CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Faria Tasnim		Squad #5	201903853	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Tuesday, 04/30/2019 1:30 AM		§ 87(2)(b)		62	10/30/2020	6/16/2021
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCI	₹B
Sat, 05/04/2019 11:55 PM		CCRB	On-line website	Sat, 05/04/	/2019 11:55 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Addre	ress			
Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Giuseppe Oliveri	28888	939146	062 PCT			
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name			
1. POM Donglun Liu	03241	961897	062 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegation	on		Inve	estigator Recon	ımendation
A.POM Giuseppe Oliveri	Abuse: Police Officer Giuseppe Oliveri threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b)					
B.POM Giuseppe Oliveri	Abuse: Police Officer Giuseppe Oliveri threatened to remove to the hospital.					

Case Summary

On May 4, 2019, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint on the CCRB's website. On April 30, 2019, at approximately 1:30 a.m., Police Officer Giuseppe Oliveri and Police Officer Dong Lun Liu, both from the 62nd Precinct, arrived at \$87(2)(b) Brooklyn, in response to a 911 call from § 87(2)(b) s parents. § 87(2)(b) was § 87(2)(b) old at the time of the incident. PO Oliveri threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b) during the interaction (Allegation A). PO Oliveri also threatened to remove § 87(2)(b) to the hospital (Allegation **B**). The investigation obtained audio recordings of the incident from \$87(2)(b) s cellphone (BR01-BR03). The NYPD confirmed that BWC footage from the incident existed, but would not provide the footage without a waiver signed by one of §87(2)(b) s parents. § 87(2)(b) parents refused to sign a waiver, and the CCRB ultimately could not obtain the BWC footage. On August 5, 2019, this case was reassigned from Inv. Dixon to Inv. Tasnim. On June 5, 2019, Inv. Dixon sent this case to the Mediation Unit. The case was returned to Inv. Dixon on June 19, 2019, after § 87(2)(b) opted for an investigation. **Findings and Recommendations** Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Giuseppe Oliveri threatened to arrest § 87(2)(b) In his online complaint, \$87(2)(b) noted 21 statements that PO Oliveri made which he understood to be threats of arrest, including, "You're going to go," and, "You're going to walk out" (BR04). In his interview, § 87(2)(b) stated that he believed that PO Oliveri asking him whether he had anywhere to go, and telling him that he would be leaving his house and not returning, constituted threats of arrest (BR05). §87(2)(b) recounted that PO Oliveri similarly asked his parents whether \$87(2)(b) had anywhere to go and whether they wanted him to leave. s cellphone recordings, PO Oliveri can be heard informing § 87(2)(b) he would have to leave his house. In the first recording, at the 1:47 minute mark, PO Oliveri says, "And next time you call, there's no more talking, [87(2)] will come with us. Then he'll get detained and locked up" (BR01). At the 6:30 mark, \$87(2)(b) asks the officers whether he is being detained, and PO Oliveri replies, "You will be if you don't listen to us." PO Oliveri repeats this statement at the 6:54 mark. PO Oliveri was interviewed approximately seven months after the incident. In his initial narrative, he first stated that he had no recollection of the incident, but later made statements regarding what took place during the incident (BR06). PO Oliveri could not recall whether he told to leave his house, or whether he told him he would be detained. PO Oliveri denied that arrest was mentioned in any context during the conversation. PO Oliveri testified that Page 2

did not commit any arrestable offense during the incident. Upon listening to a portion of the audio recording in which he can be heard saying that \$87(2)(6) would be detained, PO Oliveri reiterated that he did not suspect of committing any crime during the incident. He noted that \$87(2)(6) would have been required to leave the house if his parents had requested it.
PO Liu similarly testified that sazente was not suspected of any crime or violation (BR07). PO Liu also noted that typically, in domestic disputes, when officers ask a party whether they have anywhere else to go, they are referring to a friend's house or elsewhere that would give both parties space to resolve the issue.
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
NY Criminal Procedure Law § 140.10 states that a police officer may arrest a person for: (a) Any offense when he or she has reasonable cause to believe that such person has committed such offense in his or her presence; and (b) A crime when he or she has reasonable cause to believe that such person has committed such crime, whether in his or her presence or otherwise (BR08). PO Oliveri himself admitted that he never suspected \$87(2)(b) of committing any arrestable offense. \$87(2)(b).887(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)
Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Giuseppe Oliveri threatened to remove 8 87(2)(b) to the hospital.
It is undisputed that \$87(2)(6) and his parents had a verbal argument prior to his parents calling 911. It is also undisputed that PO Oliveri told \$87(2)(6) as parents while in the presence of \$87(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$87(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$87(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$87(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$87(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$87(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$87(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$87(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$87(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$87(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$887(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$887(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$887(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$887(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$887(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$887(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$887(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$887(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$887(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$887(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$887(2)(6) argument prior to his parents while in the presence of \$887(2)(6) argument prior to his parents had a verbal argument prior to his parents argument prior to his parents had a verbal argument prior to his parents argument prior to his parents argument prior to his parents had a verbal argument prior to his parents argumen
PO Oliveri stated in his testimony that \$87(2)(b) s behavior did not indicate that he was emotionally disturbed, needed psychiatric help, or was a threat to himself or his parents. PO Oliveri admitted that \$87(2)(b) did not do anything for which he could have been taken to
Page 3

of an emotionall	y disturbed person or some	s behavior did not cone who needed psychiatric help, Pe as causing his parents to stay up at r	O Oliveri was
he was not sleep sleeping. The Do	ing at a late hour, and he womestic Incident Report pro	ionally disturbed and needed psychicas arguing with his parents and prevented by PO Liu indicates that [887(2)] ared during the incident (BR09).	enting them from
disturbed person temporarily dera	(EDP) to a hospital only variety and is conducting him	es that an officer may forcibly remove when the individual "appears to be manual in a manner which a police off to himself or others" (BR10).	entally ill or
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)			
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)			
PO Oliveri has b	complaint to which § 87(2)(b) been a member of service for	has been a party (BR11). or 14 years and has been a subject in which was substantiated. \$87(2)(g)	five CCRB
As of December	declined to mediate this co	City Office of the Comptroller had no	o record of a
§ 87(2)(b)		,	
Squad No.:			
Investigator: _			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Page 4

Squad Leader:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Page 5