## C.C.R.B CASE CLOSING FORM

Team: | CCRB#:

Force

Discourtesy

Investigator assigned:

C ! -! 1				000041	0	Abuse O.L. Injury		
Graizbord  Date of incident:	Time of incident:	Location of	inoidant:	990041	Pct. of	Date S.O.L. Expires:		
Date of incident.	i ime of incident:	Location of	meldent:		occurrence:	Date S.O.L. Expires.		
1/18/99	6:40 PM	West 173rd	West 173rd Street, Manhattan		)	8/1/00		
			,		033			
Date reported:	Time reported:	To whom/where/how reported:						
1/18/99	9:04 PM	Complaint	Complete in the literature of a relical \$87(2)(b)					
1/10/99	9.04 FWI	1/18/99. Ca	Complaint initially made when was in police custody on 1/18/99. Case was referred from IAB to CCRB on 1/28/99.					
Complainant:	L		Home address:					
§ 87(2)(b)								
Victim(s): Hom			Home address:					
` ′		110111		,				
	§ 87(2)(b)							
Witness(es):			Home address:					
§ 87(2)(b)			§ 87(2)(b)					
Subject officer(s) (include rank):			•	lax:	Com	mand:		
Sgt. Patrick Cortright 0				910420	0 033			
PO John Frank Tullo		01827		90519				
Witness officer(s) (include rank): Shi			:	Tax:	Com	mand:		
PO Kirsix Delacruz		12065		91557	033			
			<del></del>	91337		ommendation(s):		
Allegation(s) by letter:  (A) Sgt. Patrick Cortright employed excessive force during the arrest of (b)					f (b)			
in violation of Patrol Guide Procedure 104-01.								
(B) PO John Frank Tullo employed excessive force during the arrest of (b)								
in violation of Patrol Guide Procedure 104-01.								
Synopsis								
was arrested on January 18 <sup>th</sup> , 1999. While in police custody <sup>§87(2)(b)</sup> made a								
complaint against PO Tullo and Sat Contright \$87(2)(b) was never suggestfully contacted \$87(2)(b) the								
witness in this case, provided the name of the attorney representing attorney, withdrew the complaint on behalf of his client in a conversation which was not audio-taped.								
After that conversation multiple calls and several faxes were sent to series to explaining the need to record								
by audio-tape and/or in writing the withdrawal of his client's complaint. §87(2)(b) never responded. §87(2)(c)								
§ 87(2)(g)								

Seeing as was never interviewed regarding this incident, the summary of his complaint is generated from the IAB Investigating Officer's Report (Log# 99-001002) and from the UF-245 for this incident.

According to the IAB Investigating Officer's Report, this incident began when \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ parked his vehicle at West 174th Street and Audubon Avenue to make some purchases. \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ left his girlfriend, \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ in the vehicle. Two officers, identified by IAB as Sgt. Patrick Cortright and PO John Tullo of the 33rd Precinct, noticed that the vehicle had a New Jersey license plate and Connecticut registration tags. \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ returned to the vehicle and after a brief interaction with the officers he fled. The officers followed him and tackled him to the ground. \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ was injured as a result of being detained by the officers. \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ was transported to the 33rd Precinct, and EMS responded, \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ was treated at bitten right pointer finger, and a chipped tooth. \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ was arrested was arrested \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ was released to police custody and was taken to Manhattan Central Booking for arraignment. \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ was also arrested was also arrested \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ but was later released. The IAB Log Synopsis Report for this incident indicates that Sgt. Cortright and PO Tullo stopped \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ whicle and \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ was also indicated that Sgt. Cortright observed a shiny metal object drop to the ground during the scuffle.							
Y							
Results of Investigation  This case was received on January 29 <sup>th</sup> , 1999. The UF-245 for this case, as well as the Log Synopsis Report received with the IAB referral, did not provide any phone number for the complainant. On February 1 <sup>st</sup> , 1999, IAB was contacted to verify referral information. The only additional information provided was the address and phone number of a of Hampton, Virginia. After several calls it was concluded that this number was incorrect.							
Contact letters were sent to both the complainant, \$87(2)(b) and witness, \$87(2)(b) on 2/1/99, 2/4/99, 2/8/99, and 2/10/99.							
The IAB case file was requested and was received on February 18th, 1999. It contained several photographs of the Investigating Officer's Report, and included was contacted and stated that she was not aware that a complaint had been filed. She explained that that the complaint had been filed.							
stated that does not have a phone number, but verified that the address obtained was correct also stated that had retained legal representation from stated that he is in charge of at his office.							
was called a total of five times [2/18, 2/22, 2/23, 2/26, and 3/4] before returning the messages. At 3:00PM on March 4 <sup>th</sup> , 1999 887(2)(b) called and stated that he wished to withdraw this complaint on behalf of his client.							
This conversation was audio-taped, however, complications with the telephone recording device caused the recording of this conversation to be unclear. At this time, satisfactory was explained the process of withdrawal, and notified that he would be receiving a withdrawal letter to be signed and returned to the CCRB. A withdrawal letter was faxed to satisfactory and satisfactory							
Since the conversation with \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ on March 4 <sup>th</sup> , 1999, a total of five copies of the withdrawal letter have been faxed to \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ office [3/4, 3/22, 3/31, 4/5, 4/7]. Additionally, numerous attempts to contact \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ have been made. Calls were made to office on 3/8, 3/10, 3/15, 3/19, 4/4, 4/6, 4/13, 4/14, 4/16, 5/3, and 5/10. During several of these calls members of \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ staff stated that \$\frac{87(2)(b)}{2}\$ had received the previous messages							
as well as the copies of the withdrawal letter. A final copy of the withdrawal letter was mailed to office on May 14, 1999.							
Conclusions and Recommendations							
§ 87(2)(g)							

Investigator:	help for	Date:	T/11/99
Supervisor:	Tale Pon	Date:	
Reviewed by:	John P. Cipriand	Date:	5/10/22
Reviewed by:	$O \leq V$	Date:	' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '