Detailed Marking Breakdown for Task 1: Image Classification ML Assignment

Detailed Summary of Marks Distribution

| Section | Subsection Detail | Marks |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------|
| Approach (30 marks) | Class Imbalance Identification | 2 |
| | Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) | 2 |
| | Justification of Data Handling Methods | 2 |
| | Performance Metrics Selection | 2 |
| | Data Splitting Strategy | 2 |
| | Prevention of Data Leakage | 2 |
| | Base Model Selection and Justification | 3 |
| | Handling Class Imbalance | 2 |
| | Algorithm Configuration | 1 |
| | Identification of Overfitting/Underfitting | 2 |
| | Optimization Techniques | 2 |
| | Validation Set Use | 2 |
| | Final Model Accuracy | 3 |
| | Robustness and Generalizability | 3 |
| Independent Evaluation (10 marks) | 2.1 Comparative Analysis | 5 |
| | Comparison with Baseline and Literature (3) | |
| | Fairness and Consistency (2) | |
| | 2.2 Critical Discussion | 5 |
| | Insightful Discussion (3) | |
| | Real-world Applicability (2) | |
| Report Presentation (10 marks) | Logical Flow | 2 |
| | Readability | 2 |
| | Consistent Formatting | 1 |
| | Quality of Visualizations | 3 |
| | Integration with Text | 2 |
| Total Marks | Total | 50 |

1. Approach (60%, 30 marks)

1.1 Data Exploration and Understanding (6 marks)

- Class Imbalance Identification (2 marks): Explicitly identifies class imbalance and discusses its implications clearly.
- Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) (2 marks): Provides clear visualizations and insightful observations about the dataset.
- Justification of Data Handling Methods (2 marks): Clearly explains and justifies preprocessing decisions (e.g., normalization, augmentation).

1.2 Evaluation Framework (6 marks)

- Performance Metrics Selection (2 marks): Chooses and justifies metrics suitable for class imbalance (e.g., macro F1-score, precision, recall).
- Data Splitting Strategy (2 marks): Clearly defines and justifies train-validation-test splits or cross-validation approach.
- Prevention of Data Leakage (2 marks): Demonstrates understanding and correct implementation of splitting methods by patient or subject to avoid leakage.

1.3 Model Selection and Justification (6 marks)

- Base Model Selection and Justification (3 marks): Clearly identifies and thoroughly justifies the choice of base models (CNN, ANN, etc.) over alternatives.
- Handling Class Imbalance (2 marks): Implements and justifies techniques like data augmentation, weighting, or resampling.
- Algorithm Configuration (1 mark): Clearly explains hyperparameters and model configuration choices.

1.4 Model Optimization (6 marks)

- Identification of Overfitting/Underfitting (2 marks): Provides clear visual evidence (learning curves) and accurate discussion.
- Optimization Techniques (2 marks): Clearly applies and explains methods used to address fitting issues (dropout, regularization, etc.).
- Validation Set Use (2 marks): Correct and justified usage of validation set for hyperparameter tuning.

1.5 Model Performance and Robustness (6 marks)

- Final Model Accuracy (3 marks): Clearly demonstrates achieving good performance aligned with established goals or benchmarks.
- Robustness and Generalizability (3 marks): Demonstrates and discusses model robustness across different subsets or scenarios.

2. Independent Evaluation (20%, 10 marks)

2.1 Comparative Analysis (5 marks)

- Comparison with Baseline and Literature Models (3 marks): Clearly presents comparisons and justifications using suitable performance metrics.
- Fairness and Consistency (2 marks): Ensures fair and accurate comparison against independently sourced literature and models.

2.2 Critical Discussion (5 marks)

- Insightful Discussion (3 marks): Provides a critical analysis of strengths, weaknesses, and insights relative to literature.
- Real-world Applicability (2 marks): Clearly identifies and discusses the applicability and limitations of the developed models.

3. Report Presentation (20%, 10 marks)

3.1 Clarity and Structure (5 marks)

- Logical Flow (2 marks): Report narrative is logically structured and coherent.
- Readability (2 marks): Clearly written with concise and grammatically correct language.
- Consistent Formatting (1 mark): Maintains consistency and clarity throughout the report.

3.2 Visualizations and Justifications (5 marks)

- Quality of Visualizations (3 marks): Figures and tables clearly illustrate and justify the results and decisions.
- Integration with Text (2 marks): Visual aids are directly referred to and integrated effectively into textual analysis.