

US Patent & Trademark Office

Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent Application Publication
Kind Code
Publication Date
Inventor(s)

20250260571
A1
August 14, 2025
LI; Han-Lin et al.

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PERFORMING OPERATION USING LINEAR-INTEGER-PROGRAMING FOR RSA FACTORIZATION

Abstract

A system for performing operations using linear integer programming for RSA factorization is provided, including an n/e extractor, a prime factorization calculator, a private key determiner, and a decryptor. The n/e extractor is configured to extract a modulus and a public key exponent from a public key. The prime factorization calculator is configured to: determine a semi-prime number of the modulus according to the modulus; use a tail digit and a head digit set of the semi-prime number of the modulus to perform decomposition and factorization with respect to the semi-prime number into two prime factors. The private key determiner is configured to determine a private key using the public key exponent and the two prime numbers. The decryptor is configured to decrypt an encrypted message using the private key so as to generate a decrypted message.

Inventors: LI; Han-Lin (Hong Kong, HK), KUO; Way (Hong Kong, HK)
Applicant: City University of Hong Kong (Hong Kong, HK)
Family ID: 1000007694638
Appl. No.: 18/437201
Filed: February 08, 2024

Publication Classification

Int. Cl.: H04L9/30 (20060101)
U.S. Cl.:
CPC H04L9/302 (20130101); H04L9/3033 (20130101);

Background/Summary

TECHNICAL FIELD

[0001] The present invention generally relates to the field of public-key encryption and digital signature, particularly to systems and methods for performing operations using linear integer programming for RSA factorization.

BACKGROUND

[0002] A semi-prime number is the product of exactly two different prime numbers. An RSA factorization method is to decompose a semi-prime number into its two prime factors. RSA factorization is an essential technique that is widely used in today's cryptography research such as the RSA public-key encryption and RSA digital signature. Many mathematicians and computer scientists have been developing new RSA factorization methods to secure today's digital communication systems.

[0003] Specifically, in RSA encryption, a public key is created from two large prime numbers, and the product of these two primes (a semiprime) is used as part of this key. The security of RSA encryption relies heavily on the computational difficulty of factoring large semiprime numbers. If it is easy to factorize the semiprime, the encryption could be easily broken, rendering the encrypted data insecure. A semiprime factorization calculator could theoretically be used to break RSA encryption by factorizing the semiprime number in the public key to find the original prime numbers. However, in practice, the prime numbers used in RSA encryption are so large (e.g., hundreds of digits) that it is computationally infeasible to factorize the semiprime number with current technology and known algorithms. Therefore, while a semiprime factorization calculator fits into the technical field of encryption/decryption in theory, its practical application for breaking modern encryption is currently limited.

[0004] The currently available RSA factorization methods using traditional computers can be casted in three categories. The first category, including the well-known "Trial Sieve method," utilizes the exhaustive brute force techniques. The second category, including the "Pollard's Rho" and "Lenstra Elliptic Curve" methods develops special-purpose quadratic sieve methods. The third one is the general-purpose quadratic sieve category, including the General Number Field Sieve method (GNFS) and Shanks's method. There also exists an RSA factorization method using the quantum computer, which may become scalable in the future.

[0005] The above-mentioned RSA factoring methods have their features and limitations described as follows:

[0006] To factorize a semi-prime number θ , these methods may not utilize the information of decimal digits, but factorize θ directly.

[0007] These methods employ heuristic techniques, which may not converge to a final feasible solution. For example, the feasibility of GNFS method depends on how to specify the two polynomial functions involved, and the Pollard Rho method is a probabilistic algorithm with no guarantee to find a feasible solution.

[0008] To factorize a semi-prime number θ , a typical sieve method needs to know the prime numbers smaller than $\sqrt{\theta}$ beforehand. For instance, the GNFS method spends more than half of its computing time (e.g., more than 50%) in detecting if a number is smooth or

not. It is noted that a number called B-smooth if all factors are not larger than a number B. [0009] Some of these methods can only factorize a semi-prime number θ with certain specific structure. For instance, SNFS can only factorizing θ with limited smoothness values. [0010] Some of these methods, such as the Trial Division, Wheel Factorization, Pollard's Rho, and Elliptic Curve methods are special-purpose factoring algorithms, whose running time depends on the size of the smallest prime factor of θ . [0011] Special-purpose factorization algorithms are usually applied to remove small factors before employing the General Quadratic Sieve method. [0012] Therefore, there is a need to develop systems and methods for performing RSA factorization operations to address the challenges and shortcomings of the currently applied RSA factorization approaches.

SUMMARY OF INVENTION

[0013] It is an objective of the present invention to provide systems and methods for performing operations using linear integer programming for RSA factorization to address the aforementioned shortcomings and unmet needs in the state of the art. [0014] To overcome the shortcomings of the current available RSA factorization methods, the present disclosure develops a novel RSA factorization approach, called Linear-Integer-Programming (LIP) method, for factorizing semi-prime numbers. The features of the LIP method are given in the following: [0015] (i) To factorize a semi-prime primal θ with $\lambda+2$ decimal digits, LIP utilizes the decimal digit information of θ to formulate several subproblems in linear integer programming models with A equations and 101 binary variables. Solving the resulting subproblems leads to the factorization of θ into two primes. This is totally different from the known methods, which do not use any of the decimal digit information of θ . [0016] (ii) LIP is an exact method that can factorize any given semi-prime number into two exact primes without exception. It is different from the existing heuristic methods, which may not converge to a feasible solution. [0017] (iii) To factorize a semi-prime number θ , the LIP method does not require the information of all primes smaller than $\sqrt{\theta}$. [0018] (iv) LIP can factorize any given semi-prime number θ without asking θ to fit any required structure. [0019] (v) LIP does not require the use of any specific software to factorize θ . Any commercially available linear integer programming solver can be utilized to factorize a given semi-prime number θ . [0020] The strategy/key steps of developing the LIP method are described as follows: [0021] (i) (Standard Expression) Given a semi-prime number θ in $1+2$ decimal digits. Without loss of generality, in the decibel system, it can be assumed that A is an even number and $\theta = \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda} a_{\text{sub}.j} \times 10^{\text{sup}.j}$, where $a_{\text{sub}.j} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$, for $j=0, 1, \dots, \lambda-1$, and $a_{\text{sub}.\lambda} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 99\}$. [0022] Example 1: For $\theta=123456$, there is $\lambda=4$, $a_{\text{sub}.0}=6$, $a_{\text{sub}.1}=5$, $a_{\text{sub}.2}=4$, $a_{\text{sub}.3}=3$, $a_{\text{sub}.4}=12$, and $\theta=12 \times 10^{\text{sup}.4} + 3 \times 10^{\text{sup}.3} + 4 \times 10^{\text{sup}.2} + 5 \times 10^{\text{sup}.1} + 6 \times 10^{\text{sup}.0}$. For $\theta=12345=012345$, there is $\lambda=4$, $a_{\text{sub}.0}=5$, $a_{\text{sub}.1}=4$, $a_{\text{sub}.2}=3$, $a_{\text{sub}.3}=2$, $a_{\text{sub}.4}=1$, and $\theta=1 \times 10^{\text{sup}.4} + 2 \times 10^{\text{sup}.3} + 3 \times 10^{\text{sup}.2} + 4 \times 10^{\text{sup}.1} + 5 \times 10^{\text{sup}.0}$. [0023] In other words, for $\theta > 0$ in $d > 2$ digits, if d is odd, then $\lambda = d-1$; otherwise, $\lambda = d-2$. [0024] (ii) (Classification) It is to classify a semi-prime θ as a Type-1, Type-2, or Type-3 semi-prime number in the following way: (a) if $\theta = p_{\text{sub}.i} \times q_{\text{sub}.j}$, with $p_{\text{sub}.i}$ and $p_{\text{sub}.j}$ being $4k+1$ primes, then θ is a type-1 semi-prime; (b) if $\theta = q_{\text{sub}.i} \times q_{\text{sub}.j}$ with $q_{\text{sub}.i}$ and $q_{\text{sub}.j}$ being $4k+3$, then θ is a Type-2 semi-prime; (c) if $\theta = p_{\text{sub}.i} \times q_{\text{sub}.j}$, with $p_{\text{sub}.i}$ and $q_{\text{sub}.j}$ being $4k+1$ and $4k+3$ primes, respectively, then θ is a type-3 semi-prime, where $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{sub}.+}$. [0025] (iii) (Representation) If θ is a Type-1 semi-prime, then there exist positive even integers m, m and odd integers n, n such that $\theta = m_{\text{sup}.2} + n_{\text{sup}.2} = m_{\text{sup}.2} + n_{\text{sup}.2} = 4k+1$. For example, Type-1 $\theta=221=13 \times 17 = 10_{\text{sup}.2} + 11_{\text{sup}.2} = 14_{\text{sup}.2} + 5_{\text{sup}.2}$. [0026] If θ is a Type-2 semi-prime, then there exist positive integers m and n (m even, n odd, and $n > m$) such that $\theta = n_{\text{sup}.2} - m_{\text{sup}.2} = 4k+3$. For example, Type-2 $\theta=77=7 \times 11 = 9_{\text{sup}.2} - 2_{\text{sup}.2}$. [0027] If θ is a Type-3 semi-prime, then there exist positive integers m and n (m even, n odd, and $m > n$) such that $\theta = m_{\text{sup}.2} - n_{\text{sup}.2} = 4k+1$. For example, Type-3 $\theta=143=13 \times 11 = 12_{\text{sup}.2} - 1_{\text{sup}.2}$. [0028] (iv) (Decomposition) Based on the digit-values of $a_{\text{sub}.0}$ and $a_{\text{sub}.\lambda}$, it is to decompose the main problem into several subproblems. Each subproblem is formulated as a linear integer programming problem with λ equations and 10λ binary variables. [0029] (v) (Factorization) upon solving the corresponding linear binary programs to obtain m and n, then the exact factors of θ can be found accordingly. [0030] In embodiments of the present disclosure, for a given semi-prime number $\theta = \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda} a_{\text{sub}.j} \times 10^{\text{sup}.j}$ ($\lambda\theta$ is even), all decimal digits (from the tail digit $a_{\text{sub}.0}$ to the head two-digits $a_{\text{sub}.\lambda}$) are used to form subproblems for consideration. Hence, the approach can be called the "Linear-Integer-Programming" (LIP) method.

wherein

[0031] In accordance with a first aspect of the present invention, a system for reducing computer processing time during private key decryption during digital communication is provided. The system can perform operations using linear integer programming for RSA factorization, including an n/e extractor, a prime factorization calculator, a private key determiner, and a decryptor. The n/e extractor is configured to extract a modulus and a public key exponent from a public key. The prime factorization calculator is electrically coupled with the n/e extractor and is configured to: determine a semi-prime number of the modulus according to the modulus; use a tail digit and a head digit set of the semi-prime number of the modulus to perform decomposition and factorization with respect to the semi-prime number into two prime factors via one of a first mode, a second mode, and a third mode, in which the tail digit represents the last or least significant digit of the semi-prime number, and the head digit set represents the first two or most significant digits of the semi-prime number. The private key determiner is electrically coupled with the prime factorization calculator and is configured to determine a private key using the public key exponent and the two prime numbers. The decryptor is electrically coupled with the private key determiner and is configured to decrypt an encrypted message using the private key so as to generate a decrypted message.

[0032] In accordance with a second aspect of the present invention, a method for reducing computer processing time during private key decryption during digital communication is provided. The method is set for performing operations using linear integer programming for RSA factorization, including steps as follows: extracting a modulus and a public key exponent from a public key; determining a semi-prime number of the modulus according to the modulus; using a tail digit and a head digit set of the semi-prime number of the modulus to perform decomposition and factorization with respect to the semi-prime number into two prime factors via one of a first mode, a second mode, and a third mode, wherein the tail digit represents the last or least significant digit of the semi-prime number, and the head digit set represents the first two or most significant digits of the semi-prime number; determining a private key using the public key exponent and the two prime numbers; [0033] and decrypting an encrypted message using the private key, so as to generate a decrypted message.

[0034] Specifically, the method can be expressed as the follows. (1): factor a given semi-prime $\theta = \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda} a_{\text{sub}.j} \times 10^{\text{sup}.j}$ into two primes, by solving $\lambda+1$ linear integer equations based on digital values $a_{\text{sub}.0}$, $a_{\text{sub}.1}$, $a_{\text{sub}.2}$, \dots , $a_{\text{sub}.n}$; where $\lambda\theta$ is even and $a_{\text{sub}.j}$ are non-negative integers. (2) Let $X = \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} a_{\text{sub}.j} \times 10^{\text{sup}.j}$, and $Y = \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} a_{\text{sub}.j} \times 10^{\text{sup}.j}$. The solutions of factoring θ are expressed as: [0035] (i) $\theta = X_{\text{sup}.2} + Y_{\text{sup}.2}$, if $\theta = 4k+1$ and θ is the product of the $4k+1$ primes. [0036] (ii) $\theta = Y_{\text{sup}.2} - X_{\text{sup}.2}$, if $\theta = 4k+1$ and θ is the product of the $4k+3$ primes. [0037] (iii) $\theta = X_{\text{sup}.2} - Y_{\text{sup}.2}$, if $\theta = 4k+3$ and θ is the product of one $4k+1$ prime and one $4k+3$ prime. [0038] (3A) Generate the equations solvable to obtain X and Y, which are expressed as:

$$[00001] x_0^2 + y_0^2 = 10w_0 + a_0, 2 \cdot \text{Math}_{h+d=j} (x_h x_d + y_h y_d) = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, j = 1, 3, 5, \dots, \lambda - 1,$$

$$2 \cdot \text{Math}_{h+d=j} (x_h x_d + y_h y_d) + x_{\lambda/2}^2 + y_{\lambda/2}^2 = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, j = 2, 4, 6, \dots, \lambda - 2, x_{\lambda/2}^2 + y_{\lambda/2}^2 = 10w_{\lambda/2-1} + a_{\lambda}, [0039] \text{ where}$$

$x_{\text{sub}.h} x_{\text{sub}.d}$ and $y_{\text{sub}.h} y_{\text{sub}.d}$ can be linearized in the solution process. [0040] (3B) Generate the equations solvable to obtain X and Y, which are expressed as:

$$[00002] y^2 - x_0^2 = 10w_0 + a_0, 2 \cdot \text{Math}_{h+d=j} (y_h y_d - x_h x_d) = 10w_j - a_j - w_{j-1}, j = 1, 3, \dots, \lambda - 1,$$

$$2 \cdot \text{Math}_{h+d=j} (y_h y_d - x_h x_d) + y_{\lambda/2}^2 - x_{\lambda/2}^2 = 10w_j - a_j - w_{j-1}, j = 2, 4, \dots, \lambda - 2, y_{\lambda/2}^2 - x_{\lambda/2}^2 = 10w_{\lambda/2-1} + a_{\lambda}, [0041] \text{ where}$$

$x_{\text{sub}.h} x_{\text{sub}.d}$ and $y_{\text{sub}.h} y_{\text{sub}.d}$ can be linearized in the solution process. [0042] (3C) Generate the equations solvable to obtain X and Y, which are

expressed as:
 $[00003] x_0^2 - y_0^2 = 10w_0 + a_0, 2. \text{Math.}_{h+d=j} (x_h x_d - y_h y_d) = 10w_j - a_j - w_{j-1}, j = 1, 3, \text{Math.}, \lambda - 1,$
 $2. \text{Math.}_{h+d=j} (x_h x_d - y_h y_d) + x_{\lambda/2}^2 - y_{\lambda/2}^2 = 10w_j - a_j - w_{j-1}, j = 2, 4, \text{Math.}, \lambda - 2, [0043]$ where $x.\text{sub.h}x.\text{sub.d}$ and $y.\text{sub.h}y.\text{sub.d}$ can be linearized in the solution process. [0044] (4) A parallel programming method can be devised to solve all linear integer equations above.

Description

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

[0045] Embodiments of the invention are described in more details hereinafter with reference to the drawings, in which:

[0046] FIG. 1 is a schematic block diagram of a system for performing operations using linear integer programming for RSA factorization according to an embodiment of the present invention;

[0047] FIG. 2 is a diagram of a Linear-Integer-Programming method for factorizing three types of θ according to an embodiment of the present invention; and

[0048] FIG. 3 is a block flowchart of a method for RSA factorization performed by a system according to an embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0049] In the following description, systems and methods for performing operations using linear integer programming for RSA factorization and the likes are set forth as preferred examples. It will be apparent to those skilled in the art that modifications, including additions and/or substitutions may be made without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention. Specific details may be omitted so as not to obscure the invention; however, the disclosure is written to enable one skilled in the art to practice the teachings herein without undue experimentation.

[0050] RSA factorization is to decompose a semi-prime number into two prime factors, which is critical in today's public-key encryption. To factorize a semi-prime number θ , most of the currently available RSA factorization methods adopt a heuristic-based quadratic sieve techniques to decompose θ without utilizing much of the valuable decimal digit information inherent in θ . This invention develops a novel approach, called Linear-Integer-Programming (LIP) method, which employs the optimization-based integer programming techniques with the full decimal digit information in θ to decompose any given semi-prime number θ . To factorize a given θ in $1+2$ decimals digits, the LIP first uses the tail (last, or least significant) digit and head (first two, or most significant) digits of θ to decompose the original problem into subproblems, then reformulate each subproblem as a linear integer program in λ equations and 10λ binary variables. By solving the resulting linear integer programs using any commercial software, θ can then be effectively factorized into two prime numbers.

[0051] FIG. 1 shows a schematic diagram of a system **100** for performing operations using linear integer programming for RSA factorization with respect to a sender terminal **T1** and a receiver terminal **T2** according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. The system **100** is provided for reducing computer processing time and/or computer power consumption during private key decryption during digital communication. The system **100** can act as a decryptor or decryption system, leading to a reduction in computer power consumption during the decryption process. This is achieved by enabling the completion of the decryption process with less computing power by using the system **100**.

[0052] The sender terminal **T1** can send an encrypted message EM to the receiver terminal **T2** and receive a public key PK1 from the receiver terminal **T2**, associated with a private key PK2. In such a case, the public key PK1 may be published and thus obtainable directly or indirectly from the receiver terminal **T2** by any participant; however, only the receiver terminal **T2** has access to the private key PK2. The system **100** is configured to determine the private key PK2 from the public key PK1 to decrypt the encrypted message EM encrypted using the public key PK1. It can then further use the private key PK2 to decrypt the encrypted message EM, producing a decrypted message DM. Under the condition that the public key PK1 is obtainable by the system **100**, the system **100** is able to determine or crack the private key PK2. To achieve it, in one embodiment, the system **100** include an n/e extractor **110**, a prime factorization calculator **120**, a private key determiner **130**, a decryptor **140**, and a result presenter **150**.

[0053] The n/e extractor **110** is configured to extract one or more components of the public key PK1. In this regard, under the construction of Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) encryption, the public key PK1 contains a modulus n and a public key exponent e that are encoded in an encoded data structure. Correspondingly, in one embodiment, the n/e extractor **110** can extract the modulus n and the public key exponent e from the public key PK1 by a parser, so as to parse the encoded data structure representing the public key PK1.

[0054] The prime factorization calculator **120** is electrically coupled with the n/e extractor **110** and is configured to receive the components of the public key PK1 from the n/e extractor **110**, such as the modulus n, and take the components of the public key PK1 for factoring the modulus n into two prime factors in order to determining the private key PK2. Briefly, to factorize a given θ (e.g., a semi-prime number contained in a modulus n) in $\lambda+2$ decimals digits, during determining the private key PK2, the prime factorization calculator **120** can use the tail (last, or least significant) digit and head (first two, or most significant) digits of θ to decompose the original problem into subproblems, then reformulate each subproblem as a linear integer program in λ equations and 10λ binary variables. By solving the resulting linear integer programs, θ can then be effectively factorized into two prime numbers, so as to determine the private key PK2.

[0055] The private key determiner **130** is electrically coupled with the prime factorization calculator **120** and is configured to determine the private key PK2 using the public key exponent e and the two prime numbers obtained from the prime factorization calculator **120** factoring the modulus n. In the RSA cryptosystem, the private key PK2 shares the same modulus n as the public key PK1 but has a private key exponent d distinct from the public key exponent e. The private key determiner **130** derives the private key exponent d of the private key PK2 by utilizing the two prime numbers obtained from the prime factorization calculator **120**. It uses these prime numbers to calculate the private key exponent d through specialized programs within the RSA cryptosystem, ensuring a distinct private key exponent from the public key exponent e. For example, once the modulus n of the public key and its two prime factors p and q are obtained, it can derive the private key d by calculating Euler's totient function, selecting the public key exponent e, and then computing the private key exponent d.

[0056] The decryptor **140** is electrically coupled with the private key determiner **130** and is configured to decrypt the encrypted message EM using the private key PK2 determined by the private key determiner **130**. The decryptor **140** can analyze an encoded data structure representing the encrypted message EM, so as to parse the encoded data structure and accordingly extract a ciphertext c to be decrypted using the private key PK2. For example, as the encrypted message EM is represented as a base64-encoded string, the decryptor **140**, through its analysis, can decode this string to obtain the ciphertext c, which is then subjected to decryption using the private key PK2. With the ciphertext c, the modulus n, and the private key exponent d determined by the private key determiner **130**, the decryptor can successfully decrypt the ciphertext c.

[0057] The result presenter **150** is electrically coupled with the decryptor **140** and is configured to collect information from the decryptor **140** regarding the decrypted result, operating after the decryptor **140** successfully decrypts the encrypted message EM using the private key PK2 determined by the private key determiner **130**. The result presenter **150** is electrically coupled with the receiver terminal **T2**, once the decryption process is completed and thus the decrypted message DM is generated/obtained, the result presenter **150** can extract relevant details from the decrypted message DM, presenting information about the original encrypted message EM, such as its content, metadata, or any associated data. The result presenter **150** can enhance the overall functionality by providing an interface to access and display decrypted message information after the decryption process is carried out by the decryptor **140**.

[0058] In one embodiment, the prime factorization calculator **120** can program operations, including standard expression, classification, representation, decomposition, and factorization, so as to factor the modulus n into two prime factors, which are described in more detail below.

[0059] Remarks, propositions, and examples are provided to prove the prime factorization calculator **120** can achieve the factoring function. All

prime numbers are concerned, there are $4k+1$ primes and $4k+3$ primes. For instance, 5, 13, 17, 29 and 37 are $4k+1$ primes, and 3, 7, 11, 19 and 23 are $4k+3$ primes. [0060] Remark 1: Denote $p_{\text{sub}.i}$ as the i .sup.th $4k+1$ prime, and denote q_j as the j .sup.th $4k+3$ prime. For instance, $p_{\text{sub}.1}=5$, $p_{\text{sub}.2}=13$, $p_{\text{sub}.3}=17$, $q_{\text{sub}.1}=3$, $q_{\text{sub}.2}=7$, $q_{\text{sub}.3}=11$. [0061] Remark 2: An integer $\theta > 0$ is called a semi-prime number, if θ is the product of two different primes. There are three types of semi-prime numbers: [0062] (i) θ is a Type-1 semi-prime, if θ is the product of two $4k+1$ primes. For instance, $\theta=221=13 \times 17$ is a Type-1 semi-prime. In this case, θ is a $4k+1$ integer. [0063] (ii) θ is a Type-2 semi-prime, if θ is the product of two $4k+3$ primes. For $4k+1$ instance, $\theta=7 \times 11=77$ is a Type-2 semi-prime. In this case, θ is also a $4k+1$ integer. [0064] (iii) θ is a Type-3 semi-prime, if θ is the product of one $4k+1$ prime and one $4k+3$ prime. In this case, θ is a $4k+3$ integer. For instance, $\theta=13 \times 11=143$ is a Type-3 semi-prime.

Proposition 1

[0065] For a semi-prime number θ , the followings statements are true: [0066] (i) If θ is in the form of $4k+1$, then either θ is a Type-1 semi-prime such that $\theta=p_{\text{sub}.i} \times p_{\text{sub}.j}$ with $p_{\text{sub}.i}$, $p_{\text{sub}.j}$ being $4k+1$ primes, or θ is a Type-2 semi-prime such that $\theta=q_{\text{sub}.i} \times q_{\text{sub}.j}$ with $q_{\text{sub}.i}$, $q_{\text{sub}.j}$ being $4k+3$ primes. [0067] (ii) If θ is in the form of $4k+3$, then θ is a Type-3 semi-prime such that $\theta=p_{\text{sub}.i} \times q_{\text{sub}.j}$ with $p_{\text{sub}.i}$ being a $4k+1$ prime and q_j being a $4k+3$ prime.

Proposition 2

[0068] Let θ be a Type-1 semi-prime, then the following statements are true: [0069] (i) There exist positive integers m , n , m , n with $m > n$ being even and $n < n$ being odd such that $\theta=m_{\text{sup}.2}+n_{\text{sup}.2}=m_{\text{sup}.2}+n_{\text{sup}.2}$. [0070] (ii) Take $2a=\gcd(m-m, n-n)$, $2b=\gcd(m+m, n+n)$, $2c=\gcd(m-m, n+n)$, and $2d=\gcd(m+m, n-n)$. [0071] Set $p_{\text{sub}.i}=a_{\text{sup}.2}+b_{\text{sup}.2}$, $p_{\text{sub}.j}=c_{\text{sup}.2}+d_{\text{sup}.2}$, then $p_{\text{sub}.i}$ and $p_{\text{sub}.j}$ are $4k+1$ primes and $p_{\text{sub}.i} \times p_{\text{sub}.j}=0$.

[0072] Example 2: Consider $\theta=221=13 \times 17$. there is, $m=14$, $m=10$, $n=5$, $n=11$,

$$[00004] a = \frac{1}{2}\gcd(14-10, 11-5) = 1, b = \frac{1}{2}\gcd(14+10, 5+11) = 4, c = \frac{1}{2}\gcd(14-10, 5+11) = 2, d = \frac{1}{2}\gcd(14+10, 11-5) = 3,$$

$$p_i = 1^2 + 4^2 = 17, p_j = 2^2 + 3^2 = 13$$

Proposition 3

[0073] Let θ be a Type-2 semi-prime, then the following statements are true: [0074] (i) There exist positive integers $m < n$ with even m and odd n such that

$$[00005] \theta = n^2 - m^2 = (n+m)(n-m) = q_i \times q_j.$$

[0075] For instance, given $\theta=77=7 \times 11$, there is $m=2 < n=9$, and $q_{\text{sub}.i}=9+2=11$, $q_{\text{sub}.j}=9-2=7$.

Proposition 4

[0076] Let θ be a Type-3 semi-prime, then the following statements are true: [0077] (i) There exist positive integers $m > n$ with even m and odd n such that

$$[00006] \theta = m^2 - n^2 = (m+n)(m-n) = p_i \times q_j.$$

[0078] For instance, given $\theta=143=13 \times 11$, there is $m=12$, $n=1$, and $p_{\text{sub}.i}=12+1=13$, $q_j=12-1=11$.

[0079] Let $\theta > 0$ be a semi-prime with $\lambda > 0$, a positive even number, such that $\theta = \sum_{\text{sub}.i=0}^{\lambda} p_{\text{sub}.i} \times 10_{\text{sup}.i}$, $a_{\text{sub}.i} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ for $i=0, \dots, \lambda-1$ and $a_{\text{sub}.i} \in \{1, \dots, 99\}$.

Proposition 5-1

[0080] If θ is Type-1 semi-prime, then θ can be expressed as:

$$[00007] \theta = m^2 + n^2 = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda/2} \text{Math. } x_j \times 10^j \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda/2} \text{Math. } y_j \times 10^j \right)^2 = (x_0^2 + y_0^2) \times 10^0 + 2(x_0 x_1 + y_0 y_1) \times 10^1 + [x_1^2 + y_1^2 + 2(x_0 x_2 + y_0 y_2)] \times 10^2 + 2(x_0 x_3 + y_0 y_3 + x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2) \times 10^3 + [x_2^2 + y_2^2 + 2(x_0 x_4 + y_0 y_4 + x_1 x_3 + y_1 y_3)] \times 10^4 + 2(x_0 x_5 + y_0 y_5 + x_1 x_4 + y_1 y_4 + x_2 x_3 + y_2 y_3) \times 10^5 + \text{Math.} + [x_{\frac{\lambda-4}{2}}^2 + y_{\frac{\lambda-4}{2}}^2 + 2(x_{\frac{\lambda-4}{2}-4} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} + y_{\frac{\lambda-4}{2}-4} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} + x_{\frac{\lambda-3}{2}-3} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1} + y_{\frac{\lambda-3}{2}-3} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1})] \times 10^{\lambda-4} + 2(x_{\frac{\lambda-3}{2}-3} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} + y_{\frac{\lambda-3}{2}-3} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} + x_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}-2} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1} + y_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}-2} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1}) \times 10^{\lambda-3} + [x_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}}^2 + y_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}}^2 + 2(x_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}-2} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} + y_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}-2} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}})] \times 10^{\lambda-2} + 2(x_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}-1} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} + y_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}-1} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}) \times 10^{\lambda-1} + (x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}^2 + y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}^2) \times 10^{\lambda} \quad [0081] \text{ where } [0082] \text{ (i) } x_{\text{sub}.0} \text{ is even, } y_{\text{sub}.0} \text{ is odd, } [0083] \text{ (ii) } x_{\text{sub}.0}, x_{\text{sub}.1}, \dots, x_{\text{sub}. \lambda/2}, y_{\text{sub}.0}, y_{\text{sub}.1}, \dots, y_{\text{sub}. \lambda/2} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}, [0084] \text{ (iii) } x_{\text{sub}.0} \text{sup}.2 + y_{\text{sub}.0} \text{sup}.2 = a_{\text{sub}.0} \text{ and } x_{\text{sub}. \lambda/2} \text{sup}.2 + y_{\text{sub}. \lambda/2} \text{sup}.2 \leq a_{\text{sub}. \lambda}.$$

[0085] Let $\theta > 0$ be a semi-prime with $\lambda > 0$, a positive even number, such that $\theta = \sum_{\text{sub}.i=0}^{\lambda} p_{\text{sub}.i} \times 10_{\text{sup}.i}$, $a_{\text{sub}.i} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ for $i=0, \dots, \lambda-1$ and $a_{\text{sub}.i} \in \{1, \dots, 99\}$.

Proposition 5-2

[0086] If θ is Type-2 semi-prime, then θ can be expressed as

$$[00008] \theta = -m^2 + n^2 = -\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda/2} \text{Math. } x_j \times 10^j \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda/2} \text{Math. } y_j \times 10^j \right)^2 = (-x_0^2 + y_0^2) \times 10^0 + 2(-x_0 x_1 + y_0 y_1) \times 10^1 + [-x_1^2 + y_1^2 + 2(-x_0 x_2 + y_0 y_2)] \times 10^2 + 2(-x_0 x_3 + y_0 y_3 - x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2) \times 10^3 + [-x_2^2 + y_2^2 + 2(-x_0 x_4 + y_0 y_4 - x_1 x_3 + y_1 y_3)] \times 10^4 + 2(-x_0 x_5 + y_0 y_5 - x_1 x_4 + y_1 y_4 - x_2 x_3 + y_2 y_3) \times 10^5 + \text{Math.} + [-x_{\frac{\lambda-4}{2}}^2 + y_{\frac{\lambda-4}{2}}^2 + 2(-x_{\frac{\lambda-4}{2}-4} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} + y_{\frac{\lambda-4}{2}-4} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} - x_{\frac{\lambda-3}{2}-3} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1} + y_{\frac{\lambda-3}{2}-3} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1})] \times 10^{\lambda-4} + 2(-x_{\frac{\lambda-3}{2}-3} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} + y_{\frac{\lambda-3}{2}-3} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} - x_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}-2} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1} + y_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}-2} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1}) \times 10^{\lambda-3} + [-x_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}}^2 + y_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}}^2 + 2(-x_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}-2} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} + y_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}-2} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}})] \times 10^{\lambda-2} + 2(-x_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}-1} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} + y_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}-1} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}) \times 10^{\lambda-1} + (-x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}^2 + y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}^2) \times 10^{\lambda} \quad [0087] \text{ where } [0088] \text{ (i) } x_{\text{sub}.0} \text{ is even, } y_{\text{sub}.0} \text{ is odd,}$$

$$[00009] x_0, x_1, \text{Math.}, x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}, y_0, y_1, \text{Math.}, y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \in \{0, 1, \text{Math.}, 9\}, \quad \text{(ii) } -x_0^2 + y_0^2 = a_0 \text{ and } -x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}^2 + y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}^2 \leq a_{\lambda}. \quad \text{(iii)}$$

[0089] Let $\theta > 0$ a semi-prime with $\lambda > 0$ being a positive even number such that $\theta = \sum_{\text{sub}.i=0}^{\lambda} p_{\text{sub}.i} \times 10_{\text{sup}.i}$, $a_{\text{sub}.i} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ for $i=0, \dots, \lambda-1$ and $a_{\text{sub}.i} \in \{1, \dots, 99\}$.

Proposition 5-3

[0090] If θ is Type-3 semi-prime, then θ can be expressed as

$$[00010] \theta = m^2 - n^2 = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda/2} \text{Math. } x_j \times 10^j \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda/2} \text{Math. } y_j \times 10^j \right)^2 = (x_0^2 - y_0^2) \times 10^0 + 2(x_0 x_1 - y_0 y_1) \times 10^1 + [x_1^2 - y_1^2 + 2(x_0 x_2 - y_0 y_2)] \times 10^2 + 2(x_0 x_3 - y_0 y_3 + x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2) \times 10^3 + [x_2^2 - y_2^2 + 2(x_0 x_4 - y_0 y_4 + x_1 x_3 - y_1 y_3)] \times 10^4 + 2(x_0 x_5 - y_0 y_5 + x_1 x_4 - y_1 y_4 + x_2 x_3 - y_2 y_3) \times 10^5 + \text{Math.} + [x_{\frac{\lambda-4}{2}}^2 - y_{\frac{\lambda-4}{2}}^2 + 2(x_{\frac{\lambda-4}{2}-4} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} - y_{\frac{\lambda-4}{2}-4} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} + x_{\frac{\lambda-3}{2}-3} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1} - y_{\frac{\lambda-3}{2}-3} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1})] \times 10^{\lambda-4} + 2(x_{\frac{\lambda-3}{2}-3} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} - y_{\frac{\lambda-3}{2}-3} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} + x_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}-2} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1} - y_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}-2} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1}) \times 10^{\lambda-3} + [x_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}}^2 - y_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}}^2 + 2(x_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}-2} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} - y_{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}-2} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}})] \times 10^{\lambda-2} + 2(x_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}-1} x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} - y_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}-1} y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}) \times 10^{\lambda-1} + (x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}^2 - y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}^2) \times 10^{\lambda} \quad [0091] \text{ where } [0092] \text{ (i) } x_{\text{sub}.0} \text{ is even, } y_{\text{sub}.0} \text{ is odd,}$$

$$[00011] x_0, x_1, \text{Math.}, x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}, y_0, y_1, \text{Math.}, y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \in \{0, 1, \text{Math.}, 9\}, \quad \text{(ii) } x_0^2 - y_0^2 = a_0 \text{ and } x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}^2 - y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}^2 \leq a_{\lambda}. \quad \text{(iii)}$$

[0093] Example 3: A semi-prime $\theta=12,648,677,849$ ($d=11$, $\lambda=d-1=10$) can be written as

$$[00012] \theta = \text{Math.}_{i=0}^{10} a_i \times 10^i = 1 \times 10^{10} + 2 \times 10^9 + 6 \times 10^8 + 4 \times 10^7 + 8 \times 10^6 + 6 \times 10^5 + 7 \times 10^4 + 7 \times 10^3 + 8 \times 10^2 + 4 \times 10^1 + 9 \times 10^0 \text{ with}$$

$(a_{10}, a_9, a_8, \dots, a_0) = (1, 2, 6, 4, 8, 6, 7, 7, 8, 4, 9)$.

[0094] Since $\theta=4k+1$ type, it can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} [00013] \theta = m^2 + n^2 = & (\text{.Math.}_{j=0}^5 x_j \times 10^j)^2 + (\text{.Math.}_{j=0}^5 y_j \times 10^j)^2 \text{ (miseven, nisodd)} = (x_0^2 + y_0^2) \times 10^0 + 2(x_0 x_1 + y_0 y_1) \times 10^1 \\ & + [x_1^2 + y_1^2 + 2(x_0 x_2 + y_0 y_2)] \times 10^2 + 2(x_0 x_3 + y_0 y_3 + x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2) \times 10^3 + [x_2^2 + y_2^2 + 2(x_0 x_4 + y_0 y_4 + x_1 x_3 + y_1 y_3)] \times 10^4 \\ & + 2(x_0 x_5 + y_0 y_5 + x_1 x_4 + y_1 y_4 + x_2 x_3 + y_2 y_3) \times 10^5 + [x_3^2 + y_3^2 + 2(x_1 x_5 + y_1 y_5 + x_2 x_4 + y_2 y_4)] \times 10^6 \\ & + 2(x_2 x_5 + y_2 y_5 + x_3 x_4 + y_3 y_4) \times 10^7 + [x_4^2 + y_4^2 + 2(x_3 x_5 + y_3 y_5)] \times 10^8 + 2(x_4 x_5 + y_4 y_5) \times 10^9 + (x_5^2 + y_5^2) \times 10^{10} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3-1

[0095] Let θ be a Type-1 semi-prime. Denote A.sub.j as the polynomial function associate with the term of 10.sup.j in the expression of θ for $j=0, \dots, \lambda$. Then, there are: [0096] (i) If $j=0$, then A.sub.0=x.sub.0.sup.2+y.sub.0.sup.2. [0097] (ii) If $0<j<\theta$ is odd, then A.sub.j=2 Σ .sub.

(h,l) \in S.sub.j(x.sub.hx.sub.l+y.sub.hy.sub.l), [0098] where S.sub.j={ (h,l) integers: $h+l=j, 0\leq h<l\leq\lambda/2$ }. [0099] (iii) If $0<j<\lambda$ is even, then A.sub.j=x.sub.j/2.sup.2+y.sub.j/2.sup.2+2 Σ .sub.(h,l) \in S.sub.j(x.sub.hx.sub.l+y.sub.hy.sub.l). [0100] (iv) If $j=\lambda$, then

A.sub. λ =x.sub. $\lambda/2$.sup.2+y.sub. $\lambda/2$.sup.2.

Proposition 6-1

[0101] For θ and A.sub.j in Remark 3-1, it is true that $\theta=A.sub.0\times 10.sup.0+A.sub.1\times 10.sup.1+\dots$

+A.sub. $\lambda\times 10.sup.\lambda=\Sigma$.sub.j=0.sup. λ A.sub.j $\times 10.sup.j$.

Remark 3-2

[0102] Let θ be a Type-2 semi-prime. Denote B.sub.j as the polynomial function associate with the term of 10.sup.j in the expression of θ for $j=0, \dots, \lambda$. Then, it is obtained as follows: [0103] (i) If $j=0$, then B.sub.0=-x.sub.0.sup.2+y.sub.0.sup.2. [0104] (ii) If $0<j<\lambda$ is odd, then B.sub.j=2 Σ .sub.

(h,l) \in S.sub.j(-x.sub.hx.sub.l+y.sub.hy.sub.l), [0105] where S.sub.j={ (h,l) integers: $h+l=j, 0\leq h<l\leq\lambda/2$ }. [0106] (iii) If $0<j<\lambda$ is even, then B.sub.j=x.sub.j/2.sup.2+y.sub.j/2.sup.2+2 Σ .sub.(h,l) \in S.sub.j(-x.sub.hx.sub.l+y.sub.hy.sub.l). [0107] (iv) If $j=1$, then

B.sub. λ =-x.sub. $\lambda/2$.sup.2+y.sub. $\lambda/2$.sup.2.

Proposition 6-2

[0108] For θ and B.sub.j in Remark 3-2, it is true that $\theta=B.sub.0\times 10.sup.0+B.sub.1\times 10.sup.1+\dots$

+B.sub. $\lambda\times 10.sup.\lambda=\Sigma$.sub.j=0.sup. λ B.sub.j $\times 10.sup.j$.

Remark 3-3

[0109] Let θ be a Type-3 semi-prime. Denote C.sub.j as the polynomial function associate with the term of 10.sup.j in the expression of θ for $j=0, \dots, \lambda$. Then there are: [0110] (i) If $j=0$, then C.sub.0=x.sub.0.sup.2-y.sub.0.sup.2. [0111] (ii) If $0<j<\lambda$ is odd, then C.sub.j=2 Σ .sub.

(h,l) \in S.sub.j(x.sub.hx.sub.l-y.sub.hy.sub.l), [0112] where S.sub.j={ (h,l) integers: $h+l=j, 0\leq h<l\leq\lambda/2$ }. [0113] (iii) If $0<j<\lambda$ is even, then C.sub.j=x.sub.j/2.sup.2+y.sub.j/2.sup.2+2 Σ .sub.(h,l) \in S.sub.j(x.sub.hx.sub.l-y.sub.hy.sub.l). [0114] (iv) If $j=2$, then

C.sub. λ =x.sub. $\lambda/2$.sup.2-y.sub. $\lambda/2$.sup.2.

Proposition 6-3

[0115] For θ and C.sub.j in Remark 3-3, it is true that $\theta=C.sub.0\times 10.sup.0+C.sub.1\times 10.sup.1+\dots$

+C.sub. $\lambda\times 10.sup.\lambda=\Sigma$.sub.j=0.sup. λ C.sub.j $\times 10.sup.j$.

Remark 4-1

[0116] Notice that a.sub.j represents the digital value and A.sub.j represents a polynomial function for $j=0, \dots, \lambda$, they are closely related in the following way:

Proposition 7-1

[0117] For A.sub.j specified in Remark 3-1, there exist w.sub.0, \dots , w.sub. $\lambda\in\{0, 1, \dots, 16\}$ such that the following equations are true:

$$[00014] A_0 = x_0^2 + y_0^2 = 10w_0 + a_0 \quad (i) \quad A_j = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, j = 1, \text{.Math.}, \lambda - 1 \quad (ii) \quad [0118] \text{ where } A.\text{sub.}j=2\Sigma.\text{sub.}$$

(h,l) \in S.sub.j(x.sub.hx.sub.l+y.sub.hy.sub.l), when j is odd; [0119] A.sub.j=x.sub.j/2.sup.2+y.sub.j/2.sup.2+2 Σ .sub.

(h,l) \in S.sub.j(x.sub.hx.sub.l+y.sub.hy.sub.l), when j is even; [0120] and S.sub.j={ (h,l) integers: $h+l=j, 0\leq h<l\leq\lambda/2$ }.

$$[00015] A_\lambda = x_{\lambda/2}^2 + y_{\lambda/2}^2 = 10w_\lambda + a_\lambda - w_{\lambda-1} \quad (iii) \quad [0121] \text{ Example 4: For a } \theta=\Sigma.\text{sub.}j=0.\text{sup.}10a.\text{sub.}j\times 10.\text{sup.}\lambda=$$

(x.sub.5 $\times 10$.sup.5+x.sub.4 $\times 10$.sup.4+ \dots +x.sub.0).sup.2+(y.sub.5 $\times 10$.sup.5+y.sub.4 $\times 10$.sup.4+ \dots

+y.sub.0).sup.2= Σ .sub.j=1.sup.10A.sub.j $\times 10$.sup. λ . The relationships between A.sub.j and a.sub.j can be expressed by equations below:

$$[00016] A_0 = x_0^2 + y_0^2 = 10w_0 + a_0, A_1 = 2(x_0 x_1 + y_0 y_1) = 10w_1 + a_1 - w_0, A_2 = 2(x_0 x_2 + y_0 y_2) + x_1^2 + y_1^2 = 10w_2 + a_2 - w_1,$$

$$A_3 = 2(x_0 x_3 + y_0 y_3 + x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2) = 10w_3 + a_3 - w_2, A_4 = 2(x_0 x_4 + y_0 y_4 + x_1 x_3 + y_1 y_3) + x_2^2 + y_2^2 = 10w_4 + a_4 - w_3,$$

$$A_5 = 2(x_0 x_5 + y_0 y_5 + x_1 x_4 + y_1 y_4 + x_2 x_3 + y_2 y_3) = 10w_5 + a_5 - w_4, A_6 = 2(x_2 x_4 + y_2 y_4) + x_3^2 + y_3^2 = 10w_6 + a_6 - w_5,$$

$$A_7 = 2(x_2 x_5 + y_2 y_5 + x_3 x_4 + y_3 y_4) = 10w_7 + a_7 - w_6, A_8 = 2(x_3 x_5 + y_3 y_5) + x_4^2 + y_4^2 = 10w_8 + a_8 - w_7,$$

$$A_9 = 2(x_4 x_5 + y_4 y_5) = 10w_9 + a_9 - w_8, A_{10} = x_5^2 + y_5^2 = 10w_{10} + a_{10} - w_9, \text{forsomew}_0, \text{.Math.}, w_{10} \in \{0, 1, \text{.Math.}, 16\}.$$

Remark 4-2

[0122] Notice that a.sub.j represents the digital value and B.sub.j represents a polynomial function for $j=0, \dots, \lambda$, they are closely related in the following way:

Proposition 7-2

[0123] For B.sub.j specified in Remark 3-2, there exist w.sub.0, \dots , w.sub. $\lambda\in\{0, 1, \dots, 16\}$ such that the following equations are true:

$$[00017] B_0 = -x_0^2 + y_0^2 = 10w_0 + a_0 \quad (i) \quad B_j = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, j = 1, \text{.Math.}, \lambda - 1 \quad (ii) \quad [0124] \text{ where } B.\text{sub.}j=2\Sigma.\text{sub.}$$

(h,l) \in S.sub.j(-x.sub.hx.sub.l+y.sub.hy.sub.l), when j is odd; [0125] B.sub.j=-x.sub.j/2.sup.2+y.sub.j/2.sup.2+2 Σ .sub.

(h,l) \in S.sub.j(-x.sub.hx.sub.l+y.sub.hy.sub.l), when j is even; [0126] and S.sub.j={ (h,l) integers: $h+l=j, 0\leq h<l\leq\lambda/2$ }.

$$[00018] B_\lambda = -x_{\lambda/2}^2 + y_{\lambda/2}^2 = 10w_\lambda + a_\lambda - w_{\lambda-1} \quad (iii)$$

Remark 4-3

[0127] Notice that a.sub.j represents the digital value and C.sub.j represents a polynomial function for $j=0, \dots, \lambda$, they are closely related in the following way:

Proposition 7-3

[0128] For C.sub.j specified in Remark 3-3, there exist w, \dots , w.sub. $\lambda\in\{0, 1, \dots, 16\}$ such that the following equations are true:

$$[00019] C_0 = x_0^2 + y_0^2 = 10w_0 + a_0, \quad (i) \quad C_j = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, j = 1, \text{.Math.}, \lambda - 1, \quad (ii) \quad [0129] \text{ where } C.\text{sub.}j=2\Sigma.\text{sub.}$$

(h,l) \in S.sub.j(x.sub.hx.sub.l-y.sub.hy.sub.l), when j is odd; [0130] C.sub.j=x.sub.j/2.sup.2-y.sub.j/2.sup.2+2 Σ .sub.

(h,l) \in S.sub.j(x.sub.hx.sub.l-y.sub.hy.sub.l), when j is even; [0131] and S.sub.j={ (h,l) integers: $h+l=j, 0\leq h<l\leq\lambda/2$ }.

$$[00020] C_\lambda = x_{\lambda/2}^2 - y_{\lambda/2}^2 = 10w_\lambda + a_\lambda - w_{\lambda-1} \quad (iii)$$

Proposition 8-1:

[0132] For A.sub.j specified in Proposition 7-1, given the values of (x.sub.0, y.sub.0, x.sub. $\lambda/2$, y.sub. $\lambda/2$), we can solve all A.sub.j equations by

linear integer programs effectively as follows: [0133] (i) Given $x_{\text{sub.0}}$ and $y_{\text{sub.0}}$, we obtain [00021] $w_0 = \frac{1}{10}(a_0 - x_0^2 - y_0^2)$ [0134] (ii) Given $x_{\text{sub.0}}$, $y_{\text{sub.0}}$, and $w_{\text{sub.0}}$ found in (i), we obtain the values of $x_{\text{sub.1}}$, $y_{\text{sub.1}}$ and $w_{\text{sub.1}}$ by solving the following linear integer program:
[00022] Min. w_1 subject to $2(x_0 x_1 + y_0 y_1) = 10w_1 + a_1 - w_0$; $x_1 = \text{Math}_{s=0}^9 s \times u_1^s$; $y_1 = \text{Math}_{s=0}^9 s \times v_1^s$; $\text{Math}_{s=0}^9 u_1^s = 1$; $\text{Math}_{s=0}^9 v_1^s = 1$; $u_1^s, v_1^s \in \{0, 1\}$, $0 \leq w_1 \leq 16$, $w_1 \in N_0$. [0135] (iii) Given $w_{\text{sub.1}}$ found in (ii), we obtain the values of $x_{\text{sub.2}}$, $y_{\text{sub.2}}$ and $w_{\text{sub.2}}$ by solving the following linear integer program:
[00023] Min. w_2 subject to $2(x_0 x_2 + y_0 y_2) + x_1^2 + y_1^2 = 10w_2 + a_2 - w_1$; $x_2 = \text{Math}_{s=0}^9 s \times u_2^s$; $y_2 = \text{Math}_{s=0}^9 s \times v_2^s$; $\text{Math}_{s=0}^9 u_2^s = 1$; $\text{Math}_{s=0}^9 v_2^s = 1$; $x_1^2 \cdot \text{Math}_{s=0}^9 s^2 \times u_2^s$; $y_1^2 \cdot \text{Math}_{s=0}^9 s^2 \times v_2^s$; $\text{Math}_{s=0}^9 u_1^s = 1$; $\text{Math}_{s=0}^9 v_1^s = 1$; $u_2^s, v_2^s \in \{0, 1\}$, $0 \leq w_2 \leq 16$, $w_2 \in N_0$. [0136] (iv) Similarly, by given the known $w_{\text{sub.j}}$, $x_{\text{sub.j}}$, $y_{\text{sub.j}}$, it can be found that $x_{\text{sub.j+1}}$, $y_{\text{sub.j+1}}$ and $w_{\text{sub.j+1}}$ for $j=0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \lambda$.

Proposition 8-2:

[0137] For $B_{\text{sub.j}}$ specified in Proposition 7-2, given the values of $(x_{\text{sub.0}}, y_{\text{sub.0}}, x_{\text{sub.}\lambda/2}, y_{\text{sub.}\lambda/2})$, all $B_{\text{sub.j}}$ equations can be solved by similar linear integer programs in Proposition 8.1.

Proposition 8-3:

[0138] For $C_{\text{sub.j}}$ specified in Proposition 7-3, given the values of $(x_{\text{sub.0}}, y_{\text{sub.0}}, x_{\text{sub.}\lambda/2}, y_{\text{sub.}\lambda/2})$, we can solve all $C_{\text{sub.j}}$ equations by similar linear integer programs in Proposition 8.1.

Proposition 9-1

[0139] For a Type-1 semi-prime $\theta=(m_{\text{sup.2}}+n_{\text{sup.2}}$ or $m_{\text{sup.2}}+n_{\text{sup.2}}$; m, m even, n, n odd), [0140] (i) If $a_{\text{sub.0}}=1$, then $(x_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}, y_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}) \in \{(0, 1_{\text{sup.2}}), (0, 9_{\text{sup.2}}), (4_{\text{sup.2}}, 5_{\text{sup.2}}), (6_{\text{sup.2}}, 5_{\text{sup.2}})\}$. [0141] (ii) If $a_{\text{sub.0}}=3$, then $(x_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}, y_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}) \in \{(2_{\text{sup.2}}, 3_{\text{sup.2}}), (2_{\text{sup.2}}, 7_{\text{sup.2}}), (8_{\text{sup.2}}, 3_{\text{sup.2}}), (8_{\text{sup.2}}, 7_{\text{sup.2}})\}$. [0142] (iii) If $a_{\text{sub.0}}=7$, then $(x_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}, y_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}) \in \{(4_{\text{sup.2}}, 1_{\text{sup.2}}), (4_{\text{sup.2}}, 9_{\text{sup.2}}), (6_{\text{sup.2}}, 1_{\text{sup.2}}), (6_{\text{sup.2}}, 9_{\text{sup.2}})\}$. [0143] (iv) If $a_{\text{sub.0}}=9$, then $(x_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}, y_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}) \in \{(0, 3_{\text{sup.2}}), (0, 7_{\text{sup.2}}), (2_{\text{sup.2}}, 5_{\text{sup.2}}), (8_{\text{sup.2}}, 5_{\text{sup.2}})\}$.

[0144] As listed in the second column of Table 1.

Proposition 9-2

[0145] For a Type-2 semi-prime $\theta=(n_{\text{sup.2}}-m_{\text{sup.2}}$; n odd, m even,), [0146] (i) If $a_{\text{sub.0}}=1$, then $(x_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}, y_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}) \in \{(1_{\text{sup.2}}, 0), (9_{\text{sup.2}}, 0), (5_{\text{sup.2}}, 2_{\text{sup.2}}), (15_{\text{sup.2}}, 8_{\text{sup.2}})\}$. [0147] (ii) If $a_{\text{sub.0}}=3$, then $(x_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}, y_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}) \in \{(7_{\text{sup.2}}, 4_{\text{sup.2}}), (13_{\text{sup.2}}, 4_{\text{sup.2}}), (7_{\text{sup.2}}, 6_{\text{sup.2}}), (13_{\text{sup.2}}, 6_{\text{sup.2}})\}$. [0148] (iii) If $a_{\text{sub.0}}=7$, then $(x_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}, y_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}) \in \{(9_{\text{sup.2}}, 2_{\text{sup.2}}), (11_{\text{sup.2}}, 2_{\text{sup.2}}), (9_{\text{sup.2}}, 8_{\text{sup.2}}), (11_{\text{sup.2}}, 8_{\text{sup.2}})\}$. [0149] (iv) If $a_{\text{sub.0}}=9$, then $(x_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}, y_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}) \in \{(3_{\text{sup.2}}, 0), (7_{\text{sup.2}}, 0), (5_{\text{sup.2}}, 4_{\text{sup.2}}), (15_{\text{sup.2}}, 6_{\text{sup.2}})\}$.

[0150] As listed in the second column of Table 1.

Proposition 9-3

[0151] For a Type-3 semi-prime $\theta=(m_{\text{sup.2}}-n_{\text{sup.2}}$; m even, n odd), [0152] (i) If $a_{\text{sub.0}}=1$, then $(x_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}, y_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}) \in \{(6_{\text{sup.2}}, 5_{\text{sup.2}}), (10_{\text{sup.2}}, 3_{\text{sup.2}}), (10_{\text{sup.2}}, 7_{\text{sup.2}}), (14_{\text{sup.2}}, 5_{\text{sup.2}})\}$ [0153] (ii) If $a_{\text{sub.0}}=3$, then $(x_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}, y_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}) \in \{(2_{\text{sup.2}}, 1_{\text{sup.2}}), (8_{\text{sup.2}}, 1_{\text{sup.2}}), (12_{\text{sup.2}}, 9_{\text{sup.2}}), (18_{\text{sup.2}}, 9_{\text{sup.2}})\}$ [0154] (iii) If $a_{\text{sub.0}}=7$, then $(x_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}, y_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}) \in \{(4_{\text{sup.2}}, 3_{\text{sup.2}}), (6_{\text{sup.2}}, 3_{\text{sup.2}}), (14_{\text{sup.2}}, 7_{\text{sup.2}}), (16_{\text{sup.2}}, 7_{\text{sup.2}})\}$ [0155] (iv) If $a_{\text{sub.0}}=9$, then $(x_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}, y_{\text{sub.0.sup.2}}) \in \{(8_{\text{sup.2}}, 5_{\text{sup.2}}), (10_{\text{sup.2}}, 1_{\text{sup.2}}), (10_{\text{sup.2}}, 9_{\text{sup.2}}), (12_{\text{sup.2}}, 5_{\text{sup.2}})\}$

[0156] As listed in the second column of Table 1.

TABLE-US-00001 TABLE 1 Possible Values of $(x_{\text{sub.0}}, y_{\text{sub.0}})$ $\theta = 4k + 1 = m_{\text{sup.2}} + n_{\text{sup.2}}$ $\theta = 4k + 1 = n_{\text{sup.2}} - m_{\text{sup.2}}$ $\theta = 4k + 3 = m_{\text{sup.2}} - n_{\text{sup.2}}$ Even + Odd Even - Odd Odd + Even Odd - Even
0.sup.2 1.sup.2 1.sup.2 10.sup.2 6.sup.2 5.sup.2 0.sup.2 9.sup.2 9.sup.2 0.sup.2 10.sup.2 3.sup.2 4.sup.2 5.sup.2 5.sup.2 2.sup.2 10.sup.2 7.sup.2 6.sup.2 5.sup.2 15.sup.2 8.sup.2 14.sup.2 5.sup.2 3 2.sup.2 3.sup.2 13.sup.2 4.sup.2 2.sup.2 1.sup.2 2.sup.2 7.sup.2 7.sup.2 4.sup.2 8.sup.2 1.sup.2 8.sup.2 3.sup.2 13.sup.2 6.sup.2 12.sup.2 9.sup.2 8.sup.2 7.sup.2 7.sup.2 6.sup.2 18.sup.2 9.sup.2 7 4.sup.2 1.sup.2 9.sup.2 2.sup.2 42.sup.2 3.sup.2 4.sup.2 9.sup.2 11.sup.2 2.sup.2 6.sup.2 3.sup.2 6.sup.2 1.sup.2 11.sup.2 8.sup.2 14.sup.2 7.sup.2 6.sup.2 9.sup.2 9.sup.2 8.sup.2 16.sup.2 7.sup.2 9 0.sup.2 3.sup.2 3.sup.2 0.sup.2 8.sup.2 5.sup.2 0.sup.2 7.sup.2 7.sup.2 0.sup.2 10.sup.2 1.sup.2 2.sup.2 5.sup.2 5.sup.2 4.sup.2 10.sup.2 9.sup.2 8.sup.2 5.sup.2 15.sup.2 6.sup.2 12.sup.2 5.sup.2

Proposition 10-1

[0157] Let θ be a Type-1 semi-prime that is the product of two $4k+1$ primes. Then there exist positive integers m, m, n, n with $m > m$ being even and $n < n$ being odd, such that $\theta = m_{\text{sup.2}} + n_{\text{sup.2}} = m_{\text{sup.2}} + n_{\text{sup.2}}$. Moreover, if it is denoted as:

[00024] $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\gcd(m - m, n - n)$; $\beta = \frac{1}{2}\gcd(m + m, n + n)$; $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\gcd(m - m, n + n)$; $\beta = \frac{1}{2}\gcd(m + m, n - n)$;

then $p = \alpha_{\text{sup.2}} + \beta_{\text{sup.2}}$ and $p = \alpha_{\text{sup.2}} + \beta_{\text{sup.2}}$ are $4k+1$ primes and $\theta = p \times p$. [0158] Example: Given $\theta = 221$, we have

$\theta = 14_{\text{sup.2}} + 5_{\text{sup.2}} = 10_{\text{sup.2}} + 11_{\text{sup.2}}$. Moreover, $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 4$, $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 3$, and then $p = 1_{\text{sup.2}} + 4_{\text{sup.2}} = 17$, $p = 2_{\text{sup.2}} + 3_{\text{sup.2}} = 13$ are $4k+1$ primes, and $\theta = 221 = 17 \times 13$.

Proposition 10-2

[0159] Let θ be a Type-2 semi-prime, which is the product of two $4k+3$ primes. Then there exist positive integers $m < n$ with m being even and n being odd, such that [0160] $\theta = -m_{\text{sup.2}} + n_{\text{sup.2}}$. Moreover, if we denote [0161] $q = n + m$ and $q = n - m$ then q and q are $4k+3$ primes and $\theta = q \times q$.

Proposition 10-3

[0162] Let θ be a Type-3 semi-prime, which is the product of one $4k+1$ prime and

[0163] one $4k+3$ prime. Then there exist positive integers $m > n$ with m being even and n being odd, such that $\theta = m_{\text{sup.2}} - n_{\text{sup.2}}$. Moreover, if it is

denoted: [0164] $p = m + n$ and $q = m - n$ then p is a $4+1$ prime and q is a $4k+3$ prime and $\theta = p \times q$.

[0165] Next, for different types of the Semi-primes, methods 1, 2, 3 Are demonstrated to show the different ways of proceeding.

Method 1—Factorization of Type-1 Semi-Primes:

[0166] Input—Given any Type- i semi-prime $\theta = \Sigma_{\text{sub.j}=0}^{\text{sup.}\lambda} a_{\text{sub.j}} \times 10_{\text{sup.j}}$ where λ is even, $0 \leq a_{\text{sub.j}} \leq 9$ for $0 \leq j \leq \lambda - 1$, and $0 \leq a_{\text{sub.}\lambda} \leq 99$. [0167]

Output—Two $4k+1$ prime numbers $p_{\text{sub.1}}$ and $p_{\text{sub.2}}$ such that $\theta = p_{\text{sub.1}} \times p_{\text{sub.2}}$.

[0168] Subproblems corresponding to the combinations of $a_{\text{sub.0}}$ and $a_{\text{sub.}\lambda}$ are generated.

Mixed-Integer Programming (MIP) Modeling:

[0169] Variables: for each subproblem $w_{\text{sub.0}}, \dots, w_{\text{sub.}\lambda} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 16\}$

[00025] $x_0 \in \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}$, $x_1 \cdot \text{Math}_{\lambda/2} \in \{0, \text{Math}_{\lambda/2}^9\}$ (transitionally only)

$y_0 \in \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9\}$, $y_1 \cdot \text{Math}_{\lambda/2} \in \{0, \text{Math}_{\lambda/2}^9\}$ (transitionally only) $u_h^s \in \{0, 1\}$, for $0 \leq h \leq \lambda/2$, $s \in \{0, 1, \text{Math}_{\lambda/2}^9\}$

$v_h^s \in \{0, 1\}$, for $0 \leq h \leq \lambda/2$, $s \in \{0, 1, \text{Math}_{\lambda/2}^9\}$ $x_{(h,l)} \geq 0$, for $(h, l) \in S_j$, $j = 1, \text{Math}_{\lambda-1}^9$ $y_{(h,l)} \geq 0$, for $(h, l) \in S_j$, $j = 1, \text{Math}_{\lambda-1}^9$

Integer Equations for Each Subproblem:

[00026] $A_0 = x_0^2 + y_0^2 = 10w_0 + a_0$, $A_j = 2 \cdot \text{Math}_{(h,l) \in S_j} (x_h x_l + y_h y_l) = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}$, $1 \leq j(\text{odd}) \leq \lambda - 1$

$A_j = x_{j/2}^2 + y_{j/2}^2 + 2 \cdot \text{Math}_{(h,l) \in S_j} (x_h x_l + y_h y_l) = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}$, $1 \leq j(\text{even}) \leq \lambda - 1$ $A_\lambda = x_{\lambda/2}^2 + y_{\lambda/2}^2 = 10w_\lambda + a_\lambda - w_{\lambda-1}$,

$x_0 = .\text{Math.}_{s=0,2,4,6,8} s \times u_0^s, x_h = .\text{Math.}_{s=0} s \times u_h^s, \text{for } 1 \leq h \leq \lambda/2, x_{\lambda/2}^s = .\text{Math.}_{s=0} s^2 \times u_h^s, \text{for } 0 \leq h \leq \lambda/2, .\text{Math.}_{s=0} u_h^s = 1,$
 $y_0 = .\text{Math.}_{s=1,3,5,7,9} s \times v_0^s, y_h = .\text{Math.}_{s=0} s \times v_h^s, \text{for } 1 \leq h \leq \lambda/2, y_{\lambda/2}^s = .\text{Math.}_{s=0} s^2 \times v_h^s, \text{for } 0 \leq h \leq \lambda/2, .\text{Math.}_{s=0} v_h^s = 1.$
[0170] MIP Resolution: Solve the MIP model for each subproblem by an incremental approach in Proposition 8.1.

Optimization:

[0171] Step 1: Solve all subproblems to obtain two feasible solutions (x.sub.0, x.sub.1, . . . , x.sub. $\lambda/2$; y.sub.0, y.sub.1, . . . , y.sub. $\lambda/2$) and (x.sub.0, x.sub.1, . . . , x.sub. $\lambda/2$; y.sub.0, y.sub.1, . . . , y.sub. $\lambda/2$). [0172] Step 2: Calculate $m = \Sigma_{j=0}^{\sup.\lambda/2x.sub.j \times 10.sup.j}, n = \Sigma_{j=0}^{\sup.\lambda/2y.sub.j \times 10.sup.j}$. [0173] Step 3: Calculate $m = \Sigma_{j=0}^{\sup.\lambda/2x.sub.j \times 10.sup.j}, n = \Sigma_{j=0}^{\sup.\lambda/2y.sub.j \times 10.sup.j}$. [0174] Step 4: Calculate

$[00027] \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \gcd(m - m, n - n); \beta = \frac{1}{2} \gcd(m + m, n + n); \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \gcd(m - m, n + n); \beta = \frac{1}{2} \gcd(m + m, n - n)$; [0175] Step 5: Calculate $p.sub.1 = \alpha.sup.2 + \beta.sup.2$ and $p.sub.2 = \alpha.sup.2 + \beta.sup.2$ [0176] Step 6: Output $\theta = p.sub.1 \times p.sub.2$

Method 2—Factorization of Type-2 Semi-Primes

[0177] Input—Given any Type-2 semi-prime $\theta = \Sigma_{j=0}^{\sup.\lambda a.sub.j \times 10.sup.j}$ where λ is even, $0 \leq a.sub.j \leq 9$ for $0 \leq j \leq \lambda - 1$, and $0 \leq a.sub.j \leq 99$. [0178]

Output—Two $4k+3$ primes q.sub.1 and q.sub.2 such that $\theta = q.sub.1 \times q.sub.2$

[0179] Subproblems corresponding to the combinations of do and an are generated.

MIP Modeling:

[0180] Variables: For each subproblem, w.sub.0, . . . , w $\in \{0, 1, \dots, 16\}$,

[00028] $x_0 \in \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}, x_1, \dots, x_{\lambda/2} \in \{0, .\text{Math.}, 9\}$ (transitionally), $y_0 \in \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9\}, y_1, \dots, y_{\lambda/2} \in \{0, .\text{Math.}, 9\}$ (transitionally),

$u_h^s \in \{0, 1\}, \text{for } 0 \leq h \leq \lambda/2, s \in \{0, 1, .\text{Math.}, 9\}, v_h^s \in \{0, 1\}, \text{for } 0 \leq h \leq \lambda/2, s \in \{0, 1, .\text{Math.}, 9\},$

$x_{(h,l)} \geq 0, \text{for } (h, l) \in S_j, j = 1, .\text{Math.}, \lambda - 1, y_{(h,l)} \geq 0, \text{for } (h, l) \in S_j, j = 1, .\text{Math.}, \lambda - 1.$

Integer Equations for Each Subproblem:

[00029] $B_0 = -x_0^2 + y_0^2 = 10w_0 + a_0, B_j = 2 .\text{Math.}_{(h,l) \in S_j} (-x_h x_l + y_h y_l) = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, 1 \leq j(\text{odd}) \leq \lambda - 1.$

$B_j = -x_{j/2}^2 + y_{j/2}^2 + 2 .\text{Math.}_{(h,l) \in S_j} (-x_h x_l + y_h y_l) = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, 1 \leq j(\text{even}) \leq \lambda - 1, B_\lambda = x_{\lambda/2}^2 + y_{\lambda/2}^2 = 10w_\lambda + a_\lambda - w_{\lambda-1},$

$x_0 = .\text{Math.}_{s=0,2,4,6,8} s \times u_0^s, x_h = .\text{Math.}_{s=0} s \times u_h^s, \text{for } 1 \leq h \leq \lambda/2, x_{\lambda/2}^s = .\text{Math.}_{s=0} s^2 \times u_h^s, \text{for } 0 \leq h \leq \lambda/2, .\text{Math.}_{s=0} u_h^s = 1,$

$y_0 = .\text{Math.}_{s=1,3,5,7,9} s \times v_0^s, y_h = .\text{Math.}_{s=0} s \times v_h^s, \text{for } 1 \leq h \leq \lambda/2, y_{\lambda/2}^s = .\text{Math.}_{s=0} s^2 \times v_h^s, \text{for } 0 \leq h \leq \lambda/2, .\text{Math.}_{s=0} v_h^s = 1.$

Optimization Model:

[0181] MILP Resolution: Solve the MIP for each subproblem by an incremental technique in Proposition 8.2.

Optimization:

[0182] Step 1: Solve all subproblems to get a solution x.sub.0, x.sub.1, . . . , x.sub. $\lambda/2$ and y.sub.0, y.sub.1, . . . , y.sub. $\lambda/2$. [0183] Step 2: Calculate $m = \Sigma_{j=0}^{\sup.\lambda/2x.sub.j \times 10.sup.j}, n = \Sigma_{j=0}^{\sup.\lambda/2y.sub.j \times 10.sup.j}$. [0184] Step 3: Calculate q.sub.1 = n + m and q.sub.2 = n - m. [0185] Step 4: Output $\theta = q.sub.1 \times q.sub.2$.

Method 3—Factorization of Type-3 Semi-Primes

[0186] Input—Given any Type-3 semi-prime $\theta = \Sigma_{j=0}^{\sup.\lambda a.sub.j \times 10.sup.j}$ where λ is even, $0 \leq a.sub.j \leq 9$ for $0 \leq j \leq \lambda - 1$, and $0 \leq a.sub.j \leq 99$. [0187]

Output—One $4k+1$ and one $4k+3$ prime numbers p.sub.1 and q.sub.2 such that $\theta = p.sub.1 \times q.sub.2$.

[0188] Subproblems corresponding to the combinations of do and an are generated.

MIP Modeling:

[0189] Variables: For each subproblem, w.sub.0, . . . , w.sub.2 $\in \{0, 1, \dots, 16\}$

[00030] $x_0 \in \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}, x_1, \dots, x_{\lambda/2} \in \{0, .\text{Math.}, 9\}$ (transitionally), $y_0 \in \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9\}, y_1, \dots, y_{\lambda/2} \in \{0, .\text{Math.}, 9\}$ (transitionally),

$u_h^s \in \{0, 1\}, \text{for } 0 \leq h \leq \lambda/2, s \in \{0, 1, .\text{Math.}, 9\}, v_h^s \in \{0, 1\}, \text{for } 0 \leq h \leq \lambda/2, s \in \{0, 1, .\text{Math.}, 9\},$

$x_{(h,l)} \geq 0, \text{for } (h, l) \in S_j, j = 1, .\text{Math.}, \lambda - 1, y_{(h,l)} \geq 0, \text{for } (h, l) \in S_j, j = 1, .\text{Math.}, \lambda - 1.$

Integer Equations for Each Subproblem:

[00031] $C_0 = x_0^2 - y_0^2 = 10w_0 + a_0 C_j = 2 .\text{Math.}_{(h,l) \in S_j} (x_h x_l - y_h y_l) = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, 1 \leq j(\text{odd}) \leq \lambda - 1.$

$C_j = -x_{j/2}^2 - y_{j/2}^2 + 2 .\text{Math.}_{(h,l) \in S_j} (x_h x_l - y_h y_l) = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, 1 \leq j(\text{even}) \leq \lambda - 1, C_\lambda = x_{\lambda/2}^2 - y_{\lambda/2}^2 = 10w_\lambda + a_\lambda - w_{\lambda-1},$

$x_0 = .\text{Math.}_{s=0,2,4,6,8} s \times u_0^s, x_h = .\text{Math.}_{s=0} s \times u_h^s, \text{for } 1 \leq h \leq \lambda/2, x_{\lambda/2}^s = .\text{Math.}_{s=0} s^2 \times u_h^s, \text{for } 0 \leq h \leq \lambda/2, .\text{Math.}_{s=0} u_h^s = 1,$

$y_0 = .\text{Math.}_{s=1,3,5,7,9} s \times v_0^s, y_h = .\text{Math.}_{s=0} s \times v_h^s, \text{for } 1 \leq h \leq \lambda/2, y_{\lambda/2}^s = .\text{Math.}_{s=0} s^2 \times v_h^s, \text{for } 0 \leq h \leq \lambda/2, .\text{Math.}_{s=0} v_h^s = 1.$ [0190]

MILP Resolution: Solve the MIP for each subproblem by an incremental technique in Proposition 8.3.

Optimization:

[0191] Step 1: Solve all subproblems to get an optimal solution [0192] x.sub.0, x.sub.1, . . . , x.sub. $\lambda/2$ and y.sub.0, y.sub.1, . . . , y.sub. $\lambda/2$. [0193]

Step 2: Calculate $m = \Sigma_{j=0}^{\sup.\lambda/2x.sub.j \times 10.sup.j}, n = \Sigma_{j=0}^{\sup.\lambda/2y.sub.j \times 10.sup.j}$. [0194] Step 3: Calculate p.sub.1 = m + n and

q.sub.2 = m - n. [0195] Step 4: Output $\theta = p.sub.1 \times q.sub.2$.

[0196] In the following descriptions, examples are used to illustrate some embodiments of the present invention. [0197] Remark 5: For a Type-i semi-prime θ , denote T.sub.i(θ) and H.sub.i(θ) as the tail-and-head sets for (X,Y), specified as

[00032] $T_1(\theta) = \{(x_0, y_0) .\text{Math. } x_0^2 + y_0^2 = a_0\}, T_2(\theta) = \{(x_0, y_0) .\text{Math. } x_0^2 - y_0^2 = a_0\},$

$H_1(\theta) = \{(x_{\lambda/2}, y_{\lambda/2}) .\text{Math. } x_{\lambda/2}^2 + y_{\lambda/2}^2 \leq a_0\}, H_2(\theta) = \{(x_{\lambda/2}, y_{\lambda/2}) .\text{Math. } x_{\lambda/2}^2 + y_{\lambda/2}^2 \leq a_\lambda\},$

$T_3(\theta) = \{(x_0, y_0) .\text{Math. } x_0^2 - y_0^2 = a_0\},$

[0198] where $0 < x.sub.0, y.sub.0 \leq 9, 0 \leq x.sub.\lambda/2, y.sub.\lambda/2 \leq 99,$

$H_3(\theta) = \{(x_{\lambda/2}, y_{\lambda/2}) .\text{Math. } x_{\lambda/2}^2 - y_{\lambda/2}^2 \leq a_\lambda\},$

x.sub.0, y.sub.0, x.sub. $\lambda/2$, y.sub. $\lambda/2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

[0199] A problem of factorizing a Type-i semi-primes θ can be divided into z subproblems, for $z = |T.sub.i(\theta)| \times |H.sub.i(\theta)|$, where i is 1, 2, or 3. The algorithm for factorizing $\theta = \Sigma_{j=0}^{\sup.\lambda 10.sup.\lambda a.sub.j}$ as a product of two primes is illustrated in FIG. 2.

[0200] In FIG. 2, diagram of LIP algorithms for Type-i semi-prime θ is shown, from the block B102, there are three processes, including Type-1 Semi-primes at the block B104, Type-2 Semi-primes at the block B106, and Type-3 Semi-primes at the block B108. The block B102 represents $\theta = \Sigma_{j=0}^{\sup.\lambda a.sub.j \times 10.sup.\lambda}$, which is the first stage for the factorization.

[0201] The block B104, representing the Type-1 Semi-primes, contains: [0202] Tail & Head: T.sub.1, H.sub.1. [0203] Equations: A.sub.0, A.sub.1, . . . , A.sub. $\lambda/2$. [0204] Subproblems: SP.sub.1, SP.sub.2, . . . , SP.sub.z, $z = |T.sub.1| \times |H.sub.1|$. [0205] Feasible solutions: (X,Y), (X,Y).

[00033] $\theta = \Sigma A_j(X, Y) \times 10^j = \Sigma A_j(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \times 10^j . \theta = p \times p = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)(\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{\beta}^2) . \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \gcd(m - \bar{m}, \bar{n} - n), \beta = \frac{1}{2} \gcd(m + \bar{m}, \bar{n} + n).$

$\alpha = \gcd(m - \bar{m}, \bar{n} + n), \beta = \gcd(m + \bar{m}, \bar{n} - n). m = .\text{Math. } x_j \times 10^j > \bar{m} = .\text{Math. } \bar{x}_j \times 10^j, n = .\text{Math. } y_j \times 10^j < \bar{n} = .\text{Math. } \bar{y}_j \times 10^j .$

$A_0 = x_0^2 + y_0^2 = 10w_0 + a_0 A_j = x_{j/2}^2 + y_{j/2}^2 + 2 .\text{Math.}_{h+l=j} (r_{h,l} + z_{h,l}) = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, j \text{ is even.}$

$A_j = 2 .\text{Math.}_{h+l=j} (r_{h,l} + z_{h,l}) = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, j \text{ is odd. } A_\lambda = x_{\lambda/2}^2 + y_{\lambda/2}^2 = 10w_\lambda + a_\lambda - w_{\lambda-1}$

[0206] The block B106, representing the Type-2 Semi-primes, contains: [0207] Tail & Head: T.sub.2, H.sub.2. [0208] Equations: B.sub.0, B.sub.1, . . .

[21] B.sub.λ/2, [0200] Subproblems: SP.sub.1, SP.sub.2, . . . , SP.sub.z, z=[T.sub.2]×[H.sub.2]. [0210] Feasible solutions: (X,Y).
[00034] $\theta = (\text{Math. } y_j \times 10^j)^2 - (\text{Math. } x_j \times 10^j)^2 \cdot \text{Math. } = [\Sigma(y_j + x_j) \times 10^j][\Sigma(y_j - x_j) \times 10^j]$. $B_0 = y_0^2 - x_0^2 = 10w_0 + a_0$
 $B_j = y_{j/2}^2 + x_{j/2}^2 + 2 \cdot \text{Math. } (z_{h,l} + r_{h,l}) = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, j \text{ is even. } B_j = 2 \cdot \text{Math. } (z_{h,l} + r_{h,l}) = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, j \text{ is odd.}$
 $B_\lambda = y_{\lambda/2}^2 + x_{\lambda/2}^2 = 10w_\lambda + a_\lambda - w_{\lambda-1}$
[0211] The block **B106**, representing the Type-3 Semi-primes, contains: [0212] Tail & Head: T.sub.3, H.sub.3. [0213] Equations: C.sub.0, C.sub.1, . . . , C.sub.λ/2. [0214] Subproblems: SP.sub.1, SP.sub.2, . . . , SP.sub.z, z=[T.sub.3]×[H.sub.3]. [0215] Feasible solutions: (X,Y).
[00035] $\theta = (\text{Math. } x_j \times 10^j)^2 - (\text{Math. } y_j \times 10^j)^2 \cdot \text{Math. } = [\Sigma(x_j + y_j) \times 10^j][\Sigma(x_j - y_j) \times 10^j]$. $C_0 = y_0^2 - x_0^2 = 10w_0 + a_0$
 $C_j = x_{j/2}^2 + y_{j/2}^2 + 2 \cdot \text{Math. } (r_{h,l} + z_{h,l}) = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, j \text{ is even. } C_j = 2 \cdot \text{Math. } (r_{h,l} + z_{h,l}) = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, j \text{ is odd.}$
 $C_\lambda = x_{\lambda/2}^2 + y_{\lambda/2}^2 = 10w_\lambda + a_\lambda - w_{\lambda-1}$
[0216] In the above equations, x.sub.j=Σlu.sub.j,l, y.sub.j=Σlv.sub.j,l, r.sub.h,l=x.sub.hx.sub.l, z.sub.h,l=y.sub.hy.sub.l, r.sub.h,l,z.sub.h,l∈{0,1}.
Further, the above equations are transformed into linear-integer-programming subproblems to be solved for finding exact solutions. [0217] Example 5: Semi-prime θ=12,648,677,849 is to be factorized into the product of two primes. Since 0 is in the form of 4k+1, it is either the product of two Type-1 primes or the product of two Type-3 primes. Firstly, we suppose θ is the former. Since θ=Σ.sub.j=0.sup.10a.sub.j×10.sup.j, we have (a.sub.10, a.sub.9, a.sub.8, . . . a.sub.0)=(1, 2, 6, 4, 8, 6, 7, 7, 8, 4, 9). The target θ is then factorized by Method 1 as follows. Since a.sub.0=9, referring to Table 1, we have (x.sub.0,y.sub.0)∈{(0,3),(0,7),(2,5),(8,5)}. Since a.sub.10=1, then x.sub.5.sup.2+y.sub.5.sup.2≤1, which implies (x.sub.5,y.sub.5)∈{(0,0),(0,1),(1,0)}. The problem of factorizing θ into the product of two 4k+1 primes, can be decomposed as 4×3=12 subproblems SP.sub.1, SP.sub.2, . . . , SP.sub.12. The admissible (x.sub.0,y.sub.0,x.sub.5,y.sub.5) tuples for these subproblems are listed in Table 2.
TABLE-US-00002 TABLE 2 12 subproblems defined by x.sub.0.sup.2 + y.sub.0.sup.2 = 9 and x.sub.5.sup.2 + y.sub.5.sup.2 ≤ 1. SP# 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 x.sub.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 8 8 8 y.sub.0 3 3 3 7 7 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 x.sub.5 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 y.sub.5 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0
[0218] We take SP.sub.6 with (x.sub.0,y.sub.0,x.sub.5,y.sub.5)=(0,7,0,1) as an example. [0219] Step 0: Solving A.sub.0=x.sub.0.sup.2+y.sub.0.sup.2=0+49=10w.sub.0+9, we have w.sub.0=4. [0220] Step 1: Solve [0221] {Min w.sub.0, subject to 2(x.sub.0x.sub.1+y.sub.0y.sub.1)=14y.sub.1=10w.sub.1+4-4, 0≤w.sub.1≤16, 0≤x.sub.1,y.sub.1≤9} to obtain w.sub.1=0 and y.sub.1=0. [0222] Solve [0223] {Min w.sub.1, subject to 4y.sub.1=10w.sub.1, w.sub.1>0, 0≤y.sub.1≤9, 0≤w.sub.1≤16} to obtain w.sub.1=7 and y.sub.1=5. [0224] Step 2: Given (w.sub.1=0, y.sub.1=0), solving A.sub.2=14y.sub.2+x.sub.1.sup.2+y.sub.1.sup.2=10w.sub.2+8-w.sub.1, we obtain (x.sub.1,y.sub.2,w.sub.2)∈{(2,1,1),(0,2,2),(2,6,8),(0,7,9)}. [0225] Given (w.sub.1=7, y.sub.1=5), we solve A.sub.2=10w.sub.2+8-w.sub.1 and obtain (x.sub.1,y.sub.2,w.sub.2)∈{(4,0,4),(6,0,6),(2,3,7),(0,4,8)}. [0226] Step 3: Given (x.sub.1,y.sub.2,w.sub.2), solving A.sub.3=14y.sub.3+2x.sub.1x.sub.2+2y.sub.1y.sub.2=10w.sub.3+7-w.sub.2, we find that there is no feasible solution. [0227] Given (x.sub.1,y.sub.2,w.sub.2)=(0,7,9), we solve A3 and obtain (y.sub.3,w.sub.3)=(7,10). [0228] Step 4: Given (y.sub.3,w.sub.3)=(7,10), solving [00036] $A_4 = 14y_4 + 2x_1x_3 + 2y_1y_3 + x_2^2 + y_2^2 = 10w_4 + 7 - w_3$, [0229] we find (x.sub.2,y.sub.4,w.sub.4)=(0,2,8) among the solutions. Use this solution to solve
[00037] $A_5 = 14y_5 + 2x_1x_4 + 2y_1y_4 + 2x_2x_3 + y_2y_3 = 10w_5 + 6 - w_4$ [0230] and find w.sub.5=10. This solution is further used to solve
[00038] $A_6 = 2x_2x_4 + 2y_2y_4 + x_3^2 + y_3^2 = 10w_6 + 8 - w_5$ [0231] and we find (x.sub.3,w.sub.6)=(9,16) among the solutions. Further, we solve
[00039] $A_7 = 2x_3x_4 + 2y_3y_4 = 10w_7 + 4 - w_6$ [0232] and find (x.sub.4,w.sub.7)=(0,4) among the solutions. Then, we solve
[00040] $A_8 = 2x_3 + x_4^2 + y_4^2 = 10w_8 + 6 - w_7$. [0233] (y.sub.4,w.sub.8)=(2,2) is one of the solutions. Using this solution, we solve
[00041] $A_9 = 2x_4 = 10w_9 + 2 - w_8$. [0234] to find the solution w.sub.9=0. The last equation is solved:
[00042] $A_{10} = 1 = 10w_{10} + 1 - w_9$. [0235] We obtain w.sub.10=0.
[0236] Therefore, we conclude that subproblem SP6 has a feasible solution.
[00043] $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4; y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (0, 0, 9, 0, 0, 7, 7, 2) \cdot (w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3, \text{Math.}, w_{10}) = (4, 0, 9, 10, 8, 10, 16, 4, 2, 0, 0)$.
 $u_{1,0} = u_{2,0} = u_{3,9} = u_{4,0} = 1, v_{1,0} = v_{2,7} = v_{3,7} = v_{4,2} = 1, r_{1,1} = r_{1,2} = r_{1,3} = r_{1,4} = r_{2,2} = r_{2,3} = r_{2,4} = 0, r_{3,3} = 81, r_{3,4} = 0, r_{4,4} = 0,$
 $z_{2,2} = 49, z_{2,3} = 49, z_{2,4} = 14, z_{3,3} = 49, z_{3,4} = 14, z_{4,4} = 4$.
Therefore we have:
[00044]
 $(10^5x_5 + 10^4x_4 + 10^3x_3 + 10^2x_3 + 10^2x_2 + 10x_1 + x_0)^2 + (10^5y_5 + 10^4y_4 + 10^3y_3 + 10^2y_2 + 10y_1 + y_0)^2 = 10900^2 + 27707^2 = 12,648,677,849 =$
[0237] Step 5: In a similar way, solving all other 11 subproblems shown in Table 2. We then find that SP.sub.4 with (x.sub.0,y.sub.0,x.sub.5,y.sub.5)=(0,7,0,0) has a feasible solutions as (x.sub.5,x.sub.4,x.sub.3,x.sub.2,x.sub.1;y.sub.5,y.sub.4,y.sub.3,y.sub.2,y.sub.1)=(0,7,0,4,0,0;0,8,7,7,0,7). [0238]
That implies 70400.sup.2+87707.sup.2=12,648,677,849. [0239] While all other 10 subproblems have no feasible solution, from the propositions, we have θ=10900.sup.2+27707.sup.2=70400.sup.2+87707.sup.2=(300.sup.2+193.sup.2)(100.sup.2+299.sup.2)=127449×99401. [0240] Example 6:
Consider semi-prime θ=1311413. We express θ as θ=1×10.sup.6+3×10.sup.5+1×10.sup.4+1×10.sup.3+4×10.sup.2+1×10.sup.2+3, where (a.sub.6,a.sub.5,a.sub.4,a.sub.3,a.sub.2,a.sub.1,a.sub.0)=(1,3,1,1,4,1,3).
[0241] Since θ=4k+1, we first assume that θ is the product of two 4k+1 prime. No feasible solutions are attainable. We then factorize θ by Proposition 2 in the following equations.
[00045] $A_0 = y_0^2 - x_0^2 = 10w_0 + 3, A_1 = 2(y_0y_1 - x_0x_1) = 10w_1 + 1 - w_0, A_2 = 2(y_0y_2 - x_0x_2) + y_1^2 - x_1^2 = 10w_2 + 4 - w_1,$
 $A_3 = 2(y_0y_3 - y_1y_2 - x_0x_3 - x_1x_2) = 10w_3 + 1 - w_2, A_4 = 2(y_0y_4 - y_1y_3 - x_0x_4 - x_1x_3) + y_2^2 - x_2^2 = 10w_4 + 1 - w_3,$
 $A_5 = 2(y_2y_3 - x_2x_3) = 10w_5 + 3 - w_4, A_6 = y_3^2 - x_3^2 = 10w_6 + 1 - w_5$.
[0242] Solving there equations by giving y.sub.0∈{3,7} and x.sub.0∈{4,6} referring to column 2 of Table 1. We obtain a solution below.
[00046] $(w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5, w_6) = (-1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0), (y_3, y_2, y_1, y_0; x_3, x_2, x_1, x_0) = (1, 1, 7, 3; 0, 2, 5, 4)$.
Therefore, we have
[00047] $\theta = 1311413 = (n + m)(n - m) = n^2 - m^2 = (1173)^2 - (254)^2 = 1427 \times 919$. [0243] Example 7 Consider θ=181,807. Since θ=4k+3, it is the product of one 4k+1 prime and one 4k+3 prime. θ=18×10.sup.4+1×10.sup.3+8×10.sup.2+7. By Proposition 3, we can rewritten as
[00048] $\theta = (x_3 \times 10^3 + x_2 \times 10^2 + x_1 \times 10 + x_0)^2 - (y_3 \times 10^3 + y_2 \times 10^2 + y_1 \times 10 + y_0)^2$.
[0244] The following linear equations follow.
[00049] $B_0 = x_0^2 - y_0^2 = 10w_0 + 7, B_1 = 2(x_0x_1 - y_0y_1) = 10w_1 + 0 - w_0, B_2 = 2(x_0x_2 - y_0y_2) + x_1^2 - y_1^2 = 10w_2 + 8 - w_1$
 $A_3 = 2(x_0x_3 - x_1x_2 - y_0y_3 - y_1y_2) = 10w_3 + 1 - w_2, A_4 = 2(x_1x_3 - y_1y_3) + x_2^2 - y_2^2 = 10w_4 + 18 - w_3$ [0245] Solving the problem we obtain (w.sub.0,w.sub.1,w.sub.2,w.sub.3,w.sub.4)=(0,0,-1,3,0) and (x.sub.3,x.sub.2,x.sub.1,x.sub.0;y.sub.3,y.sub.2,y.sub.1,y.sub.0)=(0,4,6,4;0,1,8,3).
Therefore, we have
[00050] $\theta = 181807 = (m + n)(m - n) = m^2 - n^2 = 464^2 - 183^2 = 647 \times 128$. [0246] Example 8: We consider a larger semi-prime θ=100000 49610 05119 81129=Σ.sub.j=0.sup.20a.sub.j10.sup.j. Since θ is a 4k+1 semi-prime, we first assume that it is the product of two Type-1 primes. Following Proposition 8.1 1 and Table 1 (column 1), we have θ=(10.sup.10x.sub.10+10.sup.9x.sub.9+ . . . +x.sub.0).sup.2+(10.sup.10y.sub.10+10.sup.9y.sub.9+ . . . +y.sub.0).sup.2

$\dots + y_{\text{sub}.0} \times \text{sup}.2$, where $(x_{\text{sub}.0}, y_{\text{sub}.0}) \in \{(0,3), (0,7), (2,5), (8,5)\}$, $(x_{\text{sub}.10}, y_{\text{sub}.10}) \in \{(0,0), (0,1), (1,0)\}$, and $(a_{\text{sub}.20}, a_{\text{sub}.19}, \dots, a_{\text{sub}.0}) = (1,0,0,0,0,0, 4,9,6,1,0,0,5,1,1,9,8,1,1,2,9)$.

[0247] The factorization problem can be divided into $4 \times 3 = 12$ subproblems. Each of three subproblems is formed as an integer program with 20 linear equations and some inequities as listed below:

[00051] Minimize $w_0 + w_1 + \dots + w_{20}$.
 $\text{Math. } + w_{20} A_0 = x_0^2 + y_0^2 = 10w + 9, A_1 = 2(r_{0,1} + z_{0,1}) = 2 - w_1,$
 $A_2 = 2(r_{0,2} + z_{0,2}) + r_{1,1} + z_{1,1} = 1 - w_2, A_3 = 2(r_{0,3} + z_{0,3} + r_{1,2} + z_{1,2}) = 1 - w_3,$
 $A_4 = 2(r_{0,4} + z_{0,4} + r_{1,3} + z_{1,3}) + r_{2,2} + z_{2,2} = 8 - w_4, A_5 = 2(r_{0,5} + z_{0,5} + r_{1,4} + z_{1,4} + r_{2,3} + z_{2,3}) = 9 - w_5,$
 $A_6 = 2(r_{0,6} + z_{0,6} + r_{1,5} + z_{1,5} + r_{2,4} + z_{2,4}) + r_{3,3} + z_{3,3} = 1 - w_6, A_7 = 2(r_{0,7} + z_{0,7} + \text{Math. } + r_{3,4} + z_{3,4}) + r_{3,3} + z_{3,3} = 1 - w_7,$
 $A_8 = 2(r_{0,8} + z_{0,8} + \text{Math. } + r_{3,5} + z_{3,5}) + r_{4,4} + z_{4,4} = 5 - w_8, A_9 = 2(r_{0,9} + z_{0,9} + \text{Math. } + r_{4,5} + z_{4,5}) = 10w_9 + 0 - w_9,$
 $A_{10} = 2(r_{0,10} + z_{0,10} + \text{Math. } + r_{4,6} + z_{4,6}) = 10w_{10} + 0 - w_{10},$
 $A_{11} = 2(r_{1,10} + z_{1,10} + r_{2,9} + z_{2,9} + \text{Math. } + r_{5,6} + z_{5,6}) = 10w_{11} + 1 - w_{11},$
 $A_{12} = 2(r_{2,10} + z_{2,10} + r_{3,9} + z_{3,9} + \text{Math. } + r_{5,7} + z_{5,7}) + r_{6,6} + z_{6,6} = 10w_{12} + 6 - w_{12},$
 $A_{13} = 2(r_{3,10} + z_{3,10} + r_{4,9} + z_{4,9} + \text{Math. } + r_{6,7} + z_{6,7}) = 10w_{13} + 9 - w_{13},$
 $A_{14} = 2(r_{4,10} + z_{4,10} + r_{5,9} + z_{5,9}) + r_{7,9} + z_{7,9} = 10w_{14} + 4 - w_{14}, A_{15} = 2(r_{5,10} + z_{5,10} + r_{6,9} + z_{6,9} + r_{7,8} + z_{7,8}) = 10w_{15} + 0 - w_{15},$
 $A_{16} = 2(r_{6,10} + z_{6,10} + r_{7,9} + z_{7,9}) + r_{8,8} + z_{8,8} = 10w_{16} + 0 - w_{16}, A_{17} = 2(r_{7,10} + z_{7,10} + r_{8,9} + z_{8,9}) = 10w_{17} + 0 - w_{17},$
 $A_{18} = 2(r_{8,10} + z_{8,10}) + r_{9,9} + z_{9,9} = 10w_{18} + 0 - w_{18}, A_{19} = 2(r_{9,10} + z_{9,10}) = 10w_{19} + 0 - w_{19}, A_{20} = r_{10,10} + z_{10,10} = 10w_{20} + 1 - w_{20},$

[0248] where for all feasible h, l ,

[00052] $x_h = \text{Math.}_{l=1}^9 l \times u_{h,l}, \text{Math.}_{l=0}^9 u_{h,l} = 1; (i) y_h = \text{Math.}_{l=1}^9 l \times v_{h,l}, \text{Math.}_{l=0}^9 v_{h,l} = 1; (ii)$

$9(u_{h,l} - 1) + lx_l \leq r_{h,l} \leq lx_l + 9(1 - u_{h,l}), 0 \leq r_{h,l} \leq 9x_l (iii) 9(u_{h,l} - 1) + ly_l \leq z_{h,l} \leq ly_l + 9(1 - v_{h,l}), 0 \leq z_{h,l} \leq 9y_l (iv)$

$u_{h,l}, v_{h,l} \in \{0, 1\}, x_h, y_h, r_{h,l}, z_{h,l} \geq 0$. [0249] For the subproblem with $(x_{\text{sub}.0}, y_{\text{sub}.0}, x_{\text{sub}.10}, y_{\text{sub}.10}) = (0, 7, 0, 1)$, solving the subproblem

by a commercial integer programming software we obtain the following solution: [0250] $(x_{\text{sub}.10}, x_{\text{sub}.9}, \dots, x_{\text{sub}.0}) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 6, 6, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$,

[0251] $(y_{\text{sub}.10}, y_{\text{sub}.9}, \dots, y_{\text{sub}.0}) = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2, 2, 6, 2, 7)$ [0252] $(w_{\text{sub}.0}, w_{\text{sub}.1}, \dots, w_{\text{sub}.20}) = (4, 3, 9, 6, 7, 3, 3, 1, 0, 0, 5, 8, 5, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$.

[0253] It readily implies $\theta = 6600000.\text{sup}.2 + 10000022627.\text{sup}.2$.

[0254] For the subproblem with $(x_{\text{sub}.0}, y_{\text{sub}.0}, x_{\text{sub}.10}, y_{\text{sub}.10}) = (0, 3, 0, 0)$, solving the subproblem we obtain [0255] $(x_{\text{sub}.10}, x_{\text{sub}.9}, \dots, x_{\text{sub}.0}) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 8, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, [0256] $(y_{\text{sub}.10}, y_{\text{sub}.9}, \dots, y_{\text{sub}.0}) = (0, 9, 9, 9, 9, 7, 7, 3, 7, 3)$, [0257] which together implies

$\theta = 30800000.\text{sup}.2 + 9999977373.\text{sup}.2$.

[0258] Therefore, we conclude that

[00053] $\theta = (10^{10} + 121^2)(10^{10} + 187^2) = (10000014641) \times (10000034969) = 100000496100511981129$.

[0259] In the case that no feasible solution exists when factorizing θ by assuming the product of two type- $(4k+1)$ primes. Then, we follow Proposition 8.3 to factorize θ , since it is the product of two type- $(4k+3)$ primes. [0260] Example 9: Consider a large factorization problem marked as RSA-100 which has a decimal value of 100. That is $\theta = 1522 \dots 139$. LIP method factorizes θ as follows: [0261] (i) Checking θ to know it is a $4k+3$ semi-primes, therefore θ is the product of one $4k+1$ prime and one $4k+3$ prime. We then denote θ as below by Proposition 8.3:

[00054] $\theta = m^2 - n^2 = (x_{50} 10^{50} + x_{49} 10^{49} + \text{Math. } + 10x_1 + x_0)^2 = (y_{50} 10^{50} + y_{40} 10^{49} + \text{Math. } + 10y_1 + x_0)$ [0262] (ii) Since $a_{\text{sub}.0} = 9$ and $a_{\text{sub}.100} = 1$, we have [0263] $(x_{\text{sub}.0}, y_{\text{sub}.0}) \in \{(8,5), (12,5), (10,1), (10,9)\}$, [0264] $(x_{\text{sub}.50}, y_{\text{sub}.50}) \in \{(1,0), (0,0)\}$. [0265] (iii) Solving the binary program by a commercial integer programming software to obtain the solution as

[00055] $m^2 = (x_{50} 10^{50} + x_{49} 10^{49} + \text{Math. } + x_0)^2 = (39034964443937277976746304024103548121890218231130)$,

$n^2 = (x_{50} 10^{50} + x_{49} 10^{49} + \text{Math. } + y_0)^2 = (00959936506983604053937431268657920267324681492931)$, [0266] (iv) LIP then factorize θ as [00056]

$\theta = (\sum_{j=0}^{50} \text{Math. } x_j 10^j + \sum_{j=0}^{50} \text{Math. } y_j 10^j) \times (\sum_{j=0}^{50} \text{Math. } x_j 10^j - \sum_{j=0}^{50} \text{Math. } y_j 10^j) = (m + n)(m - n) = (4009469095092088103068373529276146838921489972406$

[0267] The factorization result is exactly the solution.

[0268] According to the above, the system **100** can a method for reducing computer processing time during private key decryption during digital communication, which is via performing operations using linear integer programming for RSA factorization as illustration in FIG. 3, including stages **S102, S104, S106, S108, S110, S112, S114, S116, S118, S120, S122, S124, S126, S128, S130, S132, S134, S136**, and **S138**.

[0269] In stage **S102**, a semi-prime θ is entered. For example, the semi-prime θ can be extracted by the n/e extractor from the public key and then is fed into the prime factorization calculator.

[0270] In stage **S104**, the semi-prime θ is defined and expressed as $\theta = \Sigma_{\text{sub}.j=0}^{\text{sup}.\lambda} a_{\text{sub}.j} \times 10^{\text{sup}.j}$, λ is an even number, $a_{\text{sub}.j} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, \lambda - 1$, $a_{\text{sub}.\lambda} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 99\}$.

[0271] In stage **S106**, the goal is to determine if semi-prime θ is in the form of a prime number of a type $4k+1$ (i.e., is θ a $4k+1$ prime?). If it is true, the next stage is **S108** for processing in a first mode; otherwise, the next stage is **S128** for processing in a third mode.

[0272] In stage **S108**, the semi-prime θ is expressed as: $\theta = m_{\text{sup}.2} + n_{\text{sup}.2} = (\Sigma_{\text{sub}.j=0}^{\text{sup}.\lambda/2} x_{\text{sub}.j} \times 10^{\text{sup}.j})_{\text{sup}.2} +$

$(\Sigma_{\text{sub}.j=0}^{\text{sup}.\lambda/2} y_{\text{sub}.j} \times 10^{\text{sup}.j})_{\text{sup}.2}$, where $x_{\text{sub}.0}$ is even, $y_{\text{sub}.0}$ is odd; (ii) $x_{\text{sub}.0}, x_{\text{sub}.1}, \dots, x_{\text{sub}.\lambda/2}, y_{\text{sub}.0}, y_{\text{sub}.1}, \dots, y_{\text{sub}.\lambda/2} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$. For example, stage **S108** includes:

[00057] expressing θ as $\theta = m^2 + n^2$

$(m = \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda} \text{Math. } x_j \times 10^j \text{ even}, n = \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda} \text{Math. } y_j \times 10^j \text{ odd}, x_0 \in \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\lambda/2} \in \{0, 1, 9\}; y_0 \in \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9\}, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{\lambda/2} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\})$

[0273] In stage **S110**, the main problem is decomposed into subproblems according to $x_{\text{sub}.0} \text{sup}.2 + y_{\text{sub}.0} \text{sup}.2 \equiv a_{\text{sub}.0} \pmod{10}$ and $x_{\text{sub}.\lambda/2} \text{sup}.2 + y_{\text{sub}.\lambda/2} \text{sup}.2 \leq a_{\text{sub}.\lambda}$. For example, stage **S110** includes decomposing the main problem into subproblems, according to:

[00058] $x_0^2 + y_0^2 \equiv a_0 \pmod{10}$ and $x_{\lambda/2}^2 + y_{\lambda/2}^2 \leq a_{\lambda}$

[0274] In stages **S110** and **S112**, the prime factorization calculator can start to use a tail digit and a head digit set of the semi-prime number of the modulus to perform decomposition and factorization with respect to the semi-prime number into two prime factors via the first mode. The tail digit represents the last or least significant digit of the semi-prime number, and the head digit set represents the first two or most significant digits of the semi-prime number. For example, stage **S112** includes: formulating each subproblem, given the corresponding $(x_{\text{sub}.0}, y_{\text{sub}.0}, x_{\text{sub}.\lambda/2}, y_{\text{sub}.\lambda/2})$, as an LIP problem as follows:

[00059] Minimize $\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda-1} \text{Math. } w_j$ subject to $A_0 = x_0^2 + y_0^2 = 10w_0 + a_0$,

$A_j = \text{Math.}_{h+l=j, h, l \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lambda/2\}} (r_{h,l} + z_{h,l}) = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, 1 \leq j \leq \lambda - 1, A_{\lambda} = x_{\lambda/2}^2 + y_{\lambda/2}^2 = a_{\lambda} - w_{\lambda-1},$

$w_0, \text{Math. }, w_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{N}, x_0 = \sum_{s=0, 2, 4, 6, 8}^9 \text{Math. } s \times u_0^s, x_h = \sum_{s=0}^9 \text{Math. } s \times u_h^s, h \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lambda/2\},$

.Math. $u_h^s = 1, u_h^s \in \{0, 1\}, s \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}, h \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lambda/2\}$,

$y_0 = \text{Math. } s \times v_0^s, y_h = \text{Math. } s \times v_h^s, h \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lambda/2\}$,

.Math. $v_h^s = 1, v_h^s \in \{0, 1\}, s \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}, h \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lambda/2\}$

$100(u_h^s - 1) + s x_l \leq r_{h,l}, 100(1 - u_h^s) + s x_l \geq r_{h,l}, r_{h,l} \in \mathbb{N}, h, l \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lambda/2\}, s \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$

$100(v_h^s - 1) + s y_l \leq z_{h,l}, 100(1 - v_h^s) + s y_l \geq z_{h,l}, z_{h,l} \in \mathbb{N}, h, l \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lambda/2\}, s \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ [0275] In stage S114, the prime factorization calculator can determine if two solutions

[00060]($x_0^i, \text{Math. } x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}^i, y_0^j, \text{Math. } y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}^j, i = 1, 2$

can be solved/obtained from the subproblems as above. If it is true, the next stage is S116 and it is processed via the first mode; otherwise, the next stage is S120 and the processes is switched to a second mode.

[0276] In stage S116, the prime factorization calculator can continue to perform factorization with respect to the semi-prime number into two prime factors p.sub.1 and p.sub.2 via the first mode. For example, stage S116 includes:

[00061]Calculating $m_i = \text{Math. } x_j \times 10^j, n_i = \text{Math. } y_j \times 10^j, i = 1, 2, m_1 > m_2, n_1 < n_2$

$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}gcd(m_1 - m_2, n_2 - n_1); \beta = \frac{1}{2}gcd(m_1 + m_2, n_2 + n_1); \alpha = \frac{1}{2}gcd(m_1 - m_2, n_2 + n_1); \beta = \frac{1}{2}gcd(m_1 + m_2, n_2 - n_1);$

$p_1 = \alpha^2 + \beta^2, p_2 = \alpha^2 + \beta^2$

[0277] In stage S118, p.sub.1 and p.sub.2 are outputted from the prime factorization calculator 120 to the private key determiner 130. Then, it is to determine a private key using the public key exponent and the two prime numbers and then decrypt an encrypted message using the private key, thereby generating a decrypted message.

[0278] In stage S120, the semi-prime θ is expressed as:

[00062] $\theta = n^2 - m^2 = (\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} y_j \times 10^j)^2 - (\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} x_j \times 10^j)^2$,

where x.sub.0 is even, y.sub.0 is odd; (ii) x.sub.0, x.sub.1, ..., x.sub. $\lambda/2$, y.sub.0, y.sub.1, ..., y.sub. $\lambda/2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$. For example, stage S120 includes: expressing θ as $\theta = n.\text{sup.2} - m.\text{sup.2}$

[00063] $(m = \text{Math. } x_j \times 10^j \text{ even}, n = \text{Math. } y_j \times 10^j \text{ odd}, x_0 \in \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}; y_0 \in \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9\}, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\})$

[0279] In stages S122, S124, S126, and S128, the prime factorization calculator can use a tail digit and a head digit set of the semi-prime number of the modulus to perform decomposition and factorization with respect to the semi-prime number into two prime factors via the second mode. The main problem is decomposed into subproblems according to $-x.\text{sub.0}.\text{sup.2} + y.\text{sub.0}.\text{sup.2} \equiv a.\text{sub.0}(\text{mod } 10)$ and

$-x.\text{sub.}\lambda/2.\text{sup.2} + y.\text{sub.}\lambda/2.\text{sup.2} \leq a.\text{sub.}\lambda$. A solution

[00064]($x_0, \text{Math. } x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}, y_0, \text{Math. } y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}$)

can be obtained from subproblems.

[0280] For example, stage S122 includes decomposing the main problem into subproblems, according to:

[00065] $-x_0^2 + y_0^2 \equiv a_0(\text{mod } 10)$ and $-x_{\lambda/2}^2 + y_{\lambda/2}^2 \leq a_{\lambda}$.

[0281] For example, stage S124 includes: formulating each subproblem, given the corresponding (x.sub.0, y.sub.0, x.sub. $\lambda/2$, y.sub. $\lambda/2$), as an LIP problem as follows:

[00066]Minimize $\text{Math. } w_j$ subject to $B_0 = -x_0^2 + y_0^2 = 10w_0 + a_0$,

$B_j = \text{Math. } -r_{h,l} + z_{h,l} = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, 1 \leq j \leq \lambda - 1, B_{\lambda} = x_{\lambda/2}^2 + y_{\lambda/2}^2 = a_{\lambda} - w_{\lambda-1}$,

$w_0, \text{Math. } w_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{N}, x_0 = \text{Math. } s \times u_0^s, x_h = \text{Math. } s \times u_h^s, h \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lambda/2\}$,

.Math. $u_h^s = 1, u_h^s \in \{0, 1\}, s \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}, h \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lambda/2\}$,

$y_0 = \text{Math. } s \times v_0^s, y_h = \text{Math. } s \times v_h^s, h \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lambda/2\}$,

.Math. $v_h^s = 1, v_h^s \in \{0, 1\}, s \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}, h \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lambda/2\}$

$100(u_h^s - 1) + s x_l \leq r_{h,l}, 100(1 - u_h^s) + s x_l \geq r_{h,l}, r_{h,l} \in \mathbb{N}, h, l \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lambda/2\}, s \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$

$100(v_h^s - 1) + s y_l \leq z_{h,l}, 100(1 - v_h^s) + s y_l \geq z_{h,l}, z_{h,l} \in \mathbb{N}, h, l \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lambda/2\}, s \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$

[0282] For example, stage S126 includes: [0283] obtaining a solution (x.sub.0, ..., x.sub. $\lambda/2$; y.sub.0, ..., y.sub. $\lambda/2$) from the subproblems.

[0284] For example, stage S128 includes:

[00067]calculating $m = \text{Math. } x_j \times 10^j, n = \text{Math. } y_j \times 10^j, q_1 = n + m, q_2 = n - m$

[0285] Then, it goes to stage S118 for outputting, q.sub.1 and q.sub.2.

[0286] In stage S130, the semi-prime θ is expressed as: $\theta = m.\text{sup.2} - n.\text{sup.2} = (\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda/2} x_j \times 10^j) - (\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda/2} y_j \times 10^j) = \text{sup.2}$

$(\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda/2} x_j \times 10^j) - (\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda/2} y_j \times 10^j) = \text{sup.2}$, where x.sub.0 is even, y.sub.0 is odd; (ii) x.sub.0, x.sub.1, ..., x.sub. $\lambda/2$, y.sub.0, y.sub.1, ..., y.sub. $\lambda/2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$. For example, stage S130 includes:

[00068]expressing θ as $\theta = m^2 - n^2$

$(m = \text{Math. } x_j \times 10^j \text{ even}, n = \text{Math. } y_j \times 10^j \text{ odd}, x_0 \in \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\lambda/2} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}; y_0 \in \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9\}, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{\lambda/2} \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\})$

[0287] In stage S132, S134, S136, S138, the prime factorization calculator can use a tail digit and a head digit set of the semi-prime number of the modulus to perform decomposition and factorization with respect to the semi-prime number into two prime factors via the third mode. The main problem is decomposed into subproblems according to $x.\text{sub.0}.\text{sup.2} - y.\text{sub.0}.\text{sup.2} \equiv a.\text{sub.0}(\text{mod } 10)$ and $x.\text{sub.}\lambda/2.\text{sup.2} - y.\text{sub.}\lambda/2.\text{sup.2} \leq a.\text{sub.}\lambda$. A solution

[00069]($x_0, \text{Math. } x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}, y_0, \text{Math. } y_{\frac{\lambda}{2}}$)

can be obtained from subproblems.

[0288] For example, stage S132 includes decomposing the main problem into subproblems, according to:

[00070] $x_0^2 - y_0^2 \equiv a_0(\text{mod } 10)$ and $x_{\lambda/2}^2 - y_{\lambda/2}^2 \leq a_{\lambda}$

[0289] For example, stage S134 includes: formulating each subproblem, given the corresponding (x.sub.0, y.sub.0, x.sub. $\lambda/2$, y.sub. $\lambda/2$), as an LIP problem as follows:

[00071]Minimize $\text{Math. } w_j$ subject to $C_0 = x_0^2 - y_0^2 = 10w_0 + a_0$,

$C_j = \text{Math. } h+l=j, h, l \in \{0, 1, \text{Math. } \lambda/2\} (r_{h,l} - z_{h,l}) = 10w_j + a_j - w_{j-1}, 1 \leq j \leq \lambda - 1, C_\lambda = x_{\lambda/2}^2 - y_{\lambda/2}^2 = a_\lambda - w_{\lambda-1},$
 $w_0, \text{Math. } w_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}, x_0 = \text{Math. } s \times u_0^s, x_h = \text{Math. } s \times u_h^s, h \in \{1, 2, \text{Math. } \lambda/2\},$
 $\text{Math. } u_h^s = 1, u_h^s \in \{0, 1\}, s \in \{0, 1, \text{Math. } 9\}, h \in \{0, 1, \text{Math. } \lambda/2\},$
 $y_0 = \text{Math. } s \times v_0^s, y_h = \text{Math. } s \times v_h^s, h \in \{1, 2, \text{Math. } \lambda/2\},$
 $\text{Math. } v_h^s = 1, v_h^s \in \{0, 1\}, s \in \{0, 1, \text{Math. } 9\}, h \in \{0, 1, \text{Math. } \lambda/2\}$
 $100(u_h^s - 1) + s x_l \leq r_{h,l}, 100(1 - u_h^s) + s x_l \geq r_{h,l}, r_{h,l} \in \mathbb{N}, h, l \in \{0, 1, \text{Math. } \lambda/2\}, s \in \{0, 1, \text{Math. } 9\}$
 $100(v_h^s - 1) + s y_l \leq z_{h,l}, 100(1 - v_h^s) + s y_l \geq z_{h,l}, z_{h,l} \in \mathbb{N}, h, l \in \{0, 1, \text{Math. } \lambda/2\}, s \in \{0, 1, \text{Math. } 9\}$
[0290] For example, stage **S136** includes: [0291] obtaining a solution (x.sub.0, . . . , x.sub. $\lambda/2$; y.sub.0, . . . , y.sub. $\lambda/2$) from the subproblems.
[0292] For example, stage **S138** includes:
[00072]calculating $m = \text{Math. } x_j \times 10^j, n = \text{Math. } y_j \times 10^j$, [0293] p.sub.1=m+n, q.sub.2=m-n
[0294] Then, it goes to stage **S118** for outputting, p.sub.1 and q.sub.2.
[0295] Herein, in the first mode, the two prime factors are determined as a form of 4m+1 and 4n+1, where m and n are different positive integers; in the second mode, the two prime factors are determined as a form of 4m+3 and 4n+3, where m and n are different positive integers; and, in the third mode, the two prime factors are determined as a form of 4m+1 and 4n+3, where m and n are different positive integers. Furthermore, in one embodiment, there are only three modes.
[0296] Table 3 shows the comparison of the LIP method of the present invention with existing RSA methods. To factorize a semi-prime number θ in $\lambda+2$ (λ is even) digits, LIP uses decimals a.sub.0, a.sub.1, . . . , a.sub. λ to construct constraint equations. Currently available RSA methods neglect to utilize these valuable decimals in their algorithms. Table 3 also indicates that LIP is an optimization-based approach, which is guaranteed to factorize θ into two prime factors, while other RSA methods are heuristic approach-based, which need to specify some parameters according to the property of θ and may not converge to a feasible solution. The systems and methods presented in the present invention for performing operations using linear integer programming for RSA factorization can significantly reduce the computer processing time as well as power consumption, required for private key decryption during digital communication. In one embodiment, employing the LIP Method provided by the present invention, the computer processing time for private key decryption can be reduced significantly as compared to other methods listed in Table 3.
TABLE-US-00003 TABLE 3 Comparison of LIP with current RSA methods

Method	Sieve Method	note	An exhaustive brute A	heuristic approach	A heuristic approach	force factorization.	neglect using decimal	neglect using decimal	Least efficient.	digits of α .sub.0, α .sub.1, . . . , α .sub. λ .	digits of α .sub.0, α .sub.1, . . . , α .sub. λ .	Running time depends	Running time on the size of smallest depends on the size of prime factor.	It may not 0. converge to a feasible	It may not converge to solution.	a feasible solution.	feature	Need to pre-know all	Need to fit properties of	Need to specify and primes smaller than prime factors	works best test hard smooth $\sqrt{\text{square root over } (\theta)}$.	when two prime factors functions in the are close.	solution process.	Usually being applied before general quadratic sieve methods to remove small factors.	LIP Method provided by the present invention	note	An optimization approach, using decimal digits of α .sub.0, α .sub.1, . . . , α .sub. λ to form equations, with solving by linear integer programs.	feature	No need to pre-know primes.	No need to specify extra parameters.	No need to fit properties of prime factors.	
[0297] The system 100	can be applied to secure data transmissions in RSA encryption, such as public key encryption/decryption and digital signatures.																															
[0298] For public key encryption/decryption, during key generation, two large prime numbers are privately selected and multiplied together to create a semiprime number. This semiprime number becomes part of the public key, while the prime numbers are kept secret and contribute to the private key. The system 100 is utilized to generate these prime numbers. In the processes of encryption and decryption, the sender uses the recipient's public key to encrypt the message, and the recipient subsequently employs their private key to decrypt the message. If someone attempts to decrypt the message without the private key, they would need to factorize the semiprime number from the public key back into the original primes. This is a highly challenging and time-consuming computational problem, especially considering that the prime numbers used are typically very large. The system 100 can be used for determine the prime numbers for further determining the private key.																																
[0299] For digital signatures, the sender uses their private key to create a signature, and the recipient uses the sender's public key to verify it. The security of the signature relies on the difficulty of factorizing the semiprime number in the public key. The system 100 is employed to determine the private key for digital signatures.																																
[0300] In the present disclosure, spatial descriptions, such as “above,” “on,” “below,” “up,” “left,” “right,” “down,” “top,” “bottom,” “vertical,” “horizontal,” “side,” “upper,” “over,” “under,” and so forth, are specified with respect to a certain component or group of components, or a certain plane of a component or group of components, to orient the component(s) as shown in the associated figure. The spatial descriptions used herein are for illustrative purposes only, and practical implementations of the structures described herein can be spatially arranged in any orientation or manner.																																
[0301] As used herein and not otherwise defined, the terms “substantially,” “substantial,” “approximately” and “about” are used to describe and account for small variations. When used in conjunction with an event or circumstance, the terms can encompass instances in which the event or circumstance occurs precisely as well as instances in which the event or circumstance occurs to a close approximation. For example, when used in conjunction with a numerical value, the terms can encompass a range of variation of less than or equal to $\pm 10\%$ of that numerical value.																																
[0302] The foregoing description of the present invention has been provided for the purposes of illustration and description. It is not intended to be exhaustive or to limit the invention to the precise forms disclosed. Many modifications and variations will be apparent to the practitioner skilled in the art.																																
[0303] The embodiments were chosen and described in order to best explain the principles of the invention and its practical application, thereby enabling others skilled in the art to understand the invention for various embodiments and with various modifications that are suited to the particular use contemplated.																																

Claims

1. A system for reducing computer processing time during private key decryption during digital communication, the system performing operations using linear integer programming for RSA factorization, comprising: an n/e extractor configured to extract a modulus and a public key exponent from a public key; a prime factorization calculator electrically coupled with the n/e extractor and configured to: determine a semi-prime number of the modulus according to the modulus; use a tail digit and a head digit set of the semi-prime number of the modulus to perform decomposition and factorization with respect to the semi-prime number into two prime factors via one of a first mode, a second mode, and a third mode, wherein the tail digit represents the last or least significant digit of the semi-prime number, and the head digit set represents the first two or most significant digits of the semi-prime number; and a private key determiner electrically coupled with the prime factorization calculator and configured to determine a private key using the public key exponent and the two prime numbers; and a decryptor electrically coupled with the private key determiner and configured to decrypt an encrypted message using the private key, so as to generate a decrypted message.

2. The system of claim 1, wherein the prime factorization calculator is further configured to determine if the semi-prime number is in a form of $4k+1$, k being a positive integer, wherein the decomposition and factorization is performed via the first mode as the semi-prime number is in the form of $4k+1$, and the decomposition and factorization is performed via the third mode as the semi-prime number is not in the form of $4k+1$.
 3. The system of claim 2, wherein the two prime factors are determined at the third mode, if the performing the decomposition and factorization by the prime factorization calculator begins from the third mode, as a form of $4m+1$ and $4n+3$ by the prime factorization calculator, where m and n are different positive integers.
 4. The system of claim 2, wherein the prime factorization calculator is further configured to determine if the decomposition and factorization is performed via the second mode, after the prime factorization calculator performs decomposition and factorization via the first mode.
 5. The system of claim 4, wherein the two prime factors are determined at the second mode, if performing the decomposition and factorization by the prime factorization calculator is via the second mode, as a form of $4m+3$ and $4n+3$ by the prime factorization calculator, where m and n are different positive integers.
 6. The system of claim 4, wherein the two prime factors are determined at the first mode, if determining by the prime factorization calculator is not to perform decomposition and factorization via the second mode, as a form of $4m+1$ and $4n+1$ by the prime factorization calculator, where m and n are different positive integers.
 7. The system of claim 1, wherein prime factorization calculator performs the decomposition with creating subproblems that are structured as linear integer programming problems, using the tail digit and the head digit set of the semi-prime number, and performs the factorization with solving corresponding linear binary programs derived from the decomposition.
 8. The system of claim 1, further comprising a result presenter electrically couple with the decryptor and configured to: extract relevant details from the decrypted message; and provide an interface to access and display the decrypted message.
 9. A method for reducing computer processing time during private key decryption during digital communication, the method performing operations using linear integer programming for RSA factorization, comprising: extracting a modulus and a public key exponent from a public key; determining a semi-prime number of the modulus according to the modulus; using a tail digit and a head digit set of the semi-prime number of the modulus to perform decomposition and factorization with respect to the semi-prime number into two prime factors via one of a first mode, a second mode, and a third mode, wherein the tail digit represents the last or least significant digit of the semi-prime number, and the head digit set represents the first two or most significant digits of the semi-prime number; determining a private key using the public key exponent and the two prime numbers; and decrypting an encrypted message using the private key so as to generate a decrypted message.
 10. The method of claim 9, further comprising: determining if the semi-prime number is in a form of $4k+1$, k being a positive integer, wherein the decomposition and factorization is performed via the first mode as the semi-prime number is in the form of $4k+1$, and wherein the decomposition and factorization is performed via the third mode as the semi-prime number is not in the form of $4k+1$.
 11. The method of claim 10, wherein the two prime factors are determined at the third mode, if the performing the decomposition and factorization begins from the third mode, as a form of $4m+1$ and $4n+3$, where m and n are different positive integers.
 12. The method of claim 10, further comprising: determining if the decomposition and factorization is performed via the second mode, upon the performing decomposition and factorization via the first mode.
 13. The method of claim 12, wherein the two prime factors are determined at the second mode, if the performing the decomposition and factorization is via the second mode, as a form of $4m+3$ and $4n+3$, where m and n are different positive integers.
 14. The method of claim 12, wherein the two prime factors are determined at the first mode, if the determining is not to perform decomposition and factorization via the second mode, as a form of $4m+1$ and $4n+1$, where m and n are different positive integers.
 15. The method of claim 9, wherein the decomposition comprises creating subproblems that are structured as linear integer programming problems, using the tail digit and the head digit set of the semi-prime number, and the factorization comprises solving corresponding linear binary programs derived from the decomposition.
 16. The method of claim 9, further comprising: extracting relevant details from the decrypted message; and providing an interface to access and display the decrypted message.
-