
<u>Civilian Statements</u>
was interviewed at the CCRB on December 14, 2005. On October 29, 2005, stated that at about 10pm on October 29, 2005, stated that at about 10pm on October 29, 2005, stated that at about 10pm on October 29, 2005, stated that at about 10:30pm stated 29, 2005, stated that at about 10:30pm stated 29, 2005, stated 39, 2005 stated 39, 200

did not leave the precinct in five seconds he was going to get locked up with his son. Sgt. Nunez was yelling the entire time and did not speak civilly to him once. Temained in the Precinct for another 20 minutes during which time the officers in the precinct were staring him down. St7(2)(b) attempted to explain to the officers that he was a concerned parent who wanted to see his son. Sgt. Nunez then got in his face again and was yelling very loudly at him saying, "You don't run anything here, you have to get out of this precinct. We told you to come back in an hour, you come back in an hour." St7(2)(b) asked Sgt. Nunez if he could speak with the arresting officer and Sgt. Nunez yelled loudly, "I'm in charge here. You talk to me." St7(2)(b) left the precinct and Sgt. Nunez followed him outside and continued to yell at him, "If you don't get away from the precinct you're going to be locked up with your son." St7(2)(b) grandmother, St7(2)(b) was outside and heard Sgt. Nunez say this.
Results of Investigation
Civilians Not Interviewed Ser(2)(b) was not interviewed in connection with this case even though she allegedly witnessed Sgt. Nunez threaten (Ser(2)(b)) with arrest because Sgt. Nunez admitted to doing so. It has been determined that Sgt. Nunez was within his rights as a police officer to do so and (Ser(2)(b)) statement would not have any bearing on the outcome of the case. Ser(2)(b) was not interviewed in connection with this case because she was uncooperative with the investigation. Ser(2)(b) missed one scheduled appointment and did not respond to any of the investigators subsequent phone calls or letters.
Officer Identification Ser(2)(b) was able to obtain Sgt. Nunez's name at the time of the incident and provided it to the investigator during his CCRB interview. Sgt. Nunez identified PO Sharpe and PO Ryan as the officers who arrested Ser(2)(b) Ser(2)(b) during his CCRB interview. Officer Statements
Sgt. Nunez was interviewed at the CCRB on February 14, 2006. Sgt. Nunez worked from 5:20pm on October 29, 2005 to 2:17am on October 30, 2005. Sgt. Nunez was on duty and in uniform and he was the impact sergeant. Sgt. Nunez did not have any entries in his memo book regarding this incident but he did have an independent recollection of what occurred. Sgt. Nunez stated that PO Zapata called him to the scene of an arrest to verify the arrest of a look and a group of his friends beat up an unidentified male and took his cell phone. Two rookie officers, PO Sharpe and PO Ryan, made the arrest. Sgt. Nunez responded to the scene, verified the arrest and scanding was transported back to the 44th Precinct. Sgt. Nunez stated that none of scanding scanding scanding was transported back to the 44th Precinct. Sgt. Nunez stated that none of scanding sc

system. Sgt. Nunez explained	to § 87(2)(b)	that he would be abl	le to see him the next	morning in
family court. § 87(2)(b)	continued to screar	m that he wanted to s	see § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b)	Sgt. Nunez
told § 87(2)(b) that if he	did not leave the p	recinct immediately,	he would be arrested	for disorderly
conduct and trespassing. § 87(2)	(b) again d	lid not comply and re	emained inside the pr	ecinct. Sgt.
Nunez then walked over to the	front door and held	l it open and again as	ked § 87(2)(b)	to leave. At
this point § 87(2)(b) con	nplied and left the p	precinct. Sgt. Nunez	did not follow § 87(2)(b)
outside and he did not notice a	ny other officer foll	OW § 87(2)(b)	outside.	

PO Arzina Sharpe

PO Sharpe was interviewed at the CCRB on May 12, 2006. On October 29, 2005, PO Sharpe worked from 3:00pm to 11:35pm with PO Patrick Ryan and PO Carlos Zapata. PO Sharpe was on duty and in uniform and assigned to auto training vehicle #1265. PO Sharpe did not have any entries in her memo book regarding this incident however she did have an independent recollection of the incident.

PO Sharpe stated that she was inside the 44th Precinct completing the arrest paper for \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) whom she had arrested earlier in the evening on October 29, 2005, for robbery. Also present for the arrest were PO Ryan and PO Zapata. PO Sharpe completed the paperwork and went to the civilian waiting area to speak with \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) s mother and grandmother. PO Sharpe explained to \$87(2)(b) \$ 87(2)(b) s mother and grandmother the arrest process and that \$ 87(2)(b) was going to be taken to Spofford. PO Sharpe stated that they took the news well and she did have an encounter with them. While PO Sharpe was speaking with \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) s mother and grandmother, \$87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) s father was yelling and came into the precinct and was very irate. PO Sharpe stated that §87(2)(b) screaming obscenities proclaiming his son's innocence. PO Sharpe did not see \$87(2)(b) any officer specifically, he was just screaming in general. PO Sharpe did not see Sgt. Nunez speak with that he had to leave or however she did recall that numerous officers told § 87(2)(b) he would be arrested because of his behavior. PO Sharpe did not hear any officer use profanity directed was finally coerced to leave by \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) s grandmother and no further incident ensued.

PO Patrick Ryan

PO Ryan was interviewed at the CCRB on June 2, 2006. On October 29, 2005, PO Ryan worked from 5:30pm until 2:05am on October 30, 2005 with PO Zapata and PO Sharpe. PO Ryan was on duty and in uniform and assigned to routine patrol. PO Ryan did not have any entries in his memo book regarding this incident.

PO Ryan did not have any recollection of this incident and stated that he was not present inside the precinct when this incident took place. PO Ryan did not recall the arrest of \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) nor did he recall any argument that took place between Sgt. Nunez and \$87(2)(b)

Police Documents

44th Precinct Command Log: There is no entry for \$87(2)(b) however there is an entry by PO Sharpe at 2240hrs that was voided (*Enc. 12a-12c*).

44th Precinct Tour 3 Roll Call: The tour 3 roll call confirms that Sgt. Nunez, PO Sharpe and PO Ryan were all on duty at the time of the incident (*Enc. 13a-13k*).

Prisoner Holding Pen Roster: \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) is listed on the holding pen roster and in the remarks section it shows that \$87(2)(b) was transferred to \$87(2)(b) at 3:30am (*Enc. 14*).

Subject Officer's CCRB History

Sgt. Nunez

Sgt. Nunez has been a member of the NYPD for 9 years and has had one CCRB complaint substantiated against him. Sgt. Nunez was substantiated in case #200100569 for Force-Radio as club. Sgt. Nunez was found not guilty by the NYPD and did not receive a penalty (*Enc. 1a-1b*).

Complainant's CCRB History

§ 87(2)(b) and § 87(
<u>Conclusions</u>
Undisputed Facts The undisputed facts of this case are as follows: \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) was arrested by PO Sharpe for robbery and taken to the 44 th Precinct. Upon hearing his son had been arrested \$97(2)(b) went to the 44 th Precinct to see him. Sgt. Nunez did not allow \$87(2)(b) to see \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(b) because \$87(2)(b) was going to be put through the system and Sgt. Nunez told \$87(2)(b) that he would be able to see his son the next day at family court. \$87(2)(b) continued to insist that he wanted to see his son and Sgt. Nunez asked \$87(2)(b) numerous times to leave the precinct. \$87(2)(b) refused to leave and Sgt. Nunez told \$87(2)(b) that if he did not stop yelling and screaming and leave the precinct he would be arrested for disorderly conduct.
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
Recommendations
Allegation A: An Officer spoke obscenely and/or rudely to \$87(2)(6)
alleged that an officer inside the 44 th Precinct spoke to her with a nasty attitude and told her to, "Take her ass home" and asked her, "Who the hell are you?" \$87(2)(b) did not appear at the CCRB on December 1, 2005, for her scheduled interview. \$87(2)(b) did not call in advance to cancel or reschedule and a missed appointment letter was mailed to \$87(2)(b) on December 1, 2005. On December 7, 12 and 14, 2005, the investigator attempted to contact \$87(2)(b) at her home number, \$87(2)(b) and on her cell phone, \$87(2)(b) but there was no answer and voicemail messages were

letters were returned to the CCRB. \$87(2)(b) fully investigate her complaint however \$87(2)(b) \$87(2)(g) Allegation B: Sgt. Osvaldo Nunez threatened to arr	of the investigators phone calls or letters and none of the was aware that her statement was necessary in order to failed to cooperate with the investigator. It is
maintained that Sgt. Nunez was rude to hi insisted that all he wanted to do was see \$\frac{8}{8}\$ him. Sgt. Nunez and PO Sharpe both stated that \$\frac{8}{8}\$ the moment he came into the precinct. PO Sharpe sobscenities proclaiming \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ s innocenc to \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ that \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ was going \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ the next morning however \$\frac{8}{87(2)(b)}\$ that if he continued to behave	e. Sgt. Nunez maintained that he was trying to explain to be taken to \$87(2)(6) and he would be able to see continued to act disorderly. Sgt. Nunez admitted that he in the manner he was he would be arrested for admitted that he did not leave the precinct when that he continuously asked to see \$87(2)(6) \$87(2)(6) PO
Investigator:	Date:
Supervisor:	Date:
Reviewed by:	Date:
Reviewed by:	Date: