

# US Patent & Trademark Office

## Patent Public Search | Text View

---

United States Patent Application Publication

20250256371

Kind Code

A1

Publication Date

August 14, 2025

Inventor(s)

Xu; Kun et al.

---

### ENDPOINT CONTROL FOR INCONSISTENT UNDERLAYER

---

#### Abstract

A substrate is monitored during polishing with an in-situ monitoring system so as to generate a sequence of signal values. The sequence of signal values from the zone is converted into a sequence of effective thickness values for the zone with each effective thickness value including contributions of the outer layer and the one or more underlying layers. A function is fit to the sequence of effective thickness values, an effective starting thickness value for the layer at a start of polishing is determined using the fitted function, and an adjusted target thickness value is calculated based on an initial target value, a starting thickness value, and the effective starting thickness value. A polishing endpoint is detected or a polishing parameter is modified based on the sequences of effective thickness values and the adjusted target thickness value.

---

**Inventors:** Xu; Kun (Sunol, CA), Cherian; Benjamin (San Jose, CA), Lee; Harry Q. (Los Altos, CA), Wu; Yongneng (Santa Clara, CA), Choi; Heejun (Santa Clara, CA), Qian; Jun (Sunnyvale, CA), Ying; Yixin (Santa Clara, CA), Gage; David Maxwell (Sunnyvale, CA)

**Applicant:** Applied Materials, Inc. (Santa Clara, CA)

**Family ID:** 1000007722810

**Appl. No.:** 18/440311

**Filed:** February 13, 2024

---

#### Publication Classification

**Int. Cl.:** B24B37/013 (20120101); B24B49/10 (20060101)

**U.S. Cl.:**

**CPC** B24B37/013 (20130101); B24B49/105 (20130101);

---

## Background/Summary

### TECHNICAL FIELD

[0001] The present disclosure relates to chemical mechanical polishing, and more specifically to monitoring of a conductive layer during chemical mechanical polishing.

### BACKGROUND

[0002] An integrated circuit is typically formed on a substrate by the sequential deposition of conductive, semiconductive, or insulative layers on a silicon wafer. A variety of fabrication processes require planarization of a layer on the substrate. For example, one fabrication step involves depositing a filler layer over a non-planar surface and planarizing the filler layer. For certain applications, the filler layer is planarized until the top surface of a patterned layer is exposed. For example, a metal layer can be deposited on a patterned insulative layer to fill the trenches and holes in the insulative layer. After planarization, the remaining portions of the metal in the trenches and holes of the patterned layer form vias, plugs, and lines to provide conductive paths between thin film circuits on the substrate.

[0003] Chemical mechanical polishing (CMP) is one accepted method of planarization. This planarization method typically requires that the substrate be mounted on a carrier head. The exposed surface of the substrate is typically placed against a rotating polishing pad. The carrier head provides a controllable load on the substrate to push it against the polishing pad. Polishing slurry with abrasive particles is typically supplied to the surface of the polishing pad.

[0004] One problem in CMP is determining whether the polishing process is complete, i.e., whether a substrate layer has been planarized to a desired flatness or thickness, or when a desired amount of material has been removed. Variations in the slurry composition, the polishing pad condition, the relative speed between the polishing pad and the substrate, the initial thickness of the substrate layer, and the load on the substrate can cause variations in the material removal rate. These variations cause variations in the time needed to reach the polishing endpoint. Therefore, determining the polishing endpoint merely as a function of polishing time can lead to non-uniformity within a wafer or from wafer to wafer.

[0005] In some systems, a substrate is monitored in-situ during polishing, e.g., through the polishing pad. One monitoring technique is to induce an eddy current in the conductive layer and detect the change in the eddy current as the conductive layer is removed.

### SUMMARY

[0006] In one aspect, a starting thickness value of a conductive outer layer in a zone on a substrate is received, and an initial target thickness value for the conductive outer layer in the zone on the substrate is received. The starting thickness value is generated prior to polishing and representing a thickness of the outer layer in the zone prior to polishing, and the initial target thickness profile is generated prior to polishing and represents a desired thickness for the outer layer in the zone after polishing. The conductive outer layer on the substrate is polished, and the substrate is monitored during polishing with an in-situ monitoring system so as to generate a sequence of signal values that depend on a thickness of the conductive outer layer and on thickness and/or conductivity of one or more underlying layers below the outer layer. The sequence of signal values from the zone is converted into a sequence of effective thickness values for the zone with each effective thickness value including contributions of the outer layer and the one or more underlying layers. A function is fit to the sequence of effective thickness values, an effective starting thickness value for the layer at a start of polishing is determined using the fitted function, and an adjusted target thickness value is calculated based on the initial target value, the effective starting thickness value, and the starting thickness value. A polishing endpoint is detected or a polishing parameter is modified based on the sequences of effective thickness values and the adjusted target thickness value.

[0007] In another aspect, prior to polishing, a starting thickness profile is received for a conductive outer layer on a substrate and an initial target thickness profile is received for the conductive outer layer on the substrate. The starting thickness profile representing a thickness of the outer layer at a plurality of zones on the substrate prior to polishing, and the initial target thickness profile representing a desired thickness for the outer layer at the plurality of zones after polishing. During polishing of the substrate a sequence of signal values that depend on a thickness of the conductive outer layer being polished and on thickness and/or conductivity of one or more underlying layers below the outer layer is received from an in-situ monitoring system. For each respective zone of the plurality of zones, the sequence of signal values from the respective zone is converted into a sequence of effective thickness values for the respective zone with each effective thickness value including contributions of the conductive outer layer and the one or more underlying layers, thereby providing a plurality of sequences of effective thickness values with each respective sequence of the plurality of sequences corresponding to a respective zone. For each respective zone of the plurality of zones, function is fit to the sequence of effective thickness values, thereby providing a plurality of fit functions with each respective fit function of the plurality of fit functions corresponding to a respective zone. An effective starting thickness profile is determined for the layer at a start of polishing using the plurality of fit functions, and an adjusted target thickness profile is calculated based on the initial target thickness profile, the effective starting thickness profile, and the starting thickness profile. A polishing parameter is modified based on the plurality of sequences of effective thickness values and the adjusted target thickness profile.

[0008] In another aspect, a method of determining a starting thickness profile for a layer on a substrate includes polishing a conductive outer layer on a calibration substrate, monitoring the calibration substrate during the polishing by repeatedly sweeping a sensor of an in-situ monitoring system across the calibration substrate so as to generate a sequence of first traces with each first trace of the sequence of first traces corresponding to a sweep by the sensor and each first trace including a sequence of effective thickness values that depend on a thickness of the conductive outer layer and on thickness and/or conductivity of one or more underlying layers below the outer layer, detecting exposure of the one or more underlying layers below the outer layer, following exposure of the one or more underlying layers continuing to monitor the calibration substrate by sweeping the sensor of the in-situ monitoring system across the calibration substrate and generating a second trace that includes a sequence of underlying thickness values that depend on the thickness and/or conductivity of the one or more underlying layers, for each respective first trace from the sequence of first traces subtracting the second trace from the first trace to generate a modified first trace thereby generating a sequence of modified traces, for each respective zone of a plurality of zones on the substrate and each respective modified trace from the sequence of modified traces converting a portion of the sequence of signal values from the respective zone for the respective modified trace into a thickness value for the respective zone thereby providing a plurality of sequences of thickness values with each respective sequence of the plurality of sequences corresponding to a respective zone, for each respective zone of the plurality of zones fitting a function to the sequence of thickness values for the respective zone thereby providing a plurality of fit functions with each respective fit function of the plurality of fit functions corresponding to a respective zone, and determining a starting thickness profile for the conductive layer at a start of polishing using the plurality of fit functions.

[0009] Implementations of the methods, the computer program products, and/or the systems to carry out this aspect may include one or more of the following features.

[0010] Calculating the adjusted target thickness value may include calculating an adjustment based on a difference between the starting thickness value and the initial target value. Calculating the adjusted target thickness value may include subtracting the adjustment from the effective starting thickness value. Calculating the adjustment includes multiplying the difference between the starting thickness value and the initial target value by a constant. The constant may be between 1.0 and 1.2.

[0011] The function may be a linear function. Determining the effective starting thickness value may include extrapolating the function backward to a starting time for the polishing. The in-situ monitoring system may be an eddy current monitoring system. The polishing endpoint may be detected by determining a time at which the function equals the adjusted target thickness value. Fitting the function may include repeatedly fitting the function to a running window of effective thickness values from the sequence of effective thickness values.

[0012] Converting the sequence of signal values from the zone into the sequence of effective thickness values may include calculating a sequence of preliminary effective thickness values from the effective thickness values using a conversion algorithm that accepts a signal value as an input and generates a thickness value as an output. A sensor of the in-situ monitoring system may perform a plurality of sweeps across the substrate to generate a sequence of traces, and each respective trace of the sequence of traces may be defined by thickness values from the sequence of thickness values for a respective sweep of the plurality of sweeps. For each respective trace, edge reconstruction may be performed on the respective trace to generate a modified trace having modified thickness values, thereby generating a sequence of modified traces. For each respective trace, an effective thickness value may be calculated for the zone from the modified thickness values from the zone for the respective trace, thereby generating the sequence of effective thickness values. Calculating the effective thickness value for the zone from the modified thickness values may include averaging modified thickness values from the zone.

[0013] Implementations may include one or more of the following advantages. In calculation of the thickness of the layer being polished based on a monitored signal, the technique can compensate for contributions to the signal by conductive underlayers, e.g., a doped substrate or metal layers, even when the underlayer contribution is inconsistent on a wafer-to-wafer basis. Thus, the thickness of the layer being polished can be calculated with higher accuracy or reliability. The calculated thickness can be used for determining control parameters during a polishing process and/or determining an endpoint for the polishing process. Reliability of the control parameter determination and endpoint detection can be improved, wafer under-polish can be avoided, and wafer-to-wafer non-uniformity (WTWNU) and within-wafer non-uniformity (WIWNU) can be reduced.

[0014] The details of one or more implementations are set forth in the accompanying drawings and the description below. Other aspects, features, and advantages will be apparent from the description and drawings, and from the claims.

---

## Description

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0015] FIG. 1 illustrates a schematic cross-sectional view of an example of a polishing station including an electromagnetic induction monitoring system.

[0016] FIG. 2 illustrates a schematic top view of an example chemical mechanical polishing station showing a path of a sensor scan across a substrate.

[0017] FIGS. 3A-3C are schematic cross-sectional views of a substrate illustrating a polishing process.

[0018] FIG. 4 is a schematic cross-sectional view illustrating an example magnetic field generated by an electromagnetic induction sensor.

[0019] FIG. 5 illustrates a graph of an example signal from an eddy current sensor as a function of conductive layer thickness.

[0020] FIG. 6 illustrates a flow chart of a method for performing endpoint and profile control in chemical mechanical polishing.

[0021] FIG. 7 is a graph of a sequence of thickness values.

[0022] FIG. **8** is a graph of two sequences of thickness values from two different radial ranges on a production substrate.

[0023] FIGS. **9A-9C** illustrate a flow chart of another implementation of a method for performing endpoint and profile control in chemical mechanical polishing.

[0024] FIG. **10** is a graph of two sequences of effective thickness values from two different radial ranges during polishing of a calibration substrate.

[0025] FIG. **11** is a graph of two sequences of thickness values from two different radial ranges derived from polishing of the calibration substrate.

[0026] FIG. **12** is a graph of an effective thickness trace from polishing of a calibration substrate.

[0027] FIG. **13** is a graph of an effective thickness trace from over-polishing of the calibration substrate.

[0028] FIG. **14** is a graph of a thickness trace derived from the traces of FIGS. **12** and **13**.

[0029] FIG. **15** is a graph of an effective thickness trace from polishing of a production substrate.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0030] One monitoring technique for a polishing operation is to induce eddy currents in a conductive layer on a substrate, e.g., using an alternating current (AC) drive signal. The induced eddy currents can be measured by an eddy current sensor in-situ during polishing to generate a signal. Assuming the outermost layer undergoing polishing is a conductive layer, then the signal from the sensor should be dependent on the thickness of the conductive layer. Based on the monitoring, control parameters for the polishing operation, such as polishing rate, can be adjusted in-situ. In addition, the polishing operation can terminate based on an indication that the monitored thickness has reached a desired endpoint thickness.

[0031] In practice, the magnetic field generated by the eddy current sensor does not stop within the outermost conductive layer, but can extend into underlying conductive layers or the underlying substrate. As a result, the signal generated by the eddy current sensor can depend on the conductivity of the semiconductor wafer and the presence of the underlying conductive layers. If the semiconductor wafer is not doped, e.g., as typically used in “blank” wafers used for system calibration and basic substrate wafers, the electrical resistance of the wafer can be sufficiently high that the presence of the wafer does not have detectable influence on the eddy current signal. However, for actual device fabrication the wafers will typically be doped, e.g., highly doped, for various purposes. In this situation, the signal generated by the eddy current sensor can have significant contribution from the substrate, depending on the conductivity of the semiconductor wafer. As such, thickness measurement based on signals captured by the eddy current sensor can be inaccurate.

[0032] If the contribution of the substrate due to doping is known, then calculation of the thickness of the layer being polished can take into account the contribution to the signal from the semiconductor substrate. However, often doping is poorly controlled during semiconductor device fabrication, both across a given wafer and on a wafer-to-wafer basis within a given production lot.

[0033] The present disclosure concerns a technique to perform endpoint and profile control when the underlayer, e.g., the doped semiconductor wafer, has an inconsistent contribution to the signal from the eddy current sensor. A starting effective thickness profile can be calculated by calculating a polishing rate for each of a plurality of zones on the substrate based on the signals from the sensor and extrapolating the effective thickness backwards to the start of the polishing operation for each zone. Then a deposited layer profile representing the actual starting thickness of the deposited film can be subtracted from the effective starting thickness profile to provide an underlayer profile, i.e., a contribution of the underlayers in the form of an effective thickness. The underlying profile can then be added to a target thickness profile to generate an adjusted target thickness profile, and this adjusted target thickness profile can be used for endpoint detection.

[0034] FIGS. **1** and **2** illustrate an example of a polishing station **20** of a chemical mechanical polishing system. The polishing station **20** includes a rotatable disk-shaped platen **24** on which a

polishing pad **30** is situated. The platen **24** is operable to rotate about an axis **25**. For example, a motor **22** can turn a drive shaft **28** to rotate the platen **24**. The polishing pad **30** can be a two-layer polishing pad with an outer polishing layer **34** and a softer backing layer **32**.

[0035] The polishing station **20** can include a supply port or a combined supply-rinse arm **39** to dispense a polishing liquid **38**, such as an abrasive slurry, onto the polishing pad **30**. The polishing station **20** can include a pad conditioner apparatus with a conditioning disk to maintain the surface roughness of the polishing pad.

[0036] A carrier head **70** is operable to hold a substrate **10** against the polishing pad **30**. The carrier head **70** is suspended from a support structure **72**, e.g., a carousel or a track, and is connected by a drive shaft **74** to a carrier head rotation motor **76** so that the carrier head can rotate about an axis **71**. Optionally, the carrier head **70** can oscillate laterally, e.g., on sliders on the carousel, by movement along the track, or by rotational oscillation of the carousel itself.

[0037] The carrier head **70** can include a flexible membrane **80** having a substrate mounting surface to contact the back side of the substrate **10**. The membrane **80** can form a plurality of pressurizable chambers **82** to apply different pressures to different zones, e.g., different radial zones, on the substrate **10**. The carrier head can also include a retaining ring **84** to hold the substrate below the membrane **80**.

[0038] In operation, the platen is rotated about its central axis **25**, and the carrier head is rotated about its central axis **71** and translated laterally across the top surface of the polishing pad **30**. Where there are multiple carrier heads, each carrier head **70** can have independent control of its polishing parameters, for example each carrier head can independently control the pressure applied to each respective substrate.

[0039] In some implementations, the polishing station **20** includes a temperature sensor **64** to monitor a temperature in the polishing station or a component of/in the polishing station.

[0040] Referring to FIG. 3A, the polishing system can be used to polish a substrate **10** that includes a conductive material overlying and/or inlaid in a patterned dielectric layer. For example, the substrate **10** can include a layer of conductive material **16**, e.g., a metal, e.g., copper, aluminum, cobalt or titanium, that overlies and fills trenches in a dielectric layer **14**, e.g., silicon oxide or a high-k dielectric. Optionally a barrier layer **18**, e.g., tantalum or tantalum nitride, can line the trenches and separate the conductive material **16** from the dielectric layer **14**. The conductive material **16** in the trenches can provide vias, pads and/or interconnects in a completed integrated circuit. Although the dielectric layer **14** is illustrated as deposited directly on a semiconductor wafer **12**, one or more other layers can be interposed between the dielectric layer **14** and the wafer **12**.

[0041] The semiconductor wafer **12** can be a silicon wafer, e.g., single crystalline silicon, although other semiconductor materials are possible, e.g., gallium arsenide or gallium nitride. In addition, the semiconductor wafer **12** can be doped, e.g., with p-type or n-type doping. The doping can be uniform laterally across the wafer, or the wafer can be selectively doped, e.g., as appropriate for fabrication of transistors in integrated circuits using the semiconductor wafer.

[0042] Initially, the conductive material **16** overlies the entire dielectric layer **14**. As polishing progresses, the bulk of the conductive material **16** is removed, exposing the barrier layer **18** (see FIG. 3B). Continued polishing then exposes the patterned top surface of the dielectric layer **14** (see FIG. 3C). Additional polishing can then be used to control the depth of the trenches that contain the conductive material **16**.

[0043] In some implementations, a polishing system includes additional polishing stations. For example, a polishing system can include two or three polishing stations. For example, the polishing system can include a first polishing station with a first electromagnetic induction monitoring system and a second polishing station with a second electromagnetic induction current monitoring system.

[0044] For example, in operation, bulk polishing of the conductive layer on the substrate can be

performed at the first polishing station, and polishing can be halted when a target thickness of the conductive layer remains on the substrate. The substrate is then transferred to the second polishing station, and the substrate can be polished until an underlying layer, e.g., a patterned dielectric layer. [0045] Returning to FIG. 1, the polishing system includes an in-situ eddy current monitoring system **100** which can be coupled to or be considered to include a controller **90**. The in-situ eddy current monitoring system **100** is configured to generate a signal that depends on a thickness of the conductive material **16**, e.g., the metal, of the layer being polished.

[0046] In operation, the polishing system can use the in-situ eddy current monitoring system **100** to determine when the conductive layer has reached a target thickness, e.g., a target thickness for a metal layer overlying a dielectric layer, and then halt polishing. Alternatively or in addition, the polishing system can use the in-situ eddy current monitoring system **100** to determine differences in thickness of the conductive material **16** across the substrate **10**, and use this information to adjust the pressure in one or more chambers **82** in the carrier head **80** during polishing in order to reduce polishing non-uniformity. During polishing, the measurements from the sensor **102** can be displayed on an output device to permit an operator of the polishing station to visually monitor the progress of the polishing operation, although this is not required.

[0047] The sensor **102** of the in-situ monitoring system **100** can be installed in a recess **26** in the platen **20**. The sensor **102** can include a magnetic core **104** positioned at least partially in the recess **26**, and at least one coil **106** wound around a portion of the core **104**. Drive and sense circuitry **108** is electrically connected to the coil **106**. The drive and sense circuitry **108** generates a signal that can be sent to the controller **90**. The circuitry **108** can include a capacitor connected in parallel with the coil **106**. Together the coil **106** and the capacitor can form an LC resonant tank. Although illustrated as outside the platen **24**, some or all of the drive and sense circuitry **108** can be installed in the platen **24**. A rotary coupler **29** can be used to electrically connect components in the rotatable platen **24**, e.g., a sensor **102** of the eddy current monitoring system **100**, to components outside the platen, e.g., drive and sense circuitry or the controller **90**. Alternatively, the sensor **102** can be in wireless communication with the controller **90**.

[0048] Referring to FIGS. 1 and 4, the drive and sense circuitry **108** applies an AC current to the coil **106**, which generates a magnetic field **110** between two poles **112a** and **112b** of the core **104**. In operation, when the substrate **10** intermittently overlies the sensor **102**, a portion of the magnetic field **110** extends into the substrate **10**.

[0049] If monitoring of the thickness of a conductive layer on the substrate is desired, then when the magnetic field **110** reaches the conductive layer **16**, the magnetic field **110** can create an eddy-current in the conductive layer. This modifies the effective impedance of the LC circuit.

[0050] However, the magnetic field **110** can also penetrate into various conductive layers **18** “below” the layer being polished (above in view of FIG. 4) and into the semiconductor substrate **12**. As such, the effective impedance of the LC circuit, and thus the signal from the drive and sense circuitry **108**, can also depend on the conductivity of the underlying conductive layers and on the doping and resultant conductivity of the semiconductor substrate **12**. Patterning of the layers is not shown in FIG. 4 for ease of illustration.

[0051] The drive and sense circuitry **108** can include a marginal oscillator coupled to a combined drive/sense coil **106**, and the output signal can be a current required to maintain the peak to peak amplitude of the sinusoidal oscillation at a constant value, e.g., as described in U.S. Pat. No. 7,112,960. Other configurations are possible for the drive and sense circuitry **108**. For example, separate drive and sense coils could be wound around the core. The drive and sense circuitry **108** can apply current at a fixed frequency, and the signal from the drive and sense circuitry **108** can be the phase shift of the current in the sense coil relative to the drive coil, or an amplitude of the sensed current, e.g., as described in U.S. Pat. No. 6,975,107.

[0052] Referring to FIG. 2, as the platen **24** rotates, the sensor **102** sweeps along a path **120** that passes below the substrate **10**. By sampling the signal from the circuitry **108** at a particular

frequency, the circuitry **108** generates measurements at a sequence of sampling zones **94** across the substrate **10**. For each sweep, measurements at one or more of the sampling zones **94** can be selected or combined. Thus, over multiple sweeps, the selected or combined measurements provide a time-varying sequence of values.

[0053] The polishing station **20** can also include a position sensor **96**, such as an optical interrupter, to sense when the sensor **102** is underneath the substrate **10** and when the sensor **102** is off the substrate. For example, the position sensor **96** can be mounted at a fixed location opposite the carrier head **70**. A flag **98** can be attached to the periphery of the platen **24**. The point of attachment and length of the flag **98** is selected so that it can signal the position sensor **96** when the sensor **102** sweeps underneath the substrate **10**.

[0054] Alternately or in addition, the polishing station **20** can include an encoder to determine the angular position of the platen **24**.

[0055] Returning to FIG. **1**, a controller **90**, e.g., a general purpose programmable digital computer, receives the signals from sensor **102** of the in-situ monitoring system **100**. Since the sensor **102** sweeps beneath the substrate **10** with each rotation of the platen **24**, information on the depth of the conductive layer, e.g., the bulk layer or conductive material in the trenches, is accumulated in-situ (once per platen rotation). The controller **90** can be programmed to sample signals from the in-situ monitoring system **100** when the substrate **10** generally overlies the sensor **102**.

[0056] In addition, the controller **90** can be programmed to calculate the radial position of each measurement, and to sort the measurements into radial ranges, e.g., as discussed in U.S. Pat. No. 6,399,501.

[0057] Since the sensor **102** sweeps underneath the substrate **10** with each rotation of the platen **24**, information on the conductive layer thickness is being accumulated in-situ and on a continuous real-time basis for each of multiple different radial zones on the substrate.

[0058] FIG. **5** shows a graph that illustrates a relationship curve **130**, for a given resistivity, between the thickness of the conductive layer and the signal from the eddy current monitoring system **100**. As shown, a given thickness **D** can be calculated from the signal **S**; **K** is a constant representing a value of the signal for zero conductive layer thickness.

[0059] The relationship curve **130** can be represented in the controller **90** by a function, e.g., a polynomial function, e.g., a second order function, a third order function, or a higher order function. Absent the doped semiconductor wafer, the correlation between the signal **S** and the thickness **D** can be represented by the equation:

[00001]  $S = W_1 \cdot \text{Math. } D^2 + W_2 \cdot \text{Math. } D + W_3$  (Equation1) [0060] where  $W_{\text{sub.1}}$ ,

$W_{\text{sub.2}}$ , and  $W_{\text{sub.3}}$  are real number coefficients. Thus, the controller can store the values of the coefficients of the function, e.g.,  $W_{\text{sub.1}}$ ,  $W_{\text{sub.2}}$ , and  $W_{\text{sub.3}}$ , as well as the resistivity  $p_{\text{sub.0}}$  for which the relationship curve **410** applies. In addition, the relationship could be represented with a linear function, a Bezier curve, or a non-polynomial function, e.g., exponential or logarithmic.

[0061] Moreover, relationship curve or the thickness calculated for the layer can take into account the processing temperature, i.e., the temperature of the polishing pad, polishing liquid, substrate, or some combination thereof. For example, a corrected thickness value  $D'$  can be calculated as follows:

[00002]  $D' = D * (\rho_T / \rho_0) \rho_T = \rho_X [1 + \alpha(T - T_{\text{ini}})]$  [0062] where  $p_{\text{sub.x}}$  is the resistivity of the conductive layer, and  $p_{\text{sub.0}}$  is the resistivity for which the relationship curve **130** is generated,  $T_{\text{sub.ini}}$  is the temperature for which the relationship curve **130** is generated,  $T$  is measured temperature for the process, e.g., from the temperature sensor **64**, and  $\alpha$  is a constant which can be derived empirically or from scientific textbooks.

[0063] Some variation in the signal intensity from the sensor **102** can be caused by the measurement region of the sensor **102** overlapping the substrate edge, rather than an intrinsic variation in the thickness or conductivity of the layer being monitored. Consequently, this



distortion in the signal can cause errors in the calculating of a thickness value for the substrate, particularly near the substrate edge. To address this problem, the controller **90** can feed each thickness trace into a neural network that is configured to generate a modified thickness trace that compensates for compensate such signal distortions. Such a process is described in U.S. Patent Publication No. 2021-0379723. The resulting thickness measurements can be sorted in to the radial ranges.

[0064] As noted above, the signal generated by the eddy current sensor also includes the contribution from underlying conductive layers and the doped semiconductor wafer. Because the eddy currents excited in the doped semiconductor wafer and the conductive layer are independent and separated by insulating layers, the power dissipations into the doped silicon substrate and the insulated conductive film layer are additive and should generally follow the superposition principle of the linear systems.

[0065] Unfortunately, in many situations the doping of the semiconductor wafer is not tightly controlled, and thus is highly variable both across a wafer and on a wafer-to-wafer basis, even for wafers within a given production lot. On the other hand, in some situations the starting thickness of the conductive layer being polishing is known with high precision and reliability. For example, this can occur when the deposition process for forming the conductive is reliable and the thickness of a conductive layer on a calibration substrate or on a sample substrate from a production lot can be measured with other techniques, e.g., a four-point probe.

[0066] FIG. **6** illustrates a flow chart showing a process **200** for polishing a substrate and detecting an endpoint or adjusting a polishing parameter during the polishing operation.

[0067] The controller **90** can receive and store a starting film thickness profile and a target thickness profile (**202**). This starting thickness profile can be a set of starting thickness values representing the starting thickness, i.e., before polishing, of the conductive layer to be polished, at each of a plurality of radial zones. The number of radial zones, and thus the number of starting thickness values, can equal the number of independently controllable zones provided by the carrier head **140**, e.g., three zones for the three concentric chambers **82a-82c** shown in FIG. **1**. These values can be obtained and entered by the fab facility operator. For example, a thickness of a conductive layer on a calibration substrate or on a sample substrate from a production lot can be measured, e.g., using a four-point probe technique, at each radial range. For N radial ranges, the starting thickness values can be represented as ST.sub.1, ST.sub.2, . . . ST.sub.N. Where a reference radial range and a dynamically controlled radial range are used, the target thickness values can be represented as ST.sub.R, and ST.sub.D.

[0068] Similarly, the target thickness profile can be a set of target thickness values representing the desired thickness to be achieved, i.e., after polishing, at each of the plurality of radial zones. And likewise, the number of target thickness values can equal the number of starting thickness values and equal the number of independently controllable zones provided by the carrier head **140**. For N radial ranges, the target thickness values can be represented as TT.sub.1, TT.sub.2, . . . TT.sub.N. These values can be selected by the fab facility operator based on specifications for the integrated circuit being fabricated. Where a reference radial range and a dynamically controlled radial range are used, the target thickness values can be represented as TT.sub.R, and TT.sub.D.

[0069] Referring to FIGS. **2** and **6**, with each sweep of the sensor **102** beneath the substrate **10**, the eddy current monitoring system generates a series of measurements, in the form of raw signal values, corresponding to the different sampling zone **94**. These signal values are sorted by the controller **90** into different radial ranges. These different radial ranges can match the radial ranges for the starting thickness values, i.e., in a one-to-one relationship.

[0070] For each radial range, the raw signal values from one or more of the sampling zones **94** within that radial range can be selected or combined to provide a measurement, i.e., a signal value, for that range. As a result, for each sweep, the eddy current monitoring system **100** generates a one or more signal values for each radial range. Thus, as polishing progress over time and multiple

sweeps of the sensor **12** occur, the eddy current monitoring system **100** generates a sequence of signal values for each radial range (step **204**).

[0071] Referring to FIGS. **2** and **5**, each signal value (S) from the sequence is converted to an effective thickness value (D) (step **206**), e.g., using the relationship curve **130**, thereby generating a sequence of effective thickness values for each radial range. The term “effective thickness value” is used because the value is calculated as if the signal is entirely due to the conductivity of the layer being polished. But in fact the signal includes the contribution from the underlying layer and doped substrate, which can have different conductivities than the layer being polished but are incorporated into the measurement as an additional thickness of the material being polished.

[0072] FIG. **7** illustrates a graph (shown for illustration of the process; no graph need be generated or displayed in operation) that shows a sequence **160** of effective thickness values **150** generated for a particular radial range, with one signal value **200** generated for each sweep of the sensor **102** below the substrate **10**.

[0073] For each radial range, a polynomial function, e.g., a first-order function, i.e., a line **170**, is to fit to the sequence **160** of effective thickness values **150** for that radial range (step **208**). For example, the fitting can be performed using robust regression. In particular, the line **170** can be fit to effective thickness values accumulated after polishing commences but before the first parameter change, e.g., the first time the carrier head pressure or other variable is changed to improve the polishing uniformity. Effective thickness values from the initial one to three rotations of the platen can be excluded, as the polishing rate may be unstable during this period. And depending on when carrier head pressure starts to change, effective thickness values acquired after the pressure change should be excluded so that the slope of the line **170** thus represents a polishing rate for the associate radial range. Four to ten effective thickness values may be sufficient data for fitting of the line **170**, although fitting of the line may continue as polishing progresses.

[0074] The effective thickness value at the start of polishing operation is calculated (step **208**). For example, from the fitted polynomial function, the controller **90** extrapolates backwards to determine an effective starting thickness value (PRE) for the substrate at the start of polishing process. For N radial ranges, the effective starting thickness values can be represented as PRE.sub.(F+S),1, PRE.sub.(F+S),2 . . . PRE.sub.(F+S),N. The subscript F+S indicates that the thickness value includes contributions of both the layer being polished and the underlying substrate. For a reference radial range, the effective starting thickness values can be represented as PRE.sub.(F+S),R; for a dynamically controlled radial range, the effective starting thickness values can be represented as PRE.sub.(F+S),D.

[0075] With the target thickness values, starting thickness values, and effective thickness values in hand, and adjusted target thickness value is calculated (step **210**). In particular, an adjusted target thickness value (AT) can be calculated as

[00003]  $AT = PRE_{(F+S)} - (ST - TT) * k$  [0076] where k is a constant.

[0077] In some implementations, this calculation is performed only for one reference radial range from the set of radial ranges, e.g.,  $AT_{sub.R} = PRE_{sub.(F+S),R} - (ST_{sub.R} - TT_{sub.R}) * k$ . In some implementations, this calculation is performed for each radial range, e.g.,  $AT_{sub.1} = PRE_{sub.(F+S),1} - (ST_{sub.1} - TT_{sub.1}) * k$ ,  $AT_{sub.2} = PRE_{sub.(F+S),2} - (ST_{sub.2} - TT_{sub.2}) * k$ , etc. If the calculation is performed for each radial range, then the same value of k can be used for each range.

[0078] Without being limited to any particular theory, k may be either or both of 1) compensation for the effect of the non-linear relationship curve, and 2) the effect of cross-talk between the conductive layer being polished and the underlying layers that causes the measurement to not follow the superposition principle for linear systems. The constant k can be determined empirically, and can be in the range of 1.0 to 1.2. For example, for a highly doped P++ silicon wafer, k can be about 1.04.

[0079] The controller **90** can perform endpoint detection or profile control, i.e., calculation of adjustments for carrier head pressure, using a conventional algorithm but substituting the adjusted

target thickness values for the target thickness values in the endpoint or profile control algorithm (step **212**).

[0080] For example, still referring to FIG. 7, as polishing progresses, the controller **90** can extrapolate forward to determine a time at which the line **170** will equal (at **180**) the adjusted target thickness value. This time provides the endpoint time (ET).

[0081] As another example, by substituting the adjusted target thickness values for the target thickness values in the profile control algorithm, the algorithm will drive the polishing process toward providing those adjusted target thickness values. For example, FIG. 8 illustrates a graph (again shown for illustration of the process; no graph need be generated or displayed in operation) that shows two sequences of thickness values generated for two different radial ranges. In this example, first effective thickness values **152a** are obtained and a first line **172a** is fit to the first effective thickness values **152a** for a first radial range, and second effective thickness values **152b** are obtained and a second line **172b** is fit to the second effective thickness values **152b** for a second radial range. Assuming the first radial range is used as the reference radial range, the endpoint time ET.sub.R can be calculated as the time the first line **172a** reaches the first adjusted target thickness value AT.sub.R (at **182a**). Assuming the second radial range is used as the dynamic range, an endpoint time ET.sub.D is calculated as the time the second line **172b** reaches the first adjusted target thickness value AT.sub.D (at **182b**). The controller **90** can calculate a target polishing rate **190** to begin at adjustment time TO for the dynamic radial range such that the both the control radial range and the dynamic radial range reach their respective adjusted target thickness values AT.sub.R and AT.sub.D at substantially the same time. In order to achieve the target polishing rate **190**, the controller **90** can calculate an adjustment for one or more polishing parameters, e.g., an adjustment for the pressure in a zone of the carrier head corresponding to the dynamic radial range. This calculation can be based on the Preston Equation. As a result, the resulting polishing profile should more closely match the target profile, even if the underlayer contribution to the eddy current signal is inconsistent on a wafer-to-wafer basis.

[0082] As an alternative to measuring the starting thickness value on the layer with a four-point probe, an effective starting thickness value could be determined for each radial region using the in-situ monitoring system. Moreover, instead of using absolute values, thicknesses of some of the zones can be represented using offsets as compared to a reference zone. A process using both of these techniques is described below, but each could be used independently.

[0083] FIGS. 9A-9C illustrates a method **300** for performing endpoint and profile control in chemical mechanical polishing which includes a process **302** for determining starting thickness values for each radial region using the in-situ monitoring system. This process includes generating thickness traces during bulk polishing of conductive layer on a calibration substrate (**304**), generating thickness traces once the conductive layer has been removed to expose an underlying layer (**306**), and processing the thickness traces to generate starting thickness values for each radial range (**308**). Each of these steps will be described in further detail below.

[0084] Initially, referring to FIG. 9A, a calibration substrate having the same layers and pattern as a production substrate is polished at a polishing station **20**. During polishing of the outer conductive layer (step **310**), the substrate is monitored with the in-situ eddy current monitoring system **160**. Referring to FIGS. 2, 9A and 12, each sweep of the sensor **120** below the substrate **10** generates a raw signal trace (step **312**). Each signal value in a raw signal trace can be converted to a thickness value (step **314**), e.g., using the calibration relationship discussed above with reference to FIG. 5. This generates an effective thickness trace **300** for each sweep of the sensor **102** below the calibration substrate.

[0085] As shown in FIG. 12, the effective thickness trace **250** includes a first portion **252** that corresponds to locations in an edge region of the substrate **10** when the sensor crosses a leading edge of the substrate **10**, a second portion **254** that corresponds to locations in a central region of the substrate **10**, and a third portion **256** that corresponds to locations in edge region when the

sensor **102** crosses a trailing edge of the substrate **10**. The signal can also include portions **258** that correspond to off-substrate measurements, i.e., signals generated when the sensor head scans areas beyond the edge of the substrate **10**.

[0086] Although the first and third portions **252**, **256** are illustrated as smooth and the second portion **254** is illustrated as flat, this is for simplicity, and a real signal would include fluctuations due both to noise and to variations in the layer thickness. Moreover, although the effective thickness trace **250** is illustrated as continuous, in reality it is provided by a sequence of individual effective thickness values.

[0087] Continuing with FIGS. **9A** and **12**, as described above, thickness measurements at the substrate edge can be inaccurate. Therefore, an edge reconstruction technique can be performed on each effective thickness trace **250**, thus generating a modified effective thickness trace **260** for each scan (step **316**). In particular, each effective thickness trace **250** can be fed into a neural network that is configured to compensate for signal distortions at the substrate edge. Such a process is described in U.S. Patent Publication No. 2021-0379723. Each of the modified effective thickness traces **260** can be stored for subsequent processing.

[0088] Referring to FIGS. **9A** and **10**, the thickness values from each modified effective thickness trace **260** can be sorted into radial ranges, and an effective thickness value can be calculated for each radial range for each sensor sweep (step **320**). For example, one sequence **164a** of effective thickness values **154a** is generated for the reference radial range, and a sequence **164b** of effective thickness values **154b** is generated for each dynamic radial range (only two sequences are shown FIG. **10** for ease of illustration). The effective thickness value can be generated by averaging the effective thickness value from the trace **260** for the particular radial range.

[0089] The controller **90** can detect when the conductive layer has been polished away to expose an underlying layer. In this state, the top surface of the underlying layer is exposed, although there might be conductive material remaining in trenches to form conductive lines, vias, etc. In one implementation, the controller **90** or operator can detect a change in the slope (at **184**) of a line fit to the sequence **164a** of effective thickness values **154a** for the reference zone. For example, the controller **90** can repeatedly fit a line to effective thickness values **154a** from a running window of three to ten effective thickness values, and calculate a polishing rate from the slope of the line (step **322**). If the slope of the line changes by more than a threshold value, the controller **90** can use this as an indication that the underlying layer has been exposed (step **324**). In some implementations, a change in slope in just the reference radial range is sufficient to indicate exposure. In some implementations, a change in slope is required for the reference radial range and the dynamic radial ranges. In addition, other techniques can be used to find the time at which the underlying layer is exposed, e.g., a separate optical monitoring signal.

[0090] With the underlying layer exposed, referring to FIG. **9B**, the calibration substrate can now be scanned during a “post-polish” process. In particular, the substrate is maintained on the polishing pad after the underlying layer is exposed (step **330**), and the platen **24** continues to rotate to scan the sensor **102** below the substrate **10**, thus generating a sequence of raw signal traces (step **332**). To prevent removal of material in this post-polish process, the scanning of the calibration substrate can be conducted with the polishing pad surface flushed by deionized water (DIW) and/or with the chambers in the carrier head at low pressure, e.g., vented to atmosphere or less than 0.1 psi. Substantially no polishing can occur during this post-polish process, e.g., the polishing rate can be less than 5%, e.g., less than 1% of the polishing rate during the bulk polishing (in step **310**).

[0091] Referring to FIGS. **9B** and **13**, each signal value in a raw signal trace can be converted to an underlying thickness value (step **334**), e.g., using the relationship curve discussed above with reference to FIG. **5**. For the post-polish process, this generates an underlying thickness trace **270** for each sweep of the sensor **102** below the calibration substrate.

[0092] As shown in FIG. **13**, the underlying thickness trace **270** also includes a first portion **272** that corresponds to locations in an edge region of the substrate **10** when the sensor crosses a

leading edge of the calibration substrate, a second portion **274** that corresponds to locations in a central region of the substrate **10**, and a third portion **276** that corresponds to locations in edge region when the sensor **102** crosses a trailing edge of the substrate **10**. The signal can also include portions **278** that correspond to off-substrate measurements, i.e., signals generated when the sensor head scans areas beyond the edge of the substrate **10**.

[0093] Continuing with FIGS. **9B** and **13**, as described above, thickness measurements at the substrate edge can be inaccurate. Therefore, the edge reconstruction technique can also be performed on each underlying thickness trace **270**, thus generating a modified underlying thickness trace **280** for each scan (step **336**). In particular, each underlying thickness trace **270** can be fed into a neural network that is configured to compensate for signal distortions at the substrate edge. Such a process is described in U.S. Patent Publication No. 2021-0379723. Each of the modified underlying thickness traces **280** can be stored for subsequent processing.

[0094] With the adjusted effective thickness traces **260** acquired from bulk polishing of the conductive layer on the substrate (step **304**) and the adjusted underlying thickness traces **280** acquired from the post-polish scanning of the substrate (step **306**), starting thickness values for each radial range can now be determined (step **308**).

[0095] In particular, referring to FIGS. **9B** and **14**, a representative underlying thickness trace **282** is generated (**340**) from the adjusted underlying thickness traces **280**. For example, one of the adjusted underlying thickness traces can be selected as the representative underlying thickness trace. Alternatively, two more adjusted underlying thickness traces can be averaged to generate the representative underlying thickness trace. For example, an average underlying thickness trace  $DS(t)$  can be calculated as

$$[00004] \hat{D}_S(t) = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \text{Math.} \sum_{i=1}^N D_i(t)$$

where  $D_{sub.i}(t)$  represents the individual adjusted underlying thickness traces and  $N$  is the number of underlying thickness traces.

[0096] Next, continuing with FIGS. **9B** and **14**, the representative underlayer trace **282** is subtracted from each effective thickness trace **260** to generate a thickness trace **290** (step **342**) for each scan of the of substrate acquired in step **304**, i.e.,

$$[00005] D_F(t) = D_{S+F}(t) - \hat{D}_S(t)$$

This trace can be termed a “thickness trace” because by subtracting the underlayer contribution from the effective thickness value that included both the substrate and the film thickness, just the contribution from the film thickness should remain.

[0097] Referring to FIGS. **9B**, **11**, and **14** the thickness values from each thickness trace **290** can be sorted into radial ranges, and a thickness value can be calculated for each radial range for each sensor sweep (step **344**). For example, thickness values within a particular radial range for a particular sweep. As a result, one sequence **166a** of thickness values **156a** is generated for the reference radial range, and a sequence **166b** of thickness values **156b** is generated for each dynamic radial range (only two sequences are shown FIG. **11** for ease of illustration). For example, a thickness value for a radial range  $R1$  to  $R2$  can be generated by averaging the thickness values from the corresponding portion **292** of the thickness trace **290**.

[0098] For each radial range, a polynomial function, e.g., a first-order function, i.e., a line **176**, is to fit to the sequence **166a**, **166b** of thickness values **156a**, **156b** for that radial range (step **346**). For example, the fitting can be performed using robust regression. In particular, the line **176** can be fit to thickness values accumulated after polishing commences but before exposure of the underlying layer. Thickness values from the initial one to three rotations of the platen can be excluded, as the polishing rate may be unstable during this period. In some implementations, four to thirty thickness values are sufficient data for fitting of the line **176**.

[0099] The thickness value at the start of polishing operation is calculated for each radial range (step **348**). For example, from the fitted polynomial function, the controller **90** extrapolates

backwards to determine a starting thickness value (PRE) for the substrate at the start of the polishing process of the calibration substrate. For a reference radial range, the starting thickness values can be represented as PRE.sub.(F),R; for a dynamically controlled radial range, the effective starting thickness values can be represented as PRE.sub.(F),D. The subscript F indicates that the thickness value should represent substantially just the contribution of the conductively layer, i.e., not the underlying layers or substrate.

[0100] Turning to FIG. 9C, for some implementations, the starting thickness values for the dynamic radial ranges can be stored as offsets (349). For example an offset  $\Delta\text{PRE.sub.D}$  can be calculate for each dynamic radial range as

$$[00006] \Delta\text{PRE}_{(F),D} = \text{PRE}_{(F),R} - \text{PRE}_{(F),D}.$$

[0101] The system should now be ready for polishing of a production substrate. As described above, target thickness values for the reference radial range and the dynamic radial range, TT.sub.R, and TT.sub.D are typically provided by the operator depending on the application and integrated circuit being fabricated on the production substrate. The target thickness values for the dynamic radial ranges can also be stored as offsets. For example an offset  $\Delta\text{TT.sub.D}$  can be calculate for each dynamic radial range as

$$[00007] \Delta\text{TT}_D = \text{TT}_R - \text{TT}_D.$$

[0102] Continuing with FIGS. 9C and 15, the production substrate is polished at a polishing station 20 (350), and during polishing of the exposed conductive layer the substrate is monitored with the in-situ eddy current monitoring system 160. Each sweep of the sensor 102 below the substrate 10 generates a raw signal trace (step 352). Each signal value in a raw signal trace can be converted to an effective thickness value (step 354), e.g., using the relationship curve discussed above with reference to FIG. 5. This generates an effective thickness trace 250' for each sweep of the sensor 102 below the production substrate. As with the calibration substrate, thickness measurements at the edge of the production substrate can be inaccurate. Therefore, an edge reconstruction technique can be performed on each effective thickness trace 250', thus generating a modified effective thickness trace 260' for each scan (step 356). In particular, each effective thickness trace 250', can be fed into a neural network that is configured to compensates for signal distortions at the substrate edge. Such a process is described in U.S. Patent Publication No. 2021-0379723.

[0103] Continuing with FIG. 9C and returning to FIG. 8, the thickness values from each modified effective thickness trace 260' can be sorted into radial ranges, and an effective thickness value can be calculated for each radial range for each sensor sweep (step 360). For example, one sequence 162a of effective thickness values 152a is generated for the reference radial range, and a sequence 162b of effective thickness values 152b is generated for each dynamic radial range (only two sequences are shown FIG. 8 for ease of illustration). Each effective thickness value 152a, 152b can be generated by averaging the effective thickness values from the corresponding portions of the corresponding effective thickness trace 260'. For example, an effective thickness value for a radial range R1 to R2 can be generated by averaging the effective thickness values from the corresponding portion 262 of the effective thickness trace 260'.

[0104] Once a sufficient number of effective thickness values are collected, a polynomial function, e.g., a first-order function, i.e., a line is to fit to each sequence of effective thickness values for that radial range (step 362). For example, a first line 172a is fit to the sequence 162a of thickness values 152a for the reference radial range. In addition, for each dynamic radial range, a line 172b is fit to the sequence 162b of effective thickness values 152b for that dynamic radial range. The fitting can be performed using robust regression. Effective thickness values from the initial one to three rotations of the platen can be excluded, as the polishing rate may be unstable during this period. And depending on when carrier head pressure starts to change, effective thickness values acquired after any pressure change should be excluded so that the slope of the lines 172a, 172b thus represent the polishing rates for the associate radial ranges before adjustment of the pressure. Four to ten effective thickness values may be sufficient data for fitting of the line 172a, 172b.

[0105] The effective thickness value at the start of polishing operation is calculated (step **364**). For example, from the fitted polynomial function, the controller **90** extrapolates backwards to determine an effective starting thickness value (PRE) for the substrate at the start of polishing process. For a reference radial range, the effective starting thickness values can be represented as PRE.sub.(F+S),R; for a dynamically controlled radial range, the effective starting thickness values can be represented as PRE.sub.(F+S),D.

[0106] For some implementations, the effective starting thickness values for the dynamic radial ranges can be stored as offsets. For example an offset  $\Delta\text{PRE.sub.D}$  can be calculate for each dynamic radial range as

$$[00008] \Delta\text{PRE}_{(F+S),D} = \text{PRE}_{(F+S),R} - \text{PRE}_{(F+S),D} .$$

[0107] With the target thickness values, starting thickness values, and effective thickness values in hand, an adjusted target thickness value is calculated for each radial range (step **366**).

[0108] In particular, an adjusted target thickness value for the reference radial range (AT.sub.R) can be calculated as

$$[00009] \text{AT}_R = \text{PRE}_{(F+S),R} - (\text{PRE}_{(F),R} - \text{TT}_R) * k \quad [0109] \text{ where } k \text{ is the constant discussed above.}$$

[0110] In addition, an adjusted target thickness value for each dynamic radial range (AT.sub.D) can be calculated as

$$[00010] \text{AT}_D = \text{AT}_R P + \Delta\text{PRE}_{(F+S),D} - \Delta\text{PRE}_{(F),D} + \Delta\text{TT}_R .$$

[0111] The controller **90** can perform endpoint detection or profile control, i.e., calculation of adjustments for carrier head pressure, using a conventional algorithm but substituting the adjusted target thickness values for the target thickness values in the endpoint or profile control algorithm (step **370**).

[0112] Continuing with FIG. **8**, first effective thickness values **152a** are obtained for the reference radial range and a first line **172a** is fit to the first effective thickness values **152a**, and second effective thickness values **152b** are obtained for the dynamic radial range and a second line **172b** is fit to the second effective thickness values **152b**. The endpoint time ET.sub.R is calculated as the time the first line **172a** reaches the first adjusted target thickness value AT.sub.R (at **182a**). The second radial range is used as the dynamic range, and the endpoint time ET.sub.D is calculated as the time the second line **172b** reaches the adjusted target thickness value AT.sub.D for the second radial range (at **182b**).

[0113] The controller **90** can calculate a target polishing rate **190** to begin at adjustment time TO for the dynamic radial range such that the both the control radial range and the dynamic radial range reach their respective adjusted target thickness values AT.sub.R and AT.sub.D at substantially the same time. In order to achieve the target polishing rate **190**, the controller **90** can calculate an adjustment for one or more polishing parameters, e.g., an adjustment for the pressure in a zone of the carrier head corresponding to the dynamic radial range. This calculation can be based on the Preston Equation. As a result, the resulting polishing profile should more closely match the target profile, even if the underlayer contribution to the eddy current signal is inconsistent on a wafer-to-wafer basis.

[0114] The above described polishing apparatus and methods can be applied in a variety of polishing systems. Either the polishing pad, or the carrier heads, or both can move to provide relative motion between the polishing surface and the substrate. For example, the platen may orbit rather than rotate. The polishing pad can be a circular (or some other shape) pad secured to the platen. Some aspects of the endpoint detection system may be applicable to linear polishing systems, e.g., where the polishing pad is a continuous or a reel-to-reel belt that moves linearly. The polishing layer can be a standard (for example, polyurethane with or without fillers) polishing material, a soft material, or a fixed-abrasive material. Terms of relative positioning are used to refer to relative positioning within the system or substrate; it should be understood that the polishing

surface and substrate can be held in a vertical orientation or some other orientation during the polishing operation.

[0115] Functional operations of the controller **90** can be implemented using one or more computer program products, i.e., one or more computer programs tangibly embodied in a non-transitory computer readable storage media, for execution by, or to control the operation of, data processing apparatus, e.g., a programmable processor, a computer, or multiple processors or computers.

[0116] A number of embodiments of the invention have been described. Nevertheless, it will be understood that various modifications may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. Accordingly, other embodiments are within the scope of the following claims.

## Claims

1. A computer program product for controlling a polishing system, the computer program product residing on a non-transitory computer readable medium and comprising instructions for causing one or more computers to: receive a starting thickness value of a conductive outer layer in a zone on a substrate, the starting thickness value generated prior to polishing and representing a thickness of the outer layer in the zone prior to polishing; receive an initial target thickness value for the conductive outer layer in the zone on the substrate, the initial target thickness profile generated prior to polishing and representing a desired thickness for the outer layer in the zone after polishing; receive from an in-situ monitoring system during polishing of the substrate a sequence of signal values that depend on a thickness of the conductive outer layer being polished and on thickness and/or conductivity of one or more underlying layers below the outer layer; convert the sequence of signal values from the zone into a sequence of effective thickness values for the zone with each effective thickness value including contributions of the outer layer and the one or more underlying layers; fit a function to the sequence of effective thickness values; determine an effective starting thickness value for the layer at a start of polishing using the fitted function; calculate an adjusted target thickness value based on the initial target value, the effective starting thickness value, and the starting thickness value; and detect a polishing endpoint based on the sequences of effective thickness values and the adjusted target thickness value.
2. The computer program product of claim 1, wherein the instructions to calculate the adjusted target thickness value comprise instructions to calculate an adjustment based on a difference between the starting thickness value and the initial target value.
3. The computer program product of claim 2, wherein the instructions to calculate the adjusted target thickness value comprise instructions to subtract the adjustment from the effective starting thickness value.
4. The computer program product of claim 3, wherein the instructions to calculate the adjustment comprise instructions to multiply the difference between the starting thickness value and the initial target value by a constant.
5. The computer program product of claim 3, wherein the constant is between 1.0 and 1.2.
6. The computer program product of claim 1, wherein the function is a linear function.
7. The computer program product of claim 6, wherein the instructions to determine the effective starting thickness value comprise instructions to extrapolate the function backward to a starting time for the polishing.
8. The computer program product of claim 1, comprising instructions to detect the polishing endpoint by determining a time at which the function equals the adjusted target thickness value.
9. The computer program product of claim 8, wherein the instructions to fit the function comprise instructions to repeatedly fit the function to a running window of effective thickness values from the sequence of effective thickness values.
10. The computer program product of claim 1, wherein the instructions to convert the sequence of signal values from the zone into the sequence of effective thickness values comprise instructions to



calculate a sequence of preliminary effective thickness values from the effective thickness values using a conversion algorithm that accepts a signal value as an input and generates a thickness value as an output.

**11.** The computer program product of claim 10, wherein the sequence of thickness values define a sequence of traces with each respective trace of the sequence of traces corresponding to a respective sweep of a plurality of sweeps of a sensor of the in-situ monitoring system across the substrate.

**12.** The computer program product of claim 11, comprising instructions to, for each respective trace perform edge reconstruction on the respective trace to generate a modified trace having modified thickness values, thereby generating a sequence of modified traces.

**13.** The computer program product of claim 12, comprising instructions to, for each respective trace calculate an effective thickness value for the zone from the modified thickness values from the zone for the respective trace, thereby generating the sequence of effective thickness values.

**14.** The computer program product of claim 13, wherein the instructions to calculate the effective thickness value for the zone from the modified thickness values comprises instructions to averaging modified thickness values from the zone.

**15.** A method of chemical mechanical polishing, comprising: receiving a starting thickness value of a conductive outer layer in a zone on a substrate, the starting thickness value generated prior to polishing and representing a thickness of the outer layer in the zone prior to polishing; receiving an initial target thickness value for the conductive outer layer in the zone on the substrate, the initial target thickness profile generated prior to polishing and representing a desired thickness for the outer layer in the zone after polishing; polishing the outer layer on the substrate; monitoring the substrate during the polishing with an in-situ monitoring system so as to generate a sequence of signal values that depend on a thickness of the conductive outer layer and on thickness and/or conductivity of one or more underlying layers below the outer layer; converting the sequence of signal values from the zone into a sequence of effective thickness values for the zone with each effective thickness value including contributions of the outer layer and the one or more underlying layers; fitting a function to the sequence of effective thickness values; determining an effective starting thickness value for the layer at a start of polishing using the fitted function; calculating an adjusted target thickness value based on the initial target value, the effective starting thickness value, and the starting thickness value; and at least one of detecting a polishing endpoint or modifying a polishing parameter based on the sequences of effective thickness values and the adjusted target thickness value.

**16.** The method of claim 15, wherein the in-situ monitoring system comprises an eddy current monitoring system.

**17.** A polishing system, comprising: a platen to support a polishing pad; a carrier head to hold a substrate in contact with the polishing pad; a motor to generate relative motion between the carrier head and the platen; an eddy current monitoring system to monitor the substrate during polishing and generate a sequence of signal values that depend on a thickness of an conductive outer layer being polished and on thickness and/or conductivity of one or more underlying layers below the outer layer; and a controller configured to receive a starting thickness value of the conductive outer layer in a zone on a substrate, the starting thickness value generated prior to polishing and representing a thickness of the outer layer in the zone prior to polishing, receive an initial target thickness value for the conductive outer layer in the zone on the substrate, the initial target thickness profile generated prior to polishing and representing a desired thickness for the outer layer in the zone after polishing, receive the sequence of signal values from the eddy current monitoring system, convert the sequence of signal values from the zone into a sequence of effective thickness values for the zone with each effective thickness value including contributions of the outer layer and the one or more underlying layers, fit a function to the sequence of effective thickness values, determine an effective starting thickness value for the layer at a start of polishing using the fitted

function, calculate an adjusted target thickness value based on the initial target value, the effective starting thickness value, and the starting thickness value, and at least one of detect a polishing endpoint or modify a polishing parameter based on the sequences of effective thickness values and the adjusted target thickness value.

**18.** The system of claim 17, wherein the controller is configured to calculate the adjusted target thickness value comprise instructions to calculate an adjustment based on a difference between the starting thickness value and the initial target value.

**19.** The system of claim 18, wherein the controller is configured to calculate the adjusted target thickness value comprise instructions to subtract the adjustment from the effective starting thickness value.

**20.** The system of claim 19, wherein the controller is configured to calculate the adjustment comprise instructions to multiply the difference between the starting thickness value and the initial target value by a constant.

**21.** The system of claim 20, wherein the constant is between 1.0 and 1.2.

---