Dynamics and Statistical Probabilities of Rear-Vehicle Instability at Switch and Crossing Deviations

The architectural and mechanical complexity of switches and crossings (S&C) represents the most significant point of vulnerability in modern railway infrastructure. These systems, essential for the directional routing of rolling stock, introduce fundamental discontinuities in both the support structure and the geometric layout of the track. Statistically, the derailment of rear carriages—the wagons or coaches situated at the final positions of a train consist—presents a recurring challenge that is distinctly linked to the propagation of longitudinal dynamics and the unique response of trailing vehicles to the lateral transitions encountered at turnout deviations. The propensity for rear-end instability is not a localized mechanical failure but rather a system-wide phenomenon where the cumulative energy of the train consist is discharged at the point of least resistance: the S&C unit.

Geometric Discontinuity and the Mechanics of Turnout Traversal

Railway crossings are categorized as the weakest points of the railroad superstructure due to the inherent lack of continuous support for the wheel. The "common crossing," or frog, creates a gap in the rail surface where the flange-way must cross the running rail of the intersecting route. As a wheel passes through this crossing gap, it loses vertical support, leading to a downward descent followed by an abrupt collision with the toe of the common step. This impact generates extreme vertical and lateral forces, which are significantly amplified by the speed of the transit and the axle load of the vehicle.

In the switch panel, the transition from the stock rail to the switch rail involves a machined profile that gradually assumes the full load of the vehicle. The interaction between the leading and trailing bogies during this transition is governed by discrete support points, including slide chairs and links, which maintain the gauge and ensure the stability of the moving switch rail. For rear carriages, the lateral forces are often exacerbated by the fact that the preceding wagons have already excited the track structure, potentially revealing or worsening voids in the ballast or defects in the concrete bearers.

Table 1: Turnout Components and Associated Mechanical Failure Modes

Component	Function	Failure Mechanism	Relevant Force Vector	Source
Switch Rail (Point Blade)	Initiates the directional change	Flange climbing; gauge narrowing due to foreign objects	Lateral (Y)	

Component	Function	Failure Mechanism	Relevant Force Vector	Source
Common Crossing (Frog)	Allows routes to cross	Vertical impact; collision with crossing nose	Vertical (Q)	
Check Rail (Guard Rail)	Restrains back-face of wheel	High friction climb; misalignment leading to wheel climb	Lateral (Y)	
Stretcher Bar (Link)	Maintains gap between blades	Fatigue failure; loss of gauge control	Lateral (Y)	
Slide Chair	Supports switch rail movement	Friction increase; vertical misalignment	Vertical (Q)	

The presence of foreign objects, such as ballast stones or ice, between the switch and stock rail can lead to gauge narrowing. Signalling systems are designed to detect such objects if they cause a gap exceeding 5 mm, but if the system fails or if the narrowing is within tolerance, it can lead to flange climbing derailments, particularly as the lateral forces (Y) on the trailing wagons increase. Simulations indicate that while crushed ballast may not trigger a derailment in isolation, it significantly alters the wheel-rail contact conditions, potentially pushing a vulnerable rear wagon toward the critical L/V limit.

Longitudinal Train Dynamics and the Whip Effect in Consists

The statistical over-representation of rear vehicles in derailment incidents at S&C is fundamentally a consequence of Longitudinal Train Dynamics (LTD). As a train negotiates a turnout, it is subjected to in-train forces exchanged between consecutive vehicles via couplers and draft gear. These forces are dynamic and non-linear, influenced by the train’s composition, the braking system’s pneumatic response, and the undulating topography of the track.

Tensile Instability and Stringlining

Stringlining is a tensile phenomenon that occurs when the draft forces within the train consist attempt to pull the wagons into a straight line across a curve or turnout deviation. This creates a lateral force vector acting toward the inside of the curve (the low rail). Rear carriages are uniquely susceptible to stringlining because they often represent the tail end of a tensile wave. If the locomotives accelerate while the rear of the train is still restrained by grade resistance or delayed air brake release, the resulting draft force can lift light or empty rear wagons off the rail. In a turnout, the sharp radius of the diverging route increases the lateral component of the draft force. For long freight trains, especially manifest trains with mixed loaded and empty wagons, placing empty wagons at the rear increases the likelihood that these vehicles will be "pulled over" the low rail during heavy acceleration. This risk is heightened if the wagon has a long overhang or a short wheelbase, which increases the sensitivity to the lateral draft component.

Compressive Instability and Jackknifing

Jackknifing occurs under compressive "buff" forces, typically during dynamic braking or emergency applications. When the forward portion of the train decelerates more rapidly than the rear, the slack in the couplers "runs in," creating a compressive wave that travels backward. As this wave reaches the rear carriages, the compressive force pushes the couplers to their maximum yaw angles.

The lateral component of this buff force pushes the wagon toward the high rail (the outside of the curve). In the context of a switch deviation, the sudden lateral "kick" provided by the points can serve as the initiating event for a jackknife, where the wagon pivots excessively, leading to rail rollover or wheel climb. Rear wagons are particularly vulnerable because the cumulative slack of the entire train can exceed 100 feet in long consists, resulting in a high-velocity impact when the slack finally closes at the tail end.

Table 2: Comparative Analysis of LTD Effects on Rear-Carriage Stability

Phenomenon	Primary Force	Direction of Lateral Force	Vehicle Type Vulnerability	Source
Stringlining	Tensile (Draft)	Toward low rail (inside)	Empty/light wagons; long overhangs	
Jackknifing	Compressive (Buff)	Toward high rail (outside)	Empty wagons; cushioned couplers	
Slack Run-out	Impulse (Draft)	Transient toward low rail	Rearmost wagons in long consists	
Slack Run-in	Impulse (Buff)	Transient toward high rail	Rearmost wagons during braking	

Mathematical Foundations of Flange Climb and Wheel Unloading

The ultimate cause of derailment at an S&C deviation is often the exceeding of the critical Lateral-to-Vertical (L/V) force ratio. This relationship is quantified by Nadal's Criterion, which serves as the industry standard for assessing the risk of flange climb derailment.

Nadal's Criterion and the Influence of Friction

The limit for safe operation is defined by:

Where L and V are the lateral and vertical forces, \delta is the flange angle, and \mu is the coefficient of friction. For rear carriages, the vertical load (V) is frequently compromised by wheel unloading, while the lateral force (L) is amplified by longitudinal train dynamics.

A high coefficient of friction (\mu > 0.5) significantly reduces the L/V threshold, making it easier for the wheel to "stick" and climb the rail face rather than slipping back into the gauge. This is particularly critical for newly trued wheels or in dry track conditions, which are common in many reported S&C derailments.

Wagner's Formula and the Angle of Attack

Nadal's formula assumes the wheel is perpendicular to the rail, but in reality, wheelsets yaw relative to the track, particularly when navigating the curved closure rails of a turnout. Wagner's formula accounts for this "angle of attack" (\beta):
As the angle of attack increases—a common occurrence for the leading wheelsets of the rear bogie in a sharp deviation—the critical L/V ratio decreases, further narrowing the margin for safety. Large angles of attack promote the flange-climbing process by ensuring that the contact point is shifted forward on the wheel flange, allowing the lateral force to more effectively drive the wheel over the rail head.

Table 3: Influence of Flange Angle and Friction on L/V Derailment Limits

Flange Angle (\delta)	Coefficient of Friction (\mu)	Nadal L/V Limit	Risk Level	Source
63^\circ	0.5 (Dry)	0.73	High	
63^\circ	0.3 (Lubricated)	1.04	Moderate	
75^\circ	0.5 (Dry)	1.12	Low	
75^\circ	0.3 (Lubricated)	1.62	Very Low	

Statistical Frequency and Positional Probability

Data from the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) and the Rail Accident Investigation Branch (RAIB) indicate that derailment risk is not uniformly distributed throughout the train consist. Instead, research points to a "U-shaped" probability distribution, where cars at the head end and tail end of the train are statistically more likely to derail than those in the center.

Train Length and Accident Rates

The emergence of longer trains (exceeding 7,500 feet) has altered the statistical profile of derailment incidents. Analysis shows that running 100-car trains increases the odds of derailment by 11% compared to running two 50-car trains to move the same tonnage. For 200-car trains, the odds increase by 24%. This is largely due to the increased Car-Mile exposure and the complexity of managing slack action over a longer distance.

Table 4: Increase in Derailment Odds relative to Train Length

Train Length (Cars)	Odds Ratio vs. 50-Car Train	95% Confidence Interval	Source
50	1.00 (Reference)	N/A	
100	1.11	1.10 – 1.12	
150	1.18	1.15 – 1.21	
200	1.24	1.20 – 1.28	

In freight operations, manifest trains—which contain a mix of different car types and loads—have a higher derailment rate than unit trains. Specifically, unit trains have a 30% lower derailment rate per billion ton-miles than manifest trains. The heterogeneity of manifest trains leads to uneven longitudinal forces, where a heavy loaded car may be followed by a light empty car, creating a dynamic mismatch that the rear carriages must absorb as they pass through the S&C discontinuity.

Infrastructure Contributors: Support and Geometry Defects

While longitudinal forces provide the energy, infrastructure defects often provide the trigger for rear-carriage derailments at turnouts. Infrastructure-related causes account for the majority of derailments at turnouts, with switch point degradation being the most common specific cause.

Track Twist and Support Voids

Track twist is a critical factor in wheel unloading. It occurs when there is a rapid change in the relative vertical position of the two rails. In S&C areas, twist faults frequently develop where there is a change in lateral stiffness, such as the transition from standard sleepers to S&C concrete bearers.

Rear carriages are particularly vulnerable to these faults for several reasons. First, the preceding weight of the train may cause "voiding," where the ballast is pushed away from the sleepers, creating a hidden defect that only appears under load. Second, the "modular" nature of modern S&C construction, which uses bearer ties to join concrete sections, can introduce lateral flexibility that makes one rail more susceptible to poor support than the other. When a trailing wagon encounters such a twist while subjected to buff or draft forces, its vertical wheel load (Q) can drop to a level where the L/V ratio exceeds the Nadal limit, initiating a flange climb.

Table 5: Distribution of Turnout Derailment Causes (UK Data)

Category	Frequency (Causal)	Frequency (Contributory)	Source
Infrastructure/Component Faults	42%	38%	
Maintenance Failures	25%	20%	
Operational/Human Factors	15%	14%	
Signalling Failures	8%	18%	
Environmental (Heat/Snow/Ice)	10%	16%	

Cyclic Top and Resonant Response

Cyclic top refers to regularly spaced vertical dips in the track, often caused by poor drainage or failing joints. Certain wagon designs, especially those with specific distances between bogie centers, can enter a state of resonance when traversing cyclic top at critical speeds. This resonance leads to significant vertical oscillations and periodic wheel unloading.

In a documented incident near Gloucester, the rear wheelset of the last wagon in a container train derailed due to cyclic top. The train continued for nearly four miles before the driver became aware of the derailment when the wagon struck facing points at a junction. This illustrates the "silent" nature of rear-end derailments; the driver, situated far at the head end, may not feel the vibration or hear the impact of a derailed trailing vehicle until it encounters a further obstacle.

Case Study Synthesis: Forensic Analysis of Rear-Wagon Failures

The investigation of specific derailments at S&C highlights the intersection of the factors discussed above.

The Lewisham Derailment (2017)

At Lewisham, an aggregate train derailed at a newly-laid S&C layout. The 16th wagon was the first to derail, having encountered a significant track twist that developed rapidly after the engineering hand-back. The investigation found that the ballast had not been sufficiently consolidated by mechanized tamping, and the manual corrections failed to provide adequate support. The 16th wagon was also probably carrying an uneven payload with a lateral offset, which combined with the track twist to reduce the wheel load to a critical level. This case demonstrates the "perfect storm" of new infrastructure, poor support consolidation, and wagon loading issues that target rear-mid consist vehicles.

The Springfield, Ohio Derailment (2023)

A Norfolk Southern train, 13,470 feet long and weighing nearly 18,000 tons, derailed 28 cars near Springfield. The train was configured with three locomotives at the head end and two Distributed Power (DP) locomotives placed mid-train. The FRA investigation determined that the probable cause was excessive buffing or slack action due to the use of dynamic braking combined with the train’s makeup.

Simulation analysis (using TEDS) identified a wave of slack action moving from the head end back through the train. This wave reached its peak 30 to 50 seconds before the derailment, generating buff forces of approximately 230,000 lbs. The cars in the 70th to 72nd positions—all empty coil cars weighing only 48,000 lbs—were unable to withstand this force. The lateral component of this massive longitudinal force, amplified by the transition from a descending to an ascending grade, triggered the derailment. This highlights the extreme risk posed by placing empty wagons in a position where they must absorb the slack energy of a long, heavy consist.

The Nunga Rail Creep Incident (2015)

In Nunga, the trailing bogie of the last wagon of a grain train derailed at a level crossing. The ATSB found that the track had buckled during the passage of the train due to accumulated rail creep. Over time, the rails had moved longitudinally and bunched at the crossing, making them vulnerable to lateral misalignment in the heat of the day (36.1°C). The rear wagon derailed because the preceding vehicles had sufficiently weakened the lateral stability of the bunched rail to the point where the final bogie provided the lateral force necessary to complete the buckle.

Technical Mitigation and Future Outlook

Addressing the high rate of rear-carriage derailments at turnouts requires a multi-faceted approach involving advanced steering technologies, optimized train handling, and robust infrastructure monitoring.

Distributed Power and Braking Synchronization

The use of Distributed Power (DP) is a primary method for managing longitudinal forces in long trains. By placing locomotives at the head, middle, and tail of the train, the magnitude of tensile and compressive waves is significantly reduced. However, the synchronization of these units is paramount. Modern energy management systems like "Trip Optimizer" (TO) are intended to automate this process to reduce fuel consumption and improve train handling, but improper promotion of dynamic braking as the sole retarding method can still lead to excessive in-train forces.

Table 6: Comparison of Wagon Steering and Stability Technologies

Technology	Application	Dynamic Benefit
4L Bogie	Freight Wagons	Improved vertical response; lower vertical dynamic forces in S&C
Y25 Bogie	Standard Freight	Higher vertical forces; poorer S&C steering
Rear Axle Compliance Steering (RACS)	Passenger/Light Rail	Tire lateral force utilization to improve understeer and stability
Friction Management (Lubrication)	Sharp S&C Curves	Reduces \mu, increasing Nadal L/V limit for flange climb
Check Rails	Sharp S&C Curves	Provides secondary lateral restraint to prevent wheel lift

Advanced Simulation and Predictive Maintenance

The use of Multibody Simulation (MBS) tools, such as Simpack and TrainDy, allows researchers to evaluate the safety against derailment of specialized train compositions before they are deployed. These models account for the flexible deformation of rails and wheelsets, as well as the non-linear pneumatic behavior of the braking system.
For infrastructure, the move toward dynamic track recording—measuring track gauge and twist under the load of a moving vehicle—is essential. Static measurements often fail to detect "hollow" sleepers or support voids that only manifest as the weight of the train consist passes. By identifying these "under-load" defects before they reach the critical threshold, infrastructure managers can significantly reduce the statistical likelihood of a rear-carriage derailment at turnout deviations.

Conclusion

Statistically, rear carriages derail at switches and crossings because they are the recipients of the accumulated dynamic instabilities of the entire train consist. The S&C deviation serves as a mechanical "trigger" that converts longitudinal energy into lateral displacement. Whether through the tensile forces of stringlining or the compressive forces of jackknifing, trailing vehicles are forced into high-yaw-angle configurations that maximize the risk of flange climb. This risk is compounded by the inherent discontinuities of the turnout structure, where hidden support voids and track twist faults can lead to instantaneous wheel unloading. The statistical correlation between increased train length and derailment odds further underscores the challenge of managing these forces in a heavy-haul environment. Ultimately, the safety of the rear of the train depends on a sophisticated understanding of the coupling between longitudinal train dynamics and the localized vehicle-track interaction at the infrastructure's most critical nodes.

Works cited

1. New Anti-Derailment System in Railway Crossings - MDPI, https://www.mdpi.com/2075-1702/10/12/1224 2. On the Derailment of Rail Vehicles Through Turnouts; A Review of Derailment Causes and Mechanisms - UDSpace, https://udspace.udel.edu/bitstreams/cce23d60-b26b-4fab-b1ae-62ddb9e44742/download 3. (PDF) Investigation on Derailment of Empty Wagons of Long Freight ..., https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327509457_Investigation_on_Derailment_of_Empty_Wagons_of_Long_Freight_Train_during_Dynamic_Braking 4. (PDF) Coupler jackknifing and derailments of locomotives on tangent track - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/262864042_Coupler_jackknifing_and_derailments_of_locomotives_on_tangent_track 5. Investigation on train dynamic derailment in railway turnouts caused by track failure | Request PDF - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357869128_Investigation_on_train_dynamic_derailment_in_railway_turnouts_caused_by_track_failure 6. Accident Investigation Summary Report

HQ-2023-1822 - Federal Railroad Administration, https://railroads.dot.gov/sites/fra.dot.gov/files/2024-12/Accident_Investigation_Summary_Report_HQ-2023-1822_FINAL.pdf 7. Full article: Switch Panel wear loading – a parametric study regarding governing train operational factors - Taylor & Francis, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00423114.2017.1313435 8. Full article: Multibody simulation of derailment risk in railway switches due to gauge narrowing caused by foreign objects - Taylor & Francis, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00423114.2025.2495227?af=R 9. Derailment Mechanisms | The PWI, https://www.thepwi.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/2024-01-10_Understanding-derailment-mechanisms_B-Eickhoff.pdf 10. Rail Accident Report - GOV.UK, https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a968175e5274a5b849d3bbf/180228_R042018_Lewisham.pdf 11. Longitudinal forces evaluation of SNCF trains 1 Introduction, https://art.torvergata.it/retrieve/e291c0d4-903e-cddb-e053-3a05fe0aa144/34%20-%20C3%20Durand%20Thierry.pdf 12. Rail transportation safety investigation report R22C0065, https://www.bst.gc.ca/eng/rapports-reports/rail/2022/r22c0065/r22c0065.html 13. STRING LINE TRAINING - Atlanta - MARTA, https://itsmarta.com/wayside-docs/Books%20For%20QR%20codes%20PDFs/Track%20Inspection%20Modules/Section%2012--Stringline.pdf 14. What can cause stringlining? - Trainorders.com, https://www.trainorders.com/discussion/read.php?1,2072689 15. Physics | Derailment - Coals to Newcastle, https://www.coalstonewcastle.com.au/physics/derail/ 16. String-lining a train - Trainorders.com, https://www.trainorders.com/discussion/read.php?1,5762498 17. String Lining - General Discussion - Trains.com Forums, https://forum.trains.com/t/string-lining/290944 18. Preventing Stringlining? - General Discussion (Model Railroader) - Trains.com Forums, https://forum.trains.com/t/preventing-stringlining/247571 19. Railway Investigation Report R07T0110 - Transportation Safety Board of Canada, https://www.tsb.gc.ca/eng/rapports-reports/rail/2007/r07t0110/r07t0110.html 20. Effects of Secondary Suspension Imbalance on Wheel-Climb Potential (Part 1 of 2), https://interfacejournal.com/archives/489 21. Analysis of Lateral Forces for Assessment of Safety against Derailment of the Specialized Train Composition for the Transportation of Long Rails - MDPI, https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/14/2/860 22. Nadal formula - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nadal_formula 23. Just found this fascinating video on 'Nadal ratio' - ie the ratio of lateral force to weight required to bring-on a derailment … : r/trains - Reddit, https://www.reddit.com/r/trains/comments/1h4k4z2/just_found_this_fascinating_video_on_nadal_ratio/ 24. Investigation of dynamic gauge widening in small radius curves and its impact on lateral wheel-rail - Rail4Future, https://www.rail4future.com/dam/jcr:b93cf2ca-68d9-4a45-87b8-98c7182a06f6/dynamic-gauge-widening.pdf 25. On the derailment of a railway vehicle. Influence of different parameters - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/286972764_On_the_derailment_of_a_railway_vehicle_Influence_of_different_parameters 26. Study on flange climb derailment criteria of a railway wheelset - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/239391871_Study_on_flange_climb_derailment_criteria_of_a_railway_wheelset 27. Freight Train Derailments: Key Facts - Association of American Railroads, https://www.aar.org/issue/derailments/ 28. Derailment Probability Analyses and Modeling of Mainline Freight Trains - RailTEC, https://railtec.illinois.edu/wp/wp-content/uploads/pdf-archive/Anderson-and-Barkan-2005.pdf 29.

(PDF) The Impacts of Tank Car Position and Train Configuration on the Risk of Rail Transport of Hazardous Materials - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384762988_The_Impacts_of_Tank_Car_Position_and_Train_Configuration_on_the_Risk_of_Rail_Transport_of_Hazardous_Materials 30. General Model of the Effect of Hazardous Materials Car Placement in Trains and their Probability of Derailment | RailTEC, https://railtec.illinois.edu/wp/wp-content/uploads/Lin-et-al-2022-General-Model-of-the-Effect-of-Hazardous-Materials-Car-Placement-in-Trains-and-their-Probability-of-Derailment.pdf 31. Impacts of Trains Longer Than 7,500 Feet, https://www.nationalacademies.org/projects/TRB-CAAS-22-03 32. The Relationship between Freight Train Length and the Risk of Derailment - NSF Public Access Repository, https://par.nsf.gov/servlets/purl/10598087 33. (PDF) Analysis of Freight Train Derailment Rates for Unit Trains and Manifest Trains, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384763102_Analysis_of_Freight_Train_Derailment_Rates_for_Unit_Trains_and_Manifest_Trains 34. Statistical Analysis of Train Derailment Severity for Unit Trains Versus Manifest Trains - Xiang Liu, http://rail.rutgers.edu/files/li-et-al-2023-statistical-analysis-of-train-derailment-severity-for-unit-trains-versus-manifest-trains.pdf 35. (PDF) Assessment of Turnout-Related Derailments by Various Causes, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318461707_Assessment_of_Turnout-Related_Derailments_by_Various_Causes 36. Report 20/2014: Freight train derailment near Gloucester - GOV.UK, https://www.gov.uk/raib-reports/freight-train-derailment-near-gloucester 37. Derailment of grain train 9150 - State Government of Victoria, https://www.vic.gov.au/sites/default/files/2023-11/09-Nov-2015-Derailment-of-Grain-Train-9150-at-Nunga%2C-near-Ouyen-Copy.pdf 38. Running dynamics and contact mechanics comparison of two freight ..., https://www.andreabracciali.it/129_IAVSD_2019_4L_vs_Y25_CM_Running_Dynamics.pdf 39. Dynamic behavior of a vehicle with rear axle compliance steering - Extrica, https://www.extrica.com/article/17580/pdf 40. New Research Points to Possible Intersection of Train Length and Dangerous Derailments, https://core.verisk.com/Insights/Emerging-Issues/Articles/2024/October/Week-2/Research-Examines-Freight-Train-Length-and-Derailments