CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	₩ F	orce	П	Discourt.	□ U.	<u>S</u> .
Caitlin Schwartz		Team # 6	201307025				O.L.		jury
Cartini Schwartz		Team # 0	201307023		iousc	Ш	O.L.	☐ 111j	jury
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Pre	ecinct:	18	Mo. SOL	EO	SOL
Saturday, 07/27/2013 1:01 AM		Dumont Avenue and C	Georgia Avenue		75	1/	27/2015	1/27/	2015
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	: D	ate/Time	Rece	ived at CC	RB	
Sat, 07/27/2013 11:33 PM		IAB	Phone	F	ri, 08/02/	2013	1:55 PM		
Complainant/Victim	Туре	Home Addre	ess	•					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command						
1. POM Christophe Walters	17260	952335	PBBN						
2. SGT Henry Daverin	03631	945645	PBBN						
3. POM Mark Rivera	02411	952170	PBBN						
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on			Inve	stiga	tor Recor	nmenda	ation
A.POM Christophe Walters	Abuse: P	O Christopher Walters	stopped § 87(2)(b)						
B.POM Mark Rivera	Abuse: P	O Mark Rivera stopped	§ 87(2)(b)						
C.SGT Henry Daverin	Abuse: S	gt. Henry Daverin stopp	oed § 87(2)(b)						
D.POM Christophe Walters	Force: PO Christopher Walters used physical force against \$87(2)(b)								
E.POM Mark Rivera	Force: Po	O Mark Rivera used phy	vsical force against	87(2)					
F.SGT Henry Daverin	Force: Sg	gt. Henry Daverin used j	physical force agains	st § 87(2))				
G.POM Christophe Walters	Force: P(§ 87(2)(b)	O Christopher Walters u	sed a chokehold aga	ainst					
H.POM Mark Rivera	Force: Po	O Mark Rivera used a cl	nokehold against § 87	(2)					
I.SGT Henry Daverin	Force: Sg	gt. Henry Daverin used	a chokehold against	§ 87(2) (h)					

Case Summary

On July 27, 2013, \$87(2)(5) Internal Affairs Bureau, generatin complaint was received by the Civlog indicated that the subject offic Henry Daverin of Patrol Borough	g IAB log 2013-32126 Vilian Complaint Revie ers were PO Christoph	witnessed the w Board on August 2, 20 er Walters, PO Mark Rive	e incident. The 13. The IAB
During the period starting August five times and left voicemails requested 2013, she was informed that if she would be closed without a full investigation of the sender." §87(2)(b) was mailed please call letter to sender." §87(2)(b) was mailed neither of which was returned to the Lexis Nexis search was conducted 2013, §87(2)(b) and §87(2)(b) of Corrections database, and it was \$87(2)(b) nor §87(2)(b) has contacted	esting a call back to die did not contact the investigation. On Septembers, neither of which was please call letters on A he CCRB marked "retulation of say(2)(5) and yit names were references determined that neith	scuss her complaint. On exestigation by October 30 per 19, 2013 and October as returned to the CCRB raugust 6, 2013 and October to sender." On August elded negative results. Or d against the New York Cer was incarcerated. To d	October 30, 2013, the case 25, 2013, 77, 2013, an November 8, City Department
Team:			
Investigator			
Investigator:Signature	Print	Date	_
Supervisor:			
Title/Signature	Print	Date	_
Reviewer:			
Title/Signature	Print	Date	
Reviewer:			
Title/Signature	Print	Date	

Page 2 CCRB Case # 201307025