

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Sylvia Davidovicz	Team: Squad #12	CCRB Case #: 202002383	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Thursday, 03/19/2020 7:00 PM	Location of Incident: § 87(2)(b)	Precinct: 71	18 Mo. SOL 9/19/2021	EO SOL 5/6/2022	
Date/Time CV Reported Fri, 03/27/2020 12:53 PM	CV Reported At: CCRB	How CV Reported: On-line website	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 03/27/2020 12:53 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. SGT Gesner Charles	03036	925061	071 PCT
2. POM Destenin Perez	08751	966267	071 PCT
3. POM Antonious Michael	11215	960942	071 PCT

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Roger Delfosse	14900	955863	071 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A.SGT Gesner Charles	Abuse: Sergeant Gesner Charles entered § 87(2)(b), in Brooklyn.	
B.POM Antonious Michael	Force: Police Officer Antonious Michael used physical force against § 87(2)(b)	
C.POM Destenin Perez	Force: Police Officer Destenin Perez struck § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) with a police shield.	
D.SGT Gesner Charles	Abuse: Sergeant Gesner Charles forcibly removed § 87(2)(b) § 87(2)(b) to the hospital.	

Case Summary

On March 27, 2020, § 87(2)(b) made this complaint to the CCRB via the online website.

On March 19, 2020 at 7:00 PM, Sergeant Gesner Charles, Police Officer Destenin Perez, Police Officer Antonious Michael, and Police Officer Roger Delfosse of the 71st Precinct responded to § 87(2)(b)'s residence at § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn. § 87(2)(b) spoke to the officers in the hallway outside of her apartment for a few minutes, then attempted to reenter her apartment. Sgt. Charles held the door open and stepped into the apartment while the other officers entered the apartment without § 87(2)(b)'s consent (**Allegation A: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g)). Inside of the apartment, PO Michael grabbed § 87(2)(b)'s arm and twisted it (**Allegation B: Force**, § 87(2)(g)). PO Perez used a police shield to hit § 87(2)(b)'s legs (**Allegation C: Force**, § 87(2)(g)). Sgt. Charles then instructed EMTs to remove § 87(2)(b) to a hospital (**Allegation D: Abuse of Authority**, § 87(2)(g)). § 87(2)(b) was not arrested or summonsed as a result of this incident.

NYPD body-worn camera video depicts the entire incident (Board Reviews 08, 09, 10, 11; summaries in Board Reviews 12, 14, 15, and 16).

Findings and Recommendations

Allegation (A) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Gesner Charles entered § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn.

In a phone statement to the CCRB, § 87(2)(b) explained that she has signed a five-year lease on her apartment at § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 03). The City of New York subsidizes part of § 87(2)(b)'s rent, but § 87(2)(b) is the sole lease holder and her apartment is private. Building staff do have a key to § 87(2)(b)'s apartment, but it may be used only for emergency maintenance. § 87(2)(b) can lock the door to her apartment and people are only allowed to enter her apartment with her consent.

In her CCRB interview, § 87(2)(b) stated that on March 19, 2020, she had been sitting in her room on a chair near her bed for the entire day and had not left her room or spoken to anybody (Board Review 01). At a time § 87(2)(b) reported as 8:00 PM but which was determined by the investigation to be 7:00 PM, § 87(2)(b) heard someone knocking on her door. § 87(2)(b) went out into the hallway and spoke to Sgt. Charles, PO Perez, PO Michael, and PO Delfosse of the 71st Precinct while a § 87(2)(b) security guard stood nearby. She told the officers she had done nothing wrong and they began interrogating her. § 87(2)(b) described her problem with her neighbor slamming doors and one of the officers told § 87(2)(b) she should move. § 87(2)(b) talked to the officers in the hallway for about five minutes. An officer then started “screaming” at § 87(2)(b) so § 87(2)(b) attempted to go back into her apartment. As she did, the officers surrounded her and followed her into the apartment, forcing her to move toward the back of the apartment.

The 71st Precinct Event Summary for March 19, 2020 shows that officers of the 71st Precinct responded to a job labeled “Violent EDP” at § 87(2)(b) in Brooklyn at 6:54 PM (Board Review 02). The Event Summary states that an individual was removed to the hospital at the end of the incident.

In the 911 call associated with this incident, an unknown individual requested that EMS respond to § 87(2)(b) to evaluate § 87(2)(b) (Board Review 04, summary in Board Review 05).

At 00:46 seconds into the call, the caller states that there is an EDP (later identified by the 911 caller as § 87(2)(b) at the location. The caller states that she is not § 87(2)(b)'s caseworker, but she knows that § 87(2)(b) usually does take psychiatric medication. She states that § 87(2)(b) is generally calm but is currently behaving as if she is not taking her medication or is taking the wrong medication. At 01:08 minutes into the call, the 911 operator asks if § 87(2)(b) is violent. The caller replies, "She's getting there." At 3:20 minutes into the call, the caller is transferred to EMS. At 03:50 minutes, the caller states that when § 87(2)(b) does not take her medication correctly, "she gets pretty bad pretty fast." The caller states that § 87(2)(b) is getting angry, seeing things, and talking to herself. At 03:58, the EMS operator asks the caller if she believes § 87(2)(b) is going to harm herself or others. The caller states, "It's a possibility," then says, "She can go from really calm to really violent very quickly."

The incident report provided by § 87(2)(b) the owner of the § 87(2)(b) states that security called 911 because on March 19, 2020 § 87(2)(b) had complained of visual and auditory hallucinations all day, beginning at 8:20 AM (Board Review 25). The incident report states that § 87(2)(b) had gone to the hospital but was unable to get her medication. § 87(2)(b) security personnel decided to call 911 after the fourth time § 87(2)(b) used the intercom to call them and she "sounded very angry, screaming, cursing, saying once again she hearing and seeing things." The report states that security personnel called 911 and requested EMS because they feared § 87(2)(b) would harm herself or others.

At 01:20 minutes into Sgt. Charles' BWC video, § 87(2)(b) is depicted speaking with Sgt. Charles in the hallway outside of her apartment while PO Michael, PO Perez, and PO Delfosse, and an unknown male stand nearby (Board Review 09, Summary in Board Review 14). At 01:49 minutes into the video, Sgt. Charles asks § 87(2)(b) if she is taking any medication. § 87(2)(b) states that she has been hospitalized ten times and that there is no problem with her medication. Sgt. Charles asks if she has taken her medication and she states that she has. At 02:10, § 87(2)(b) says, "Cause why? You wanna send me to the hospital? That's eleven times. You can't do that to people," raising her voice to a shout at the end of the statement. Sgt. Charles states that he wants to make sure that § 87(2)(b) is okay. At 03:00 § 87(2)(b) says, "We'll see what happens." At 03:04 minutes she says, "Next time it happens I'm gonna get in a fight, and this time I'm gonna hit somebody." At 03:38, § 87(2)(b) says, "How about I get in a fight with him? Cause I'll take anybody, I don't care who it is." At 05:26 minutes into the video, Sgt. Charles asks § 87(2)(b) if she has been, "seeing stuff." § 87(2)(b) states that she sees "people with strange faces." She states that she has been seeing things since she moved into the apartment. At 07:03 minutes, Sgt. Charles asks § 87(2)(b) if she is seeing anything "right now". § 87(2)(b) states that she is not. She states that she is unhappy that she had to go to the hospital that day. She states that she attempted to get her medicine from the hospital, but her doctor was gone, and she could not get it even after calling the hospital 17 times. Sgt. Charles asks § 87(2)(b) why she takes medication and she begins talking about radio volume settings. § 87(2)(b) states that "they" turn the radio volume all the way down, then suddenly turn it up to surprise her. § 87(2)(b) states that she takes medicine to control the volume of the sound. At 09:47 minutes into the video, § 87(2)(b) says, "You can't let them hit me with the door again." Sgt. Charles walks up to the door and says, "What's wrong with this door? This door's fine, looks nice." At 09:59, § 87(2)(b) goes into her apartment and says, "Let me see." Sgt. Charles says, "It looks good, you don't have to close it." At 10:05, Sgt. Charles says, "Don't close the door," and enters the apartment. PO Michael enters the apartment at the same time. § 87(2)(b) shouts, "He's trying to hit me," as PO Michael walks toward her. § 87(2)(b) backs into her apartment and shouts, "Get away from me," while PO Michael continues to walk toward her. PO Perez enters the apartment at 10:14. PO Perez and PO Michael walk toward § 87(2)(b) as she walks toward the back right corner of the apartment. No conversations between Sgt. Charles or the other officers

and § 87(2)(b) staff are depicted in this video.

At 10:29 minutes into PO Perez's BWC video (Board Review 10, Summary in Board Review 15), § 87(2)(b) walks into her apartment from the hallway slowly § 87(2)(b) appears to try to close the door behind herself. At 10:32, Sgt. Charles leans into the doorway and the door stops closing. His hands are not depicted. At 10:35 minutes into the video, Sgt. Charles forces the door open all the way and walks into the apartment with the inward-opening door at his back. PO Michael is the second officer to walk into the apartment and PO Perez is the third.

In his CCRB interview (Board Review 17), Sgt. Charles stated that the original 911 job only stated that § 87(2)(b) was a "violent EDP" and did not include any other information. Sgt. Charles may have spoken to front desk staff before speaking to § 87(2)(b) but he could not recall what they said. Sgt. Charles went to § 87(2)(b)'s apartment and spoke with § 87(2)(b) in the hallway. After he had spoken to § 87(2)(b) for a few minutes outside of her apartment, § 87(2)(b) attempted to go back inside of the apartment and close the door on the officers Sgt. Charles put his foot in the doorway to stop the door from closing. Sgt. Charles then walked into the apartment. Sgt. Charles stated that he walked into the apartment because he did not want § 87(2)(b) to injure herself. When the undersigned investigator asked Sgt. Charles if he believed that § 87(2)(b) was going to harm herself at the time that Sgt. Charles chose to enter the apartment, Sgt. Charles said, "Yeah, perhaps, maybe, I don't know." When asked why he believed that, Sgt. Charles said, "She's an EDP [emotionally disturbed person] though." During his CCRB interview, Sgt. Charles stated that he had interacted with § 87(2)(b) prior to March 19, 2020 but could not describe any one incident. These past interactions had involved § 87(2)(b) failing to take her medication and being removed to a hospital as a result. § 87(2)(b) had never been violent toward officers or civilians during any of her past interactions with Sgt. Charles. § 87(2)(b) had never harmed herself during any of her past interactions with Sgt. Charles. Sgt. Charles did not believe that § 87(2)(b) had any history of harming herself.

In his CCRB interview, PO Michael stated that he believed that § 87(2)(b) was a threat to her own safety because § 87(2)(b) had complained of hearing voices, PO Michael was unsure about whether § 87(2)(b) had taken her medication, and the original 911 call had stated that § 87(2)(b) was an "EDP" (Board Review 18).

In his CCRB interview, PO Perez stated that he and the other officers entered § 87(2)(b)'s apartment because the job described § 87(2)(b) as a violent emotionally disturbed person, and they feared that if she was left alone in her apartment she would harm herself or find an object that could be used as a weapon against the officers (Board Review 19). PO Perez stated that § 87(2)(b) had shouted that this incident would be "the last time" and that she was going to "do something about it" before attempting to go back into her apartment, causing PO Perez to believe that she might have intended to harm herself or others. PO Perez stated that Sgt. Charles had made the decision that the officers would enter the apartment.

Patrol Guide Procedure 221-13 defines an emotionally disturbed person as "A person who appears to be mentally ill or temporarily deranged and is conducting himself in a manner which a police officer reasonably believes is likely to result in serious injury to himself or others" (Board Review 24).

In *People v. DePaula*, 179 A.D.2d 424 (1st Dep't 1992), the court determined that in a case where officers responded to a report of shots fired inside an apartment and where the defendant had initially answered the door to speak to police and then attempted to shut the door, officers were justified in forcing the door open to enter the apartment (Board Review 26). The court determined

that, based on the information available to the officers, the officers had reason to believe that the individual at the door or another individual potentially inside of the apartment was either in danger or a threat to others, and that the emergency exception to the search warrant requirement applied to their entry of the apartment.

§ 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

§ 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

Allegation (B) Force: Police Officer Antonious Michael used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

It is undisputed that PO Michael grabbed § 87(2)(b) by her arm or wrist inside of § 87(2)(b)'s apartment.

In her CCRB interview, § 87(2)(b) stated that after the officers entered her apartment, they surrounded her as she tried to walk away from them. § 87(2)(b) stated that PO Michael grabbed her by both of her hands and “twisted and bent” her wrists and hands for about five minutes. § 87(2)(b) stated that PO Michael then pushed her against a wall. § 87(2)(b) was unable to describe PO Michael’s twisting of her wrists in more detail. In her follow up statement, § 87(2)(b) stated that PO Michael grabbing her wrists caused pain, swelling, and bruising to her hands, wrists, and arms that lasted for about a week after the incident. The undersigned investigator requested photographs of § 87(2)(b)'s injuries, but § 87(2)(b) did not provide them.

At 10:49 minutes into PO Perez’s BWC video, § 87(2)(b) is depicted standing in her kitchen with the officers. § 87(2)(b) reaches for a kitchen cabinet and PO Michael grabs § 87(2)(b)'s right wrist or arm with one or both of his hands and pull her away from the kitchen. At 10:52 minutes, PO Michael releases § 87(2)(b)'s arm. He is not depicted touching § 87(2)(b) again. At 12:06 minutes into PO Perez’s BWC video, § 87(2)(b) says, “We’re not

off to a good start because he just grabbed me.”

Due to the lighting inside § 87(2)(b)'s apartment and the angle of the camera, PO Michael's BWC video does not clearly depict PO Michael's hands at the moment he grabs § 87(2)(b)'s wrist.

In his CCRB interview, PO Michael stated that when he entered § 87(2)(b)'s apartment, § 87(2)(b) walked toward the kitchen area of her apartment. § 87(2)(b) then began reaching toward something in the kitchen area. PO Michael responded by grabbing one of § 87(2)(b)'s wrists and moving § 87(2)(b) away from the kitchen toward a chair near the opposite wall. PO Michael estimated that he held onto § 87(2)(b)'s wrist for about two seconds before letting go. PO Michael stated that he had received specialized training for responding to calls involving potentially-violent emotionally disturbed people. PO Michael had been instructed to keep these individuals away from kitchen because kitchens generally contain knives. PO Michael could not recall if he saw any knives in § 87(2)(b)'s kitchen at the time of the incident. PO Michael did not recall hearing § 87(2)(b) complain of any pain caused by his grabbing her wrist during the incident.

In his CCRB interview, PO Perez stated that § 87(2)(b) initially went toward her bed when the officers entered the apartment. When an officer asked § 87(2)(b) a question about the medications she was taking, § 87(2)(b) walked toward the kitchen and attempted to open one of her kitchen cabinets. PO Perez stated that PO Michael grabbed § 87(2)(b)'s arm to prevent her from opening the cabinet and moved her away from the kitchen area, holding onto § 87(2)(b)'s arm for a few seconds. PO Perez stated that there was nothing visible in the kitchen that could have been used as a weapon. PO Perez stated that he had been trained to prevent anyone labeled as a “violent EDP” from gaining access to potential weapons. PO Perez stated he believed it was possible that there was a knife or other potential weapon in the cabinet § 87(2)(b) tried to open. PO Perez never heard § 87(2)(b) state that she was in pain as a result of PO Michael's actions.

Patrol Guide Procedure 221-13 states, “Members of the service will use only the reasonable force necessary to gain control or custody of a subject,” when dealing with people classified as emotionally disturbed.

§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (C) Force: Police Officer Destenin Perez struck § 87(2)(b) with a police shield.

In her CCRB interview and follow-up statement, § 87(2)(b) stated that PO Perez pushed a police shield into her knee while the side of her body was pushed against a wall at the back-left corner of the apartment. § 87(2)(b) stated that she held her arms down at her sides while PO Perez pushed her. § 87(2)(b) described the amount of force that PO Perez used to push her as a seven on a scale from one to ten. § 87(2)(b) stated that she did not say anything when PO Perez pushed her.

At 10:50 minutes into PO Michael's BWC video, PO Perez is depicted grabbing § 87(2)(b)'s left arm with his right hand as she attempts to open a kitchen cabinet. The police shield is not in PO Perez's right hand. PO Perez's left arm is down at his side and the police shield is not visible. At 10:54 minutes into the video, PO Perez releases § 87(2)(b)'s left arm. The police shield is never depicted making contact with § 87(2)(b)'s body. At 11:00 minutes, PO Perez places his police shield on the floor, leaning it against a wall.

In his CCRB interview, PO Perez stated that he was equipped with a police shield during the incident because the call was labeled "Violent EDP." PO Perez stated that the NYPD had a policy stating that when officers responded to calls labeled "Violent EDP," one of the responding officers should be equipped with a police shield. PO Perez stated that he did not strike or push § 87(2)(b) with the shield and the shield did not touch § 87(2)(b) during the incident. PO Perez stated that § 87(2)(b) did not complain of having been struck by the police shield during the incident.

In their CCRB interviews, both Sgt. Charles and PO Michael stated that they could not recall if PO Perez had any physical interaction with § 87(2)(b) inside of her apartment.

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation (D) Abuse of Authority: Sergeant Gesner Charles forcibly removed § 87(2)(b) to the hospital.

It is undisputed that § 87(2)(b) was removed to § 87(2)(b) on the night of § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) was not restrained and walked out of her apartment to an ambulance with officers and EMTs.

In her CCRB interview, § 87(2)(b) stated that, while PO Michael was still holding and twisting her wrists, EMS personnel arrived and entered her apartment. When § 87(2)(b) saw the EMTs, she shouted that she wanted a woman to talk to her. PO Michael then let go of her wrists and allowed her to sit down. During her CCRB interview, § 87(2)(b) first stated that officers threatened to forcibly restrain her, then stated that the female EMT threatened to restrain her. § 87(2)(b) gathered her things and left the apartment with the EMTs and officers after they threatened to restrain her if she did not leave with them. § 87(2)(b) was taken to § 87(2)(b), which is directly around the corner from her apartment building, by ambulance. § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) spoke to a doctor who told her that there was nothing wrong with her and that it had not been necessary for officers to forcibly remove her from her apartment to be examined at the hospital. § 87(2)(b) walked back home after speaking with the doctor.

As noted above in the discussion of Allegation A, the CAMBA incident report states that § 87(2)(b) was shouting at security staff and complaining of visual and auditory hallucinations. According to that report, § 87(2)(b) was unable to get her medication from the hospital.

As noted in the discussion of Allegation A, the 911 caller stated that § 87(2)(b) was acting as if she had not taken her medication and was likely to become violent.

At 04:15 minutes into Sgt. Charles' BWC video, § 87(2)(b) states that she was sent to the emergency room on Friday even though nothing was wrong. She then says, "I don't need to go to the hospital." Sgt. Charles asks when the last time she took her medication was, and she states that she took it "at three o'clock today." At 10:38 minutes into the video, Sgt. Charles turns to EMTs who are entering the apartment and says, "She wants to talk to a female, she's off her meds and seeing stuff." A female EMT approaches § 87(2)(b) and asks her how she is doing. § 87(2)(b) states that she went to the hospital to pick up her medication. At 11:52 minutes, the EMT says, "So you want to go to the hospital today, right?" to § 87(2)(b). § 87(2)(b) states that she does not want to go to the hospital. At 12:20 minutes into Sgt. Charles' BWC video, the EMT asks § 87(2)(b) "Okay, so you're hearing voices?" § 87(2)(b) replies, "I'm not hearing anything, I'm not hearing anything." The EMT asks § 87(2)(b) if she is thinking about hurting herself or others and § 87(2)(b) says, "No." At 12:30 minutes, Sgt. Charles walks over to the female EMT and says, "She just said she was hearing voices." § 87(2)(b) says, "I didn't say I was hearing voices; I said the volume was loud." Sgt. Charles asks § 87(2)(b) to stand up and § 87(2)(b) says she will not. At 12:45 minutes, § 87(2)(b) says, "I'm not going to the hospital." At 13:47 minutes, Sgt. Charles walks closer to § 87(2)(b) and says, "Listen, work with me and I'll work with you." § 87(2)(b) states that she needs to take the rest of her medication, including "liquid hydroxyzine" for a panic attack. At 14:12 minutes, § 87(2)(b) says, "I'm gonna use the bathroom before we go. I wanna know what hospital you're taking me to." At 21:11 minutes, § 87(2)(b) walks out of the apartment surrounded by officers and EMS personnel.

During Sgt. Charles' CCRB interview, the undersigned investigator asked him if he had spoken with § 87(2)(b) about whether she was taking her medication. Sgt. Charles stated that § 87(2)(b) had affirmed that she had taken her medication, then said, "They all say that though." The undersigned investigator played clips in Sgt. Charles' BWC video from 04:10, the point at which § 87(2)(b) states that she has taken her medication that day, at 06:55, when § 87(2)(b) states that she is not currently experiencing hallucinations, and 10:30, when Sgt. Charles tells an EMT that § 87(2)(b) is "off her meds [and] seeing stuff." When Sgt. Charles was asked why he stated that § 87(2)(b) was hallucinating and not taking her medication, Sgt. Charles said, "I don't know." Sgt. Charles stated, "[EDPs] always say that," but conversations with their families show that they are lying about taking their medication. Sgt. Charles stated that is his personal policy to "never take their word when they say they are taking their medications." Sgt. Charles also believed that § 87(2)(b) was not taking her medication because of how she was acting. § 87(2)(b) never stated that she had failed to take her medication. No member of the building staff informed Sgt. Charles that § 87(2)(b) had failed to take her medication. Sgt. Charles stated that he believed § 87(2)(b) was experiencing hallucinations because "she was saying things that didn't make any sense." Sgt. Charles did not believe that his statements to the EMT influenced the decision to remove § 87(2)(b) to the hospital. Sgt. Charles believed that the EMTs decided to remove § 87(2)(b) because she was in possession of multiple prescribed

psychiatric medications. Sgt. Charles then stated that a person was classified as an EDP based on their actions and not only on the medications they possessed. Sgt. Charles stated that he believed that it was probably up to EMS personnel to determine whether § 87(2)(b) was removed to the hospital in this situation.

In his CCRB interview, PO Perez stated that, in situations like this one, both EMS and the police supervisor on the scene play a role in determining whether an individual is removed to a hospital. In PO Perez's experience, the supervisor decides whether an individual requires hospitalization and EMS personnel will generally agree with the supervisor and hospitalize the individual.

In his CCRB interview, PO Michael stated that the responding EMS personnel spoke to § 87(2)(b) and decided that § 87(2)(b) required evaluation at a hospital. PO Michael could not remember if any members of service spoke with the EMTs. PO Michael could not recall any of what the EMTs said to § 87(2)(b) but did remember that § 87(2)(b) repeatedly stated that she did not want to go to the hospital. PO Michael stated in his CCRB interview that EMS personnel and not police officers generally decide whether an individual needs to be removed to a hospital.

§ 87(2)(g)

[REDACTED]

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- § 87(2)(b) has been party to one other CCRB complaint in which she was named as a victim in one allegation.
 - § 87(2)(b)
- Sgt. Charles has been a member of service for 21 years and has been a subject in seven previous complaints and 12 allegations, six of which were substantiated.
 - 202111897 involved substantiated allegations of a vehicle search § 87(4-b) § 87(2)(g). The board recommended command discipline for both and the NYPD imposed no discipline for either allegation.
 - 201802489 involved two substantiated allegations of sexual misconduct and one substantiated allegation of verbal discourtesy. The board recommended Command Discipline B for all substantiated allegations and the NYPD imposed Command Discipline B for all allegations.
 - 2018010384 involved substantiated allegations of a retaliatory summons and a threat of arrest. The board recommended Command Discipline B and the NYPD imposed Formalized Training.
 - § 87(2)(g)

- PO Perez has been a member of service for two years and this is the first CCRB complaint to which he has been a subject.
- PO Michael has been a member of service for five years and has been a subject in four CCRB complaints and six allegations, none of which were substantiated. § 87(2)(g)

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

- § 87(2)(b) declined mediation.
- [§ 87(2)(b)] [§§ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [§ 87(2)(c)]
- As of March 23, 2021, no notices of claim have been filed in relation to this incident (Board Review 22).

Squad No.: 12

Investigator: <u>Sylvia Davidovicz</u>	<u>Inv. Sylvia Davidovicz</u>	<u>04/07/2021</u>
Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Squad Leader: <u>Carlmais Johnson</u>	<u>IM Carlmais Johnson</u>	<u>April 7, 2021</u>
Signature	Print Title & Name	Date

Reviewer: _____	_____	_____
Signature	Print Title & Name	Date