US Patent & Trademark Office Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent

Kind Code

B2

Date of Patent

August 12, 2025

Inventor(s)

Haghighi; Fatemeh et al.

Systems, methods, and apparatuses for implementing discriminative, restorative, and adversarial (DiRA) learning for self-supervised medical image analysis

Abstract

A Discriminative, Restorative, and Adversarial (DiRA) learning framework for self-supervised medical image analysis is described. For instance, a pre-trained DiRA framework may be applied to diagnosis and detection of new medical images which form no part of the training data. The exemplary DiRA framework includes means for receiving training data having medical images therein and applying discriminative learning, restorative learning, and adversarial learning via the DiRA framework by cropping patches from the medical images; inputting the cropped patches to the discriminative and restorative learning branches to generate discriminative latent features and synthesized images from each; and applying adversarial learning by executing an adversarial discriminator to perform a min-max function for distinguishing the synthesized restorative image from real medical images. The pre-trained model of the DiRA framework is then provided as output for use in generating predictions of disease within medical images.

Inventors: Haghighi; Fatemeh (Tempe, AZ), Hosseinzadeh Taher; Mohammad Reza

(Tempe, AZ), Liang; Jianming (Scottsdale, AZ)

Applicant: Arizona Board of Regents on behalf of Arizona State University (Scottsdale, AZ)

Family ID: 1000008751756

Assignee: Arizona Board of Regents on behalf of Arizona State University (Scottsdale, AZ)

Appl. No.: 18/111136

Filed: February 17, 2023

Prior Publication Data

Document IdentifierUS 20230281805 A1

Publication Date
Sep. 07, 2023

Related U.S. Application Data

us-provisional-application US 63311846 20220218

Publication Classification

Int. Cl.: G06T7/00 (20170101); G06T5/00 (20240101); G06T5/70 (20240101); G06V10/74

(20220101); **G06V10/762** (20220101); **G16H30/40** (20180101); **G16H50/20** (20180101)

U.S. Cl.:

CPC **G06T7/0012** (20130101); **G06T5/70** (20240101); **G06V10/761** (20220101);

G06V10/762 (20220101); **G16H30/40** (20180101); **G16H50/20** (20180101);

G06T2207/20081 (20130101); G06T2207/20132 (20130101); G06T2207/30096

(20130101)

Field of Classification Search

CPC: G06T (7/0012); G06T (5/70); G06T (2207/20081); G06T (2207/20132); G06T

(2207/30096); G16H (50/20); G16H (30/40); G06V (10/762); G06V (10/761)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Issued Date	Patentee Name	U.S. Cl.	CPC
8204842	12/2011	Zhang et al.	N/A	N/A
9811765	12/2016	Wang et al.	N/A	N/A
10074038	12/2017	Hsieh et al.	N/A	N/A
10460440	12/2018	Zhang et al.	N/A	N/A
10789691	12/2019	Arai et al.	N/A	N/A
11436725	12/2021	Hosseinzadeh Taher et al.	N/A	N/A
2018/0196873	12/2017	Yerebakan et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0057774	12/2018	Velez et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0251694	12/2018	Han et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0332900	12/2018	Sjolund et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0265043	12/2020	Haghighi et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0334994	12/2020	Park et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0343014	12/2020	Haghighi et al.	N/A	N/A
2021/0358123	12/2020	Kearney	N/A	G16H 30/40
2022/0036564	12/2021	Ye et al.	N/A	N/A
2022/0208355	12/2021	Li	N/A	G06T 7/174
2022/0328189	12/2021	Zhou et al.	N/A	N/A

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Application Date	Country	CPC
102016010909	12/2016	DE	N/A
3190963	12/2016	EP	N/A
3273387	12/2017	EP	N/A

4064124	12/2021	EP	N/A
2016040784	12/2015	WO	N/A

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Tajbakhsh, N. et al., "Computer-aided pulmonary embolism detection using a novel vessel-aligned multi-planar image representation and convolutional neural networks," Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention—MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich, Germany, Oct. 5-9, 2015, Proceedings, Part II 18. Springer International Publishing. cited by applicant

Tajbakhsh, N. et al., "Convolutional neural networks for medical image analysis: Full training or fine tuning?," IEEE transactions on medical imaging, 35(5), 2016, pp. 1299-1312. cited by applicant

Taleb, A. et al., "3d self-supervised methods for medical imaging," Advances in neural information processing systems, 33, 2020, pp. 18158-18172. cited by applicant

Tao, X. et al., "Revisiting rubik's cube: Self-supervised learning with volume-wise transformation for 3d medical image segmentation," Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention—MICCAI 2020: 23rd International Conference, Lima, Peru, Oct. 4-8, 2020, Proceedings, Part IV 23, 2020, Springer International Publishing. cited by applicant Tian, Y. et al., "What makes for good views for contrastive learning?," Advances in neural information processing systems, 33, 2020, pp. 6827-6839. cited by applicant Van den Oord, A. et al., "Representation learning with contrastive predictive coding," arXiv:1807.03748v2, 2019. cited by applicant

Vincent, P. et al., "Extracting and composing robust features with denoising autoencoders," Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Machine Learning, 2008, pp. 1096-1103. cited by applicant

Wang, X. et al., "Chestx-ray8: Hospital-scale chest x-ray database and benchmarks on weakly-supervised classification and localization of common thorax diseases," Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2017, pp. 2097-2106. cited by applicant Wang, X. et al., "Dense contrastive learning for self-supervised visual pre-training," In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2021, pp. 3024-3033. cited by applicant

Wu, Z. et al., "Unsupervised feature learning via non-parametric instance discrimination," In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2018, pp. 3733-3742. cited by applicant

Xie, E. et al., "Detco: Unsupervised contrastive learning for object detection," Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, 2021, pp. 8392-8401. cited by applicant Xie, Z. et al., "Propagate yourself: Exploring pixel-level consistency for unsupervised visual representation learning," Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2021, pp. 16684-16693. cited by applicant

Ye, M. et al., "Unsupervised embedding learning via invariant and spreading instance feature," Proceedings of the IEEE /CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2019, pp. 6210-6219. cited by applicant

Zbontar, J. et al., "Barlow twins: Self-supervised learning via redundancy reduction," arXiv:2103.03230, 2021. cited by applicant

Zhan, X. et al., Online deep clustering for unsupervised representation learning. In Proceedings of IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2020, pp. 6688-6697. cited by applicant

Zhang, X. et al., "Sar: Scale-aware restoration learning for 3d tumor segmentation," Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention—MICCAI 2021: 24th International Conference, Strasbourg, France, Sep. 27-Oct. 1, 2021, Proceedings, Part II 24, 2021, pp. 124-133. Springer

- International Publishing. cited by applicant
- Zhou, H.Y. et al., "Comparing to learn: Surpassing imagenet pretraining on radiographs by comparing image representations," Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention—MICCAI 2020: 23rd International Conference, Lima, Peru, Oct. 4-8, 2020, Proceedings, Part I 23, 2020, pp. 398-407. Springer International Publishing. cited by applicant
- Zhou, H.Y. et al., "Preservational learning improves self-supervised medical image models by reconstructing diverse contexts," Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, 2021, pp. 3499-3509. cited by applicant
- Zhou, Z. et al., "Models genesis," Medical Image Analysis, 67, 2021, p. 101840. cited by applicant Zhuang, X. et al., "Self-supervised feature learning for 3d medical images by playing a rubik's cube," Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention—MICCAI 2019: 22nd International Conference, Shenzhen, China, Oct. 13-17, 2019, Proceedings, Part IV 22. Springer International Publishing. cited by applicant
- Armato III, S.G., et al., "The lung image database con—sortium (lidc) and image database resource initiative (idri): a completed reference database of lung nodules on ct scans," Medical physics, 38(2):915-931, 2011. cited by applicant
- Azizi, S. et al., "Big self-supervised models advance medical image classification," arXiv:2101.05224, 2021. cited by applicant
- Bakas, S. et al., "Identifying the best machine learning algorithms for brain tumor segmentation, progression assessment, and overall survival prediction in the brats challenge," arXiv:1811.02629, 2018. cited by applicant
- Bilic, P. et al., "The liver tumor segmentation benchmark (lits)," arXiv:1901.04056, 2019. cited by applicant
- Cao, B. et al., "Auto-gan: Self-supervised collaborative learning for medical image synthesis,". Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 34(07), 2020, pp. 10486-10493. cited by applicant
- Caron, M. et al., "Deep clustering for unsupervised learning of visual features," In Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision, 2018, pp. 132-149. cited by applicant Caron, M. et al., "Unsupervised learning of visual features by contrasting cluster assignments," arXiv:2006.09882, 2021. cited by applicant
- Chaitanya, K. et al., "Contrastive learning of global and local features for medical image segmentation with limited annotations," In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, vol. 33, 2020, pp. 12546-12558. cited by applicant
- Chen, H. et al., "Joint generative and contrastive learning for unsupervised person reidentification," Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2021, pp. 2004-2013. cited by applicant
- Chen, L. et al., "Self-supervised learning for medical image analysis using image context restoration," Medical image analysis, 58, 2019, 101539. cited by applicant
- Chen, T. et al., "A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations," International conference on machine learning, PMLR, 2020, pp. 1597-1607. cited by applicant Chen, T. et al., "Big self-supervised models are strong semi-supervised learners," Advances in neural information processing systems, vol. 33, 2020, pp. 22243-22255. cited by applicant Chen, X. et al., "Improved baselines with momentum contrastive learning," arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.04297, 2020. cited by applicant
- Chen, X. et al., "Exploring simple siamese representation learning," In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2021, pp. 15750-15758. cited by applicant
- Choe, J. et al., "Evaluating weakly supervised object localization methods right," Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2020. cited by applicant Devries, T. et al., "Improved regularization of convolutional neural networks with cutout," arXiv

```
preprint arXiv:1708.04552, 2017. cited by applicant
```

Doersch, C. et al., "Unsupervised visual representation learning by context prediction," In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, 2015, pp. 1422-1430. cited by applicant

Donahue, J. et al., "Large scale adversarial representation learning," Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, vol. 32, 2019. cited by applicant

Dumoulin, V. et al., "Adversarially learned inference," In International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2017, Toulon, France, Apr. 24-26, 2017. cited by applicant

Ellis, D.G. et al., "Trialing u-net training modifications for segmenting gliomas using open source deep learning framework," Brainlesion: Glioma, Multiple Sclerosis, Stroke and Traumatic Brain Injuries, 2021, pp. 40-49, Springer International Publishing. cited by applicant

Injuries, 2021, pp. 40-49, Springer International Publishing. cited by applicant Ermolov, A. et al., "Whitening for self-supervised representation learning," International Conference on Machine Learning, PMLR, 18-24, 2021, pp. 3015-3024. cited by applicant Esteva, A. et al., "Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks," Nature, 542(7639), 2017, pp. 115-118. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21056. cited by applicant Feng, R. et al., "Parts2whole: Self-supervised contrastive learning via reconstruction," In Domain Adaptation and Representation Transfer, and Distributed and Collaborative Learning: Second MICCAI Workshop, DART 2020, and First MICCAI Workshop, DCL 2020, Held in Conjunction with MICCAI 2020, Lima, Peru, Oct. 4-8, 2020, Proceedings 2, pp. 85-95. Springer International Publishing. cited by applicant

Feng, R. et al., "Self-supervised Learning: From Parts to Whole," unpublished manuscript. cited by applicant

Gidaris, S. et al., "Unsupervised representation learning by predicting image rotations," arXiv:1803.07728, 2018. cited by applicant

Goodfellow, I. et al., "Generative adversarial nets," Communications of the AMC, 63(11), 2020, pp. 139-144. cited by applicant

Grill, J.B. et al., "Bootstrap your own latent—a new approach to self-supervised learning," Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, vol. 33, 2020, pp. 21271-21284. cited by applicant

Guo, Z. et al., "Discriminative, restorative, and adversarial learning: Stepwise incremental pretraining," In MICCAI Workshop on Domain Adaptation and Representation Transfer, 2022, pp. 66-76, Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. cited by applicant

Haghighi, F. et al., "DiRA: Discriminative, restorative, and adversarial learning for self-supervised medical image analysis," In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2022, pp. 20824-20834. cited by applicant

Haghighi, F. et al., "Learning semantics-enriched representation via self-discovery, self-classification, and self-restoration," Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention —MICCAI 2020: 23rd International Conference, Lima, Peru, Oct. 4-8, 2020, Proceedings, Part I 23, pp. 137-147, Springer International Publishing. cited by applicant

Haghighi, F. et al., "Transferable visual words: Exploiting the semantics of anatomical patterns for self-supervised learning," IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, 40(10), 2021, pp. 2857-2868. cited by applicant

He, K. et al., "Deep residual learning for image recognition," Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2016, pp. 770-778. cited by applicant

He, K. et al., "Momentum contrast for unsupervised visual representation learning," Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2020, pp. 9729-9738. cited by applicant

Hosseinzadeh Taher, M.R. et al., "A systematic benchmarking analysis of transfer learning for medical image analysis," Domain Adaptation and Representation Transfer, and Affordable Healthcare and AI for Resource Diverse Global Health: Third MICCAI Workshop, DART 2021,

and First MICCAI Workshop, FAIR 2021, Held in Conjunction with MICCAI 2021, Strasbourg, France, Sep. 27 and Oct. 1, 2021, Proceedings 3. Springer International Publishing. cited by applicant

Irvin, J. et al., "Chexpert: A large chest radiograph dataset with uncertainty labels and expert comparison," arXiv:1901.07031, 2019. cited by applicant

Jaeger, S. et al., "Two public chest x-ray datasets for computer-aided screening of pulmonary diseases," Quantitative imaging in medicine and surgery, 4(6), 2014, p. 475. cited by applicant Kingma, D.P. et al., "Auto-encoding variational bayes," arXiv:1312.6114, 2014. cited by applicant Larsson, G. et al., "Colorization as a proxy task for visual understanding," Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2017, pp. 6874-6883. cited by applicant Li, J. et al., "Prototypical contrastive learning of unsupervised representations," arXiv:2005.04966, 2021. cited by applicant

Liu, R. et al., "Divco: Diverse conditional image synthesis via contrastive generative adversarial network," In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2021, pp. 16377-16386. cited by applicant

Ma, D. et al., "Foundation Ark: Accruing and Reusing Knowledge for Superior and Robust Performance," arXiv:2310.09507v1 [cs.CV], 2023. cited by applicant

McKinney, S.M. et al., "International evaluation of an Al system for breast cancer screening," Nature 577, 202, pp. 89-94. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1799-6. cited by applicant Mustafa, B. et al., "Supervised transfer learning at scale for medical imaging," arXiv:2101.05913, 2021. cited by applicant

Noroozi, M. et al., "Unsupervised learning of visual representations by solving jigsaw puzzles," Computer Vision-ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, Oct. 11-14, 2016, Proceedings, Part VI, 2016, pp. 69-84, Springer International Publishing. cited by applicant

Parmar, G. et al., "Dual contradistinctive generative autoencoder," Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2021, pp. 823-832. cited by applicant Pathak, D. et al., "Context encoders: Feature learning by inpainting," Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2016, pp. 2536-2544. cited by applicant Ronneberger, O. et al., U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention—MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich, Germany, Oct. 5-9, 2015, Proceedings, Part III 18, pp. 234-241, Springer International Publishing. cited by applicant

Selvaraju, R. R. et al., "Grad-cam: Visual explanations from deep networks via gradient-based Localization," Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, 2017, pp. 618-626. cited by applicant

Setio, A.A.A., et al., "Validation, comparison, and combination of algorithms for automatic detection of pulmonary nodules in computed tomography images: the luna16 challenge," Medical image analysis, 42, 2017, pp. 1-13. cited by applicant

Taher, M. R. H. et al., "CAID: Context-aware instance discrimination for self-supervised learning in medical imaging," In International Conference on Medical Imaging with Deep Learning, 2022, pp. 535-551, PMLR. cited by applicant

Primary Examiner: Vo; Quang N

Attorney, Agent or Firm: Elliott, Ostrander & Preston, P.C.

CLAIM OF PRIORITY (1) This non-provisional U.S. Utility Patent Application is related to, and claims priority to the U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 63/311,846, entitled "SYSTEMS, METHODS, AND APPARATUSES FOR IMPLEMENTING DISCRIMINATIVE, RESTORATIVE, AND ADVERSARIAL (DiRA) LEARNING FOR SELF-SUPERVISED MEDICAL IMAGE ANALYSIS," filed Feb. 18, 2022, the entire contents of which are incorporated herein by reference as though set forth in full.

COPYRIGHT NOTICE

(1) A portion of the disclosure of this patent document contains material which is subject to copyright protection. The copyright owner has no objection to the facsimile reproduction by anyone of the patent document or the patent disclosure, as it appears in the Patent and Trademark Office patent file or records, but otherwise reserves all copyright rights whatsoever. TECHNICAL FIELD

(2) Embodiments of the invention relate generally to the field of medical imaging and analysis using convolutional neural networks for the classification and annotation of medical images, and more particularly, to systems, methods, and apparatuses for implementing Discriminative, Restorative, and Adversarial (DiRA) learning for self-supervised medical image analysis, in the context of processing of medical imaging.

BACKGROUND

- (3) The subject matter discussed in the background section should not be assumed to be prior art merely as a result of its mention in the background section. Similarly, a problem mentioned in the background section or associated with the subject matter of the background section should not be assumed to have been previously recognized in the prior art. The subject matter in the background section merely represents different approaches, which in and of themselves may also correspond to embodiments of the claimed inventions.
- (4) Machine learning models have various applications to automatically process inputs and produce outputs considering situational factors and learned information to improve output quality. One area where machine learning models, and neural networks in particular, provide high utility is in the field of processing medical images.
- (5) Within the context of machine learning and with regard to deep learning specifically, a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN, or ConvNet) is a class of deep neural networks, very often applied to analyzing visual imagery. Convolutional Neural Networks are regularized versions of multilayer perceptrons. Multilayer perceptrons are fully connected networks, such that each neuron in one layer is connected to all neurons in the next layer, a characteristic which often leads to a problem of overfitting of the data and the need for model regularization. Convolutional Neural Networks also seek to apply model regularization, but with a distinct approach. Specifically, CNNs take advantage of the hierarchical pattern in data and assemble more complex patterns using smaller and simpler patterns. Consequently, on the scale of connectedness and complexity, CNNs are on the lower extreme.
- (6) The present state of the art may therefore benefit from the systems, methods, and apparatuses for implementing Discriminative, Restorative, and Adversarial (DiRA) learning for self-supervised medical image analysis, as is described herein.

Description

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

(1) Embodiments are illustrated by way of example, and not by way of limitation, and can be more fully understood with reference to the following detailed description when considered in connection with the figures in which:

- (2) FIG. **1**A depicts a novel SSL framework which unites discriminative, restorative, and adversarial learning in a unified manner, identified herein as the DiRA framework, in accordance with disclosed embodiments;
- (3) FIG. **1**B depicts various exemplary photographic images and chest X-ray scans, in which the photographic images depict typically large foreground objects with apparent discriminative parts in contrast to medical images which typically contain consistent anatomical structures with semantic information dispersed over the entire images, in accordance with described embodiments;
- (4) FIG. **1**C depicts the novel DiRA framework in greater detail, in accordance with disclosed embodiments;
- (5) FIG. **2**A depicts Table 1 which details transfer learning under different downstream label fractions, in accordance with described embodiments;
- (6) FIG. **2**B depicts Table 2 which depicts a comparison with fully-supervised transfer learning, in accordance with described embodiments;
- (7) FIG. **2**C depicts Table 3 which depicts a comparison with a restorative self-supervised method, in accordance with described embodiments;
- (8) FIG. **2**D depicts Table 4 which depicts an ablation study on different components of DiRA, in accordance with described embodiments;
- (9) FIG. **2**E depicts Table 5 which depicts weakly-supervised pathology localization accuracy under different IoU thresholds (δ), in accordance with described embodiments;
- (10) FIGS. **3**A and **3**B present a comparison with discriminative self-supervised methods, in accordance with described embodiments;
- (11) FIGS. **4**A, **4**B, and **4**C provide visualizations of Grad-CAM heatmaps for (a) MoCo-v2 vs. DiRA.sub.MoCo-v2, (b) Barlow Twins vs. DiRA.sub.BarlowTwins, and (c) SimSiam vs. DiRA.sub.SimSiam, in accordance with described embodiments; and
- (12) FIGS. **5**A, **5**B, and **5**C provide visualizations of Grad-CAM heatmaps examples for 8 thorax diseases in each column, according to described embodiments;
- (13) FIG. **6** shows a diagrammatic representation of a system within which embodiments may operate, be installed, integrated, or configured, in accordance with one embodiment;
- (14) FIGS. 7A and 7B depict flow diagrams illustrating a method for implementing Discriminative, Restorative, and Adversarial (DiRA) learning for self-supervised medical image analysis, in accordance with one embodiment;
- (15) FIG. **8** illustrates a diagrammatic representation of a machine in the exemplary form of a computer system, in accordance with one embodiment.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

- (16) Described herein are systems, methods, and apparatuses for implementing Discriminative, Restorative, and Adversarial (DiRA) learning for self-supervised medical image analysis.
- (17) Discriminative learning, restorative learning, and adversarial learning have proven beneficial for self-supervised learning schemes in computer vision and medical imaging. Existing efforts, however, omit their synergistic effects on each other in a ternary setup, which, as demonstrated herein by experimental results, can significantly benefit deep semantic representation learning. To realize this vision, the DiRA framework and platform as described herein was developed as the first framework that unites discriminative, restorative, and adversarial learning in a unified manner to collaboratively glean complementary visual information from unlabeled medical images for fine-grained semantic representation learning.
- (18) The extensive experiments which are summarized below demonstrate that disclosed DiRA methodology (1) encourages collaborative learning among three learning ingredients, resulting in more generalizable representation across organs, diseases, and modalities; (2) outperforms fully supervised ImageNet models and increases robustness in small data regimes, reducing annotation cost across multiple medical imaging applications; (3) learns fine-grained semantic representation, facilitating accurate lesion localization with only image-level annotation; and (4) enhances state-of-

- the-art restorative approaches, revealing that DiRA is a general mechanism for united representation learning.
- (19) FIG. **1**A depicts a novel SSL framework which unites discriminative, restorative, and adversarial learning in a unified manner, identified herein as the DiRA framework, in accordance with disclosed embodiments.
- (20) In the field of medical image analysis, Self-supervised learning (SSL) aims to learn generalizable representations without using any expert annotation. The representation learning approaches in the SSL paradigm can be categorized into three main groups: (1) discriminative learning, which utilizes encoders to cluster instances of the same (pseudo) class and distinguish instances from different (pseudo) classes; (2) restorative learning, which utilizes generative models to reconstruct original images from their distorted versions; and (3) adversarial learning, which utilizes adversary models to enhance restorative learning. In computer vision, discriminative SSL approaches, especially contrastive learning currently offer state-of-the-art (SOTA) performance, surpassing standard supervised ImageNet models in some tasks. In medical imaging, however, restorative SSL methods compared to discriminative approaches presently reach a new height in performance.
- (21) Despite the critical contributions of discriminative, restorative, and adversarial learning to SSL performance, there is no presently known SSL method which simultaneously employs all three learning ingredients. The novel DiRA platform **100** as described herein implements a novel SSL framework which unites discriminative learning **105**, restorative learning **110**, and adversarial learning **115** in a unified manner to collaboratively glean complementary visual information from unlabeled data for fine-grained semantic representation learning, resulting in the DiRA platform **100**, as shown.
- (22) One may inquire, therefore, "precisely what contributes to the popularity differences between discriminative and restorative methods in computer vision and in medical imaging?" Furthermore, from an extensive literature review, it was discovered that no presently known SSL method exploits all three learning components simultaneously. Therefore, one may further inquire: "Can discriminative, restorative, and adversarial learning be seamlessly integrated into a single framework to foster collaborative learning for deep semantic representation, yielding more powerful models for a broad range of applications?"
- (23) FIG. **1**B depicts various exemplary photographic images and chest X-ray scans, in which the photographic images depict typically large foreground objects with apparent discriminative parts in contrast to medical images which typically contain consistent anatomical structures with semantic information dispersed over the entire images, in accordance with described embodiments. For example, the example images of zebras **181** and the example images of daisies **182** all depict expected large foreground objects with apparent discriminative parts.
- (24) Unlike the depicted exemplary photographic images **101**, medical images, such as the example medical images showing chest anatomy **183**, contain consistent anatomical structures with semantic information dispersed over the entire images. As a result, recognition tasks in photographic images are mainly based on high-level features, while medical tasks demand holistic fine-grained discriminative features captured throughout images.
- (25) In seeking answers to the two questions presented above, the following insights were gained: Computer vision and medical imaging tasks embrace the spirit of evil in opposite ways, originating from the marked differences between photographic and medical images. For instance, photographic images (e.g., **181** and **182**), particularly those in ImageNet, have large foreground objects with apparent discriminative parts, residing within the varying backgrounds.
- (26) Thus, object recognition tasks in photographic images are primarily based on high-level features captured from discriminative regions. In contrast, medical images generated from a particular imaging protocol exhibit consistent anatomical structures (e.g., such as the chest anatomy medical images at element **183**), with clinically relevant information dispersed over the entire

image.

- (27) In particular, high-level structural information, such as the anatomical structures and their relative spatial orientations, are essential for the identification of normal anatomy and various disorders. Importantly, medical tasks require much stronger attention to fine-grained details within images as identifying diseases, delineating organs, and isolating lesions rely on subtle, local variations in texture. Therefore, recognition tasks in medical images benefit from complementary high-level and fine-grained discriminative features captured throughout images.
- (28) According to systematical analysis of the experimental results discussed below, the following understandings were gained: (1) discriminative learning excels in capturing high-level (global) discriminative features, (2) restorative learning is good at conserving fine-grained details embedded in local image regions, and (3) adversarial learning consolidates restoration by conserving more fine-grained details.
- (29) Putting these understandings and fundamental differences between photographic and medical images together would explain why restorative learning is preferred in medical imaging while discriminative learning is preferred in computer vision. More importantly, these new and intriguing insights were captured into the integrated trio of discriminative learning 105, restorative learning 110, and adversarial learning 115 as represented by the depicted DiRA platform (refer again to element 100 at FIG. 1A), providing the effective features required for medical recognition tasks—not only high-level anatomical representations but also fine-grained discriminative cues embedded in the local parts of medical images.
- (30) FIG. **1**C depicts the novel DiRA framework **103** in greater detail, in accordance with disclosed embodiments.
- (31) As shown here, the DiRA framework consists of three learning ingredients, namely discriminative learning **191**, restorative learning **192**, and adversarial learning **193**. Given two input patches x.sub.1 and x.sub.2 (elements **194** and **195** respectively), the input patches are then perturbed with $T(\cdot)$ at element **196** and **197**, and then each is given as input to the discrimination **191** and restoration **192** branches. The discrimination branch at element **191** consists of encoders f.sub. θ and f.sub. ε (elements **171** and **172** respectively), and projectors h.sub. θ and h.sub. ε (elements **173** and **174** respectively) and maximizes the agreement between (high-level) embedding vectors of samples from the same (pseudo) class. The restoration branch at element **192** consists of encoder f.sub. θ at element **175** and decoder g.sub. θ at element **176**, and maximizes the (pixel-level) agreement between original sample x.sub.1 at element **177** and restored x'.sub.1 at element **178**, as depicted. Adversarial discriminator D.sub. ϕ at element **179**, contrasts the original samples with the restored ones, reinforcing the restoration to preserve more fine-grained details.
- (32) With reference again to the novel DiRA framework **103** as depicted at FIG. **1**C, a systematical analysis of the described methodology has yielded the following understandings: (1) discriminative learning excels in capturing high-level (global) discriminative features, (2) restorative learning is good at conserving fine-grained details embedded in local image regions, and (3) adversarial learning consolidates restoration by conserving more fine-grained details.
- (33) Based on the insights above, the novel self-supervised learning framework described herein, called DiRA, provides improvement over prior techniques by uniting discriminative learning, restorative learning, and adversarial learning in a unified manner to glean complementary visual information from unlabeled medical images.
- (34) The extensive experiments, for which the results of which are documented by Tables 1 through 5 as set forth at FIGS. 2A through 2E (discussed in greater detail below), demonstrate that (1) DiRA encourages collaborative learning among three learning components, resulting in more generalizable representation across organs, diseases, and modalities (refer to FIG. 4 which is discussed in greater detail below); further, (2) DiRA outperforms fully supervised ImageNet models and increases robustness in small data regimes, thereby reducing annotation cost in medical imaging (refer to Table 1 and Table 2); still further, (3) DiRA learns fine-grained representations,

- facilitating more accurate lesion localization with only image-level annotations (refer to FIG. 5 which is discussed in greater detail below); and finally, (4) DiRA enhances SOTA restorative approaches, showing that DiRA is a general framework for united representation learning (refer to Table 3).
- (35) Thus, the novel self-supervised learning framework described herein, called DiRA, provides at least the following contributions: (1) insights have been gained into the synergy of discriminative, restorative, and adversarial learning in a ternary setup, realizing a new paradigm of collaborative learning for SSL; (2) the first self-supervised learning framework that seamlessly unites discriminative, restorative, and adversarial learning in a unified manner, setting a new SOTA for SSL in medical imaging is provided in a usable and experimentally verified manner; and (3) a thorough and insightful set of experimental results demonstrate not only DiRA's generalizability but also its potential to take a fundamental step towards developing universal representations for medical imaging.
- (36) Discriminative self-supervised learning: Discriminative methods may be divided into class-level and instance-level discrimination. Class-level discrimination methods group images based on certain criteria, assign a pseudo label to each group, and train a model to discriminate the images based on their pseudo labels, such as rotation degrees and cluster assignments. Conversely, instance-level discrimination methods treat each image as a distinct class, and maximize the similarity of representations derived from different views of the same image, seeking to learn transformation invariant representations. Instance-level discriminative learning has been investigated in various forms, including contrastive learning, asymmetric networks, and redundancy reductions.
- (37) However, both class-level and instance-level approaches in discriminative learning have shown failures in tasks that require finer-grained features. With reference to FIG. 1C, the DiRA framework 103 described herein addresses this limitation by incorporating restorative and adversarial learning, which not only improves discriminative learning but also yields fine-grained representations required for medical imaging tasks.
- (38) Restorative and adversarial self-supervised learning: The key objective for a restorative method is to faithfully reconstruct the distribution of data. In the SSL context, multiple pretext tasks are formulated to reconstruct the perturbed images using generative models. The advance of GANs has led to a new line of research in unsupervised learning, using adversarial learning to generate transferable representations. While recent works have demonstrated impressive results by employing large-scale generative models, it remains unclear to what extent generative models can encapsulate high-level structures.
- (39) With reference again to FIG. **1**C, the DiRA framework **103** alleviates this limitation by bringing the advantages of discriminative learning into generative models. Through discriminating image samples, generative models are encouraged to capture global discriminative representations rather than superficial representations, leading to a more pronounced embedding space.
- (40) Self-supervised learning in medical imaging: Due to the lack of large-scale annotated datasets, SSL created substantial interest in medical imaging. Motivated by the success in computer vision, recent discriminative methods concentrate on instance-level discrimination. For example, a comprehensive benchmarking study evaluated the efficacy of existing instance discrimination methods pre-trained on ImageNet for diverse medical tasks, whereas others working in the technical space adjusted contrastive-based methods on medical images. Prior known methodologies in this space have focused on restorative approaches, including the most recent study which proposed TransVW specifically and which showed promising advancements by combining discriminative and restorative components into a single SSL framework.
- (41) Notably, however, the DiRA framework **103** as depicted at FIG. **1**C distinguishes itself from all previously known works by demonstrating at least two key advances: Firstly, (1) by employing discriminative, restorative, and adversarial learning simultaneously in a unified framework; and

- secondly, (2) by providing a general representation learning framework that is compatible with existing discriminative and restorative methods, regardless of their objective functions.
- (42) The DiRA framework: As is depicted at FIG. **1**C, the DiRA framework **103** is an SSL framework comprised of three key components: (1) Discrimination (referred to as Di) that aims to learn high-level discriminative representations, (2) Restoration (referred to as R) that aims to enforce the model to conserve fine-grained information about the image by focusing on more localized visual patterns, and (3) Adversary (referred to as A) that aims to further improve feature learning through the restoration component.
- (43) By integrating these components into a unified framework, the DiRA framework **103** captures comprehensive information from images, providing more powerful representations for various downstream tasks. In the discussion that follows, each component is first introduced by abstracting a common paradigm and then by describing the joint training loss.
- (44) Discriminative learning: Discriminative learning can be thought of as training an encoder to maximize agreement between instances of the same (pseudo) class in the latent space via a discriminative loss.
- (45) As illustrated in FIG. **1**C, the discriminator branch at element **191** is comprised of two twin backbone networks f.sub. θ and f.sub. ε (elements **171** and **172**) and projection heads h.sub. θ and h.sub. ε (elements **173** and **174**). As utilized herein, f.sub. θ at element **171** is a regular encoder, while f.sub. ε at element **172** can be a momentum encoder or share weights with the other encoder identified as f.sub. θ at element **175**.
- (46) Given two patches x.sub.1 and x.sub.2 at elements **194** and **195**, which are cropped from the same image or different images, an augmentation function $T(\cdot)$ at elements **196** and **197**) is first applied on them. The two augmented patches are then processed by f.sub.0 and f.sub. ϵ (elements **171** and **172**) networks to generate latent features y.sub.1=f.sub.74 (T(x.sub.1)) and y.sub.2=f.sub. ϵ (T(x.sub.2)). The projection heads h.sub.0 and h.sub. ϵ (elements **173** and **174**) projects the latent features to a unit sphere and output projections z.sub.1=h.sub.0(y.sub.1) and z.sub.2=h.sub.249 (y.sub.2).
- (47) The discriminator's objective is to maximize the similarity between the embedding vectors obtained from two samples of the same (pseudo) class, set forth below as equation (1): L.sub.dis=l(z.sub.1, z.sub.2), where l(z.sub.1, z.sub.2) is the similarity/distance function that measures compatibility between z.sub.1 and z.sub.2.
- (48) According to the described embodiments, the DiRA platform is a general framework that allows various choices of discrimination tasks without any constraint. As such, the declaration of class might range from considering every single image as a class (instance discrimination) to clustering images based on a similarity metric (cluster discrimination).
- (49) Accordingly, patches x.sub.1 and x.sub.2 at elements **194** and **195** can be two views of the same image or two samples from the same cluster. Based on the nature of the discrimination task, the instantiation of L.sub.dis can utilize cross-entropy, contrastive, redundancy reduction techniques, etc., that allow various choices of discrimination tasks without any constraint.
- (50) Restorative learning: The restorative learning branch at element **192** aims to enhance discrimination learning by leveraging fine-grained visual information. As shown in FIG. **1**C, the restoration branch **192** is comprised of an encoder f.sub. θ at element **175**, and decoder g.sub. θ at element **176**, where encoder f.sub. θ at element **175** is shared with the discrimination branch **191**. Given the input sample x.sub.1 at element **195** distorted by T at element **197**, the encoder f.sub. θ and decoder g.sub. θ (elements **175** and **176**) aim to map the distorted sample back to the original one, for instance, f.sub. θ , g.sub. θ :(x,T) custom characterx. The networks f.sub. θ and g.sub. θ at elements **175** and **176** are trained by minimizing the distance between the original sample and the restored one at pixel-level, according to equation (2), as follows:
- Custom character.sub.res=Custom character.sub.x dist(x.sub.1,x'.sub.1) where x'.sub.1=g.sub. θ (f.sub. θ (T(x.sub.1)) denotes the restored image and where dist(x.sub.1,

- x'.sub.1) presents the distance function that measures similarity between x.sub.1 and x'.sub.1 (at elements **177** and **178**) such as L.sub.1 or L.sub.2.
- (51) Adversarial learning: Adversarial learning (element **193**) aims to reinforce f.sub.θ (shared networks depicted by elements **171** and **175**) by measuring how realistic the restored images are. As such, adversarial discriminator D.sub. at element **179** is formulated to discriminate (distinguish) the set of training images from the set of synthesized images, guiding encoder f.sub. θ (element 175) to capture more informative features from images so that g.sub. θ at element **176** can reproduce the original images effectively. Therefore, the encoder f.sub.θ (elements **175**) and decoder g.sub.θ at element **176** play a min-max game with adversarial discriminator D.sub.φ at element 179, and are optimized jointly with an adversarial loss according to equation (3), as follows:
- \mathbb{R} custom character.sub.adv= \mathbb{R} custom character.sub.x[log D.sub. $\phi(x.sub.1)$]+ \mathbb{R} custom character .sub.x[log(1-D.sub. $\phi(x'$.sub.1)].
- (52) Joint training: Finally, the combined objective for the proposed DiRA framework, according to equation (4), becomes:
- Custom character=λ.sub.dis* custom character.sub.dis+λ.sub.res* custom character .sub.res+λ.sub.adv* custom character.sub.adv,
- where λ .sub.dis, λ .sub.res. and λ .sub.adv are multiplication factors that determine the relative importance of different losses. Through the described unified training scheme, the DiRA framework (element **103** at FIG. **1**C) thus learns a representation that preserves fine-grained details of the samples while being discriminative among the image classes. In particular, the formulation of L.sub.dis operates to encourage the model to capture high-level discriminative features. Moreover L.sub.res operates to enforce the model to encode fine-grained information from the images by focusing on pixel-level visual patterns. This results in more descriptive feature embeddings that elevate the discrimination task. Finally, L.sub.adv operates to elevate restorationbased learning through capturing more informative features.
- Implementations Details
- (53) Pre-training protocol: The described DiRA framework (element **103** at FIG. **1**C) is a general framework that is compatible with existing self-supervised discriminative and restorative methods, regardless of their objective functions. To assess the effectiveness of the described framework, recent state-of-the-art 2D and 3D self-supervised methods are adopted into the DiRA framework, as described below. The pretrained models with DiRA are identified as DiRA subscripted by the original method name.
- (54) 2D image pretraining settings: The DiRA framework was applied to MoCo-v2, Barlow Twins, and SimSiam for 2D image self-supervised learning. All the DiRA models were pretrained from scratch on the training set of the ChestX-ray 14 dataset.
- (55) For each of these three discrimination tasks, the experiments follow the original methods in the formulation of L.sub.dis, projection head architecture and hyperparameters settings.
- (56) Furthermore, the experiments optimize the encoder and decoder networks f.sub. θ and g.sub. θ , following the optimization setups (optimizer, learning rate and decay). For all methods, the experiments employ a 2D U-Net with a standard ResNet-50 backbone as the f.sub. θ and g.sub. θ . The experiments adopt the commonly used mean square error (MSE) as the L.sub.res. The adversarial discriminator network D.sub.♦ consists of four convolutional layers with the kernel size of 3×3, and is trained using the Adam optimizer with a learning rate of 2e-4 and (β .sub.1, β .sub.2)= (0.5, 0.999). Each of L.sub.dis, L.sub.res, and L.sub.adv are empirically set to 10, 0.001, and 1,
- respectively. Input images are first randomly cropped and resized to 224×224; the image augmentation function $T(\cdot)$ includes random horizontal flipping, color jittering, and Gaussian blurring. Additionally, the experiments apply cutout and shuffling to make the restoration task more challenging.
- (57) 3D volume pretraining settings: Further experiments apply the DiRA framework described

herein to TransVW, a state-of-the-art 3D self-supervised learning for medical imaging. Such experiments adapt TransVW in the DiRA framework by adding an adversarial discriminator D.sub.φ into its training scheme. For the sake of fair comparisons, the experiments utilize the publicly available code of Trans VW for the settings of instance discrimination and restoration tasks. Moreover, similar to publicly released TransVW, the DiRA models are pre-trained from scratch using 623 chest CT scans in LUNA dataset. The experiments use 3D U-Net as the encoder-decoder network and a classification head including fully-connected layers. The adversarial discriminator Do includes four convolutional blocks with the kernel size 3×3×3. Each of L.sub.res, L.sub.adv, and L.sub.dis are empirically set to 100, 1, and 1, respectively. Each of f.sub.74, g.sub.θ, and D.sub.φ were optimized for 200 epochs using Adam with a learning rate of 1e-3 and batch size of eight (8).

- (58) Transfer Learning Protocol
- (59) Target tasks and datasets: The inventors evaluated the effectiveness of the DiRA framework's representations in transfer learning to a diverse suite of nine (9) common but challenging 2D and 3D medical imaging tasks, including: ChestX-ray14, CheXPert, SIIM-ACR, and NIH Montgomery for each of 2D models. For the 3D models, LUNA, PE-CAD, LIDC-IDRI, LiTS, and BraTS are utilized (refer to the discussion below in the context of FIGS. 5A, 5B, and 5C and also Table 5, as set forth at FIG. 2E, element 205, for details of each dataset).
- (60) These tasks encompass various label structures (multi-label classification and pixel-level segmentation), diseases (brain tumors and thoracic diseases, such as lung nodules, pulmonary emboli, and pneumothorax), organs (lung, liver, brain), and modalities (X-ray, CT, MRI). Moreover, these tasks contain many hallmark challenges encountered when working with medical images, such as imbalanced classes, limited data, and small-scanning areas for the pathology of interest. The official data split of these datasets was utilized when available; otherwise, the data was randomly divided into 80% and 20% portions for training and testing, respectively.
- (61) Fine-tuning settings: The experiments transfer the pre-trained (1) encoder f.sub. θ of the DiRA platform to the classification tasks, and (2) encoder and decoder of the DiRA framework (f.sub. θ and g.sub. θ) to segmentation tasks. Generalization of DiRA representations were evaluated by fine-tuning all the parameters of downstream models. The AUC (area under the ROC curve), and the IoU (Intersection over Union) and Dice coefficient were utilized for evaluating classification and segmentation performances, respectively.
- (62) Each downstream task was optimized with the best performing hyperparameters (refer to the discussion below in the context of FIGS. 5A, 5B, and 5C and also Table 5, as set forth at FIG. 2E, element 205, for details of each dataset). An early-stop mechanism was utilized using 10% of the training data as the validation set to avoid over-fitting. Each method was run ten times on each downstream task the average, standard deviation, and statistical analysis was reported based on an independent two-sample t-test.
- (63) FIGS. **3**A and **3**B present a comparison with discriminative self-supervised methods, in accordance with described embodiments. Specifically, the novel DiRA framework is applied to three representative state-of-the-art self-supervised methods with different discrimination objectives. For each of MoCo-v2, Barlow Twins, and SimSiam, the DiRA framework empowers discriminative methods to capture more fine-grained representations, yielding significant (p<0.05) performance improvements on four downstream tasks, as shown.
- (64) Results: A comprehensive set of experiments were conducted to better understand not only the properties of the proposed self-supervised learning framework but also its generalizability across a wide range of nine (9) downstream tasks. Through the following groups of experiments, it was established that DiRA (1) enriches existing discriminative approaches, capturing a more diverse visual representation that generalizes better to different tasks; (2) addresses the annotation scarcity challenge in medical imaging, providing an annotation-efficient solution for medical imaging; (3) learns fine-grained features, facilitating more accurate lesion localization with only image-level

- annotation; and (4) improves SOTA restorative approaches, demonstrating that DiRA is a general framework for united representation learning.
- (65) DiRA Framework Enriches Discriminative Learning
- (66) Experimental setup: To study the flexibility and efficacy of the proposed self-supervised framework, the experiments applied DiRA to three recent state-of-the-art self-supervised methods with diverse discrimination objectives: MoCo-v2, Barlow Twins, and SimSiam. To evaluate the quality of the learned representations and ascertain the generality of our findings, a broader range of four target tasks were considered, covering classification (ChestX-Ray14 and CheXpert) and segmentation (SIIM-ACR and Montgomery).
- (67) Results: As depicted at FIGS. **3**A and **3**B, utilizing the self-supervised DiRA framework consistently enhances its underlying discriminative method across all tasks (i) ChestX-ray14, (ii) CheXpert, (iii) SIIM-ACR, and (iv) NIH Montgomery. Compared to the original methods, DiRA.sub.MoCo-v2 showed increased performance by 0.76%, 1.17%, 1.35%, and 0.21%, respectively. Similarly, DiRA.sub.Barlow Twins showed increased performance by 0.43%, 0.60%, 0.16%, and 0.03%. Finally, DiRA.sub.SimSiam showed increased performance by 0.82%, 2.22%, 1.18%, and 0.45%. These results imply that DiRA is a comprehensive representation learning framework that encourages existing self-supervised instance discriminative approaches to retain more fine-grained information from images, enriching their visual representation and allowing them to generalize to different medical tasks more effectively.
- (68) DiRA Improves Robustness to Small Data Regimes
- (69) Experimental setup: Further investigated through experimentation was the robustness of representations learned with DiRA in small data regimes to determine if the learned representation can serve as a proper foundation for fine-tuning. Random selections of 1%, 25%, and 50% of training data were taken from ChestX-ray14, CheXpert, and Montgomery, and then the experimental setup fine-tuned the self-supervised pre-trained models on these training data subsets. (70) FIG. 2A depicts Table 1 (element **201**) which details transfer learning under different downstream label fractions, in accordance with described embodiments.
- (71) As shown here, DiRA models combat overfitting in low data regimes and provide stronger representations for downstream tasks with limited annotated data. For each downstream task, the average performance is reported over multiple runs. The upward arrow symbol (↑) shows the improvement of DiRA models compared with the underlying discriminative method.
- (72) Results: As is set forth at Table 1 (refer to element **201** of FIG. **2**A), the DiRA pre-trained models outperform their counterparts' original methods in all subsets, 1%, 25%, and 50%, across ChestX-ray14, CheXpert, and Montgomery. In particular, the average of improvement for MoCov2 and SimSiam across all three downstream tasks in each underlying subset garnering: (1) 5.6% and 7% when using 1%, (2) 2.9% and 1.3% when using 25%, and (3) 2.2% and 1% when using 50%. As seen in 1%, DiRA outperforms its counterparts MoCo-v2 and SimSiam by a large margin, demonstrating the potential of the DiRA framework for combating overfitting in extreme low data regimes. Although the Barlow Twins is more resistant to low data regimes than the previous two approaches, the DiRA framework still improves its performance by 0.5%, 0.5%, and 0.6% on average across all three datasets when using 1%, 25%, and 50% of labeled data, respectively. In summary, the experimental results in the low-data regimes demonstrate the DiRA framework's superiority for providing more robust and transferable representations that can be harnessed for downstream tasks with limited amounts of data, thereby reducing annotation costs.
- (73) FIGS. **4**A, **4**B, and **4**C provide visualizations of Grad-CAM heatmaps for (a) MoCo-v2 vs. DiRA.sub.MoCo-v2, (b) Barlow Twins vs. DiRA.sub.BarlowTwins, and (c) SimSiam vs. DiRA.sub.SimSiam, in accordance with described embodiments.
- (74) The ground truth bounding box **405** annotations are shown via the bold rectangles overlaid onto the heatmaps. Training with DiRA leads to improvements in weakly-supervised disease localization. While both DiRA and underlying models predict the correct disease label on the test

images, DiRA models capture the diseased locations more precisely than the baselines which attune to larger regions of the image (e.g., refer to FIG. 4C, second row) or provide inaccurate localization with no overlap with the ground truth (e.g., refer to FIG. 4B, second row).

- (75) DiRA Improves Weakly-Supervised Localization:
- (76) Experimental setup: Benefits of the DiRA framework were investigated experimentally in a weakly supervised setting, comparing its applicability for localizing chest pathology to underlying discriminative methods. Given this goal, the ChestX-ray14 dataset was utilized which contains bounding box annotations for approximately 1,000 images. For training, models were initialized with the DiRA pre-trained models, and downstream models were trained using only image-level disease labels. Bounding boxes were only used as ground truth to evaluate disease localization accuracy in the testing phase. To generate heatmaps, Grad-CAM was leveraged. The heatmaps depicted at each of FIGS. 4A, 4B, and 4C indicate the spatial location of a particular thoracic disease.
- (77) Results: As seen in FIGS. **4**A, **4**B, and **4**C, the DiRA framework learns more fine-grained representations, enabling it to localize diseases more accurately. In particular, heatmaps generated by MoCo-v2, Barlow Twins, and SimSiam models are highly variable, whereas the DiRA models as described herein consistently achieve more robust and accurate localization results over each corresponding original method. Through the production of more interpretable activation maps, the DiRA framework demonstrates possible clinical potential for post-hoc interpretation by radiologists. Quantitative disease localization results are discussed in greater detail below (refer to the discussion below in the context of FIGS. **5**A, **5**B, and **5**C and also Table 5, as set forth at FIG. **2**E, element **205**, for details of each dataset).
- (78) DiRA Outperforms Fully-Supervised Baselines:
- (79) Experimental setup: Following the recent transfer learning benchmark in medical imaging, a comparison of the transferability of DiRA models was performed, pre-trained solely on unlabeled images from ChestX-ray14, with two fully-supervised representation learning approaches: (1) supervised ImageNet model, the most common transfer learning pipeline in medical imaging and (2) supervised model pretrained on ChestX-ray14, the upper-bound in-domain transfer learning baseline. The supervised baselines benefit from the same encoder as DiRA, namely ResNet-50. All pre-trained models were fine-tuned for 4 distinct medical applications ranging from target tasks on the source dataset to the tasks with comparatively significant domain-shifts in terms of data distribution and disease/object of interest.
- (80) FIG. **2**B depicts Table 2 (element **202**) which depicts a comparison with fully-supervised transfer learning, in accordance with described embodiments.
- (81) As shown here, DiRA models outperform fully-supervised pre-trained models on ImageNet and ChestX-ray14 in three downstream tasks. The best methods are bolded while the second best are underlined. The squared and non-squared upward arrows † and ‡ present the statistically significant (p<0.05) improvement compared with supervised ImageNet and ChestX-ray14 baselines, respectively, while the symbols * and + present the statistically equivalent performances accordingly. For the supervised ChestX-ray14 model, transfer learning to ChestXray14 is not applicable since pre-training and downstream tasks are the same, denoted by the dash symbol "-". (82) Results: As seen in Table 2 as set forth at FIG. 2B, element 202, the DiRA models achieve significantly better or on-par performance compared with both supervised ImageNet and ChestX-ray14 models across four downstream tasks. In particular, DiRA.sub.MoCo-v2 and DiRA.sub.Barlow Twins, outperforms both supervised baselines in CheXpert, SIIM-ACR, and Montgomery, respectively. Moreover, DiRA.sub.SimSiam outperforms the supervised ImageNet and the ChestX-ray14 pre-trained models in SIIMACR and Montgomery, respectively. These results indicate that the disclosed DiRA framework, with zero annotated data, is capable of providing more generic features for different medical tasks.
- (83) DiRA Sets a New State-of-the-Art for Self-Supervised Learning in 3D Medical Imaging:

- (84) Experimental setup: Further investigated was the effectiveness of the disclosed DiRA framework for enhancing restorative representation learning by applying DiRA to TransVW, the prior state-of-the-art SSL approach for 3D medical imaging. Specifically, TransVW was selected as representative of restorative self-supervised methods because it shows superior performance over discriminative, restorative only, and restorative and adversarial methods. Following a common evaluation pipeline, learned representations were evaluated by transfer learning to five common and challenging 3D downstream tasks, including classification (LUNA and PE-CAD) and segmentation (LIDC, LiTS, and BraTS).
- (85) FIG. **2**C depicts Table 3 (element **203**) which depicts a comparison with a restorative self-supervised method, in accordance with described embodiments.
- (86) As shown here, the DiRA platform and methodology as described herein is applied to the Trans VW methodology as the SOTA restorative self-supervised method. As shown, DiRA enhances TransVW by conserving more fine-grained details, resulting in performance boosts in four 3D downstream tasks.
- (87) Results: As seen in Table 3 as set forth at FIG. 2C, element 203, the DiRA framework consistently enhances TransVW across all downstream tasks. In particular, the DiRA framework improved Trans VW in LUNA, LIDC-IDRI, LiTS, and BraTS, and offers equivalent performance in PE-CAD. These results imply that by utilizing three learning components in tandem, image-based self-supervision approaches capture a more diverse visual representation that generalizes better to different downstream tasks.
- (88) Ablation study-Experimental setup: A thorough ablation study was further conducted to show experimentally how each component contributes to the DiRA framework. To do so, only the loss function of DiRA was varied. For each underlying self-supervised method, (e.g., such as MoCo-v2, Barlow Twins, and SimSiam, referred to as the base), the experiment started with the discrimination component and incrementally added the restorative learning component and the adversarial learning component. When all three components are unified, they represent the completed DiRA models as described herein. All models were pretrained on the ChestX-ray14 dataset and fine-tuned for four downstream tasks, including ChestX-ray14, CheXpert, SIIM-ACR, and Montgomery.
- (89) FIG. **2**D depicts Table 4 (element **204**) which depicts an ablation study on different components of DiRA, in accordance with described embodiments.
- (90) As shown here, the impact of each component of DiRA is studied, including discrimination, restoration, and adversary, in four downstream tasks. Adding restorative learning (L.sub.res) to discriminative learning leads to consistent performance improvements as depicted by the results annotated with the upward arrow symbol (↑). Furthermore, equipping models with adversarial learning (L.sub.adv) yields performance boosts across all tasks.
- (91) Results: Observations are drawn from the results as seen in Table 4 as set forth at FIG. 2D, element 204. Specifically observed are the following: Firstly, (1) expanding discriminative self-supervised methods by adding a restoration task consistently enhances the original methods. In particular, incorporating L.sub.res into training objectives of MoCo-v2, Barlow Twins, and SimSiam outperforms the corresponding original methods, with the exception of SimSiam in ChestXray14, which shows slight performance degradation. Note that this gap later compensates and results in an overall improvement after adding L.sub.adv, which signifies collaborative learning among restorative and adversary components through the use of the disclosed DiRA framework. Secondly, (2) the overall trend showcases the advantage of the adversarial discriminator when added to the restoration component, improving the performance of all methods in four downstream tasks. The experimental results shown here indicate that unifying the three components via the disclosed DiRA models significantly enhances the original self-supervised methods by retaining more fine-grained information from images.
- (92) FIGS. 5A, 5B, and 5C provide visualizations of Grad-CAM heatmaps examples for 8 thorax

diseases in each column, according to described embodiments.

- (93) The first row in each of FIGS. **5**A, **5**B, and **5**C represents the results for the original self-supervised method, while the second row represents the original method when adopted in the disclosed DiRA framework. The thick black boxes represent the localization ground truths. (94) Weakly-Supervised Localization:
- (95) Additional quantitative results and additional qualitative results for weakly-supervised localization are provided here, further to the discussion above. Specifically, the quantitative results provided by Table 5 as set forth at FIG. 2E, element 205, together with the qualitative results in Table 5 and FIG. 5, demonstrate the capability of the disclosed DiRA framework in learning fine-grained representations that can be used for more accurate pathology localization when just image-level annotations are available.
- (96) QUANTITATIVE—Experimental setup: Following a common protocol, applicability of the disclosed DiRA framework was quantitatively evaluated in a weakly supervised setting using ChestX-ray14 dataset. First, min-max normalization was used to normalize each heatmap; then the heatmaps were binarized by thresholding at {60, 180}, and bounding boxes were generated around the isolated regions. To evaluate localization accuracy, the intersection over union (IoU) was calculated between the generated and ground truth bounding boxes. According to accepted principals, a localization is correct when the bounding box prediction overlaps with the ground truth box with IoU $\geq \delta$. The accuracy of localization under various δ values was then evaluated, from 10% to 60%. Each method was run ten times and the average accuracy across all runs was reported. (97) FIG. **2**E depicts Table 5 (element **205**) which depicts weakly-supervised pathology localization accuracy under different IoU thresholds (δ), in accordance with described embodiments. (98) As shown here, the DiRA models provide stronger representations for pathology localization with only image-level annotations. For each method, the average performance is reported over ten runs. The results annotated by the upward arrow symbol (↑) show the improvement of DiRA models compared with the underlying discriminative method in each IoU threshold. (99) QUANTITATIVE-Results: The data at Table 5 (FIG. 2E, element 205), shows the pathology localization accuracy of the disclosed DiRA framework and the underlying discriminative models. As seen, in each of the six IoU thresholds, the DiRA models significantly outperform the corresponding discriminative models. In particular, the average of improvement for MoCo-v2, Barlow Twins, and SimSiam across all IoU thresholds is 2.38%, 5.4%, and 9.4%, respectively. (100) QUALITATIVE—Experimental setup: During training, the models were initialized using the DiRA pre-trained models, and downstream models were fine-tuned using only image-level disease labels. Heatmaps were used to approximate the spatial location of a particular thorax disease. The heatmaps were generated using Grad-CAM, which is a technique for highlighting the important regions in the image for predicting the pathology class.
- (101) QUALITATIVE—Results: The images shown at FIG. **5** present the visualizations of heatmaps generated by DiRA and the corresponding discriminative models for 8 thorax pathologies in ChestX-ray14 dataset. As shown here, DiRA models provide more accurate pathology localizations compared to the underlying discriminative methods. These results demonstrate the impact of restorative learning in providing fine-grained features that are useful for disease localization.
- (102) Datasets and Tasks:
- (103) Through experimentation, the disclosed DiRA framework was examined across a diverse suite of 9 downstream tasks, including classification and segmentation in X-ray, CT, and MRI modalities. In this section, the details of each dataset and the underlying task are provided, as well as the evaluation metric for each task.
- (104) ChestX-ray14: ChestX-ray14 is a large open-source dataset of pre-identified chest X-ray images. The dataset includes 112K chest images taken from 30K unique patients. The ground truth consists of a label space of 14 thorax diseases. The inventors used the official patient-wise split

released with the dataset, including 86K training images and 25K testing images. The models are trained to predict 14 pathologies in a multi-label classification setting. The mean AUC score over 14 diseases is used to evaluate the classification performance. In addition to image-level labels, ChestX-ray14 provides bounding box annotations for approximately 1,000 test images. Of this set of images, bounding box annotations are available for eight (8) out of 14 thorax diseases. During testing, bounding box annotations were used to assess the accuracy of pathology localization in a weakly-supervised setting. The mean accuracy over eight (8) diseases is used to evaluate the localization performance.

- (105) CheXpert: CheXpert is a hospital-scale publicly available dataset with 224K chest X-ray images taken from 65K unique patients. The inventors used the official data split released with the dataset, including 224K training and 234 test images. The ground truth for the training set includes 14 thoracic pathologies that were retrieved automatically from radiology reports. The testing set is labeled manually by board-certified radiologists for five (5) selected thoracic pathologies—Cardiomegaly, Edema, Consolidation, Atelectasis, and Pleural Effusion. The models are trained to predict five pathologies in a multi-label classification setting. The mean AUC score over five (5) diseases is used to evaluate the classification performance.
- (106) SIIM-ACR: This open dataset is provided by the Society for Imaging Informatics in Medicine (SIIM) and the American College of Radiology, including 10K chest X-ray images and pixel-wise segmentation mask for Pneumothorax disease. The dataset was randomly divided into training (80%) and testing (20%) sub-sets. The models are trained to segment pneumothorax from chest radiographic images (if present). The segmentation performance was measured by the mean Dice coefficient score.
- (107) NIH Montgomery: This publicly available dataset is provided by the Montgomery County's Tuberculosis screening program, including 138 chest X-ray images. There are 80 normal cases and 58 cases with Tuberculosis (TB) indications in this dataset. Moreover, ground truth segmentation masks for left and right lungs are provided. The dataset was randomly divided into a training set (80%) and a test set (20%). The models were trained to segment left and right lungs in chest scans. The segmentation performance was evaluated by the mean Dice score.
- (108) LUNA: This publicly available dataset consists of 888 lung CT scans with a slice thickness of less than 2.5 mm. The dataset was divided into training (445 cases), validation (178 cases), and test (265 cases) sets. The dataset provides a set of 5M candidate locations for lung nodule. Each location is labeled as true positive (1) or false positive (0). The models are trained to classify lung nodule candidates into true positives and false positives in a binary classification setting. The classification accuracy was evaluated by Area Under the Curve (AUC) score.
- (109) PE-CAD: This dataset includes 121 computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA) scans with a total of 326 pulmonary embolism (PE). The dataset provides a set of candidate locations for PE and is divided at the patient-level into training and test sets. Training set contains 434 true positive PE candidates and 3,406 false positive PE candidates. Test set contains 253 true positive PE candidates and 2,162 false positive PE candidates. The 3D scans were pre-processed. The 3D models were trained to classify PE candidates into true positives and false positives in a binary classification setting. The classification accuracy was evaluated by Area Under the Curve (AUC) score at candidate-level.
- (110) LIDC-IDRI: The Lung Image Database Consortium image collection (LIDC-IDRI) dataset is created by seven academic centers and eight medical imaging companies. The dataset includes 1,018 chest CT scans and marked-up annotated lung nodules. The dataset is divided into training (510), validation (100), and test (408) sets. The data was pre-processed by re-sampling the 3D volumes to 1-1-1 spacing and then extracting a 64×64×32 crop around each nodule. The models are trained to segment long nodules in these 3D crops. The segmentation accuracy is measured by the Intersection over Union (IoU) metric.
- (111) LiTS: The dataset is provided by MICCAI 2017 LiTS Challenge, including 130 CT scans

with expert ground-truth segmentation masks for liver and tumor lesions. The dataset was divided into training (100 patients), validation (15 patients), and test (15 patients) sets. The models were trained to segment liver in 3D scans. The segmentation accuracy was measured by the Intersection over Union (IoU) metric.

- (112) BraTS: The dataset includes brain MRI scans of 285 patients (210 HGG and 75 LGG) and segmentation ground truth for necrotic and non-enhancing tumor core, peritumoral edema, GD-enhancing tumor, and background. For each patient, four different MR volumes are available: native T1-weighted (T1), post-contrast T1-weighted (T1Gd), T2-weighted (T2), and T2 fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR). The dataset was divided at the patient-level into training (190 patients) and testing (95 patients) sets. The models were trained to segment brain tumors (background as negatives class and tumor sub-regions as positive class). The segmentation accuracy is measured by the Intersection over Union (IoU) metric.
- (113) IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS-Pre-training settings: DiRA was applied to four existing self-supervised methods. To be self-contained, each method is explained briefly here. Additional pre-training details that supplement the above section entitled "Pre-training protocol" are provided, as follows.
- (114) MoCo-v2: The proposed implementation adopts MoCo-v2—a popular representative of contrastive learning methods, into the described framework. MoCo leverages a momentum encoder to ensure the consistency of negative samples as they evolve during training. Moreover, a queue $K = \{k.sub.1, k.sub.2, \ldots k.sub.N\}$ is utilized to store the representations of negative samples. The discrimination task is to contrast representations of positive and negative samples. As MoCo-v2 is adopted into the DiRA framework, the encoder f.sub. θ and projection head h.sub. θ are updated by back-propagation, while f.sub. ϵ and h.sub. ϵ are updated by using an exponential moving average (EMA) of the parameters in f.sub. θ and h.sub. θ , respectively. The discrimination branch is trained using InfoNCE loss, which for a pair of positive samples x.sub.1 and x.sub.2, defined at equation (5), as follows:

(115)
$$\mathcal{L}_{dis} = -\log \frac{\exp(z_1 \cdot \text{Math. } z_2 / \tau)}{N \cdot \text{Math. } \exp(z_1 \cdot \text{Math. } k_n / \tau)}$$

where z.sub.1=h.sub.74 (f.sub. θ (x.sub.1)) and z.sub.2=h.sub. ϵ (f.sub. ϵ (x.sub.2)), t is a temperature hyperparameter, and N is the queue size. For the sake of these experiments, f.sub. θ is a standard ResNet-50 and h.sub. θ is a two-layer MLP head (hidden layer 2048-d, with ReLU). Moreover, when adopting MoCo-v2 in DiRA, f.sub. θ , h.sub. θ , and g.sub. θ , are optimized using SGD with an initial learning rate of 0.03, weight decay 0.0001, and the SGD momentum 0.9.

(116) SimSiam: The proposed implementation further adopts SimSiam—a popular representative of asymmetric instance discrimination methods, into the described framework. SimSiam trains the model without negative pairs and directly maximizes the similarity of two views from an image using a simple siamese network followed by a predictor head. To prevent collapsing solutions, a stop-gradient operation is utilized. As such, the model parameters are only updated using one distorted version of the input, while the representations from another distorted version are used as a fixed target. As SimSiam is adopted in DiRA, the encoder f.sub. θ and projection head h.sub. θ , share weights with f.sub. θ and h.sub. θ , respectively. The model is trained to maximize the agreement between the representations of positive samples using negative cosine similarity, defined at equation (6), as follows:

at equation (6), as follows: (117)
$$\mathcal{D}(z_1, y_2) = -\frac{z_1}{\text{.Math. } z_1 \text{ .Math. } 2}$$
 .Math. $\frac{y_2}{\text{.Math. } y_2 \text{ .Math. } 2}$

where z.sub.1=h.sub. θ (f.sub.74 (x.sub.1)) and y.sub.2=f.sub. ϵ (x.sub.2).

(118) The discrimination branch is trained using a symmetrized loss as set forth at equation (7), as follows:

(119)
$$\mathcal{L}_{dis} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}(z_1, \text{stopgrad}(y_2)) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}(z_2, \text{stopgrad}(y_1))$$

where stopgrad means that y.sub.2 is treated as a constant in this term. For the sake of these

experiments, f.sub. θ is a standard ResNet-50 and h.sub. θ is a three-layer projection MLP head (hidden layer 2048-d), followed by a two-layer predictor MLP head. Moreover, when adopting SimSiam in DiRA, f.sub. θ , h.sub. θ , and g.sub. θ , are optimized using SGD with a linear scaling learning rate (lr×BatchSize/256). The initial learning rate is 0.05, weight decay is 0.0001, and the SGD momentum is 0.9.

(120) Barlow Twins: Still further, the proposed implementation adopts Barlow Twins—a popular representative of redundancy reduction instance discrimination learning methods, into the novel DiRA framework. Barlow Twins makes the cross-correlation matrix computed from two siamese branches close to the identity matrix. By equating the diagonal elements of the cross-correlation matrix to 1, the representation will be invariant to the distortions applied to the samples. By equating the off-diagonal elements of the cross-correlation matrix to 0, the different vector components of the representation will be decorrelated, so that the output units contain nonredundant information about the sample. The discrimination loss is defined at equation (8), as follows:

(121)
$$\mathcal{L}_{dis} = .Math. (i - C_{ii})^2 + \lambda .Math. .Math. $C_{ij}^2$$$

where C is the cross-correlation matrix computed between the outputs of the h.sub.θ and h.sub.ε networks along the batch dimension. A is a coefficient that determines the importance of the invariance term and redundancy reduction term in the loss. For the sake of these experiments, f.sub. θ is a standard ResNet-50 and h.sub. θ is a three-layer MLP head. Moreover, when adopting Barlow Twins in DiRA, f.sub. θ , h.sub. θ , and g.sub. θ , are optimized using LARS optimizer with a learning rate schedule successfully utilized in other experiments.

(122) TransVW: TransVW defines the similar anatomical patterns within medical images as anatomical visual words, and combines the discrimination and restoration of visual words in a single loss objective. As TransVW is adopted in DiRA, the encoder f.sub. θ and projection head h.sub. θ are identical to f.sub. ε and h.sub. ε , respectively. In particular, the discrimination branch is trained to classify instances of visual words according to their pseudo class labels using the standard cross-entropy loss, as set forth at equation (9), as follows: (123) $\mathcal{L}_{\text{dis}} = -\frac{1}{B}$. Math. $\sum_{c=1}^{B} \log \mathcal{P}_{\text{bc}}$

(123)
$$\mathcal{L}_{dis} = -\frac{1}{B} \cdot \underset{b=1}{\text{Math.}} \cdot \underset{c=1}{\text{Math.}} y_{bc} \log \mathcal{P}_{bc}$$

where B denotes the batch size; C denotes the number of visual words classes; Y and P represent the ground truth (one-hot pseudo label vector obtained from visual word classes) and the prediction of h.sub. θ , respectively. For the sake of these experiments, 3D U-Net is used as the f.sub. θ and g.sub. θ . h.sub. θ includes a set of fully-connected layers followed by a classification head. The terms f.sub. θ and g.sub. θ are trained using similar setting as found to be successful in prior experiments.

(124) Joint training process: The overall pre-training was performed with the discrimination, restoration, and adversarial losses in a gradual evolutionary manner. First, the encoder f.sub. θ along with projector h.sub. θ are optimized using the discrimination loss L.sub.dis according to the learning schedule of the existing discriminative methods, empowering the model with an initial discrimination ability. Then, the restoration and adversarial losses are further fused into the training process incrementally. To stabilize the adversarial training process and reduce the noise from imperfect restoration at initial epochs, the process first warm up the f.sub. θ and g.sub. θ using the L.sub.dis+L.sub.res, and then add the adversarial loss L.sub.adv to jointly train the whole framework; the optimization of the framework by incorporation of L.sub.res and L.sub.adv takes up to 800 epochs. For the sake of these experiments, the early-stop technique was used on the validation set, and the checkpoints with the lowest validation loss were used for fine-tuning. (125) Implementation Details—Fine-Tuning Settings:

(126) Preprocessing and data augmentation: For the sake of these experiments, for 2D target tasks on X-ray datasets (ChestX-ray14, CheXpert, SIIM-ACR, and Montgomery), the images were resized to 224×224. For thorax diseases classification tasks on ChestX-ray14 and CheXpert, standard data augmentation techniques were applied, including random cropping and resizing, horizontal flipping, and rotating. For segmentation tasks on SIIM-ACR and Montgomery, random brightness contrast, random gamma, optical distortion, elastic transformation, and grid distortion were applied. For 3D target tasks, regular data augmentations were used including random flipping, transposing, rotating, and adding Gaussian noise.

- (127) Training parameters: The training objective is to optimize each downstream task with the best performing hyperparameters. In all 2D and 3D downstream tasks, Adam optimizer was used with β .sub.1=0.9, β .sub.2=0.999. An early-stop mechanism was implemented using the 10% of the training data as the validation set to avoid over-fitting. For 2D classification tasks on ChestX-ray14 and CheXpert datasets, a learning rate 2e-4 was used and ReduceLROnPlateau was used as the learning rate decay scheduler. For 2D segmentation tasks on SIIM-ACR and Montgomery, a learning rate 1e-3 was used and a cosine learning rate decay scheduler. For all 3D downstream tasks, ReduceLROnPlateau was used as the learning rate decay scheduler. For downstream tasks on LUNA, PECAD, LIDC, and LiTS, use a learning rate 1e-2 was used. For BraTS dataset, a learning rate of 1e-3 was used.
- (128) As discussed above, there are marked differences between medical and natural images. Medical imaging protocols are designed for defined clinical purposes by focusing on particular parts of the body, generating images of great similarity in anatomy across patients. For example, chest X-rays tend to look similar. By contrast, natural images, especially those in ImageNet, are largely object-centered, meaning objects of interest are at the center of the images with largely varying backgrounds. For instance, images for dogs and cats in the ImageNet dataset mostly have dogs and cats at the center, and their backgrounds may vary dramatically. Intuitively, these differences have impacts on the performance of discriminative learning, restorative learning, and adversarial learning applied to medical images and to natural images.
- (129) Discriminative learning (instance-based or cluster-based) utilizes encoders, by design, to collect features from whole images to cluster images from the same (pseudo) class and distinguish images from different (pseudo) classes; thereby it aims to learn high-level discriminative representations. Restorative learning aims to reconstruct original images from their distorted versions; naturally, it must focus on local visual patterns to enforce the model to conserve fine-grained information in images, leading to complementary representations when combined with discriminative learning. Adversarial learning aims to enhance the quality of reconstruction by restorative learning; as a result, it improves feature learning through restorative learning (refer again to Table 4 as set forth at FIG. 2D, element 204).
- (130) Consistent anatomical structures in medical images harbor rich semantics about human anatomy, thus restoring these anatomical structures offers a unique opportunity for deep semantic representation learning throughout entire images. However, natural images lack such patterns, and a learning method may distinguish natural images by using features extracted from backgrounds. Intuitively, features from backgrounds are not reliable as those from objects of interest. Therefore, it is believed that this is the reason behind the remarkable performance gain from restorative and adversarial learning for medical images.
- (131) Based on the analysis of the fundamental differences in performance between discriminative and generative SSL methods in computer vision and in medical imaging, the DiRA framework as described herein, provides a new and beneficial SSL framework, by uniting discriminative, restorative, and adversary learning in a unified manner to glean complementary visual information from unlabeled data for fine-grained representation learning. It is further demonstrated that such a framework considerably improves the capabilities of self-supervised learning methods in (a) transfer learning across organs, diseases, and modalities, (b) robustness in small data regimes, and (c) fine-grained lesion localization using only image-level annotation.
- (132) One important contribution of the disclosed DiRA framework and complementary

experiments arises from the insights gained into the synergy of these three SSL approaches for collaborative learning. Given DiRA's generalizability, it is envisioned that the DiRA framework will facilitate a fundamental step towards developing universal representations for medical imaging. While the experimental results described herein focused on medical imaging, it is nevertheless envisioned that the disclosed DiRA framework will also provide outstanding performance for vision tasks that demand fine-grained details.

- (133) FIG. **6** shows a diagrammatic representation of a system **601** within which embodiments may operate, be installed, integrated, or configured. In accordance with one embodiment, there is a system **601** having at least a processor **690** and a memory **695** therein to execute implementing application code **696**. Such a system **601** may communicatively interface with and cooperatively execute with the benefit of remote systems, such as a user device sending instructions and data, a user device to receive as an output from the system **601** an especially pre-trained DiRA framework model **643** configured for medical diagnosis tasks on the basis of training data **638**, distorted images **640** and augmented patches **641** from the original images. Further depicted is the pre-trained DiRA framework model **643** having been pre-trained using a combination of discriminative, restorative, and adversarial learning processes via which to process new medical images which form no part of the training data upon which the DiRA framework was trained. (134) According to the depicted embodiment, the system **601**, includes a processor **690** and the
- (134) According to the depicted embodiment, the system **601**, includes a processor **690** and the memory **695** to execute instructions at the system **601**. The system **601** as depicted here is specifically customized and configured to systematically generate the pre-trained DiRA Framework Model **643** which is generated as output to predict the presence or absence of a disease within a new medical image.
- (135) According to a particular embodiment, there is a specially configured system **601** which is custom configured to generate the pre-trained DiRA framework model 643 through the combined use of discriminative, restorative, and adversarial learning techniques. According to such an embodiment, the system **601** includes: a memory **695** to store instructions via executable application code **696**; a processor **690** to execute the instructions stored in the memory **695**; in which the system **601** is specially configured to execute the instructions stored in the memory via the processor which causes the system to receive training data 638 having a plurality of medical images therein at a framework for self-supervised medical image analysis via the application of a discriminative learning branch 661, a restorative learning branch 662, and an adversarial learning branch **663** of the depicted DiRA framework **665**. For instance, the learning branch **662** is configured to restore and output the synthesized restorative image **693** as output which is gen evaluated by the adversarial learning branch **663** to determine if it is a realistic reproduction of a real training image. The system is further configured to crop two patches from the plurality of medical images to generate two cropped patches **639**. Further operations of the system include inputting the two cropped patches **639** into the restorative learning branch **662** form which the synthesized images (**691** and **692**) are generated.
- (136) The discriminative learning branch generates "discriminative latent features" from input images. Specifically, the discriminative learning branch **661** performs operations including: (i) receiving the two cropped patches **639**, (ii) augmenting each of the two cropped patches via the image augmentation algorithms **650** to generate two augmented patches **641**, and (iii) generating latent features from the two augmented patches **641** by training an encoder of the discriminative learning branch **661** to maximize agreement between instances of same classes in latent space via a discriminative loss function.
- (137) The system **601** further generates a synthesized restorative image **692** from the restorative learning branch **662** by: (i) receiving the two cropped patches **639**, (ii) distorting each of the two cropped patches via the image distortion algorithm **684** to generate two distorted patches **640**, (iii) training an encoder and decoder of the restorative learning branch **662** to map the two distorted patches **640** back to the two cropped patches **639** by minimizing a distance, at a pixel-level,

- between each original one of the two cropped patches **639** and a restored image generated by the restorative learning branch **662** corresponding to one of the medical images **638** from the training data or corresponding to one of the cropped patches **640** prior to having been distorted or augmented. The system then proceeds to output the synthesized restorative image **692** from the trained encoder and decoder of the restorative learning branch **662**.
- (138) Further processing by the system includes the application of adversarial learning. In particular, the adversarial branch distinguishes the synthesized images generated by the restorative learning branch and the real images from the training data by executing an adversarial discriminator to perform a min-max function.
- (139) The system then outputs a pre-trained model **643** of the framework **665** based on the training of the discriminative learning branch and the training of the restorative learning branch and the training of the adversarial learning branch.
- (140) According to another embodiment of the system **601**, a user interface **626** communicably interfaces with a user client device remote from the system and communicatively interfaces with the system via a public Internet.
- (141) Bus **616** interfaces the various components of the system **601** amongst each other, with any other peripheral(s) of the system **601**, and with external components such as external network elements, other machines, client devices, the database system storage **645**, cloud computing services, etc. Communications may further include communicating with external devices via a network interface over a LAN, WAN, or the public Internet.
- (142) FIGS. 7A and 7B depict flow diagrams illustrating a method **700** and **701** for implementing Discriminative, Restorative, and Adversarial (DiRA) learning for self-supervised medical image analysis, in the context of processing of medical imaging. Method **700** and **701** may be performed by processing logic that may include hardware (e.g., circuitry, dedicated logic, programmable logic, microcode, etc.), software (e.g., instructions run on a processing device) to perform various operations such as designing, defining, retrieving, parsing, persisting, exposing, loading, executing, operating, receiving, generating, storing, maintaining, creating, returning, presenting, interfacing, communicating, transmitting, querying, processing, providing, determining, triggering, displaying, updating, sending, etc., in pursuance of the systems and methods as described herein. For example, the system **601** (see FIG. **6**) and the machine **801** (see FIG. **8**) and the other supporting systems and components as described herein may implement the described methodologies. Some of the blocks and/or operations listed below are optional in accordance with certain embodiments. The numbering of the blocks presented is for the sake of clarity and is not intended to prescribe an order of operations in which the various blocks must occur.
- (143) With reference to the method **700** depicted at FIG. **7**A, there is a method performed by a system specially configured for systematically implementing a DiRA Framework for learning for self-supervised medical image analysis, in accordance with disclosed embodiments. Such a system may be configured with at least a processor and a memory to execute specialized instructions which cause the system to perform the following operations:
- (144) At block **705**, processing logic of such a system receives training data having a plurality of medical images therein at a framework for self-supervised medical image analysis via the application of a discriminative learning branch, a restorative learning branch, and an adversarial learning branch of the framework.
- (145) At block **710**, processing logic crops two patches from the plurality of medical images to generate two cropped patches.
- (146) At block **715**, processing logic inputs the two cropped patches into the discriminative learning branch and into the restorative learning branch to generate discriminative latent features and synthesized images from them, respectively.
- (147) At block **720**, the discriminative learning branch generates "high-level latent features" from input images by: (i) receiving the two cropped patches, (ii) augmenting each of the two cropped

patches to generate two augmented patches, (iii) generating the latent features from the two augmented patches, and training an encoder of the discriminative learning branch to maximize agreement between instances of same classes in latent space via a discriminative loss function. (148) With reference to the method **701** depicted at FIG. 7B, the method continues from the flow diagram as presented at FIG. 7A, with processing logic at block **725** generating a synthesized restorative image from the restorative learning branch by: (i) receiving the two cropped patches, (ii) distorting each of the two cropped patches to generate two distorted patches, (iii) training an encoder and decoder of the restorative learning branch to map the two distorted patches back to the two cropped patches by minimizing a distance, at a pixel-level, between each original one of the two cropped patches and a restored image generated by the restorative learning branch and (iv) outputting the synthesized restorative image from the trained encoder and decoder of the restorative learning branch.

- (149) At block **730**, processing logic applies adversarial learning via the adversarial learning branch of the framework. In particular, the adversarial branch distinguishes the synthesized images generated by the restorative learning branch and the real images from the training data by executing an adversarial discriminator to perform a min-max function.
- (150) At block **735**, processing logic outputs a pre-trained model of the framework based on the training of the discriminative learning branch and the training of the restorative learning branch and the training of the adversarial learning branch.
- (151) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, the framework implements a Discriminative, Restorative, and Adversarial learning framework (DiRA framework) for applying the pre-trained model of the framework to diagnosis and detection of a new medical image which forms no part of the training data received by the framework.
- (152) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, the pre-trained model of the framework is to render a prediction as to presence or absence of a disease within the new medical image and output the prediction as a predictive medical diagnosis for a medical patient.
- (153) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, cropping the two patches from the plurality of medical images incudes either (i) cropping both of the two patches from a single one of the plurality of medical images received; or alternatively, (ii) cropping one of the two patches from a first image and a second of the two patches from a second image, among the plurality of medical images received.
- (154) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, the synthesized images which are provided as output from the restorative branch are provided as input to the adversarial branch along with the real images from the training data being provided as input to the adversarial branch. The adversarial branch then operates to distinguish the synthesized images from the real images.
- (155) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, augmenting each of the two patches at the discriminative learning branch includes applying an augmentation function $T(\cdot)$ to generate the two augmented patches at the discriminative learning branch.
- (156) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, applying the discriminative learning via the discriminative learning branch comprises processing the two augmented patches at the discriminative learning branch through encoder networks f.sub. θ and f.sub. θ of the discriminative learning branch configured for generating latent features y.sub.1=f.sub. θ (T(x.sub.1)) and y.sub.2=f.sub. θ (T(x.sub.2)).
- (157) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, applying the discriminative learning via the discriminative learning branch further comprises projecting the latent features generated to a unit sphere via projection heads h.sub. θ and h.sub. ϵ of the discriminative learning branch configured for outputting projections z.sub.1=h.sub.74 (y.sub.1) and z.sub.2=h.sub. ϵ (y.sub.2). (158) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, the two cropped patches received as
- input at each of the discriminative learning branch and the restorative learning branch are received as identical inputs.

- (159) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, the discriminative learning branch includes: an augmentation function $T(\cdot)$ to generate the augmented patches via perturbation; twin encoders f.sub. θ and f.sub. ϵ configured to generate the latent features; and projectors h.sub. θ and h.sub. ϵ configured to project the latent features to a unit sphere and provide as output projections derived from the latent features.
- (160) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, the restorative learning branch includes: an encoder f.sub. θ and decoder g.sub. θ configured for mapping the augmented patches distorted by the augmentation function back to an original image via f.sub. θ , g.sub. θ :(x,T) custom characterx; wherein the encoder f.sub. θ of the restorative learning branch is a shared encoder, shared with the discriminative learning branch; and wherein the encoder f.sub. θ and decoder g.sub. θ comprise an encoder/decoder network trained by maximizing a distance at pixel-level between (i) an original sample corresponding to one of the cropped patches prior to processing by the augmentation function and (ii) a restored image generated by the restorative learning branch.
- (161) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, generating the discriminative latent features by training an encoder of the discriminative learning branch to maximize agreement between instances of a same class in a latent space via a discriminative loss function, includes one of: considering every single image amongst the plurality of medical images as a class using instance discrimination; or clustering images amongst the plurality of medical images based on a similarity metric using cluster discrimination.
- (162) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, the two patches cropped and inputted into the discriminative learning branch include two distinct views of one identical image or two different samples from an identical cluster of images.
- (163) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, generating the discriminative latent features from the discriminative learning branch includes: instantiating an L.sub.dis function utilizing one or more of cross-entropy discrimination learning, contrastive learning, redundancy reduction learning.
- (164) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, the L.sub.dis function is configurable by an end-user to select different types of discrimination tasks without constraint via the discrimination learning branch.
- (165) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, the restorative learning branch further generates fine-grained visual information at the restorative learning branch to supplement the latent features generated by the discriminative learning branch.
- (166) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, the adversarial learning branch reinforces a common network encoder shared by the discriminative learning branch and the restorative learning branch by jointly optimizing the common network encoder using the adversarial loss function of the adversarial learning branch to distinguish whether the synthesized restorative image output by the restorative learning branch is a realistic reproduction of a real training image.
- (167) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, the discriminative learning branch augments each of the two cropped patches via an image augmentation function $T(\cdot)$ which includes one or more of random horizontal flipping, color jittering, and Gaussian blurring of the two cropped patches.
- (168) According to another embodiment of method **700-701**, each of the two cropped patches are further distorted by applying cutout and shuffling operations on the two cropped patches to make the restorative learning more challenging.
- (169) According to a particular embodiment, there is a non-transitory computer-readable storage medium having instructions stored thereupon that, when executed by a system having at least a processor and a memory therein, the instructions cause the processor to perform operations including: receiving training data having a plurality of medical images therein at a framework for

self-supervised medical image analysis via the application of a discriminative learning branch, a restorative learning branch, and an adversarial learning branch of the framework; cropping two patches from the plurality of medical images to generate two cropped patches; inputting the two cropped patches into the discriminative learning branch and into the restorative learning branch to generate discriminative latent features and synthesized images from them, respectively; generating discriminative latent features from the discriminative learning branch by: (i) receiving the two cropped patches, (ii) augmenting each of the two cropped patches to generate two augmented patches, (iii) generating latent features from the two augmented patches by training an encoder of the discriminative learning branch to maximize agreement between instances of same classes in latent space via a discriminative loss function; generating a synthesized restorative image from the restorative learning branch by: (i) receiving the two cropped patches, (ii) distorting each of the two cropped patches to generate two distorted patches, (iii) training an encoder and decoder of the restorative learning branch to map the two distorted patches back to the two cropped patches by minimizing a distance, at a pixel-level, between each original one of the two cropped patches and a restored image generated by the restorative learning branch and (iv) outputting the synthesized restorative image from the trained encoder and decoder of the restorative learning branch; applying adversarial learning via the adversarial learning branch of the framework by executing an adversarial discriminator to perform a min-max function for distinguishing the synthesized restorative image output by the restorative learning branch from the plurality of medical images according to an adversarial loss function; and outputting a pre-trained model of the framework based on the training of the discriminative learning branch and the training of the restorative learning branch and the training of the adversarial learning branch.

(170) FIG. **8** illustrates a diagrammatic representation of a machine **801** in the exemplary form of a computer system, in accordance with one embodiment, within which a set of instructions, for causing the machine/computer system to perform any one or more of the methodologies discussed herein, may be executed.

(171) In alternative embodiments, the machine may be connected (e.g., networked) to other machines in a Local Area Network (LAN), an intranet, an extranet, or the public Internet. The machine may operate in the capacity of a server or a client machine in a client-server network environment, as a peer machine in a peer-to-peer (or distributed) network environment, as a server or series of servers within an on-demand service environment. Certain embodiments of the machine may be in the form of a personal computer (PC), a tablet PC, a set-top box (STB), a Personal Digital Assistant (PDA), a cellular telephone, a web appliance, a server, a network router, switch or bridge, computing system, or any machine capable of executing a set of instructions (sequential or otherwise) that specify and mandate the specifically configured actions to be taken by that machine pursuant to stored instructions. Further, while only a single machine is illustrated, the term "machine" shall also be taken to include any collection of machines (e.g., computers) that individually or jointly execute a set (or multiple sets) of instructions to perform any one or more of the methodologies discussed herein.

(172) The exemplary machine **801** includes a processor **802**, a main memory **804** (e.g., read-only memory (ROM), flash memory, dynamic random access memory (DRAM) such as synchronous DRAM (SDRAM) or Rambus DRAM (RDRAM), etc., static memory such as flash memory, static random access memory (SRAM), volatile but high-data rate RAM, etc.), and a secondary memory **818** (e.g., a persistent storage device including hard disk drives and a persistent database and/or a multi-tenant database implementation), which communicate with each other via a bus **830**. Main memory **804** includes instructions for executing the various components of the DiRA Framework **899** as described herein, including the discriminative learning branch **824**, the restorative learning branch **823**, and the adversarial learning branch **825**, in support of the methodologies and techniques described herein. Main memory **804** and its sub-elements are further operable in conjunction with processing logic **826** and processor **802** to perform the methodologies discussed

herein.

(173) Processor **802** represents one or more specialized and specifically configured processing devices such as a microprocessor, central processing unit, or the like. More particularly, the processor **802** may be a complex instruction set computing (CISC) microprocessor, reduced instruction set computing (RISC) microprocessor, very long instruction word (VLIW) microprocessor, processor implementing other instruction sets, or processors implementing a combination of instruction sets. Processor **802** may also be one or more special-purpose processing devices such as an application-specific integrated circuit (ASIC), a field programmable gate array (FPGA), a digital signal processor (DSP), network processor, or the like. Processor **802** is configured to execute the processing logic **826** for performing the operations and functionality which is discussed herein.

(174) The machine **801** may further include a network interface card **808**. The machine **801** also may include a user interface **810** (such as a video display unit, a liquid crystal display, etc.), an alphanumeric input device 812 (e.g., a keyboard), a cursor control device 813 (e.g., a mouse), and a signal generation device **816** (e.g., an integrated speaker). The machine **801** or computer system may further include peripheral device 836 (e.g., wireless or wired communication devices, memory devices, storage devices, audio processing devices, video processing devices, etc.). (175) The secondary memory **818** may include a non-transitory machine-readable storage medium or a non-transitory computer readable storage medium or a non-transitory machine-accessible storage medium **831** on which is stored one or more sets of instructions (e.g., software **822**) embodying any one or more of the methodologies or functions described herein. The software 822 may also reside, completely or at least partially, within the main memory 804 and/or within the processor **802** during execution thereof by the machine **801**, the main memory **804** and the processor **802** also constituting machine-readable storage media. The software **822** may further be transmitted or received over a network 820 via the network interface card 808. (176) While the subject matter disclosed herein has been described by way of example and in terms of the specific embodiments, it is to be understood that the claimed embodiments are not limited to the explicitly enumerated embodiments disclosed. To the contrary, the disclosure is intended to cover various modifications and similar arrangements as are apparent to those skilled in the art. Therefore, the scope of the appended claims is to be accorded the broadest interpretation so as to encompass all such modifications and similar arrangements. It is to be understood that the above description is intended to be illustrative, and not restrictive. Many other embodiments will be apparent to those of skill in the art upon reading and understanding the above description. The scope of the disclosed subject matter is therefore to be determined in reference to the appended claims, along with the full scope of equivalents to which such claims are entitled.

Claims

1. A system comprising: a memory to store instructions; a set of one or more processors; a non-transitory machine-readable storage medium that provides instructions that, when executed by the set of one or more processors, the instructions stored in the memory are configurable to cause the system to perform operations comprising: receiving training data having a plurality of medical images therein at a framework for self-supervised medical image analysis via the application of a discriminative learning branch, a restorative learning branch, and an adversarial learning branch of the framework; cropping two patches from the plurality of medical images to generate two cropped patches; inputting the two cropped patches into the discriminative learning branch and into the restorative learning branch to generate discriminative latent features and synthesized images from them, respectively; generating discriminative latent features from the discriminative learning branch by: (i) receiving the two cropped patches, (ii) augmenting each of the two cropped patches to generate two augmented patches, (iii) generating latent features from the two augmented patches

by training an encoder of the discriminative learning branch to maximize agreement between instances of same classes in latent space via a discriminative loss function; generating a synthesized restorative image from the restorative learning branch by: (i) receiving the two cropped patches, (ii) distorting each of the two cropped patches to generate two distorted patches, (iii) training an encoder and decoder of the restorative learning branch to map the two distorted patches back to the two cropped patches by minimizing a distance, at a pixel-level, between each original one of the two cropped patches and a restored image generated by the restorative learning branch and (iv) outputting the synthesized restorative image from the trained encoder and decoder of the restorative learning branch; applying adversarial learning via the adversarial learning branch of the framework by executing an adversarial discriminator to perform a min-max function for distinguishing the synthesized restorative image output by the restorative learning branch from the plurality of real medical images according to an adversarial loss function; and outputting a pre-trained model of the framework based on the training of the discriminative learning branch and the training of the restorative learning branch and the training of the adversarial learning branch.

- 2. The system of claim 1, wherein the framework for self-supervised medical image analysis via the application of the discriminative learning branch, the restorative learning branch, and the adversarial learning branch comprises: implementing a Discriminative, Restorative, and Adversarial learning framework (DiRA framework) for applying the pre-trained model of the framework to diagnosis and detection of a new medical image which forms no part of the training data received by the framework; wherein the pre-trained model of the framework is to render a prediction as to presence or absence of a disease within the new medical image or to segment lesion or organs within medical images; and outputting the prediction or segmentation as a predictive medical diagnosis for a medical patient.
- 3. The system of claim 1, wherein cropping the two patches from the plurality of medical images comprises one of: cropping the two patches from one of the plurality of medical images received; or cropping the two patches from two different images within the plurality of medical images received.
- 4. The system of claim 1, further comprising: iteratively cropping two patches from the plurality of medical images received; iteratively inputting the two additional patches cropped from the plurality of medical images received as inputs into the discriminative learning branch and the restorative learning branch to generate discriminative latent features and new synthesized restorative images as output from them, respectively; and iteratively applying the adversarial learning via the adversarial learning branch to the new synthesized restorative images generated as output from the restorative learning branch to determine whether they are similar to the real medical images.
- 5. The system of claim 1, wherein augmenting each of the two patches at the discriminative learning branch comprises applying an augmentation function $T(\cdot)$ to generate the two augmented patches at the discriminative learning branch.
- 6. The system of claim 1, wherein applying the discriminative learning via the discriminative learning branch comprises processing the two augmented patches at the discriminative learning branch through encoder networks f.sub. θ and f.sub. ϵ of the discriminative learning branch configured for generating latent features y.sub. θ 1=f.sub. θ 4 (T(x.sub. θ 1)) and y.sub. θ 5=f.sub. θ 6(T(x.sub. θ 2)).
- 7. The system of claim 1, wherein applying the discriminative learning via the discriminative learning branch further comprises projecting the latent features generated to a unit sphere via projection heads h.sub. θ and h.sub. θ of the discriminative learning branch configured for outputting projections z.sub.1=h.sub. θ (y.sub.1) and z.sub.2=h.sub. ϵ (y.sub.2).
- 8. The system of claim 1, wherein the two cropped patches received as input at each of the discriminative learning branch and the restorative learning branch are received as identical inputs.
- 9. The system of claim 1, wherein the discriminative learning branch comprises: an augmentation function $T(\cdot)$ to generate the augmented patches via perturbation; twin encoders f.sub. θ and f.sub. θ

configured to generate the latent features by maximizing agreement between high-level embedding vectors of samples from a same class; and projectors h.sub. θ and h.sub. ε configured to project the latent features to a unit sphere and provide as output projections derived from the latent features. 10. The system of claim 1, wherein the restorative learning branch comprises: an encoder f.sub. θ and decoder g.sub. θ configured for mapping the restorative augmented patches distorted by the augmentation function back to an original image via f.sub. θ , g.sub. θ :(x,T) custom characterx; wherein the encoder f.sub. θ of the restorative learning branch is a shared encoder, shared with the discriminative learning branch; and wherein the encoder f.sub. θ and decoder g.sub.74 comprise an encoder/decoder network trained by maximizing a distance at pixel-level between (i) an original sample corresponding to one of the cropped patches prior to processing by the augmentation function and (ii) a restored image generated by the restorative learning branch.

- 11. The system of claim 1, wherein generating the discriminative latent features by training an encoder of the discriminative learning branch to maximize agreement between instances of a same class in a latent space via a discriminative loss function, comprises one of: considering every single image amongst the plurality of medical images as a class using instance discrimination; or clustering images amongst the plurality of medical images based on a similarity metric using cluster discrimination.
- 12. The system of claim 11, wherein the two patches cropped and inputted into the discriminative learning branch comprise two distinct views of one identical image or two different samples from an identical cluster of images.
- 13. The system of claim 1, wherein generating the discriminative latent features from the discriminative learning branch comprises: instantiating an L.sub.dis function utilizing one or more of cross-entropy discrimination learning, contrastive learning, and redundancy reduction learning; and wherein the L.sub.dis function is configurable by an end-user to select different types of discrimination tasks without constraint via the discrimination learning branch.
- 14. The system of claim 1, wherein the restorative learning branch further generates fine-grained visual information at the restorative learning branch to supplement the latent features generated by the discriminative learning branch.
- 15. The system of claim 1, wherein the adversarial learning branch reinforces a common network encoder shared by the discriminative learning branch and the restorative learning branch by jointly optimizing the common network encoder using the adversarial loss function of the adversarial learning branch to distinguish the synthesized restorative image output by the restorative learning branch from real medical images.
- 16. The system of claim 1: wherein the discriminative learning branch augments each of the two cropped patches via an image augmentation function $T(\cdot)$ which includes one or more of random horizontal flipping, color jittering, and Gaussian blurring of the two cropped patches; and wherein each of the two cropped patches are further distorted by applying cutout and shuffling operations.
- 17. A computer-implemented method executed by a system having at least a processor and a memory therein, wherein the method comprises: receiving training data having a plurality of medical images therein at a framework for self-supervised medical image analysis via the application of a discriminative learning branch, a restorative learning branch, and an adversarial learning branch of the framework; cropping two patches from the plurality of medical images to generate two cropped patches; inputting the two cropped patches into the discriminative learning branch and into the restorative learning branch to generate discriminative latent features and synthesized images from them, respectively; generating discriminative latent features from the discriminative learning branch by: (i) receiving the two cropped patches, (ii) augmenting each of the two cropped patches to generate two augmented patches, (iii) generating latent features from the two augmented patches by training an encoder of the discriminative learning branch to maximize agreement between instances of same classes in latent space via a discriminative loss function; generating a synthesized restorative image from the restorative learning branch by: (i)

receiving the two cropped patches, (ii) distorting each of the two cropped patches to generate two distorted patches, (iii) training an encoder and decoder of the restorative learning branch to map the two distorted patches back to the two cropped patches by minimizing a distance, at a pixel-level, between each original one of the two cropped patches and a restored image generated by the restorative learning branch and (iv) outputting the synthesized restorative image from the trained encoder and decoder of the restorative learning branch; applying adversarial learning via the adversarial learning branch of the framework by executing an adversarial discriminator to perform a min-max function for distinguishing the synthesized restorative image output by the restorative learning branch from the plurality of real medical images according to an adversarial loss function; and outputting a pre-trained model of the framework based on the training of the discriminative learning branch and the training of the restorative learning branch and the training of the adversarial learning branch.

- 18. The computer-implemented method of claim 17, wherein the framework for self-supervised medical image analysis via the application of the discriminative learning branch, the restorative learning branch, and the adversarial learning branch comprises: implementing a Discriminative, Restorative, and Adversarial learning framework (DiRA framework) for applying the pre-trained model of the framework to diagnosis and detection of a new medical image which forms no part of the training data received by the framework; wherein the pre-trained model of the framework is to render a prediction as to presence or absence of a disease within the new medical image or to segment lesion or organs within the new medical images; and outputting the prediction as a predictive medical diagnosis for a medical patient.
- 19. Non-transitory computer readable storage media having instructions stored thereupon that, when executed by a system having at least a processor and a memory therein, the instructions cause the processor to perform operations including: receiving training data having a plurality of medical images therein at a framework for self-supervised medical image analysis via the application of a discriminative learning branch, a restorative learning branch, and an adversarial learning branch of the framework; cropping two patches from the plurality of medical images to generate two cropped patches; inputting the two cropped patches into the discriminative learning branch and into the restorative learning branch to generate discriminative latent features and synthesized images from each, respectively; generating discriminative latent features from the discriminative learning branch by: (i) receiving the two cropped patches, (ii) augmenting each of the two cropped patches to generate two augmented patches, (iii) generating latent features from the two augmented patches by training an encoder of the discriminative learning branch to maximize agreement between instances of same classes in latent space via a discriminative loss function; generating a synthesized restorative image from the restorative learning branch by: (i) receiving the two cropped patches, (ii) distorting each of the two cropped patches to generate two distorted patches, (iii) training an encoder and decoder of the restorative learning branch to map the two distorted patches back to the two cropped patches by minimizing a distance, at a pixel-level, between each original one of the two cropped patches and a restored image generated by the restorative learning branch and (iv) outputting the synthesized restorative image from the trained encoder and decoder of the restorative learning branch; applying adversarial learning via the adversarial learning branch of the framework by executing an adversarial discriminator to perform a min-max function for distinguishing the synthesized restorative image output by the restorative learning branch from the plurality of real medical images according to an adversarial loss function; and outputting a pre-trained model of the framework based on the training of the discriminative learning branch and the training of the restorative learning branch and the training of the adversarial learning branch.

20. The non-transitory computer readable storage media of claim 19, wherein the framework for self-supervised medical image analysis via the application of the discriminative learning branch, the restorative learning branch, and the adversarial learning branch comprises: implementing a Discriminative, Restorative, and Adversarial learning framework (DiRA framework) for applying

the pre-trained model of the framework to diagnosis and detection of a new medical image which forms no part of the training data received by the framework; wherein the pre-trained model of the framework is to render a prediction as to presence or absence of a disease within the new medical image or to segment lesion or organs within new medical images; and outputting the prediction as a predictive medical diagnosis for a medical patient.