

## Officer History

**Officer Name:** SOLOMON, DREW

**Tax ID:** 946279

**Sex:** M

**Shield:** 06525

**Race:** White

**Rank:** DT3

**Command:** K40

**Birth Year:** [REDACTED]

**Appt Date:** 01/07/2008

**Age:** [REDACTED]

**Tenure:** 14

CCRB #	CMD	Incident Date	Reported Date	Allegation	Allegation Disposition	Board Discipline Recommendation*	NYPD Allegation Disposition	NYPD MOS Penalty
201311046	101	11/22/2013	11/22/2013	OMN - Failure to prepare a memo book entry	OMN			
201409626	101	09/17/2014	09/19/2014	Discourtesy - Word	Unsubstantiated			
202005255	331	07/18/2020	07/24/2020	Abuse - Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	Unsubstantiated			
				Abuse - Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	Unsubstantiated			
				Abuse - Failed to Obtain Language Interpretation	Unsubstantiated			
				Abuse - Failure to provide RTKA card	Unsubstantiated			
<b>Total Charges = 6</b>					<b>Total Cases = 3</b>			

\*Board Discipline Recommendations were not issued for complaints closed prior to the CCRB's adoption of the NYPD's Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021. With the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix, CCRB now issues a Board Discipline Recommendation for officers that is separate and apart from the disposition of the allegations substantiated against the officer.

## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Daniel Casados (P8)	Team: Squad #8	CCRB Case #: 201311046	<input type="checkbox"/> Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Friday 11/22/2013 3:30 PM	18 Mo. SOL 05-22-2015	EO SOL 05-22-2015	Location of Incident: Beach 9 Street and Seagirt Boulevard		Precinct: 101
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 11/22/2013 4:11 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 11/22/2013 4:11 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
1. [REDACTED]	Comp/Victim	[REDACTED] Apt. 2 Far Rockaway NY 11691

Witness(es)	Home Address
1. Individuals	Unknown

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. SGT [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	101 PCT
2. POM [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	101 PCT
3. POM Drew Solomon	17527	946279	101 PCT
4. POM [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	101 PCT
5. Officers			101 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	101 PCT
2. POM [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	101 PCT
3. POM [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	101 PCT
4. POM [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	101 PCT
5. POM [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	101 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A . SGT [REDACTED]	Abuse of Authority: Sgt. [REDACTED] questioned [REDACTED]	A . Unsubstantiated
B . Officers	Discourtesy: Officers spoke rudely to [REDACTED]	B . Officer(s) Unidentified
C . SGT [REDACTED]	Other Misconduct Noted: Sgt. [REDACTED] failed to prepare a memo book entry as required.	C . Other Misconduct
D . POM Drew Solomon	Other Misconduct Noted: PO Drew Solomon failed to prepare a memo book entry as required.	D . Other Misconduct
E . POM [REDACTED]	Other Misconduct Noted: PO [REDACTED] failed to prepare a memo book entry as required.	E . Other Misconduct
F . POM [REDACTED]	Other Misconduct Noted: PO [REDACTED] failed to prepare a memo book entry as required.	F . Other Misconduct

### **Case Summary**

On November 22, 2013, at about 3:30 p.m., ■■■■■ rode his bicycle to Beach 9 Street and Seagirt Boulevard in Queens and stopped there to talk with a friend in front of a building. While conversing, two uniformed officers, identified via investigation as PO ■■■■■ and PO ■■■■■ from the 101<sup>st</sup> Precinct, approached him, told him there had been a 911 call about a man on a bicycle who had followed a young girl. The officers told Mr. ■■■■■ he was not the guy and left. About 30 minutes later, an unmarked gray Ford Focus stopped beside Mr. ■■■■■ and four uniformed officers approached him. These officers were identified via investigation as Sgt. ■■■■■, PO ■■■■■, PO Drew Solomon, and PO ■■■■■ from the 101<sup>st</sup> Precinct. Sgt. ■■■■■ allegedly asked Mr. ■■■■■ accusatory questions about having interacted with the young girl (**Allegation A**). Mr. ■■■■■ alleged that the additional officers used profanities toward him but Mr. ■■■■■ did not want to use profanities during his interview. He was also inconsistent about what he was told and could not assign specific curses to a particular officer. However, Mr. ■■■■■ alleged he was told he was an “asshole,” an “ass wipe,” a “lying bastard,” a “fucking coward,” a “fucking liar,” and told, “I see your ass all the time,” and, “You fucking ride around here all the time” (**Allegation B**). The officers left without summoning or arresting Mr. ■■■■■. These four officers did not make memo book entries afterward (**Allegations C, D, E, and F**).

This case was originally assigned to Inv. Jacob Paul on November 22, 2013. Upon his departure from the agency, it was reassigned to Inv. Leanne Fornelli on April 9, 2014. She changed units at the CCRB and the case was reassigned to Assistant Supervisor Daniel Casados on January 26, 2015, for closure.

### **Civilian Mediation, Civil, and Criminal Histories**

This case was eligible for mediation but Mr. ■■■■■ opted to have it investigated.

As of May 15, 2014, Mr. ■■■■■ had not filed a Notice of Claim about this incident, which was past the filing deadline (encl. 29A).

As of January 26, 2015, the Office of Court Administration records yields no criminal convictions for Mr. ■■■■■ (encl. 30).

### **Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories**

Mr. ■■■■■ has filed six previous CCRB complaints. Case 200201171 was closed as unsubstantiated, case 200201547 was closed as exonerated, case 200411839 was closed as unsubstantiated, case 200802319 was withdrawn, case 201004864 was closed as exonerated, and case 201302366 was closed as unsubstantiated (encl. 2).

Sgt. ■■■■■ and PO ■■■■■ have been members of the service for eight years and PO ■■■■■ and PO Solomon have been members of the service for seven years. None of the officers have substantiated CCRB allegations against them (encl. 1).

### **Findings and Recommendations**

#### **Explanation of Subject Officer Identification**

Mr. ■■■■■ said the subject officers were white males, dressed in uniform, had exited from a gray Ford Focus, and that the one who had questioned him most had stripes on his uniform sleeve, leading Mr. ■■■■■ to believe he was the supervisor.

Sgt. ■■■■■, PO ■■■■■, PO Drew Solomon, and PO ■■■■■ are each white males, Sgt. ■■■■■ was the only supervisor among them, and each officer indicated he was working in vehicle number 151, which the 101<sup>st</sup> Precinct identified as a gray Ford Taurus. Furthermore, the officers were working at the time of the incident and their memo books were devoid of entries around the time of the incident to account for their whereabouts.

Although there was one other team in the 101<sup>st</sup> Precinct working in a gray Ford Fusion at the time of the incident, the investigation determined that these were not the subject officers. This was a team of three as opposed to four officers, had no supervisor, and consisted of a black male officer (PO [REDACTED]) a Hispanic male officer (PO [REDACTED]) and a white male officer (PO [REDACTED]) and Mr. [REDACTED] viewed photos of these three officers and indicated that PO [REDACTED] looked familiar but did not identify PO [REDACTED] or PO [REDACTED]. When interviewed, these three officers had no knowledge of this incident.

Because the investigation determined with a preponderance of evidence that Sgt. [REDACTED] PO [REDACTED] PO Solomon, and PO [REDACTED] were the subject officers, a question allegation was pleaded against Sgt. [REDACTED] and allegations of not making memo book entries were pleaded against each officer. However, because Mr. [REDACTED] could not assign profanities to PO [REDACTED] PO Solomon, or PO [REDACTED] a discourtesy allegation was pleaded against “officers” from the 101<sup>st</sup> Precinct.

### **Allegation Recommendations**

**Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: Sgt. [REDACTED] questioned [REDACTED]**

**Allegation B – Discourtesy: Officers spoke rudely to [REDACTED]**

Mr. [REDACTED] alleged that Sgt. [REDACTED] accused him of having a conversation with the young girl and asked him if he had been riding his bicycle around the neighborhood. Sgt. [REDACTED] allegedly told Mr. [REDACTED] that he fit the description of someone who was talking to a little girl. Mr. [REDACTED] alleged that PO Solomon, PO [REDACTED] and PO [REDACTED] each cursed at him, but he could not assign curses to particular officers. In his intake statement, Mr. [REDACTED] alleged that he was called a “lying bastard,” a “fucking coward,” a “fucking liar,” and told, “I see your ass all the time,” and, “You fucking ride around here all the time.” In his phone statement, Mr. [REDACTED] refused to repeat the profanities the officers used but alleged that each officer used the F-word toward him, and when interviewed, he again refused to repeat what curses the officers used but through a series of investigative questioning indicated he was called an “asshole” and an “ass wipe.”

Mr. [REDACTED] said he was talking to a friend before the officers arrived and that another friend of his arrived mid-incident. Mr. [REDACTED] refused to provide his friends’ names or contact information.

The officers denied the allegations and involvement in this incident.

Due to conflicting statements, it is recommended that **Allegation A be unsubstantiated.**

Because Mr. [REDACTED] could not designate specific profanities to PO Solomon, PO [REDACTED] or PO [REDACTED] it is recommended that **Allegation B be closed as officer(s) unidentified.**

**Allegation C – Other Misconduct: Sgt. [REDACTED] failed to prepare a memo book entry as required.**

**Allegation D – Other Misconduct: PO Drew Solomon failed to prepare a memo book entry as required.**

**Allegation E – Other Misconduct: PO [REDACTED] failed to prepare a memo book entry as required.**

**Allegation F – Other Misconduct: PO [REDACTED] failed to prepare a memo book entry as required.**

Mr. [REDACTED] alleged that he was stopped by four uniformed white male officers working in a gray Ford Focus. The investigation determined that these officers were Sgt. [REDACTED] PO Solomon, PO [REDACTED] and PO [REDACTED]. None of the officers made memo book entries about Mr. [REDACTED].

Patrol Guide Section 212-08 indicates that all officers below the rank of captain must maintain an activity log/memo book listing their assignments and tasks performed (encl. 0).

Because the officers failed to do so, it is recommended that they be cited for **other misconduct for Allegations C, D, E, and F.**

Team: Strike

Investigator:	_____	<u>ASI Daniel Casados</u>	<u>1/28/2015</u>
	Signature	Print	Date

Supervisor:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date

Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date

Reviewer:	_____	_____	_____
	Title/Signature	Print	Date