## CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Evelis Otero		Team # 3	201014478	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Friday, 10/15/2010 7:15 PM		§ 87(2)(b)		30	4/15/2012	4/15/2012
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported	Date/Time	Received at CCI	RB
Fri, 10/15/2010 2:07 PM		IAB Phone		Thu, 10/21/2010 11:50 AM		
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Add	ress			
Witness(es) Home Address						
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. DT3 Patrick Cherry	01492	926676	FSD			
2. Officers						
Officer(s)	Allegati	on		Inve	estigator Recon	nmendation
A. Officers	Abuse: ( § 87(2)(b)	Officers entered	in Manhattan.			
B.DT3 Patrick Cherry	Abuse: 1 § 87(2)(b)	use: Detective Patrick Cherry entered in Manhattan				

## **Case Summary**

On October 15, 2010, \$87(2) No. 10-51887, which was forwarded investigator on November 1, 2010, approximately 7:15pm, \$87(2)(6) informed him that officers were at looking for him. \$87(2)(6) immediately immediately immediately for him. \$87(2)(6) immediately immediately immediately immediately immediately immediately for him.	ed to the CCRB on Octobe and provided an updated a was at a friend's house whis residence, located at liately returned to his resid de of his apartment and se The officers informed [587(2)]	r 21, 2010. \$87(2)(b) call account of the events. On the ner he received a call from the ence. When \$87(2)(b) are ence. When \$87(2)(b) are that he had sold a general unidentified officers	led the undersigned October 15, 2010, at a his family, who in Manhattan, rived, he observed two inside of his gun to an undercover
officer for \$600 and placed him un the arrest was dismissed. Although he contacted the undersigned inves Cherry of the Firearms Suppression	was unable to tigator, he identified one of	identify any of the subject of the subject officers by n	t officers at the time ame, Detective Patrick
A search of BADS yielded and withdrew his complaint, stating filing a lawsuit instead. 887(2)(5) Supervisor Vanessa Rosen listened "201014478_20101101_1222.WA' investigator mailed a withdrawal lefile when returned to the agency w	g that he did not wish to pu was not coerced into with I to the withdrawal recording V," at 0:06:41) and determinant etter to \$87(2)(5) on Nove	rrsue it any further because drawing his complaint by any (recorded on a digital function in the CC)	the he was interested in the CCRB or NYPD. ile labeled, RB's guidelines. The
Team:3			
Investigator: Signature	Evelis Otero Print	11/01/10 Date	
Supervisor: Title/Signature	Print		
Reviewer:			
Title/Signature	Print	Date	
Reviewer:	Drint	Data	
riue/Signature	Print	Date	

Page 2 CCRB Case # 201014478