# CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:		Force		Discourt.	U.S	<b>.</b>
Olga Bentin		Squad #4	201900776		Abuse		O.L.	☐ Inju	ıry
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Pr	ecinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO S	OL
Saturday, 01/05/2019 10:35 PM		75th Precinct stationhor	use		75	7	7/5/2020	2/19/2	2021
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	: I	Date/Time	Rece	eived at CCI	RB	
Fri, 01/25/2019 1:54 PM		CCRB	In-person	I	Fri, 01/25/	2019	1:54 PM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address							
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command						
1. SGT Michael Hansson	02972	949084	075 PCT						
2. POM Stephan Carr	02540	948751	075 PCT						
Witness Officer(s)	Shield N	o Tax No	Cmd Name						
1. POM David Oyague	01807	953159	075 PCT						
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inve	stiga	tor Recon	nmendat	ion
A.POM Stephan Carr	Abuse: Police Officer Stephan Carr did not process \$\frac{8}{5}(2)\$ s complaint regarding officers.								
B.SGT Michael Hansson	Abuse: Sergeant Michael Hansson did not process s complaint regarding officers.								

#### **Case Summary**

On January 11, 2019, § 87(2)(b) filed this complaint with the CCRB in person. On January 5, 2019, at approximately 10:35 p.m., \$87(2)(b) went to the 75<sup>th</sup> Precinct stationhouse. \$87(2)(b) spoke to PO Stephan Carr, of the 75th Precinct, and told him that she wished to file a complaint against officers who issued her a summons earlier that day. PO Carr referred § 97(2)(b) to the CCRB's poster and told her to contact the agency (Allegation A: Abuse of Authority, \$87(2)(0) requested to speak to a supervisor and PO Carr called Sgt. Michael Hansson, also of the 75th Precinct. §87(2)(b) told Sgt. Hansson about her earlier interaction with officers and said that she wished to file a complaint against them. Sgt. Hansson told §87(2)(b) that there was nothing he could help her with (Allegation B: Abuse of Authority, § 87(2)(9) § 87(2)(b) left the stationhouse without filing the complaint. As a result of this incident, §87(2)(b) was not arrested or summonsed. The investigation was provided with one BWC recording of this incident, which is located in and can be viewed from the Investigative Action #31.

## Findings and Recommendations

Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Police Officer Stephan Carr did not process scomplaint regarding officers.

Allegation (B) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Michael Hansson did not process somplaint regarding officers.

It is undisputed that §87(2)(b) appeared at the 75<sup>th</sup> Precinct stationhouse and spoke to PO Carr and Sgt. Hansson about filing a complaint against officers.

(BR 01) stated that she arrived at the stationhouse and spoke to PO Carr. \$87(2)(b) told PO Carr about her interaction with officers and said that she wished to file a complaint against them. \$87(2)(b) handed PO Carr a business card provided to her by the officers she wished to complain about. PO Carr took the business card and walked away. Several minutes later, PO Carr returned, handed the business card back to \$87(2)(b) pointed at a bulletin board with the CCRB's information, and asked \$87(2)(b) to contact the agency to file her complaint. \$87(2)(b) asked PO Carr for a pen and paper to write down the information, which he provided to her. \$87(2)(b) then asked PO Carr if she could speak to a supervisor. PO Carr c called Sgt. Hansson to the front desk. \$87(2)(b) also told Sgt. Hansson about her interaction with officers and repeated that wished to file a complaint against them. Sgt. Hansson told \$87(2)(b) that there was nothing he could do for her. \$87(2)(b) left the stationhouse without filing the complaint.

There is no video evidence of PO Carr's interaction with § 87(2)(6)

Sgt. Hansson's BWC (BR 02), between 22:53:15 and 22:54:10 minutes, shows Sgt. Hansson greeting for the waiting area of the 75<sup>th</sup> Precinct stationhouse. Str(2)(b) complains to Sgt. Hansson about her interaction with officers who stopped her. At the 22:54:50 minute mark, Sgt. Hansson says to Str(2)(b) "When I just walked out, I heard an officer talking to you about a number to IAB or CCRB. If you want to make a complaint, which you are more than welcome to... Everything on that stop is recorded on the body cameras. If you feel you had done nothing wrong, everything is recorded. So, if you have a legitimate cause, like what they were doing is wrong, it is all on there [on cameras]." Str(2)(b) replies, "It is understandable. I am just saying to you that it was very unprofessional." Sgt. Hansson tells str(2)(b) that it is hard for him to determine what happened based on her sole account. Str(2)(b) responds that she is just frustrated with how the officers treated her. At the 22:56:04 minute mark,

Page 2

CCRB Case # 201900776

Sgt. Hansson says to street interrupts Sgt. Hansson and replies, "No. You know what?" Before street can finish her sentence, Sgt. Hansson continues, "Or, you know, like the officer came and gave you the number to the Internal Affairs, and the officer gave you the number to the CCRB. Whatever you want to do." street then asks Sgt. Hansson to provide her the numbers again. At the 22:56:18 minute mark, Sgt. Hansson says to street is posted on here somewhere." Sgt. Hansson verbally provides the IAB number to street is posted on the bottom. That would be the number right there. You can call them and report, if you want to. The officer's information is on that summons." The camera captures a large bulletin board with several posters. street is looks at the board and replies, "Thank you." At the 22:56:55 minute mark, Sgt. Hansson says to street is not too much that I can do. In other words, just to talk to them." At the 22:57:58 minute mark, Sgt. Hansson replies, "No problem," and walks away.

PO Carr (BR 09) did not recall this incident. PO Carr was at the telephone switchboard operator's desk answering telephone phone calls, assisting the desk sergeant, handling property voucher requests from civilians, and performing various other administrative tasks. PO Carr did not remember (S87(2)(b) coming to the stationhouse and wanting to file a complaint against officers. PO Carr denied refusing to process (S87(2)(b) complaint against officers and he did not see any other officer do so. PO Carr did not remember who the desk sergeant was on the incident date or whether Sgt. Hansson was the desk sergeant. PO Carr did not remember if he referred a civilian who wished to file a complaint against officers to Sgt. Hansson. PO Carr stated that in cases when a civilian comes to the stationhouse to file a complaint against officers, he usually refers the individual to the CCRB poster that is hanging on the wall somewhere in the vestibule area of the stationhouse, and informs the desk sergeant about the civilian's intention to file a complaint. The desk sergeant then decides what to do with the complaint.

Sgt. Hansson § 87(2)(g) testified that he was sitting behind his desk when an officer, whose name he did not recall, approached him and said that there was a female in the vestibule area who wished to speak to him. Sgt. Hansson entered the vestibule area and saw §87(2)(b) complained about her interaction with officers and that she was issued a summons unjustly. Sgt. Hansson told that she could file a complaint against the officers with the IAB or CCRB, if she wished, and then pointed to the bulletin board with the CCRB's poster. Sgt. Hansson acknowledged that he is familiar with the Patrol Guide procedure about processing civilian complaints against members-of-service. Sgt. Hansson stated that if somebody wants to make a complaint, he would record it in the Command Log, notify the CCRB and make a statistical summary sheet, have the civilian complete the form, and then it all gets forwarded to the CCRB. Sgt. Hansson stated that, in this incident, he "did not feel like it was important to follow that procedure, because she § 87(2)(b) was more upset about the summons. That's why I directed her to the poster." Sgt. Hansson denied refusing to process [87(2)(b)] s complaint against the officers, but acknowledged he never offered \$87(2)(b) the opportunity to complete the CCRB complaint form at the stationhouse because he felt she was more upset about the summons.

Sgt. Hansson completed a Command Log entry (BR 05) on January 5, 2019, at 11 p.m., regarding his interaction with \$87(2)(b) and that she was considering filing a CCRB complaint

Page 3

CCRB Case # 201900776

due to her "displeased interaction with PD." Sgt. Hansson noted that the entry was accurate and that \$87(2)(b) never said that she wished to file a complaint, but sounded like she was only considering doing so.

PO David Oyague (BR 10) did not recall this incident. PO Oyague was assigned to assist the desk sergeant and the telephone switchboard operator in various administrative duties. PO Oyague did not recall seeing say at the stationhouse, nor did he remember seeing any civilian coming to the stationhouse who wanted to file a complaint against officers, or any officer refusing to process a complaint.

The NYPD Patrol Guide Procedure 207-31 (BR 04) states that upon receipt from a civilian alleging misconduct by a uniformed member of service, officers must report misconduct to the Civilian Complaint Review Board. If the complaint is made in person, the receiving officer must interview the complainant and provide him or her with a copy of the complaint report to be prepared in complainant's own handwriting.

§ 87(2)(g)	
§ 87(2)(g)	
§ 87(2)(g)	

# **Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories**

- has been a party to one CCRB complaint and has been named a victim in two allegations (BR 06):
  - O § 87(2)(b)
- PO Stephan Carr has been a member of service for nine years and has been a subject in seven CCRB complaints and 11 allegations, two of which were substantiated:
  - 201609781 involved substantiated allegations of abuse of authority (vehicle search) and abuse of authority (retaliatory summons). The Board recommended Command A Discipline, and the NYPD has not yet imposed discipline.
- Sgt. Michael Hansson has been a member of service for eight years and has been a subject in nine CCRB complaints and 23 allegations, of which one was substantiated:
  - o 201201649 involved substantiated allegation of discourtesy (word). The Board recommended Charges and the NYPD imposed Command Discipline B.

### **Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories**

- § 87(2)(g)
- As of June 10, 2019, Notice of Claim has been filed regarding this incident (BR 08).

Page 4

CCRB Case # 201900776

• [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 8	36(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]		
Squad No.:	_4		
Investigator:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Squad Leader:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date
Reviewer:			
	Signature	Print Title & Name	Date