## **CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION**

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	<b>▼</b> Force		Discourt.	U.S.	
Kimberly Eldridge		Team # 3	201106543	☑ Abuse	· 🗆	O.L.	☐ Injury	
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinc	t: 18	Mo. SOL	EO SOL	
Thursday, 05/19/2011 6:00 PM		West 28th Street betw Avenue; inside of the stationhouse		10	1	1/19/2012	11/19/2012	
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	l: Date/T	ime Rec	eived at CC	RB	
Thu, 05/19/2011 6:32 PM		CCRB Call Processing System		Thu, 0	Thu, 05/19/2011 6:32 PM			
Complainant/Victim	Туре	Home Addr	ess					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command					
1. SGT John Oconnell	03369	937204	010 PCT					
2. LT Jason Harris	00000	906421	010 PCT					
Officer(s)	Allegati	Allegation Investigator Recommendation					mmendation	
A.LT Jason Harris	Abuse: Lieutenant Jason Harris stopped the car in which was an occupant on West 28th Street between 9th and 10th Avenue in Manhattan.							
B.LT Jason Harris	Force: Lieutenant Jason Harris used physical force against on West 28th Street between 9th and 10th Avenue in Manhattan.							
C.LT Jason Harris	Discourtesy: Lieutenant Jason Harris spoke rudely to <sup>§ 87(2)(b)</sup> on West 28th Street between 9th and 10th Avenue in Manhattan.							
D.LT Jason Harris	Abuse: Lieutenant Jason Harris threatened to arrest \$87(2)(b) on West 28th Street between 9th and 10th Avenue in Manhattan.							
E.SGT John Oconnell	Abuse: Sergeant John Oconnell did not process somplaint regarding an officer inside of the 10th Precinct stationhouse.							

On May 19, 2011, \$87(2)(b) 2011, the investigator spoke with \$8	filed this complaint w		
At approximately 6:00PM on May is assigned to the 10 <sup>th</sup> Precinct, alle West 28 <sup>th</sup> Street between 9 <sup>th</sup> and 10 of failing to stop at a red lig allegedly cursed at \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ and D). Lieutenant Harris then released went to the 10 <sup>th</sup> Precinc with Sergeant John O'Connell, who Precinct. When \$\frac{87(2)(0)}{2}\$ stated to allegedly called Lieutenant Harris versions.	gedly stopped \$87(2)(b) th Avenue in Manhatt ght and requested \$87(2)(b) th S87(2)(b) th S87(2)(b) th S87(2)(b) without the stationhouse to file to me he identified by no that he wished to file	s car and physically an (Allegations A and B) s license and reg said a word, he wou arresting him or issuing h a complaint against Lieut are and shield number, are a complaint against Lieute	pulled him out of his vehicle on b. Lieutenant Harris accused sistration. Lieutenant Harris ld be arrested ( <b>Allegations C and</b> im a summons. After the incident, tenant Harris. § 87(2)(6) spoke and who is also assigned to the 10 <sup>th</sup> enant Harris, Sergeant O'Connell
§ 87(2)(b), § 87(2)(g)			
please call letters. When the investi information and noted that he did not could be reached. \$87(2)(b) also know his schedule. \$87(2)(b) stated did not do so. On June 10, 2011, the schedule an interview because he do next day with his availability. \$87(2)(b) who indicated the correspondence from the investigate returned to New York City, but agains schedule. \$87(2)(b) also noted did not make contact, the investigate voicemail messages on each occasion search of the New York City Depart not and has not been incarcerated. \$100 also not contact and has not been incarcerated.	ot have any additional noted that he did not ted that he would call the investigator called in the investigator called in the received the pleasor because he took as in stated that he did red that he would contain the received the pleasor because he took as in stated that he did red that he would contain the received to contain the received to contain the received to contain the took as in stated that he would contain the received to contain the received the recei	addresses, phone number wish to schedule an intervalent the investigator later that who again state and indicated that he at the investigator. On July ase call letters, but did not hree week vacation. § 87(2)(b) ot wish to schedule an interpretation of the investigator with his ct § 87(2)(b) will phone has not contacted the investigator with his ct § 87(2)(b) will phone has not contacted the investigator with his	ers, or email addresses at which he view at that time because he did not day to schedule an interview, but ated that he did not wish to would contact the investigator the y 8, 2011, the investigator spoke a respond to them or any other confirmed that he had terview because he did not know as availability. When \$87(2)(6) three more times, leaving investigator. A July 21, 2011
Team: <u>3</u>			
Investigator:Signature	Kimberly Print	Eldridge Date	_
Supervisor:	Print	Doto	_
Title/Signature	Print	Date	
Reviewer:Title/Signature	Print	Date	_
Reviewer:			
Title/Signature	Print P	Date age 2	

**CCRB Case # 201106543** 

CCRB-Confidential