CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	▼ Force	Discourt.	U.S.
Benjamin Wilbur		Team # 3	201200810	✓ Abuse	□ O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Thursday, 01/12/2012 9:15 PM		Fulton Street and Hem	Hemlock Street 75 7/12/2013 7		7/12/2013	
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time Received at CCRB		
Sat, 01/14/2012 9:43 PM		IAB	Phone	Thu, 01/19/2012 9:49 AM		
Complainant/Victim	Туре	Home Addre	ss			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Bilal Ates	03360	934429	GANG BN			
2. Officers			GANG BN			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A.POM Bilal Ates	Abuse: P	O Bilal Ates stopped § 87	and §	87(2)		
B. Officers	Abuse: O	e: Officers stopped § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)				
C.POM Bilal Ates	Discourte	urtesy: PO Bilal Ates spoke obscenely to \$87(2)(6)				
D.POM Bilal Ates	Force: PO	D Bilal Ates used physic	al force against § 87(2)(b)		

Synopsis

filed this complaint with the Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB) on January

14, 2012. The complaint was later referred to the Civilian Complaint Review Board (CCRB) on January 19, 2012, via log number 12-03126. §87(2)(b) I filed this complaint on behalf of himself and his friend, § 87(2)(b) duplicate complaint with IAB on January 20, 2012. The complaint was later referred to the CCRB on February 2, 2012, via log number 12-04515, and has been incorporated into this case. On January 12, 2012, at approximately 9:15 p.m., § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were in the vicinity of Fulton Street and Hemlock Street in Brooklyn jump starting § 87(2)(b) s vehicle. § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were allegedly stopped by three officers (Allegations A and B). §87(2)(b) identified one of these officers has PO Bilal Ates of the Brooklyn North Gang Unit. The remaining officers remain unidentified. asked the officers why they were being stopped and PO Ates allegedly stated, "Can you hurry up and give me your fucking paperwork. Shut up you little prick." (Allegation C). PO Ates allegedly slammed §87(2)(b) into another car, breaking the side view mirror (Allegation D). §87(2)(b) was then allegedly head-butted by PO Ates (within Allegation D). Both § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) were arrested by PO was charged with § 87(2)(b) Ates. § 87(2)(b) was charged with § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(g) On January 25, 2012, a detailed message was left on §87(2)(b) s answering machine and a first please call letter was mailed to his address. On January 31, 2012, 387(2)(5) contacted the CCRB and left a message, asking for a call back and confirming his telephone number. Between the dates of February 2, 2012, and February 14, 2012, three messages were left for \$87(2)(b) on his answering machine. On February 17, 2012, contacted the CCRB, confirmed his contact information, and scheduled an appointment on March 1, 2012. On March 1, 2012, § 87(2)(b) did not arrive for his appointment, nor did he call prior to cancel or reschedule it. A missed call letter was mailed on that date. On March 5, 2012, an attempt was made to contact [887(2)(b)] l by s father answered and a message was left for §87(2)(b) to contact the CCRB. On March 12, 2012, a message was left for §87(2)(b) on his answering machine. On February 2, 2012, § 87(2)(b) was contacted by telephone, confirmed his contact information, and stated that he wished to confer with his attorney prior to scheduling an appointment. On February 10, 2012, an attempted was made to contact \$87(2)(b) telephone, but his voicemail system had not been activated. On the same date a first please call letter was mailed to his home. On February 14, 2012, §87(2)(b) contacted and scheduled an appointment for February 28, 2012. On February 28, 2012,

reschedule it. A missed call lett 887(2)(b) was contacted and sche	er was mailed on that eduled a second appo		2, § 012. On
None of the letters mailed to States Postal Service (USPS) to York City Department of Corres were currently incarcer nor States Postal Service (USPS) to York City Department of Corres has contacted to has contacted to States Postal Service (USPS) to York City Department of Corresponding to the Postal Service (USPS) to York City Department of Corresponding to the Postal Service (USPS) to York City Department of Corresponding to the Postal Service (USPS) to York City Department of Corresponding to the Postal Service (USPS) to York City Department of Corresponding to the Postal Service (USPS) to York City Department of Corresponding to the Postal Service (USPS) to York City Department of Corresponding to the Postal Service (USPS) to York City Department of Corresponding to the Postal Service (USPS) to York City Department of Corresponding to the Postal Service (USPS) to York City Department of Corresponding to the Postal Service (USPS) to the Postal Service (USP	o the CCRB. On Mar ection database indic	rch 21, 2012, a search of ated that neither § 87(2)(b)	the New nor §
Team.		:	
Investigator: Signature	Print	Date	_
Supervisor:	Print	Date	_
Reviewer: Title/Signature	Print	Date	_
Reviewer:Title/Signature	Print	Date	_

Page 3 **CCRB Case # 201200810**