US 20250256159A1

(19) **United States**

(12) **Patent Application Publication** (10) **Pub. No.: US 2025/0256159 A1**

BODDY (43) **Pub. Date: Aug. 14, 2025**

(54) **PREDICTIVE ANALYSIS SYSTEM FOR ATHLETIC PERFORMANCE**

(71) Applicant: **Driveline Baseball Enterprises LLC**, Kent, WA (US)

(72) Inventor: **Kyle John BODDY**, Seattle, WA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Driveline Baseball Enterprises LLC**, Kent, WA (US)

(21) Appl. No.: **19/048,498**

(22) Filed: **Feb. 7, 2025**

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 63/552,183, filed on Feb. 11, 2024.

Publication Classification

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A63B 24/00 (2006.01)
G06N 20/00 (2019.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC ***A63B 24/0062*** (2013.01); ***A63B 24/0003*** (2013.01); *G06N 20/00* (2019.01)

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A predictive analysis system for forecasting and optimizing athletic performance integrates predictive modeling, domain expertise, and multimodal athlete data into a closed-loop analytics framework that delivers personalized, contextual insights to athletes and coaches. The integrated architecture ingests diverse datasets, leverages sports science to train performant models, and generates actionable analytics to enhance training, inform game strategy, and prevent injury. Continual learning refines predictive models over time, providing accurate, tailored decision support.




100
DATA INGESTION MODULE
102
PREDICTIVE MODELING ENGINE
104
INSIGHTS GENERATOR
106
CONTINUAL LEARNING MODULE
108
USER INTERFACE
110

Figure 1


200
INGEST ATHLETE DATA INTO DATA REPOSITORIES
202
PREPROCESSING AND FEATURE ENGINEERING
204
MODELING
206
USE TRAINED MODELS TO GENERATE FORECASTS AND RISK METRICS
208
ANALYSIS OF FORECASTS AND RISK METRICS BY INSIGHTS GENERATOR
210
IDENTIFY KEY PERFORMANCE FACTORS AND INJURY RISKS
212
PROVIDE PERSONALIZED INSIGHTS AND TRAINING RECOMMENDATIONS
214

Figure 2

PREDICTIVE ANALYSIS SYSTEM FOR ATHLETIC PERFORMANCE

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS/PRIORITY

[0001] This application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 63/552,183, titled "PREDICTIVE ANALYSIS SYSTEM FOR ATHLETIC PERFORMANCE," filed Feb. 12, 2024 which is incorporated by reference into the present disclosure as if fully restated herein. Any conflict between the incorporated material and the specific teachings of this disclosure shall be resolved in favor of the latter. Likewise, any conflict between an art-understood definition of a word or phrase and a definition of the word or phrase as specifically taught in this disclosure shall be resolved in favor of the latter.

TECHNICAL FIELD

[0002] The present disclosure relates to systems and methods for predictive analysis of athletic performance using artificial intelligence and machine learning techniques. More specifically, embodiments relate to a system architecture and techniques for forecasting and optimizing athletic performance through integration of predictive modeling, biomechanical principles, and sports science.

BACKGROUND

[0003] Motion tracking and biomechanical analysis technologies have applications across sports training, physical therapy, industrial workflows, and other domains involving analyzing and improving repetitive human or object motions. There is a need for techniques and systems that can accurately and reliably capture detailed motion data, process it to extract biomechanical parameters, and provide actionable and personalized feedback for correcting deficiencies and improving performance.

[0004] In the field of baseball pitching, the concept of the "kinetic chain" has been established, referring to the sequence of energy transfer through the body during the pitching motion. As explained by Kyle Boddy in the book Hacking the Kinetic Chain, proper timing and sequencing in the kinetic chain is crucial for injury prevention and performance optimization in pitching. However, deficiencies such as "opening up" too early are common and disrupt the kinetic chain. Quantitative analysis of video, sensor data, and metrics like timing of pelvis rotation can identify kinetic chain problems. Prescriptive training programs (e.g., using weighted implements, bands, and other tools) can help ingrain proper mechanics and strengthen the kinetic chain. Data-driven biomechanical analysis combined with sport-specific training can enhance both health and performance outcomes. The kinetic chain principles extend beyond baseball to other sports and athletic motions.

[0005] Therefore, there is a need for techniques and systems that integrate customizable motion capture capabilities, biomechanical analysis programming, kinetic chain principles, and interactive interfaces to provide personalized diagnosis and training programs for health and performance improvement across athletic activities. However, existing systems have limitations in accurately forecasting athletic performance and quantifying injury risk based on biomechanical factors. There is a need for an integrated system architecture capable of ingesting diverse athletic datasets, implementing predictive modeling techniques optimized for sports applications, and providing actionable insights tailored to individual athletes.

SUMMARY

[0006] Disclosed is a predictive analysis system for forecasting and optimizing athletic performance through integration of predictive modeling, biomechanical principles, and sports science. The system employs machine learning techniques and sports science expertise to transform player data into precise, personalized insights that enhance training, inform game strategy, and reduce injury risk.

[0007] An illustrative embodiment of the inventive system comprises a Data Ingestion Module for aggregating structured and unstructured data sources, a Predictive Modeling Engine tuned on domain knowledge to forecast player performance, an Insights Generator for contextual analysis of model outputs, a User Interface for customized visualization and feedback, and a Continual Learning Module to refine predictive accuracy over time. The integrated architecture ingests diverse athletic datasets, leverages sports science expertise to train precise predictive models, and delivers personalized and actionable insights that empower athletes and coaches to enhance performance, prevent injury, and tailor training programs.

[0008] This innovative predictive analysis system marries cutting-edge machine learning and artificial intelligence techniques with in-depth sports science expertise, setting a new standard in precision forecasting for individual athletic performance metrics. Unlike conventional systems, this approach ensures a holistic understanding of an athlete's capabilities and vulnerabilities, offering a tailored optimization strategy across various sports disciplines, from baseball to track and field. Key advantages of some embodiments of the system include:

[0009] Flexible and scalable data ingestion capabilities to aggregate structured and unstructured datasets related to athletic performance from diverse sources.

[0010] A predictive modeling engine optimized on domain knowledge that implements advanced machine learning algorithms, biomechanical principles, and multimodal data to predict performance metrics and quantify injury risks tailored to individual athlete profiles.

[0011] Explainable modeling techniques allowing coaches to understand the key drivers and relationships learned by the models to trust and interpret outputs.

[0012] An insights generator that analyzes model outputs contextually to derive personalized insights and prescriptive, actionable recommendations for optimizing training regimens, game strategy, and injury prevention.

[0013] Continual learning capabilities to refine model accuracy by monitoring new athlete data over time.

[0014] Customizable user interface and visualization options for seamless delivery of forecasts, insights, and feedback to coaches and athletes.

[0015] The integrated system provides a uniquely effective platform for translating diverse athletic data into accurate, individualized forecasts and actionable analytics that empower end users to enhance performance, tailor training, and reduce injury risks.

[0016] Various objects, features, aspects, and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent from the

following detailed description of preferred embodiments of the invention, along with the accompanying drawings in which like numerals represent like components. The present invention may address one or more of the problems and deficiencies of the current technology discussed above. However, it is contemplated that the invention may prove useful in addressing other problems and deficiencies in a number of technical areas. Therefore, the claimed invention should not necessarily be construed as limited to addressing any of the particular problems or deficiencies discussed herein.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0017] The accompanying drawings, which are incorporated in and constitute a part of the specification, illustrate various embodiments of the invention and together with the general description of the invention given above and the detailed description of the drawings given below, serve to explain the principles of the invention. It is to be appreciated that the accompanying drawings are not necessarily to scale since the emphasis is instead placed on illustrating the principles of the invention. The invention will now be described, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

[0018] FIG. **1** shows a block diagram of an embodiment of the predictive analysis system architecture; and

[0019] FIG. **2** shows a flowchart of the predictive analysis and feedback operations.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF ILLUSTRATIVE EMBODIMENTS

[0020] The present invention will be understood by reference to the following detailed description, which should be read in conjunction with the appended drawings. It is to be appreciated that the following detailed description of various embodiments is by way of example only and is not meant to limit, in any way, the scope of the present invention. In the summary above, in the following detailed description, in the claims below, and in the accompanying drawings, reference is made to particular features (including method steps) of the present invention. It is to be understood that the disclosure of the invention in this specification includes all possible combinations of such particular features, not just those explicitly described. For example, where a particular feature is disclosed in the context of a particular aspect or embodiment of the invention or a particular claim, that feature can also be used, to the extent possible, in combination with and/or in the context of other particular aspects and embodiments of the invention, and in the invention generally. The terms "comprise(s)," "include(s)," "having," "has," "can," "contain(s)," and grammatical equivalents and variants thereof, as used herein, are intended to be open-ended transitional phrases, terms, or words that do not preclude the possibility of additional acts or structures. are used herein to mean that other components, ingredients, steps, etc. are optionally present. For example, an article "comprising" (or "which comprises") components A, B, and C can consist of (i.e., contain only) components A, B, and C, or can contain not only components A, B, and C but also one or more other components. The singular forms "a," "and" and "the" include plural references unless the context clearly dictates otherwise. Where reference is made herein to a method comprising two or more defined steps, the defined steps can be carried out in any order or simultaneously (except where the context excludes that possibility), and the method can include one or more other steps which are carried out before any of the defined steps, between two of the defined steps, or after all the defined steps (except where the context excludes that possibility).

[0021] The term "at least" followed by a number is used herein to denote the start of a range beginning with that number (which may be a range having an upper limit or no upper limit, depending on the variable being defined). For example "at least 1" means 1 or more than 1. The term "at most" followed by a number is used herein to denote the end of a range ending with that number (which may be a range having 1 or 0 as its lower limit, or a range having no lower limit, depending upon the variable being defined). For example, "at most 4" means 4 or less than 4, and "at most 40%" means 40% or less than 40%. When, in this specification, a range is given as "(a first number) to (a second number)" or "(a first number)–(a second number)," this means a range whose lower limit is the first number and whose upper limit is the second number. For example, 25 to 100 mm means a range whose lower limit is 25 mm, and whose upper limit is 100 mm.

[0022] The embodiments set forth the below represent the necessary information to enable those skilled in the art to practice the invention and illustrate the best mode of practicing the invention. For the measurements listed, embodiments including measurements plus or minus the measurement times 5%, 10%, 20%, 50% and 75% are also contemplated. For the recitation of numeric ranges herein, each intervening number there between with the same degree of precision is explicitly contemplated. For example, for the range of 6-9, the numbers 7 and 8 are contemplated in addition to 6 and 9, and for the range 6.0-7.0, the number 6.0, 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6, 6.7, 6.8, 6.9, and 7.0 are explicitly contemplated.

[0023] The term "substantially" means that the property is within 80% of its desired value. In other embodiments, "substantially" means that the property is within 90% of its desired value. In other embodiments, "substantially" means that the property is within 95% of its desired value. In other embodiments, "substantially" means that the property is within 99% of its desired value. For example, the term "substantially complete" means that a process is at least 80% complete, for example. In other embodiments, the term "substantially complete" means that a process is at least 90% complete, for example. In other embodiments, the term "substantially complete" means that a process is at least 95% complete, for example. In other embodiments, the term "substantially complete" means that a process is at least 99% complete, for example.

[0024] The term "substantially" includes a value that is within 10% less than or greater than the indicated value. In certain embodiments, the value is within 5% less than or greater than of the indicated value. In certain embodiments, the value is within 2.5% less than or greater than of the indicated value. In certain embodiments, the value is within 1% less than or greater than of the indicated value. In certain embodiments, the value is within 0.5% less than or greater than of the indicated value.

[0025] The term "about" includes when value is within 10% of the indicated value. In certain embodiments, the value is within 5% of the indicated value. In certain embodiments, the value is within 2.5% of the indicated value. In

certain embodiments, the value is within 1% of the indicated value. In certain embodiments, the value is within 0.5% of the indicated value.

[0026] In addition, the invention does not require that all the advantageous features and all the advantages of any of the embodiments need to be incorporated into every embodiment of the invention.

Overview

[0027] The present invention relates to a system for predictive analysis of athletic performance using artificial intelligence and machine learning techniques. The system integrates predictive modeling, biomechanical analysis, sports science expertise, and multimodal athlete data into an integrated framework that provides accurate forecasts of athletic metrics along with contextualized insights and recommendations to optimize training, inform game strategy, and reduce injury risks. A key innovation disclosed is the use of advanced machine learning methods tuned on domain knowledge to achieve high-precision predictive analytics specific to sports performance.

System Architecture

[0028] With reference to FIG. **1**, a predictive analysis system **100** for athletic performance forecasting and optimization comprises:

[0029] A Data Ingestion Module **102** that aggregates structured and unstructured data from diverse sources related to athlete performance, including player statistics, biomechanical data, training regimens, and health metrics.

[0030] Predictive Modeling Engine **104** leverages advanced machine learning algorithms and deep-rooted sports science principles to construct sophisticated models. These models not only forecast key performance metrics but also assess injury risks with unprecedented precision, uniquely tailored to each athlete's biomechanical profile. This customization extends beyond mere data analysis, incorporating an athlete's historical performance, real-time biomechanical feedback, and predictive insights to facilitate dynamic, personalized training regimens.

[0031] Insights Generator **106** performs contextual analysis of model outputs to derive personalized insights and actionable recommendations for performance optimization and injury prevention.

[0032] A Continual Learning Module **108** that monitors additional athlete data to refine and enhance model accuracy and adapt predictive capabilities to evolving contexts.

[0033] User Interface **110** that provides customized visualization of model forecasts, insights, and prescriptive recommendations to coaches and athletes.

[0034] Predictive Modeling Engine **104** is a core component trained on domain knowledge from sports science experts. Historical datasets are used to build predictive models forecasting performance metrics like speed, endurance, power, and agility tailored to individual athlete profiles and contexts. The models integrate multimodal data including player statistics, biomechanical factors quantified through techniques like motion capture, and training data to identify performance drivers and injury risks.

[0035] Sports science principles guide feature engineering and model architectures, drawing on expertise in kinetics and kinematics to encode biomechanical relationships. Model testing and validation leverages contextual performance data to ensure robust, precise forecasts across diverse scenarios and levels of play. The modeling pipeline implements explainable AI techniques so coaches can understand model behaviors.

Further Information on System Elements

Data Ingestion Module:

[0036] The Data Ingestion Module aggregates diverse structured and unstructured data sources related to athletic performance. It implements connectors to ingest streaming data from sensors and wearables as well as batch data uploads. Data formats including video, tabular data, time series telemetry, and unstructured text are parsed and normalized into an athletic performance ontology. A data lake architecture on cloud infrastructure provides secure, scalable storage.

Predictive Modeling Engine:

[0037] The Predictive Modeling Engine implements a machine learning pipeline to develop, evaluate, and deploy statistical and deep learning models that generate accurate forecasts of athletic performance metrics and injury risks. Sports science expertise guides feature engineering to incorporate biomechanical principles. Model architectures ranging from linear regression to CNNs are tuned on athletic datasets. Testing and validation protocols leverage k-fold cross-validation, model ensembles, and context-based test cases. Deployment is optimized for low-latency prediction serving.

Implementing the Predictive Modeling Engine

[0038] The Predictive Modeling Engine leverages a robust data pipeline architecture for scalable ingestion, preprocessing, and feature engineering of diverse datasets related to athletic performance. The pipeline is built using Apache Beam, a distributed processing framework well-suited for streaming and batch data. For managed execution on the Google Cloud Platform, Cloud Dataflow is utilized. Kafka provides a high-throughput message queue for ingesting real-time data streams, while Spark helps efficiently process batched historical data loaded in DataFrames.

[0039] To facilitate rapid experimentation and prototyping of machine learning models, an interactive notebook environment like Colab or Jupyter is used initially. Models ranging from linear regression to multilayer perceptrons can be explored using pandas and scikit-learn, with TensorFlow and PyTorch providing support for deep neural network architectures. The notebooks provide a flexible sandbox for training models on small slices of the athletic dataset and visualizing the evaluation metrics like confusion matrices and ROC curves.

[0040] Some examples of evaluation metrics that could be visualized in the notebooks when prototyping and assessing models for the Predictive Modeling Engine include:

[0041] Mean Absolute Error (MAE)—measures the average absolute difference between predicted and

actual athletic performance values. Useful for models predicting continuous variables like speed, scores, or endurance.

[0042] Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE)—measures standard deviation of prediction errors. Accounts for larger errors than MAE. Good for continuous numeric forecasts.

[0043] R-squared—evaluates model fit by computing the proportion of variance explained by the model predictions. Values range from 0 to 1, with higher indicating more variance explained.

[0044] Accuracy—for classification tasks predicting discrete labels, accuracy is the ratio of correct predictions to total samples. Quick summary of model performance.

[0045] Confusion matrix—Cross-tabulation of actual vs predicted classes. Reveals correct and incorrect prediction counts by class. Helps identify confusion between classes.

[0046] Precision-recall curve—Illustrates tradeoff between precision (positive predictive value) and recall (sensitivity). Highlights model discrimination ability.

[0047] ROC curve—Plots true positive rate vs false positive rate across classification threshold values. Summarizes model discriminative performance.

[0048] Class activation maps—For CNN models, highlight which parts of an image input are activating different filters. Reveals model attention.

[0049] Feature importance charts—Quantifies predictive power of each input feature. Identifies key performance drivers.

[0050] Visualizing these model evaluation metrics during prototyping enables rapid iteration to improve model architectures, hyperparameters, and features. The best models can be identified empirically based on sports-specific performance goals.

[0051] Once model architectures and hyperparameters have been refined in the notebooks, the model code is containerized using Docker for scalable cloud execution. MLflow provides model versioning and metadata tracking as models progress from prototypes to validation testing. Distributed training harnessing powerful GPUs accelerates deep learning model fitting.

[0052] Drawing from sports biomechanics expertise, the model architecture incorporates the latest research relating technique and form to injury resilience and athletic performance. Explainable AI techniques like LIME and SHAP provide model introspection to validate learned relationships. K-fold stratified cross-validation evaluates model robustness across sections of the diverse training dataset. Performance metrics relevant to precise sports forecasting guide the hyperparameter optimization and model selection.

[0053] For low-latency prediction serving, optimized models are exported and packaged with TensorFlow Serving. Containers facilitate straightforward model deployment. Kubernetes orchestrates the scalable distribution of containerized model services across clusters of machines. The result is an ensemble of highly tuned models tailored to accurate sports forecasting that can be efficiently retrained as new data emerges.

Insights Generator:

[0054] The Insights Generator conducts a nuanced, multilayered analysis of model outputs, delving deep into the contextual implications of each data point. Employing sophisticated natural language generation algorithms, it crafts comprehensive textual summaries that elucidate key performance drivers and delineate potential injury risks. This process not only simplifies complex analytical findings for end-users but also identifies actionable pathways for performance enhancement and risk mitigation, ensuring that each athlete's training program is as dynamic and responsive as their evolving performance landscape. Comparative visualizations against athlete baseline profiles assist interpretation. Insights are correlated across multiple models and modalities to improve reliability. The outputs are filtered and ranked based on relevance to generate personalized recommendations.

Continual Learning Module:

[0055] The Continual Learning Module manages the continuous model improvement loop. Streaming athlete data is used to incrementally augment training datasets to keep models up-to-date. Concept drift detection identifies when model retraining is needed. Automated pipelines retrain and redeploy updated models. Model regression testing maintains accuracy benchmarks. The updated models are monitored before fully replacing previous versions.

User Interface:

[0056] The User Interface provides interactive visualizations and analytics applications tailored for coaching staff and athletes. Customizable dashboards display forecasts, insights, and prescriptive recommendations via charts, graphs, and natural language narration. Interfaces adapt based on user roles. APIs and embedded analytics integrate predictions into third-party sports apps and platforms.

Operation

[0057] In operation **200**, as shown in FIG. **2**, diverse athlete data **02** is ingested into data repositories (step **202**). Data preprocessing and feature engineering (step **204**) prepare the data for modeling (step **206**). The trained predictive models generate forecasts and risk metrics (step **208**) for the insights generator to analyze in context (step **210**). Key performance factors and injury risks are identified (step **212**), and personalized insights and training recommendations are delivered via customized analytics displays and visuals in the user interface (step **214**). This process enables coaches and athletes to take targeted actions to enhance outcomes.

[0058] As additional athlete data is acquired, the continual learning module augments the training data to incrementally improve model intelligence over time. The integrated system architecture provides a closed-loop framework for translating diverse athlete data into accurate, contextualized forecasts and insights that empower coaches and athletes to optimize performance and safety.

Further Detail on Method Steps

Gathering Data:

[0059] Multimodal athlete data is gathered from sources including wearables, sensors, computer vision, medical records, and training logs. Streaming data ingestion uses message queues and buffers. Batch data is uploaded peri-

odically from files. Data is validated and preprocessed to deduplicate records, handle missing values, smooth noise, and transform formats.

Processing Data:

[0060] The aggregated data is processed through feature engineering pipelines to prepare model input features. Signal processing, segmentation, and dimensionality reduction techniques extract informative characteristics. Domain-specific sports science transformations are applied based on biomechanical principles and expert knowledge. Data is labeled for supervised learning based on contextual performance metrics.

Building Predictive Models:

[0061] With the preprocessed data, ML model architectures are constructed, trained and optimized using hyperparameter tuning. The models learn complex relationships between input biomechanics data and target athletic performance metrics to generate accurate predictive models customized for individual athletes and scenarios. Model testing and validation ensures robustness.

Contextual Analysis:

[0062] The predictions are analyzed in context using the Insights Generator to understand key drivers of predicted sports metrics, relate outputs across models, and derive personalized meaning tailored to individual athletes. NLP techniques extract insights from prediction vectors. The contextual analysis yields clear, actionable recommendations.

Providing User Feedback:

[0063] Finally, the personalized predictions, insights, and recommendations are visualized and presented to end users via customized dashboards, natural language narration, and interactive apps. Preferences customize information display. Prescriptive guidance enables coaches and athletes to fine-tune training and strategy for performance gains.

Example Use Case

[0064] Here is a hypothetical example of how the predictive analysis system could be used to optimize training for a collegiate baseball pitcher:

[0065] The system is deployed by the college baseball coaching staff to monitor and enhance pitching performance. For a particular pitcher in the rotation, the Data Ingestion Module aggregates data including:

[0066] Motion capture biomechanics during bullpen sessions and games;

[0067] Pitch velocity and spin rate radar measurements;

[0068] Strength training workout logs;

[0069] Injury history and health records;

[0070] Video analysis of pitching mechanics; and

[0071] Player self-reported fatigue and soreness levels.

[0072] The Predictive Modeling Engine analyzes this multimodal data to generate personalized forecasts of metrics like future pitch velocity, endurance, and injury risk. The models quantify optimal kinetics and kinematics tailored to the pitcher.

[0073] The Insights Generator would highlight that his pitch velocity is plateauing due to suboptimal hip rotation and excess shoulder strain. It would correlate high injury risk with improper landing mechanics.

[0074] The Continual Learning Module incorporates new bullpen and intra-squad game data to refine the model predictions.

[0075] The User Interface provides the coaching staff with a dashboard visualizing the predicted future velocity and endurance over the season alongside drills to target increased hip rotation. It highlights the correlation between landing mechanics and injury risk.

[0076] Based on these personalized insights, the coaches adjust the pitcher's strength training regimen to focus on lower body power and core stability. They provide video review and cuing to remedy the landing mechanics. The next bullpen session confirms improved kinetics and reduced shoulder strain.

[0077] For example, the system could determine optimal strength training regimens for pitchers in a few ways:

[0078] (1) Biomechanical modeling and simulation: The predictive modeling engine could contain biomechanics models of pitching based on sports science research. By simulating pitching motions with tuned parameters for each pitcher, the models can identify kinetic chain deficiencies and imbalances. Custom strength training exercises can then be prescribed to target those specific areas.

[0079] (2) Correlation analysis: The system can analyze empirical data to identify correlations between types of strength training activities and gains in pitching performance metrics over time. For example, increased squat strength may correlate with higher pitch velocity. These correlations can inform strength training recommendations.

[0080] (3) Multimodal modeling: The predictive models consider multiple modalities of data-biomechanics, training logs, injury history etc. The interdependencies learned during training can highlight how strength gains contribute to performance and injury resilience. This can guide optimal training regimens.

[0081] (4) Expert knowledge base: Sports scientists and coaches can provide rules, best practices and training guides based on experience. This expert knowledge can be encoded into the system to recommend proven strength and conditioning programs tailored to pitching.

[0082] (5) Reinforcement learning: The system could use reinforcement learning techniques to dynamically learn the ideal training regimens by simulating many programs and evaluating long-term outcomes over multiple seasons. The highest performing regimens are identified.

[0083] In summary, biomechanics **14**odelling, multimodal analytics, sports science expertise and modern AI can be combined to data-optimize strength training programs for peak pitching performance. The system personalizes and adapts recommendations to each pitcher. Over a full season, data-driven optimization of training and mechanics leads to a 5% increase in his average pitch velocity, improved endurance, and prevention of a potential season-ending injury.

Integration with Intended Zone Tracker

[0084] Applicant is the owner of US Provisional Application No. 63/626,004, filed Jan. 28, 2024, titled "Intended Zone Tracker". Briefly, the Intended Zone Tracker provides

insight into the detailed trajectory, release point, breaks, and other characteristics that determine the location a pitch crosses the plate. The diagnostic metrics and tools provided enable personalized training to improve consistency, accuracy, and effectiveness of locating pitches in the intended zone.

[0085] The predictive modeling and insights generated by the system described herein could be further enhanced through integration with the Intended Zone Tracker technology. Integrating trajectory data and detailed pitcher metrics from the Intended Zone Tracker enriches the Predictive Modeling Engine's training dataset with nuanced, high-fidelity signals. This integration not only amplifies the model's predictive capabilities but also introduces a layer of granularity that unveils subtle biomechanical inefficiencies. Addressing potential data compatibility challenges, a standardized data transformation protocol ensures seamless synthesis of Intended Zone Tracker inputs, enhancing the model's ability to prescribe pinpoint adjustments for mechanical refinement. For example, consistency of release point, aim direction, projected trajectory, and timing of entry into intended pitch zones all provide key insights into mechanical efficiency. The Intended Zone Tracker data can augment motion capture, radar, and other modalities already integrated.

[0086] Resulting predictive models will have a more expansive view of factors impacting pitcher health and performance. The models can better pinpoint areas for improvement in pitch mechanics and command. The Intended Zone Tracker data expands the models' contextual understanding of the kinetic chain. As examples, inconsistent release points may inform improved strength training targets, while late zone entry could guide adjustments to pitching motion.

[0087] In addition, the Insights Generator can produce more precise and personalized recommendations by cross-analyzing model outputs with Intended Zone Tracker metrics for each pitcher. Comparative visualizations can highlight relationships between predicted injury risks, changing pitch speeds, and zone entry locations relative to intent.

[0088] This integration demonstrates the flexibility of the disclosed system to ingest diverse modalities of athlete data. The combined insights accelerate performance gains and injury prevention. Ongoing research identifies new opportunities to synchronize predictive **16**odelling with emerging sports technologies through the flexible, extensible system architecture described herein.

CONCLUSION

[0089] In summary, the integrated system architecture provides a closed-loop framework for ingesting diverse athletic data, implementing AI/ML modeling optimized for the sports domain, analyzing model outputs contextually, and delivering actionable and personalized insights to athletes and coaches. The continual learning capabilities ensure predictive accuracy improves over time. Together, these features provide significant advantages in forecasting capabilities and analytical decision support compared to existing approaches.

[0090] While specific configurations and techniques have been described in detail, the system architecture, processing components, and algorithms may be implemented in modified or alternative forms without departing from the inventive concepts. The specific embodiments described herein should be considered illustrative and not limiting. For example, the predictive models could be optimized for different sports or competitions. The system could be offered as a cloud-based software platform or licensed for in-house deployment. Additional data modalities could be integrated into the modeling and analytics. The user interface could be adapted for different device platforms. These and numerous other modifications will be apparent to those skilled in the art.

We claim:

1. A predictive analysis system for athletic performance, comprising:

a data ingestion module configured to aggregate structured and unstructured data from diverse sources related to athlete performance;

a predictive modeling engine configured to implement machine learning algorithms to construct predictive models based on the aggregated data and sports science principles;

an insights generator configured to perform contextual analysis of outputs from the predictive models to derive personalized insights and recommendations;

a continual learning module configured to monitor additional athlete data and refine the predictive models; and

a user interface configured to provide customized visualization of model forecasts, insights, and recommendations.

2. The system of claim **1**, wherein the data ingestion module is configured to aggregate data including player statistics, biomechanical data, training regimens, and health metrics.

3. The system of claim **1**, wherein the predictive modeling engine is configured to forecast performance metrics including speed, endurance, power, and agility tailored to individual athlete profiles.

4. The system of claim **1**, wherein the predictive modeling engine is configured to quantify injury risks based on biomechanical factors.

5. The system of claim **1**, wherein the insights generator is configured to identify key performance drivers and potential injury risks.

6. The system of claim **1**, wherein the continual learning module is configured to incrementally augment training datasets to keep models up-to-date.

7. The system of claim **1**, wherein the user interface is configured to adapt based on user roles.

8. The system of claim **1**, wherein the predictive modeling engine implements explainable AI techniques to facilitate understanding of model behaviors.

9. The system of claim **1**, further comprising a data lake architecture on cloud infrastructure for secure, scalable storage of the aggregated data.

10. The system of claim **1**, wherein the predictive modeling engine is configured to implement model architectures ranging from linear regression to convolutional neural networks.

11. A method for predictive analysis of athletic performance, comprising:

aggregating, by a data ingestion module, structured and unstructured data from diverse sources related to athlete performance;

implementing, by a predictive modeling engine, machine learning algorithms to construct predictive models based on the aggregated data and sports science principles;

performing, by an insights generator, contextual analysis of outputs from the predictive models to derive personalized insights and recommendations;

monitoring, by a continual learning module, additional athlete data and refining the predictive models; and

providing, by a user interface, customized visualization of model forecasts, insights, and recommendations.

12. The method of claim **11**, wherein aggregating data includes collecting player statistics, biomechanical data, training regimens, and health metrics.

13. The method of claim **11**, wherein implementing machine learning algorithms includes forecasting performance metrics including speed, endurance, power, and agility tailored to individual athlete profiles.

14. The method of claim **11**, wherein implementing machine learning algorithms includes quantifying injury risks based on biomechanical factors.

15. The method of claim **11**, wherein performing contextual analysis includes identifying key performance drivers and potential injury risks.

16. The method of claim **11**, wherein monitoring additional athlete data includes incrementally augmenting training datasets to keep models up-to-date.

17. The method of claim **11**, wherein providing customized visualization includes adapting the user interface based on user roles.

18. The method of claim **11**, further comprising implementing explainable AI techniques to allow understanding of model behaviors.

19. The method of claim **11**, further comprising storing the aggregated data in a data lake architecture on cloud infrastructure.

20. The method of claim **11**, wherein implementing machine learning algorithms includes using model architectures ranging from linear regression to convolutional neural networks.

21. A non-transitory computer-readable medium storing instructions that, when executed by a processor, cause the processor to perform a method for predictive analysis of athletic performance, the method comprising:

aggregating, by a data ingestion module, structured and unstructured data from diverse sources related to athlete performance;

implementing, by a predictive modeling engine, machine learning algorithms to construct predictive models based on the aggregated data and sports science principles;

performing, by an insights generator, contextual analysis of outputs from the predictive models to derive personalized insights and recommendations;

monitoring, by a continual learning module, additional athlete data and refining the predictive models; and

providing, by a user interface, customized visualization of model forecasts, insights, and recommendations.

22. The non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim **21**, wherein aggregating data includes collecting player statistics, biomechanical data, training regimens, and health metrics.

23. The non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim **21**, wherein implementing machine learning algorithms includes forecasting performance metrics including speed, endurance, power, and agility tailored to individual athlete profiles.

24. The non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim **21**, wherein implementing machine learning algorithms includes quantifying injury risks based on biomechanical factors.

25. The non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim **21**, wherein performing contextual analysis includes identifying key performance drivers and potential injury risks.

26. The non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim **21**, wherein monitoring additional athlete data includes incrementally augmenting training datasets to keep models up-to-date.

27. The non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim **21**, wherein providing customized visualization includes adapting the user interface based on user roles.

28. The non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim **21**, the method further comprising implementing explainable AI techniques to allow understanding of model behaviors.

* * * * *