

US Patent & Trademark Office

Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent	12384410
Kind Code	B2
Date of Patent	August 12, 2025
Inventor(s)	Zhang; Shiqi et al.

Task-motion planning for safe and efficient urban driving

Abstract

Autonomous vehicles plan at a task level to compute a sequence of symbolic actions to fulfill service requests, where efficiency is the main concern. The vehicle computes continuous trajectories to perform actions at the motion level, where safety is important. Task-motion planning in autonomous driving faces the problem of maximizing task-level efficiency while ensuring motion-level safety. Task-Motion Planning for Urban Driving (TMPUD) enables the task and motion planners to communicate about the safety level of driving behaviors. The motion planner incrementally advances the vehicle toward a goal with an associated incremental utility, based on at least a safety of motion trajectories. The task planner defines the goal and a sequence of the actions to advance the vehicle toward the goal, dependent an optimization of aggregate prospective utility of the task and the safety of the motion trajectories.

Inventors: Zhang; Shiqi (Vestal, NY), Ding; Yan (Shanghai, CN)

Applicant: The Research Foundation for The State University of New York (Binghamton, NY)

Family ID: 1000008749187

Assignee: The Research Foundation for The State University of New York (Binghamton, NY)

Appl. No.: 17/687636

Filed: March 05, 2022

Prior Publication Data

Document Identifier	Publication Date
US 20220306152 A1	Sep. 29, 2022

Related U.S. Application Data

Publication Classification

Int. Cl.: **B60W60/00** (20200101); **B60W30/14** (20060101); **B60W40/04** (20060101);
B60W40/06 (20120101)

U.S. Cl.:

CPC **B60W60/0011** (20200201); **B60W30/143** (20130101); **B60W40/04** (20130101);
B60W40/06 (20130101); **B60W60/0015** (20200201); **B60W60/00274** (20200201);
B60W2540/18 (20130101); B60W2554/80 (20200201)

Field of Classification Search

CPC: B60W (60/0011); B60W (60/0015); B60W (60/00274); B60W (30/143); B60W (40/04);
B60W (40/06); B60W (2554/80); B60W (2540/18)

References Cited**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

Patent No.	Issued Date	Patentee Name	U.S. Cl.	CPC
4296901	12/1980	Perrott	N/A	N/A
4833469	12/1988	David	N/A	N/A
4940925	12/1989	Wand	N/A	N/A
5281901	12/1993	Yardley	N/A	N/A
5341130	12/1993	Yardley	N/A	N/A
5375059	12/1993	Kyrtsos	N/A	N/A
5390125	12/1994	Sennott	N/A	N/A
5402355	12/1994	Bahlmann	N/A	N/A
5438517	12/1994	Sennott	N/A	N/A
5548516	12/1995	Gudat	N/A	N/A
5610815	12/1996	Gudat	N/A	N/A
5612883	12/1996	Shaffer	N/A	N/A
5629855	12/1996	Kyrtsos	N/A	N/A
5640323	12/1996	Kleimenhagen	N/A	N/A
5646843	12/1996	Gudat	N/A	N/A
5650703	12/1996	Yardley	N/A	N/A
5657226	12/1996	Shin	N/A	N/A
5680306	12/1996	Shin	N/A	N/A
5684696	12/1996	Rao	N/A	N/A
5747683	12/1997	Gerum	N/A	N/A
5838562	12/1997	Gudat	N/A	N/A
5938710	12/1998	Lanza	N/A	N/A
5995882	12/1998	Patterson	N/A	N/A
6069420	12/1999	Mizzi	N/A	N/A
6122572	12/1999	Yavnai	N/A	N/A
6151539	12/1999	Bergholz	N/A	N/A

6269763	12/2000	Woodland	N/A	N/A
6351697	12/2001	Baker	N/A	N/A
6393362	12/2001	Burns	N/A	N/A
6442456	12/2001	Burns	N/A	N/A
6799100	12/2003	Burns	N/A	N/A
6804607	12/2003	Wood	N/A	N/A
7047888	12/2005	Richards	N/A	N/A
7197699	12/2006	Bhogal	N/A	N/A
7219350	12/2006	Bhogal	N/A	N/A
7302316	12/2006	Beard	N/A	N/A
7335067	12/2007	Lee	N/A	N/A
7343232	12/2007	Duggan	N/A	N/A
7440942	12/2007	Dixon	N/A	N/A
7494090	12/2008	Leal	N/A	N/A
7496226	12/2008	Negahdaripour	N/A	N/A
7542828	12/2008	Steele	N/A	N/A
7591630	12/2008	Lert, Jr.	N/A	N/A
7693624	12/2009	Duggan	N/A	N/A
7737878	12/2009	van Tooren	N/A	N/A
7844396	12/2009	Zeitzew	N/A	N/A
7911400	12/2010	Kaplan	N/A	N/A
7949541	12/2010	McGinn	N/A	N/A
7979172	12/2010	Breed	N/A	N/A
7979173	12/2010	Breed	N/A	N/A
7991505	12/2010	Lert, Jr.	N/A	N/A
8060271	12/2010	Dolgov	N/A	N/A
8068949	12/2010	Duggan	N/A	N/A
8103398	12/2011	Duggan	N/A	N/A
8109223	12/2011	Jamieson	N/A	N/A
8126642	12/2011	Trepagnier	N/A	N/A
8127353	12/2011	Rittermann	N/A	N/A
8255092	12/2011	Phillips	N/A	N/A
8272055	12/2011	Wease	N/A	N/A
8280623	12/2011	Trepagnier	N/A	N/A
8289882	12/2011	Vogel, III	N/A	N/A
8301326	12/2011	Malecki	N/A	N/A
8355834	12/2012	Duggan	N/A	N/A
8364334	12/2012	Au	N/A	N/A
8412449	12/2012	Trepagnier	N/A	N/A
8433790	12/2012	Polley	N/A	N/A
8437875	12/2012	Hernandez	N/A	N/A
8437890	12/2012	Anderson	N/A	N/A
8442713	12/2012	Kim	N/A	N/A
8474043	12/2012	Sturges	N/A	N/A
8576430	12/2012	Balduccini	N/A	N/A
8577538	12/2012	Lenser	N/A	N/A
8578002	12/2012	Roesch	N/A	N/A
8583313	12/2012	Mian	N/A	N/A
8601034	12/2012	Roesch	N/A	N/A
8606589	12/2012	McGinn	N/A	N/A

8612084	12/2012	Hennessy	N/A	N/A
8671182	12/2013	Vogel, III	N/A	N/A
8677486	12/2013	Olney	N/A	N/A
8706394	12/2013	Trepagnier	N/A	N/A
8744648	12/2013	Anderson	N/A	N/A
8751143	12/2013	Kelly	N/A	N/A
8755997	12/2013	Au	N/A	N/A
8755999	12/2013	Kelly	N/A	N/A
8768555	12/2013	Duggan	N/A	N/A
8784034	12/2013	Lert, Jr.	N/A	N/A
8798828	12/2013	Erlston	N/A	N/A
8843244	12/2013	Phillips	N/A	N/A
8880287	12/2013	Lee	N/A	N/A
8935071	12/2014	Lee	N/A	N/A
8947531	12/2014	Fischer	N/A	N/A
8948955	12/2014	Zhu	N/A	N/A
8954194	12/2014	Allis	N/A	N/A
8988524	12/2014	Smyth	N/A	N/A
8990387	12/2014	Burchfield	N/A	N/A
9055094	12/2014	Wease	N/A	N/A
9059960	12/2014	Burchfield	N/A	N/A
9097800	12/2014	Zhu	N/A	N/A
9100363	12/2014	Burchfield	N/A	N/A
9110905	12/2014	Polley	N/A	N/A
9117201	12/2014	Kennell	N/A	N/A
9120484	12/2014	Ferguson	N/A	N/A
9120485	12/2014	Dolgov	N/A	N/A
9135432	12/2014	Roesch	N/A	N/A
9139363	12/2014	Lert	N/A	N/A
9140814	12/2014	Welker	N/A	N/A
9199667	12/2014	Di Cairano	N/A	N/A
9201421	12/2014	Fairfield	N/A	N/A
9201424	12/2014	Ogale	N/A	N/A
9202382	12/2014	Klinger	N/A	N/A
9208456	12/2014	McGinn	N/A	N/A
9223025	12/2014	Debrunner	N/A	N/A
9234618	12/2015	Zhu	N/A	N/A
9261590	12/2015	Brown	N/A	N/A
9265187	12/2015	Cavender-Bares	N/A	N/A
9288938	12/2015	Cavender-Bares	N/A	N/A
9298186	12/2015	Harvey	N/A	N/A
9327734	12/2015	Lombrozo	N/A	N/A
9349055	12/2015	Ogale	N/A	N/A
9373149	12/2015	Abhyanker	N/A	N/A
9373262	12/2015	Stigler	N/A	N/A
9383752	12/2015	Mian	N/A	N/A
9383753	12/2015	Templeton	N/A	N/A
9384666	12/2015	Harvey	N/A	N/A
9392743	12/2015	Camacho-Cook	N/A	N/A
9423498	12/2015	Brown	N/A	N/A

9432929	12/2015	Ross	N/A	N/A
9434309	12/2015	Smyth	N/A	N/A
9435652	12/2015	Ralston	N/A	N/A
9450975	12/2015	Wease	N/A	N/A
9451020	12/2015	Liu	N/A	N/A
9494439	12/2015	Ross	N/A	N/A
9494940	12/2015	Kentley	N/A	N/A
9494943	12/2015	Harvey	N/A	N/A
9507346	12/2015	Levinson	N/A	N/A
9508260	12/2015	Shaik	N/A	N/A
9510316	12/2015	Skaaksrud	N/A	N/A
9513632	12/2015	Gordon	N/A	N/A
9517767	12/2015	Kentley	N/A	N/A
9523984	12/2015	Herbach	N/A	N/A
9523986	12/2015	Abebe	N/A	N/A
9535423	12/2016	Debreczeni	N/A	N/A
9536427	12/2016	Tonguz	N/A	N/A
9545995	12/2016	Chau	N/A	N/A
9547309	12/2016	Ross	N/A	N/A
9547986	12/2016	Curlander	N/A	N/A
9547989	12/2016	Fairfield	N/A	N/A
9557736	12/2016	Silver	N/A	N/A
9559804	12/2016	Ibrahim	N/A	N/A
9561941	12/2016	Watts	N/A	N/A
9563199	12/2016	Ferguson	N/A	N/A
9581460	12/2016	McNew	N/A	N/A
9584535	12/2016	Roesch	N/A	N/A
9598239	12/2016	Lert, Jr.	N/A	N/A
9599989	12/2016	Brown	N/A	N/A
9603158	12/2016	Ross	N/A	N/A
9606539	12/2016	Kentley	N/A	N/A
9612123	12/2016	Levinson	N/A	N/A
9630619	12/2016	Kentley	N/A	N/A
9632502	12/2016	Levinson	N/A	N/A
9645578	12/2016	Harvey	N/A	N/A
9662068	12/2016	Raymondos	N/A	N/A
9669904	12/2016	Hanson	N/A	N/A
9672446	12/2016	Vallespi-Gonzalez	N/A	N/A
9674759	12/2016	Czaja	N/A	N/A
9679191	12/2016	Zhu	N/A	N/A
9679206	12/2016	Ferguson	N/A	N/A
9688396	12/2016	Avery, III	N/A	N/A
9693297	12/2016	Condeixa	N/A	N/A
9702098	12/2016	King	N/A	N/A
9702443	12/2016	Erlston	N/A	N/A
9707966	12/2016	Herbach	N/A	N/A
9710710	12/2016	Malecki	N/A	N/A
9718471	12/2016	Gordon	N/A	N/A
9720412	12/2016	Zhu	N/A	N/A
9720415	12/2016	Levinson	N/A	N/A

9721397	12/2016	Gordon	N/A	N/A
9733378	12/2016	Carcattera	N/A	N/A
9734455	12/2016	Levinson	N/A	N/A
9739881	12/2016	Pavek	N/A	N/A
9740205	12/2016	Ross	N/A	N/A
9746444	12/2016	Goroshevskiy	N/A	N/A
9754490	12/2016	Kentley	N/A	N/A
9760092	12/2016	Ferguson	N/A	N/A
9761136	12/2016	Tonguz	N/A	N/A
9766333	12/2016	Brown	N/A	N/A
9783075	12/2016	Henry	N/A	N/A
9783262	12/2016	Dubose	N/A	N/A
9788282	12/2016	Neves	N/A	N/A
9798329	12/2016	Shattil	N/A	N/A
9802661	12/2016	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
9802759	12/2016	Lert, Jr.	N/A	N/A
9804594	12/2016	Gariepy	N/A	N/A
9804599	12/2016	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
9804601	12/2016	Lombrozo	N/A	N/A
9805605	12/2016	Ramanujam	N/A	N/A
9821801	12/2016	Di Cairano	N/A	N/A
9821807	12/2016	Herbach	N/A	N/A
9833901	12/2016	Perrone	N/A	N/A
9834224	12/2016	Gordon	N/A	N/A
9836973	12/2016	Gordon	N/A	N/A
9857795	12/2017	Gupta	N/A	N/A
9857798	12/2017	Ogale	N/A	N/A
9862391	12/2017	Morfin	N/A	N/A
9864378	12/2017	Ferguson	N/A	N/A
9868332	12/2017	Anderson	N/A	N/A
9874871	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
9878664	12/2017	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
9884630	12/2017	Ross	N/A	N/A
9891333	12/2017	Valsvik	N/A	N/A
9896100	12/2017	Gordon	N/A	N/A
9898005	12/2017	Mei	N/A	N/A
9902396	12/2017	Itagaki	N/A	N/A
9904286	12/2017	Kozak	N/A	N/A
9910434	12/2017	Nelson	N/A	N/A
9910441	12/2017	Levinson	N/A	N/A
9911030	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
9913240	12/2017	Skaaksrud	N/A	N/A
9915950	12/2017	Hartung	N/A	N/A
9916538	12/2017	Zadeh	N/A	N/A
9916703	12/2017	Levinson	N/A	N/A
9921065	12/2017	Brannstrom	N/A	N/A
9925462	12/2017	Sakakibara	N/A	N/A
9933779	12/2017	Ross	N/A	N/A
9939817	12/2017	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
9940651	12/2017	Ross	N/A	N/A

9944291	12/2017	Gordon	N/A	N/A
9946531	12/2017	Fields	N/A	N/A
9946890	12/2017	Valasek	N/A	N/A
9947224	12/2017	Fairfield	N/A	N/A
9948917	12/2017	Inacio De Matos	N/A	N/A
9949228	12/2017	Skaaksrud	N/A	N/A
9950568	12/2017	Edgren	N/A	N/A
9955436	12/2017	Neves	N/A	N/A
9958379	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
9958864	12/2017	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
9958875	12/2017	Paduano	N/A	N/A
9959754	12/2017	King	N/A	N/A
9963143	12/2017	Lu	N/A	N/A
9964952	12/2017	Costa	N/A	N/A
9964954	12/2017	Silver	N/A	N/A
9967815	12/2017	Condeixa	N/A	N/A
9969285	12/2017	Henry	N/A	N/A
9969326	12/2017	Ross	N/A	N/A
9969481	12/2017	Stigler	N/A	N/A
9977430	12/2017	Shalev-Shwartz	N/A	N/A
9981669	12/2017	Gordon	N/A	N/A
9983305	12/2017	Pavek	N/A	N/A
9988055	12/2017	O'Flaherty et al.	N/A	N/A
9989645	12/2017	Donnelly	N/A	N/A
10000124	12/2017	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
10000338	12/2017	Lert, Jr.	N/A	N/A
10007264	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10007271	12/2017	Amla	N/A	N/A
10012981	12/2017	Gariepy	N/A	N/A
10012990	12/2017	Rander	N/A	N/A
10019002	12/2017	Harnett	N/A	N/A
10019008	12/2017	Kong	N/A	N/A
10019011	12/2017	Green	N/A	N/A
10030418	12/2017	McGinn	N/A	N/A
10031521	12/2017	Newman	N/A	N/A
10031526	12/2017	Li	N/A	N/A
10037553	12/2017	Ross	N/A	N/A
10040632	12/2017	Lert, Jr.	N/A	N/A
10048683	12/2017	Levinson	N/A	N/A
10049328	12/2017	Jiang	N/A	N/A
10053091	12/2017	Jiang	N/A	N/A
10054945	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10055653	12/2017	Cohen	N/A	N/A
10061313	12/2017	Letwin	N/A	N/A
10061325	12/2017	Watts	N/A	N/A
10065638	12/2017	Wood	N/A	N/A
10065654	12/2017	Nishi	N/A	N/A
10073456	12/2017	Mudalige	N/A	N/A
10073462	12/2017	Debreczeni	N/A	N/A
10074223	12/2017	Newman	N/A	N/A

10083604	12/2017	Ricci	N/A	N/A
10086782	12/2017	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10089116	12/2017	Valasek	N/A	N/A
10095236	12/2017	Ferguson	N/A	N/A
10096067	12/2017	Slusar	N/A	N/A
10109195	12/2017	Gordon	N/A	N/A
10118577	12/2017	Sweeney	N/A	N/A
10118639	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10122736	12/2017	Baxley	N/A	N/A
10123473	12/2017	Cavender-Bares	N/A	N/A
10126136	12/2017	Iagnemma	N/A	N/A
10126742	12/2017	Ross	N/A	N/A
10126749	12/2017	Rander	N/A	N/A
10127465	12/2017	Cohen	N/A	N/A
10127818	12/2017	Mandeville-Clarke	N/A	N/A
10133275	12/2017	Kobilarov	N/A	N/A
10134278	12/2017	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10137896	12/2017	Zhuang	N/A	N/A
10137903	12/2017	Tascione	N/A	N/A
10139237	12/2017	Outwater	N/A	N/A
10139818	12/2017	Tao	N/A	N/A
10139823	12/2017	Prokhorov	N/A	N/A
10139828	12/2017	Ho	N/A	N/A
10140468	12/2017	Valasek	N/A	N/A
10143040	12/2017	Condeixa	N/A	N/A
10152891	12/2017	Rusciolelli	N/A	N/A
10156845	12/2017	Greenberger	N/A	N/A
10156848	12/2017	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10156849	12/2017	Zych	N/A	N/A
10156850	12/2017	Ansari	N/A	N/A
10157423	12/2017	Fields	N/A	N/A
10160378	12/2017	Sweeney	N/A	N/A
10160457	12/2017	O'Flaherty et al.	N/A	N/A
10160484	12/2017	Lee	N/A	N/A
10162354	12/2017	Kong	N/A	N/A
10163139	12/2017	Ross	N/A	N/A
10166994	12/2018	Fields	N/A	N/A
10168703	12/2018	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10171967	12/2018	Ameixieira	N/A	N/A
10173679	12/2018	Gordon	N/A	N/A
10179700	12/2018	Lert, Jr.	N/A	N/A
10187751	12/2018	Coutinho	N/A	N/A
10188024	12/2018	Rusciolelli	N/A	N/A
10191493	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10197407	12/2018	Mouthaan	N/A	N/A
10198619	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10202117	12/2018	Gordon	N/A	N/A
10203697	12/2018	Ogale	N/A	N/A
10205457	12/2018	Josefsberg	N/A	N/A
10209715	12/2018	Hardy	N/A	N/A

10214240	12/2018	Ghose	N/A	N/A
10216196	12/2018	Harvey	N/A	N/A
10220705	12/2018	Ramanujam	N/A	N/A
10220857	12/2018	Jones	N/A	N/A
10222211	12/2018	Chen	N/A	N/A
10222462	12/2018	Brown	N/A	N/A
10222798	12/2018	Brady	N/A	N/A
10223479	12/2018	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10223916	12/2018	Song	N/A	N/A
10225348	12/2018	Wang	N/A	N/A
10227075	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10228690	12/2018	Bostick	N/A	N/A
10229590	12/2018	Du	N/A	N/A
10233021	12/2018	Brady	N/A	N/A
10234863	12/2018	Ross	N/A	N/A
10235881	12/2018	Nishi	N/A	N/A
10241509	12/2018	Fields	N/A	N/A
10241516	12/2018	Brady	N/A	N/A
10243604	12/2018	Ross	N/A	N/A
10245993	12/2018	Brady	N/A	N/A
10246097	12/2018	Fields	N/A	N/A
10248119	12/2018	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
10248120	12/2018	Siegel	N/A	N/A
10248124	12/2018	Bellaiche	N/A	N/A
10249109	12/2018	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10253468	12/2018	Linville	N/A	N/A
10254763	12/2018	Tatourian	N/A	N/A
10256890	12/2018	Neves	N/A	N/A
10259514	12/2018	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
10260898	12/2018	McNew	N/A	N/A
10261514	12/2018	Zych	N/A	N/A
10262217	12/2018	Cohen	N/A	N/A
10266180	12/2018	Fields	N/A	N/A
10267634	12/2018	Chen	N/A	N/A
10267635	12/2018	Chen	N/A	N/A
10268200	12/2018	Fang	N/A	N/A
10272778	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10272924	12/2018	Luo	N/A	N/A
10284777	12/2018	Rogers	N/A	N/A
10288439	12/2018	Pedersen	N/A	N/A
10289110	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10293932	12/2018	Alzahrani	N/A	N/A
10295363	12/2018	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10296004	12/2018	Nishi	N/A	N/A
10296006	12/2018	Lee	N/A	N/A
10298910	12/2018	Kroeger	N/A	N/A
10303171	12/2018	Brady	N/A	N/A
10303174	12/2018	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
10303182	12/2018	Harvey	N/A	N/A
10303183	12/2018	Harvey	N/A	N/A

10303959	12/2018	Cohen	N/A	N/A
10305765	12/2018	Church	N/A	N/A
10308430	12/2018	Brady	N/A	N/A
10309777	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10309778	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10309792	12/2018	Iagnemma	N/A	N/A
10310499	12/2018	Brady	N/A	N/A
10310500	12/2018	Brady	N/A	N/A
10310514	12/2018	Harvey	N/A	N/A
10310515	12/2018	Harvey	N/A	N/A
10310517	12/2018	Paduano	N/A	N/A
10311731	12/2018	Li	N/A	N/A
10317231	12/2018	Ferencz	N/A	N/A
10317899	12/2018	Liu	N/A	N/A
10317911	12/2018	Harvey	N/A	N/A
10317912	12/2018	Harvey	N/A	N/A
10317913	12/2018	Harvey	N/A	N/A
10319224	12/2018	de Azevedo	N/A	N/A
10324463	12/2018	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10327160	12/2018	Lopes	N/A	N/A
10331127	12/2018	Oba	N/A	N/A
10331133	12/2018	Lombrozo	N/A	N/A
10331136	12/2018	Perrone	N/A	N/A
10331138	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10331141	12/2018	Grimm	N/A	N/A
10332320	12/2018	Lakshamanan	N/A	N/A
10334050	12/2018	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
10336321	12/2018	Fields	N/A	N/A
10338594	12/2018	Long	N/A	N/A
10342067	12/2018	Coutinho	N/A	N/A
10343559	12/2018	Xiao	N/A	N/A
10343685	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10343698	12/2018	Poeppel	N/A	N/A
10345808	12/2018	Wilkinson	N/A	N/A
10345809	12/2018	Ross	N/A	N/A
10345810	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10349011	12/2018	Du	N/A	N/A
10351261	12/2018	Bryant	N/A	N/A
10353390	12/2018	Linscott	N/A	N/A
10353393	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10353694	12/2018	Fields	N/A	N/A
10353931	12/2018	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
10354157	12/2018	Cohen	N/A	N/A
10358147	12/2018	Zamorano Morfin	N/A	N/A
10359783	12/2018	Williams	N/A	N/A
10360021	12/2018	Pereira Cabral	N/A	N/A
10364027	12/2018	Loveland	N/A	N/A
10365654	12/2018	Wood	N/A	N/A
10365657	12/2018	Tokuyama	N/A	N/A
10369974	12/2018	Carlson	N/A	N/A

10372129	12/2018	Urmson	N/A	N/A
10372130	12/2018	Kaushansky	N/A	N/A
10372141	12/2018	Donnelly	N/A	N/A
10373097	12/2018	Kulkarni	N/A	N/A
10373268	12/2018	Orphys	N/A	N/A
10379538	12/2018	Sheckells	N/A	N/A
10380890	12/2018	Wang	N/A	N/A
10386192	12/2018	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10386856	12/2018	Wood	N/A	N/A
10388155	12/2018	Curlander	N/A	N/A
10388162	12/2018	de Moura	N/A	N/A
10392025	12/2018	Ross	N/A	N/A
10394245	12/2018	Li	N/A	N/A
10395285	12/2018	Ross	N/A	N/A
10395332	12/2018	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10397019	12/2018	Hartung	N/A	N/A
10399458	12/2018	Prunty	N/A	N/A
10401852	12/2018	Levinson	N/A	N/A
10401867	12/2018	Strautmann	N/A	N/A
10405215	12/2018	Tavares Coutinho	N/A	N/A
10407076	12/2018	Luo	N/A	N/A
10409279	12/2018	Kwon	N/A	N/A
10410250	12/2018	Singhal	N/A	N/A
10410747	12/2018	Matos	N/A	N/A
10416670	12/2018	Fields	N/A	N/A
10416671	12/2018	Herbach	N/A	N/A
10416677	12/2018	Dean	N/A	N/A
10421460	12/2018	Jiang	N/A	N/A
10421463	12/2018	Luo	N/A	N/A
10423162	12/2018	Yalla	N/A	N/A
10425954	12/2018	Karjee	N/A	N/A
10429194	12/2018	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
10429849	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10430653	12/2018	Malecki	N/A	N/A
10431018	12/2018	Fields	N/A	N/A
10433243	12/2018	Lopes	N/A	N/A
10435015	12/2018	Kong	N/A	N/A
10435242	12/2018	Lert, Jr.	N/A	N/A
10436595	12/2018	Wang	N/A	N/A
10436885	12/2018	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
10437247	12/2018	Patel	N/A	N/A
10437256	12/2018	Andert	N/A	N/A
10438493	12/2018	Bavar	N/A	N/A
10440547	12/2018	Ameixieira	N/A	N/A
10444759	12/2018	Douillard	N/A	N/A
10446031	12/2018	Agnew	N/A	N/A
10446037	12/2018	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
10449957	12/2018	Nagy	N/A	N/A
10451514	12/2018	Xu	N/A	N/A
10452065	12/2018	Xiao	N/A	N/A

10452070	12/2018	Greenfield	N/A	N/A
10459441	12/2018	Zhuang	N/A	N/A
10459444	12/2018	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
10466712	12/2018	Ferguson	N/A	N/A
10467581	12/2018	Laury	N/A	N/A
10467915	12/2018	Kessler	N/A	N/A
10469282	12/2018	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10469753	12/2018	Yang	N/A	N/A
10471976	12/2018	Mian	N/A	N/A
10473780	12/2018	Brown	N/A	N/A
10474149	12/2018	Palanisamy	N/A	N/A
10474157	12/2018	Yu	N/A	N/A
10474159	12/2018	Ferguson	N/A	N/A
10474160	12/2018	Huang	N/A	N/A
10474161	12/2018	Huang	N/A	N/A
10474164	12/2018	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
10474916	12/2018	Krishnan	N/A	N/A
10477449	12/2018	Matos	N/A	N/A
10489529	12/2018	Cahoon	N/A	N/A
10489686	12/2018	Vallespi-Gonzalez	N/A	N/A
10490068	12/2018	Nascimento	N/A	N/A
10493622	12/2018	Sweeney	N/A	N/A
10493936	12/2018	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10496098	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10496099	12/2018	Wilkinson	N/A	N/A
10496766	12/2018	Levinson	N/A	N/A
10498600	12/2018	Ramos de Azevedo	N/A	N/A
10501014	12/2018	Castro	N/A	N/A
10503165	12/2018	Hummelshøj	N/A	N/A
10503172	12/2018	Englard	N/A	N/A
10504306	12/2018	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10506509	12/2018	Condeixa	N/A	N/A
10507787	12/2018	Ferguson	N/A	N/A
10508986	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10509947	12/2018	Douillard	N/A	N/A
10513161	12/2018	Anderson	N/A	N/A
10514690	12/2018	Siegel	N/A	N/A
10514692	12/2018	Liu	N/A	N/A
10514700	12/2018	Cantrell	N/A	N/A
10514709	12/2018	Shattil	N/A	N/A
10518770	12/2018	Kroop	N/A	N/A
10520319	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10527417	12/2019	Chen	N/A	N/A
10527450	12/2019	McNew	N/A	N/A
10527720	12/2019	Apker	N/A	N/A
10527734	12/2019	Adachi	N/A	N/A
10528048	12/2019	Cavender-Bares	N/A	N/A
10528059	12/2019	Donnelly	N/A	N/A
10528836	12/2019	Krishnan	N/A	N/A
10529027	12/2019	Konrardy	N/A	N/A

10531004	12/2019	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
10532885	12/2019	Brady	N/A	N/A
10534364	12/2019	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10536497	12/2019	Condeixa	N/A	N/A
10543838	12/2019	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
10543844	12/2019	Gordon	N/A	N/A
10545024	12/2019	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10545029	12/2019	Yang	N/A	N/A
10545507	12/2019	Aitken	N/A	N/A
10546560	12/2019	Bradley	N/A	N/A
10549752	12/2019	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10554527	12/2019	Lopes	N/A	N/A
10554901	12/2019	Kiser	N/A	N/A
10558222	12/2019	Fridman	N/A	N/A
10558864	12/2019	Huang	N/A	N/A
10562538	12/2019	Lan	N/A	N/A
10563993	12/2019	Ho	N/A	N/A
10564643	12/2019	Lui	N/A	N/A
10567650	12/2019	Rogers	N/A	N/A
10569651	12/2019	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10569663	12/2019	Webb	N/A	N/A
10569773	12/2019	Zhao	N/A	N/A
10571916	12/2019	Tschanz	N/A	N/A
10571922	12/2019	Greenfield	N/A	N/A
10572514	12/2019	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
10572717	12/2019	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10573178	12/2019	Nascimento	N/A	N/A
10576966	12/2019	Endo	N/A	N/A
10576991	12/2019	Gao	N/A	N/A
10579054	12/2019	Zhao	N/A	N/A
10579065	12/2019	Wang	N/A	N/A
10579070	12/2019	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10584971	12/2019	Askeland	N/A	N/A
10586458	12/2019	Bavar	N/A	N/A
10588033	12/2019	Behera	N/A	N/A
10591608	12/2019	Ibrahim	N/A	N/A
10591910	12/2019	Levinson	N/A	N/A
10591912	12/2019	Pedersen	N/A	N/A
10593042	12/2019	Douillard	N/A	N/A
10595175	12/2019	Ramalho de Oliveira	N/A	N/A
10596339	12/2019	Musuku	N/A	N/A
10598489	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10599141	12/2019	Liu	N/A	N/A
10599546	12/2019	Walther	N/A	N/A
10606270	12/2019	Englard	N/A	N/A
10606274	12/2019	Yalla	N/A	N/A
10606278	12/2019	Shalev-Shwartz	N/A	N/A
10606786	12/2019	Fox	N/A	N/A
10607293	12/2019	Gordon	N/A	N/A
10611384	12/2019	VandenBerg, III	N/A	N/A

10611389	12/2019	Khosla	N/A	N/A
10613489	12/2019	Luo	N/A	N/A
10613547	12/2019	Riess	N/A	N/A
10613550	12/2019	Khosla	N/A	N/A
10618519	12/2019	Marden	N/A	N/A
10621860	12/2019	Coelho de Azevedo	N/A	N/A
10627810	12/2019	Liu	N/A	N/A
10627830	12/2019	Stein	N/A	N/A
10629080	12/2019	Kazemi	N/A	N/A
10635108	12/2019	Liu	N/A	N/A
10635109	12/2019	Guo	N/A	N/A
10636297	12/2019	Wang	N/A	N/A
10642275	12/2019	Silva	N/A	N/A
10645848	12/2019	Lu	N/A	N/A
10647250	12/2019	Diehl	N/A	N/A
10647333	12/2019	Donnelly	N/A	N/A
10649453	12/2019	Svegliato	N/A	N/A
10649458	12/2019	Sun	N/A	N/A
10649462	12/2019	Shalev-Shwartz	N/A	N/A
10649469	12/2019	Salas-Moreno	N/A	N/A
10654476	12/2019	Wray	N/A	N/A
10656657	12/2019	Djuric	N/A	N/A
10659975	12/2019	Carreira	N/A	N/A
10664918	12/2019	Slusar	N/A	N/A
10668925	12/2019	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10670411	12/2019	Starns	N/A	N/A
10670416	12/2019	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
10671075	12/2019	Kobilarov	N/A	N/A
10671076	12/2019	Kobilarov	N/A	N/A
10671077	12/2019	Ros Sanchez	N/A	N/A
10671082	12/2019	Huang	N/A	N/A
10671961	12/2019	Cao	N/A	N/A
10674332	12/2019	Mineiro Ramos de Azevedo	N/A	N/A
10678234	12/2019	Sun	N/A	N/A
10678253	12/2019	Zeng	N/A	N/A
10679497	12/2019	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10683012	12/2019	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10685244	12/2019	Ge	N/A	N/A
10685403	12/2019	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10691126	12/2019	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10691127	12/2019	Kobilarov	N/A	N/A
10691130	12/2019	Phillips	N/A	N/A
10691138	12/2019	Antunes Marques Esteves	N/A	N/A
10692371	12/2019	Nix	N/A	N/A
10698407	12/2019	Ostafew	N/A	N/A
10698409	12/2019	Siegel	N/A	N/A
10698414	12/2019	Stein	N/A	N/A
10699579	12/2019	Hashimoto	N/A	N/A

10705220	12/2019	Kim	N/A	N/A
10705525	12/2019	Smolyanskiy	N/A	N/A
10705534	12/2019	Kim	N/A	N/A
10705536	12/2019	Miao	N/A	N/A
10705539	12/2019	Pedersen	N/A	N/A
10705814	12/2019	Schulte	N/A	N/A
10706480	12/2019	Orphys	N/A	N/A
10708823	12/2019	Condeixa	N/A	N/A
10710592	12/2019	Lin	N/A	N/A
10710633	12/2019	Carlson	N/A	N/A
10712745	12/2019	Zych	N/A	N/A
10712746	12/2019	Li	N/A	N/A
10712750	12/2019	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
10719886	12/2019	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10720059	12/2019	Bartel	N/A	N/A
10725469	12/2019	Harnett	N/A	N/A
10726379	12/2019	Donnelly	N/A	N/A
10726498	12/2019	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10726499	12/2019	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10730365	12/2019	Rice	N/A	N/A
10730531	12/2019	Phillips	N/A	N/A
10732639	12/2019	Palanisamy	N/A	N/A
10732645	12/2019	Switkes	N/A	N/A
10733673	12/2019	Slusar	N/A	N/A
10733761	12/2019	Kroeger	N/A	N/A
10735518	12/2019	Magalhães De Matos	N/A	N/A
10739768	12/2019	Liao-Mcpherson	N/A	N/A
10739774	12/2019	Parashar	N/A	N/A
10739775	12/2019	Sun	N/A	N/A
10739776	12/2019	Mukadam	N/A	N/A
10739780	12/2019	Silver	N/A	N/A
10740850	12/2019	Slusar	N/A	N/A
10740988	12/2019	Liu	N/A	N/A
10743159	12/2019	Ameixieira	N/A	N/A
10745003	12/2019	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
10745011	12/2019	Zhao	N/A	N/A
10747234	12/2019	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10747597	12/2019	Shen	N/A	N/A
10748218	12/2019	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10753754	12/2019	DeLizio	N/A	N/A
10753758	12/2019	Ferencz	N/A	N/A
10754341	12/2019	Li	N/A	N/A
10754348	12/2019	McClendon	N/A	N/A
10755581	12/2019	de Moura	N/A	N/A
10756909	12/2019	Condeixa	N/A	N/A
10761542	12/2019	Fairfield	N/A	N/A
10762396	12/2019	Vallespi-Gonzalez	N/A	N/A
10768620	12/2019	Tran	N/A	N/A
10768621	12/2019	Nix	N/A	N/A
10768626	12/2019	Sun	N/A	N/A

10769947	12/2019	de Moura	N/A	N/A
10773597	12/2019	Zhao	N/A	N/A
10775184	12/2019	Ho	N/A	N/A
10775488	12/2019	Bradley	N/A	N/A
10775790	12/2019	Luo	N/A	N/A
10775792	12/2019	Cooper	N/A	N/A
10775801	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10780880	12/2019	Wood	N/A	N/A
10782687	12/2019	Kawamoto	N/A	N/A
10782693	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10782694	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10782699	12/2019	Tao	N/A	N/A
10782703	12/2019	Shalev-Shwartz	N/A	N/A
10788839	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10788841	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10795360	12/2019	Nakhaei Sarvedani	N/A	N/A
10795367	12/2019	Milstein	N/A	N/A
10795375	12/2019	Shalev-Shwartz	N/A	N/A
10796174	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10796204	12/2019	Rohani	N/A	N/A
10796402	12/2019	Yan	N/A	N/A
10796562	12/2019	Wild	N/A	N/A
10800606	12/2019	Lert, Jr.	N/A	N/A
10801845	12/2019	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
10802477	12/2019	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10803325	12/2019	Bai	N/A	N/A
10807599	12/2019	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10809081	12/2019	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
10809722	12/2019	Glebov	N/A	N/A
10809726	12/2019	Kong	N/A	N/A
10809736	12/2019	Xu	N/A	N/A
10810872	12/2019	Tao	N/A	N/A
10812996	12/2019	Tavares Coutinho	N/A	N/A
10813074	12/2019	Costa	N/A	N/A
10814882	12/2019	Zhu	N/A	N/A
10816346	12/2019	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
10816984	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10816995	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10818035	12/2019	Guo	N/A	N/A
10818105	12/2019	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10818187	12/2019	Perko	N/A	N/A
10821971	12/2019	Fields	N/A	N/A
10823575	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10824144	12/2019	Fields	N/A	N/A
10824145	12/2019	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10824153	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10824170	12/2019	Paduano	N/A	N/A
10824415	12/2019	Fields	N/A	N/A
10828999	12/2019	Konrardy	N/A	N/A
10829063	12/2019	Konrardy	N/A	N/A

10829149	12/2019	Garimella	N/A	N/A
10831188	12/2019	Hammond	N/A	N/A
10831191	12/2019	Fields	N/A	N/A
10831196	12/2019	Lombrozo	N/A	N/A
10831202	12/2019	Askeland	N/A	N/A
10831204	12/2019	Fields	N/A	N/A
10831210	12/2019	Kobilarov	N/A	N/A
10831212	12/2019	Coq	N/A	N/A
10832066	12/2019	Cohen	N/A	N/A
10832141	12/2019	Boni	N/A	N/A
10832502	12/2019	Levinson	N/A	N/A
10836395	12/2019	Liu	N/A	N/A
10836405	12/2019	Wray	N/A	N/A
10837788	12/2019	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
10838426	12/2019	Fridman	N/A	N/A
10839234	12/2019	Wang	N/A	N/A
10839340	12/2019	Skaaksrud	N/A	N/A
10839426	12/2019	e Costa	N/A	N/A
10839473	12/2019	Pedersen	N/A	N/A
10841496	12/2019	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
10843722	12/2019	Letwin	N/A	N/A
10845816	12/2019	Shalev-Shwartz	N/A	N/A
10845820	12/2019	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
10852721	12/2019	Smith	N/A	N/A
10855922	12/2019	Park	N/A	N/A
10857896	12/2019	Bridges	N/A	N/A
10857994	12/2019	Iagnemma	N/A	N/A
10859395	12/2019	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
10860022	12/2019	Korchev	N/A	N/A
10860036	12/2019	Szubbcsev	N/A	N/A
10864920	12/2019	Donnelly	N/A	N/A
10866108	12/2019	Pedersen	N/A	N/A
10867188	12/2019	Huang	N/A	N/A
10870368	12/2019	Ing	N/A	N/A
10870437	12/2019	Battles	N/A	N/A
10872476	12/2019	Battles	N/A	N/A
10882535	12/2020	Lan	N/A	N/A
10883843	12/2020	Outwater	N/A	N/A
10884422	12/2020	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10885727	12/2020	Manoria	N/A	N/A
10886023	12/2020	Matos	N/A	N/A
10887431	12/2020	Khasis	N/A	N/A
10890912	12/2020	Cavender-Bares	N/A	N/A
10891138	12/2020	Valasek	N/A	N/A
10891694	12/2020	Leise	N/A	N/A
10897575	12/2020	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
10906558	12/2020	Hwang	N/A	N/A
10908613	12/2020	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10909377	12/2020	Chen	N/A	N/A
10915106	12/2020	Zych	N/A	N/A

10915116	12/2020	Teng	N/A	N/A
10915965	12/2020	Fields	N/A	N/A
10916077	12/2020	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10916142	12/2020	Fairfield	N/A	N/A
10921135	12/2020	Jiang	N/A	N/A
10921811	12/2020	Levinson	N/A	N/A
10921812	12/2020	Wilson	N/A	N/A
10921825	12/2020	Koch	N/A	N/A
10922556	12/2020	Dreyfuss	N/A	N/A
10928207	12/2020	Zhang	N/A	N/A
10928523	12/2020	Adachi	N/A	N/A
10928820	12/2020	Tao	N/A	N/A
10928829	12/2020	Tatourian	N/A	N/A
10932156	12/2020	Amorim de Faria	N/A	N/A
		Cardote		
10977111	12/2020	Rungta	N/A	N/A
10997467	12/2020	Alsallakh	N/A	N/A
11030476	12/2020	Xu	N/A	N/A
11036774	12/2020	Zhao	N/A	N/A
11055200	12/2020	Prabhu Kholkar	N/A	N/A
11074103	12/2020	Okuno	N/A	N/A
11150655	12/2020	Zhou	N/A	N/A
11150658	12/2020	Ouyang	N/A	N/A
11165783	12/2020	Eiers	N/A	N/A
11170300	12/2020	Dalli	N/A	N/A
2001/0021888	12/2000	Burns	N/A	N/A
2002/0143461	12/2001	Burns	N/A	N/A
2004/0035315	12/2003	Richards	N/A	N/A
2008/0027591	12/2007	Lenser	N/A	N/A
2008/0027599	12/2007	Logan	N/A	N/A
2008/0059015	12/2007	Whittaker	N/A	N/A
2008/0093498	12/2007	Leal	N/A	N/A
2008/0161986	12/2007	Breed	N/A	N/A
2008/0161987	12/2007	Breed	N/A	N/A
2009/0182786	12/2008	Haanpaa	N/A	N/A
2009/0306881	12/2008	Dolgov	N/A	N/A
2010/0030473	12/2009	Au	N/A	N/A
2010/0076631	12/2009	Mian	N/A	N/A
2010/0106344	12/2009	Edwards	N/A	N/A
2010/0106356	12/2009	Trepagnier	N/A	N/A
2010/0114416	12/2009	Au	N/A	N/A
2010/0225954	12/2009	Balduccini	N/A	N/A
2011/0153136	12/2010	Anderson	N/A	N/A
2011/0153338	12/2010	Anderson	N/A	N/A
2011/0288714	12/2010	Flohr	N/A	N/A
2011/0295423	12/2010	Anderson	N/A	N/A
2011/0295424	12/2010	Johnson	N/A	N/A
2012/0044043	12/2011	Nettleton	N/A	N/A
2012/0046818	12/2011	Nettleton	N/A	N/A
2012/0046927	12/2011	Nettleton	N/A	N/A

2012/0046983	12/2011	Nettleton	N/A	N/A
2012/0050787	12/2011	Balduccini	N/A	N/A
2012/0053703	12/2011	Nettleton	N/A	N/A
2012/0053775	12/2011	Nettleton	N/A	N/A
2012/0083947	12/2011	Anderson	N/A	N/A
2012/0095651	12/2011	Anderson	N/A	N/A
2012/0101680	12/2011	Trepagnier	N/A	N/A
2012/0166019	12/2011	Anderson	N/A	N/A
2012/0283906	12/2011	Anderson	N/A	N/A
2012/0316725	12/2011	Trepagnier	N/A	N/A
2013/0274986	12/2012	Trepagnier	N/A	N/A
2013/0321627	12/2012	Turn, Jr.	N/A	N/A
2014/0032017	12/2013	Anderson	N/A	N/A
2014/0067188	12/2013	Mian	N/A	N/A
2014/0136414	12/2013	Abhyanker	N/A	N/A
2014/0195095	12/2013	Flohr	N/A	N/A
2014/0201126	12/2013	Zadeh	N/A	N/A
2014/0214259	12/2013	Trepagnier	N/A	N/A
2014/0214474	12/2013	Balduccini	N/A	N/A
2014/0214487	12/2013	Balduccini	N/A	N/A
2014/0214580	12/2013	Balduccini	N/A	N/A
2014/0214581	12/2013	Balduccini	N/A	N/A
2014/0214582	12/2013	Gobeyn	N/A	N/A
2014/0253722	12/2013	Smyth	N/A	N/A
2014/0278682	12/2013	Kennell	N/A	N/A
2014/0278683	12/2013	Kennell	N/A	N/A
2015/0081156	12/2014	Trepagnier	N/A	N/A
2015/0092178	12/2014	Debrunner	N/A	N/A
2015/0153175	12/2014	Skaaksrud	N/A	N/A
2015/0154557	12/2014	Skaaksrud	N/A	N/A
2015/0177736	12/2014	Anderson	N/A	N/A
2015/0229906	12/2014	Inacio De Matos	N/A	N/A
2015/0253768	12/2014	Meng	N/A	N/A
2015/0298786	12/2014	Stigler	N/A	N/A
2015/0339355	12/2014	Haanpaa	N/A	N/A
2015/0350914	12/2014	Baxley	N/A	N/A
2016/0011318	12/2015	Cohen	N/A	N/A
2016/0021178	12/2015	Liu	N/A	N/A
2016/0036558	12/2015	Ibrahim	N/A	N/A
2016/0231746	12/2015	Hazelton	N/A	N/A
2016/0236617	12/2015	Smyth	N/A	N/A
2016/0273922	12/2015	Stefan	N/A	N/A
2016/0280238	12/2015	Zamorano Morfín	N/A	N/A
2016/0313739	12/2015	Mian	N/A	N/A
2016/0320773	12/2015	Skaaksrud	N/A	N/A
2016/0334229	12/2015	Ross	N/A	N/A
2016/0334230	12/2015	Ross	N/A	N/A
2016/0334797	12/2015	Ross	N/A	N/A
2016/0339587	12/2015	Rublee	N/A	N/A
2016/0375976	12/2015	Stigler	N/A	N/A

2017/0003681	12/2016	Ross	N/A	N/A
2017/0015405	12/2016	Chau	N/A	N/A
2017/0017236	12/2016	Song	N/A	N/A
2017/0060129	12/2016	Ross	N/A	N/A
2017/0083957	12/2016	Ross	N/A	N/A
2017/0090480	12/2016	Ho	N/A	N/A
2017/0120814	12/2016	Kentley	N/A	N/A
2017/0120902	12/2016	Kentley	N/A	N/A
2017/0123419	12/2016	Levinson	N/A	N/A
2017/0123421	12/2016	Kentley	N/A	N/A
2017/0123422	12/2016	Kentley	N/A	N/A
2017/0123428	12/2016	Levinson	N/A	N/A
2017/0123429	12/2016	Levinson	N/A	N/A
2017/0124476	12/2016	Levinson	N/A	N/A
2017/0124781	12/2016	Douillard	N/A	N/A
2017/0126810	12/2016	Kentley	N/A	N/A
2017/0132334	12/2016	Levinson	N/A	N/A
2017/0132934	12/2016	Kentley	N/A	N/A
2017/0136842	12/2016	Anderson	N/A	N/A
2017/0139411	12/2016	Hartung	N/A	N/A
2017/0160742	12/2016	Ross	N/A	N/A
2017/0164423	12/2016	Ross	N/A	N/A
2017/0166215	12/2016	Rander	N/A	N/A
2017/0227965	12/2016	DeCenzo	N/A	N/A
2017/0235316	12/2016	Shattil	N/A	N/A
2017/0248963	12/2016	Levinson	N/A	N/A
2017/0248964	12/2016	Kentley	N/A	N/A
2017/0277186	12/2016	Ross	N/A	N/A
2017/0284819	12/2016	Donnelly	N/A	N/A
2017/0285642	12/2016	Rander	N/A	N/A
2017/0294130	12/2016	Donnelly	N/A	N/A
2017/0315229	12/2016	Pavek	N/A	N/A
2017/0316333	12/2016	Levinson	N/A	N/A
2017/0323179	12/2016	Vallespi-Gonzalez	N/A	N/A
2017/0329346	12/2016	Latotzki	N/A	N/A
2017/0341236	12/2016	Patrick	N/A	N/A
2017/0351261	12/2016	Levinson	N/A	N/A
2017/0353943	12/2016	Skaaksrud	N/A	N/A
2017/0371355	12/2016	Paduano	N/A	N/A
2018/0005123	12/2017	Lagos	N/A	N/A
2018/0009445	12/2017	Nishi	N/A	N/A
2018/0011494	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2018/0017968	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2018/0023960	12/2017	Fridman	N/A	N/A
2018/0024553	12/2017	Kong	N/A	N/A
2018/0024562	12/2017	Bellaiche	N/A	N/A
2018/0024565	12/2017	Fridman	N/A	N/A
2018/0024568	12/2017	Fridman	N/A	N/A
2018/0032082	12/2017	Shalev-Shwartz	N/A	N/A
2018/0033310	12/2017	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A

2018/0039287	12/2017	Shattil	N/A	N/A
2018/0045832	12/2017	Ibrahim	N/A	N/A
2018/0047292	12/2017	Hashimoto	N/A	N/A
2018/0050704	12/2017	Tascione	N/A	N/A
2018/0059672	12/2017	Li	N/A	N/A
2018/0061242	12/2017	Bavar	N/A	N/A
2018/0086344	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2018/0086351	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2018/0088576	12/2017	Kong	N/A	N/A
2018/0088582	12/2017	Kong	N/A	N/A
2018/0088590	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2018/0093671	12/2017	Allan	N/A	N/A
2018/0095467	12/2017	Perrone	N/A	N/A
2018/0107942	12/2017	Jiang	N/A	N/A
2018/0111612	12/2017	Jiang	N/A	N/A
2018/0114258	12/2017	Ross	N/A	N/A
2018/0114259	12/2017	Ross	N/A	N/A
2018/0127000	12/2017	Jiang	N/A	N/A
2018/0127001	12/2017	Ricci	N/A	N/A
2018/0129215	12/2017	Hazelton	N/A	N/A
2018/0136643	12/2017	Tao	N/A	N/A
2018/0136644	12/2017	Levinson	N/A	N/A
2018/0136651	12/2017	Levinson	N/A	N/A
2018/0141564	12/2017	Ross	N/A	N/A
2018/0143622	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2018/0143632	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2018/0143639	12/2017	Singhal	N/A	N/A
2018/0143644	12/2017	Li	N/A	N/A
2018/0143647	12/2017	Wang	N/A	N/A
2018/0143649	12/2017	Miao	N/A	N/A
2018/0150086	12/2017	Nobukawa	N/A	N/A
2018/0154829	12/2017	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
2018/0162186	12/2017	Anderson	N/A	N/A
2018/0162412	12/2017	Gao	N/A	N/A
2018/0164822	12/2017	Chu	N/A	N/A
2018/0164827	12/2017	Chu	N/A	N/A
2018/0170392	12/2017	Yang	N/A	N/A
2018/0170395	12/2017	Luo	N/A	N/A
2018/0172821	12/2017	Apker	N/A	N/A
2018/0173240	12/2017	Fang	N/A	N/A
2018/0178791	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2018/0183873	12/2017	Wang	N/A	N/A
2018/0186378	12/2017	Zhuang	N/A	N/A
2018/0186403	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2018/0188026	12/2017	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2018/0188027	12/2017	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2018/0188037	12/2017	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
2018/0188039	12/2017	Chen	N/A	N/A
2018/0188040	12/2017	Chen	N/A	N/A
2018/0188041	12/2017	Chen	N/A	N/A

2018/0188042	12/2017	Chen	N/A	N/A
2018/0188043	12/2017	Chen	N/A	N/A
2018/0188044	12/2017	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
2018/0188059	12/2017	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
2018/0188060	12/2017	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
2018/0188727	12/2017	Zhuang	N/A	N/A
2018/0188734	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2018/0188742	12/2017	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
2018/0188743	12/2017	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
2018/0189323	12/2017	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
2018/0189578	12/2017	Yang	N/A	N/A
2018/0189717	12/2017	Cao	N/A	N/A
2018/0190046	12/2017	Levinson	N/A	N/A
2018/0196433	12/2017	Rander	N/A	N/A
2018/0196439	12/2017	Levinson	N/A	N/A
2018/0196440	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2018/0201182	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2018/0203443	12/2017	Newman	N/A	N/A
2018/0203450	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2018/0204111	12/2017	Zadeh	N/A	N/A
2018/0204122	12/2017	Boni	N/A	N/A
2018/0204141	12/2017	Nettleton	N/A	N/A
2018/0208215	12/2017	Zamorano Morfin	N/A	N/A
2018/0211534	12/2017	de Moura	N/A	N/A
2018/0216942	12/2017	Wang	N/A	N/A
2018/0217614	12/2017	Salas-Moreno	N/A	N/A
2018/0224869	12/2017	Paduano	N/A	N/A
2018/0225968	12/2017	Wang	N/A	N/A
2018/0233047	12/2017	Mandeville-Clarke	N/A	N/A
2018/0238698	12/2017	Pedersen	N/A	N/A
2018/0247160	12/2017	Rohani	N/A	N/A
2018/0253647	12/2017	Yu	N/A	N/A
2018/0257660	12/2017	Ibrahim	N/A	N/A
2018/0259956	12/2017	Kawamoto	N/A	N/A
2018/0259958	12/2017	Kalanick	N/A	N/A
2018/0267537	12/2017	Kroop	N/A	N/A
2018/0275678	12/2017	Andert	N/A	N/A
2018/0282955	12/2017	McClendon	N/A	N/A
2018/0284774	12/2017	Kawamoto	N/A	N/A
2018/0288774	12/2017	Karjee	N/A	N/A
2018/0292222	12/2017	Lin	N/A	N/A
2018/0292825	12/2017	Smolyanskiy	N/A	N/A
2018/0292831	12/2017	Kong	N/A	N/A
2018/0297606	12/2017	Luo	N/A	N/A
2018/0300964	12/2017	Lakshamanan	N/A	N/A
2018/0304889	12/2017	Shalev-Shwartz	N/A	N/A
2018/0304900	12/2017	Luo	N/A	N/A
2018/0307229	12/2017	Stein	N/A	N/A
2018/0307239	12/2017	Shalev-Shwartz	N/A	N/A
2018/0307240	12/2017	Shalev-Shwartz	N/A	N/A

2018/0307245	12/2017	Khawaja	N/A	N/A
2018/0312238	12/2017	Stigler	N/A	N/A
2018/0314266	12/2017	Shalev-Shwartz	N/A	N/A
2018/0321685	12/2017	Yalla	N/A	N/A
2018/0322546	12/2017	Ross	N/A	N/A
2018/0327091	12/2017	Burks	N/A	N/A
2018/0329411	12/2017	Levinson	N/A	N/A
2018/0330173	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2018/0334166	12/2017	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2018/0335781	12/2017	Chase	N/A	N/A
2018/0336421	12/2017	Huang	N/A	N/A
2018/0341274	12/2017	Donnelly	N/A	N/A
2018/0342157	12/2017	Donnelly	N/A	N/A
2018/0348775	12/2017	Yu	N/A	N/A
2018/0349713	12/2017	Jiang	N/A	N/A
2018/0349802	12/2017	Jiang	N/A	N/A
2018/0356821	12/2017	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
2018/0356823	12/2017	Cooper	N/A	N/A
2018/0364657	12/2017	Luo	N/A	N/A
2018/0364700	12/2017	Liu	N/A	N/A
2018/0364701	12/2017	Liu	N/A	N/A
2018/0364702	12/2017	Liu	N/A	N/A
2018/0364703	12/2017	Liu	N/A	N/A
2018/0364704	12/2017	Liu	N/A	N/A
2018/0365908	12/2017	Liu	N/A	N/A
2018/0370540	12/2017	Yousuf	N/A	N/A
2018/0373245	12/2017	Nishi	N/A	N/A
2018/0373268	12/2017	Antunes Marques Esteves	N/A	N/A
2018/0374359	12/2017	Li	N/A	N/A
2018/0375939	12/2017	Magalhães De Matos	N/A	N/A
2018/0376357	12/2017	Tavares Coutinho	N/A	N/A
2019/0004510	12/2018	Xiao	N/A	N/A
2019/0004516	12/2018	Liu	N/A	N/A
2019/0004518	12/2018	Zhou	N/A	N/A
2019/0004522	12/2018	Zych	N/A	N/A
2019/0004524	12/2018	Wang	N/A	N/A
2019/0004533	12/2018	Huang	N/A	N/A
2019/0004534	12/2018	Huang	N/A	N/A
2019/0004535	12/2018	Huang	N/A	N/A
2019/0018411	12/2018	Herbach	N/A	N/A
2019/0018412	12/2018	Tschanz	N/A	N/A
2019/0025843	12/2018	Wilkinson	N/A	N/A
2019/0028370	12/2018	Church	N/A	N/A
2019/0035275	12/2018	Nishi	N/A	N/A
2019/0039609	12/2018	Wood	N/A	N/A
2019/0049342	12/2018	Anderson	N/A	N/A
2019/0049946	12/2018	Ross	N/A	N/A
2019/0050729	12/2018	Lakshmanan	N/A	N/A
2019/0053074	12/2018	Behera	N/A	N/A

2019/0056737	12/2018	Palanisamy	N/A	N/A
2019/0056742	12/2018	Ho	N/A	N/A
2019/0061765	12/2018	Marden	N/A	N/A
2019/0066409	12/2018	Moreira da Mota	N/A	N/A
2019/0066506	12/2018	Kazemi	N/A	N/A
2019/0068434	12/2018	Moreira da Mota	N/A	N/A
2019/0071091	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2019/0071092	12/2018	Ma	N/A	N/A
2019/0071093	12/2018	Ma	N/A	N/A
2019/0072965	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2019/0072966	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2019/0072973	12/2018	Sun	N/A	N/A
2019/0072979	12/2018	Sukhomlinov	N/A	N/A
2019/0073426	12/2018	Balduccini	N/A	N/A
2019/0078896	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2019/0079524	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2019/0080602	12/2018	Rice	N/A	N/A
2019/0084571	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2019/0086924	12/2018	Greenfield	N/A	N/A
2019/0094868	12/2018	Zych	N/A	N/A
2019/0105968	12/2018	Rice	N/A	N/A
2019/0107840	12/2018	Green	N/A	N/A
2019/0113351	12/2018	Antony	N/A	N/A
2019/0120640	12/2018	Ho	N/A	N/A
2019/0120946	12/2018	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
2019/0120947	12/2018	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
2019/0120948	12/2018	Yang	N/A	N/A
2019/0122037	12/2018	Russell	N/A	N/A
2019/0122386	12/2018	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
2019/0129831	12/2018	Goldberg	N/A	N/A
2019/0130878	12/2018	Bradley	N/A	N/A
2019/0134821	12/2018	Patrick	N/A	N/A
2019/0137991	12/2018	Agarwal	N/A	N/A
2019/0138008	12/2018	Ross	N/A	N/A
2019/0146508	12/2018	Dean	N/A	N/A
2019/0146509	12/2018	Dean	701/25	G05D 1/0214
2019/0147253	12/2018	Bai	N/A	N/A
2019/0147254	12/2018	Bai	N/A	N/A
2019/0147255	12/2018	Homayounfar	N/A	N/A
2019/0156134	12/2018	Krishnan	N/A	N/A
2019/0156150	12/2018	Krishnan	N/A	N/A
2019/0156679	12/2018	Bartel	N/A	N/A
2019/0161080	12/2018	Gochev	N/A	N/A
2019/0163191	12/2018	Sorin	N/A	N/A
2019/0168769	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2019/0171912	12/2018	Vallespi-Gonzalez	N/A	N/A
2019/0174276	12/2018	Mineiro Ramos de Azevedo	N/A	N/A
2019/0176684	12/2018	Zych	N/A	N/A
2019/0179311	12/2018	Paden	N/A	N/A

2019/0179979	12/2018	Melick	N/A	N/A
2019/0185018	12/2018	Tao	N/A	N/A
2019/0186939	12/2018	Cox	N/A	N/A
2019/0187715	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2019/0187723	12/2018	Tao	N/A	N/A
2019/0195998	12/2018	Campbell	N/A	N/A
2019/0196471	12/2018	Vaughn	N/A	N/A
2019/0202561	12/2018	Weekes	N/A	N/A
2019/0204092	12/2018	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
2019/0204425	12/2018	Abari	N/A	N/A
2019/0204427	12/2018	Abari	N/A	N/A
2019/0204842	12/2018	Jafari Tafti	N/A	N/A
2019/0204843	12/2018	Fang	N/A	N/A
2019/0212161	12/2018	Pedersen	N/A	N/A
2019/0212744	12/2018	Milstein	N/A	N/A
2019/0212754	12/2018	Smith	N/A	N/A
2019/0220011	12/2018	Della Penna	N/A	N/A
2019/0220015	12/2018	Phillips	N/A	N/A
2019/0220016	12/2018	Phillips	N/A	N/A
2019/0227550	12/2018	Yershov	N/A	N/A
2019/0227553	12/2018	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
2019/0235488	12/2018	Beth	N/A	N/A
2019/0235499	12/2018	Kazemi	N/A	N/A
2019/0235532	12/2018	Paduano	N/A	N/A
2019/0243370	12/2018	Li	N/A	N/A
2019/0248487	12/2018	Holtz	N/A	N/A
2019/0250000	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2019/0250609	12/2018	Luo	N/A	N/A
2019/0250636	12/2018	Szubbcsev	N/A	N/A
2019/0250640	12/2018	O'Flaherty et al.	N/A	N/A
2019/0258246	12/2018	Liu	N/A	N/A
2019/0258251	12/2018	Ditty	N/A	N/A
2019/0265703	12/2018	Hicok	N/A	N/A
2019/0266179	12/2018	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
2019/0266420	12/2018	Ge	N/A	N/A
2019/0270408	12/2018	Castro	N/A	N/A
2019/0271549	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2019/0277632	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2019/0278277	12/2018	Tao	N/A	N/A
2019/0278284	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2019/0278290	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2019/0286143	12/2018	Ross	N/A	N/A
2019/0286155	12/2018	Stein	N/A	N/A
2019/0291728	12/2018	Shalev-Shwartz	N/A	N/A
2019/0295421	12/2018	Bavar	N/A	N/A
2019/0302768	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2019/0310627	12/2018	Halder	N/A	N/A
2019/0310636	12/2018	Halder	N/A	N/A
2019/0310650	12/2018	Halder	N/A	N/A
2019/0310654	12/2018	Halder	N/A	N/A

2019/0315232	12/2018	Ing	N/A	N/A
2019/0315357	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2019/0317455	12/2018	Leon	N/A	N/A
2019/0317507	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2019/0317508	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2019/0317512	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2019/0317513	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2019/0317515	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2019/0317520	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2019/0318411	12/2018	Dhungana	N/A	N/A
2019/0318550	12/2018	Lakshamanan	N/A	N/A
2019/0324456	12/2018	Ryan	N/A	N/A
2019/0324463	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2019/0325223	12/2018	Chen	N/A	N/A
2019/0325546	12/2018	Hagestad	N/A	N/A
2019/0329903	12/2018	Thompson	N/A	N/A
2019/0332123	12/2018	Donnelly	N/A	N/A
2019/0332875	12/2018	Vallespi-Gonzalez	N/A	N/A
2019/0333120	12/2018	Ross	N/A	N/A
2019/0333164	12/2018	Fox	N/A	N/A
2019/0346851	12/2018	Liu	N/A	N/A
2019/0349794	12/2018	Tavares Coutinho	N/A	N/A
2019/0354911	12/2018	Alaniz	N/A	N/A
2019/0359202	12/2018	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2019/0361432	12/2018	Levinson	N/A	N/A
2019/0361444	12/2018	Herbach	N/A	N/A
2019/0367019	12/2018	Yan	N/A	N/A
2019/0367020	12/2018	Yan	N/A	N/A
2019/0367021	12/2018	Zhao	N/A	N/A
2019/0367022	12/2018	Zhao	N/A	N/A
2019/0368882	12/2018	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
2019/0369616	12/2018	Ostafew	N/A	N/A
2019/0369626	12/2018	Lui	N/A	N/A
2019/0371174	12/2018	de Moura	N/A	N/A
2019/0377345	12/2018	Bachrach	N/A	N/A
2019/0377349	12/2018	Van der Merwe	N/A	N/A
2019/0377351	12/2018	Phillips	N/A	N/A
2019/0378423	12/2018	Bachrach	N/A	N/A
2019/0382007	12/2018	Casas	N/A	N/A
2019/0382031	12/2018	Hu	N/A	N/A
2019/0383945	12/2018	Wang	N/A	N/A
2019/0384301	12/2018	Greenfield	N/A	N/A
2019/0384304	12/2018	Towal	N/A	N/A
2019/0385450	12/2018	Kim	N/A	N/A
2019/0387060	12/2018	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
2019/0391585	12/2018	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0001862	12/2019	Luo	N/A	N/A
2020/0001863	12/2019	Li	N/A	N/A
2020/0003564	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0004241	12/2019	Levinson	N/A	N/A

2020/0004261	12/2019	Kim	N/A	N/A
2020/0013225	12/2019	Park	N/A	N/A
2020/0014759	12/2019	Wunderlich	N/A	N/A
2020/0019165	12/2019	Levandowski	N/A	N/A
2020/0019175	12/2019	Dean	N/A	N/A
2020/0019801	12/2019	Krishnan	N/A	N/A
2020/0021728	12/2019	Yang	N/A	N/A
2020/0023838	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0026276	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0026283	12/2019	Barnes	N/A	N/A
2020/0026285	12/2019	Perrone	N/A	N/A
2020/0026294	12/2019	Kim	N/A	N/A
2020/0027354	12/2019	Goldman	N/A	N/A
2020/0031340	12/2019	Tao	N/A	N/A
2020/0033147	12/2019	Ahn	N/A	N/A
2020/0033872	12/2019	Burch, V	N/A	N/A
2020/0041296	12/2019	Ho	N/A	N/A
2020/0042007	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0043326	12/2019	Tao	N/A	N/A
2020/0050195	12/2019	Gross	N/A	N/A
2020/0050199	12/2019	Park	N/A	N/A
2020/0051346	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0055362	12/2019	Anderson	N/A	N/A
2020/0064483	12/2019	Li	N/A	N/A
2020/0064842	12/2019	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
2020/0064851	12/2019	Wilkinson	N/A	N/A
2020/0064859	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0064861	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0073385	12/2019	Jobanputra	N/A	N/A
2020/0073739	12/2019	Rungta	N/A	N/A
2020/0074024	12/2019	Levinson	N/A	N/A
2020/0082180	12/2019	Wang	N/A	N/A
2020/0089243	12/2019	Poeppel	N/A	N/A
2020/0089245	12/2019	Yadmellat	N/A	N/A
2020/0101974	12/2019	Ha	N/A	N/A
2020/0108785	12/2019	Sweeney	N/A	N/A
2020/0110835	12/2019	Zhao	N/A	N/A
2020/0111169	12/2019	Halder	N/A	N/A
2020/0116497	12/2019	Jiang	N/A	N/A
2020/0116867	12/2019	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2020/0117207	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0117575	12/2019	Prabhu Kholkar	N/A	N/A
2020/0120253	12/2019	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
2020/0122721	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0122830	12/2019	Anderson	N/A	N/A
2020/0124719	12/2019	Noujeim	N/A	N/A
2020/0125094	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0125102	12/2019	Jiang	N/A	N/A
2020/0130864	12/2019	Brockers	N/A	N/A
2020/0133270	12/2019	Han	N/A	N/A

2020/0134525	12/2019	Goldman	N/A	N/A
2020/0137928	12/2019	Lu	N/A	N/A
2020/0139973	12/2019	Palanisamy	N/A	N/A
2020/0142405	12/2019	Havens	N/A	N/A
2020/0142428	12/2019	Donnelly	N/A	N/A
2020/0142732	12/2019	Okuno	N/A	N/A
2020/0145569	12/2019	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
2020/0149231	12/2019	Lo Vaglio	N/A	N/A
2020/0149906	12/2019	Tu	N/A	N/A
2020/0150682	12/2019	Donnelly	N/A	N/A
2020/0159216	12/2019	Le	N/A	N/A
2020/0159225	12/2019	Zeng	N/A	N/A
2020/0160067	12/2019	Huang	N/A	N/A
2020/0172115	12/2019	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2020/0172116	12/2019	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2020/0174472	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0174486	12/2019	Luo	N/A	N/A
2020/0174765	12/2019	Schulte	N/A	N/A
2020/0175691	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0175695	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0180740	12/2019	Christ	N/A	N/A
2020/0182639	12/2019	Ho	N/A	N/A
2020/0182640	12/2019	Ho	N/A	N/A
2020/0183395	12/2019	Levandowski	N/A	N/A
2020/0191601	12/2019	Jiang	N/A	N/A
2020/0192372	12/2019	Levandowski	N/A	N/A
2020/0192373	12/2019	Levandowski	N/A	N/A
2020/0192374	12/2019	Riggs	N/A	N/A
2020/0192375	12/2019	Riggs	N/A	N/A
2020/0192376	12/2019	Levandowski	N/A	N/A
2020/0192377	12/2019	Levandowski	N/A	N/A
2020/0192378	12/2019	Levandowski	N/A	N/A
2020/0192379	12/2019	Levandowski	N/A	N/A
2020/0192380	12/2019	Bernstein	N/A	N/A
2020/0192381	12/2019	Levandowski	N/A	N/A
2020/0192402	12/2019	Wang	N/A	N/A
2020/0193606	12/2019	Douillard	N/A	N/A
2020/0201329	12/2019	Levandowski	N/A	N/A
2020/0201350	12/2019	Newman	N/A	N/A
2020/0207360	12/2019	Dougherty	N/A	N/A
2020/0207369	12/2019	Mehta	N/A	N/A
2020/0207371	12/2019	Dougherty	N/A	N/A
2020/0207375	12/2019	Mehta	N/A	N/A
2020/0209853	12/2019	Leach	N/A	N/A
2020/0209857	12/2019	Djuric	N/A	N/A
2020/0209872	12/2019	Xu	N/A	N/A
2020/0225032	12/2019	Chen	N/A	N/A
2020/0225673	12/2019	Ebrahimi Afrouzi	N/A	N/A
2020/0231106	12/2019	Sweeney	N/A	N/A
2020/0231142	12/2019	Liu	N/A	N/A

2020/0233415	12/2019	Panzica	N/A	N/A
2020/0233418	12/2019	Liu	N/A	N/A
2020/0233420	12/2019	Liu	N/A	N/A
2020/0233429	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0240799	12/2019	Gao	N/A	N/A
2020/0240805	12/2019	Kanajan	N/A	N/A
2020/0241546	12/2019	Sun	N/A	N/A
2020/0249677	12/2019	Maat	N/A	N/A
2020/0250067	12/2019	Walther	N/A	N/A
2020/0250981	12/2019	Kazemi	N/A	N/A
2020/0262263	12/2019	Doerksen	N/A	N/A
2020/0265249	12/2019	Ge	N/A	N/A
2020/0272148	12/2019	Karasev	N/A	N/A
2020/0282907	12/2019	Diehl	N/A	N/A
2020/0282987	12/2019	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2020/0284581	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0285240	12/2019	Diehl	N/A	N/A
2020/0285658	12/2019	Wheeler	N/A	N/A
2020/0290647	12/2019	Anderson	N/A	N/A
2020/0293051	12/2019	Hasegawa	N/A	N/A
2020/0293052	12/2019	Hasegawa	N/A	N/A
2020/0293053	12/2019	Hasegawa	N/A	N/A
2020/0298863	12/2019	Lin	N/A	N/A
2020/0301435	12/2019	Phillips	N/A	N/A
2020/0310417	12/2019	Pedersen	N/A	N/A
2020/0310442	12/2019	Halder	N/A	N/A
2020/0310444	12/2019	Hasegawa	N/A	N/A
2020/0327234	12/2019	Zhou	N/A	N/A
2020/0331480	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0333470	12/2019	Oh	N/A	N/A
2020/0333785	12/2019	Cooper	N/A	N/A
2020/0341469	12/2019	Smolyanskiy	N/A	N/A
2020/0341487	12/2019	Hazelton	N/A	N/A
2020/0341490	12/2019	Silva	N/A	N/A
2020/0342693	12/2019	Jiang	N/A	N/A
2020/0346637	12/2019	Zhou	N/A	N/A
2020/0348668	12/2019	Poulet	N/A	N/A
2020/0348676	12/2019	Zhou	N/A	N/A
2020/0348684	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0349848	12/2019	Bartel	N/A	N/A
2020/0356090	12/2019	Thakur	N/A	N/A
2020/0356100	12/2019	Nagarajan	N/A	N/A
2020/0356849	12/2019	Xu	N/A	N/A
2020/0363813	12/2019	He	N/A	N/A
2020/0371533	12/2019	Kentley-Klay	N/A	N/A
2020/0379457	12/2019	Ostafew	N/A	N/A
2020/0379462	12/2019	Kawamoto	N/A	N/A
2020/0379474	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0383580	12/2019	Shouldice	N/A	N/A
2020/0387155	12/2019	Liu	N/A	N/A

2020/0388154	12/2019	Kim	N/A	N/A
2020/0393261	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0393837	12/2019	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2020/0394474	12/2019	Vallespi-Gonzalez	N/A	N/A
2020/0401145	12/2019	Milstein	N/A	N/A
2020/0402323	12/2019	Liu	N/A	N/A
2020/0406893	12/2019	Choe	N/A	N/A
2020/0408921	12/2019	Oh	N/A	N/A
2020/0409351	12/2019	Zhu	N/A	N/A
2020/0409377	12/2019	Ready-Campbell	N/A	N/A
2020/0409386	12/2019	Thakur	N/A	N/A
2020/0410252	12/2019	Tsoi	N/A	N/A
2020/0410255	12/2019	Guo	N/A	N/A
2020/0410703	12/2019	Guo	N/A	N/A
2021/0004012	12/2020	Marchetti-Bowick	N/A	N/A
2021/0009163	12/2020	Urtasun	N/A	N/A
2021/0009166	12/2020	Li	N/A	N/A
2021/0018916	12/2020	Thakur	N/A	N/A
2021/0018917	12/2020	Levandowski	N/A	N/A
2021/0018918	12/2020	Levandowski	N/A	N/A
2021/0024100	12/2020	Calleija	N/A	N/A
2021/0024144	12/2020	Patnaik	N/A	N/A
2021/0026348	12/2020	Gogna	N/A	N/A
2021/0026355	12/2020	Chen	N/A	N/A
2021/0031760	12/2020	Ostafew	N/A	N/A
2021/0031801	12/2020	Wood	N/A	N/A
2021/0033410	12/2020	Niemiec	N/A	N/A
2021/0034068	12/2020	Shalev-Shwartz	N/A	N/A
2021/0034412	12/2020	Televitckiy	N/A	N/A
2021/0035442	12/2020	Baig	N/A	N/A
2021/0035450	12/2020	Gao	N/A	N/A
2021/0039669	12/2020	Watson	N/A	N/A
2021/0039682	12/2020	Wu	N/A	N/A
2021/0039779	12/2020	Salas-Moreno	N/A	N/A
2021/0041882	12/2020	Lacaze	N/A	N/A
2021/0042575	12/2020	Firner	N/A	N/A
2021/0046861	12/2020	Li	N/A	N/A
2021/0046946	12/2020	Nemec	N/A	N/A
2021/0048304	12/2020	Pedersen	N/A	N/A
2021/0048991	12/2020	Tanner	N/A	N/A
2021/0049243	12/2020	Venkatadri	N/A	N/A
2021/0049415	12/2020	Whiteson	N/A	N/A
2021/0049903	12/2020	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2021/0053407	12/2020	Smith	N/A	N/A
2021/0065027	12/2020	Yamamoto	N/A	N/A
2021/0086370	12/2020	Zhang	N/A	N/A
2021/0088337	12/2020	Koubaa	N/A	N/A
2021/0117730	12/2020	Alsallakh	N/A	N/A
2021/0133502	12/2020	Dees	N/A	N/A
2021/0173831	12/2020	Crabtree	N/A	N/A

2021/0188316	12/2020	Marchetti-Bowick	N/A	G06N 3/045
2021/0232915	12/2020	Dalli	N/A	N/A
2021/0247781	12/2020	Liu	N/A	N/A
2021/0271253	12/2020	Liu	N/A	N/A
2021/0278854	12/2020	Serrano	N/A	N/A
2021/0287556	12/2020	Hong	N/A	N/A
2021/0304066	12/2020	Tomioaka	N/A	N/A
2021/0311504	12/2020	Chai	N/A	N/A
2021/0380105	12/2020	Hudecek	N/A	G05D 1/0088
2021/0394788	12/2020	Guo	N/A	N/A
2022/0027737	12/2021	Dalli	N/A	N/A
2022/0055215	12/2021	Hasegawa	N/A	N/A
2022/0057804	12/2021	Hasegawa	N/A	N/A
2022/0058815	12/2021	Xu	N/A	N/A
2022/0146997	12/2021	Stepanova	N/A	G06N 20/00
2023/0316924	12/2022	Hruschka	701/301	G08G 1/166
2024/0067209	12/2023	Bárdos	N/A	B60W 60/0011

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Quach Hai Tho, Huynh Cong Phap, Pham Anh Phuong, A Predictive Control Solution for Contingency Motion Planning for Autonomous Vehicle, 2019 IEEE-RIVF International Conference on Computing and Communication Technologies (RIVF) (pp. 1-6) (Year: 2019). cited by examiner

Primary Examiner: Browne; Scott A

Assistant Examiner: Buse; Terry C

Attorney, Agent or Firm: Hoffberg & Associates

Background/Summary

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION (1) The present application is a non-provisional of, and claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of, U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/200,431, filed Mar. 5, 2021, the entirety of which is expressly incorporated herein by reference.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

(1) The present invention relates to the field of autonomous driving systems, and more particularly to a system which enables interaction between task and motion planners.

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

(2) Citation or identification of any reference herein, or any section of this application shall not be construed as an admission that such reference is available as prior art. The disclosure of each publication and patent listed or referenced herein are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety in this application, see 37 C.F.R. § 1.57(c), and shall be treated as if the entirety thereof forms a part of this application. Such references are provided for their disclosure of technologies as may be required to enable practice of the present invention, to provide written description for claim language, to make clear applicant's possession of the invention with respect to the various aggregates, combinations, permutations, and sub-combinations of the respective disclosures or portions thereof (within a particular reference or across multiple references) in conjunction with the

combinations, permutations, and sub-combinations of various disclosure provided herein, to demonstrate the non-abstract nature of the technology, and for any other purpose. Except as expressly indicated, the scope of the invention is inclusive, and therefore the disclosure of a technology or teaching within these incorporated materials is intended to encompass that technology or teaching as being an option of, or an addition to, other disclosure of the present invention. Likewise, the combination of incorporated teachings consistent with this disclosure is also encompassed. The citation of references is intended to be part of the disclosure of the invention, and not merely supplementary background information. While cited references may be prior art, the combinations thereof and with the material disclosed herein is not admitted as being prior art.

(3) The incorporation by reference does not extend to teachings which are inconsistent with the invention as expressly described herein as being essential. The incorporated references are rebuttable evidence of a proper interpretation of terms, phrases, and concepts employed herein by persons of ordinary skill in the art. No admission is made that any incorporated reference is analogous art to the issues presented to the inventor, and the selection, combination, and disclosure of these disparate teachings is itself a part of the invention herein disclosed.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

(4) Autonomous driving technologies have the great potential of reshaping urban mobility in people's daily life [1], [2], [3]. To be deemed useful, autonomous vehicles (hereinafter “vehicles”) must be time-efficient in accomplishing service tasks, which frequently requires symbolic actions such as “Merge left, go straight, turn left, and park right”, while at the same time ensuring safety in executing such actions on the road [4], [5], [6].

(5) Generally, autonomous vehicles need to plan at the task level to compute a sequence of symbolic actions toward fulfilling service requests from people. In this process, how the actions are implemented in the real world is out of consideration at the task level. At the same time, vehicles must plan at the motion level to compute continuous trajectories, and desired control signals (e.g., for steering, accelerating, and braking) to implement the symbolic actions. While the task planner hopes that all the symbolic actions can be implemented by the vehicles, there is the safety concern that must be considered at the motion level. For instance, lane-changing behaviors can be dangerous in heavy traffic. FIGS. 1A and 1B show two situations for a vehicle that are dangerous and safe, respectively. FIG. 1A shows a risky situation for the vehicle (blue) to merge left due to the busy traffic. FIG. 1B shows a safe situation exists for the vehicle to merge left. The goal of TMPUD is to enable the motion level to take symbolic actions from, and communicate safety to the task level toward efficient and safe autonomous driving behaviors.

(6) Although task planning (frequently referred to as behavior planning in autonomous driving [7]) and motion planning have been individually conducted in autonomous driving, there is little research from the literature focusing on the interaction between task and motion levels. There is the critical need of developing algorithms to bridge the gap between task planning and motion planning to help vehicles improve the task-completion efficiency while ensuring the safety of driving behaviors.

(7) The robotics community has studied the integration of task and motion planning, mostly in manipulation domains [8], [9], [10], [11]. In comparison to those domains, autonomous driving algorithms must consider the uncertainty from the ego vehicle, and the surrounding objects (including other vehicles) on the road. The uncertainty must be quantitatively evaluated at the motion level, and taken into consideration for planning at the task level. For instance, when the left lane is busy and missing the next crossing does not introduce much extra distance, the task planner should avoid forcing the vehicle to merge left. Such behaviors are possible, only if the interactions between task and motion levels are enabled.

(8) Motion-Level Planning for Autonomous Driving: Safety is of the most importance at the motion level, and highly relies on the motion-level controllers. Early research in robotics (mostly

on manipulation problems) has developed a “safe set” algorithm to avoid unsafe situations in human-robot interactions [14], where it offers a theoretical guarantee of safety. That algorithm has been improved to further account for the uncertainty from the real world [15], where both efficiency and safety were modeled in human-robot interface scenarios. Those methods focused on robot manipulation domains, where it is frequently assumed the acting agent being the only one that makes changes in the world, and hence are not applicable to autonomous driving domains.

(9) Within the autonomous driving context, researchers have developed a series of learning and decision-making methods to enable motion planners to learn safe behaviors [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21]. The above-mentioned methods (in robotics and autonomous driving) mainly focus on motion-level behaviors, and do not look into how motion-level behaviors can be sequenced at the task level to accomplish complex driving tasks.

(10) Task-Level Planning for Autonomous Driving: Task planning has been applied to autonomous driving. For instance, one of the earliest works on this topic demonstrated that task planning techniques enable vehicles to complete complex tasks, such as to avoid temporary roadblocks [13]. However, their work did not consider costs of driving behaviors, and hence performs poorly in task-completion efficiency. Similarly, task planners in [22], [23], [24] have no interaction with the motion planner, and safety was not modeled in generating the driving behaviors.

(11) More recent research has enabled vehicles to periodically verify the task sequences and motion trajectories against the actual traffic situation [25]. In case of possible dangers detected at the motion level, re-planning is triggered at the task level. The main limitation of this is that the triggering is deterministic, and highly depends on a safety threshold. The threshold must be set beforehand to ensure safety, which frequently produces over-conservative behaviors, and significantly reduces the task-level efficiency.

(12) Task and Motion Planning: Researchers have integrated task and motion planning in robotics, where the primary domain is robot manipulation [26], [27], [28], [29], [8]. Research on manipulation is mostly concerned with the motion-level feasibility, e.g., in grasping and ungrasping behaviors, and accomplishing high-level tasks, such as stacking objects. Those methods did not consider the uncertainty from other agents (e.g., vehicles on the road). As a result, their systems produce over-optimistic (and hence risky) behaviors, assuming no other agents making changes in the world, and are not applicable to autonomous driving domains.

(13) Further work has surveyed frameworks for autonomous driving [7], including works that plan at both task and motion levels. However, their motion planners do not provide any feedback to the task level, except for infeasible actions.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

(14) The present invention provides a system and method for task and motion planning for urban driving, which is useful for both real-time guidance and autonomous vehicles.

(15) Autonomous vehicles need planning capabilities at two separate levels. On the one hand, at the task level, the vehicle needs to compute a sequence of actions to accomplish human-specific goals (say to buy groceries and add gasoline before going home) using action knowledge provided by domain experts. This so-called task planning problem is traditionally a problem studied in the AI community. On the other hand, the vehicle needs to compute motion trajectories that connect current and goal locations. At the motion level, the vehicle needs to consider its surrounding vehicles to ensure safety. Motion planning is traditionally a robotics problem.

(16) The present technology brings task planning and motion planning together, and applies the integrated system onto autonomous driving scenarios. As a result, an approach, called Task-Motion Planning for Urban Driving (TMPUD), enables efficient task-level behaviors, while ensuring motion-level safety guarantees. See: Ding, Yan, Xiaohan Zhang, Xingyue Zhan, and Shiqi Zhang. “Task-motion planning for safe and efficient urban driving.” In 2020 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), pp. 2119-2125. IEEE, 2020; Zhang, Xiaohan, Yifeng Zhu, Yan Ding, Yuke Zhu, Peter Stone, and Shiqi Zhang. “Visually Grounded Task

and Motion Planning for Mobile Manipulation.” arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.10667 (2022). Ding, Yan, Xiaohan Zhang, Xingyue Zhan, and Shiqi Zhang. “Learning to Ground Objects for Robot Task and Motion Planning.” arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.06674 (2022). Piquet, Camille, and Marc Toussaint. “Combined task and motion planning under partial observability: An optimization-based approach.” In 2019 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), pp. 9000-9006. IEEE, 2019. Woods, Grayson Landis. “Evaluation of Local Kinematic Motion Planning Algorithms for a Truck and Trailer System.” PhD diss., 2020. He, Zichen, Jiawei Wang, and Chunwei Song. “A review of mobile robot motion planning methods: from classical motion planning workflows to reinforcement learning-based architectures.” arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.13619 (2021).

(17) An existing task planning (an Answer Set Programming, or ASP), approach, and motion planning algorithms (provided by the CARLA simulator), are used. See, Lifschitz, Vladimir. Answer set programming. Berlin: Springer, 2019. Brewka, Gerhard, Thomas Eiter, and Miroslaw Truszczynski. “Answer set programming at a glance.” Communications of the ACM 54, no. 12 (2011): 92-103. Eiter, Thomas, Giovambattista Ianni, and Thomas Krennwallner. “Answer set programming: A primer.” In Reasoning Web International Summer School, pp. 40-110. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009. Erdem, Esra, Michael Gelfond, and Nicola Leone. “Applications of answer set programming.” AI Magazine 37, no. 3 (2016): 53-68. Lifschitz, Vladimir. “Answer set planning.” In International Conference on Logic Programming and Nonmonotonic Reasoning, pp. 373-374. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999. Gebser, Martin, Torsten Schaub, and Sven Thiele. “Gringo: A new grounder for answer set programming.” In International Conference on Logic Programming and Nonmonotonic Reasoning, pp. 266-271. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007. Lifschitz, Vladimir. “Answer set programming and plan generation.” Artificial Intelligence 138, no. 1-2 (2002): 39-54. Bonatti, Piero, Francesco Calimeri, Nicola Leone, and Francesco Ricca. “Answer set programming.” A 25-year perspective on logic programming (2010): 159-182. Gebser, Martin, Roland Kaminski, and Torsten Schaub. “Complex optimization in answer set programming.” Theory and Practice of Logic Programming 11, no. 4-5 (2011): 821-839. Niemela, Ilkka, Patrik Simons, and Tommi Syrjanen. “Smodels: a system for answer set programming.” arXiv preprint cs/0003033 (2000). Liu, Guohua, Tomi Janhunen, and Ilkka Niemela. “Answer set programming via mixed integer programming.” In Thirteenth International Conference on the Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning. 2012. Brewka, Gerhard, Thomas Eiter, and Miroslaw Truszczynski. “Answer set programming: An introduction to the special issue.” AI Magazine 37, no. 3 (2016): 5-6. Eiter, Thomas, Giovambattista Ianni, Roman Schindlauer, and Hans Tompits. “A uniform integration of higher-order reasoning and external evaluations in answer-set programming.” In IJCAI, vol. 5, pp. 90-96. 2005. Toni, Francesca, and Marek Sergot. “Argumentation and answer set programming.” Logic Programming, Knowledge Representation, and Nonmonotonic Reasoning (2011): 164-180. US Patent and Patent Application Nos. 20220027737; 20210304066; 20210232915; 20210173831; 20210086370; 20210065027; 20200174765; 20200142732; 20200117575; 20200110835; 20200073739; 20190354911; 20190333164; 20190318411; 20190073426; 20190028370; 20180204122; 20180005123; 20150339355; 20150253768; 20140278683; 20140278682; 20140214582; 20140214581; 20140214580; 20140214487; 20140214474; 20120050787; 20100225954; 20090182786; 11,170,300; 11,165,783; 11,074,103; 11,055,200 11,036,774; 10,977,111; 10,832,141; 10,706,480; 10,705,814; 10,373,268; 10,305,765; 9,925,462; 9,584,535; 9,450,975; 9,135,432; 9,117,201; 9,110,905; 9,100,363; 9,059,960; 9,055,094; 8,990,387; 8,677,486; 8,671,182; 8,601,034; 8,578,002; 8,576,430; 8,474,043; 8,433,790; 8,289,882; 8,272,055; 8,127,353; 7,440,942; 7,219,350; and 7,197,699. The simulation platform is provided by the autonomous driving community—CARLA, see CARLA.org. It simulates the ego vehicle's behaviors, as long as how the surrounding vehicles respond to the ego vehicle's behaviors. github.com/carla-simulator/carla/blob/dev/LICENSE. See also, US 20220042258; 20210390725; 20210326606; and

20200226377. Gómez-Hudlamo, Carlos, Javier Del Egidio, Luis M. Bergasa, Rafael Barea, Elena López-Guillén, Felipe Arango, Javier Araluce, and Joaquin López. "Train here, drive there: Simulating real-world use cases with fully-autonomous driving architecture in carla simulator." In Workshop of Physical Agents, pp. 44-59. Springer, Cham, 2020. Niranjana, D. R., and B. C. VinayKarthik. "Deep Learning based Object Detection Model for Autonomous Driving Research using CARLA Simulator." In 2021 2nd International Conference on Smart Electronics and Communication (ICOSEC), pp. 1251-1258. IEEE, 2021. Deschaud, Jean-Emmanuel. "KITTI-CARLA: a KITTI-like dataset generated by CARLA Simulator." arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.00892 (2021). Stević, Stevan, Momčilo Krunić, Marko Dragojević, and Nives Kaprocki. "Development and validation of ADAS perception application in ROS environment integrated with CARLA simulator." In 2019 27th Telecommunications Forum (TELFOR), pp. 1-4. IEEE, 2019. Zhang, Wei, Siyu Fu, Zixu Cao, Zhiyuan Jiang, Shunqing Zhang, and Shugong Xu. "An SDR-in-the-loop Carla simulator for C-V2X-based autonomous driving." In IEEE INFOCOM 2020-IEEE Conference on Computer Communications Workshops (INFOCOM WKSHPS), pp. 1270-1271. IEEE, 2020.

(18) TMPUD does not depend on any particular simulation platform, vehicle model, or the selections of task and motion planners. From the simulation perspective, as long as the simulation platform is able to provide how the ego vehicle and environment respond to the ego vehicle's behaviors, they can be used for prototyping and evaluation purposes. TMPUD provides a generic way of computing task-motion plans. CARLA was selected as the evaluation platform because it well supports the simulation of sensors, traffic lights, weathers, and road conditions. However, one can easily implement TMPUD using other popular simulators, such as AirSim (github.com/microsoft/AirSim) and Gazebo (github.com/osrf/gazebo). There are a number of such open-source simulation platforms, and many more that are not open-source.

(19) The technology operates at two different levels; a high level task planning which permits determines goals and intermediate waypoints, for example, as well as low level motion planning which makes short-time scale decisions regarding movement options to achieve the same overall objectives. In general, the high level (long term, prospective, goal oriented) and low level (short term, concurrent, operations-oriented) planning must be both efficient and safe, and these disparate themes are combined using a cost-function. When the motion planner causes a deviation from a nominal task plan, the task planner then re-executes in order to replan the task based on the deviation. One way to consider the task planner and the motion planner is that the former is operative proactively based on predicted states and initial conditions to achieve a plan for a future action, while the motion planner is responsive to initial conditions and real time (sensor) data to plan a current action than as achieved nearly simultaneously with the planning.

(20) Task-Motion Planning for Urban Driving (TMPUD) is thus provided for efficient and safe autonomous urban driving. TMPUD enables the interaction between task and motion planners through enabling the motion-level safety estimation and task-level replanning capabilities.

(21) TMPUD has been evaluated using CARLA, an autonomous driving platform for simulating urban driving scenarios. [12] suggest TMPUD improves both safety and efficiency, in comparison to two baseline methods from the literature [13], [8]. TMPUD supports the interaction between task and motion levels, and aims at improving both safety and efficiency of autonomous driving behaviors. The TMPUD algorithm supports motion-level safety evaluation, and enables the task planner to dynamically adjust high-level plans to account for current road conditions toward accomplishing long-term driving tasks.

(22) Task Planning

(23) A task planning domain is specified by $D_{sup,t}$, including a set of states, S , and a set of actions, A . A factored state space is assumed such that each state $s \in S$ is defined by the values of a fixed set of variables; each action $a \in A$ is defined by its preconditions and effects. A utility function maps the state transition to a real number, which takes both cost function $Cost(s,a,s')$ and safety function $Safe(s,a,s')$ into account. Specifically, the cost and safety functions respectively reflect the cost and

safety of conducting action a in state s .

(24) Given domain $D_{sup.t}$ and a task planning problem, a plan $p \in P$ is computed, starting from an initial state $s_{sup.init} \in S$ and finishing in a goal state $s_{sup.g} \in S$. A plan p consists of a sequence of transitions that can be represented as: $p = \langle s_{sub.0}, a_{sub.0}, \dots, s_{sub.N-1}, a_{sub.N-1}, s_{sub.N} \rangle$ custom character, where $s_{sub.0} = s_{sup.init}$, $s_{sub.N} = s_{sup.g}$ and P denotes a set of satisfactory plans. Task planner $P_{sup.t}$ can produce an optimal plan p^* among all satisfactory plans, where γ is a constant coefficient and $\gamma > 0$

$$(25) p^* = \underset{p \in P}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left[\operatorname{Cost}(s, a, s') + \frac{\gamma}{1 + e^{\operatorname{Safe}(s, a, s')}} \right].$$

Motion Planning

(26) A motion planning domain is specified by $D_{sup.m}$, where a search is conducted directly in 2D space constrained by the urban road network. Some parts of the space are designated as free space, and the rest are designated as obstacles. The 2D space is represented as a region in Cartesian space such that the position and orientation of the vehicle can be uniquely represented as a pose, denoted by x .

(27) It is noted that the technology is not limited to operation in a 2D space, and rather is also applicable to higher dimensional spaces, e.g., 3D spaces (such as for aerial autonomous vehicles), and ~1D spaces (such as railroad or other constrained path travel).

(28) Given domain $D_{sup.m}$, a motion planning problem can be specified by an initial pose $x_{sup.i}$ and a goal pose $x_{sup.g}$. The motion planning problem is solved by a motion planner $P_{sup.m}$ consisting of path planner and tracking planner into two phases. In the first one, a path planner computes a collision-free trajectory ξ connecting pose $x_{sup.i}$ and pose $x_{sup.g}$ taking into account any motion constraints on the part of the vehicle with minimal trajectory length. In the second one, a tracking controller computes desired control signals to drive the vehicle to follow the computed trajectory. Due to the fundamental difference between representations at task and motion levels, in line with past research [26], [29], [8], [11], a state mapping function, $f: X = f(s)$, is used to map the symbolic state s into a set of feasible poses X in continuous space, for motion planner to sample from. Availability of at least one pose $x \in X$ is assumed in each state s , such that the vehicle is in the free space of $D_{sup.m}$. If it is not the case, the state s is declared infeasible.

(29) Safety Estimation

(30) Safety estimation aims at computing the safety level, $\operatorname{Safe}(s, a, s')$ custom character), of the motion-level implementation of a symbolic action s, a, s' custom character. The goal of computing the safety value is to enable the task planner to incorporate the road condition into the process of sequencing high-level actions toward accomplishing complex driving tasks.

(31) To perform symbolic action s, a, s' custom character, a motion planner is used to compute a sequence of continuous control signals, i.e., acceleration $\delta \in \Delta$ and steering angle $\theta \in \Theta$, to drive the vehicle following the planned trajectory, while ensuring no collision on the road. Sets Δ and Θ denote the operation specification of the controller, which generally depends on the adopted motion planner and the ego vehicle itself. Let $U_{sub.s}(t)$ (mathematically $U_{sub.s}(t) \subset \Delta \times \Theta$) specify a safe control set at time t , in which all elements, denoted by $u(t) = \langle \delta, \theta \rangle$ custom character, are safe for an ego vehicle to perform at time t . Intuitively, the size of safe control set $U_{sub.s}$ reflects the safety level. For instance, when $|U_{sub.s}|$ is very small, meaning that very few control signals are safe, the vehicle can only be operated in very particular ways, indicating the safety level in general is low. Accordingly, the probability of elements sampled from set $\Delta \times \Theta$ being located in the safe set $U_{sub.s}$ is to represent the safety value of action s, a, s' custom character.

(32) Safety Estimation Algorithm

(33) Algorithm 1 summarizes the procedure of the safety estimation algorithm. The input includes symbolic action s, a, s' custom character, stating mapping function f , motion

planner P.sup.m consisting of path planner and tracking controller, and the controller's operation specification sets Δ and Θ . The output is the estimated safety value $\text{Safe}(\text{custom characters}, a, s', \text{custom character}) \in [0.0, 1.0]$.

(34) In algorithm 1, lines 1-3 aim to obtain the short-period trajectories of the ego and surrounding vehicles, where $V.\text{sub}.i, i \in [1, \dots, N]$ is the i th vehicle within the ego vehicle's sensing range. More specifically, a first sample of a pair of feasible initial and goal poses for the symbolic actions using the state mapping function (Line 1). Taking these two poses as input, the motion planner then computes a continuous trajectory for the ego vehicle for a short period of time $[t.\text{sub}.1, t.\text{sub}.2]$ (Line 2), where $t.\text{sub}.1$ is the current time, and $t.\text{sub}.2 = t.\text{sub}.1 + T$ indicates the time horizon of the ego vehicle. Surrounding vehicles' trajectories are predicted, assuming their linear and angular speeds being stationary (Line 3), though there are more advanced methods [30], [31].

(35) Lines 4-8 of algorithm 1 present a control loop that computes the safety estimation between the ego vehicle and the surrounding vehicles $V.\text{sub}.i$, where $i \in [1, \dots, N]$, given that the ego vehicle is performing action $\text{custom characters}, a, s', \text{custom character}$ at the motion level. A safe control set $U.\text{sub}.i.\text{sup}.s(t)$ is computed, similar to [19], that includes all safe control signals with regard to vehicle $V.\text{sub}.i$ at time t (Line 5). Parameter ω controls the sampling interval.

(36) In Line 6 of algorithm 1, M elements are randomly sampled from the set $\Delta \times \Theta$, and compute probability $o.\text{sub}.i(t)$ of the sampled elements falling in set $U.\text{sub}.i.\text{sup}.s(t)$. A list of values of safety estimation $\{o.\text{sub}.i(t)\}$ is converted into a single value $o^*.\text{sub}.i$ using eqn. 1, where \max and mean are two functions to calculate the maximum and mean value of a list, respectively (Line 7). Although all surrounding vehicles can potentially introduce risks to the ego vehicle, the ego vehicle is assumed to only consider the most dangerous vehicle. Accordingly, Line 9 is used for selecting the minimum value, $o^*.\text{sub}.i, i \in [1, \dots, N]$, as the overall safety value:

$$(37) \quad o_i^* = \frac{\max_{t \in T} \{o_i(t)\} + \text{mean}_{t \in T} \{o_i(t)\}}{2} \quad \text{Where } t = t_1 + \omega \times i, \quad 0 \leq i \leq \frac{(t_2 - t_1)}{\omega} \quad (1)$$

Algorithm 1 Safety Estimation

(38) Input: Symbolic action $\text{custom characters}, a, s', \text{custom character}$, state mapping function f , motion planner P.sup.m, control operation sets Δ and Θ 1: Sample initial and goal poses, $x \leftarrow f(s)$ and $x' \leftarrow f(s')$, given action $\text{custom character}(s, a, s', \text{custom character})$, and f . 2: Compute a collision-free trajectory, $\xi.\text{sup}.E$, using P.sup.m, where $\xi.\text{sup}.E(t.\text{sub}.1) = x, \xi(t.\text{sub}.2) = x'$; and $[t.\text{sub}.1, t.\text{sub}.2]$ is the horizon 3: Predict trajectory $\xi.\text{sub}.i.\text{sup}.s$ for the i th surrounding vehicle, where $i \in [1, \dots, N]$, and $[t.\text{sub}.1, t.\text{sub}.2]$ is the horizon 4: while for each vehicle $V.\text{sub}.i$ do 5: Compute safe control set $U.\text{sub}.i.\text{sup}.s(t)$ between the ego vehicle and vehicle $V.\text{sub}.i$ at time $t \in [t.\text{sub}.1, t.\text{sub}.2]$, where $U.\text{sub}.i.\text{sup}.s(t) \in \Delta \times \Theta$ and

(39) $t = t_1 + \omega \times i, i \leq \frac{(t_2 - t_1)}{\omega}$ 6: Sample M elements $\text{custom character}, \delta, \theta, \text{custom character}$ randomly from set $\Delta \times \Theta$ and compute the probability $o.\text{sub}.i(t)$ of the elements falling in set $U.\text{sub}.i.\text{sup}.s(t)$ 7: Convert a list of estimated safety values, $\{o.\text{sub}.i(t)\}$, into a scalar value $o^*.\text{sub}.i$ using Eqn. 1 8: end while 9: return $\min\{o^*.\text{sub}.i, i = 1, \dots, N\}$

TMPUD

(40) The motion planner P.sup.m computes both costs (trajectory lengths) and safety values of the ego vehicle's navigation actions.

(41) $s.\text{sup}.init$ is used to represent the initial state of the ego vehicle, and the goal (service request from people) is specified using $s.\text{sup}.g$. The task planner P.sup.t computes a sequence of symbolic actions, and it requires two functions that are initialized and updated within the algorithm, including cost function Cost, and safety estimation function Safe. Motion planner P.sup.m is used for computing motion trajectories, and generating control signals to move the ego vehicle. The state mapping function f is used for mapping symbolic states to 2D coordinates in continuous spaces.

(42) The TMPUD Algorithm

(43) Algorithm 2 summarizes the procedure of TMPUD. It starts by initializing the cost and safety estimation functions (Lines 1 and 2 of algorithm 2). Cost function Cost is initialized using the A^*

algorithm provided by CARLA, as shown in Line 1 of algorithm 2. In Line 2 of algorithm 2, TMPUD optimistically initializes the safety estimation function by setting 1.0 to all actions, indicating all task-level actions are completely safe. After that, an optimal task plan, $p^* = \langle \text{custom characters}, s_{\text{init}}, a_{\text{sub.0}}, s_{\text{sub.1}}, \dots, s_{\text{sup.g}} \rangle$ custom character is computed in Line 3 of algorithm 2. The head and tail elements of the plan, $s_{\text{sup.init}}$ and $s_{\text{sup.g}}$, correspond to the initial and goal poses respectively.

(44) Lines 4-19 of algorithm 2 form TMPUD's main control loop that enables the interaction between task and motion planners. The loop's termination condition is the task-level plan being empty, i.e., the goal has been achieved (Line 4 of algorithm 2). Specifically, TMPUD estimates the safety level, μ , of action $\langle \text{custom characters}, a, s' \rangle$ custom character (Line 5 of algorithm 2). Functions Safe and Cost are updated using μ and A* search in Line 6 of algorithm 2. Then a new optimal plan p' is computed in Line 7 of algorithm 2. Lines 8-18 of algorithm 2 is for plan monitoring and action execution. If the task planner suggests the same plan (Line 8 of algorithm 2), the vehicle will continue to execute action a at the motion level. The goal state is sampled from state mapping function in Line 9 of algorithm 2. Lines 10-14 of algorithm 2 is a loop to execute the action. Specifically, the motion planner will compute and execute a desired control signal $\langle \text{custom character}, \delta, \theta \rangle$ custom character repeatedly until the vehicle reaches the goal pose (Line 10 of algorithm 2). The vehicle's current pose x will be updated after each execution (Line 13 of algorithm 2). After completing the operation, the tuple $\langle \text{custom characters}, a \rangle$ custom character will be removed from the plan p (Line 15 of algorithm 2). On the contrary, if the task planner suggests a new plan p' different from the plan p , the currently optimal p' will replace the non-optimal plan p (Line 17 of algorithm 2).

(45) Algorithm 2 TMPUD algorithm

(46) Input: Initial state $s_{\text{sup.i}}$, goal specification $s_{\text{sup.g}}$, task planner $P_{\text{sup.t}}$, state mapping function f , motion planner $P_{\text{sup.m}}$, and safety estimator (Algorithm 1) 1: Initialize cost function Cost with sampled poses $x \in f(s)$: $\text{Cost}(\langle \text{custom characters}, a, s' \rangle \text{ custom character}) \leftarrow A^*(x, x')$ 2: Initialize safety estimation $\text{Safe}(s, a, s') \leftarrow 1.0$ 3: Compute an optimal task plan p using Cost and Safe functions:

$p \leftarrow P_{\text{sup.t}}(s_{\text{sup.init}}, s_{\text{sup.g}}, \text{Cost}, \text{Safe})$, where $p = \langle \text{custom character}, s_{\text{sup.init}}, f_{\text{wdarw}}, a_{\text{sub.0}}, s_{\text{sub.1}}, a_{\text{sub.1}}, \dots, s_{\text{sup.g}} \rangle$ custom character 4: while Plan p is not empty do 5: Extract the first action of $p'(s, a, s')$ and compute safety value μ using Algorithm 1 6: Update Safe function: $\text{Safe}(\langle \text{custom character}, a, s' \rangle \text{ custom character}) \leftarrow \mu$ and Cost function: $\text{Cost}(\langle \text{custom characters}, a, s' \rangle \text{ custom character}) \leftarrow A^*(x, x')$ 7: Generate a new plan: $p' \leftarrow P_{\text{sup.t}}(s, s_{\text{sup.g}}, \text{Cost}, \text{Safe})$ 8: if $p' == p$ then 9: $x' \leftarrow f(s')$ 10: while $x \neq x'$ do 11: Call motion planner $\langle \text{custom character}, \delta, \theta \rangle$ custom character $\leftarrow P_{\text{sup.m}}(x, x')$ 12: Execute the control signal $\langle \text{custom character}, \delta, \theta \rangle$ custom character 13: Update the vehicle's current pose x 14: end while 15: Remove the tuple $\langle \text{custom characters}, a \rangle$ custom character from plan p 16: else 17: Update current plan $p \leftarrow p'$ 18: end if 19: end while

Task Planner

(47) The task planner $P_{\text{sup.t}}$ is implemented using Answer Set Programming (ASP), which is a popular declarative language for knowledge representation and reasoning, and ASP has been used for task planning [32], [33], [11], [34]. For example, predicate `leftof(La1, La2)` can be used to specify lane `La1` being on the left of lane `La2`. Five driving actions are modelled, including `mergeleft`, `mergeright`, `forward`, `turnleft`, and `turnright`. For instance, action `mergeright` can be used to help the vehicle merge to the right lane, where constraints, such as “`changeright`” is allowed only if there exists a lane on the right, have been modeled as well.

(48) Motion Planner

(49) At the motion level, path planner firstly generates a desired continuous trajectory with the minimal traveling distance using A* search. The trajectory includes a set of waypoints (each in the form of a pair of x-y coordinate and orientation), and the trajectory is delivered to the tracking

controller, along with the vehicle's current pose and speed. The controller uses a proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controller [35] to generate control signals, e.g., for steering, throttle, and brake. PID controller is very popular due to its simplicity, flexibility, and robustness.

(50) CARLA, an open-source 3D urban driving simulator [12] was been developed to support development, training, and validation of autonomous driving systems. Compared to other simulation platforms, e.g., [36], [37], see, U.S. Pat. Nos. 11,150,655; 11,030,476; 10,997,467; 10,596,339; 9,662,068; 11,150,658; 10,588,033; 10,425,954; 20210394788; 20210311504; 20210278854; 20210271253; 20210133502; 20210117730; 20190004518; 20220058815; 20220057804; 20220055215; 20210287556; 20210247781; 20210088337; 20200310444; 20200293053; 20200293052; 20200293051; 20190053074; and 20180288774, CARLA provides open digital assets (urban layouts, buildings, vehicles) that were created for this purpose and can be used freely.

(51) See also: Bondi, Elizabeth, Debadeepta Dey, Ashish Kapoor, Jim Piavis, Shital Shah, Fei Fang, Bistra Dilkina et al. "Airsim-w: A simulation environment for wildlife conservation with uavs." In Proceedings of the 1st ACM SIGCAS Conference on Computing and Sustainable Societies, pp. 1-12. 2018. Shah, Shital, Debadeepta Dey, Chris Lovett, and Ashish Kapoor. "Airsim: High-fidelity visual and physical simulation for autonomous vehicles." In Field and service robotics, pp. 621-635. Springer, Cham, 2018. Nguyen, Justin, Peter K. Nguyen, and Mujahid Abdulrahim. "Development of an Unmanned Traffic Management Simulation with Robot Operating System and Gazebo." In AIAA SCITECH 2022 Forum, p. 1918. 2022. Mehrooz, Golizheh, Emad Ebeid, and Peter Schneider-Kamp. "System design of an open-source cloud-based framework for internet of drones application." In 2019 22nd Euromicro Conference on Digital System Design (DSD), pp. 572-579. IEEE, 2019. Zhu, Donglin, Guanghui Xu, Xiaoting Wang, Xiaogang Liu, and Dewei Tian. "PairCon-SLAM: Distributed, Online, and Real-Time RGBD-SLAM in Large Scenarios." IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement 70 (2021): 1-14. Nikolenko, Sergey I. "Synthetic Simulated Environments." In Synthetic Data for Deep Learning, pp. 195-215. Springer, Cham, 2021. de Figueiredo, Rui Pimentel, Jonas le Fevre Sejersen, Jakob Grimm Hansen, Martim Brandao, and Erdal Kayacan. "Real-Time Volumetric-Semantic Exploration and Mapping: An Uncertainty-Aware Approach." In 2021 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), pp. 9064-9070. IEEE, 2021. Yoon, Sugjoon, Dongcho Shin, Younghoon Choi, and Kyungtae Park. "Development of a flexible and expandable UTM simulator based on open sources and platforms." Aerospace 8, no. 5 (2021): 133.

(52) The CARLA software provides two functionalities: First, it enables an ego vehicle to evaluate the safety level based on its surrounding vehicles' locations and speeds. Second, it computes task-level plans (a sequence of symbolic actions), and motion-level plans (a trajectories in continuous space) at the same time.

(53) An autonomous vehicle (AV) (e.g., a driverless car, a driverless auto, a self-driving car, a robotic car, etc.) is a vehicle that is capable of sensing an environment of the vehicle and traveling (e.g., navigating, moving, etc.) in the environment without human input. An AV uses a variety of techniques to detect the environment of the AV, such as radar, laser light, lidar, Global Positioning System (GPS), odometry, and/or computer vision. In some instances, an AV uses a control system to interpret information received from one or more sensors, to identify a route for traveling, to identify an obstacle in a route, and to identify relevant traffic signs associated with a route.

(54) The AV includes a processor, which may be local to the AV, remote from the AV at a data center, for example, or distributed through a variety of predetermined or ad hoc computing resources. Often, it is useful to implement artificial intelligence and/or machine learning to help manage the extremely high dimensionality of the sensor dataset, rule base, and control options. The processor may include reduced instruction set computing (RISC) processors, complex instruction set processors (CISC), single instruction-multiple data (SIMD) processors or other parallel

processing systems, artificial neural network (ANN) processors or implementations, field programmable gate arrays (FPGA), application-specific integrated circuits (ASIC) and the like, and typically will include multiple processors, with some dedicated to particular tasks.

(55) An autonomous vehicle (AV) map of a geographic location may be used for determining nominal driving paths on lanes of roadways (e.g., roadway segments) in the map on which an AV may operate (e.g., route, travel, or perform one or more autonomous operations). For example, a nominal driving path including one or more lanes on one or more roadway segments is determined by generating a center line through lane boundaries of a lane. However, a nominal driving path may not describe a proper driving path through lanes on roadway segments over which an AV can travel. For example, nominal driving paths may include inconsistencies associated with the lane boundaries of the roadway. As an example, a centerline of lane boundaries may provide inaccuracies for AV travel, based on different markings from a first lane to a second lane in a roadway where the width of the lane boundaries are determined. Additionally or alternatively, an AV of a first size may not operate on a nominal driving path, the same as an AV of a second size on the same nominal path. For example, a large sized AV may be limited (e.g., constrained) in movement as compared to a smaller sized AV when traversing a nominal driving path based on the lane boundaries in the roadway of the AV map. Additionally or alternatively, a nominal driving path may be inefficiently determined from aspects of a lane polygon that requires additional storage of points on the polygon and/or additional computations. In this way, a nominal driving path may be less complete and/or result in less efficient processing for operating and/or routing the AV. In addition, a map may be generated that provides an AV fewer operating options and/or driving options, may not account for the proper structure of lanes, and/or may implement additional processing functions to modify or augment the AV map for lanes with incomplete or incorrect physical markings. Therefore, while maps are useful, and permit geographic reference of structures and events, they typically cannot be relied upon for safe traverse during operation of the AV, and sensor data and real-time processing is required for safety and reliability. Further, the limitations on the data available before a task is begun, or before a portion of a task is implemented, impose limits on task planning.

(56) Therefore, an AV should have processing capability for both safe motion planning and task planning available during operation.

(57) A map generation system may contain or receive map data associated with a map of a geographic location, wherein the map data is associated with a roadway or traverse passage in the geographic location. The map generation system determines a general motion path including one or more trajectories for an AV, and the map data may be acquired by various means, and preferably based on one or more traversals of the path by one or more vehicles. The map generation system may generate driving path information based on one or more trajectory points in the one or more trajectories of the driving path. In this way, the map generation system provides and/or generates the driving path data associated with the driving path to an AV for controlling travel of the AV.

(58) A vehicle computing system of an AV may receive driving path data associated with a desired path, including one or more trajectories (or ranges or limits on the available trajectories) that an AV can traverse. For example, the actual travel path and trajectory may be dependent on vehicle speed, traffic, obstacles or hazards, uncontrolled excursions from the desired path (e.g., skids), weather, and other factors.

(59) The AV will therefore typically plan a route in advance of travel, and then make decisions during travel for particular path options dependent on real-time calculations. In this case, a real time calculation is meant to encompass calculations that occur between receipt of sensor data and when the AV must respond to the sensor data to avoid adverse effect. For example, an adaptive suspension may require millisecond scale response times, while a path planning system responsive to a posted traffic signal observed by a camera may have seconds of planning time.

(60) The AV computing system can determine at least one feature of the environment or roadway in

the intended (or avoided) path based on the map data, non-real time data (such as historical or old traffic data) and real-time data. In some cases, the vehicle computing system can determine a lateral constraint associated with lateral movement of the AV based on the driving path data associated with the trajectory. Accordingly, the map enables the vehicle computing system to generate and/or traverse a route in a more efficient manner with less processing delays and/or routing for the AV to be performed by the vehicle processing system (e.g., completely by the vehicle processing system).

(61) The map generation system and/or the AV may include one or more devices capable of receiving, storing, and/or providing map data associated with a map of a geographic location (e.g., a country, a state, a city, a portion of a city, a township, a portion of a township, etc.). For example, AV maps are used for routing an AV on a roadway specified in the AV maps. The map data may include data associated with a road (e.g., an identity and/or a location of a roadway of a road, an identity and/or location of a segment of a road, etc.), data associated with features of a road, such as an object in proximity to a road (e.g., a building, a lamppost, a crosswalk, a curb of the road, etc.), data associated with a lane of a roadway (e.g., the location and/or direction of a travel lane, a parking lane, a turning lane, a bicycle lane, etc.), data associated with traffic control of a road (e.g., the location of and/or instructions associated with lane markings, traffic signs, traffic lights, etc.), and/or the like. A map of a geographic location may include one or more routes that include one or more roadways. Map data associated with a map of the geographic location may associate each roadway of the one or more roadways with an indication of whether an AV can travel on that roadway. Features of a road may be based on data collected by and/or received from one or more sensors located on an AV as the AV travels on one or more roads in a geographic location.

(62) The map may include dynamic information, such as traffic light timing, diurnal/weekly/monthly/annual traffic density patterns and changes, correlations between patterns at different locations, etc. Further, to the extent that the map is available for use by a human occupant of the AV, the map may also include points of interest, sponsored points of interest (location-based advertising), promotions, and the like. Further, the map may include locations of electric vehicle (EV) charging stations, to allow planning energy availability and replenishment, in addition to endpoint route.

(63) A road refers to a paved or otherwise improved path between two places that allows for travel by a vehicle (e.g., an AV). Additionally or alternatively, a road includes a roadway and a sidewalk in proximity to (e.g., adjacent, near, next to, touching, etc.) the roadway. A roadway may include a portion of road on which a vehicle is intended to travel and is not restricted by a physical barrier or by separation so that the vehicle is able to travel laterally. Additionally or alternatively, a roadway includes one or more lanes, such as a travel lane (e.g., a lane upon which a vehicle travels, a traffic lane, etc.), a parking lane (e.g., a lane in which a vehicle parks), a bicycle lane (e.g., a lane in which a bicycle travels), a turning lane (e.g., a lane in which a vehicle turns from), and/or the like. A roadway may be connected to another roadway, for example a lane of a roadway may be connected to another lane of the roadway and/or a lane of the roadway may be connected to a lane of another roadway.

(64) Note that the present technology is not limited to on-road automobiles, and rather may be used for off-road, unmanned autonomous vehicles (UAV), pedestrians, bicycles, mopeds, motorcycles, snowmobiles, and other types of vehicles or travel situations. The present technology in general seeks to optimize the task planning with the motion planning in a safe and efficient manner. Note that safety is often a statistical consideration, and what is managed is the margin of safety or predicted margin of safety, since other than in extreme cases, operation in a designated unsafe manner is undesirable. On the other hand, in certain sports or emergencies, operation outside of safe ranges is desired, and this can also be accommodated within the paradigm. Likewise, efficiency is typically desired, but the metric may be designed based on various cost functions. Thus, where time is of the essence, a high weighting of time may lead to an optimal path which

would otherwise be considered inefficient or unsafe, or both. More generally, by combining the various considerations of efficiency and safety into a combined determination, hard constraints are avoided, and therefore more options are available during motion to achieve an optimal result.

(65) A map generation system may include one or more devices capable of receiving map data, determining a driving path for an AV including one or more trajectories in a lane of the roadway based on one or more traversals of the roadway by one or more vehicles, generating driving path information based on one or more trajectory points in the one or more trajectories of the driving path in the roadway, and/or providing driving path data associated with the driving path for controlling the AV. For example, the map generation system can include one or more computing systems including one or more processors (e.g., one or more servers, etc.).

(66) AVs may include one or more devices capable of receiving driving path data and determining a route in a lane including a driving path based on the driving path data. The AV may include one or more devices capable of controlling travel, operation, and/or routing of the AV based on the driving path data. For example, the one or more devices may control travel and one or more functionalities associated with the fully autonomous mode of the AV in a lane on the driving path, based on the driving path information including feature information associated with the driving path, for example, by controlling the one or more devices (e.g., a device that controls acceleration, a device that controls steering, a device that controls braking, etc.) of AV based on sensor data, position data, and/or map data associated with determining the features in the lane. The AV may include one or more devices capable of receiving map data, determining a route in a lane that includes the driving path for an AV, including one or more trajectories in a roadway based on one or more traversals of the roadway by one or more vehicles, generating driving path information based on one or more trajectory points in the one or more trajectories of the driving path in the roadway, and/or providing driving path data associated with the driving path for controlling the AV. For example, AV can include one or more computing systems including one or more processors (e.g., one or more servers, etc.).

(67) The map generation system and/or AV may include one or more devices capable of receiving, storing, and/or providing map data (e.g., map data, AV map data, coverage map data, hybrid map data, submap data, etc.) associated with a map (e.g., a map, a submap, an AV map, a coverage map, a hybrid map, etc.) of a geographic location (e.g., a country, a state, a city, a portion of a city, a township, a portion of a township, etc.). For example, maps are used for routing AV on a roadway specified in the map.

(68) The network may include one or more wired and/or wireless networks. For example, the network may include a cellular network (e.g., a long-term evolution (LTE) network, a third generation (3G) network, a fourth generation (4G) network, a fifth generation (5G) network, a sixth generation (6G) network, a WiFi network (e.g., within IEEE-802.11 series of standards, e.g., WiFi 5, WiFi 6, WiFi 6E, WiFi 7), a low earth orbiting satellite network, Starlink, WiMax, etc.), a local area network (LAN), a wide area network (WAN), a metropolitan area network (MAN), an ad hoc network, the Internet, a fiber optic-based network, a cloud computing network, and/or the like, and/or a combination of these or other types of networks.

(69) The AV computing system may include vehicle command system, perception system, prediction system, task planning system, and motion planning system that cooperate to perceive a surrounding environment of AV, determine a motion plan and control the motion (e.g., the travel vector and parameters) of AV accordingly.

(70) The AV computing system may be connected to or include a positioning system. The positioning system may determine a position (e.g., a current position, a past position, etc.) of AV, and typically includes a geographic positioning system (GPS) and/or inertial guidance system. The positioning system may determine a position of AV based on an inertial sensor, a satellite positioning system, triangulation based on network components (e.g., network access points, cellular towers, Wi-Fi access points, etc.), and/or proximity to network components, and/or the like.

(71) The AV computing system may receive sensor data from one or more sensors that are coupled to or otherwise included in AV. For example, one or more sensors may include a Light Detection and Ranging (LIDAR) system, a Radio Detection and Ranging (RADAR) system, one or more cameras (e.g., visible spectrum cameras, infrared cameras, etc.), and/or the like. The sensor data may include data that describes a location of objects within the surrounding environment of the AV. One or more sensors may collect sensor data that includes data that describes a location (e.g., in three-dimensional space relative to the AV) of points that correspond to objects within the surrounding environment of AV.

(72) The sensor data may include a location (e.g., a location in three-dimensional space relative to the LIDAR system) of a number of points (e.g., a point cloud) that correspond to objects that have reflected a ranging laser. The LIDAR system may measure distances by measuring a Time of Flight (TOF) that a short laser pulse takes to travel from a sensor of the LIDAR system to an object and back, and the LIDAR system calculates the distance of the object to the LIDAR system based on the known speed of light. Map data may include LIDAR point cloud maps associated with a geographic location (e.g., a location in three-dimensional space relative to the LIDAR system of a mapping vehicle) of a number of points (e.g., a point cloud) that correspond to objects that have reflected a ranging laser of one or more mapping vehicles at the geographic location. As an example, a map can include a LIDAR point cloud layer that represents objects and distances between objects in the geographic location of the map.

(73) The sensor data may include a location (e.g., a location in three-dimensional space relative to the RADAR system) of a number of points that correspond to objects that have reflected a ranging radio wave. Radio waves (e.g., pulsed radio waves or continuous radio waves) transmitted by the RADAR system can reflect off an object and return to a receiver of the RADAR system. The RADAR system can then determine information about the object's location and/or speed. The RADAR system may provide information about the location and/or the speed of an object relative to the RADAR system based on the radio waves. Multipath exploitation RADAR may be used to detect non-line of sight features.

(74) Image processing techniques (e.g., range imaging techniques, such as, for example, structure from motion, structured light, stereo triangulation, etc.) can be performed by the system to identify a location (e.g., in three-dimensional space relative to the one or more cameras) of a number of points that correspond to objects that are depicted in images captured by one or more cameras. Other sensors can identify the location of points that correspond to objects as well. Sensor fusion may be used to merge related data, such as from a time-of-flight (TOF) sensor to provide additional data and analysis.

(75) The map database may provide detailed information associated with the map, features of the roadway in the geographic location, and information about the surrounding environment of the AV for the AV to use while driving (e.g., traversing a route, planning a route, determining a motion plan, controlling the AV, etc.).

(76) The vehicle computing system may receive a vehicle pose from localization system based on one or more sensors that are coupled to or otherwise included in AV. The localization system may include a LIDAR localizer, a low-quality pose localizer, and/or a pose filter. For example, the localization system may employ a pose filter that receives and/or determines one or more valid pose estimates (e.g., not based on invalid position data, etc.) from the LIDAR localizer and/or a low-quality pose localizer, for determining a map-relative vehicle pose. For example, the low-quality pose localizer determines a low quality pose estimate in response to receiving position data from positioning system for operating (e.g., routing, navigating, controlling, etc.) the AV under manual control (e.g., in a coverage lane). The LIDAR localizer may determine a LIDAR pose estimate in response to receiving sensor data (e.g., LIDAR data, RADAR data, etc.) from sensors for operating (e.g., routing, navigating, controlling, etc.) the AV under autonomous control (e.g., in a coverage lane).

(77) A vehicle command system may include a navigation system, and a lane association system that cooperate to route and/or navigate the AV in a geographic location. The vehicle command system may track a current objective of the AV, including a current service, a target pose, and/or a coverage plan (e.g., development testing, etc.). The navigation system may determine and/or provide a route plan (e.g., a task plan) for the AV based on the current state of the AV, map data (e.g., lane graph, etc.), and one or more vehicle commands (e.g., a target pose). For example, navigation system may determine a route plan (e.g., plan, re-plan, deviation, etc.) including one or more lanes (e.g., current lane, future lane, etc.) in one or more roadways the AV may traverse on a route to a destination (e.g., target, trip drop-off, etc.).

(78) The navigation system may determine a route plan based on one or more lanes received from lane association system. The lane association system may determine one or more lanes of a route in response to receiving a vehicle pose from the localization system. For example, the lane association system determines, based on the vehicle pose, that the AV is on a coverage lane, and in response to determining that the AV is on the coverage lane, determines one or more candidate lanes (e.g., routable lanes) within a distance of the vehicle pose associated with the AV. For example, the lane association system determines, based on the vehicle pose, that the AV is on an AV lane, and in response to determining that the AV is on the AV lane, determines one or more candidate lanes (e.g., routable lanes) within a distance of the vehicle pose associated with the AV. The navigation system generates a cost function for each of one or more candidate lanes the AV may traverse on a route to a destination. For example, navigation system generates the cost function that describes a cost (e.g., a cost over a time period) of following (e.g., adhering to) one or more lanes to reach a target pose.

(79) The perception system may detect and/or track objects (e.g., vehicles, pedestrians, bicycles, and/or the like) that are proximate to (e.g., in proximity to the surrounding environment of) the AV over a time period. The perception system can retrieve (e.g., obtain) map data from map database that provides detailed information about the surrounding environment of the AV. For example, the perception system may determine differences between the map data and a current sensor stream, and thereby infer mobile or dynamically changing elements and static elements. This, in turn, permits a risk assessment with respect to change of position or orientation of objects and their potential interaction with the AV during travel.

(80) The perception system may determine one or more objects that are proximate to AV based on sensor data received from one or more sensors and/or map data from the map database. For example, the perception system determines, for the one or more objects that are proximate, state data associated with a state of such object. The state data associated with an object includes data associated with a location of the object (e.g., a position, a current position, an estimated position, etc.), data associated with a speed of the object (e.g., a magnitude of velocity of the object), data associated with a direction of travel of the object (e.g., a heading, a current heading, etc.), data associated with an acceleration rate of the object (e.g., an estimated acceleration rate of the object, etc.), data associated with an orientation of the object (e.g., a current orientation, etc.), data associated with a size of the object (e.g., a size of the object as represented by a bounding shape such as a bounding polygon or polyhedron, a footprint of the object, etc.), data associated with a type of the object (e.g., a class of the object, an object with a type of vehicle, an object with a type of pedestrian, an object with a type of bicycle, etc.), and/or the like.

(81) The perception system may determine state data for an object over a number of iterations of determining state data. For example, perception system may update the state data for each object of a plurality of objects during each iteration.

(82) The prediction system may receive the state data associated with one or more objects from perception system. The prediction system may predict one or more future locations for the one or more objects based on the state data. For example, the prediction system may predict the future location of each object of a plurality of objects within a time period (e.g., 0.5 second, 1 second, 2,

seconds, 3 seconds, 4 seconds, 5 seconds, 10 seconds, 20 seconds, etc.). The prediction system may predict that an object will adhere to the object's direction of travel according to the speed of the object. The prediction system may use machine learning techniques or modeling techniques to make a prediction based on state data associated with an object.

(83) The motion planning system may determine a motion plan for AV based on a prediction of a location associated with an object provided by the prediction system and/or based on state data associated with the object provided by the perception system. For example, the motion planning system may determine a motion plan (e.g., an optimized motion plan) for the AV that causes AV to travel relative to the object based on the prediction of the location for the object provided by the prediction system and/or the state data associated with the object provided by the perception system.

(84) The motion planning system may receive a route plan as a command from the navigation system. The motion planning system may determine a cost function for each of one or more motion plans of a route for AV based on the locations and/or predicted locations of one or more objects. The cost function includes such parameters as time, objective, energy cost, safety risk, event cost, vehicle operation cost (e.g., tires, brakes, fuel/electricity), etc.

(85) For example, the motion planning system determines the cost function that describes a cost (e.g., a cost over a time period) of following (e.g., adhering to) a motion plan (e.g., a selected motion plan, an optimized motion plan, etc.) as well as a predicted risk and associated costs of the predicted risks. The cost associated with the cost function increases and/or decreases based on elements of a motion plan (e.g., a selected motion plan, an optimized motion plan, a preferred motion plan, etc.).

(86) For example, while a non-risk adjusted cost function increases and/or decreases based on the AV deviating from the motion plan to avoid a collision with an object, a risk adjusted cost function would generally decreased as a result of properly executed collision avoidance maneuvers. More generally, the risks are less discrete. Any time an AV changes lanes, there is a potential risk, though in some cases, the risk of changing lanes is lower than the risk or cost-compensated risk of remaining in the same lane. That is, the collision or adverse event is a low probability, but not zero, and the risk probability is generally included in the motion plan to mitigate unnecessary risks that do not substantially improve task efficiency. However, where task efficiency value of an action is substantial, and exceeds the risk-adjusted cost of the action, then the system should take the action.

(87) The motion planning system may determine a cost of following a motion plan. For example, motion planning system determines a motion plan for AV based on one or more cost functions. The motion planning system determines a motion plan (e.g., a selected motion plan, an optimized motion plan, a preferred motion plan, etc.) that minimizes a risk-adjusted cost function. The motion planning system may provide a motion plan to vehicle controls (e.g., a device that controls acceleration, a device that controls steering, a device that controls braking, an actuator that controls gas flow, etc.) to implement the motion plan. Alternately, the motion planner may be integrated into the motion controller, and the plan need not be a separate and discrete communication.

(88) Each main process within the system may be associated with its physical processor, instructions, memory, data communication interface, etc., or multiple functions may be combined in a single hardware platform. Typically, in order to guaranty deterministic performance, assist in achieving fault tolerance, provide opportunity for diagnostics, repair, and upgrades, separate hardware is provided for specific tasks, and the communications between the modules is discrete.

(89) In a typical module, a bus permits communication among the components. The processor is implemented in hardware, firmware, or a combination of hardware and software. For example, processor includes one or more processing elements (e.g., a central processing unit (CPU), a graphics processing unit (GPU), an accelerated processing unit (APU), etc.), a microprocessor, a digital signal processor (DSP), and/or any processing component (e.g., a field-programmable gate array (FPGA), an application-specific integrated circuit (ASIC), etc.) that can be programmed to

perform a function. A memory may include random access memory (RAM), read only memory (ROM), and/or another type of dynamic or static storage device (e.g., flash memory, magnetic memory, optical memory, etc.) that stores information and/or instructions for use by the processor. (90) A storage component stores information and/or software related to the operation and use of device. For example, the storage component includes a solid state mass storage device such as a NVMe®, PCIe 3.0 or PCIe 4.0 storage device.

(91) An input component may include a component that permits the device to receive information, such as via user input (e.g., a touch screen display, a keyboard, a keypad, a mouse, a button, a switch, a microphone, etc.). Additionally, or alternatively, the input component includes a sensor for sensing information (e.g., a global positioning system (GPS) component, an accelerometer, a gyroscope, an actuator, etc.).

(92) An output component may include a component that provides output information from the device (e.g., a display, a speaker, one or more light-emitting diodes (LEDs), etc.).

(93) A communication interface may include a transceiver-like component (e.g., a transceiver, a separate receiver and transmitter, etc.) that enables the device to communicate with other devices, such as via a wired connection, a wireless connection, or a combination of wired and wireless connections. The communication interface can permit the device to receive information from another device and/or provide information to another device. For example, the communication interface may include an Ethernet interface, an optical interface, a coaxial interface, an infrared interface, a radio frequency (RF) interface, a universal serial bus (USB) interface, a Wi-Fi interface, a cellular network interface, and/or the like.

(94) The device can perform one or more processes described herein, e.g., by executing software instructions stored by a computer-readable medium, such as a memory and/or the storage component. A computer-readable medium (e.g., a non-transitory computer-readable medium) is defined herein as a non-transitory memory device. A memory device includes memory space located inside of a single physical storage device or memory space spread across multiple physical storage devices. Software instructions can be read into the memory and/or storage component from another computer-readable medium or from another device via communication interface. When executed, software instructions stored in the memory and/or storage component cause the processor to perform one or more processes described herein. Additionally, or alternatively, hardwired circuitry can be used in place of or in combination with software instructions to perform one or more processes described herein. Thus, embodiments described herein are not limited to any specific combination of hardware circuitry and software.

(95) The roadway may be associated with map data (e.g., map data, AV map data, coverage map data, hybrid map data, submap data, route data, etc.) that defines one or more attributes of (e.g., metadata associated with) the roadway (e.g., attributes of a roadway in a geographic location, attributes of a segment of a roadway, attributes of a lane of a roadway, attributes of an edge of a roadway, etc.). An attribute of a roadway may include a road edge of a road (e.g., a location of a road edge of a road, a distance of location from a road edge of a road, an indication whether a location is within a road edge of a road, etc.), an intersection, connection, or link of a road with another road, a roadway of a road, a distance of a roadway from another roadway (e.g., a distance of an end of a lane and/or a roadway segment or extent to an end of another lane and/or an end of another roadway segment or extent, etc.), a lane of a roadway of a road (e.g., a travel lane of a roadway, a parking lane of a roadway, a turning lane of a roadway, lane markings, a direction of travel in a lane of a roadway, etc.), one or more objects (e.g., a vehicle, vegetation, a pedestrian, a structure, a building, a sign, a lamppost, signage, a traffic sign, a bicycle, a railway track, a hazardous object, etc.) in proximity to and/or within a road (e.g., objects in proximity to the road edges of a road and/or within the road edges of a road), a sidewalk of a road, and/or the like.

(96) The lane (and/or a roadway segment or extent) may have one or more ends. For example, an end of a lane (and/or a roadway segment or extent) is associated with or corresponds to a

geographic location at which map data associated with the lane (and/or the roadway segment or extent) ends (e.g., is unavailable). As an example, an end of a lane can correspond to a geographic location at which map data for that lane ends.

(97) The map data may include a link (e.g., a connection) that connects or links a lane (and/or a roadway segment or extent) to another lane (and/or to another roadway segment or extent). As an example, the map data includes a unique identifier for each lane (and/or roadway segment or extent), and the unique identifiers are associated with one another in the map data to indicate a connection or link of a lane to another lane (or a connection or link of a roadway segment or extent to another roadway segment or extent). For example, the unique identifiers can be associated with one another in the map data to indicate that a lane (and/or a roadway segment or extent) is a predecessor lane or a successor lane to another lane (and/or a predecessor or successor roadway segment or extent to another roadway segment or extent). As an example, a heading of travel (e.g., direction) of a predecessor lane to another lane is from the predecessor lane to another lane, and a heading of travel of a successor lane to another lane is from another lane to the successor lane.

(98) The process includes determination of a driving path including one or more trajectories. The map generation system may determine a driving path including one or more trajectories for an AV in a roadway based on one or more traversals of the roadway by one or more vehicles. For example, the map generation system may determine a driving path in the roadway to represent an indication of a centerline path in at least one lane of the roadway for controlling the AV during operation (e.g., follow) on the driving path. As an example, map generation system may determine the centerline path based on the lane markings on the road and/or based on one or more traversals of the roadway by one or more vehicles and/or a determination of where a vehicle will drive in a lane of the roadway. The map generation system may determine a driving path that includes feature information based on features of the roadway (e.g., section of curb, marker, object, etc.) for controlling an AV to autonomously determine objects in the roadway. For example, the map generation system determines a driving path that includes the left and right edges of a lane in the roadway; in this way, the map generation system determines a driving path to control the AV in a roadway that includes a position of features (e.g., a portion of the feature, a section of the feature) in the roadway, while road edges and control measures may remain unchanged. As an example, the map generation system determines a driving path including feature information associated with lateral regions, for example, by determining the features of the roadway in the lateral regions. The map generation system may determine an entry point and end point of the driving path that is associated with entry information and/or end (e.g., exit) information for traversing the driving path, the entry and/or end information comprising at least one of heading information, curvature information, and acceleration information of the driving path.

(99) The map generation system may determine a driving path in a lane in the geographic location that includes a first trajectory (e.g., a spline, a polyline, etc.); as an example, the map generation system may determine a single trajectory for a driving path in the roadway and/or a plurality of trajectories (e.g., a spline, a polyline, etc.) for a driving path in the roadway. As an example, the map generation system determines at least one trajectory of a driving path based on features in the roadway and/or traversals of the roadway by one or more vehicles (e.g., straightaways where paint lines are present, straight through intersections, straightaways where paint lines are not present, placement informed by average vehicle paths data, etc.) and/or the like. The map generation system may determine a trajectory for a driving path based on information obtained from a vehicle (e.g., autonomous vehicles, non-autonomous vehicles, etc.) representing a path in the roadway. For example, the map generation system may obtain information for determining a driving path based on one or more trajectories of the roadway associated with one or more traversals of the roadway by one or more vehicles (e.g., autonomous vehicles, non-autonomous vehicles, etc.), a number of traversals of the roadway by one or more vehicles, a position of the vehicle associated with one or more traversals, interventions associated with one or more traversals of the roadway by one or

more vehicles, a number of objects (e.g., a number of hazards, a number of bicycles, a railway track in proximity to the roadway, etc.) associated with one or more traversals of the roadway by one or more vehicles, a distance and/or position (e.g., a vehicle pose, an average distance to a vehicle pose, a mileage, etc.) associated with one or more traversals of the roadway by one or more vehicles (e.g., a distance until a detection of an event, a distance until detection of a potentially harmful or a harmful event to an AV, to a rider of the AV, to a pedestrian, a distance between a first detection of an event and a second detection of an event, miles per event, etc.), one or more traffic controls of the roadway associated with one or more traversals of the roadway by one or more AVs, one or more aspects of the roadway (e.g., a dimension of one or more lanes of the roadway, a width of one or more lanes of the roadway, a number of bicycle lanes of the roadway, etc.) associated with one or more traversals of the roadway by one or more AVs, a speed of one or more AVs associated with one or more traversals of the roadway by the one or more AVs, and/or the like.

(100) The map generation system may determine a single trajectory for at least one lane in the roadway and/or a driving path based on information including LIDAR point cloud maps (e.g., map point data, etc.) associated with a geographic location (e.g., a location in three-dimensional space relative to the LIDAR system of a mapping vehicle) of a number of points (e.g., a point cloud) that correspond to objects that have reflected a ranging laser of one or more mapping vehicles at the geographic location. As an example, a map can include a LIDAR point cloud layer that represents objects and distances between objects in the geographic location of the map.

(101) The map generation system may include a driving path that includes a primary path in the driving path, the primary path including a first trajectory of the driving path. For example, map generation system may determine a primary path in the driving path by identifying that the primary path satisfies a threshold indicating a driving path for controlling the AV in an autonomous mode. For example, the primary path may be based on information indicating a path for an AV to autonomously follow. The map generation system may determine a secondary path in the driving path. For example, the map generation system may determine a secondary path identifying a trajectory in the driving path that may not satisfy a threshold indicating a driving path for controlling the AV to traverse the path autonomously (lanes where a driving path does not need to be clearly defined, turning lanes in intersections, defined turns in intersections, diverging/converging lanes, etc.).

(102) For example, the map generation system may determine a secondary driving path that AV cannot operate on (e.g., drive) under the fully-autonomous mode. For example, map generation system may determine the secondary path in the driving path as an estimate of where an AV may drive. The proposal of a primary path or secondary path may be dependent on a safety factor, and therefore the safety determination is not limited to autonomous modes only, and rather may also predict manual or semi-automated safety parameters.

(103) The map generation system may determine a driving path linking a first and a second driving path (e.g., a primary path to a primary path, a primary path to a secondary path, a secondary path to a primary path, etc.). For example, the first trajectory and second trajectory in the primary path each include an end point that is linked (e.g., logically connected) by the map generation system. The map generation system may determine a link for the first trajectory based on attributes of the point (e.g., an entry point of the trajectory, an end point of the trajectory), for example a position of a point, a heading of the trajectory at a point, an acceleration at a point (e.g., an entry point of the trajectory, an end point of the trajectory), and/or a curvature at a point (e.g., an entry point of the trajectory, an end point of the trajectory), and/or the like. For example, map generation system may determine a driving path that includes a first trajectory in a primary path linked to a second trajectory in a primary path, as a predecessor driving path (e.g., trajectory) to a successor driving path (e.g., trajectory), the link having continuity to generate the logical connection between the primary and secondary lane, in this way, providing continuity for one or more of a position of the endpoint, a heading of the trajectory at the end point, an acceleration at the end point, and a

curvature at the end point. The map generation system may determine a link (e.g., logical connection) including continuity of a heading and/or a curvature associated with an entry point of the secondary path in the driving path for the heading and curvature associated with an end point of the primary path. In another example, the map generation system may determine a link (e.g., logical connection) that includes continuity of a heading and/or a curvature associated with an entry point of the primary path in the driving path to the heading and curvature associated with an end point of the secondary path. The map generation system may determine a primary driving path to include not more than one predecessor primary driving path linked to one successor primary driving path. The map generation system may determine continuity to provide a transition between a first driving path and a second driving path. The map generation system may determine a link between a first point and a second point, based on one or more attributes, for example, to provide logical connections to enhance the transition (e.g., smooth) across the link.

(104) See, US 20200401145. See also, U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,296,901; 4,833,469; 4,940,925; 5,281,901; 5,341,130; 5,375,059; 5,390,125; 5,402,355; 5,438,517; 5,548,516; 5,610,815; 5,612,883; 5,629,855; 5,640,323; 5,646,843; 5,650,703; 5,657,226; 5,680,306; 5,684,696; 5,747,683; 5,838,562; 5,938,710; 5,995,882; 6,069,420; 6,122,572; 6,151,539; 6,269,763; 6,351,697; 6,393,362; 6,442,456; 6,799,100; 6,804,607; 7,047,888; 7,302,316; 7,335,067; 7,343,232; 7,494,090; 7,496,226; 7,542,828; 7,591,630; 7,693,624; 7,737,878; 7,844,396; 7,911,400; 7,949,541; 7,979,172; 7,979,173; 7,991,505; 8,060,271; 8,068,949; 8,103,398; 8,109,223; 8,126,642; 8,255,092; 8,280,623; 8,301,326; 8,355,834; 8,364,334; 8,412,449; 8,437,875; 8,437,890; 8,442,713; 8,577,538; 8,583,313; 8,606,589; 8,612,084; 8,706,394; 8,744,648; 8,751,143; 8,755,997; 8,755,999; 8,768,555; 8,784,034; 8,798,828; 8,843,244; 8,880,287; 8,935,071; 8,947,531; 8,948,955; 8,954,194; 8,988,524; 9,097,800; 9,120,484; 9,120,485; 9,139,363; 9,140,814; 9,199,667; 9,201,421; 9,201,424; 9,202,382; 9,208,456; 9,223,025; 9,234,618; 9,261,590; 9,265,187; 9,288,938; 9,298,186; 9,327,734; 9,349,055; 9,373,149; 9,373,262; 9,383,752; 9,383,753; 9,384,666; 9,392,743; 9,423,498; 9,432,929; 9,434,309; 9,435,652; 9,451,020; 9,494,439; 9,494,940; 9,494,943; 9,507,346; 9,508,260; 9,510,316; 9,513,632; 9,517,767; 9,523,984; 9,523,986; 9,535,423; 9,536,427; 9,545,995; 9,547,309; 9,547,986; 9,547,989; 9,557,736; 9,559,804; 9,561,941; 9,563,199; 9,581,460; 9,598,239; 9,599,989; 9,603,158; 9,606,539; 9,612,123; 9,630,619; 9,632,502; 9,645,578; 9,669,904; 9,672,446; 9,674,759; 9,679,191; 9,679,206; 9,688,396; 9,693,297; 9,702,098; 9,702,443; 9,707,966; 9,710,710; 9,718,471; 9,720,412; 9,720,415; 9,721,397; 9,733,378; 9,734,455; 9,739,881; 9,740,205; 9,746,444; 9,754,490; 9,760,092; 9,761,136; 9,766,333; 9,783,075; 9,783,262; 9,788,282; 9,798,329; 9,802,661; 9,802,759; 9,804,594; 9,804,599; 9,804,601; 9,805,605; 9,821,801; 9,821,807; 9,833,901; 9,834,224; 9,836,973; 9,857,795; 9,857,798; 9,862,391; 9,864,378; 9,868,332; 9,874,871; 9,878,664; 9,884,630; 9,891,333; 9,896,100; 9,898,005; 9,902,396; 9,904,286; 9,910,434; 9,910,441; 9,911,030; 9,913,240; 9,915,950; 9,916,538; 9,916,703; 9,921,065; 9,933,779; 9,939,817; 9,940,651; 9,944,291; 9,946,531; 9,946,890; 9,947,224; 9,948,917; 9,949,228; 9,950,568; 9,955,436; 9,958,379; 9,958,864; 9,958,875; 9,959,754; 9,963,143; 9,964,952; 9,964,954; 9,967,815; 9,969,285; 9,969,326; 9,969,481; 9,977,430; 9,981,669; 9,983,305; 9,988,055; 9,989,645; 10000124; 10000338; 10007264; 10007271; 10012981; 10012990; 10019002; 10019008; 10019011; 10030418; 10031521; 10031526; 10037553; 10040632; 10048683; 10049328; 10053091; 10054945; 10055653; 10061313; 10061325; 10065638; 10065654; 10073456; 10073462; 10074223; 10083604; 10086782; 10089116; 10095236; 10096067; 10109195; 10118577; 10118639; 10122736; 10123473; 10126136; 10126742; 10126749; 10127465; 10127818; 10133275; 10134278; 10137896; 10137903; 10139237; 10139818; 10139823; 10139828; 10140468; 10143040; 10152891; 10156845; 10156848; 10156849; 10156850; 10157423; 10160378; 10160457; 10160484; 10162354; 10163139; 10166994; 10168703; 10171967; 10173679; 10179700; 10187751; 10188024; 10191493; 10197407; 10198619; 10202117; 10203697;

10205457; 10209157; 10214240; 10215957; 10220857; 10222462; 10222798; 10223479; 10223916; 10225348; 10227075; 10228690; 10229590; 10233021; 10234863; 10235881; 10241509; 10241516; 10243604; 10245993; 10246097; 10248119; 10248120; 10248124; 10249109; 10253468; 10254763; 10256890; 10259514; 10260898; 10261514; 10262217; 10266180; 10267634; 10267635; 10268200; 10272778; 10272924; 10284777; 10288439; 10289110; 10293932; 10295363; 10296003; 10296004; 10298910; 10303171; 10303174; 10303182; 10303183; 10303959; 10308430; 10309777; 10309778; 10309792; 10310499; 10310500; 10310514; 10310515; 10310517; 10311731; 10317231; 10317899; 10317911; 10317912; 10317913; 10319224; 10324463; 10327160; 10331127; 10331133; 10331136; 10331138; 10331141; 10332320; 10334050; 10336321; 10338594; 10342067; 10343559; 10343685; 10343698; 10345808; 10345809; 10345810; 10349011; 10351261; 10353390; 10353393; 10353694; 10353931; 10354157; 10358147; 10359783; 10360021; 10364027; 10365654; 10365657; 10369974; 10372129; 10372130; 10372141; 10373097; 10379538; 10380890; 10386192; 10386856; 10388155; 10388162; 10392025; 10394245; 10395285; 10395332; 10397019; 10399458; 10401852; 10401867; 10405215; 10407076; 10409279; 10410250; 10410747; 10416670; 10416671; 10416677; 10421460; 10421463; 10423162; 10429194; 10429849; 10430653; 10431018; 10433243; 10435015; 10435242; 10436595; 10436885; 10437247; 10437256; 10438493; 10440547; 10444759; 10446031; 10446037; 10449957; 10451514; 10452065; 10452070; 10459441; 10459444; 10466712; 10467581; 10467915; 10469282; 10469753; 10471976; 10473780; 10474149; 10474157; 10474159; 10474160; 10474161; 10474164; 10474916; 10477449; 10489529; 10489686; 10490068; 10493622; 10493936; 10496098; 10496099; 10496766; 10498600; 10501014; 10503165; 10503172; 10504306; 10506509; 10507787; 10508986; 10509947; 10513161; 10514690; 10514692; 10514700; 10514709; 10518770; 10520319; 10527417; 10527450; 10527720; 10527734; 10528048; 10528059; 10528836; 10529027; 10531004; 10532885; 10534364; 10536497; 10543838; 10543844; 10545024; 10545029; 10545507; 10546560; 10549752; 10554527; 10554901; 10558222; 10558864; 10562538; 10563993; 10564643; 10567650; 10569651; 10569663; 10569773; 10571916; 10571922; 10572514; 10572717; 10573178; 10576966; 10576991; 10579054; 10579065; 10579070; 10584971; 10586458; 10591608; 10591910; 10591912; 10593042; 10595175; 10598489; 10599141; 10599546; 10606270; 10606274; 10606278; 10606786; 10607293; 10611384; 10611389; 10613489; 10613547; 10613550; 10618519; 10621860; 10627810; 10627830; 10629080; 10635108; 10635109; 10636297; 10642275; 10645848; 10647250; 10647333; 10649453; 10649458; 10649462; 10649469; 10654476; 10656657; 10659975; 10664918; 10668925; 10670411; 10670416; 10671075; 10671076; 10671077; 10671082; 10671961; 10674332; 10678234; 10678253; 10679497; 10683012; 10685244; 10685403; 10691126; 10691127; 10691130; 10691138; 10692371; 10698407; 10698409; 10698414; 10699579; 10705220; 10705525; 10705534; 10705536; 10705539; 10708823; 10710592; 10710633; 10712745; 10712746; 10712750; 10719886; 10720059; 10725469; 10726379; 10726498; 10726499; 10730365; 10730531; 10732639; 10732645; 10733673; 10733761; 10735518; 10739768; 10739774; 10739775; 10739776; 10739780; 10740850; 10740988; 10743159; 10745003; 10745011; 10747234; 10747597; 10748218; 10753754; 10753758; 10754341; 10754348; 10755581; 10756909; 10761542; 10762396; 10768620; 10768621; 10768626; 10769947; 10773597; 10775184; 10775488; 10775790; 10775792; 10775801; 10780880; 10782687; 10782693; 10782694; 10782699; 10782703; 10788839; 10788841; 10795360; 10795367; 10795375; 10796174; 10796204; 10796402; 10796562; 10800606; 10801845; 10802477; 10803325; 10807599; 10809081; 10809722; 10809726; 10809736; 10810872; 10812996; 10813074; 10814882; 10816346; 10816984; 10816995; 10818035; 10818105; 10818187; 10821971; 10823575; 10824144; 10824145; 10824153; 10824170; 10824415; 10828999; 10829063; 10829149; 10831188; 10831191; 10831196; 10831202; 10831204; 10831210;

10831212; 10832066; 10832502; 10832950; 10833405; 10833782; 10833782; 10833782; 10833782;
10839340; 10839426; 10839473; 10841496; 10843722; 10845816; 10845820; 10852721;
10855922; 10857896; 10857994; 10859395; 10860022; 10860036; 10864920; 10866108;
10867188; 10870368; 10870437; 10872476; 10882535; 10883843; 10884422; 10885727;
10886023; 10887431; 10890912; 10891138; 10891694; 10897575; 10906558; 10908613;
10909377; 10915106; 10915116; 10915965; 10916077; 10916142; 10921135; 10921811;
10921812; 10921825; 10922556; 10928207; 10928523; 10928820; 10928829; 10932156;
20010021888; 20020143461; 20040035315; 20080027591; 20080027599; 20080059015;
20080093498; 20080161986; 20080161987; 20090306881; 20100030473; 20100076631;
20100106344; 20100106356; 20100114416; 20110153136; 20110153338; 20110288714;
20110295423; 20110295424; 20120044043; 20120046818; 20120046927; 20120046983;
20120053703; 20120053775; 20120083947; 20120095651; 20120101680; 20120166019;
20120283906; 20120316725; 20130274986; 20130321627; 20140032017; 20140067188;
20140136414; 20140195095; 20140201126; 20140214259; 20140253722; 20150081156;
20150092178; 20150153175; 20150154557; 20150177736; 20150229906; 20150298786;
20150350914; 20160011318; 20160021178; 20160036558; 20160231746; 20160236617;
20160273922; 20160280238; 20160313739; 20160320773; 20160334229; 20160334230;
20160334797; 20160339587; 20160375976; 20170003681; 20170015405; 20170017236;
20170060129; 20170083957; 20170090480; 20170120814; 20170120902; 20170123419;
20170123421; 20170123422; 20170123428; 20170123429; 20170124476; 20170124781;
20170126810; 20170132334; 20170132934; 20170136842; 20170139411; 20170160742;
20170164423; 20170166215; 20170227965; 20170235316; 20170248963; 20170248964;
20170277186; 20170284819; 20170285642; 20170294130; 20170315229; 20170316333;
20170323179; 20170329346; 20170341236; 20170351261; 20170353943; 20170371355;
20180009445; 20180011494; 20180017968; 20180023960; 20180024553; 20180024562;
20180024565; 20180024568; 20180032082; 20180033310; 20180039287; 20180045832;
20180047292; 20180050704; 20180059672; 20180061242; 20180086344; 20180086351;
20180088576; 20180088582; 20180088590; 20180093671; 20180095467; 20180107942;
20180111612; 20180114258; 20180114259; 20180127000; 20180127001; 20180129215;
20180136643; 20180136644; 20180136651; 20180141564; 20180143622; 20180143632;
20180143639; 20180143644; 20180143647; 20180143649; 20180150086; 20180154829;
20180162186; 20180162412; 20180164822; 20180164827; 20180170392; 20180170395;
20180172821; 20180173240; 20180178791; 20180183873; 20180186378; 20180186403;
20180188026; 20180188027; 20180188037; 20180188039; 20180188040; 20180188041;
20180188042; 20180188043; 20180188044; 20180188059; 20180188060; 20180188727;
20180188734; 20180188742; 20180188743; 20180189323; 20180189578; 20180189717;
20180190046; 20180196433; 20180196439; 20180196440; 20180201182; 20180203443;
20180203450; 20180204111; 20180204141; 20180208215; 20180211534; 20180216942;
20180217614; 20180224869; 20180225968; 20180233047; 20180238698; 20180247160;
20180253647; 20180257660; 20180259956; 20180259958; 20180267537; 20180275678;
20180282955; 20180284774; 20180292222; 20180292825; 20180292831; 20180297606;
20180300964; 20180304889; 20180304900; 20180307229; 20180307239; 20180307240;
20180307245; 20180312238; 20180314266; 20180321685; 20180322546; 20180327091;
20180329411; 20180330173; 20180334166; 20180335781; 20180336421; 20180341274;
20180342157; 20180348775; 20180349713; 20180349802; 20180356821; 20180356823;
20180364657; 20180364700; 20180364701; 20180364702; 20180364703; 20180364704;
20180365908; 20180370540; 20180373245; 20180373268; 20180374359; 20180375939;
20180376357; 20190004510; 20190004516; 20190004522; 20190004524; 20190004533;
20190004534; 20190004535; 20190018411; 20190018412; 20190025843; 20190035275;
20190039609; 20190049342; 20190049946; 20190050729; 20190056737; 20190056742;

20190061765; 20190066409; 20190066506; 20190068434; 20190071091; 20190071092;
20190071093; 20190072965; 20190072966; 20190072973; 20190072979; 20190078896;
20190079524; 20190080602; 20190084571; 20190086924; 20190094868; 20190105968;
20190107840; 20190113351; 20190120640; 20190120946; 20190120947; 20190120948;
20190122037; 20190122386; 20190129831; 20190130878; 20190134821; 20190137991;
20190138008; 20190146508; 20190146509; 20190147253; 20190147254; 20190147255;
20190156134; 20190156150; 20190156679; 20190161080; 20190163191; 20190168769;
20190171912; 20190174276; 20190176684; 20190179311; 20190179979; 20190185018;
20190186939; 20190187715; 20190187723; 20190195998; 20190196471; 20190202561;
20190204092; 20190204425; 20190204427; 20190204842; 20190204843; 20190212161;
20190212744; 20190212754; 20190220011; 20190220015; 20190220016; 20190227550;
20190227553; 20190235488; 20190235499; 20190235532; 20190243370; 20190248487;
20190250000; 20190250609; 20190250636; 20190250640; 20190258246; 20190258251;
20190265703; 20190266179; 20190266420; 20190270408; 20190271549; 20190277632;
20190278277; 20190278284; 20190278290; 20190286143; 20190286155; 20190291728;
20190295421; 20190302768; 20190310627; 20190310636; 20190310650; 20190310654;
20190315232; 20190315357; 20190317455; 20190317507; 20190317508; 20190317512;
20190317513; 20190317515; 20190317520; 20190318550; 20190324456; 20190324463;
20190325223; 20190325546; 20190329903; 20190332123; 20190332875; 20190333120;
20190346851; 20190349794; 20190359202; 20190361432; 20190361444; 20190367019;
20190367020; 20190367021; 20190367022; 20190368882; 20190369616; 20190369626;
20190371174; 20190377345; 20190377349; 20190377351; 20190378423; 20190382007;
20190382031; 20190383945; 20190384301; 20190384304; 20190385450; 20190387060;
20190391585; 20200001862; 20200001863; 20200003564; 20200004241; 20200004261;
20200013225; 20200014759; 20200019165; 20200019175; 20200019801; 20200021728;
20200023838; 20200026276; 20200026283; 20200026285; 20200026294; 20200027354;
20200031340; 20200033147; 20200033872; 20200041296; 20200042007; 20200043326;
20200050195; 20200050199; 20200051346; 20200055362; 20200064483; 20200064842;
20200064851; 20200064859; 20200064861; 20200073385; 20200074024; 20200082180;
20200089243; 20200089245; 20200101974; 20200108785; 20200111169; 20200116497;
20200116867; 20200117207; 20200120253; 20200122721; 20200122830; 20200124719;
20200125094; 20200125102; 20200130864; 20200133270; 20200134525; 20200137928;
20200139973; 20200142405; 20200142428; 20200145569; 20200149231; 20200149906;
20200150682; 20200159216; 20200159225; 20200160067; 20200172115; 20200172116;
20200174472; 20200174486; 20200175691; 20200175695; 20200180740; 20200182639;
20200182640; 20200183395; 20200191601; 20200192372; 20200192373; 20200192374;
20200192375; 20200192376; 20200192377; 20200192378; 20200192379; 20200192380;
20200192381; 20200192402; 20200193606; 20200201329; 20200201350; 20200207360;
20200207369; 20200207371; 20200207375; 20200209853; 20200209857; 20200209872;
20200225032; 20200225673; 20200231106; 20200231142; 20200233415; 20200233418;
20200233420; 20200233429; 20200240799; 20200240805; 20200241546; 20200249677;
20200250067; 20200250981; 20200262263; 20200265249; 20200272148; 20200282907;
20200282987; 20200284581; 20200285240; 20200285658; 20200290647; 20200298863;
20200301435; 20200310417; 20200310442; 20200327234; 20200331480; 20200333470;
20200333785; 20200341469; 20200341487; 20200341490; 20200342693; 20200346637;
20200348668; 20200348676; 20200348684; 20200349848; 20200356090; 20200356100;
20200356849; 20200363813; 20200371533; 20200379457; 20200379462; 20200379474;
20200383580; 20200387155; 20200388154; 20200393261; 20200393837; 20200394474;
20200402323; 20200406893; 20200408921; 20200409351; 20200409377; 20200409386;
20200410252; 20200410255; 20200410703; 20210004012; 20210009163; 20210009166;

20210018916; 20210018917; 20210018918; 20210024100; 20210024144; 20210026348; 20210026355; 20210031760; 20210031801; 20210033410; 20210034068; 20210034412; 20210035442; 20210035450; 20210039669; 20210039682; 20210039779; 20210041882; 20210042575; 20210046861; 20210046946; 20210048304; 20210048991; 20210049243; 20210049415; 20210049903; and 20210053407.

(105) It is an object to provide a method of operating a vehicle, comprising: planning motion of the vehicle, comprising computing motion trajectories of an action to incrementally advance the vehicle toward a goal with an associated incremental utility, based on at least a safety with respect to an environment of operation of the computed motion trajectories; and planning the task for the vehicle, comprising defining the goal and a sequence of the actions to advance the vehicle toward the goal, selectively dependent an optimization of an aggregate prospective utility of the task and the safety of the motion trajectories to advance the vehicle toward the goal.

(106) It is also an object to provide a non-transitory computer readable medium containing a program for operating a vehicle, comprising: instructions for planning motion of the vehicle, comprising computing motion trajectories of an action to incrementally advance the vehicle toward a goal with an associated incremental utility, based on at least a safety with respect to an environment of operation of the computed motion trajectories; and instructions for planning the task for the vehicle, comprising defining the goal and a sequence of the actions to advance the vehicle toward the goal, selectively dependent an optimization of an aggregate prospective utility of the task and the safety of the motion trajectories to advance the vehicle toward the goal.

(107) It is another object to provide a system for operating a vehicle, comprising: a sensor configured to receive information about an environment of operation of the vehicle; at least one automated processor; a motion planner configured to plan a motion of the vehicle, configured to compute motion trajectories with the at least one automated processor, an action to incrementally advance the vehicle toward a goal with an associated incremental utility, based on at least a safety of the motion trajectories with respect to an environment of operation of the vehicle; and a task planner configured to plan the task for the vehicle with the at least one automated processor, comprising defining the goal and a sequence of the actions to advance the vehicle toward the goal, selectively dependent an optimization of an aggregate prospective utility of the task and the safety of the motion trajectories to advance the vehicle toward the goal.

(108) It is a further object to provide a method of operating an autonomous vehicle, comprising: determining a safety of motion of the autonomous vehicle in an environment dependent on real-time conditions of operation; planning a route for the autonomous vehicle selectively dependent on the determined safety of motion of the autonomous vehicle in the environment and optimization of a utility of the planned route; planning the motion of the autonomous vehicle according to the planned route, comprising selecting motion options consistent with the planned route that are safe with respect to the environment dependent on real-time conditions of operation, wherein the planned route is responsive to the planned motion; and controlling the autonomous vehicle according to the planned route and planned motion.

(109) A further object provides a method of controlling an autonomous vehicle, comprising: receiving data relating to a relationship of the autonomous vehicle with respect to the environment; determining a motion and environment-dependent safety of the autonomous vehicle within an environment of operation; continuously planning a utility-optimized route for an autonomous vehicle along a path having execution options within the route, updated dependent on the determined motion and environment-dependent safety of the autonomous vehicle; continuously planning the motion of the autonomous vehicle according to the planned utility-optimized route, comprising selection of the execution options which alter a relation of the autonomous vehicle with the environment, that meet at least one safety criterion with respect to the determined a motion and environment-dependent safety; and controlling the autonomous vehicle according to the planned utility-optimized route and planned motion, to thereby achieve safe and efficient travel of the

autonomous vehicle.

(110) Another object provides a method of operating a vehicle, comprising: planning a task for the vehicle, comprising a safe sequence of actions to accomplish goals which optimize the task; and planning motion of the vehicle, comprising computing motion trajectories that connect a current location with a goal location, based on at least safety with respect to surrounding vehicles.

(111) It is an object of the invention to provide a method of operating a vehicle, comprising: planning motion of the vehicle, comprising computing motion trajectories that connect a current location with a goal location determined according to a planned task, based on a utility optimization of vehicle motion based on at least safety with respect to an environment of operation; and planning the task for the vehicle, comprising a sequence of actions to accomplish goals, selectively dependent on at least the safety.

(112) It is an object to provide a non-transitory computer readable medium containing a program for operating a vehicle, comprising: instructions for planning motion of the vehicle, comprising computing motion trajectories that connect a current location with a goal location according to a planned task, based on a utility optimization of vehicle motion based on at least safety with respect to an environment of operation; and instructions for planning the task for the vehicle, comprising a sequence of actions to accomplish goals, selectively dependent in at least the safety.

(113) It is a further object to provide a system for operating a vehicle, comprising: a motion planner configured to plan a motion of the vehicle, according to computed motion trajectories that connect a current location with a goal location, based on a utility optimization of vehicle motion based on at least safety with respect to an environment of operation; and a task planner configured to plan the task for the vehicle, to define a sequence of actions to accomplish goals selectively dependent on at least the safety.

(114) It is a still further object to provide a method of operating an autonomous vehicle, comprising: determining a safety of operation of the autonomous vehicle in an environment dependent on real-time conditions of operation; planning a route for the autonomous vehicle selectively dependent on the determined safety of operation in the environment; planning motion of the autonomous vehicle according to the planned route, comprising selection of options consistent with the route that optimize a utility function and a safety with respect to the environment selectively dependent on the determined safety of operation in the environment, wherein the planned route is responsive to the planned motion; and controlling the autonomous vehicle according to the planned route and planned motion.

(115) The selected options may be responsive to a cost of a maneuver, a benefit of the maneuver, and a determined safety of the maneuver.

(116) The method may further comprise updating the planning of the route based on the planned motion in real time.

(117) The safety of operation may be determined statistically based on a predicted risk.

(118) The safety of operation may be determined based on a risk of collision.

(119) It is another object to provide a method of controlling an autonomous vehicle, comprising: receiving data relating to a relationship of the autonomous vehicle with respect to the environment; determining a motion and environment-dependent safety of the autonomous vehicle within an environment of operation; continuously planning a route for an autonomous vehicle along a path having execution options within the route, updated dependent on the determined motion and environment-dependent safety of the autonomous vehicle; continuously planning motion of the autonomous vehicle according to the planned route, comprising selection of the execution options which alter a relation of the autonomous vehicle with the environment, that meet at least one utility criterion and at least one safety criterion; and controlling the autonomous vehicle according to the planned route and planned motion, to thereby achieve safe and efficient travel of the autonomous vehicle.

(120) The safety may be determined based on various sensors, such as radar, lidar, video cameras,

sonar, inertial sensors, OBD-II data stream (see, en.wikipedia.org/wiki/On-board_diagnostics, CANbus, ISO 15765-4), weather sensors, on-line social network database, real time traffic information database, and/or based on intervehicle communications, for example.

(121) Where explicit intervehicle communications are supported, the task plan, the motion plan, or both may be coordinated between vehicles, either in a centralized or decentralized manner, using vehicle-to-vehicle communications. Further, sensor data may be relayed between vehicles to provide advance warning, especially to the task planner, though in some cases, short latency warnings and environmental conditions may have direct impact on the motion planning.

(122) The environmental conditions may be local vehicular motion of another vehicle, weather conditions (rain, puddles, sun glare, black ice, snow, freezing conditions, tornado warning, hail, etc.), traffic, history of incidents (e.g., accidents, emergency maneuvers, law enforcement) at a location, obstacles and hazards at a location (e.g., detours, potholes, obstructed vision, pedestrians and cyclists, animals, children playing), etc.

(123) In a typical case, the autonomous vehicle in a lane catches up to another vehicle travelling slower in the same lane. The motion planner considers the option of switching lanes, which may reduce overall travel time (i.e., increase efficiency), but may also lead to other obstructions in the lane, and incurs a collision risk dependent on other vehicles surrounding the autonomous vehicle. Therefore, both the incremental maneuver by the motion planner of changing lanes, and the larger plan of increasing efficiency according to a cost function or utility function, are each dependent on the safety of the autonomous vehicle. The motion planner is principally concerned with the safety of the autonomous vehicle during the maneuver, while the task planner is concerned with the change in safety before and after the maneuver, as well as the predicted safety during the maneuver and predicted safety after the maneuver. The task planner may, for example, consider distance and time, two likely important (but non-exclusive) determinates of utility, which in some cases could lead to a selection of a completely different route. Other utility issues are fuel consumption, fuel availability, tolls, availability of services such as food and lodging for extended trips with human passengers, and the like.

(124) The planning of the task may comprise specifying a task planning domain by $D_{sup.t}$, including a set of states, S , and a set of actions, A ; providing a factored state space such that each state $s \in S$ is defined by values of a fixed set of variables, and each action $a \in A$ is defined by its preconditions and effects; and defining a utility function which maps a state transition to a real number, which takes both a cost function $Cost(s, a, s')$ and a safety function $Safe(s, a, s')$ of conducting action a in state s into account.

(125) The method may further comprise computing a plan $p \in P$, given domain $D_{sup.t}$ and a task planning problem, is computed, starting from an initial state $s_{sup.init} \in S$ and finishing in a goal state $s_{sup.g} \in S$; representing a plan p , consisting of a sequence of transitions represented as $p = \langle s_{sub.0}, a_{sub.0}, \dots, s_{sub.N-1}, a_{sub.N-1}, s_{sub.N} \rangle$, where $s_{sub.0} = s_{sup.init}$, $s_{sub.N} = s_{sup.g}$ and P denotes a set of satisfactory plans; and producing an optimal plan p^* with a task planner $P_{sup.t}$ among all the satisfactory plans, where γ is a constant coefficient and $\gamma > 0$,

$$(126) p^* = \underset{p \in P}{\operatorname{argmin}} [\operatorname{Cost}(s, a, s') + \frac{\gamma}{1 + e^{\operatorname{Safe}(s, a, s')}}]$$

(127) The method may further comprise conducting a search directly in a two-dimensional Cartesian space such that a position and an orientation of the vehicle is uniquely represented as a pose, denoted by x and constrained by the urban road network, wherein some parts of the space are designated as free space, and remaining parts are designated as obstacles, and a motion planning domain is specified by $D_{sup.m}$, wherein given domain $D_{sup.m}$, a motion planning problem is specified by an initial pose $x_{sup.i}$ and a goal pose $x_{sup.g}$.

(128) The method may further comprise planning the motion by a motion planner $P_{sup.m}$ consisting of a path planner and a tracking planner into two phases, wherein: in a first phase, the

path planner computes a collision-free trajectory ξ connecting pose $x_{sup.i}$ and pose $x_{sup.g}$ taking into account any motion constraints on the part of the vehicle with a minimal trajectory length; and in a second phase, computing control signals with a tracking controller to drive the vehicle to follow the computed trajectory.

(129) The method may further comprise mapping a systolic state s with a state mapping function, $f:X=f(s)$, into a set of feasible poses X in a continuous space as available options for the motion planner, wherein availability of at least one pose $x \in X$ is assumed in each state s , such that the vehicle is in a free space of $D_{sup.m}$, and if it is not in a free space of $D_{sup.m}$, the state s is declared infeasible.

(130) The method may further comprise computing a safety level, $Safe(\text{custom characters}, a, s', \text{custom character})$, of a motion-level implementation of a symbolic action custom character $s, a, s', \text{custom character}$, wherein the safety level enables the task planner to incorporate a road condition into a process of sequencing high-level actions toward accomplishing complex driving tasks.

(131) The method may further comprise computing a sequence of continuous control signals to perform symbolic action $\text{custom characters}, a, s', \text{custom character}$, comprising an acceleration $\delta \in \Delta$ and steering angle $\theta \in \Theta$, to drive the vehicle following a trajectory, while ensuring no collision on the road, wherein sets Δ and Θ denote an operation specification of the tracking controller.

(132) $U_{sub.s}(t) \subset \Delta \times \Theta$ may specify a safe control set at time t , in which all elements, denoted by $u(t) = \text{custom character} \delta, \theta \text{ custom character}$, are safe for the vehicle to perform at time t , such that a probability of elements sampled from set $\Delta \times \Theta$ being located in the safe set $U_{sub.s}$ represents the safety value of action $\text{custom characters}, a, s', \text{custom character}$.

(133) The method may further comprise: receiving an input which includes a symbolic action $\text{custom characters}, a, s', \text{custom character}$, stating mapping function f , motion planner $P_{sup.m}$ consisting of path planner and tracking controller, and a tracking controller's operation specification sets Δ and Θ ; obtaining short-period trajectories of the vehicle and surrounding vehicles, where $V_{sub.i}, i \in [1, \dots, N]$, is the i th vehicle within a sensing range of the vehicle; iteratively: computing a safety estimation between the vehicle and the surrounding vehicles $V_{sub.i}$, where $i \in [1, \dots, N]$, given that the vehicle is performing action $\text{custom characters}, a, s', \text{custom character}$, at a motion level; computing a safe control set $U_{sub.i, sup.s}(t)$ that includes all safe control signals with regard to the vehicle $V_{sub.i}$ at time t ; randomly sampling M elements from the set $\Delta \times \Theta$, and computing a probability $o_{sub.i}(t)$ of the sampled elements falling in set $U_{sub.i, sup.s}(t)$; converting a list of values of safety estimation $\{o_{sub.i}(t)\}$ into a single value $o^*_{sub.i}$ according to

$$(134) o_i^* = \frac{\max_{t \in T} \{o_i(t)\} + \text{mean}_{t \in T} \{o_i(t)\}}{2};$$

and selecting a minimum value $o^*_{sub.i}, i \in [1, \dots, N]$, as an overall safety value, where

$$\text{custom character} = t_{sub.1} + \omega \times i,$$

$$(135) 0 \leq i \leq \frac{(t_2 - t_1)}{\omega};$$

and producing an output of an estimated safety value $Safe(\text{custom characters}, a, s', \text{custom character}) \in [0, 1.0]$.

(136) The method may further comprise: receiving inputs: Symbolic action $\text{custom characters}, a, s', \text{custom character}$, state mapping function f , motion planner $P_{sup.m}$, control operation sets Δ and Θ ; sampling initial and goal poses, $x \leftarrow f(s)$ and $x' \leftarrow f(s')$, given action $\text{custom characters}, a, s', \text{custom character}$, and f ; computing a collision-free trajectory, $\xi_{sup.E}$, using $P_{sup.m}$, where $\xi_{sup.E}(t_{sub.1}) = x, \xi(t_{sub.2}) = x'$; and $[t_{sub.1}, t_{sub.2}]$ is the horizon; predicting a trajectory $\xi_{sub.i, sup.s}$ for an i th surrounding vehicle $V_{sub.i}$, where $i \in [1, \dots, N]$, and $[t_{sub.1}, t_{sub.2}]$ is the horizon; for each surrounding vehicle $V_{sub.i}$: computing a safe control set $U_{sub.i, sup.s}(t)$ between the vehicle and vehicle $V_{sub.i}$ at time $t \in [t_{sub.1}, t_{sub.2}]$, where $U_{sub.i, sup.s}(t) \subset \Delta \times \Theta$ and

$$(137) t = t_1 + \omega \times i, i \leq \frac{(t_2 - t_1)}{\omega}; \text{ sampling } M \text{ elements } \text{custom character} \delta, \theta \text{ custom character}$$

randomly from set $\Delta \times \Theta$ and computing a probability $o_{sub.i}(t)$ of the elements falling in set $U_{sub.i.sup.s}(t)$; converting a list of estimated safety values, $\{o_{sub.i}(t)\}$, into a scalar value $o_{sub.i}^*$ using

$$(138) o_i^* = \frac{\max_{t \in T} \{o_i(t)\} + \text{mean}_{t \in T} \{o_i(t)\}}{2};$$

and selecting a minimum value, $o_{sub.i,i}^*, i \in [1, \dots, N]$, as a safety value.

(139) A motion planner $P_{sup.m}$ may compute both costs and safety values of the vehicle's navigation actions; $s_{sup.init}$ may represent an initial state of the vehicle, and the goal of the task is specified using $s_{sup.g}$; a task planner $P_{sup.t}$ may compute a sequence of symbolic actions, employing a cost function $Cost$, and a safety estimation function $Safe$; a motion planner $P_{sup.m}$ may be used for computing motion trajectories, and generating control signals to move the vehicle; and a state mapping function f used for mapping symbolic states to 2D coordinates in continuous spaces.

(140) The method may further comprise: initializing a cost function and a safety estimation function; computing an optimal task plan, $p^* = \langle \text{custom characters}_{sup.init}, a_{sub.0}, s_{sub.1}, \dots, s_{sup.g}, \text{custom character} \rangle$ wherein $s_{sup.init}$ and $s_{sup.g}$ correspond to initial and goal poses respectively; estimating a safety level, μ , of action $\langle \text{custom characters}_{sup.init}, a_{sub.0}, s_{sub.1}, \dots, s_{sup.g}, \text{custom character} \rangle$; updating the safety estimation function using u and the cost function using p^* ; and computing a new optimal plan p' .

(141) A motion planner may compute and execute a desired control signal $\langle \text{custom character}_{\delta}, \theta \rangle$ repeatedly until the vehicle reaches the goal pose.

(142) The method may further comprise: receiving inputs comprising: an initial state $s_{sup.i}$, goal specification $s_{sup.g}$, task planner $P_{sup.t}$, state mapping function f , motion planner $P_{sup.m}$, and safety estimator; initialize a cost function $Cost$ with sampled poses $x \in f(s)$: $Cost(\langle \text{custom characters}_{sup.init}, a_{sub.0}, s_{sub.1}, \dots, s_{sup.g}, \text{custom character} \rangle) \leftarrow A^*(x, x')$; initialize a safety estimation function $Safe$ with $Safe(s, a, s') \leftarrow 1.0$. computing an optimal task plan p using $Cost$ and $Safe$ functions: $p \leftarrow P_{sup.t}(s_{sup.init}, s_{sup.g}, Cost, Safe)$, where $p = \langle s_{sup.init}, a_{sub.0}, s_{sub.1}, a_{sub.1}, \dots, s_{sup.g}, \text{custom character} \rangle$ until plan p is not empty: extracting a first action of p , $\langle \text{custom characters}_{sup.init}, a_{sub.0}, s_{sub.1}, a_{sub.1}, \dots, s_{sup.g}, \text{custom character} \rangle$, and computing a safety value μ ; updating the $Safe$ function: $Safe(\langle \text{custom character}_{\delta}, \theta \rangle \in a, s' \langle \text{custom character} \rangle) \leftarrow \mu$ and the $Cost$ function: $Cost(\langle \text{custom characters}_{sup.init}, a_{sub.0}, s_{sub.1}, a_{sub.1}, \dots, s_{sup.g}, \text{custom character} \rangle) \leftarrow A^*(x, x')$ generating a new plan: $p' \leftarrow P_{sup.t}(s, s_{sup.g}, Cost, Safe)$; if $p' = p$ then $x' \leftarrow f(s')$, and while $x \neq x'$, call a motion planner $\langle \text{custom character}_{\delta}, \theta \rangle \langle \text{custom character} \rangle \leftarrow P_{sup.m}(x, x')$, execute a control signal $\langle \text{custom character}_{\delta}, \theta \rangle \langle \text{custom character} \rangle$, and update the vehicle's current pose x ; removing a tuple $\langle \text{custom characters}_{sup.init}, a_{sub.0}, s_{sub.1}, a_{sub.1}, \dots, s_{sup.g}, \text{custom character} \rangle$ from plan p ; else updating current plan $p \leftarrow p'$.

(143) The task planner $P_{sup.t}$ may be implemented using Answer Set Programming (ASP).

(144) The environment of operation may comprise surrounding vehicles, e.g., vehicles in motion.

(145) The utility optimization may comprise minimizing a travel distance while maintaining a margin of safety.

(146) It is also an object to provide a method of operating a vehicle, comprising: planning a task for the vehicle, comprising an efficient sequence of actions to accomplish goals, e.g., according to action knowledge; and planning motion of the vehicle, comprising computing motion trajectories that efficiently connect a current location with a goal location, based on at least surrounding vehicles to ensure safety.

(147) It is a further object to provide a non-transitory computer readable medium containing a program for operating a vehicle, comprising: instructions for planning a task for the vehicle, comprising an efficient sequence of actions to accomplish goals, e.g., according to action knowledge; and instructions for planning motion of the vehicle, comprising computing motion trajectories that efficiently connect a current location with a goal location, based on at least surrounding vehicles to ensure safety.

(148) It is a still further object to provide a system for operating a vehicle, comprising: a task

planner configured to plan a task for the vehicle, to define an efficient sequence of actions to accomplish goals, e.g., according to action knowledge; and a motion planner configured to plan motion of the vehicle, according to computed motion trajectories that efficiently connect a current location with a goal location, based on at least surrounding vehicles to ensure safety.

(149) Another object provides a method of operating a vehicle, comprising: planning a task for the vehicle, comprising a sequence of actions to accomplish goals, e.g., according to action knowledge; and planning motion of the vehicle, comprising computing motion trajectories that connect a current location with a goal location, based on a cost optimization of vehicle motion based at least safety with respect to surrounding vehicles.

(150) A further object comprises a non-transitory computer readable medium containing a program for operating a vehicle, comprising: instructions for planning a task for the vehicle, comprising a sequence of actions to accomplish goals, e.g., according to action knowledge; and instructions for planning motion of the vehicle, comprising computing motion trajectories that connect a current location with a goal location, based on a cost optimization of vehicle motion based on at least safety with respect to surrounding vehicles.

(151) A still further object provides a system for operating a vehicle, comprising: a task planner configured to plan a task for the vehicle, to define a sequence of actions to accomplish goals, e.g., according to action knowledge; and a motion planner configured to plan motion of the vehicle, according to computed motion trajectories that connect a current location with a goal location, based on a cost optimization of vehicle motion based on at least safety with respect to surrounding vehicles.

(152) An object further provides a method of operating an autonomous vehicle, comprising: planning a route for the autonomous vehicle; planning motion of the autonomous vehicle according to the planned route, comprising selection of options consistent with the route that are cost efficient and safe with respect to surrounding vehicles; and controlling the autonomous vehicle according to the planned route and planned motion.

(153) A further object provides a method of controlling an autonomous vehicle, comprising: planning a route for the autonomous vehicle along a path having execution options within the route; receiving data relating to a relationship of the autonomous vehicle with respect to other vehicles along the route; planning motion of the autonomous vehicle according to the planned route, comprising selection of options consistent with the route and which alter a relation of the autonomous vehicle with the other vehicles, that are cost efficient and safe with respect to the other vehicles; and controlling the autonomous vehicle according to the planned route and planned motion comprising a selected option.

Description

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

- (1) FIGS. 1A and 1B show a risky situation for the vehicle to merge left due to the busy traffic (FIG. 1A), and a safe situation for the vehicle to merge left (FIG. 1B).
- (2) FIG. 2 shows an overview of the TMPUD algorithm that consists of four components, the task planner, plan manager, motion planner and safety estimator.
- (3) FIG. 3 shows an illustrative example of operation of the system, where the vehicle is tasked with driving from the very left to the top-right area.
- (4) FIGS. 4A-4D show results of an abstraction simulation which compare the overall performances of TMPUD and two baseline methods.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Example 1

- (5) FIG. 2 shows an overview of algorithm TMPUD that consists of four components, i.e., task

planner, plan manager, motion planner and safety estimator. The task planner includes components of goal specification, action description, and utility function, where users' service requests are received by the goal specification component. The task planner computes a sequence of symbolic actions that are passed to the plan manager. The plan manager generates navigation goals (i.e., a pair of two poses) to path planner, which is then used for computing a continuous trajectory for connecting 2D poses. The trajectory will be used in the two components of safety estimator and tracking controller. Safety estimator uses this trajectory to estimate actions' safety levels, and then the utility function in task planner can be updated accordingly. Tracking controller computes the desired control signals to drive the vehicle to follow the trajectory from the path planner.

(6) FIG. 3. An illustrative example, where the vehicle is tasked with driving from the very left to the top-right area. The vehicle needs to compute plans at both task and motion levels. The vehicle starts with executing Plan A (blue color). While it is getting close to Area 1 (a red circle), the motion-level safety estimator reports a low safety value based on the local road condition. This computed safety value is incorporated into task planner's cost function. Using the updated cost function, the task planner re-computes an optimal plan (Plan B), and suggests the vehicle to go straight and merge left in Area 2. The interaction between task and motion levels, supported by TMPUD, enables the vehicle to dynamically adjust its high-level task plans to avoid unsafe behaviors.

(7) TMPUD starts with using an optimal task planner to compute Plan A. The vehicle takes the first symbolic action from Plan A (trajectory in blue color), and executes the action using the motion planner. Getting close to Area 1, the vehicle plans to merge left. However, the safety estimator at the motion level reports a low safety value in Area 1. This computed safety value is incorporated into task planner, where the task planner integrates the safety value into its cost function, and re-computes an optimal plan, Plan B. Different from Plan A, Plan B suggests the vehicle to go straight, and merge left in Area 2. In this trial, the vehicle was able to follow Plan B all the way to the goal. TMPUD enabled the vehicle to avoid the risky behavior of merging left in Area 1 without introducing extra motion cost. See, youtu.be/8NHQYUqMyoI.

(8) Experiments conducted in CARLA are referred as being in full simulation. All vehicles move at a constant speed (20 km/h) on average. In full simulation, ego vehicle performs the whole plan at the task level in the presence of other vehicles. Different numbers of vehicles (200 and 120) are spawned, and traffic of the two environments referred to as being heavy and normal respectively.

(9) Running full simulation using CARLA is time-consuming, preventing conducting of large-scale experiments. For instance, results are based on tens of thousands of experimental trials, and full simulation in this scale would have required months of computation time. To conduct large numbers of experimental trials, an abstract simulation platform was developed, where action outcomes are sampled from pre-computed probabilistic world models. Parameters of the world models (for abstract simulation) are learned by repeatedly spawning the ego and surrounding vehicles in a small area, and statistically analyzing the results of the vehicles' interaction.

(10) In particular, a large effort was made in analyzing the outcomes of “merging lane” actions due to its significant potential risks. The probabilities of the three different outcomes of “merging lane” actions were empirically computed, including “merge”, “collide”, and “stop”. Two domain factors were introduced into the abstract simulation platform, including density and acceleration. In high-density environments, the ego vehicle is surrounded by three vehicles, while this number is reduced to one in low-density environments. In high-acceleration environments, surrounding vehicles' acceleration (in m/s²) is randomly sampled in $[-1.0, 1.0]$, while this range is $[-0.5, 0.5]$ in low-acceleration environments.

(11) The goal of TMPUD is to improve task-completion efficiency (to reduce traveling distance), while guaranteeing safety. So, the two most important evaluation metrics are traveling distance and the number of unsafe behaviors, where unsafe behaviors cause either collisions or force at least one surrounding vehicle to stop (to avoid collisions).

(12) The two baseline methods are selected from the literature, and referred to as No-communication (No-com), and Threshold-based (Th-based). The No-com baseline [13] forces the vehicle to execute all task-level actions at the motion level, while driving behaviors' safety values are not considered. The Th-based baseline [8] enables the motion planner to “reject” a task-level action when its safety value is lower than a threshold β , where a higher (lower) β threshold makes a vehicle more conservative (aggressive). In case of an action being rejected, the task planner will compute a new plan to avoid the risky action. Three versions of the Th-based baseline were developed with different β values (0.1, 0.3, and 0.5).

(13) TABLE-US-00001 TABLE I FULL SIMULATION: TRAVELING DISTANCE AND NUMBER OF COLLISIONS AND STOPS FOR THREE ALGORITHMS UNDER DIFFERENT TRAFFIC CONDITIONS (NORMAL AND HEAVY TRAFFIC). Num. of Travelling collisions

Algorithm	Distance (m)	stops	Normal Traffic	TMPUD	514	0	Th-based $\beta = 0.5$	537	0	$\beta = 0.3$	513	5	$\beta = 0.1$	478	24	No-com	426	48	Heavy Traffic	TMPUD	530	2	Th-based $\beta = 0.5$	545	2	$\beta = 0.3$	528	7	$\beta = 0.1$	497	35	No-com	426	54

Results from Full Simulation

(14) Table I presents the results in comparing TMPUD to the two baseline methods. As shown in the table, in both road conditions, TMPUD achieved the lowest traveling distance, in comparison to those methods that produced compared safety levels (in terms of the number of collisions and stops). For instance, under normal traffic, only the Th-based baseline with $\beta=0.5$ was able to completely avoid collisions and stops, but it produced an average traveling distance of 537 m. In comparison, TMPUD required only 514 m, while completely avoided collisions and stops. Under heavy traffic, TMPUD (again) produced the best performance in safety (based on the number of collisions and stops), while requiring less traveling distance in comparison to the only baseline (Th-based with $\beta=0.5$) that produced comparable performance in safety. The experimental trials (200 for each approach) from full simulation took eight full workdays.

(15) FIGS. 4A-4D show abstraction simulation of the overall performances of TMPUD and two baseline methods. The x-axis represents the average traveling distance, and the y-axis represents the total number of collisions and stops. FIGS. 4A-4D correspond to four different road conditions. The road conditions, low-density and low-acceleration (FIG. 4A), low-density and high-acceleration (FIG. 4B), high-density and low-acceleration (FIG. 4C), high-density and high-acceleration (FIG. 4D). Under each road condition, each algorithm was evaluated using 4000 trials. Batch-based evaluations were employed with four batches for significance analysis, where each batch includes 1000 trials.

(16) Results from Abstract Simulation

(17) FIGS. 4A-4D present the performances of TMPUD and the baseline methods in both traveling distance and the number of unsafe behaviors. The x-axis corresponds to the average traveling distance, and y-axis corresponds to the total number of collisions and stops (both are considered failure cases of driving behaviors). From FIGS. 4A-4D, TMPUD is shown to be the most efficient (x-axis) among those methods that produced comparable performances in safety (y-axis), except that Th-based ($\beta=0.5$) produced slightly less unsafe behaviors (but it performed poorly in efficiency).

(18) There are a few side observations. Not surprisingly, No-com produced the worst performance of in safety (y-axis), though its traveling distance remains the lowest. This is because, using No-com, the vehicle blindly executes task-level actions while unrealistically believing driving behaviors are always safe. The Th-based baseline's performance depends on its safety threshold (β), where a greater value produces safer but less efficient behaviors. The results show that TMPUD improves vehicles' task-completion efficiency, while ensuring safety in different road conditions.

(19) Focusing on urban driving scenarios, both a safety evaluation algorithm, and a task-motion planning algorithm, called TMPUD, are provided for autonomous driving. TMPUD bridges the gap between task planning and motion planning in autonomous driving. TMPUD was extensively

evaluated using a 3D urban driving simulator (CARLA) and an abstract simulator. Results suggest that TMPUD improves the task-completion efficiency in different road conditions, while ensuring the safety of driving behaviors.

(20) TMPUD may also be implemented using different task and motion planners, and these in turn may be evaluated in different testing platforms (e.g., using simulators with a physics engine) under different conditions. The technology may be applied to various autonomous mobile platforms, such as robots, drones.

(21) A phrase such as an “aspect” does not imply that such aspect is essential to the subject technology or that such aspect applies to all configurations of the subject technology. A disclosure relating to an aspect may apply to all configurations, or one or more configurations. An aspect may provide one or more examples. A phrase such as an aspect may refer to one or more aspects and vice versa. A phrase such as an “embodiment” does not imply that such embodiment is essential to the subject technology or that such embodiment applies to all configurations of the subject technology. A disclosure relating to an embodiment may apply to all embodiments, or one or more embodiments. An embodiment may provide one or more examples. A phrase such an embodiment may refer to one or more embodiments and vice versa. A phrase such as a “configuration” does not imply that such configuration is essential to the subject technology or that such configuration applies to all configurations of the subject technology. A disclosure relating to a configuration may apply to all configurations, or one or more configurations. A configuration may provide one or more examples. A phrase such a configuration may refer to one or more configurations and vice versa.

(22) Certain units described in this specification have been labeled as modules in order to more particularly emphasize their implementation independence. A module is “[a] self-contained hardware or software component that interacts with a larger system.” Alan Freedman, “The Computer Glossary” 268 (8th ed. 1998). A module may include a machine- or machines-executable instructions. For example, a module may be implemented as a hardware circuit including custom VLSI circuits or gate arrays, off-the-shelf semiconductors such as analog circuits, quantum computers, microprocessors, logic chips, transistors, or other discrete components. A module may also be implemented in programmable hardware devices such as field programmable gate arrays, programmable array logic, programmable logic devices or the like.

(23) Modules may also include software-defined units or instructions, that when executed by a processing machine or device, transform data stored on a data storage device from a first state to a second state. An identified module of executable code may, for instance, include one or more physical or logical blocks of computer instructions that may be organized as an object, procedure, or function. Nevertheless, the executables of an identified module need not be physically located together, but may include disparate instructions stored in different locations that, when joined logically together, include the module, and when executed by the processor, achieve the stated data transformation. A module of executable code may be a single instruction, or many instructions, and may even be distributed over several different code segments, among different programs, and/or across several memory devices. Similarly, operational data may be identified and illustrated herein within modules, and may be embodied in any suitable form and organized within any suitable type of data structure. The operational data may be collected as a single data set, or may be distributed over different locations including over different storage devices. The absence of a module reflects the inability of system including the module to execute in given circumstances to perform the function of the respective module, and not that its physical or logical constituents are excluded, that is, the module is unavailable. In the foregoing description, numerous specific details are provided, such as examples of programming, software modules, user selections, network transactions, distributed ledgers, blockchains, smart contracts, database queries, database structures, hardware modules, hardware circuits, hardware chips, etc., to provide a thorough understanding of the present embodiments. One skilled in the relevant art will recognize, however, that the invention

requires a specific implementation that requires special purpose technology for implementation, that generic hardware alone will not achieve the objectives set forth herein.

(24) As used herein, various terminology is for the purpose of describing particular implementations only and is not intended to be limiting of implementations. For example, as used herein, an ordinal term (e.g., “first,” “second,” “third,” etc.) used to modify an element, such as a structure, a component, an operation, etc., does not by itself indicate any priority or order of the element with respect to another element, but rather merely distinguishes the element from another element having a same name (but for use of the ordinal term). The term “coupled” is defined as connected, although not necessarily directly, and not necessarily mechanically; two items that are “coupled” may be unitary with each other, but in that case the unitary element must meet established criteria for each item. The terms “a” and “an” are defined as one or more unless this disclosure explicitly requires otherwise. The term “substantially” is defined as largely but not necessarily wholly what is specified (and includes what is specified; e.g., substantially 90 degrees includes 90 degrees and substantially parallel includes parallel), as understood by a person of ordinary skill in the art.

(25) The phrase “and/or” means “and” or “or”. To illustrate, A, B, and/or C includes: A alone, B alone, C alone, a combination of A and B, a combination of A and C, a combination of B and C, or a combination of A, B, and C. In other words, “and/or” operates as an “inclusive or”. Similarly, the phrase “A, B, C, or a combination thereof” or “A, B, C, or any combination thereof” includes A alone, B alone, C alone, a combination of A and B, a combination of A and C, a combination of B and C, or a combination of A, B, and C.

(26) As used herein, whether in the above description or the following claims, the terms “comprising,” “including,” “carrying,” “having,” “containing,” “involving,” and the like are to be understood to be open-ended, that is, to mean including but not limited to. The terms “comprise” (and any form of comprise, such as “comprises” and “comprising”), “have” (and any form of have, such as “has” and “having”), and “include” (and any form of include, such as “includes” and “including”). As a result, an apparatus that “comprises,” “has,” or “includes” one or more elements possesses those one or more elements, but is not limited to possessing only those one or more elements. Likewise, a method that “comprises,” “has,” or “includes” one or more steps possesses those one or more steps, but is not limited to possessing only those one or more steps.

(27) Some implementations are described herein in connection with thresholds. As used herein, satisfying a threshold may refer to a value being greater than the threshold, more than the threshold, higher than the threshold, greater than or equal to the threshold, less than the threshold, fewer than the threshold, lower than the threshold, less than or equal to the threshold, equal to the threshold, etc.

(28) The terms “about” or “approximately” are intended to denote a range for a quantitative parameter that achieves substantially the same result in the same manner, with either a predictable relation between input parameter and behavior, a statistically insignificant change in response with respect to the change between a nominal input parameter and another input parameter within the stated range of “about” or “approximately”. Thus, a feature would be outside a range of “about” or “approximately” if the result is achieved in a substantially different manner, a substantially different result is achieved, within the range statistically significant and meaningful differences in output response are achieved based on differences between the nominal parameter and the putative one which is “about” or “approximately” the same, or the result is unpredictable to an extent that the output response unpredictable deviates from the benchmark established. “Substantially” and “significant” are interpreted according to the understanding of persons of ordinary skill in the art, dependent on the context, and are intended to represent a reasonable range of quantitative difference which may be ignored or compensated without change in cause or effect.

(29) It will be apparent that systems and/or methods, described herein, can be implemented in different forms of hardware, software, or a combination of hardware and software. The actual

specialized control hardware or software code used to implement these systems and/or methods is not limiting of the implementations. Thus, the operation and behavior of the systems and/or methods are described herein without reference to specific software code, it being understood that software and hardware can be designed to implement the systems and/or methods based on the description herein. It is also understood that the algorithms are not limited by particular expressions, and rather are intended to encompass functional equivalents regardless of expression. Further, as is known and well understood, semantic expressions relating inputs or available data and output or action are themselves algorithms.

(30) Even though particular combinations of features are recited in the claims and/or disclosed in the specification, these combinations are not intended to limit the disclosure of possible implementations. In fact, many of these features can be combined in ways not specifically recited in the claims and/or disclosed in the specification. Although each dependent claim listed below may directly depend on only one claim, the disclosure of possible implementations includes each dependent claim in combination with every other claim in the claim set.

(31) No element, act, or instruction used herein should be construed as critical or essential unless explicitly described as such. Also, as used herein, the articles “a” and “an” are intended to include one or more items, and may be used interchangeably with “one or more.” Furthermore, as used herein, the term “set” is intended to include one or more items (e.g., related items, unrelated items, a combination of related and unrelated items, etc.), and may be used interchangeably with “one or more.” Where only one item is intended, the term “one” or similar language is used. Also, as used herein, the terms “has,” “have,” “having,” and/or the like are intended to be open-ended terms. Further, the phrase “based on” is intended to mean “based, at least in part, on” unless explicitly stated otherwise.

(32) Any embodiment of any of the systems, methods, and article of manufacture can “consist of” or “consist essentially of”, rather than “comprise”, “have”, or “include”, any of the described steps, elements, and/or features. Thus, in any of the claims, the term “consisting of” or “consisting essentially of” can be substituted for any of the open-ended linking verbs recited above, in order to change the scope of a given claim from what it would otherwise be using the open-ended linking verb. Thus, the transitional phrases “consisting of” and “consisting essentially of,” respectively, shall be considered exclusionary transitional phrases, as set forth, with respect to claims, in the United States Patent Office Manual of Patent Examining Procedures. Additionally, the terms “wherein” or “whereby” may be used interchangeably with “where”.

(33) Further, a device or system that is configured in a certain way is configured in at least that way, but it can also be configured in other ways than those specifically described. The feature or features of one embodiment may be applied to other embodiments, even though not described or illustrated, unless expressly prohibited by this disclosure or the nature of the embodiments.

(34) The phrase “configured to” means a specification or clarification of the structure or composition of an element defining what the element is, by way of a specific description of its configuration and interface with other elements or an external constraint. The phrase “adapted to” means a specification or clarification of a function or relationship of an element defining what the element does, by way of a specific description of its adaptation and interface with other elements or an external constraint. Functional language within such a specification of an element within a claim is taken to be an affirmative limitation, and not a mere intended use. Functional language or context within a claim preamble is to be considered non-limiting and outside of the claim scope, unless integrated by specific reference and inclusion by the express claim scope.

(35) The claims hereinbelow are to be construed as excluding abstract subject matter as judicially excluded from patent protection, and the scope of all terms and phrases is to be constrained to only include that which is properly encompassed. By way of example, if a claim phrase is amenable of construction to encompass either patent eligible subject matter and patent ineligible subject matter, then the claim shall be interpreted to cover only the patent eligible subject matter. The scope of the

claims shall be considered definite in accordance with the ability of a judicial or administrative court or tribunal to make this determination, regardless of any retroactive or ex post facto changes in interpretation by such court or tribunal. The various disclosure expressly provided herein, in conjunction with the incorporated references, are to be considered to encompass any combinations, permutations, and sub-combinations of the respective disclosures or portions thereof, and shall not be limited by the various exemplary combinations specifically described herein.

REFERENCES

(36) [1] J.-F. Bonnefon, A. Shariff, and I. Rahwan, "The social dilemma of autonomous vehicles," *Science*, vol. 352, no. 6293, pp. 1573-1576, 2016. [2] A. Geiger, P. Lenz, and R. Urtasun, "Are we ready for autonomous driving? the kitti vision benchmark suite," in *2012 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. IEEE, 2012, pp. 3354-3361. [3] M. Maurer, J. C. Gerdes, B. Lenz, H. Winner et al., "Autonomous driving," Berlin, Germany: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, vol. 10, pp. 978-3, 2016. [4] C. J. Haboucha, R. Ishaq, and Y. Shifan, "User preferences regarding autonomous vehicles," *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, vol. 78, pp. 37-49, 2017. [5] P. Koopman and M. Wagner, "Autonomous vehicle safety: An interdisciplinary challenge," *IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Magazine*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 90-96, 2017. [6] P. Cao, Z. Xu, Q. Fan, and X. Liu, "Analysing driving efficiency of mandatory lane change decision for autonomous vehicles," *IET Intelligent Transport Systems*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 506-514, 2018. [7] B. Paden, M. Cáp, S. Z. Yong, D. Yershov, and E. Frazzoli, "A survey of motion planning and control techniques for self-driving urban vehicles," *IEEE Transactions on intelligent vehicles*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 33-55, 2016. [8] S. Srivastava, E. Fang, L. Riano, R. Chitnis, S. Russell, and P. Abbeel, "Combined task and motion planning through an extensible planner-independent interface layer," in *2014 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2014, pp. 639-646. [9] B. Kim, L. P. Kaelbling, and T. Lozano-Pérez, "Learning to guide task and motion planning using score-space representation," in *2017 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 2810-2817. [10] C. R. Garrett, T. Lozano-Perez, and L. P. Kaelbling, "Ffrob: Leveraging symbolic planning for efficient task and motion planning," *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 104-136, 2018. [11] S.-Y. Lo, S. Zhang, and P. Stone, "Petlon: planning efficiently for task-level-optimal navigation," in *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and MultiAgent Systems (AAMAS)*, 2018, pp. 220-228. [12] A. Dosovitskiy, G. Ros, F. Codevilla, A. Lopez, and V. Koltun, "Carla: An open urban driving simulator," in *Conference on Robot Learning*, 2017, pp. 1-16. [13] C. Chen, A. Gaschler, M. Rickert, and A. Knoll, "Task planning for highly automated driving," in *2015 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 940-945. [14] C. Liu and M. Tomizuka, "Control in a safe set: Addressing safety in human-robot interactions," in *ASME 2014 Dynamic Systems and Control Conference*. American Society of Mechanical Engineers Digital Collection, 2014. [15] C. Liu, and M. Tomizuka, "Safe exploration: Addressing various uncertainty levels in human robot interactions," in *2015 American Control Conference (ACC)*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 465-470. [16] J. Chen, B. Yuan, and M. Tomizuka, "Model-free deep reinforcement learning for urban autonomous driving," in *2019 IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Conference (ITSC)*, 2019, pp. 2765-2771. [17] J. Chen, S. E. Li, and M. Tomizuka, "Interpretable end-to-end urban autonomous driving with latent deep reinforcement learning," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.08726*, 2020. [18] J. Chen, W. Zhan, and M. Tomizuka, "Autonomous driving motion planning with constrained iterative lqr," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 244-254, 2019. [19] C. Liu and M. Tomizuka, "Enabling safe freeway driving for automated vehicles," in *2016 American Control Conference (ACC)*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 3461-3467. [20] J. Bi, V. Dhiman, T. Xiao, and C. Xu, "Learning from interventions using hierarchical policies for safe learning," in *Proceedings of the Thirty-Fourth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2020. [21] C. Paxton, V. Raman, G. D. Hager, and M. Kobilarov, "Combining neural networks and tree search for task and motion planning in

challenging environments,” in 2017 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS). IEEE, 2017, pp. 6059-6066. [22] K. B. Lim, S. Park, S. Kim, J. M. Jeong, and Y.-S. Yoon, “Behavior planning of an unmanned ground vehicle with actively articulated suspension to negotiate geometric obstacles,” in 2009 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems. IEEE, 2009, pp. 821-826. [23] C. Xiu and H. Chen, “A behavior-based path planning for autonomous vehicle,” in International Conference on Intelligent Robotics and Applications. Springer, 2010, pp. 1-9. [24] J. Wei, J. M. Snider, T. Gu, J. M. Dolan, and B. Litkouhi, “A behavioral planning framework for autonomous driving,” in 2014 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium Proceedings. IEEE, 2014, pp. 458-464. [25] C. Chen, M. Rickert, and A. Knoll, “Combining task and motion planning for intersection assistance systems,” in 2016 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV). IEEE, 2016, pp. 1242-1247. [26] S. Cambon, R. Alami, and F. Gravot, “A hybrid approach to intricate motion, manipulation and task planning,” *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 104-126, 2009. [27] J. Wolfe, B. Marthi, and S. Russell, “Combined task and motion planning for mobile manipulation,” in Twentieth International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling, 2010. [28] D. S. Nau, T.-C. Au, O. Ilghami, U. Kuter, J. W. Murdock, D. Wu, and F. Yaman, “Shop2: An htn planning system,” *Journal of artificial intelligence research*, vol. 20, pp. 379-404, 2003. [29] E. Erdem, K. Haspalamutgil, C. Palaz, V. Patoglu, and T. Uras, “Combining high-level causal reasoning with low-level geometric reasoning and motion planning for robotic manipulation,” in 2011 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation. IEEE, 2011, pp. 4575-4581. [30] A. Houenou, P. Bonnifait, V. Cherfaoui, and W. Yao, “Vehicle trajectory prediction based on motion model and maneuver recognition,” in 2013 IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and systems. IEEE, 2013, pp. 4363-4369. [31] S. Ammoun and F. Nashashibi, “Real time trajectory prediction for collision risk estimation between vehicles,” in 2009 IEEE 5th International Conference on Intelligent Computer Communication and Processing. IEEE, 2009, pp. 417-422. [32] V. Lifschitz, “Answer set programming and plan generation,” *Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 138, no. 1-2, pp. 39-54, 2002. S. Amiri, S. Bajracharya, C. Goktolgal, J. Thomason, and S. Zhang, “Augmenting knowledge through statistical, goal-oriented human-robot dialog,” in 2019 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS). IEEE, 2019, pp. 744-750. [34] Y.-q. Jiang, S.-q. Zhang, P. Khandelwal, and P. Stone, “Task planning in robotics: an empirical comparison of pddl- and asp-based systems,” *Frontiers of Information Technology & Electronic Engineering*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 363-373, 2019. [35] M. T. Emirler, I. M. C. Uygan, B. Aksun Güvenc, and L. Güvenc, “Robust pid steering control in parameter space for highly automated driving,” *International Journal of Vehicular Technology*, 2014. [36] S. Shah, D. Dey, C. Lovett, and A. Kapoor, “Airsim: High-fidelity visual and physical simulation for autonomous vehicles,” in *Field and service robotics*. Springer, 2018, pp. 621-635. [37] N. Koenig and A. Howard, “Design and use paradigms for gazebo, an open-source multi-robot simulator,” in 2004 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)(IEEE Cat. No. 04CH37566), vol. 3. IEEE, 2004, pp. 2149-2154. [38] Rajasekaran, Sanguthevar, and Suneeta Ramaswami. “Optimal mesh algorithms for the Voronoi diagram of line segments and motion planning in the plane.” *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing* 26, no. 1 (1995): 99-115. [39] Ahmed, Nizam. “Robot Motion Planning.” (1997). [40] Hopcroft, John E., and Gordon T. Wilfong. “Reducing multiple object motion planning to graph searching.” *SIAM Journal on Computing* 15, no. 3 (1986): 768-785. [41] Tang, Kai. “On computing contact configurations of a curved chain.” *Graphical Models and Image Processing* 61, no. 6 (1999): 341-361. [42] Garrett, Caelan Reed, Tomis Lozano-Pdrez, and Leslie Pack Kaelbling. “FFRob: An efficient heuristic for task and motion planning.” In *Algorithmic Foundations of Robotics XI*, pp. 179-195. Springer, Cham, 2015. [43] Kaelbling, Leslie Pack, and Tomás Lozano-Pérez. “Integrated task and motion planning in belief space.” *The International Journal of Robotics Research* 32, no. 9-10 (2013): 1194-1227. [44] Garrett, Caelan Reed, Rohan Chitnis, Rachel Holladay, Beomjoon Kim, Tom Silver, Leslie Pack

Kaelbling, and Tomis Lozano-Pdrez. "Integrated task and motion planning." arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.01083 (2020). [45] Dantam, Neil T., Zachary K. Kingston, Swarat Chaudhuri, and Lydia E. Kavraki. "An incremental constraint-based framework for task and motion planning." *The International Journal of Robotics Research* 37, no. 10 (2018): 1134-1151. [46] Rao, Nageswara S. V. "An Algorithmic Framework for Robot Navigation in Unknown Terrains." (1988). [47] Ramaswami, Suneeta. "Algorithmic Motion Planning and Related Geometric Problems on Parallel Machines (Dissertation Proposal)." (1993). [48] Guibas, Leonidas J., Micha Sharir, and Shmuel Sifrony. "On the general motion-planning problem with two degrees of freedom." *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 4, no. 5 (1989): 491-521. [49] Halperin, Dan. "On the complexity of a single cell in certain arrangements of surfaces related to motion planning." *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 11, no. 1 (1994): 1-33. [50] Srivastava, Siddharth, Eugene Fang, Lorenzo Riano, Rohan Chitnis, Stuart Russell, and Pieter Abbeel. "Combined task and motion planning through an extensible planner-independent interface layer." In 2014 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA), pp. 639-646. IEEE, 2014. [51] Kedem, Klara, and Micha Sharir. "An efficient motion-planning algorithm for a convex polygonal object in two-dimensional polygonal space." *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 5, no. 1 (1990): 43-75. [52] Lagriffoul, Fabien, Neil T. Dantam, Caelan Garrett, Aliakbar Akbari, Siddharth Srivastava, and Lydia E. Kavraki. "Platform-independent benchmarks for task and motion planning." *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters* 3, no. 4 (2018): 3765-3772. [53] Garrett, Caelan Reed, Tomis Lozano-Pdrez, and Leslie Pack Kaelbling. "FFRob: An efficient heuristic for task and motion planning." In *Algorithmic Foundations of Robotics XI*, pp. 179-195. Springer, Cham, 2015. [54] Halperin, Dan, Mark H. Overmars, and Micha Sharir. "Efficient motion planning for an L-shaped object." *SIAM Journal on Computing* 21, no. 1 (1992): 1-23. [55] Kaelbling, Leslie Pack, and Tomis Lozano-Pdrez. "Hierarchical task and motion planning in the now." In 2011 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, pp. 1470-1477. IEEE, 2011. [56] Dantam, Neil T., Zachary K. Kingston, Swarat Chaudhuri, and Lydia E. Kavraki. "Incremental Task and Motion Planning: A Constraint-Based Approach." In *Robotics: Science and systems*, vol. 12, p. 00052. 2016. [57] Koltun, Vladlen. "Pianos are not flat: Rigid motion planning in three dimensions." In *Symposium on Discrete Algorithms: Proceedings of the sixteenth annual ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete algorithms*, vol. 23, no. 25, pp. 505-514. 2005. [58] Lagriffoul, Fabien, Dimitar Dimitrov, Julien Bidot, Alessandro Saffiotti, and Lars Karlsson. "Efficiently combining task and motion planning using geometric constraints." *The International Journal of Robotics Research* 33, no. 14 (2014): 1726-1747. [59] van der Stappen, A. Frank, Mark H. Overmars, Mark de Berg, and Jules Vleugels. "Motion planning in environments with low obstacle density." *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 20, no. 4 (1998): 561-587. [60] Kornev, Ivan I., Vladislav I. Kibalov, and Oleg Shipitko. "Local path planning algorithm for autonomous vehicle based on multi-objective trajectory optimization in state lattice." In *Thirteenth International Conference on Machine Vision*, vol. 11605, p. 116051I. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2021. [61] Seccamonte, Francesco, Juraj Kabzan, and Emilio Frazzoli. "On Maximizing Lateral Clearance of an Autonomous Vehicle in Urban Environments." In 2019 IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Conference (ITSC), pp. 1819-1825. IEEE, 2019. [62] Broadhurst, Adrian, Simon Baker, and Takeo Kanade. A prediction and planning framework for road safety analysis, obstacle avoidance and driver information. Carnegie Mellon University, the Robotics Institute, 2004. [63] Sisbot, Emrah Akin, Aurdlie Clodic, Rachid Alami, and Maxime Ransan. "Supervision and motion planning for a mobile manipulator interacting with humans." In *Proceedings of the 3rd ACM/IEEE international conference on Human robot interaction*, pp. 327-334. 2008. [64] Best, Andrew, Sahil Narang, Daniel Barber, and Dinesh Manocha. "Autonovi: Autonomous vehicle planning with dynamic maneuvers and traffic constraints." In 2017 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), pp. 2629-2636. IEEE, 2017.

Claims

1. A method of operating a vehicle, comprising: automatically planning a motion of the vehicle with an automated motion planner $P_{sup.m}$, which conducts a search in a motion planning domain $D_{sup.m}$ to determine an optimum motion plan comprising an action a which solves a motion planning problem specified by an initial pose $x_{sup.i}$ representing a first position and a first orientation of the vehicle, a goal pose $x_{sup.g}$ representing a second position and a second orientation of the vehicle, and a road network providing constraints on the vehicle, and produces a control signal δ, θ , wherein $\delta \in \Delta$ is an acceleration and $\theta \in \Theta$ is a steering angle of control operation sets Δ and Θ , such that $\delta, \theta \leftarrow P_{sup.m}(x, x')$ to achieve a collision-free motion trajectory ξ connecting the initial pose $x_{sup.i}$ and the goal pose $x_{sup.g}$ taking into account the constraints on the vehicle, to incrementally advance the vehicle toward a goal, the optimum motion plan comprising action a being selected dependent on an associated utility of the incremental advance that represents a change in value, based on at least a safety of the motion trajectory with respect to an environment of operation of the vehicle, a benefit resulting from the incremental advance, and a cost of the incremental advance; and automatically planning a task for the vehicle with an automated task planner $P_{sup.t}$, the task comprising a sequence of the actions to advance the vehicle toward the goal, selectively dependent on an optimization of an aggregate prospective utility of the task comprising the benefit resulting from achieving the goal, the costs associated with the sequence of actions, and the safety of the sequence of actions to advance the vehicle toward the goal, wherein the planning a task comprises: calculating a plan $p \in P$ of a set of plans P based on a task planning problem within a task planning domain by $D_{sup.t}$, including a set of states S within a factored state space, such that each state $s \in S$ is defined by values of a fixed set of variables, the task planning problem comprising an initial state $s_{sup.init} \in S$, a goal state $s_{sup.g} \in S$, and a set of actions A , each action $a \in A$ being defined by its starting state s and resulting state s' , the plan p consisting of a sequence of transitions represented as $p = \langle s_{sub.0}, a_{sub.0}, \dots, s_{sub.N-1}, a_{sub.N-1}, s_{sub.N} \rangle$, where $s_{sub.0} = s_{sup.init}$, $s_{sub.N} = s_{sup.g}$; defining a utility function dependent on at least a cost function $Cost(s, a, s')$ and a safety function $Safe(s, a, s')$ of conducting action a in state s to result in state s' ; and producing an optimal plan $p^* = \underset{p \in P}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(Cost(s, a, s'), Safe(s, a, s'))$ based on the

utility function.

2. The method according to claim 1, wherein the optimum plan p^* is produced based on the utility function $p^* = \underset{p \in P}{\operatorname{argmin}} [Cost(s, a, s') + \frac{\gamma}{1 + e^{Safe(s, a, s')}}]$.

where γ is a constant coefficient and $\gamma > 0$.

3. The method according to claim 1, wherein the optimum action a comprises stopping the vehicle to avoid a collision.

4. The method according to claim 1, wherein the search is conducted directly in a two-dimensional Cartesian space such that the position and the orientation of the vehicle is uniquely represented as the pose, denoted by x and constrained by an urban road network, wherein some parts of the space are designated as free space, and remaining parts are designated as obstacles.

5. The method according to claim 4, further comprising: planning the motion a with the motion planner $P_{sup.m}$ comprising a path planner and a tracking planner; calculating with the path planner in a first phase, the collision-free trajectory ξ connecting the initial pose $x_{sup.i}$ and the goal pose $x_{sup.g}$ taking into account any motion constraints on the vehicle with a minimal trajectory length; defining with the tracking planner in a second phase, control signal δ, θ to drive the vehicle to follow the calculated collision-free trajectory ξ ; and

mapping the states s with a state mapping function, $f:X=f(s)$, into a set of feasible poses X in a continuous space as available options for the motion planner $P_{sup.m}$, wherein availability of at least one pose $x \in X$ is assumed in each state s , such that if state s is feasible, the vehicle is in a free space of $D_{sup.m}$, and if state S is infeasible, the vehicle is not in a free space of $D_{sup.m}$.

6. The method according to claim 1, further comprising: calculating the safety level, $Safe(\text{custom characters}, a, s', \text{custom character})$, of a motion-level implementation of the action $\text{custom characters}, a, s', \text{custom character}$, wherein the safety level enables the task planner to incorporate a road condition into a process of sequencing actions toward accomplishing complex driving tasks; and calculating a sequence of continuous sequence of the control signal $\text{custom character} \delta, \theta \text{ custom character}$ to perform the action $\text{custom characters}, a, s' \text{ custom character}$, comprising the acceleration $\delta \in \Delta$ and the steering angle $\theta \in \Theta$, to drive the vehicle following the collision-free trajectory, while ensuring no collision on the road, wherein sets Δ and Θ denote an operation specification of a tracking controller to drive the vehicle to follow a collision-free trajectory, wherein $U_{sub.s}(t) \cup \Delta \times \Theta$ specifies a safe control set at time t , in which all elements, denoted by $u(t) = \text{custom character} \delta, \theta \text{ custom character}$, are safe for the vehicle to perform at time t , such that a probability of elements sampled from set $\Delta \times \Theta$ being located in the safe control set $U_{sub.s}$ represents the safety level of action $\text{custom characters}, a, s' \text{ custom character}$.

7. The method according to claim 1, further comprising: receiving an input which includes the action $\text{custom characters}, a, s' \text{ custom character}$, a state mapping function f , the motion planner $P_{sup.m}$ consisting of path planner and tracking controller, and a tracking controller's operation specification sets Δ and Θ ; obtaining short-period trajectories of the vehicle and surrounding vehicles, where $V_{sub.i}$, $i \in [1, \dots, N]$ is an i th vehicle within a sensing range of the vehicle; iteratively: estimating a safety between the vehicle and the surrounding vehicles $V_{sub.i}$, where $i \in [1, \dots, N]$, given that the vehicle is performing action $\text{custom characters}, a, s' \text{ custom character}$ at a motion level; calculating a safe control set $U_{sub.i.sup.s}(t)$ that includes all safe control signals with regard to the surrounding vehicles $V_{sub.i}$ at time t ; randomly sampling M elements from a set $\Delta \times \Theta$, and calculating a probability $o_{sub.i}(t)$ of the sampled elements falling in set $U_{sub.i.sup.s}(t)$; converting a list of values of the estimation of safety $\{o_{sub.i}(t)\}$ into a single value $o^*_{sub.i}$ according to $o^*_{sub.i} = \frac{\max_{t \in T} \{o_i(t)\} + \text{mean}_{t \in T} \{o_i(t)\}}{2}$; and selecting a minimum value, $o^*_{sub.i}$, $i \in [1, \dots, N]$, as an overall safety value, where $0 \leq i \leq \frac{(t_2 - t_1)}{\omega}$; and producing an output of an estimated safety value $Safe(\text{custom characters}, a, s' \text{ custom character}) \in [0.0, 1.0]$.

8. The method according to claim 1, further comprising: receiving inputs: action $\text{custom characters}, a, s' \text{ custom character}$, state mapping function f , motion planner $P_{sup.m}$, and control operation sets Δ and Θ ; sampling initial and goal poses $x \leftarrow f(s)$ and $x' \leftarrow f(s')$, given action $\text{custom characters}, a, s' \text{ custom character}$, and f ; calculating a collision-free trajectory $\xi_{sup.E}$, using $P_{sup.m}(x, x')$, where $\xi_{sup.E} = x$, $\xi(t_{sub.2}) = x'$, and $[t_{sub.1}, t_{sub.2}]$ is a horizon; predicting a trajectory $\xi_{sub.i.sup.s}$ for an i th surrounding vehicle $V_{sub.i}$, where $i \in [1, \dots, N]$, and $[t_{sub.1}, t_{sub.2}]$ is the horizon; for each surrounding vehicle $V_{sub.i}$; calculating a safe control set $U_{sub.i.sup.s}(t)$ between the vehicle and surrounding vehicle $V_{sub.i}$ at time $t \in [t_{sub.1}, t_{sub.2}]$, where $U_{sub.i.sup.s}(t) \cup \Delta \times \Theta$ and $t = t_1 + \omega \times i$, $i \leq \frac{(t_2 - t_1)}{\omega}$ sampling M elements randomly from set $\Delta \times \Theta$ and calculating a probability $o_{sub.i}(t)$ of the elements falling in set $U_{sub.i.sup.s}(t)$; and converting a list of estimated safety values, $\{o_{sub.i}(t)\}$, into a scalar value $o^*_{sub.i}$ using $o^*_{sub.i} = \frac{\max_{t \in T} \{o_i(t)\} + \text{mean}_{t \in T} \{o_i(t)\}}{2}$; and selecting a minimum value, $o^*_{sub.i}$, $i \in [1, \dots, N]$, as a safety value.

9. The method according to claim 1, further comprising: calculating both costs and safety values of the vehicle's navigation actions with the motion planner $P_{sup.m}$ to produce motion trajectories; generating the control signal $\text{custom character} \delta, \theta \text{ custom character}$ to move the vehicle; mapping states s to 2D coordinates in continuous spaces using a state mapping function f .

10. The method according to claim 1, further comprising: initializing the cost function $\text{Cost}(s,a,s')$ and the safety function $\text{Safe}(s,a,s')$; estimating a safety level, μ , of action a with respect to s' ; updating the safety function $\text{Safe}(s,a,s')$ using μ and the cost function $\text{Cost}(s,a,s')$ using p^* ; and calculating a new optimal plan p' .
11. The method according to claim 10, further comprising: calculating and executing the control signal δ, θ repeatedly until the vehicle reaches the goal pose $s_{\text{sup.g}}$ with the motion planner $P_{\text{sup.m}}$ having as an output δ, θ ; initializing the cost function $\text{Cost}(s,a,s')$ with sampled poses $x \in f(s)$: $\text{Cost}(a, s', x) \leftarrow A^*(x, x')$; initializing the safety function $\text{Safe}(s,a,s')$ with $\text{Safe}(s,a,s') \leftarrow 1.0$; calculating the optimal task plan p using the cost function $\text{Cost}(s,a,s')$ and the safety function $\text{Safe}(s,a,s')$: $p \leftarrow P'(s_{\text{sup.init}}, s_{\text{sup.g}}, \text{Cost}, \text{Safe})$, where $p = \langle a_{\text{sub.0}}, a_{\text{sub.1}}, \dots, a_{\text{sub.g}} \rangle$ until plan p is not empty: extracting a first action of p , a , and calculating a safety value μ ; updating the safety function $\text{Safe}(s,a,s')$: $\text{Safe}(a, s', s') \leftarrow \mu$ and the cost function $\text{Cost}(s,a,s')$: $\text{Cost}(a, s', x) \leftarrow A^*(x, x')$; generating a new plan: $p' \leftarrow P'(s, s_{\text{sup.g}}, \text{Cost}, \text{Safe})$; and if $p' = p$ then $x' \leftarrow f(s')$, and while $x \neq x'$, calling the motion planner $\delta, \theta \leftarrow P_{\text{sup.m}}(x, x')$, executing the control signal δ, θ , and updating the vehicle's current pose x ; removing a tuple a, s' from plan p ; else updating current plan $p \leftarrow p'$.
12. The method according to claim 1, wherein the task is planned with a task planner $P_{\text{sup.t}}$, implemented using Answer Set Programming (ASP).
13. The method according to claim 1, wherein the environment of operation comprises surrounding vehicles, wherein the surrounding vehicles are in motion.
14. The method according to claim 1, wherein the optimization of the aggregate prospective utility comprises minimizing with a travel distance of the vehicle while maintaining a margin of safety.
15. The method according to claim 1, wherein the vehicle is an autonomous vehicle, and the task comprises navigating a route, further comprising determining a safety with respect to the environment of the vehicle dependent on real-time conditions of operation, said automatically planning the task comprising selecting motion trajectory options consistent with the task that are safe with respect to a safety threshold, wherein the action comprises a maneuver, and the selected options are responsive to a cost of the maneuver, a utility of the maneuver, and a determined safety of the maneuver; further comprising controlling the autonomous vehicle according to the planned motion.
16. The method according to claim 1, further comprising automatically updating the planning of motion and planning of the task in real time dependent on real-time conditions of operation of the vehicle.
17. The method according to claim 1, wherein the safety is automatically statistically determined by the automated processor based on a predicted risk, comprising a risk of collision.
18. The method according to claim 1, wherein the vehicle is an autonomous vehicle, the method further comprising: receiving data relating to a relationship of the vehicle with respect to the environment of operation; determining the safety of the motion trajectories comprising a motion and environment-dependent safety of the vehicle within the environment of operation dependent on the received data; said automatically planning the task comprising continuously planning a utility-optimized route for the vehicle along a path toward the goal having execution options within the route, updated dependent on the determined motion and environment-dependent safety of the autonomous vehicle; the utility-optimized route comprising a selection of the execution options which alter a relation of the vehicle with the environment of operation, that meet at least one safety criterion with respect to the determined motion and environment-dependent safety; and controlling the vehicle according to the utility-optimized route and automatically planned motion, to thereby achieve safe and efficient advancement of the vehicle toward the goal.

19. A computer readable medium containing non-transitory instructions for controlling a programmable processor of an autonomous vehicle, comprising: instructions for automatically planning a motion of the vehicle with an automated motion planner $P_{sup.m}$, which conducts a search in a motion planning domain $D_{sup.m}$ to determine and optimum motion plan comprising an action a which solves a motion planning problem specified instructions for producing an optimal plan $p^* = \underset{p \in P}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(\operatorname{Cost}(\text{.Math. } s, a, s' \text{ .Math. }), \operatorname{Safe}(\text{.Math. } s, a, s' \text{ .Math. }))$ based on the utility function.

20. A system for operating a vehicle, comprising: a sensor configured to receive information about an environment of operation of the vehicle; an automated motion planner $P_{sup.m}$ configured to plan a motion of the vehicle, which conducts a search in a motion planning domain $D_{sup.m}$ to determine and optimum motion plan comprising an action a which solves a motion planning problem specified by an initial pose $x_{sup.i}$ representing a first position and a first orientation of the vehicle, a goal pose $x_{sup.g}$ representing a second position and a second orientation of the vehicle, and a road network providing constraints on the vehicle, and produces a control signal

δ, θ , wherein $\delta \in \Delta$ is an acceleration and $\theta \in \Theta$ is a steering angle of control operation sets Δ and Θ , such that $\delta, \theta \leftarrow P_{sup.m}(x, x')$, to achieve a collision-free motion trajectory ξ connecting the initial pose $x_{sup.i}$ and the goal pose $x_{sup.g}$ and taking into account the constraints on the vehicle, to incrementally advance the vehicle toward a goal, the optimum motion plan comprising action a being selected dependent on an associated utility of the incremental advance that represents a change in value, based on at least a safety of the motion trajectory with respect to an environment of operation of the vehicle, a benefit resulting from the incremental advance, and a cost of the incremental advance; and an automated task planner $P_{sup.t}$ configured to automatically plan a task for the vehicle, the task comprising a sequence of the actions to advance the vehicle toward the goal, selectively dependent on an optimization of an aggregate prospective utility of the task comprising the benefit resulting from achieving the goal, the costs associated with the sequence of actions, and the safety of the sequence of actions to advance the vehicle toward the goal, wherein the task is planned by: calculation of a plan $p \in P$ of a set of plans P based on a task planning problem within a task planning domain by $D_{sup.t}$, including a set of states S within a factored state space, such that each state $s \in S$ is defined by values of a fixed set of variables, the task by an initial pose $x_{sup.i}$ representing a first position and a first orientation of the vehicle, a goal pose $x_{sup.g}$ representing a second position and a second orientation of the vehicle, and a road network providing constraints on the vehicle, and produces a control signal, δ, θ , wherein $\delta \in \Delta$ is an acceleration and $\theta \in \Theta$ is a steering angle of control operation sets Δ and Θ , such that $\delta, \theta \leftarrow P_{sup.m}(x, x')$, to achieve a collision-free motion trajectory ξ connecting the initial pose $x_{sup.i}$ and the goal pose $x_{sup.g}$ taking into account the constraints on the vehicle, to incrementally advance the vehicle toward a goal, the optimum motion plan comprising action a being selected dependent on an associated utility of the incremental advance that represents a change in value, based on at least a safety of the motion trajectory with respect to an environment of operation of the vehicle, a benefit resulting from the incremental advance, and a cost of the incremental advance; and instructions for automatically planning a task for the vehicle with an automated task planner $P_{sup.t}$, the task comprising a sequence of the actions to advance the vehicle toward the goal, selectively dependent on an optimization of an aggregate prospective utility of the task comprising the benefit resulting from achieving the goal, the costs associated with the sequence of actions, and the safety of the sequence of actions to advance the vehicle toward the goal, comprising: instructions for calculating a plan $p \in P$ of a set of plans P based on a task planning problem within a task planning domain by $D_{sup.t}$, including a set of states S within a factored state space, such that each state $s \in S$ is defined by values of a fixed set of variables, the task planning problem comprising an initial state $s_{sup.init} \in S$, a goal state

$s_{sup}.g \in S$, and a set of actions A , each action $a \in A$ being defined by its starting state s and resulting state s' , the plan p consisting of a sequence of transitions represented as $p = \langle s_{sub}.0, a_{sub}.0, \dots, s_{sub}.N-1, a_{sub}.N-1, s_{sub}.N \rangle$ custom character, where $s_{sub}.0 = s_{sup}.init$, $s_{sub}.N = s_{sup}.g$; instructions defining a utility function dependent on at least a cost function $Cost(s, a, s')$ and a safety function $Safe(s, a, s')$ of conducting action custom character s, a, s' custom character in state s ; and planning problem comprising an initial state $s_{sup}.init \in S$, a goal state $s_{sup}.g \in S$, and a set of actions A , each action $a \in A$ being defined by its starting state s and resulting state s' , the plan p consisting of a sequence of transitions represented as $p = \langle s_{sub}.0, a_{sub}.0, \dots, s_{sub}.N-1, a_{sub}.N-1, s_{sub}.N \rangle$ custom character, where $s_{sub}.0 = s_{sup}.init$, $s_{sub}.N = s_{sup}.g$; determining an optimal plan p^* according to a utility function $p = \langle s_0, a_0, \dots, s_{N-1}, a_{N-1}, s_N \rangle$, dependent on at least a cost function $Cost(s, a, s')$ and a safety function $Safe(s, a, s')$ of conducting action custom characters, a, s' custom character in state s ; and an output configured to control the vehicle according to the control signal δ, θ custom character of the planned motion.
