# US Patent & Trademark Office Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent Application Publication Kind Code Publication Date Inventor(s) 20250258756 A1 August 14, 2025

SUBRAMANIAN; Krishnan Harihara et al.

# AUTOMATION TOOL FOR ENTITY MANIPULATION LANGUAGE (EML) SCENARIOS

## **Abstract**

According to some embodiments, systems and methods are provided including one or more models, each model defining a respective data entity; a memory storing processor-executable program code; and a processing unit to execute the processor-executable program code to cause the system to: receive a request to execute a test executable code for a first model of the one or more models; identify a model framework for the first model; generate the test executable code for the first model based on the identified model framework; generate an output via execution of the test executable code; and report an analysis of the generated output.

Inventors: SUBRAMANIAN; Krishnan Harihara (Bangalore, IN), BHANDARKAR; Dinesh

(Bangalore, IN)

**Applicant: SAP SE** (Walldorf, DE)

Family ID: 1000007686409

Appl. No.: 18/436221

Filed: February 08, 2024

# **Publication Classification**

**Int. Cl.: G06F11/36** (20250101); **G06F8/35** (20180101)

U.S. Cl.:

CPC **G06F11/3684** (20130101); **G06F8/35** (20130101); **G06F11/3698** (20250101);

# **Background/Summary**

#### BACKGROUND

[0001] Test automation tools may test applications to verify functional and/or non-functional requirements via automated test scripts that test an end-to-end (E2E) scenario. An E2E scenario may include a sequence of process steps/tasks that may be supported by tools that execute program code to perform each of the steps automatically with minimal input from a user. Very often, users may encounter issues during execution of the E2E scenario, which may not be identified until completion of the E2E scenario. As a non-exhaustive example, for a Service Execution E2E scenario, there may be an error in an invoice creation task due to an issue with a pricing task, such that the service is completed but the work cannot be billed. Conventionally, the error is unknown until the invoice is not created, even though the error occurred in the pricing task. [0002] The task steps may be performed via an OData protocol that provides for two computer systems to exchange data with each other via Representational State Transfer (RESTful) Application Programming Interfaces (API). A test automation tool (e.g., Postman®) may be used to test the exchange of data between the two computer systems. However, OData protocol may require a particular user interface or web API to access the appropriate data. Instead of an OData protocol, the process steps may be performed via queries written in an Entity Manipulation Language (EML). EML consists of statements that may be used to access and manipulate the data (e.g., perform the process steps) without using a particular user interface or web API. Presently there is no tool available to test the EML scenarios.

[0003] Systems and methods are desired to create and test E2E scenarios using EML.

# **Description**

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0004] Features and advantages of the example embodiments, and the manner in which the same are accomplished, will become more readily apparent with reference to the following detailed description taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings.

- [0005] FIG. **1** is a block diagram of an E2E scenario according to some embodiments.
- [0006] FIG. **2** is a block diagram of an architecture according to some embodiments.
- [0007] FIG. **3** is a flow diagram of a process according to some embodiments.
- [0008] FIG. **4** is a block diagram of a business object and RAP business object according to some embodiments.
- [0009] FIG. **5** is a CDS view table according to some embodiments.
- [0010] FIG. **6** is a hierarchy tree according to some embodiments.
- [0011] FIG. 7 is a list form of the hierarchy tree according to some embodiments.
- [0012] FIG. **8**A is a diagram illustrating a RAP business object definition according to some embodiments.
- [0013] FIG. **8**B is a continuation of FIG. **8**A according to some embodiments.
- [0014] FIG. **8**C is a continuation of FIG. **8**B according to some embodiments.
- [0015] FIG. **9** is a diagram illustrating a user interface according to some embodiments.
- [0016] FIG. **10** is a diagram illustrating a user interface according to some embodiments.
- [0017] FIG. **11** is a diagram illustrating a user interface according to some embodiments.
- [0018] FIG. **12** is a flow diagram of a process according to some embodiments.
- [0019] FIG. **13** is a diagram illustrating a test script query according to some embodiments.
- [0020] FIG. **14**A is a diagram illustrating another test script query according to some embodiments.
- [0021] FIG. **14**B is a diagram illustrating another way of representing the test script query in FIG.
- **14**A according to some embodiments.
- [0022] FIG. **15** is a diagram illustrating a definition according to some embodiments.
- [0023] FIG. **16** illustrates training of a machine learning model according to some embodiments.

[0024] FIG. **17** is a block diagram of a cloud-based database deployment architecture according to some embodiments.

[0025] Throughout the drawings and the detailed description, unless otherwise described, the same drawing reference numerals will be understood to refer to the same elements, features and structures. The relative size and depiction of these elements may be exaggerated or adjusted for clarity, illustration, and/or convenience.

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0026] The following description is provided to enable any person in the art to make and use the described embodiments and sets forth the best mode contemplated for carrying out some embodiments. Various modifications, however, will remain readily apparent to those in the art. [0027] One or more specific embodiments of the present invention will be described below. In an effort to provide a concise description of these embodiments, all features of an actual implementation may not be described in the specification. It should be appreciated that in the development of any such actual implementation, as in any engineering or design project, numerous implementation-specific decisions must be made to achieve the developer's specific goals, such as compliance with system-related and business-related constraints, which may vary from one implementation to another. Moreover, it should be appreciated that such a development effort might be complex and time consuming, but would nevertheless be a routine undertaking of design, fabrication, and manufacture for those of ordinary skill having the benefit of this disclosure. [0028] One or more embodiments or elements thereof can be implemented in the form of a computer program product including a non-transitory computer readable storage medium with computer usable program code for performing the method steps indicated herein. Furthermore, one or more embodiments or elements thereof can be implemented in the form of a system (or apparatus) including a memory, and at least one processor that is coupled to the memory and operative to perform exemplary method steps. Yet further, in another aspect, one or more embodiments or elements thereof can be implemented in the form of means for carrying out one or more of the method steps described herein; the means can include (i) hardware module(s), (ii) software module(s) stored in a computer readable storage medium (or multiple such media) and implemented on a hardware processor, or (iii) a combination of (i) and (ii); any of (i)-(iii) implement the specific techniques set forth herein.

[0029] As described above, test automation tools may test applications to verify functional and/or non-functional requirements via automated test scripts (executable code) that test an end-to-end (E2E) scenario. An E2E scenario may include a sequence of process steps/tasks that may be supported by tools that execute program code to perform each of the steps automatically with minimal input from a user. Very often, users may encounter issues during execution of the E2E scenario, which may not be identified until completion of the E2E scenario. Each process step may be associated with a business object. Real objects, such as a service order, or a sales order, may be represented as business objects. A business object is a semantic entity that represents the smallest data unit in a business scenario. The business object is a container for the data and processes specified in its fields while hiding the structure and implementation details of the underlying data. As used herein, the terms "business object" and "data entity" may be used interchangeably. Conventionally, a business object may be implemented via an Advanced Business Application Programming (ABAP) Representational State Transfer (RESTful) (provided by SAP®) Application Programming Interface (API) architecture RESTful ABAP Programming Model (RAP). These business objects may be referred to as RAP business objects. A RESTful API is a way of accessing web services via an OData protocol without having any processing. The RESTful API may use HTTP requests to access and use data of the RAP business object, for example. The use of OData protocol, however, may require a particular application user interface (UI) or web API to access the appropriate data. The conventional automation tools may use an OData protocol to execute the automated test scripts.

[0030] Another method for accessing RAP business objects (individually and included in an E2E scenario) may be via Entity Manipulation Language (EML). EML is a form of ABAP language and may provide particular syntaxes to control business object behavior. EML may provide a means to type-save read and to modify access to data in transactional development scenarios. EML provides for direct access to business objects without the need for a particular application UI or web API. The EML may interact with business objects by triggering their supported operations for specified entities. The triggered operation may be used to check the transactional behavior of the business object. An operation may be triggered by EML if the operation is specified for the particular entity in a behavior definition for that entity. While EML may be used to check the transactional behavior of the business object, it is used manually, whereby each query and entity of an E2E scenario is manually tested individually. The manual testing is time consuming and may be an error-prone

process. [0031] Pursuant to some embodiments, an EML automation tool is provided to automatically test EML E2E scenarios. Unlike conventional API methodology that uses a signature of a business object entity to execute an operation, the EML automation tool statically specifies a target business object as the entity for use in the operation. Embodiments provide READ and MODIFY statements to access transactional scenarios of objects and may use a COMMIT statement for triggering a save sequence. These syntaxes (READ, MODIFY, COMMIT) may be used to perform the transactional behavior (Create, Update, Delete, Read, etc.) of business objects as defined in a behavior definition, according to one or more embodiments. The EML automation tool may provide for the creation of E2E automation scenarios, the editing of existing scenarios, and the execution of the scenarios (as defined by the user or predefined scenarios) through EML. Each business object may be connected to an appropriate RAP model. During execution of the E2E scenario, data is retrieved as per the appropriate RAP model, and the sequence of objects is executed as defined in the scenario workflow to retrieve the results. In one or more embodiments, the results may be assigned to a particular category based on a machine learning algorithm. Per the assignment, potential issues in the E2E scenario may be identified such that they may be addressed. [0032] FIG. 1 shows an end-to-end (E2E) scenario 100 according to some embodiments. In particular, an organization may have one or more particular tasks **102** (e.g., 1-6) ("process steps") that may be executed to complete a process. Together the sequence of tasks **102** may be part of the E2E scenario 100, such that the E2E scenario comprises and is defined by a series of steps/tasks

**102** that are completed to obtain/complete an objective. As a non-exhaustive example, consider the process of servicing an equipment malfunction as an E2E scenario **100**. The tasks may include creating a service quote (Task 1), receiving acceptance of the quote from the customer (Task 2), creating a service order for an onsite repair (Task 3), dispatching the service order to a technician (Task 4), the technician performing the service (Task 5), and then creating an invoice for the completed service (Task **6**). For each of these tasks, transactional data may be created. [0033] FIG. 2 is a block diagram of an architecture 200 according to some embodiments. The illustrated elements of system architecture **200** and of all other architectures depicted herein may be implemented using any suitable combination of computing hardware and/or software that is or becomes known. Such combinations may include one or more programmable processors (microprocessors, central processing units, microprocessor cores, execution threads), one or more non-transitory electronic storage media, and processor-executable program code. In some embodiments, two or more elements of system architecture 200 are implemented by a single computing device, and/or two or more elements of system architecture 200 are co-located. One or more elements of system architecture **200** may be implemented using cloud-based resources, and/or other systems which apportion computing resources elastically according to demand, need, price, and/or any other metric.

[0034] System architecture **200** includes a backend server **202** including a EML automation tool **204**, a local computing system **206** including a browser **208** running a client application **207**, and

user interface **210**. System architecture **200** also includes a database **212**, a database management system (DBMS) **214**, and a client/user **216**. As used herein, the terms "client", "user" and "enduser" may be used interchangeably.

[0035] The backend server **202** may include server applications **205**. Server applications **205** may comprise server-side executable program code (e.g., compiled code, scripts, etc.) executing within the backend server **202** to receive queries/requests from clients/users **216**, via the local computing system **206**, and provide results to clients/users **216** based on the data of database **212**, and the output of the EML automation tool **204**. Server applications **205** may provide functionality (e.g., receiving a request via a drag-and-drop operation, data entry, and then retrieving data from the database **212** based on the request, processing the retrieved data and providing the data via the user interface **210** to clients/users **216**).

[0036] The backend server **202** may provide any suitable interfaces through which clients/users **216** may communicate with the EML automation tool **204**, or applications **205/207** executing thereon. The backend server **202** may include a Hyper Text Transfer Protocol (HTTP) interface supporting a transient request/response protocol over Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol (TCP/IP), a WebSocket interface supporting non-transient full-duplex communications which implement the WebSocket protocol over a single TCP/IP connection, and/or an Open Data Protocol (OData) interface. Backend server **202** may be separated from or closely integrated with DBMS **214**. A closely-integrated backend server **202** may enable execution of applications **205** completely on the database platform, without the need for an additional server. For example, backend server 202 may provide a comprehensive set of embedded services which provide end-toend support for Web-based applications. Backend server **202** may provide application services (e.g., via functional libraries) which applications **205** may use to manage and query the database files stored in the database **212**. The application services can be used to expose the database data model, with its tables, hierarchies, views and database procedures, to clients/users **216**. In addition to exposing the data model, backend server **202** may host system services such as a search service, and the like.

[0037] Local computing system **206** may comprise a computing system operated by local user **216**. Local computing system **206** may comprise a laptop computer, a desktop computer, or a tablet computer, but embodiments are not limited thereto. Local computing system **206** may consist of any combination of computing hardware and software suitable to allow local computing system **206** to execute program code to cause the local computing system **206** to perform the functions described herein and to store such program code and associated data.

[0038] Generally, local computing system **206** executes one or more of applications **207** to provide functionality to client/user **216**. Applications **207** may comprise any software applications that are or become known, including but not limited to automation applications. As will be described below, applications **207** may comprise web applications which execute within a browser **208** of local computing system **206** and interact with corresponding server applications **205** to provide desired functionality. The client application **207** may send a user interface request (or other suitable request) to a server-side or back-end application ("server application") **205** for execution thereof. For example, when a user clicks on a button or enters information via a UI of the client application **207**, a request is sent to the backend server **202**. The backend server then responds with what needs to be rendered/content that is then provided to the client application. The user **216** may interact with the resulting displayed user interface **210** output from the execution of applications, to configure an RAP business object projection view, execute an automation test, and analyze an output of the executed automation test.

[0039] The client/user **216** may access the EML automation tool **204** executing within the backend server **202** to configure the E2E scenarios **218** for testing. The EML automation tool **204** may identify a hierarchical structure for each business object in the scenario and then generate test queries to test the operations associated with those business objects, as described further below.

[0040] The EML automation tool **204** may access data in the database **212** and retrieve the data so that it is provided at runtime. While discussed further below, the database **212** may store data representing scenarios **218** and tasks **220** and other suitable data. Selection of a given scenario **218** and execution of an automate **222** for that scenario may result in the retrieval of information based on a mapping per a BO to RAP mapping table **224**. The mapping is of the business objects in the scenario to RAP BO **228**. The mapping is based on a behavior definition ("definition") **226** of the RAP business object **228** stored on the database **212**. Database **212** represents any suitable combination of volatile (e.g., Random Access Memory) and non-volatile (e.g., fixed disk) memory used by the system to store the data.

[0041] One or more applications **205**/**207** executing on backend server **202** or local computing system **206** may communicate with DBMS **214** using database management interfaces such as, but not limited to, Open Database Connectivity (ODBC) and Java Database Connectivity (JDBC) interfaces. These types of applications **205**/**207** may use Structured Query Language (SQL) to manage and query data stored in database **212**.

[0042] DBMS **214** serves requests to store, retrieve and/or modify data of database **212**, and also performs administrative and management functions. Such functions may include snapshot and backup management, indexing, optimization, garbage collection, and/or any other database functions that are or become known. DBMS **214** may also provide application logic, such as database procedures and/or calculations, according to some embodiments. This application logic may comprise scripts, functional libraries and/or compiled program code. DBMS **214** may comprise any query-responsive database system that is or becomes known, including but not limited to a structured-query language (i.e., SQL) relational database management system. [0043] Database **212** may store data used by at least one of: applications **205/207** and the EML automation tool **204**. For example, database **212** may store the business object data mapped to a particular task, which may be accessed by the EML automation tool **204** during execution thereof. [0044] Database **212** may comprise any query-responsive data source or sources that are or become known, including but not limited to a structured-query language (SQL) relational database management system. Database **212** may comprise a relational database, a multi-dimensional database, an extensible Markup Language (XML) document, or any other data storage system storing structured and/or unstructured data. The data of database 212 may be distributed among several relational databases, dimensional databases, and/or other data sources. Embodiments are not limited to any number or types of data sources.

[0045] Presentation of a user interface as described herein may comprise any degree or type of rendering, depending on the type of user interface code generated by the backend server **202**/local computing system **206**.

[0046] For example, the client/user **216** may execute the browser **208** to request and receive a Web page (e.g., in HTML format) from a server application **205** of backend server **202** to provide the user interface **210** via HTTP, HTTPS, and/or WebSocket, and may render and present the Web page according to known protocols.

[0047] FIG. **3** illustrates a process **300** for testing a scenario in accordance with an example embodiment. The process **300**, and other processes described herein, may be performed by a database node, a cloud platform, a server, a computing system (user device), a combination of devices/nodes, or the like, according to some embodiments. In one or more embodiments, the system architecture **200** may be conditioned to perform the process **300**, and other processes described herein, such that a processing unit **1735** (FIG. **17**) of the system architecture **200** is a special purpose element configured to perform operations not performable by a general-purpose computer or device.

[0048] All processes mentioned herein may be executed by various hardware elements and/or embodied in processor-executable program code read from one or more of non-transitory computer-readable media, such as a hard drive, a floppy disk, a CD-ROM, a DVD-ROM, a Flash

drive, Flash memory, a magnetic tape, and solid state Random Access Memory (RAM) or Read Only Memory (ROM) storage units, and then stored in a compressed, uncompiled and/or encrypted format. In some embodiments, hard-wired circuitry may be used in place of, or in combination with, program code for implementation of processes according to some embodiments. Embodiments are therefore not limited to any specific combination of hardware and software. [0049] Prior to execution of the process, each business object **402** (FIG. **4**) is configured as a RAP business object (BO) **404**. A BO is a common term to represent real-world artifacts in application development, such as a product, or sales order. In FIG. **4**, the Service Quotation BO **402** is represented as an I\_ServiceQuotationTP RAP BO **404**; the Service Contract BO **402** is represented as an I\_ServiceContractTP RAP BO **404**, the Service Order BO **402** is represented as the I\_ServiceOrderTP RAP BO **404**, etc. In general, a BO contains several nodes (e.g., Service Order Items, Service OrderItem Appointment, ServiceOrder Appointment) and common transactional operations for creating, updating and deleting data, etc. A RAP BO **228** has a model framework that is characterized by a structure **225**, a behavior definition **226** and a corresponding runtime implementation ("BO runtime") **227**.

[0050] The structure 225 of the RAP BO 228 may include a Code Data Service (CDS) view (a virtual data model allowing direct access to underlying tables of a database), which defines the structure (e.g., fields) of the RAP BO. As used herein, the terms "view" and "model" may be used interchangeably. A CDS view table **500** is provided in FIG. **5**, including a plurality of parameters for each business object. The CDS view table **500** includes the following parameters: BO Name **504**, BO Technical Name **506**, Reference Field 1 **508** and Reference Field 2 **510**. While Reference Field 1 and Reference Field 2 are shown herein, any suitable number of Reference Fields may be used. The CDS view table **500** includes a description of a value **512** for each parameter. For example, a Business Object (BO) Name value is provided for the BO Name parameter 504; a BO root view value is provided for the BO Technical Name parameter **506**; a Reference field used value is provided for the Reference Field 1 parameter **508**; and a Reference field used value is provided for the Reference Field 2 parameter **510**. FIG. **5** also includes a non-exhaustive example of a CDS view table 550. Here, the Service Contract BO Name has a BO Technical Name of "I\_ServiceContractTP," a Reference Field 1 of "ReferenceServiceContract," and a Reference Field 2 of "ReferenceServiceContractItem." Additionally, the Service Quotation BO Name has a BO Technical Name of "I\_ServiceQuotationTP," a Reference Field 1 of "ReferenceServiceQtan," and a Reference Field 2 of "ReferenceServiceQtanItem." It is noted that in some instances, the BO may not include any reference fields. Continuing with the example in table **550**, the Service Confirmation BO Name has a BO Technical Name of "I\_ServiceConfirmationTP" and no reference fields. With respect to mapping the BO to a CDS view, the mapping may help to fetch the value by executing a corresponding CDS view. In this case, the EML automation tool 204 may execute the I\_ServiceContractTP as the BO root view and fetch the respective details when the ReferenceServiceContract field is received. In an I\_ServiceOrderTP CREATE operation, the result may be the Service Contract fetched value.

[0051] The RAP BO structure may be organized as a hierarchical tree structure **600** of nodes **602** (FIG. **6**), representing the fields, where the nodes are linked by associations (e.g., ServiceOrder, ServiceOrderItem, ServiceOrderItemDuration, etc.). The hierarchy may also be represented in list form (hierarchy list) **700** as shown in FIG. **7**. A root entity **604** serves as a representation of the business object and defines the top node within the hierarchy on the RAP BO structure. This may be noted in the definition **800** with the keyword ROOT for the root entity **802**, as described further below with respect to FIGS. **8**A, **8**B and **8**C. Additionally, the relationship between the entities in the hierarchy may be expressed by the keyword ASSOCIATION **804** (FIG. **8**B), as described further below with respect to FIGS. **8**A, **8**B and **8**C.

[0052] The RAP BO behavior definition **226**/**800** describes operations performable by the BO. The behavior definition specifies which of the standard operations (e.g., create, update, delete, etc.) are

allowed, as well as the field properties for the fields in the structure (CDS view). The behavior includes a behavior characteristic and a set of operations for each entity represented by a node of the business object's hierarchy tree. The operations may be performed through entities exposed by the RAP BO. The behavior definition may also contain the definition of validations (e.g., check that the data is correct when a record is created/updated), determinations (e.g., modify instances of BO based on trigger conditions) and actions (e.g., non-standard operations used to provide customized, business-logic-specific behavior (e.g., approving a purchase order or canceling a flight are activities implemented as an action)).

[0053] The BO runtime **227** may include an interaction phase in which a consumer calls the BO operations to change data and read instances with or without the transactional changes. The BO runtime keeps the changes in its internal transactional buffer which represents the state of the instance data. After all changes are performed, the data may be persisted per a save sequence. In EML, the save sequence is via a COMMIT syntax.

[0054] As described above EML enables access to the RAP BOs via a particular syntax and the RAP BOs may be accessed during execution of a test of an E2E scenario written in EML. As described above, process **300** of FIG. **3** describes execution of a test of an E2E scenario written in EML during an interaction phase of the BO runtime.

[0055] Initially, at S**310**, a scenario ("scenario") is selected. As noted above, while the scenarios described herein may be E2E scenarios, embodiments may apply to scenarios that are not E2E scenarios, but rather a scenario with one or more tasks. As a non-exhaustive example, a Service Order Template to Service Order may be a scenario that is not an E2E scenario, as the Service Order Template to Service Order scenario may not test whether different software pieces work together (e.g., a single software piece performs all of the tasks). The scenario may be selected from a list **902** of one or more scenarios **904** provided on a scenario user interface (UI) **900** (FIG. **9**) by the EML automation tool **204**. While selection here is via a radio button, other suitable selection methods may be used. The list **902** may include for each scenario **904**, a scenario description **906** and a scenario status **908**. The scenarios **904** in the list **902** may be pre-defined scenarios that may or may not have been tested by the EML automation tool **204**. The previously tested scenarios may have a status 908 of one of Success, No Errors, Failed, Rerun Required, or other suitable status. The scenario that has not been tested may have a status **908** of Not Yet Run. Instead of selecting a scenario **904** from the list **902**, the user may search for a scenario via a search box **910**, or may select a scenario not shown in the list 902 from a drop-down menu control 912. The scenario UI **900** may also include an edit control **914**, a delete control **916** and an add control **918**. The user may edit a selected scenario **904** via selection of the edit control **914**; delete a scenario **904** via selection of the delete control **916**; and add a new scenario via selection of the add control **918**. The scenario UI 900 may also include a "Test Execution" control 920. Selection of the Test Execution control **920** initiates execution of the test.

[0056] After selection of a scenario **904** in S**310**, the EML automation tool **204** executes a test script ("test executable code") for the selected scenario in S**312** in response to selection of test execution control **920**. The data used in execution of the test may be selected by the EML automation tool from the database **212**. In one or more embodiments the data and/or the test script may be modified by the user and the test script may be re-executed. Test script execution may be described further below with respect to FIG. **12**.

[0057] Then in S**314** a test output **1002** (FIG. **10**) is generated in response to execution of the test script. The test output **1002** may be received by the user. The test output **1002** may be Success, Failure, Rerun, etc.

[0058] FIG. **10** provides a test output UI display **1000**. Here, a Scheduling Options Tab **1004** is selected. The test output **1002** may be indicated via a "Log Status". Here, the "Log Status" is "Success" indicating a successful test output. The test output **1002** may be logged and stored. Selection of a Run Details Tab **1006** may result in a display of a Detail UI **1050**. The Detail UI

1050 may include an output type 1052 reiterating the test output, a description 1054 of the test, and a time stamp 1056 of the test.

[0059] FIG. 11 provides the test output UI display 1000, as shown in FIG. 10, but for a failed test output. Here, the "Log Status" is "Error". As above with FIG. 10, selection of a Run Details Tab 1006 may result in a display of a Detail UI 1050. In a case the Log Status is "Error," the description 1054 may include a link 1102 for more information about the error. Selection of the link 1102 may result in the display of an additional details pop-up window 1125. The additional details pop-up window 1125 may include a diagnosis for the error 1127, a system response 1129 to address the error and a resolution procedure 1131 for resolving the error. The diagnosis for the error 1127, system response 1129 and resolution procedure 1131 may be populated based on an application of a machine learning model.

[0060] FIG. **12** illustrates a process **1200** for EML test script execution ("test execution") of S**312** of FIG. **3** in accordance with an example embodiment. During test execution, test scripts for the scenarios may be autogenerated and executed for business objects determined to be part of the scenario. Test execution may include execution of a Pre-Learning Phase, a Data Extraction Phase, an Execution Phase and a Reporting Phase.

Pre-Learning Phase

[0061] Initially, at S1210 a RAP BO model definition 800 is retrieved. During the pre-learning phase the EML automation tool 204 traverses the retrieved RAP BO model definition 800 for each BO included in the selected scenario and in S1212 identifies, for that selected scenario, the available entities (e.g., child entities for the root entity), the supported operations, and field classification (e.g., as mandatory or read only, etc.). In a case the scenario is selected via the scenario UI 900, a mapping between the business object and root entity 802 of the definition 800 is determined via the pre-configured BO to RAP mapping table 224, such that the root entity 802 is identified in response to the scenario selection input from the UI. Pursuant to embodiments, the traversal may include a process that analyzes each business object in the scenario, including an analysis of the different operations. As a non-exhaustive examples, if the operation is "Read Entity," the Validate\_Read\_Entity (operation, entity, association) is called; if the operation is "Read Entity By Association, the Validate\_Read\_Entity\_by\_Assoc(operation, entity association) is called, etc. In the case of the Read operation, for example, the entity and its corresponding association are read.

[0062] As described above, FIGS. **8**A and **8**B provide a non-exhaustive example of an RAP BO model definition **800** that may define the root entity **802**. The root entity **802** may serve as a representation of the business object. Continuing with the non-exhaustive example shown herein, I\_ServiceOrderTP is the root entity **802**. As noted above, the root entity **802** serves as a representation of the business object and defines the top node within the hierarchy on the BO structure. Additionally, the relationship between the entities **806** (FIG. **8**B) in the BO hierarchy may be expressed in the definition by the keyword ASSOCIATION **804**. Continuing with the non-exhaustive example shown herein, each of entities **806** ServiceOrderItemTP, SrvcOrdReferenceObejctTP, SrvcOrdUserStatusTP, etc. is associated with the I\_ServiceOrderTP root entity **802**. It is noted that different entities may have their own further defined and dependent entities lower in the hierarchy.

[0063] The definition **800** also includes an operation enablement status **808** (FIG. **8**B) for each entity **806**. The operation enablement status **808** may indicate the operations the entity is enabled for (e.g., whether the entity **806** is Create Enabled/Updated Enabled, both, etc.). Here, I\_ServiceOrderTP has both Create and Update defined, meaning I\_ServiceOrderTP entity is create and update enabled. Similarly, I\_ServiceOrderItemTP is update and delete enabled. [0064] The entities **806** may also include one or more fields **809** (FIG. **8**C). The definition **800** may include a field status indicator **810** (FIG. **8**C) indicating which fields/entities are Read-Only, Mandatory, Restricted, etc. A value is required in a mandatory field during the test, otherwise the

test may fail. Here, ServiceOrder is read only, while ServiceOrderType is mandatory. Service Order Type is a mandatory field for creating a service order and without a value in this field, the service order will fail. Similarly, the SoldToParty field has a field status indicator **810** of mandatory. These two fields-ServiceOrderType and SoldToParty-need values in order to create a service order, and by extension also needs values in a test of creating a service order.

[0065] Continuing with the pre-learning phase, after the entities and corresponding relation with other entities are identified for the root entity, the tree structure **600** may be generated in S**1214** for the entities and their relationship with the root entity.

#### Data Extraction Phase

[0066] Then, during the Data Extraction Phase, the EML automation tool **204** may confirm data is present for each entity included in the RAP BO **228** in S**1216**. For the confirmation of present data, the EML automation tool **204** may read each entity via execution of an EML Read query for each entity. Reading the entity results in an output of a count of the number of entries for that entity. In a case the count is zero, no data is present. In a case the count is greater than zero, data is present. In a case data is returned in response to the query (e.g., data is present for the entity), the EML automation tool **204** may continue to the Execution Phase. In a case no data is returned (e.g., no data is present for the entity), the EML automation tool **204** may try to create data using the mandatory fields in the definition **800**. After creating data, the EML automation tool **204** may again confirm the presence of data per S**1216**. An error may be reported with a request to the user to create data in a case the EML automation tool **204** cannot create the data. The determination the EML automation tool **204** cannot create the data may be based on a pre-set number of tries to create data and/or an amount of time spent trying to create the data. The user may create the data through a user interface/API, etc.

### **Execution Phase**

[0067] During the Execution Phase, the RAP BO **228** is tested with Create Read Update Delete (CRUD) operations. In one or more embodiments, the operations that are tested may include, but are not limited to, Read Entity, Read Entity by Association, Create Entity, Create Entity by Association, Delete Entity, Update Entity, Execute Action, Execution Function, Validate Locks Authorization Verification through privileged mode and unprivileged mode, Execute user-defined EML scrips. It is noted that in a privileged mode, authorization checks may be ignored when the EML statements are executed; while in an unprivileged mode authorization checks may be adhered to (e.g., may not be ignored). The RAP BO definition **800** may specify authorization objects as being privileged per a "define authorization context" block in the definition that marks the objects as requiring "no check when privileged". Pursuant to some embodiments, the default mode may include performance of authorization validations.

[0068] To test the model with the operations, EML test script queries ("EML queries") **1300** (FIG. **13**) are generated dynamically using EML syntax in S**1218**. From the previous phases, the EML automation tool **204** has identified the entities and the operations that these entities support. The EML automation tool creates the EML queries based on the identified entities and supported operations using the EML syntax for the particular operations. As a non-exhaustive example, FIG. **13** includes EML syntax **1300** for non-exhaustive examples of EML READ operation **1302** and an EML CREATE operation **1304**. It is noted that COMMIT Entities **1306** relates to committing/saving the information to a database. FIG. **14**A includes another non-exhaustive example of an EML query **1400**. Pursuant to some embodiments, the EML query is generated by first taking the root entity as an input, then reading all of the child entities for the root entity (which may be done through the entities) and performing the operations for each entity.

[0069] As a non-exhaustive example, each entity for the BO "I\_ServiceOrderTP" is looped through

by first constructing an op\_tab internal table, which is an internal table holding the entity and sub-

entity information. Then the EML query statement is created. For a Validate\_Read\_Entity operation, the EML statement may be: (e.g., READ ENTITIES OERATIONS op\_read\_tabl

FAILED data (IT\_failed) REPORTED (Data(It\_reported). During creation of the EML query statement, the PRIVILEGED keyword (e.g., READ ENTITIES PRIVILEGED OPERATIONS) may be added. Next, all the fields of the entity are retrieved, and the results field of op\_read\_tabl internal tables are stored. The generated Read EML query statement is then executed. [0070] FIG. **14**B includes a dynamic EML query **1450**, which is another way of representing the EML as compared to the EML query **1400** of FIG. **14**A.

[0071] As another non-exhaustive example, consider a Validate\_Create\_entity operation for the entity I\_ServiceOrderTP. Each entity in the business object I\_ServiceOrderTP is looped through via the following steps. First, the CDS view for the entity specified is read. In a case the entity is the root entity, all the fields are filled (except the key fields) to construct the op\_create\_tab-instances (internal table). In a case the entity is not the root entity, all the fields are filled along with the key fields of the root entity to construct the op\_create\_tab-instances. The op\_create\_tab (internal table) is constructed. Then the EML query statement is generated. The EML query statement may be MODIFY ENTITIES OPERATIONS op\_create\_tab FAILED DATA(IT\_failed) REPORTED DATA(IT\_reported), where op\_create\_tab is an internal table which holds the entity and association information, It\_failed indicates the EML statement failed reason is stored, and It\_reported indicates the EML statement that is reported will contain the error message for the failure. Next the generated Create EML query statement is executed.

[0072] It is noted that EML may be used to query a parent entity or grandparent entity from a child entity. As a non-exhaustive example, A (header) has a hierarchy of grandparent, B (item) has a hierarchy of parent, and C (Item Partner) has a hierarchy of child, the EML query may get the values of A entity from C entity using the READ operation, for example. As another nonexhaustive example, the association supported by R\_ServiceOrderTP behavior definition **1500** is shown in FIG. **15**. R\_ServiceOrderTP is the root entity per the "authorization dependent by" code **1502**, with the R\_SrvcOrdItmPartnerTP entity per the "define behavior for" code **1504**, the associations **1506** are included in the box **1508**. Here, from the SrvcOrdItemPartner entity, the read query may be performed on any of: 1. ItemPartnerAddress (this is a child entity of SrvcOrdItemPartner), 2. Item (this is a parent of SrvcOrdItemPartner entity), and 3. ServiceOrder (this is a grandparent entity of SrvcOrdItemPartner). It is noted that the prefix "R\_" may indicate a restricted service and so the user cannot directly query on this view. Similarly, other operations (e.g., CRUD operations) may also be performed based on the association specified in the definition. It is further noted that the by-association operations work reciprocally, i.e., a child instance may be read via the parent, and a parent instance may be read via the child. Using this feature of EML, the EML automation tool **204** provides for testing—via the queries—from any node/entity, resulting in a more robust testing ability. The EML automation tool **204** may, at runtime, generate all of the possible EML queries based on the tree structure **600** using the reciprocal arrangement, and then execute them. The depth of the tree may be of any level and may not be restricted to the three levels of the A, B, C example above. Based on the RAP BO **228**, the associations defined in the model determine the levels and automated test cases to be generated.

[0073] Then in S1220 the generated EML queries are executed and a result 230 is output in S1222. Execution of the EML query may use the data confirmed to exist in the previous phases. Reporting Phase

[0074] In the Reporting Phase the accuracy of the output result **230** is determined. In S**1224** a data type indicative of the accuracy may be assigned to the output result **230**. The data type may be one of "Correct data present for the entity", and "Incorrect data present for the entity".

[0075] The data type assignment may be determined via a machine learning model **232** and may be based on a comparison of the output result **230** to an expected result **234**. To generate the expected result, the EML automation tool **204** generates a direct query on the database **212** itself using Structured Query Language (SQL) ("direct query"), for example, for the operation performed via the respective EML query. The EML automation tool **204** then executes the direct query. As a non-

exhaustive example, a READ operation may be performed for the I\_ServiceOrderTP using the direct query (e.g., select \* from I ServiceOrderTP) to generate the expected result **234**; while the READ operation may be performed for the I ServiceOrderTP using the EML query (e.g., READ entities of I SERVICEORDERTP Entity ServiceOrder ALL FIELDS WITH input keys RESULT result\_tab.) to generate the output result 230. The EML automation tool 204 via the machine learning (ML) model 232 then compares the expected result 234 to the output result 230. In a case the expected result **234** matches the output result **230**, the data type assignment may be "Correct data present for the entity." It is noted that a data type assignment of "Correct data present for the entity" may indicate the operation was successfully executed. In a case the expected result does not match the output result, the data type assignment may be "Incorrect data present for the entity." The incorrect data may be the result of a difference of data format and/or invalid data. As a nonexhaustive example of data format differences, the direct query may return an expected result **234** in a date having a format of MMDDYY, while the EML query returns an output result 230 in a date having a format of DDMMYYYY. As a non-exhaustive example of invalid data differences, the direct query may return an expected result **234** of CB123, while the EML query returns an output result **230** of CB456. In a case the data type assignment is "Incorrect data present for the entity," the inaccuracy of the data may be captured and identified as a data format error or an invalid data error per the ML model **232**. In response to the data type assignment, the assignment and further analysis may be sent as a report **236** to the user **216** in S**1226**. In response to the data type assignment of "Incorrect data present for the entity," an error notification may be sent to the user as part of the report **236**. In some embodiments, the error notification may indicate the discrepancy. It is noted that the report **236** may also be sent to the user **216** in a case the data type assignment is "Correct data present for the entity."

[0076] FIG. **16** illustrates a system **1600** for training the Machine Learning (ML) model **1610**, to assign the output result according to some embodiments. The training is based on data input and output by monitoring nodes. Model **1610**, and all other machine learning models described herein, may comprise a network of neurons (e.g., a neural network) which receive input, change internal state according to that input, and produce output depending on the input and internal state. The output of certain neurons is connected to the input of other neurons to form a directed and weighted graph. The weights as well as the functions that compute the internal state can be modified by a training process based on ground truth data.

[0077] Embodiments may comprise any one or more types of models that are or become known, including but not limited to convolutional neural network models, recurrent neural network models, long short-term memory network models, deep reservoir computing and deep echo state network models, deep belief network models, and deep stacking network models. Use of a multilayer model allows for complex non-linear relationships between input parameters and output probabilities. [0078] As is known in the art, the structure of model **1610** is defined by hyperparameters and initial node weights. The hyperparameters and initial node weights are designed to receive a numerical representation of values for various parameters, and output a probability that the node is performing unexpectedly (anomaly). As shown in FIG. **16**, model **1610** is trained based on data **1620**. Data **1620** may consist of detected input parameter values for metrics, and performance KPIs and detected anomalies. The detected anomalies may be considered "ground truth" data corresponding to a respective one of the input values/performance KPIs.

[0079] Training of model **1610** may consist of inputting data **1620** to model **1610**, and operating model **1610** to generate, for each input, prediction of a result assignment **1640**. Loss layer **1612** determines a total loss based on a difference between the predictions of result assignments **1640** and actual result assignment in the data **1620**. The total loss is back-propagated to model **1610** in order to modify parameters of model **1610** (e.g., using known stochastic gradient descent algorithms) in an attempt to minimize the total loss.

[0080] Model **1610** is iteratively modified in the above manner, using a new batch of data **1620** at

each iteration, until the total loss reaches acceptable levels or training otherwise terminates (e.g., due to time constraints or to the loss asymptotically approaching a lower bound). At this point, model **1610** is considered trained. Some embodiments may evaluate a performance of model **1610** based on testing data (e.g., data **1620** which was not used to train model **1610**) and re-train model **1610** differently (e.g., using different initialization, etc.) if the performance is not satisfactory. [0081] FIG. **17** illustrates a cloud-based database deployment **1700** according to some embodiments. The illustrated components may reside in one or more public clouds providing self-service and immediate provisioning, autoscaling, security, compliance and identity management features.

[0082] User device **1710** may interact with applications executing on one of the cloud application server **1720**, for example via a Web Browser executing on user device **1710**, in order to create, read, update and delete data managed by database system **1730**. Database system **1730** may store data as described herein and may execute processes as described herein to cause the execution of the EML automation tool **204** for use with the user device **1710**. Cloud application server **1720** and database system 1730 may comprise cloud-based compute resources, such as virtual machines, allocated by a public cloud provider. As such, cloud application server **1720** and database system **1730** may be subjected to demand-based resource elasticity. Each of the user device **1710**, cloud application server **1720**, and database system **1730** may include a processing unit **1735** that may include one or more processing devices each including one or more processing cores. In some examples, the processing unit **1735** is a multicore processor or a plurality of multicore processors. Also, the processing unit **1735** may be fixed or it may be reconfigurable. The processing unit **1735** may control the components of any of the user device 1710, cloud application server 1720, and database system **1730**. The storage device **1740** may not be limited to a particular storage device and may include any known memory device such as RAM, ROM, hard disk, and the like, and may or may not be included within a database system, a cloud environment, a web server or the like. The storage device **1740** may store software modules or other instructions/executable code which can be executed by the processing unit **1735** to perform the process shown in FIGS. **3** and **12**. According to various embodiments, the storage device **1740** may include a data store having a plurality of tables, records, partitions and sub-partitions. The storage device **1740** may be used to store database records, documents, entries, and the like.

[0083] As will be appreciated based on the foregoing specification, the above-described examples of the disclosure may be implemented using computer programming or engineering techniques including computer software, firmware, hardware or any combination or subset thereof. Any such resulting program, having computer-readable code, may be embodied or provided within one or more non-transitory computer-readable media, thereby making a computer program product, i.e., an article of manufacture, according to the discussed examples of the disclosure. For example, the non-transitory computer-readable media may be, but is not limited to, a fixed drive, diskette, optical disk, magnetic tape, flash memory, external drive, semiconductor memory such as read-only memory (ROM), random-access memory (RAM), and/or any other non-transitory transmitting and/or receiving medium such as the Internet, cloud storage, the Internet of Things (IoT), or other communication network or link. The article of manufacture containing the computer code may be made and/or used by executing the code directly from one medium, by copying the code from one medium to another medium, or by transmitting the code over a network.

[0084] The computer programs (also referred to as programs, software, software applications, "apps", or code) may include machine instructions for a programmable processor and may be implemented in a high-level procedural and/or object-oriented programming language, and/or in assembly/machine language. As used herein, the terms "machine-readable medium" and "computer-readable medium" refer to any computer program product, apparatus, cloud storage, internet of things, and/or device (e.g., magnetic discs, optical disks, memory, programmable logic devices (PLDs)) used to provide machine instructions and/or data to a programmable processor,

including a machine-readable medium that receives machine instructions as a machine-readable signal. The "machine-readable medium" and "computer-readable medium," however, do not include transitory signals. The term "machine-readable signal" refers to any signal that may be used to provide machine instructions and/or any other kind of data to a programmable processor. [0085] The above descriptions and illustrations of processes herein should not be considered to imply a fixed order for performing the process steps. Rather, the process steps may be performed in any order that is practicable, including simultaneous performance of at least some steps. Although the disclosure has been described in connection with specific examples, it should be understood that various changes, substitutions, and alterations apparent to those skilled in the art can be made to the disclosed embodiments without departing from the spirit and scope of the disclosure as set forth in the appended claims.

# **Claims**

- **1.** A system comprising: one or more models, each model defining a respective data entity; a memory storing processor-executable program code; and a processing unit to execute the processor-executable program code to cause the system to: receive a request to execute a test executable code for a first model of the one or more models; identify a model framework for the first model; generate the test executable code for the first model based on the identified model framework; generate an output via execution of the test executable code; and report an analysis of the generated output.
- **2**. The system of claim 1, wherein the model framework includes a model structure and a model definition.
- **3**. The system of claim 2, wherein the definition includes operations supported by the model framework, and a plurality of fields included in the respective data entity.
- **4.** The system of claim 3, wherein each field is marked as one of mandatory and read only.
- **5.** The system of claim 3, wherein the operations supported by the model are at least one of a create operation, a read operation, an update operation, a delete operation, an execute operation, a validate locks operation, and an authorization verification operation.
- **6**. The system of claim 1, wherein each model is a RESTful Application Programming (RAP) model defining the respective data entity.
- 7. The system of claim 1, wherein the generation of the test executable code further comprises processor-executable program code to cause the system to: dynamically generate at least one Entity Manipulation Language (EML) test executable code query based on the model framework; and execute each EML test executable code query.
- **8.** The system of claim 7, wherein the at least one EML test query is generated for each operation supported by the model.
- **9.** The system of claim 1, wherein reporting the analysis further comprises processor-executable program code to cause the system to: generate an expected output; and assign the generated output to a category based on a comparison of the generated output to the expected output.
- **10**. The system of claim 9, wherein the expected output is generated via execution of a Structured Query Language (SQL) query directly on a database table.
- **11.** The system of claim 9, wherein the category is one of: "Correct data present for the entity" and "Incorrect data present for the entity".
- **12**. The system of claim 9, wherein the assignment is via execution of a machine learning algorithm.
- **13.** A computer-implemented method comprising: receiving a request to execute a test executable code for a first model of one or more models, each model defining a respective data entity; identifying a model framework for the first model, the identified model framework including a model structure defining fields for the data entity, a model definition defining operations

- performable by the data entity and a corresponding runtime implementation; dynamically generating the test executable code for the first model as an Entity Manipulation Language (EML) test executable code query, the generated test executable code based on the identified model framework; generating an output via execution of the test executable code; and reporting an analysis of the generated output.
- **14**. The method of claim 13, wherein the operations supported by the model are at least one of a create operation, a read operation, an update operation, a delete operation, an execute operation, a validate locks operation, and an authorization verification operation.
- **15**. The method of claim 13, wherein reporting the analysis further comprises: executing a Structured Query Language (SQL) query directly on a database table to generate an expected output; and assigning the generated output to a category based on a comparison of the generated output to the expected output.
- **16**. A non-transitory computer readable medium having executable instructions stored therein to perform a method, the method comprising: receiving a request to execute a test executable code for a first model of one or more models, each model defining a respective data entity; identifying a model framework for the first model; generating the test executable code for the first model as an Entity Manipulation Language (EML) test executable code query, the generated test executable code based on the identified model framework; generating an output via execution of the test executable code; and reporting an analysis of the generated output.
- **17**. The medium of claim 16, wherein the model framework includes a model structure and a model definition.
- **18.** The medium of claim 16, wherein reporting the analysis further comprises: executing a Structured Query Language (SQL) query directly on a database table to generate an expected output; and assigning the generated output to a category based on a comparison of the generated output to the expected output.
- **19**. The medium of claim 18 wherein the category is one of: "Correct data present for the entity" and "Incorrect data present for the entity".
- **20**. The system of claim 18, wherein the assignment is via execution of a machine learning algorithm.