

US Patent & Trademark Office

Patent Public Search | Text View

United States Patent	12387117
Kind Code	B2
Date of Patent	August 12, 2025
Inventor(s)	Al-AbdulJabbar; Ahmad Mohammad et al.

Injector riskiness score (IRS) matrix

Abstract

Systems and methods include a computer-implemented method for determining risks of injector wells. Inputs for multiple risk criteria are received through an injector riskiness score (IRS) user interface for processing risks posed by an injector well to a new well being drilled in proximity to the injector well. A weighted sum of values corresponding to inputs for the risk multiple criteria is determined based on the inputs. An IRS score is determined based on the weighted sum. A determination is made whether the injector well has an IRS score above a predefined threshold. A shut-down recommendation for the injector well is identified in response to determining that the injector well has an IRS score above the predefined threshold.

Inventors: Al-AbdulJabbar; Ahmad Mohammad (Dammam, SA), Al-Oqaili; Abdullah Hasan (Al-Qatif, SA)

Applicant: Saudi Arabian Oil Company (Dhahran, SA)

Family ID: 1000008747709

Assignee: Saudi Arabian Oil Company (Dhahran, SA)

Appl. No.: 17/517023

Filed: November 02, 2021

Prior Publication Data

Document Identifier	Publication Date
US 20230252322 A1	Aug. 10, 2023

Publication Classification

Int. Cl.: G06F3/048 (20130101); E21B41/00 (20060101); E21B43/20 (20060101); G06F3/04847 (20220101); G06N5/04 (20230101)

U.S. Cl.:

CPC **G06N5/04** (20130101); **E21B41/00** (20130101); **E21B43/20** (20130101); **G06F3/048** (20130101); **G06F3/04847** (20130101);

Field of Classification Search

USPC: None

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Patent No.	Issued Date	Patentee Name	U.S. Cl.	CPC
6904366	12/2004	Patzek et al.	N/A	N/A
7963327	12/2010	Saleri et al.	N/A	N/A
8175751	12/2011	Thakur et al.	N/A	N/A
8307893	12/2011	Sierra et al.	N/A	N/A
9140108	12/2014	Shirzadi et al.	N/A	N/A
9605529	12/2016	Venter	N/A	G06F 30/20
2009/0132458	12/2008	Edwards	702/9	G06N 5/025
2010/0300682	12/2009	Thakur	166/250.01	E21B 43/16
2013/0063269	12/2012	Lee	340/584	G21C 19/07
2015/0354349	12/2014	Sauders	340/853.1	E21B 47/00
2020/0355839	12/2019	Jeong	N/A	G06N 20/00

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

SAIP Examination Report in Saudi Arabian Application No. 122440487, dated Nov. 30, 2023, 11 pages (with English translation). cited by applicant

Primary Examiner: Xia; Xuyang

Attorney, Agent or Firm: Fish & Richardson P.C.

Background/Summary

TECHNICAL FIELD

(1) The present disclosure applies to managing injector wells, for example, in the oil industry.

BACKGROUND

(2) Water injection is used in the oil and gas industry as a technique for maintaining reservoir pressure to facilitate the natural flow of hydrocarbons. Typically, water injectors are located on the flank of a reservoir to push and sweep hydrocarbons toward an oil field's center, where producer wells are located. In some cases, injection is done for the purpose of disposing of water. This is done by injecting disposal water in non-hydrocarbon formation. When drilling a hydrocarbon well from the surface, the well path may encounter non-hydrocarbon formations along the way. The pressure in non-hydrocarbon formations will differ over time, such as from year to year, since pressure values are being altered artificially through injection. Risks exist that are associated with drilling wells across zones of non-hydrocarbon formations, high-pressure zones (compared to

surrounding layers), sudden well flow, and wellbore contamination.

(3) Unlike these injection zones, normal and natural layers have near-constant pressures that may take years or decades to change, as well as constant formation-water properties. On the other hand, the layers in which water is being injected can experience sudden pressure increases or decreases, depending on production/injection ratios. The increases and decreases can complicate the estimation of formation pressures. Moreover, water properties, such as salinity, are altered from the water that is injected. The change in water properties can significantly affect drilling mud properties, making it difficult to predict how to respond to current formation salinity levels.

(4) Conventional practices typically include shutting down injectors before drilling any new wells in their vicinity. However, disturbing these injectors can provide adverse consequences in the dynamics of hydrocarbon production in the field. Also, procedures do not exist for identifying which injectors pose a threat to the wells being drilled in the same area.

SUMMARY

(5) The present disclosure describes techniques that can be used for managing injector wells, for example, in the oil industry. In some implementations, a computer-implemented method includes the following. Inputs for multiple risk criteria are received through an injector riskiness score (IRS) user interface for processing risks posed by an injector well to a new well being drilled in proximity to the injector well. A weighted sum of values corresponding to inputs for the risk multiple criteria is determined based on the inputs. An IRS score is determined based on the weighted sum. A determination is made whether the injector well has an IRS score above a predefined threshold. A shut-down recommendation for the injector well is identified in response to determining that the injector well has an IRS score above the predefined threshold.

(6) The previously described implementation is implementable using a computer-implemented method; a non-transitory, computer-readable medium storing computer-readable instructions to perform the computer-implemented method; and a computer-implemented system including a computer memory interoperably coupled with a hardware processor configured to perform the computer-implemented method, the instructions stored on the non-transitory, computer-readable medium.

(7) The subject matter described in this specification can be implemented in particular implementations, so as to realize one or more of the following advantages. The techniques of the present disclosure can overcome limitations in conventional systems that may enhance hydrocarbon recovery but do not optimize the injection process. For example, the techniques of the present disclosure can include mechanisms for determining when to shut down an injector when a new well is drilled near the injector. Conventional approaches may shutdown injectors that are nearby within a particular distance, such as a few hundred meters. However, such conventional approaches do not include a mechanism to determine which injectors possess risk. Additionally, conventional approaches may have a practice of shutting down injectors before drilling a well around the vicinity of the injectors. However, disturbing these injectors can result in negative consequences associated with the dynamics of hydrocarbon production in the field. Furthermore, there is no procedure to identify which injector poses a threat to the wells being drilled next to it.

(8) The details of one or more implementations of the subject matter of this specification are set forth in the Detailed Description, the accompanying drawings, and the claims. Other features, aspects, and advantages of the subject matter will become apparent from the Detailed Description, the claims, and the accompanying drawings.

Description

DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

(1) FIG. 1 is a block diagram showing an example of a process that can be used for executing an

Injector Riskiness Score (IRS) matrix, according to some implementations of the present disclosure.

(2) FIGS. 2 to 4 are diagrams showing different configurations of a new well relative to existing injector wells, according to some implementations of the present disclosure.

(3) FIGS. 5 and 6 are diagrams showing different configurations, respectively, of a new well relative to existing injector wells, according to some implementations of the present disclosure.

(4) FIGS. 7-11 are screenshots showing example values and results of a risk scoring user interface (UI), according to some implementations of the present disclosure.

(5) FIG. 12 is a flowchart of an example of a method for determining whether an injector should be shut down based on risks the injector poses on a new well being drilled, according to some implementations of the present disclosure.

(6) FIG. 13 is a block diagram illustrating an example computer system used to provide computational functionalities associated with described algorithms, methods, functions, processes, flows, and procedures as described in the present disclosure, according to some implementations of the present disclosure.

(7) Like reference numbers and designations in the various drawings indicate like elements.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

(8) The following detailed description describes techniques for managing injector wells, for example, in the oil industry. For example, managing injector wells can consider drilling and workover operations where nearby injectors may need to be interrupted to preserve the safety of the drilling operations.

(9) Various modifications, alterations, and permutations of the disclosed implementations can be made and will be readily apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art, and the general principles defined may be applied to other implementations and applications, without departing from scope of the disclosure. In some instances, details unnecessary to obtain an understanding of the described subject matter may be omitted so as to not obscure one or more described implementations with unnecessary detail and inasmuch as such details are within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art. The present disclosure is not intended to be limited to the described or illustrated implementations, but to be accorded the widest scope consistent with the described principles and features.

(10) In some implementations, techniques of the present disclosure can include a scoring system for identifying the potential risk of each injector. The scoring system and resulting scores can be used in deciding whether or not to shut down a particular injector. The scoring system can use a set of scoring criteria, with each scoring criterion having a different weight. When the weighted criteria are combined, the weighted sum (or other mathematical function) can provide or represent an Injector Riskiness Score (IRS) indicating an injector's estimated risk to operations. In some implementations, weighted scores can include, for each scoring criterion, a sub-score associated with a type or a numeric value corresponding to the scoring criterion. An example type is a horizontal vs. a vertical well profiles. In this example, each type of well profile can be assigned a different sub-score to be included in the weighted sum, with horizontal wells being assigned a greater sub-score (indicating a greater risk). Numeric values for a scoring criterion can include, for example, a sub-score associated with a distance of an injector from a producer, with higher sub-scores used for closer distances. Based on the IRS, a decision can be made to shut down the injector or leave the injector in operation. Leaving injectors in operation that do not need to be shut down can ensure optimization of assets, and avoid unnecessary interruptions to production. Optimizing assets can refer, for example, to achieving full use of assets resulting in a performance greater than a predefined threshold. Measuring optimization can also include tracking a number of injectors not shut down (for example, due to low IRS scores) that otherwise would be shut down under conventional procedures.

(11) FIG. 1 is a block diagram showing an example of a process 100 that can be used for executing

an Injector Riskiness Score (IRS) matrix, according to some implementations of the present disclosure. In some implementations, the matrix can be composed of at least five indicators used as scoring criteria in determining the risk that an existing injector poses to a new well to be being drilled. Each indicator is a separate element having its own associated weight for use in determining a weighted sum used for the IRS. The process **100** includes the use of criteria identified by elements **102-110**, corresponding to five indicators, all used to create an IRS **112**.

FIG. **1** illustrates weights (or sub-scores) that are displayed next to each of the elements **102-110**. Each sub-score represents the riskiness level of that element, with a value determined from a type of the injector (for example, horizontal vs. vertical well) or a numeric value (for example, distance of the injector from a location of a new well to be drilled).

(12) A well profile **102** can include weighted scores having values corresponding to whether the injector well is Horizontal or Vertical. For example, Horizontal wells can be assigned a sub-score of 3, while a sub-score of 1 can be used for Vertical wells. In some implementations, other values besides **1** and **3** may be possible based on further refinements of verticalness or horizontalness.

(13) A well position **104** can indicate, for example, a positional relationship between an injector and a producer. Example weighted scores (or sub-scores) can be 3 for a Parallel position, 0 (zero) for a Behind position, and 1 for a Front position. FIG. **3** shows examples of types of positional relationships.

(14) A distance **106** can be used to generate a weighted score associated with the distance between the injector and the producer. Example weighted scores (or sub-scores) can include, for example, a value of 6 for a distance in the range of 0-500 meters (m), a value of 3 for a distance greater than 500 m and up to 1000 m, a value of 1 for a distance greater than 1000 m and up to 2000 m, and a value of 0 (zero) for a distance greater than 2000 m. Other distance granularities are possible.

(15) A formation fluid type **108** can include weighted scores corresponding to whether the formation fluid type of the injector is hydrocarbon (HC) Bearing or Water Disposal. HC Bearing formation fluid types can be assigned a sub-score of 3 for horizontal wells. A sub-score of 1 can be used for Water Disposal formation fluid types. In some implementations, other values besides **1** and **3** may be possible based on variations in formation fluid type.

(16) A number of layers **110** can be used to generate a weighted score based on a number of layers in the reservoir that are intersected by the injector, with higher numbers of layers resulting in greater risks (and higher sub-scores). Example weighted scores (or sub-scores) can include a sub-score of 0 (zero) associated with one layer, a sub-score of 1 associated with two layers, a sub-score of 2 associated with three layers, and a sub-score of 4 associated with four layers.

(17) The sub-scores associated with the elements **102-110** can be used to produce the IRS **112**. For example, an IRS of 11 can be produced when an injector has a well profile of horizontal (a score of 3), a well position of Parallel (a score of 3), a distance exceeding 2000 m (a score of 0), a formation fluid type of Water Disposal (a score of 1), and four or more layers (a score of 4) can produce. In some implementations, particular elements of the elements **102-110** can be considered as greater indicators of risk, and can be element-weighted accordingly. For example, the number of layers **110** may be considered as a greater contributor to the IRS **112** than the distance **106**.

(18) The examples of FIGS. **2-6** that follow show different criteria that can affect the value of the IRS, the components of which are described with reference to FIG. **1**. In some implementations, a software application can be developed to ease the calculation of IRS (FIG. **7**).

(19) FIGS. **2** to **4** are diagrams showing different configurations **200**, **300**, and **400** of a new well **202** relative to existing injector wells, according to some implementations of the present disclosure. The different configurations **200**, **300**, and **400** can be used to explain each indicator's risk and why it matters in placing a new well next to an injector.

(20) Referring to FIG. **2**, the configuration **200** shows new well **202** in relation to a vertical injector well **204** (depicted as a top view) and a horizontal injector well **206** (depicted as a top view). The vertical injector well **204** has a well center **208** from which a well radius of investigation **214** is

determined. The horizontal injector well **206** has a horizontal lateral path **210** and a lateral heel **212**, from which the well radius of investigation **214** is determined. New wells to be drilled in the radius of investigation **214** are to be scored for risk.

(21) Vertical injector wells have a circular radius of investigation (or effect). If a new well is drilled next to a vertical injector well, the injection charge (or pressure or flow) will be coming from almost a single point, which is the injector center.

(22) Horizontal injector wells have an elliptical radius of investigation (or effect). If a new well is drilled next to a horizontal injector well, the injection charge (or pressure or flow) will be coming from multiple points along the injector horizontal lateral path.

(23) Referring to FIG. 3, the configuration **300** shows new wells **302-306** in relation to the horizontal injector well **206**. The locations can be used to determine the sub-score associated with the well position **104**. For example, new well **302** has a Parallel well position, new well **304** has a Behind well position, new well **306** has a Front well position, resulting in sub-scores of 3, 0, and 1, respectively.

(24) Well position is applicable to Horizontal wells only. If the new well is Parallel, then the effect is maximum as explained previously. If the new well is in Front, then there is only one point of charge (pressure/flow), so the behavior is similar to a Vertical well. If the new well is Behind, then there will be almost no effect, since the well heel is cased off and cemented with no communication to the reservoir. It is not expected that the injected fluid will immediately go backwards.

(25) Referring to FIG. 4, the configuration **400** shows new wells **402-406** in relation to the horizontal injector well **206**. The locations can be used to determine the sub-score associated with the distance **106**. For example, nearby well **402** has a distance of 500 m, resulting in a distance sub-score of 6. For example, distanced well **404** has a distance of 2000 m, resulting in a distance sub-score of 1. For example, very far well **405** has a distance of 2500 m, resulting in a distance sub-score of 0 (zero).

(26) Well distance contributes heavily in the overall impact of the injector effect. If the new well is close by, then the force or charge of the injectors is seen as being much stronger than a well that is farther. Just like a sprinkler or air-blower, the charge or effect of the injector is reduced when other wells are farther away. Thus, the closer the new well is to the injector, the greater the severity of an effect.

(27) FIGS. 5 and 6 are diagrams showing different configurations **500** and **600**, respectively, of a new well **502** relative to existing injector wells, according to some implementations of the present disclosure. The different configurations **500** and **600** can be used to explain each indicator's risk and why it matters in placing a new well next to an injector.

(28) Referring to FIG. 5, the configuration **500** shows new well **502** in relation to a vertical injector well **504** (depicted as a side view) and a horizontal injector well **506** (depicted as a side view). In the configuration **500**, the vertical injector well **504** passes through Layer #1 **508** and Layer #2 **510**. The horizontal injector well **506** also passes through Layer #1 **508** and Layer #2 **510**. In the configuration **500**, neither well passes through Layer #3 **512** or Layer #4 **514**. The vertical injector well **504** and the horizontal injector well **506** have perforations **518** that produce a possible charge **520** (or pressure or flow) toward the new well **502**. New wells to be drilled in the area of vertical injector well **504** and the horizontal injector well **506** need to be scored for risk associated with the number of layers **110**. In this case, a sub-score of 0 is given because the new well is going through a single layer into which both injectors are injecting. The injector is checked to see if it is injecting into the same layer as others or not. If all injectors are injecting into one layer, then the newly-drilled well will only deal with that layer alone in terms of pressure changes or the presence of a different fluid such as disposed water. However, if the injector is injecting into a different layer, then injector adds risk to the newly-drilled well. Having all injectors in one layer is less severe compared to having injectors injecting across multiple layers.

(29) The fluid type being injected plays a big role on the IRS score. If water is being injected in a

water reservoir (for example, Water Disposal), then the risk becomes less compared to a case in which water is injected in an HC Bearing formation (for example, secondary oil recovery or water flooding). If hydrocarbons are being injected, then the fluid that is being displaced toward the other well has the chance of inducing a kick of getting mixed with the cement when it's pumped, which can lead to a possible casing-casing annulus (CCA) pressure.

(30) Referring to FIG. 6, the configuration **600** shows new well **502** in relation to vertical injector well **504** (depicted as a side view) and a horizontal injector well **602** (depicted as a side view). In the configuration **600**, the vertical injector well **504** still passes through Layer #1 **508** and Layer #2 **510**. The horizontal injector well **506** passes through Layer #1 **508**, Layer #2 **510**, and additionally, Layer #3 **512**. In this example, horizontal injector well **602** can be assigned a sub-score of 1 because the injector goes through three layers.

(31) The number of injectors around a new well can add more risk, however, the number of layers is considered riskier. For example, three wells injecting in the same layer or reservoir is not as severe regarding risk as three wells injecting in three different layers. Any new well being drilled in a multi-layered formation could pass multiple layers when many of them are undergoing injection activities. This becomes complex because each layer has a certain pressure regime and possibly its own fluid type.

(32) Azimuths/directions of horizontal wells can be different from how the wells are depicted in FIGS. 5 and 6. In the example shown in FIGS. 5 and 6, horizontal injector well **506** is shown going leftward, but other directions are possible. Further, injector wells **504** and **506** do not necessarily have to be perforated. The wells can be open-hole, as open-hole completions are very common. This differentiation is important because a perforated well is cased and cemented already.

(33) FIGS. 7-11 are screenshots showing example values and results of a risk scoring user interface (U/I) **702**, according to some implementations of the present disclosure. The risk scoring U/I **702** includes guidelines **704** that describe steps that a user can follow to enter information in controls **708-716** to generate a risk level **706**. The controls **708-716** allow the user to make selections or define values associated with elements **102-110**, for example. The risk level **706** can be displayed as a risk-level meter **722**, indicating low to high risk. In some implementations, the risk level meter **722** can have color-coded regions, such as green for low risk, yellow for medium risk, and red for high risk. A numeric IRS score, such as a score of 11 described in the example provided with reference to FIG. 1 (or a resulting scaled score), can be provided in an IRS display **718**, for example.

(34) The risk scoring U/I **702** includes a well profile control **708** for indicating whether the injection well is a Vertical well (the selection in FIG. 7) or a Horizontal well. A well position control **710** can allow the user to designate whether the injector well is a Parallel well (the selection shown in the screenshot **700** of in FIG. 7), a Behind well, or a Front well. A distance control **712** can allow the user to use a dial to identify a distance between the new well to be drilled and the injector well (the selection in FIG. 7 showing 2250 m). A formation fluid type control **714** can be used by the user to designate whether the injector well is a HB Bearing type or a Water Disposal type (the selection shown in FIG. 7). A number of layers control **716** control can allow the user to designate a number of layers impacted by the injector well (the selection in FIG. 7 showing one layer). Once the controls have been used to designate the values associated with the injector well, a calculate control **720** can cause the application controlling the risk scoring U/I **702** to re-calculate the risk level. In screenshot **700**, the controls are initialized to null and zero values, resulting in an IRS of 0.0.

(35) As shown in FIG. 7, the well profile control **708** and the formation fluid type **714** control are clickable two-toggle switches. The well position type control **710** and the number of layers control **716** are clickable multiple-toggle switches. The distance control **712** is a mouse-operated numeric dial. Other types of controls are possible, including data entry fields, such as to enter a value for distance. Other display fields are possible, such as to display a numeric value of a distance selected

using the distance control **712**.

(36) Referring to FIG. **8**, the screenshot **800** shows a setting of Horizontal for the well profile control **708**, Parallel for the well position control **710**, a value of 750 m for the distance control **712**, a setting of HC Bearing for the formation fluid type control **714**, and a setting of 1 for the number of layers control **716**. In this example, the IRS **718** is 2.4 and is indicated in the risk level **706**. The risk scoring U/I **702** can scale the IRS **718** to a value between 0 and 4.0.

(37) In this example, a new well is being drilled next to a horizontal injector. The new well is positioned parallel to the injector. The distance separating the wells is 500-1000 m, and the formation being injected into is HC Bearing. In area in which the new well is being, only one layer is being injected into. The IRS score is 2.4, placing the score in the highest or “red” zone, which means that it's too dangerous to keep the injector well operating. Thus, the injector must be shutdown. It's worth noting that if the well is closer (less than 500 m) and more than 1 layer is being injected into, then the IRS will be higher indicating a higher risk. Higher IRS score means that more risk is associated when drilling in that area. (see FIG. **9**)

(38) Referring to FIG. **9**, the screenshot **900** shows a setting of Horizontal for the well profile control **708**, a setting of Parallel for the well position control **710**, a setting of 750 m for the distance control **712**, a setting of HC Bearing for the formation fluid type control **714**, and a setting of 4 for the number of layers control **716**. In this example, the IRS **718** is 3.2 and is indicated in the risk level **706**.

(39) Referring to FIG. **10**, the screenshot **1000** shows a setting of Horizontal for the well profile control **708**, a setting of Parallel for the well position control **710**, a setting of 2250 m for the distance control **712**, a setting of HC Bearing for the formation fluid type control **714**, and a setting of 1 for the number of layers control **716**. In this example, the IRS **718** is 1.8 and is indicated in the risk level **706**.

(40) In this example, a new well is being drilled next to a Horizontal injector. The new well is positioned Parallel to the injector. The distance is more than 2000 m, and the formation being injected into is HC Bearing. In the area in which the new well is being drilled, only one layer is being injected into. The IRS score is 1.4, placing the score in the middle or “yellow” zone which means its medium risk well. Shutting off the well is option, and its presence needs to be acknowledged since it's on the high end of reaching a critical well.

(41) Referring to FIG. **11**, the screenshot **1100** shows a setting of Vertical for the well profile control **708**, a setting of Parallel for the well position control **710**, a setting of 2250 m for the distance control **712**, a setting of Water Disposal for the formation fluid type control **714**, and a setting of 1 for the number of layers control **716**. In this example, the IRS **718** is 0.5 and is indicated in the risk level **706**.

(42) In this example, a new well is being drilled next to a vertical injector. The new well position in relation to the injector is irrelevant in a vertical well. The distance is more than 2000 m, and the formation being injected into is Water Disposal. In an area in which the new well is being drilled, only one layer is being injected into. The IRS score is 0.5, placing the score in the low or “green” zone, which means it is a low-risk injection well. Shutting the well is unnecessary, and its presence doesn't need to be acknowledged.

(43) Once the sum of the weights is calculated, IRS is produced and an indicator (FIG. **7**) that will represent the riskiness level. The lowest or “green” zone indicated a safe zone where the injector doesn't pose any threat and it can be ignored. The middle or “yellow” zone means that the injector presence should be acknowledged and shutting it off is optional. Whereas the highest or “red” zone is critical, meaning that shutting off the injector is a highly recommended.

(44) The higher the IRS value, the more critical the area is. For example, if the score is higher than 3, and more than 2-3 layers is being injected into, then the drilling process will be challenging even if the injector is shutdown. This is due to the multiple pressure regimes across multiple layers being faced.

(45) FIG. 12 is a flowchart of an example of a method **1200** for determining whether an injector should be shut down based on risks the injector poses on a new well being drilled, according to some implementations of the present disclosure. For clarity of presentation, the description that follows generally describes method **1200** in the context of the other figures in this description. However, it will be understood that method **1200** can be performed, for example, by any suitable system, environment, software, and hardware, or a combination of systems, environments, software, and hardware, as appropriate. In some implementations, various steps of method **1200** can be run in parallel, in combination, in loops, or in any order.

(46) At **1202**, inputs for multiple risk criteria are received through an injector riskiness score (IRS) user interface for processing risks posed by an injector well to a new well being drilled in proximity to the injector well. The inputs can define, for example, a well profile type of the injector well, a well position of the new well in relation to a location of the injector well, a distance between the location of the injector well and a location of the new well to be drilled, a formation fluid type of the injector well, and a number of layers into which the injector well injects a fluid. The inputs can be received from a user using the risk scoring U/I **702**, for example. From **1202**, method **1200** proceeds to **1204**.

(47) At **1204**, a weighted sum of values corresponding to inputs for the risk multiple criteria is determined based on the inputs. For example, the weighted sum of values can depend on the sub-scores associated with the elements **102-110**. From **1204**, method **1200** proceeds to **1206**.

(48) At **1206**, an IRS score is determined based on the weighted sum. For example, the IRS score can be determined based on the IRS matrix and the elements **102-110**, described with reference to FIG. 1. From **1206**, method **1200** proceeds to **1208**.

(49) At **1208**, a determination is made whether the injector well has an IRS score above a predefined threshold. For example, an IRS score above a threshold of 2 (for example, after scaling), corresponding to the high level threat region on the risk level meter **722**. From **1208**, method **1200** proceeds to **1210**.

(50) At **1210**, a shut-down recommendation for the injector well is identified in response to determining that the injector well has an IRS score above the predefined threshold. In some implementations, the recommendation can be presented in the form of displaying, in the IRS interface, the risk level meter **722** having color-coded regions for low, medium, and high risk. After **1210**, method **1200** can stop.

(51) In some implementations, in addition to (or in combination with) any previously-described features, techniques of the present disclosure can include the following. Customized user interfaces can present intermediate or final results of the above described processes to a user. The presented information can be presented in one or more textual, tabular, or graphical formats, such as through a dashboard. The information can be presented at one or more on-site locations (such as at an oil well or other facility), on the Internet (such as on a webpage), on a mobile application (or “app”), or at a central processing facility. The presented information can include suggestions, such as suggested changes in parameters or processing inputs, that the user can select to implement improvements in a production environment, such as in the exploration, production, and/or testing of petrochemical processes or facilities. For example, the suggestions can include parameters that, when selected by the user, can cause a change or an improvement in drilling parameters (including speed and direction) or overall production of a gas or oil well. The suggestions, when implemented by the user, can improve the speed and accuracy of calculations, streamline processes, improve models, and solve problems related to efficiency, performance, safety, reliability, costs, downtime, and the need for human interaction. In some implementations, values of parameters or other variables that are determined can be used automatically (such as through using rules) to implement changes in oil or gas well exploration, production/drilling, or testing. For example, outputs of the present disclosure can be used as inputs to other equipment and/or systems at a facility.

(52) FIG. 13 is a block diagram of an example computer system **1300** used to provide

computational functionalities associated with described algorithms, methods, functions, processes, flows, and procedures described in the present disclosure, according to some implementations of the present disclosure. The illustrated computer **1302** is intended to encompass any computing device such as a server, a desktop computer, a laptop/notebook computer, a wireless data port, a smart phone, a personal data assistant (PDA), a tablet computing device, or one or more processors within these devices, including physical instances, virtual instances, or both. The computer **1302** can include input devices such as keypads, keyboards, and touch screens that can accept user information. Also, the computer **1302** can include output devices that can convey information associated with the operation of the computer **1302**. The information can include digital data, visual data, audio information, or a combination of information. The information can be presented in a graphical user interface (UI) (or GUI).

(53) The computer **1302** can serve in a role as a client, a network component, a server, a database, a persistency, or components of a computer system for performing the subject matter described in the present disclosure. The illustrated computer **1302** is communicably coupled with a network **1330**. In some implementations, one or more components of the computer **1302** can be configured to operate within different environments, including cloud-computing-based environments, local environments, global environments, and combinations of environments.

(54) At a top level, the computer **1302** is an electronic computing device operable to receive, transmit, process, store, and manage data and information associated with the described subject matter. According to some implementations, the computer **1302** can also include, or be communicably coupled with, an application server, an email server, a web server, a caching server, a streaming data server, or a combination of servers.

(55) The computer **1302** can receive requests over network **1330** from a client application (for example, executing on another computer **1302**). The computer **1302** can respond to the received requests by processing the received requests using software applications. Requests can also be sent to the computer **1302** from internal users (for example, from a command console), external (or third) parties, automated applications, entities, individuals, systems, and computers.

(56) Each of the components of the computer **1302** can communicate using a system bus **1303**. In some implementations, any or all of the components of the computer **1302**, including hardware or software components, can interface with each other or the interface **1304** (or a combination of both) over the system bus **1303**. Interfaces can use an application programming interface (API) **1312**, a service layer **1313**, or a combination of the API **1312** and service layer **1313**. The API **1312** can include specifications for routines, data structures, and object classes. The API **1312** can be either computer-language independent or dependent. The API **1312** can refer to a complete interface, a single function, or a set of APIs.

(57) The service layer **1313** can provide software services to the computer **1302** and other components (whether illustrated or not) that are communicably coupled to the computer **1302**. The functionality of the computer **1302** can be accessible for all service consumers using this service layer. Software services, such as those provided by the service layer **1313**, can provide reusable, defined functionalities through a defined interface. For example, the interface can be software written in JAVA, C++, or a language providing data in extensible markup language (XML) format. While illustrated as an integrated component of the computer **1302**, in alternative implementations, the API **1312** or the service layer **1313** can be stand-alone components in relation to other components of the computer **1302** and other components communicably coupled to the computer **1302**. Moreover, any or all parts of the API **1312** or the service layer **1313** can be implemented as child or sub-modules of another software module, enterprise application, or hardware module without departing from the scope of the present disclosure.

(58) The computer **1302** includes an interface **1304**. Although illustrated as a single interface **1304** in FIG. 13, two or more interfaces **1304** can be used according to particular needs, desires, or particular implementations of the computer **1302** and the described functionality. The interface

1304 can be used by the computer **1302** for communicating with other systems that are connected to the network **1330** (whether illustrated or not) in a distributed environment. Generally, the interface **1304** can include, or be implemented using, logic encoded in software or hardware (or a combination of software and hardware) operable to communicate with the network **1330**. More specifically, the interface **1304** can include software supporting one or more communication protocols associated with communications. As such, the network **1330** or the interface's hardware can be operable to communicate physical signals within and outside of the illustrated computer **1302**.

(59) The computer **1302** includes a processor **1305**. Although illustrated as a single processor **1305** in FIG. **13**, two or more processors **1305** can be used according to particular needs, desires, or particular implementations of the computer **1302** and the described functionality. Generally, the processor **1305** can execute instructions and can manipulate data to perform the operations of the computer **1302**, including operations using algorithms, methods, functions, processes, flows, and procedures as described in the present disclosure.

(60) The computer **1302** also includes a database **1306** that can hold data for the computer **1302** and other components connected to the network **1330** (whether illustrated or not). For example, database **1306** can be an in-memory, conventional, or a database storing data consistent with the present disclosure. In some implementations, database **1306** can be a combination of two or more different database types (for example, hybrid in-memory and conventional databases) according to particular needs, desires, or particular implementations of the computer **1302** and the described functionality. Although illustrated as a single database **1306** in FIG. **13**, two or more databases (of the same, different, or combination of types) can be used according to particular needs, desires, or particular implementations of the computer **1302** and the described functionality. While database **1306** is illustrated as an internal component of the computer **1302**, in alternative implementations, database **1306** can be external to the computer **1302**.

(61) The computer **1302** also includes a memory **1307** that can hold data for the computer **1302** or a combination of components connected to the network **1330** (whether illustrated or not). Memory **1307** can store any data consistent with the present disclosure. In some implementations, memory **1307** can be a combination of two or more different types of memory (for example, a combination of semiconductor and magnetic storage) according to particular needs, desires, or particular implementations of the computer **1302** and the described functionality. Although illustrated as a single memory **1307** in FIG. **13**, two or more memories **1307** (of the same, different, or combination of types) can be used according to particular needs, desires, or particular implementations of the computer **1302** and the described functionality. While memory **1307** is illustrated as an internal component of the computer **1302**, in alternative implementations, memory **1307** can be external to the computer **1302**.

(62) The application **1308** can be an algorithmic software engine providing functionality according to particular needs, desires, or particular implementations of the computer **1302** and the described functionality. For example, application **1308** can serve as one or more components, modules, or applications. Further, although illustrated as a single application **1308**, the application **1308** can be implemented as multiple applications **1308** on the computer **1302**. In addition, although illustrated as internal to the computer **1302**, in alternative implementations, the application **1308** can be external to the computer **1302**.

(63) The computer **1302** can also include a power supply **1314**. The power supply **1314** can include a rechargeable or non-rechargeable battery that can be configured to be either user- or non-user-replaceable. In some implementations, the power supply **1314** can include power-conversion and management circuits, including recharging, standby, and power management functionalities. In some implementations, the power-supply **1314** can include a power plug to allow the computer **1302** to be plugged into a wall socket or a power source to, for example, power the computer **1302** or recharge a rechargeable battery.

(64) There can be any number of computers **1302** associated with, or external to, a computer system containing computer **1302**, with each computer **1302** communicating over network **1330**. Further, the terms “client,” “user,” and other appropriate terminology can be used interchangeably, as appropriate, without departing from the scope of the present disclosure. Moreover, the present disclosure contemplates that many users can use one computer **1302** and one user can use multiple computers **1302**.

(65) Described implementations of the subject matter can include one or more features, alone or in combination.

(66) For example, in a first implementation, a computer-implemented method includes the following. Inputs for multiple risk criteria are received through an injector riskiness score (IRS) user interface for processing risks posed by an injector well to a new well being drilled in proximity to the injector well. A weighted sum of values corresponding to inputs for the risk multiple criteria is determined based on the inputs. An IRS score is determined based on the weighted sum. A determination is made whether the injector well has an IRS score above a predefined threshold. A shut-down recommendation for the injector well is identified in response to determining that the injector well has an IRS score above the predefined threshold.

(67) The foregoing and other described implementations can each, optionally, include one or more of the following features:

(68) A first feature, combinable with any of the following features, where the inputs define a well profile type of the injector well, a well position of the new well in relation to a location of the injector well, a distance between the location of the injector well and a location of the new well to be drilled, a formation fluid type of the injector well, and a number of layers into which the injectors are injecting a fluid around a newly-drilled well.

(69) A second feature, combinable with any of the previous or following features, the method further including displaying, in the IRS interface, a risk level meter having color-coded regions for low, medium, and high risk.

(70) A third feature, combinable with any of the previous or following features, the method further including scaling the IRS score before representing the IRS score on the risk level meter.

(71) A fourth feature, combinable with any of the previous or following features, where controls for selecting the well profile type and the formation fluid type are clickable two-toggle switches.

(72) A fifth feature, combinable with any of the previous or following features, where controls for selecting the well position type and the number of layers are clickable multiple-toggle switches.

(73) A sixth feature, combinable with any of the previous or following features, where a control for selecting the distance is a mouse-operated numeric dial.

(74) In a second implementation, a non-transitory, computer-readable medium stores one or more instructions executable by a computer system to perform operations including the following. Inputs for multiple risk criteria are received through an injector riskiness score (IRS) user interface for processing risks posed by an injector well to a new well being drilled in proximity to the injector well. A weighted sum of values corresponding to inputs for the risk multiple criteria is determined based on the inputs. An IRS score is determined based on the weighted sum. A determination is made whether the injector well has an IRS score above a predefined threshold. A shut-down recommendation for the injector well is identified in response to determining that the injector well has an IRS score above the predefined threshold.

(75) The foregoing and other described implementations can each, optionally, include one or more of the following features:

(76) A first feature, combinable with any of the following features, where the inputs define a well profile type of the injector well, a well position of the new well in relation to a location of the injector well, a distance between the location of the injector well and a location of the new well to be drilled, a formation fluid type of the injector well, and a number of layers into which the injectors are injecting a fluid around a newly-drilled well.

(77) A second feature, combinable with any of the previous or following features, the operations further including displaying, in the IRS interface, a risk level meter having color-coded regions for low, medium, and high risk.

(78) A third feature, combinable with any of the previous or following features, the operations further including scaling the IRS score before representing the IRS score on the risk level meter.

(79) A fourth feature, combinable with any of the previous or following features, where controls for selecting the well profile type and the formation fluid type are clickable two-toggle switches.

(80) A fifth feature, combinable with any of the previous or following features, where controls for selecting the well position type and the number of layers are clickable multiple-toggle switches.

(81) A sixth feature, combinable with any of the previous or following features, where a control for selecting the distance is a mouse-operated numeric dial.

(82) In a third implementation, a computer-implemented system includes one or more processors and a non-transitory computer-readable storage medium coupled to the one or more processors and storing programming instructions for execution by the one or more processors. The programming instructions instruct the one or more processors to perform operations including the following. Inputs for multiple risk criteria are received through an injector riskiness score (IRS) user interface for processing risks posed by an injector well to a new well being drilled in proximity to the injector well. A weighted sum of values corresponding to inputs for the risk multiple criteria is determined based on the inputs. An IRS score is determined based on the weighted sum. A determination is made whether the injector well has an IRS score above a predefined threshold. A shut-down recommendation for the injector well is identified in response to determining that the injector well has an IRS score above the predefined threshold.

(83) The foregoing and other described implementations can each, optionally, include one or more of the following features:

(84) A first feature, combinable with any of the following features, where the inputs define a well profile type of the injector well, a well position of the new well in relation to a location of the injector well, a distance between the location of the injector well and a location of the new well to be drilled, a formation fluid type of the injector well, and a number of layers into which the injectors are injecting a fluid around a newly-drilled well.

(85) A second feature, combinable with any of the previous or following features, the operations further including displaying, in the IRS interface, a risk level meter having color-coded regions for low, medium, and high risk.

(86) A third feature, combinable with any of the previous or following features, the operations further including scaling the IRS score before representing the IRS score on the risk level meter.

(87) A fourth feature, combinable with any of the previous or following features, where controls for selecting the well profile type and the formation fluid type are clickable two-toggle switches.

(88) A fifth feature, combinable with any of the previous or following features, where controls for selecting the well position type and the number of layers are clickable multiple-toggle switches.

(89) Implementations of the subject matter and the functional operations described in this specification can be implemented in digital electronic circuitry, in tangibly embodied computer software or firmware, in computer hardware, including the structures disclosed in this specification and their structural equivalents, or in combinations of one or more of them. Software implementations of the described subject matter can be implemented as one or more computer programs. Each computer program can include one or more modules of computer program instructions encoded on a tangible, non-transitory, computer-readable computer-storage medium for execution by, or to control the operation of, data processing apparatus. Alternatively, or additionally, the program instructions can be encoded in/on an artificially generated propagated signal. For example, the signal can be a machine-generated electrical, optical, or electromagnetic signal that is generated to encode information for transmission to a suitable receiver apparatus for execution by a data processing apparatus. The computer-storage medium can be a machine-

readable storage device, a machine-readable storage substrate, a random or serial access memory device, or a combination of computer-storage mediums.

(90) The terms “data processing apparatus,” “computer,” and “electronic computer device” (or equivalent as understood by one of ordinary skill in the art) refer to data processing hardware. For example, a data processing apparatus can encompass all kinds of apparatuses, devices, and machines for processing data, including by way of example, a programmable processor, a computer, or multiple processors or computers. The apparatus can also include special purpose logic circuitry including, for example, a central processing unit (CPU), a field-programmable gate array (FPGA), or an application-specific integrated circuit (ASIC). In some implementations, the data processing apparatus or special purpose logic circuitry (or a combination of the data processing apparatus or special purpose logic circuitry) can be hardware- or software-based (or a combination of both hardware- and software-based). The apparatus can optionally include code that creates an execution environment for computer programs, for example, code that constitutes processor firmware, a protocol stack, a database management system, an operating system, or a combination of execution environments. The present disclosure contemplates the use of data processing apparatuses with or without conventional operating systems, such as LINUX, UNIX, WINDOWS, MAC OS, ANDROID, or IOS.

(91) A computer program, which can also be referred to or described as a program, software, a software application, a module, a software module, a script, or code, can be written in any form of programming language. Programming languages can include, for example, compiled languages, interpreted languages, declarative languages, or procedural languages. Programs can be deployed in any form, including as stand-alone programs, modules, components, subroutines, or units for use in a computing environment. A computer program can, but need not, correspond to a file in a file system. A program can be stored in a portion of a file that holds other programs or data, for example, one or more scripts stored in a markup language document, in a single file dedicated to the program in question, or in multiple coordinated files storing one or more modules, sub-programs, or portions of code. A computer program can be deployed for execution on one computer or on multiple computers that are located, for example, at one site or distributed across multiple sites that are interconnected by a communication network. While portions of the programs illustrated in the various figures may be shown as individual modules that implement the various features and functionality through various objects, methods, or processes, the programs can instead include a number of sub-modules, third-party services, components, and libraries. Conversely, the features and functionality of various components can be combined into single components as appropriate. Thresholds used to make computational determinations can be statically, dynamically, or both statically and dynamically determined.

(92) The methods, processes, or logic flows described in this specification can be performed by one or more programmable computers executing one or more computer programs to perform functions by operating on input data and generating output. The methods, processes, or logic flows can also be performed by, and apparatus can also be implemented as, special purpose logic circuitry, for example, a CPU, an FPGA, or an ASIC.

(93) Computers suitable for the execution of a computer program can be based on one or more of general and special purpose microprocessors and other kinds of CPUs. The elements of a computer are a CPU for performing or executing instructions and one or more memory devices for storing instructions and data. Generally, a CPU can receive instructions and data from (and write data to) a memory.

(94) Graphics processing units (GPUs) can also be used in combination with CPUs. The GPUs can provide specialized processing that occurs in parallel to processing performed by CPUs. The specialized processing can include artificial intelligence (AI) applications and processing, for example. GPUs can be used in GPU clusters or in multi-GPU computing.

(95) A computer can include, or be operatively coupled to, one or more mass storage devices for

storing data. In some implementations, a computer can receive data from, and transfer data to, the mass storage devices including, for example, magnetic, magneto-optical disks, or optical disks. Moreover, a computer can be embedded in another device, for example, a mobile telephone, a personal digital assistant (PDA), a mobile audio or video player, a game console, a global positioning system (GPS) receiver, or a portable storage device such as a universal serial bus (USB) flash drive.

(96) Computer-readable media (transitory or non-transitory, as appropriate) suitable for storing computer program instructions and data can include all forms of permanent/non-permanent and volatile/non-volatile memory, media, and memory devices. Computer-readable media can include, for example, semiconductor memory devices such as random access memory (RAM), read-only memory (ROM), phase change memory (PRAM), static random access memory (SRAM), dynamic random access memory (DRAM), erasable programmable read-only memory (EPROM), electrically erasable programmable read-only memory (EEPROM), and flash memory devices. Computer-readable media can also include, for example, magnetic devices such as tape, cartridges, cassettes, and internal/removable disks. Computer-readable media can also include magneto-optical disks and optical memory devices and technologies including, for example, digital video disc (DVD), CD-ROM, DVD+/-R, DVD-RAM, DVD-ROM, HD-DVD, and BLU-RAY.

(97) The memory can store various objects or data, including caches, classes, frameworks, applications, modules, backup data, jobs, web pages, web page templates, data structures, database tables, repositories, and dynamic information. Types of objects and data stored in memory can include parameters, variables, algorithms, instructions, rules, constraints, and references. Additionally, the memory can include logs, policies, security or access data, and reporting files. The processor and the memory can be supplemented by, or incorporated into, special purpose logic circuitry.

(98) Implementations of the subject matter described in the present disclosure can be implemented on a computer having a display device for providing interaction with a user, including displaying information to (and receiving input from) the user. Types of display devices can include, for example, a cathode ray tube (CRT), a liquid crystal display (LCD), a light-emitting diode (LED), and a plasma monitor. Display devices can include a keyboard and pointing devices including, for example, a mouse, a trackball, or a trackpad. User input can also be provided to the computer through the use of a touchscreen, such as a tablet computer surface with pressure sensitivity or a multi-touch screen using capacitive or electric sensing. Other kinds of devices can be used to provide for interaction with a user, including to receive user feedback including, for example, sensory feedback including visual feedback, auditory feedback, or tactile feedback. Input from the user can be received in the form of acoustic, speech, or tactile input. In addition, a computer can interact with a user by sending documents to, and receiving documents from, a device that the user uses. For example, the computer can send web pages to a web browser on a user's client device in response to requests received from the web browser.

(99) The term “graphical user interface,” or “GUI,” can be used in the singular or the plural to describe one or more graphical user interfaces and each of the displays of a particular graphical user interface. Therefore, a GUI can represent any graphical user interface, including, but not limited to, a web browser, a touch-screen, or a command line interface (CLI) that processes information and efficiently presents the information results to the user. In general, a GUI can include a plurality of user interface (UI) elements, some or all associated with a web browser, such as interactive fields, pull-down lists, and buttons. These and other UI elements can be related to or represent the functions of the web browser.

(100) Implementations of the subject matter described in this specification can be implemented in a computing system that includes a back-end component, for example, as a data server, or that includes a middleware component, for example, an application server. Moreover, the computing system can include a front-end component, for example, a client computer having one or both of a

graphical user interface or a Web browser through which a user can interact with the computer. The components of the system can be interconnected by any form or medium of wireline or wireless digital data communication (or a combination of data communication) in a communication network. Examples of communication networks include a local area network (LAN), a radio access network (RAN), a metropolitan area network (MAN), a wide area network (WAN), Worldwide Interoperability for Microwave Access (WIMAX), a wireless local area network (WLAN) (for example, using 802.11 a/b/g/n or 802.20 or a combination of protocols), all or a portion of the Internet, or any other communication system or systems at one or more locations (or a combination of communication networks). The network can communicate with, for example, Internet Protocol (IP) packets, frame relay frames, asynchronous transfer mode (ATM) cells, voice, video, data, or a combination of communication types between network addresses.

(101) The computing system can include clients and servers. A client and server can generally be remote from each other and can typically interact through a communication network. The relationship of client and server can arise by virtue of computer programs running on the respective computers and having a client-server relationship.

(102) Cluster file systems can be any file system type accessible from multiple servers for read and update. Locking or consistency tracking may not be necessary since the locking of exchange file system can be done at application layer. Furthermore, Unicode data files can be different from non-Unicode data files.

(103) While this specification contains many specific implementation details, these should not be construed as limitations on the scope of what may be claimed, but rather as descriptions of features that may be specific to particular implementations. Certain features that are described in this specification in the context of separate implementations can also be implemented, in combination, in a single implementation. Conversely, various features that are described in the context of a single implementation can also be implemented in multiple implementations, separately, or in any suitable sub-combination. Moreover, although previously described features may be described as acting in certain combinations and even initially claimed as such, one or more features from a claimed combination can, in some cases, be excised from the combination, and the claimed combination may be directed to a sub-combination or variation of a sub-combination.

(104) Particular implementations of the subject matter have been described. Other implementations, alterations, and permutations of the described implementations are within the scope of the following claims as will be apparent to those skilled in the art. While operations are depicted in the drawings or claims in a particular order, this should not be understood as requiring that such operations be performed in the particular order shown or in sequential order, or that all illustrated operations be performed (some operations may be considered optional), to achieve desirable results. In certain circumstances, multitasking or parallel processing (or a combination of multitasking and parallel processing) may be advantageous and performed as deemed appropriate.

(105) Moreover, the separation or integration of various system modules and components in the previously described implementations should not be understood as requiring such separation or integration in all implementations. It should be understood that the described program components and systems can generally be integrated together in a single software product or packaged into multiple software products.

(106) Accordingly, the previously described example implementations do not define or constrain the present disclosure. Other changes, substitutions, and alterations are also possible without departing from the spirit and scope of the present disclosure.

(107) Furthermore, any claimed implementation is considered to be applicable to at least a computer-implemented method; a non-transitory, computer-readable medium storing computer-readable instructions to perform the computer-implemented method; and a computer system including a computer memory interoperably coupled with a hardware processor configured to

perform the computer-implemented method or the instructions stored on the non-transitory, computer-readable medium.

Claims

1. A computer-implemented method, comprising: receiving, through a user interface, inputs for operational criteria for risks posed by an injector well to a new well being drilled in proximity to the injector well, the inputs defining a number of layers into which injectors are injecting a fluid around the new well; determining, based on the inputs, sub-scores corresponding to the inputs for the operational criteria, wherein the inputs define a well profile type of the injector well, a well position of the new well in relation to a location of the injector well, a distance between the location of the injector well, a location of the new well to be drilled, and a formation fluid type of the injector well; determining an operational score based on the sub-scores corresponding to the inputs for the operational criteria, the sub-scores reflecting a well profile type risk, a formation fluid type risk, and a pressure risk of a configuration of the new well relative to an injection layer of the injector well and an injection target layer of the number of layers, wherein the pressure risk increases with a number of injections layers different from the injection target layer of the number of layers; determining whether the injector well has an operational score above a threshold; and identifying, in response to determining that the injector well has the operational score above the threshold, a shut-down recommendation for the injector well.
2. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein the inputs define a well profile type of the injector well comprises a horizontal type or a vertical type, a well position of the new well defines positional relationship between the new well and the injector well comprising a parallel position, a behind position, or a front position, a distance between the location of the injector well, a location of the new well to be drilled comprising a distance classification relative to distance ranges, and a formation fluid type of the injector well comprising hydrocarbon bearing or water disposal.
3. The computer-implemented method of claim 2, wherein controls for selecting the well profile type and the formation fluid type are clickable two-toggle switches.
4. The computer-implemented method of claim 2, wherein controls for selecting the well position and the number of layers are clickable multiple-toggle switches.
5. The computer-implemented method of claim 2, wherein a control for selecting the distance is a mouse-operated numeric dial.
6. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, further comprising: displaying, in the user interface, a risk level meter having color-coded regions for low, medium, and high risk.
7. The computer-implemented method of claim 6, further comprising: scaling the operational score before representing the operational score on the risk level meter.
8. A non-transitory, computer-readable medium storing one or more instructions executable by a computer system to perform operations comprising: receiving, through a user interface, inputs for operational criteria for risks posed by an injector well to a new well being drilled in proximity to the injector well, the inputs defining a number of layers into which injectors are injecting a fluid around the new well; determining, based on the inputs, sub-scores corresponding to the inputs for the operational criteria, wherein the inputs define a well profile type of the injector well, a well position of the new well in relation to a location of the injector well, a distance between the location of the injector well, a location of the new well to be drilled, and a formation fluid type of the injector well; determining an operational score based on the sub-scores corresponding to the inputs for the operational criteria, the sub-scores reflecting a well profile type risk, a formation fluid type risk, and a pressure risk of a configuration of the new well relative to an injection layer of the injector well and an injection target layer of the number of layers wherein the pressure risk increases with a number of injections layers different from the injection target layer of the number

of layers; determining whether the injector well has an operational score above a threshold; and identifying, in response to determining that the injector well has the operational score above the threshold, a shut-down recommendation for the injector well.

9. The non-transitory, computer-readable medium of claim 8, wherein the inputs define a well profile type of the injector well comprises a horizontal type or a vertical type, a well position of the new well defines positional relationship between the new well and the injector well comprising a parallel position, a behind position, or a front position, a distance between the location of the injector well, a location of the new well to be drilled comprising a distance classification relative to distance ranges, and a formation fluid type of the injector well comprising hydrocarbon bearing or water disposal.

10. The non-transitory, computer-readable medium of claim 9, wherein controls for selecting the well profile type and the formation fluid type are clickable two-toggle switches.

11. The non-transitory, computer-readable medium of claim 9, wherein controls for selecting the well position and the number of layers are clickable multiple-toggle switches.

12. The non-transitory, computer-readable medium of claim 9, wherein a control for selecting the distance is a mouse-operated numeric dial.

13. The non-transitory, computer-readable medium of claim 8, the operations further comprising: displaying, in the user interface, a risk level meter having color-coded regions for low, medium, and high risk.

14. The non-transitory, computer-readable medium of claim 13, the operations further comprising: scaling the operational score before representing the operational score on the risk level meter.

15. A computer-implemented system, comprising: one or more processors; and a non-transitory computer-readable storage medium coupled to the one or more processors and storing programming instructions for execution by the one or more processors, the programming instructions instructing the one or more processors to perform operations comprising: receiving, through a user interface, inputs for operational criteria for risks posed by an injector well to a new well being drilled in proximity to the injector well, the inputs defining a number of layers into which injectors are injecting a fluid around the new well; determining, based on the inputs, sub-scores corresponding to the inputs for the operational criteria, wherein the inputs define a well profile type of the injector well, a well position of the new well in relation to a location of the injector well, a distance between the location of the injector well, a location of the new well to be drilled, and a formation fluid type of the injector well; determining an operational score based on the sub-scores corresponding to the inputs for the operational criteria, the sub-scores reflecting a well profile type risk, a formation fluid type risk, and a pressure risk of a configuration of the new well relative to an injection layer of the injector well and an injection target layer of the number of layers wherein the pressure risk increases with a number of injections layers different from the injection target layer of the number of layers; determining whether the injector well has an operational score above a threshold; and identifying, in response to determining that the injector well has the operational score above the threshold, a shut-down recommendation for the injector well.

16. The computer-implemented system of claim 15, wherein the inputs define a well profile type of the injector well comprises a horizontal type or a vertical type, a well position of the new well defines positional relationship between the new well and the injector well comprising a parallel position, a behind position, or a front position, a distance between the location of the injector well, a location of the new well to be drilled comprising a distance classification relative to distance ranges, and a formation fluid type of the injector well comprising hydrocarbon bearing or water disposal.

17. The computer-implemented system of claim 16, wherein controls for selecting the well profile type and the formation fluid type are clickable two-toggle switches.

18. The computer-implemented system of claim 16, wherein controls for selecting the well position

and the number of layers are clickable multiple-toggle switches.

19. The computer-implemented system of claim 15, the operations further comprising: displaying, in the user interface, a risk level meter having color-coded regions for low, medium, and high risk.

20. The computer-implemented system of claim 19, the operations further comprising: scaling the operational score before representing the operational score on the risk level meter.
