CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	✓ Discourt.	☐ U.S.
Sruthi Venigalla		Squad #13	201408519	☐ Abuse	_	Injury
		1				<u> </u>
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:		Precinct	: 18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL
Saturday, 08/09/2014 7:50 PM		in front of 104 Montgo within the 71st Precinc		71	2/9/2016	2/9/2016
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Ti	ime Received at CCl	RB
Tue, 08/19/2014 5:01 PM		CCRB	In-person	Tue, 08	8/19/2014 5:01 PM	
Complainant/Victim	Туре	Home Addre	ess	•		
Witness(es)		Home Addre	ess			
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command			
1. POM Charles Arnone	03642	950021	071 PCT			
2. POM Jose Sanchez	18691	942501	071 PCT			
3. POM Ryan Norwood	00421	949403	071 PCT			
4. SGT Fitzroy Vigilance	04583	918667	071 PCT			
Officer(s)	Allegatio	on		Iı	nvestigator Recon	nmendation
A.POM Charles Arnone	Abuse: In Charles A	n front of 104 Montgom Arnone facilitated the sto	nery Street in Brookly op of § 87(2)(b)	n, PO		
B.POM Charles Arnone		n front of 104 Montgom Arnone searched ^{§ 87(2)(b)}		vn, PO		
C.POM Jose Sanchez		n front of 104 Montgom chez searched ^{§ 87(2)(b)}	vehicle.	n, PO		
D.POM Ryan Norwood		n front of 104 Montgom rwood searched ^{§ 87(2)(b)}	vehicle.	n, PO		
E.POM Jose Sanchez	and withi	esy: In front of 104 Mor in the 71st Precinct stati dely to ^{§ 87(2)(b)}				
F.SGT Fitzroy Vigilance		esy: Within the 71st Predigilance acted rudely to		gt.		
G.SGT Fitzroy Vigilance		Vithin the 71st Precinct to refused to provide his				

Case Summary

On August 9, 2014, at approximately 8 p.m. in front of what the investigation determined
to be 104 Montgomery Street in Brooklyn, \$87(2)(b) was cleaning his car while six
individuals he identified as his family members and acquaintances were listening to music and
eating pizza less than twenty feet away. One of the six individuals, \$87(2)(b) was
seated in the front passenger seat of a parked minivan with the door open. The minivan belonged
to § $87(2)(b)$ who § $87(2)(b)$ and § $87(2)(b)$ identified as their cousin.
§ 87(2)(b) younger brothers, § 87(2)(b) and § 87(2)(b) who were
younger brothers, \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) who were among the group, were also standing around \$87(2)(b) and \$87(2)(b) stated
that the music was not loud, and that nobody was smoking, or under the influence of drugs or
alcohol.
had been seated in the minivan for approximately fifteen to twenty
minutes when an unmarked vehicle, identified by the investigation as a silver Chevy Impala
(RMP 524), pulled up. Three officers in plainclothes from the 71st Precinct, identified by the
investigation as PO Charles Arnone, PO Ryan Norwood, and PO Jose Sanchez, exited the
unmarked RMP and approached \$87(2)(b) who remained seated. An officer,
identified by the investigation as PO Arnone, asked him, "What are you doing here?" and "Why
are you here?" PO Arnone also asked if \$87(2)(b) had weapons in the car (Allegation
A). § 87(2)(b) explained that it was not his vehicle and pointed out the owner of the
vehicle. The officers removed \$87(2)(b) from the vehicle and searched the front
passenger side door of the minivan, as well as underneath the visor, on the floor, and in the center
console. The officers also searched empty plastic bags which previously held food (Allegations
B, C, and D).
was handcuffed by an officer, identified by the investigation as PO
Sanchez. At this point, § 87(2)(b) approached the officers and asked an officer, identified by
the investigation as PO Sanchez, why \$87(2)(b) was arrested. PO Sanchez asked
for his identification, which he refused to provide. According to \$87(2)(b)
PO Sanchez said, "Mind your fucking business," and when \$87(2)(b) continued to ask why
was arrested, PO Arnone said to \$87(2)(b) "Shut the fuck up." PO
Sanchez handcuffed § 87(2)(b) and placed him in the same unmarked RMP.
was held within a holding cell of the 71st Precinct stationhouse for
approximately five hours before he was released. At the front of the stationhouse, where his son,
and several other civilians and officers were also present, PO Sanchez
pushed \$87(2)(b) s belongings toward him, handed him a summons for \$87(2)(b)
and said, "Take your shit and get out of there" (Allegation E). Another officer, identified by the
investigation as Sgt. Fitzroy Vigilance, approached 887(2)(b) and attempted to shove his belongings into his pockets (Allegation F). When 887(2)(b) asked Sgt. Vigilance for his
belongings into his pockets (Allegation F). When \$87(2)(b) asked Sgt. Vigilance for his
name and shield, Sgt. Vigilance said, "You'll just have to figure that out yourself. I'm not giving
that to you" (Allegation G). §87(2)(b) then left the stationhouse.
s arrest report indicates that he was observed in possession of a lit
marijuana cigarette on a public sidewalk (encl. 9A-9C). The marijuana cigarette was recovered
from the ground and vouchered (encl. 9F), and \$87(2)(b) was determined to have two
active warrants. PO Arnone was the arresting officer.
Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

This case is ineligible for mediation because of \$87(2)(b) are submitted a Freedom of Law Information (FOIL) request to verify if either \$87(2)(b) or

Page 2 **CCRB Case # 201408519**

filed a Notice of Claim regarding this incident. The response will be placed
in the case file upon receipt. [\$ 87(2)(b)] [\$\\$ 86(1)(3)&(4)] [\$ 87(2)(c)]
Civilian and Officer Histories
• \$87(2)(b) has filed one previous complaint with the CCRB. \$87(2)(b)
 \$87(2)(b) has not filed any previous complaints with the CCRB. \$87(2)(b) has not filed any previous complaints with the CCRB. PO Arnone has been a member of the NYPD for three years, and has had nine allegations involving three other cases. In CCRB case number 201307172, an allegation of a vehicle search was substantiated, for which he received command discipline. He has one other open case with the CCRB. \$87(2)(g) PO Norwood has been a member of the NYPD for four years, and has had three allegations involving one other case, none of which have been substantiated. \$87(2)(g)
• PO Sanchez has been a member of the NYPD for eight years, and has had six allegations involving four cases, none of which have been substantiated. [\$87(2)(9)
• Sgt. Fitzroy Vigilance has been a member of the NYPD for 18 years, and has had 30 allegations involving 15 cases, none of which have been substantiated. There have been four allegations of a refusal to provide name and shield.
Additional Witnesses 887(2)(b) a friend of \$57(2)(b) and \$57(2)(b) provided a detailed telephone statement on May 11, 2015 (encl. 7). \$57(2)(b) and two other individuals, whose names he did not know, were standing around \$57(2)(b) parked minivan. \$57(2)(b) was seated in the front passenger seat of the minivan, with the door left open. \$25(2)(b) stated that music was playing from the minivan, and that they were only talking, without eating, drinking or smoking, when an unmarked black Chevy Impala pulled to a stop next to the minivan. Three men in plainclothes exited the vehicle, approached \$57(2)(b) who remained seated, and removed him from the vehicle. \$57(2)(b) stated that an officer, he only described as a white man, frisked and searched \$57(2)(b) but that none of the three officers searched the minivan, or interacted with \$57(2)(b) or any of the individuals within the group. At some point during their interaction with \$57(2)(b) an officer picked up a cigarette butt which \$57(2)(b) was unable to describe other than that it was brown. \$57(2)(b) also stated that officers were using profanity, but \$57(2)(b) was unable to recall the statements made.
• Between September 18, 2014, and January 8, 2015, the undersigned initiated five phone calls and mailed two please-call letters on November 14, 2014, and January 8, 2015, to s confirmed contact information. On November 14, 2014, the undersigned received a voicemail from \$87(2)(b) who confirmed his phone
Page 3

CCRB Case # 201408519

CCRB-Confidential

number. He was unable to be reached when the undersigned called back on the same day.
A LexisNexis database search conducted on November 24, 2014, confirmed §87(2)(b)
s address. No letters were returned to the CCRB by the United States Postal
Service, and to date, \$87(2)(b) has not contacted the undersigned regarding
this case.
● § 87(2)(g)
Explanation of Officer Identification
The complaint report and the arrest report for \$87(2)(6) lists PO Arnone as the
arresting officer (encl. 9A-9D), and the summons that was issued to \$87(2)(6) lists PO
Sanchez as the issuing officer (encl. 13D). During their statements at the CCRB, PO Arnone and
PO Sanchez identified PO Norwood as the third officer who was on the scene. The roll call
identified Sgt. Vigilance as the desk officer. §87(2)(6) alleged that the officer who handed
him the summons (PO Sanchez) cursed at him, and the desk officer (Sgt. Vigilance) shoved items
into his pockets and refused to provide his name and shield number. §87(2)(9)
Investigative Findings and Recommendations
Discourtesy – Language: When § 87(2)(b) handcuffed by an officer, identified by the
investigation as PO Sanchez, \$87(2)(b) asked PO Sanchez why \$87(2)(b) was
arrested. According to §87(2)(b) PO Sanchez said, "Mind your fucking business" and
when \$87(2)(6) continued to ask why \$87(2)(6) was arrested, PO Arnone said, "Oh
shut up, shut the fuck up." §87(2)(b) did not allege discourteous language against either PO
Sanchez or PO Arnone. § 87(2)(b) stated that profanity was used by the officers, although he
was unable to reiterate the statements that were made. Furthermore, \$87(2)(6) only alleged
profanity after the undersigned asked if profanity was used. § 87(2)(9)
Allegation A – Abuse of Authority: In front of 104 Montgomery Street in Brooklyn, PO
Charles Arnone stopped § 87(2)(b)
who denied smoking or drinking, was seated in the front passenger
seat of a minivan, belonging to \$87(2)(b) when an unmarked silver Chevy Impala pulled up
next to the minivan. According to \$87(2)(b) an officer, identified by the investigation
as PO Arnone, approached him while he remained seated in the minivan and asked him, "What
are you doing here?"; "Why are you here?" and if he had any weapons in the vehicle before
removing him from the vehicle (encl. 6). \$87(2)(b) also denied that \$87(2)(b) or any
other individuals in the group were drinking or smoking (encl. 7). However, both §87(2)(b)
and \$87(2)(b) stated that the officers retrieved a part of the cigarette from the
ground.
PO Arnone was seated in the rear passenger seat of an unmarked silver Chevy Impala,
with the windows rolled down, when from approximately one block away, he observed a group of
male individuals standing on a public sidewalk around a parked four door sedan. From
approximately two car lengths away, PO Arnone noted an odor of burning marijuana, and
observed one of the individuals within the group, identified by the investigation as \$87(2)(6)
smoking a cigarette or a marijuana cigarette, before throwing it to the ground and
sitting back in the front passenger seat of the parked vehicle with the door left open. PO Arnone
observed the standing individuals holding clear cups in their hands. PO Arnone was unable to

Page 4 CCRB Case # 201408519

Arnone recovered the still lit marijuana cigarette from the ground (encl. 10) and vouchered it as arrest evidence (encl. 9F). PO Sanchez who was also seated in the vehicle corroborated PO Arnone's statement in noting the odor of marijuana. PO Arnone asked \$87(2)(5) to stand up and step two paces away from the car, before he said him, "Sir, I'm just going to check you for weapons." He then placed \$87(2)(5) in handcuffs, recovered the still-lit marijuana cigarette from the ground, and walked him towards the RMP. PO Arnone said PO Norwood and PO Sanchez, who was seated in the front passenger seat of the RMP, had exited and were asking the remaining individuals to "get moving" as they could not congregate while drinking.
Reasonable suspicion of criminality is required for a forcible stop. People v. DeBour, 40
N.Y.2d 201 (1976). (encl. 1A-1K.)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
Allegation B – Abuse of Authority: In front of 104 Montgomery Street in Brooklyn, PO Charles Arnone searched vehicle. Allegation C – Abuse of Authority: In front of 104 Montgomery Street in Brooklyn, PO Jose Sanchez searched vehicle. Allegation D – Abuse of Authority: In front of 104 Montgomery Street in Brooklyn, PO
Ryan Norwood searched 887(2)(b) vehicle.
alleged that after he was removed from \$37(2)(b) minivan, some of the officers—he could not say which ones—searched the front passenger side door of the minivan, underneath the visor, on the floor, and in the center console, as well as empty plastic bags which previously held food (encl. 6). \$37(2)(b) alleged that PO Arnone searched the front passenger side of the vehicle, and PO Arnone's partners, PO Norwood and PO Sanchez, searched the empty plastic bags (encl. 5). \$37(2)(b) stated that his vehicle was not searched (encl. 7).
PO Arnone stated that he conducted a visual observation of the seat where §87(2)(b)
had been seated, but that neither he, nor any other officer, conducted a vehicle search or checked bags within the vehicle (encl. 10). PO Sanchez (encl. 14) and PO Norwood (encl. 12) denied participating in a vehicle search and denied witnessing another officer perform a vehicle search.
In order for officers to perform a vehicle search that includes opening closed containers,
the officers must have probable cause to believe that the vehicle contained a weapon, contraband,
or evidence of a crime. People v. Smith, N.Y. App. Div. 5857 (2012 - 2nd Dept.). (encl. 1L-1M.)
§ 87(2)(g)

Page 5 CCRB Case # 201408519

§ 87(2)(g)		
Allegation E – Discourtesy: Within to rudely to \$87(2)(5) Allegation F – Abuse of Authority: Vigilance acted rudely toward \$87(2)(5) Allegation G – Abuse of Authority: Vigilance refused to provide his name was held with	Within the 71 st Precinc Within the 71 st Precinc te and shield number t	t stationhouse, Sgt. Fitzroy t stationhouse, Sgt. Fitzroy o 887(2)(b)
approximately five hours before he way whom he identified as \$\frac{8}{37(2)(b)}\$ PO Sanchez pushed \$\frac{8}{37(2)(b)}\$ s disorderly conduct, and said, "Take you the investigation as Sgt. Fitzroy Vigilar shove his belongings into his pockets. and shield, Sgt. Vigilance said, "You'l you." \$\frac{8}{37(2)(b)}\$ then left the stat statement regarding this incident.	s released. According to several other civil belongings toward him, ur shit and get out of the nce, also approached \$87 When \$87(2)(b) at 1 just have to figure that ionhouse (encl. 5). \$87(2)(1) none (encl. 10), and PO	while his son, ians and officers were also present, handed him a summons for ere." Another officer, identified by and attempted to sked Sgt. Vigilance for his name out yourself, I'm not giving that to did not provide a
officer used profanity towards § 87(2)(b)	made the alleg	ed statement at the time of his
release, or attempted to throw or "show have an independent recollection of the		us pockets. Sgt. Vigilance did not
§ 87(2)(g)		
·		
Team:		
Investigator:Signature	Print	Date
Supervisor: Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer: Title/Signature	Print	Date
Reviewer:		

Page 6 CCRB Case # 201408519

Title/Signature	Print	Date