# Banach Algebras

# Lecture 1

# Spectral Theorem in Finite Dimensions

**Definition 1.1.** Bunch of things. Let A = square matrix.

• Symmetric:  $A = A^T$ .

• Orthogonal:  $AA^T = A^TA = I$ .

• Adjoint:  $A^* = \overline{A^T}$ .

• Self-adjoint:  $A = A^*$ .

• Unitary:  $AA^* = A^*A = I$ .

• Normal:  $AA^* = A^*A$ .

• Diagonal:  $A_{ij} = 0$  whenever  $i \neq j$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let A be a normal complex matrix. Then there is a unitary matrix U such that  $UAU^*$  is a diagonal matrix. Equivalently, there is an orthogonal basis of eigenvectors for A.

Example 1.1.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1+i & 1-i \\ 1-i & 1+i \end{pmatrix},$$
$$A^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1-i & 1+i \\ 1+i & 1-i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$AA^* = A^*A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A few days later we get

$$U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$UAU^* = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2i \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Definition 1.2.** A Hilbert space is a complete inner product space.

**Proposition 1.1.** If  $H_1, H_2$  are Hilbert spaces with orthonormal bases of the same cardinality, they are isometrically isomorphic.

**Definition 1.3.** A Hilbert space is separable if it admits a countable orthonormal basis.

Any infinite dimensional Hilbert space is isometrically isomorphic to  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ .

**Definition 1.4.** A bounded operator  $A: H \to H$  is compact if the closure of the image of the unit ball in H under A is compact.

#### Example 1.2.

- 1. Any finite rank operator is compact.
- 2. Let  $H = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ . Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$  be a sequence of complex numbers. Define  $M_{\mathbf{a}}(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (a_1 x_1, a_2 x_2, \dots)$ .
  - (a) Bounded if **a** is bounded.
  - (b) Adjoint is  $M_{\bar{\mathbf{a}}}$  where  $\bar{\mathbf{a}} = (\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \dots)$ .
  - (c) Normal cause doesn't matter which way you multiply stuff.
  - (d) Self-adjoint if the  $a_i$  are real for all i.
  - (e) Compact if  $a_i \to 0$ .

# Lecture 2

**Theorem 2.1.** Let A be a compact normal operator on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space H. Then H contains an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for A, with eigenvalues tending to 0.

Eigenvectors for  $M_{\mathbf{a}}$  in Example 1.2 —  $\{\mathbf{x}_i = (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{1}_{i^{\text{th}} \text{ slot}}, 0, \dots)\}$  is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let A be a compact normal operator on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Then there exists a unitary operator  $U: H \to \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  and a vector  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots), \ a_i \to 0$ , such that  $UAU^* = M_{\mathbf{a}}$ .

Proof. Sketch.

- 1. Pick an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors  $\{e_i\}$  with eigenvalues  $\{a_i\}$ .
- 2.  $U: H \to \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ , with  $x \mapsto (\langle x, e_1 \rangle, \langle x, e_2 \rangle, \dots)$ .

3. 
$$U^*: \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \to H$$
, with  $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i e_i$ .

What about non-compact operators? Is every normal operator unitarily equivalent to a multiplication operator on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ ?

**Example 2.1.** Let  $H = L^2([0,1])$ . For f bounded, define  $M_f : L^2([0,1]) \to L^2([0,1])$  with  $M_f g = f g$ . Let  $f_0(x) = x$ . What are the eigenvalues of  $M_{f_0}$ ? We have  $M_{f_0}g = \lambda g$  if  $xg(x) = \lambda g(x)$  for all  $x \in [0,1]$ . But then g(x) = 0 almost everywhere, so there are no eigenvalues: so  $M_{f_0}$  cannot be unitarily equivalent to a multiplication operator on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ .

Theorem 2.3. (Spectral Theorem.)

Let A be a normal operator on a separable Hilbert space. Then A is unitarily equivalent to a multiplication operator  $M_f$  on "L<sup>2</sup>( $\Omega$ )".

This  $\Omega$  will be defined later.

**Definition 2.1.** An algebra over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  is a vector space V with a map  $V \times V \to \mathbb{F}$  such that (for  $a \in \mathbb{F}, x, y, z \in V$ ):

- 1. (ax + y)z = a(xz) + yz.
- $2. \ z(ax+y) = a(zx) + zy.$
- 3. (xy)z = x(yz).

It is commutative if xy = yx, and unital if there exists some 1 such that 1x = x1 = x for all x.

#### Example 2.2. Algebraaas.

- $1. \mathbb{F}.$
- $2. \mathbb{F}[x].$
- 3. Functions  $X \to \mathbb{F} X$  any set, product done pointwise.
- 4.  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{F}$ .
- 5. All linear operators on a vector space, with composition as the product.
- 6. Let G be a group. Take a vector space with basis indexed by G,  $\{e_g\}$ , multiplication on basis  $e_g e_h = e_{gh}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A Banach algebra is an algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  such that the underlying vector space is a Banach space, and  $||x \cdot y|| \le ||x|| ||y||$  for all x, y.

### Lecture 3

#### Example 3.1. BANACH Algebraaas.

- $1. \ \mathbb{C}.$
- 2. Any Banach space, with ab = 0 for all a, b.
- 3. C(X), continuous functions on a compact metric space with the sup norm and pointwise product.
- 4.  $C_b(X)$ , bounded continuous functions on a metric space.
- 5.  $C_0(X)$ , continuous functions "vanishing at  $\infty$ " on some metric space.
- 6. Disk algebra. Continuous functions on the unit disk which are holomorphic on the interior.
- 7. For any Banach space E, the space of bounded operators B(E) is a Banach algebra with the operator norm and composition as the product.
- 8.  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , with matrix product and norm  $||M|| = \sum_{i,j} |M_{ij}|$  (in this case  $||\mathbf{1}|| = ||I_n|| = n$ ).
- 9.  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ , with  $(a*b)_i = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j b_{i-j}$ . This converges as

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_j b_{i-j}| \le \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |b_{i-j}| |a_j| \le \sup_k |b_k| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_j| < \infty$$

since  $(a_i), (b_i) \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ . Check condition from Definition 2.2:

$$||a * b|| = \sum_{i} |(a * b)_{i}| = \sum_{i} \left| \sum_{j} a_{j} b_{i-j} \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i,j} |a_{j} b_{i-j}|$$

$$= \sum_{j} \left( |a_{j}| \sum_{i} |b_{i-j}| \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j} |a_{j}| ||b||$$

$$= ||a|| ||b||.$$

10.  $L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ , with  $(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y)g(x - y) dy$ .

#### Example 3.2. MAYBE BANACH ALGEBRas.

- 1. Polynomial functions on [0,1], with sup norm and pointwise product not complete.
- 2.  $L^1([0,1])$ , pointwise product not closed under this multiplication.
- 3.  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ , pointwise product should be okay.
- 4.  $C(\mathbb{R})$  has no obvious norm...
- 5. All bounded functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , sup norm, pointwise product should be okay.

# Invertibility and Spectrum

**Definition 3.1.** A bounded operator  $A: E \to E$  is invertible if there exists some bounded operator  $B: E \to E$  such that  $AB = BA = \mathrm{id}_E$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** The following are equivalent:

- (1) A is invertible.
- (2) For every  $x, y \in E$ , Ax = y has a unique solution, that is, A is a bijection.

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2) is clear, since any invertible map is bijective.

For  $(2) \Longrightarrow (1)$ , we need to show that if A is bijective, then  $A^{-1}$  is a bounded operator. The graph of A,  $\{(x, Ax) : x \in E\}$ , is closed in  $E \times E$  since A is continuous. Equivalently,  $\{(Ay, y)\}$  is closed in  $E \times E$ , but this is the graph of  $A^{-1}$  since A is a bijection, so  $A^{-1}$  is bounded.

**Definition 3.2.** The spectrum of an operator  $\sigma(A)$  is  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - A \text{ is not invertible}\}$ .

# Lecture 4

Example 4.1. Shifts.

Let  $T: \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  be the right unilateral shift,  $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$ , and  $S: \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  be the left shift,  $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (x_2, x_3, \dots)$ . Both fail to be invertible: T is not surjective, and

S is not injective. Note ST = I, but  $TS(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_2, x_3, \dots)$ .

Does T have eigenvalues? No  $-T\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x} \implies 0 = \lambda x_1$ ,  $x_1 = \lambda x_2$ , etc.... If  $\lambda = 0$  then  $\mathbf{x} = 0$ ; otherwise  $x_1 = 0$  and  $\mathbf{x} = 0$  anyway... so no eigenvalues.

The spectrum of ST (when is  $I - \lambda I$  not invertible?) is  $\sigma(ST) = \{1\}$ .

The spectrum of TS is  $\sigma(TS) = \{0,1\}$ . Note TS is the projection onto  $\{(0,x_2,x_3,\dots)\}$ ... let P be any projection onto a Hilbert space. Write  $I = P + P^{\perp}$ ; when is  $P - \lambda I$  invertible? We have  $P - \lambda I = P - \lambda (P + P^{\perp}) = (1 - \lambda)P - \lambda P^{\perp}$ . The inverse is given by

$$\frac{1}{1-\lambda}P - \frac{1}{\lambda}P^{\perp},$$

which is okay as long as  $\lambda \notin \{0,1\}$ . Hence  $\sigma(P) \subseteq \{0,1\}$ ; we can also check that  $0 \in \sigma(P)$  if  $P \neq I$  and  $1 \in \sigma(P)$  if  $P \neq 0$ .

If

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i P_i,$$

where the  $P_i$  are non-zero projections,  $P_i P_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $\sum P_i = I$ , then  $\sigma(A) = \{\lambda_i\}$ .

**Fact.**  $\sigma(AB)\setminus\{0\}=\sigma(BA)\setminus\{0\}$ , that is, the spectra are the same if we ignore zero.

This follows from:

**Fact.** 1 - AB is invertible if and only if 1 - BA is invertible.

**Example 4.2.** Spectrum of multiplication map.

Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N})$ , and let  $M_{\mathbf{a}} : \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}) \to \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$  with  $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (a_1 x_1, a_2 x_2, \dots)$ . What is  $\sigma(M_{\mathbf{a}})$ ? We have  $\{a_i\} \subseteq \sigma(M_{\mathbf{a}})$ , since  $M_{\mathbf{a}} - a_i I$  has a non-trivial kernel. Also, for any  $\lambda$ 

$$(M_{\mathbf{a}} - \lambda I)(x_1, x_2, \dots) = ((a_1 - \lambda)x_1, (a_2 - \lambda)x_2, \dots).$$

As long as  $\lambda \notin \{a_i\}$ , we can try to invert with  $M_{\mathbf{b}}$ , where

$$\mathbf{b} = \left(\frac{1}{a_1 - \lambda}, \frac{1}{a_2 - \lambda}, \dots\right).$$

But  $M_{\mathbf{b}}$  is a bounded operator of  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  if and only if  $\lambda \notin \overline{\{a_i\}}$ . It follows that  $\sigma(M_{\mathbf{a}}) = \overline{\{a_i\}}$ .

**Example 4.3.** Construct an operator whose spectrum is [0,1].

Take any countable dense set in [0,1], look at the corresponding multiplication operator.

# Lecture 5

**Definition 5.1.** An element x in a unital Banach algebra A is invertible if there is some  $y \in A$  such that xy = yx = 1. The spectrum  $\sigma(x) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : x - \lambda \mathbf{1} \text{ is invertible}\}.$ 

Conventions:

- 1. Always assume  $\|\mathbf{1}\| = 1$ .
- 2. Write  $x \lambda$  for  $x \lambda \mathbf{1}$ .

#### Lemma 5.1.

- 1. If ||x|| < 1, then 1 x is invertible.
- 2. If ||x|| < 1, then  $||(\mathbf{1} x)^{-1}|| \le \frac{1}{1 ||x||}$ .

Proof. Let

$$z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n.$$

(It converges because  $||x^n|| \le ||x||^n$ .) Then

$$(1-x)z = (1-x)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n\right)$$

$$= (1-x)\lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N} x^n$$

$$= \lim_{N\to\infty} \left((1-x)\sum_{n=0}^{N} x^n\right)$$

$$= \lim_{N\to\infty} (1-x^{N+1})$$

$$= 1$$

So z is a right inverse; it's also a left inverse. Then

$$\|(\mathbf{1} - x)^{-1}\| = \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \right\| \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|x\|^n = \frac{1}{1 - \|x\|}.$$

Let  $A^{-1}$  be the *group* of invertible elements of A.

**Theorem 5.1.**  $A^{-1}$  is an open set, and  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$  is a continuous map.

*Proof.* If x is invertible, then  $x+h=x(\mathbf{1}+x^{-1}h)$ , so by the previous lemma, x+h will be invertible if  $||x^{-1}h|| < 1$ . So, if  $||h|| < \frac{1}{||x^{-1}||}$ , then  $||x^{-1}h|| < 1$ , and x+h is invertible implies  $A^{-1}$  is open. For continuity, use estimate on  $||(\mathbf{1}-x)^{-1}||$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** For any x,  $\sigma(x)$  is a compact set and  $\sigma(x) \subseteq \{\lambda : |\lambda| \le ||x||\}$ .

*Proof.* We first show  $\sigma(x)$  is closed. If  $\lambda \notin \sigma(x)$ , then  $x - \lambda_0$  is invertible. If  $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \delta$ , then  $||(x - \lambda) - (x - \lambda_0)|| = |\lambda - \lambda_0| < \delta$ . Since  $A^{-1}$  is open, this means that for  $\delta$  sufficiently small,  $\lambda$  will be in the "resolvent"  $(\mathbb{C}\backslash \sigma(x))$  as well, which implies that the resolvent is open.

Next, we show that  $\sigma(x)$  is bounded by ||x||, that is, any  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| > ||x||$  is not in  $\sigma(x)$ . If  $|\lambda| > ||x||$ , then  $x - \lambda = \lambda(\frac{x}{\lambda} - 1)$ . Since  $\left\|\frac{x}{\lambda}\right\| = \frac{1}{\lambda}||x|| < 1$ , we know that  $x - \lambda$  is invertible, that is,  $\lambda \notin \sigma(x)$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 5.3.**  $\sigma(x)$  is non-empty.

*Proof.* Basic idea: if  $\sigma(x) = \emptyset$ , then  $x - \lambda$  is invertible for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . We want to show that this doesn't make sense. First approach: use complex analysis for functions from  $\mathbb{C} \to A$ , but we need to show that stuff from complex analysis sensibly extends to such functions.

Second approach: look at  $f((x-\lambda)^{-1})$  for bounded linear functionals f, and use functional analysis. We'll go with this. Fix x, and suppose for a contradiction that  $\sigma(x) = \emptyset$ . Claim: for any bounded linear functional f on A,  $f((x-\lambda)^{-1})$  is a bounded, entire function which tends to 0.

*Proof of claim.* We have, for a fixed  $\lambda_0$ ,

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_0} \frac{(x - \lambda)^{-1} - (x - \lambda_0)^{-1}}{\lambda - \lambda_0} = \lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_0} \frac{(x - \lambda)^{-1} ((x - \lambda) - (x - \lambda_0))(x - \lambda_0)^{-1}}{\lambda - \lambda_0}$$
$$= \lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_0} (x - \lambda)^{-1} (x - \lambda_0)^{-1}$$
$$= (x - \lambda_0)^{-2}.$$

Thus  $f((x-\lambda)^{-1})$  is analytic for all f (exercise).

Similarly, if  $\lambda \neq 0$ 

$$\|(x-\lambda)^{-1}\| = \left\|\lambda^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}-1\right)^{-1}\right\| \le \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \frac{1}{1-\frac{\|x\|}{|\lambda|}} \to 0$$

as  $\lambda \to \infty$ .

But this means that  $(x-\lambda)^{-1}$  is 0 (Hahn-Banach) for all  $\lambda$  which is absurd.

**Definition 5.2.** The spectral radius is  $r(x) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(x)\}$ .

Note  $r(x) \leq ||x||$ .

Theorem 5.4.

$$r(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||x^n||^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

# Lecture 6

MIA — see Ben's stuff (or use that anyway if you want something more orderly ©)

# Lecture 7

Proof of Theorem 5.4. (Sketch.)

We show that  $r(x) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} ||x^n||^{\frac{1}{n}}$ , and  $r(x) \geq \limsup_{n \to \infty} ||x^n||^{\frac{1}{n}}$ .

 $(r(x) \leq \liminf)$ .

If  $\lambda \in \sigma(x)$ , then  $\lambda^n \in \sigma(x^n)$  (see the Spectral Mapping Theorem). Then

$$|\lambda^n| \le ||x^n||$$
, and  $|\lambda| \le ||x^n||^{\frac{1}{n}}$ .

So  $\sigma(x)$  is bounded in absolute value by  $||x^n||^{\frac{1}{n}}$  for every n, which implies that  $r(x) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} ||x^n||^{\frac{1}{n}}$ .  $(r(x) \geq \limsup)$ .

It suffices to show that for any  $\lambda > r(x)$ ,  $\lambda \ge \limsup_{n \to \infty} ||x^n||^{\frac{1}{n}}$ , so suppose  $\lambda > r(x)$ .

Claim:  $\left\{\frac{x^n}{\lambda^n}\right\}$  is bounded in norm.

Assume the claim is true. Then

$$\left\| \frac{x^n}{\lambda^n} \right\| = \frac{\|x^n\|}{|\lambda|^n} < M \quad \forall n.$$

Then  $||x^n|| < |\lambda|^n M$ , so  $||x^n||^{\frac{1}{n}} < |\lambda| M^{\frac{1}{n}}$ . lim sup everything to get

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} < |\lambda| \limsup_{n \to \infty} M^{\frac{1}{n}} = |\lambda|.$$

*Proof of claim.* It suffices to show that  $f(x^n/\lambda^n)$  is bounded for every bounded linear functional f. If  $f(x^n/\lambda^n)$  is bounded for each  $f \in A^*$ , that means  $\{x^n/\lambda^n\}$  is bounded pointwise as elements of  $A^{**}$ .

Take  $f \in A^*$ . Look at the function  $f[(1-zx)^{-1}]$ . Assume  $r(x) \neq 0$ . The domain is

$$\{0\} \cup \left\{z : \frac{1}{z} \not\in \sigma(x)\right\},$$

or  $|z|<\frac{1}{r(x)}$ . On the disk  $|z|<\frac{1}{\|x\|}$ , we can take a power series for  $(1-zx)^{-1}$  to get

$$f((1-zx)^{-1}) = 1 + zf(x) + z^2f(x^2) + \dots$$

On the larger disk  $|z| < \frac{1}{r(x)}$ ,  $f((1-zx)^{-1})$  should still be analytic, so  $f((1-zx)^{-1}) = \sum f(x^n)z^n$ . In particular,  $f(x^n)z^n$  is bounded when  $|z| < \frac{1}{r(x)}$ .

#### **Ideals**

**Definition 7.1.** An ideal in a Banach algebra A is a subspace  $I \subseteq A$ , such that  $xi, ix \in I$  for all  $x \in A$ ,  $i \in I$ .

Given an ideal I in an algebra A, we can take the quotient A/I. Aside: If  $B_0 \subseteq B$  is a closed subspace of a Banach space, then  $B/B_0$  is a Banach space with  $||[b]|| = \inf\{||b+b_0|| : b_0 \in B_0\}$ . We would have seen this in functional analysis last semester.

So for a Banach algebra A, if  $I \subseteq A$  is a closed ideal, then A/I is a Banach space and an algebra. But is it... a BANACH ALGEBRA?

Check: is it true that  $||[x][y]|| \le ||[x]|| ||[y]||$  for all x, y?

$$\begin{split} \|[x][y]\| &= \|[xy]\| \\ &= \inf_{i \in I} \|xy + i\| \\ &\leq \|xy + \underbrace{i_1 y + i_2 x + i_1 i_2}_{\in I} \| \quad \text{for any } i_1, i_2 \in I \\ &= \|(x + i_1)(y + i_2)\| \\ &\leq \|x + i_1\| \|y + i_2\|. \end{split}$$

This shows that  $||[x][y]|| \le \inf_{i_1,i_2} ||x + i_1|| ||y + i_2|| = ||[x]|| ||[y]||$ .

# Lecture 8

True facts about ideals.

**Theorem 8.1.** Let I be a closed ideal in a Banach algebra A. Then

- 1. A/I is a Banach algebra.
- 2. If  $T: A \to B$  is a bounded homomorphism then ker(T) is a closed ideal, and there is a unique  $\dot{T}: A/ker(T) \to B$  such that  $A \xrightarrow{T} B$  is equal to  $A \to A/ker(T) \xrightarrow{\dot{T}} B$ . Moreover,  $||\dot{T}|| = ||T||$ .

**Definition 8.1.** An ideal  $I \subseteq A$  is called proper if  $I \neq A$ . It is maximal if I is proper and there is no ideal lying strictly between I and A.

**Theorem 8.2.** Let  $I \subseteq A$  be a proper ideal in a unital Banach algebra. Then  $\overline{I}$  is a proper ideal.

*Proof.* Note that I does not contain any invertible elements, since  $I \neq A$ . Then for any  $x \in I$ , by Lemma 5.1, ||1 - x|| > 1. But then  $1 \notin \overline{I}$ .

**Theorem 8.3.** Let A be a unital Banach algebra. Then every proper ideal is contained in a maximal ideal and every maximal ideal is closed.

Proof. If I is a maximal ideal, it is proper, so  $\overline{I}$  is also a proper ideal. But  $I \subseteq \overline{I} \subset A$  implies  $I = \overline{I}$ , since I is maximal. This implies that I is closed. For the first part, let I be a proper ideal of A. Let  $X = \{J : J \text{ is a proper ideal containing } I\}$  with the partial ordering of inclusion. Any chain has an upper bound; namely, the union, which is a proper ideal (see proof of Theorem 8.2 — 1 is still too far away!). So by Zorn's lemma, X has a maximal element, which must be a maximal ideal.

**Definition 8.2.** Let A be a unital, commutative Banach algebra. The Gelfand spectrum  $\operatorname{sp}(A)$  is the set of non-zero homomorphisms from A to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

#### Theorem 8.4.

- 1. Every element in sp(A) is continuous with norm 1.
- 2. sp(A) is in bijective correspondence with the set of maximal ideals of A.

Proof.

1.

2. Given  $\omega \in \operatorname{sp}(A)$ ,  $\ker(\omega)$  is an ideal of codimension 1, so it is maximal (call this ideal  $I_{\omega}$ ). Conversely, starting with an ideal I of codimension (the dimension of A/I) 1, we can write  $A \to A/I \cong \mathbb{C}$  to get an element of  $\operatorname{sp}(A)$  (call this  $\omega_I$ ). (Here we have used the true fact that in a commutative algebra, every maximal ideal has codimension 1. We'll explain this later.)

Claim:  $\omega_{I_{\omega}} = \omega$  and  $I_{\omega_I} = I$ .

*Proof.* 
$$I_{\omega_I} = \ker(\omega_I) = I$$
, and  $\omega_{I_{\omega}} = \omega_{\ker(\omega)} = (A \to A/\ker(\omega) \to \mathbb{C}) = \omega$ , by uniqueness of the map from  $A/\ker(\omega) \to \mathbb{C}$ .

It remains to show that the ideals of codimension 1 are exactly the maximal ideals. Suppose  $I \subset A$  has codimension 1. Suppose  $x \notin I$ . Then  $[x] \neq 0$  in A/I, so we can write  $[x] = \lambda[\mathbf{1}]$  for some  $\lambda \neq 0$ , so  $x = \lambda \mathbf{1} + I$ . But then the ideal generated by I and x contains  $\lambda \mathbf{1} + I$ , so it contains  $\lambda \mathbf{1}$  and hence  $\mathbf{1}$ . Conversely, let  $I \subset A$  be a proper ideal, and suppose A/I has dimension greater than 1. Choose  $x \in A \setminus I$  such that [x] is not invertible in A/I (Theorem 9.1). Consider the ideal J = I + Ax (that it is an ideal depends on commutativity). Then J is a proper ideal —  $\mathbf{1}$  cannot be in J, because if it were, then  $\mathbf{1} = i + ax$  for some  $i \in I$  and  $a \in A$ . But then  $[a][x] = [x][a] = [\mathbf{1}]$  — but we took x so that [x] was not invertible in A/I. So I is not maximal.

# Lecture 9

**Theorem 9.1.** Every Banach division algebra (unital algebra where every non-zero element is invertible) is 1-dimensional.

*Proof.* Let A be a unital Banach division algebra, and let  $x \in A$ . Suppose  $\lambda \in \sigma(x)$ . Then  $x - \lambda$  is not invertible, so  $x - \lambda = 0$ , which means  $x = \lambda \mathbf{1}$ .

**Proposition 9.1.** Every 1-dimensional unital Banach algebra is isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ , and this isomorphism is unique.

*Proof.* Can construct the obvious isomorphism, just have to check it actually is an isomorphism. For uniqueness, let A be a 1-dimensional unital Banach algebra, and let  $\phi: A \to \mathbb{C}$  be an isomorphism of complex algebras. Then  $\phi(\mathbf{1}) = \phi(\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{1}) = \phi(\mathbf{1}) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{1})$ , so  $\phi(\mathbf{1}) = 0$  or  $\phi(\mathbf{1}) = 1$ . If  $\phi(\mathbf{1}) = 0$ , then  $\phi$  is the zero homomorphism, so it's not an isomorphism — this means that  $\phi(\mathbf{1}) = 1$ .

**Proposition 9.2.** Let A be a unital Banach algebra, and let  $I \subset A$  be a proper ideal. Then A/I is a unital Banach algebra (including  $||\mathbf{1}|| = 1$ ).

*Proof.* Assume we already know A/I is a Banach algebra (see Lecture 7). The element [1] is a unit for A/I. We need to show that  $||[1]||_{A/I} = 1$ . We have

$$\|[\mathbf{1}]\|_{A/I} = \inf_{i \in I} \|\mathbf{1} + i\|$$
  
 $\leq \|\mathbf{1} + 0\|$   
 $= 1$ 

For the other inequality, we want to show that for every  $i \in I$ ,  $||\mathbf{1} + i|| \ge 1$ . But if ||1 + i|| < 1 for any  $i \in I$ , then i is invertible (Lemma 5.1), contradicting the fact that I is a proper ideal.

# Lecture 10

**Proposition 10.1.** sp(A) is non-empty.

*Proof.* Since  $\{0\}$  is a proper ideal of A, it is contained in a maximal ideal, which is enough by Theorem 8.4 (2).

**Theorem 10.1.** For all  $\omega \in sp(A)$ ,  $\|\omega\| = \omega(1) = 1$ .

Proof. (Sketch.)

For  $A \stackrel{\omega}{\to} \mathbb{C}$ , consider  $A \stackrel{\pi}{\to} A/I \stackrel{\dot{\omega}}{\to} \mathbb{C}$ . Use the true fact:  $\|\omega\| = \|\dot{\omega}\|$  and the fact that a non-zero homomorphism between one-dimensional algebras is pretty much the identity.

Let B be a Banach space and  $B^*$  be the Banach space of bounded linear functionals on B. There's an isometry  $B \to B^{**}$  — if  $x \in B$ ,  $\rho \in B^*$ , define  $\hat{x}(\rho) = \rho(x)$ .

**Definition 10.1.** The weak topology on B is the coarsest topology which makes every  $\rho \in B^*$  continuous. The weak-\* topology on  $B^*$  is the topology on  $B^*$  which makes every  $\rho \in B \subseteq B^**$  continuous.

Theorem 10.2. (Banach-Alaoglu Theorem.)

The unit ball of  $B^*$  is compact in the weak-\* topology.

**Theorem 10.3.** Suppose A is a unital, commutative Banach algebra. Then sp(A) is a compact Hausdorff space in the weak-\* topology.

Proof. (Sketch.)

We know that  $\operatorname{sp}(A)$  is a subset of the unit ball of  $A^*$ , so by Banach-Alaoglu, we just need to show that  $\operatorname{sp}(A)$  is weak-\*closed (exercise).

**Definition 10.2.** The Gelfand transform from A to  $C(\operatorname{sp}(A))$  is defined by  $x \mapsto \hat{x} \in C(\operatorname{sp}(A))$ , where  $\hat{x}(\omega) = \omega(x)$ .

(Note that  $\hat{x}$  is continuous by definition of the weak-\*topology.)

#### Theorem 10.4.

- 1. The Gelfand transform is a continuous unital homomorphism from A to C(sp(A)).
- 2. For any  $x \in A$ ,  $\sigma(x) = \hat{x}(sp(A))$ .

*Proof.* True facts about Gelfand stuff:

- It's a homomorphism (need to show  $\hat{x} \cdot \hat{y} = \widehat{xy}$  and  $\hat{x} + \hat{y} = \widehat{x+y}$ ). Indeed, we have  $\hat{x}\hat{y}(\omega) = \omega(x)\omega(y) = \omega(xy) = \widehat{xy}(w)$ .
- Unital. 1 is the constant function  $1 \in C(\operatorname{sp}(A))$ . For any  $\omega \in \operatorname{sp}(A)$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{1}}(\omega) = \omega(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$ , so  $\hat{\mathbf{1}}$  is the constant function 1.
- $\|\hat{x}\| = \sup_{\omega \in \operatorname{sp}(A)} \|\hat{x}(\omega)\| = \sup_{\omega \in \operatorname{sp}(A)} \|\omega(x)\| \le 1 \|x\|.$
- Claim:  $x \in A$  is invertible iff  $\hat{x}$  is nowhere vanishing.

Proof of claim. If x is invertible then  $\hat{x} \cdot \hat{x}^{-1} = \widehat{xx^{-1}} = \hat{\mathbf{1}} = \mathbf{1}$ , so  $\hat{x}$  is invertible as well, which means that  $\hat{x}$  is nowhere vanishing. If  $\hat{x}$  is nowhere vanishing then  $\hat{x}(\omega) \neq 0$  for all  $\omega \in \operatorname{sp}(A)$ . Therefore, x is not contained in any maximal ideal. Then x must be invertible (because otherwise xA would be a proper ideal).

From the claim:

$$\begin{split} \sigma(x) &= \{\lambda : x - \lambda \text{ is not invertible}\} \\ &= \{\lambda : \widehat{x - \lambda} \text{ is somewhere vanishing}\} \\ &= \{\lambda : \widehat{x} \text{ is somewhere equal to } \lambda\} \\ &= \{\lambda : \widehat{x} \text{ takes the value } \lambda \text{ for some } \omega \in \operatorname{sp}(A)\}. \end{split}$$

# Lecture 11

**Example 11.1.** Let A = C(X), the continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space, e.g. with X = [0, 1].

Let  $Y \subseteq X$ . Then the set of functions which vanish on Y is an ideal, say,  $I_Y$ . If  $Y_1 \subseteq Y_2$ , then  $I_{Y_2} \subseteq I_{Y_1}$ . The largest such possible ideal is  $I_{\{x\}}$  for some  $x \in X$ . Now,  $I_{\{x\}}$  is maximal — can see it constructively, or because  $I_{\{x\}}$  is the kernel of the homomorphism  $\omega_x : f \mapsto f(x)$  (since C(X),  $\mathbb{C}$  are commutative,  $\mathbb{C}$  is a field and  $\omega_x$  is clearly surjective).

**Theorem 11.1.** Every maximal ideal of C(X) is of the form  $I_{\{x\}}$  for some  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\omega \in \operatorname{sp}(A)$ . Suppose  $\omega \neq \omega_x$  for all x. Then

$$\bigcap_{f \in A} \{x \in X : \omega(f) = f(x)\} = \varnothing.$$

Then by compactness, there exist a finite number of functions  $\{f_k\}$  such that  $\bigcap_f \{x \in X : \omega(f) = f(x)\} = \emptyset$ . So, we have a finite set of functions  $\{f_k\}$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\omega(f_k) \neq f_k(x)$  for at least one k. Let  $g_k = f_k - \omega(f_k)$  for each k. Then  $\omega(g_k) = \omega(f_k) - \omega(f_k) = 0$  for all k, and for each k there is some k such that  $g_k(x) \neq 0$ . Let  $g = \sum_k g_k \overline{g_k}$ . Then  $\omega(g) = 0$ , and for each k,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so k is an invertible element of k which is in k in k such that k such that

**Theorem 11.2.** Let A = C(X), where X is a compact Hausdorff space. For each  $x \in X$ , let  $\omega_x : C(X) \to \mathbb{C}$  be the homomorphism sending  $f \mapsto f(x)$ . Then  $x \mapsto \omega_x$  is a homeomorphism from X to sp(A). When X and sp(A) are identified via this homeomorphism, the Gelfand transform is the identity map.

Bits of proof. The map  $x \mapsto \omega_x$  is injective since C(X) separates points, and is surjective by the previous compactness argument. Since  $X, \operatorname{sp}(C(X))$  are compact, it suffices to show continuity in one direction. **True fact:** continuous bijection from a compact space to a Hausdorff space is a homeomorphism<sup>1</sup>. Suppose  $x_n \to x$  is a convergent net in X. Then for every  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $f(x_n) \to f(x)$ , so  $\omega_{x_n}(f) \to \omega_x(f)$  and  $\hat{f}(\omega_{x_n}) \to \hat{f}(\omega_x)$ . Therefore,  $\omega(x_n) \to \omega_x$  in the weak-\*topology.

# Lecture 12

Let's back up a bit and investigate some true facts about general topological junk (which may explain the end of that last proof). Let X be a set, Y a topological space, and a family of functions  $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$ . The weak topology of  $\{f_i\}$  on X is the coarsest topology that makes all the  $f_i$  continuous.

#### Example 12.1.

- If B is a Banach space, and X = B, Y = C,  $\{f_i\} = B^*$ , then we get the weak topology on B.
- If  $X = B^*$ ,  $Y = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\{f_i\} = B \subseteq B^{**}$ , then we get the weak-\*topology.

**True fact:** If for some set  $\{x_n\}$  and a point x,  $f_i(x_n) \to f_i(x)$  for all i, then  $x_n \to x$  in the weak topology.

For X a compact Hausdorff space,  $X \cong \operatorname{sp}(C(X))$  via  $x \mapsto \omega_x$ . For  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $\hat{f}(\omega_x) = \omega_x(f) = f(x)$ . This proves that the Gelfand transform is quite boring in some sense. : (

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>http://www.proofwiki.org/wiki/Continuous\_Bijection\_from\_Compact\_to\_Hausdorff\_is\_Homeomorphism

# Lecture 13

**Example 13.1.** Let X, Y be compact Hausdorff spaces. Show that if C(X) is isometrically isomorphic to C(Y), then X is homeomorphic to Y.

Proof. 
$$X \cong \operatorname{sp}(C(X)) \cong \operatorname{sp}(C(Y)) \cong Y$$
.

**Example 13.2.** Let  $A = \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  with convolution,  $(a * b)_i = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j b_{i-j}$ . Let  $e_i \in A$  be the element in A with 1 in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  position, and 0 elsewhere. Then

$$(e_i * e_j)_k = \sum_{ell} (e_i)_\ell (e_j)_{k-\ell} = \delta_k^{i+j},$$

so that  $e_i * e_j = e_{i+j}$ . True fact: A is commutative and unital  $(e_0 \text{ acts as identity})$ .

What is the Gelfand spectrum? Every homomorphism to  $\mathbb{C}$  is determined by what it does to  $e_1$ , since  $\omega(e_n) = (\omega(e_1))^n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So the question becomes: for which  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  does  $\omega(e_1) = \lambda$  extend to a non-zero homomorphism on A? We know from Theorem 8.4 (1) that  $\|\omega\| = 1$ , so  $|\lambda| \leq \|\omega\| \|e_1\| = 1$ . But we also have  $|\lambda^{-1}| \leq \|\omega\| \|e_{-1}\| = 1$ , which implies that  $|\lambda| = 1$ . For any  $|\lambda| = 1$ ,  $(a_i) \mapsto \sum_i a_i \lambda^i$  is a homomorphism (check). It's an absolutely convergent sequence since  $|\lambda| = 1$  and  $\sum_i |a_i| < \infty$ . Conclusion: Gelfand spectrum is homeomorphic to the circle  $(\omega \in \operatorname{sp}(A) \mapsto \omega(e_1))$  is a continuous bijection).

What is the Gelfand transform? Denote the circle from the previous part by  $\mathbb{T}$ . We have  $A \to C(\operatorname{sp}(A)) = C(\mathbb{T})$  with  $(a_i) \mapsto (\hat{a}_i)$ , with

$$(\hat{a}_i)(\omega_{\lambda}) = \omega_{\lambda}((a_i)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i \lambda^i.$$

In other words, a sequence  $(a_i) \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  maps to the function  $\sum_i a_i z^i \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{T})$ .

Now,  $\sum_i a_i z^i = 0$  implies  $a_i = 0$  for all i, so the only function in the kernel of the Gelfand transform is 0, and hence it is injective. But it's not surjective — not every continuous function can be written as  $\sum_i a_i z^i$  with  $\sum_i |a_i| < \infty$ .

**Definition 13.1.** The Weiner algebra is the subalgebra of  $C(\mathbb{T})$  of functions of the form  $\sum_i a_i z^i$  with  $\sum_i |a_i| < \infty$ .

Theorem 13.1. (Weiner's Theorem.)

Let f be a nowhere vanishing function in the Weiner algebra. Then  $\frac{1}{f}$  is in the Weiner algebra as well.

Proof. Recall that an element in a unital commutative Banach algebra is invertible if its Gelfand transform is non-vanishing  $(\sigma(x) = \text{Range}(\hat{x}))$ . If f is in the Weiner algebra, then  $f = (\hat{a}_i)$  for some  $(a_i) \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ . If f is non-vanishing, then  $(a_i)$  is invertible. Then  $(a_i)(a_i)^{-1} = \mathbf{1}$ , and  $(\hat{a}_i)(a_i)^{-1} = \hat{\mathbf{1}}$ . Thus  $f \cdot (a_i)^{-1} = 1$ , so f is invertible in the Weiner algebra.

# Lecture 14

Aside: true facts about Fourier series.  $C(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{T})$ . For  $f \leftrightarrow \sum_i a_i z^i$  (where  $a_i = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(z) z^{-1} dz$ ). Convergence to f?

- in  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$  automatic
- a.e. true
- pointwise false in general
- uniformly false, but true if f is continuous and piecewise smooth
- absolutely can be false even for piecewise smooth (only maybe a true fact)

**Example 14.1.** Let  $A = C(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$  where  $\zeta(z) = z$ , and let B be the Banach subalgebra of A generated by  $\mathbf{1}$  and  $\zeta$ . What are  $\sigma_A(\zeta)$  and  $\sigma_B(\zeta)$ ?  $\sigma_A(\zeta) = \hat{\zeta}(\operatorname{sp}(A)) = \zeta(\mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{T}$ . Also,  $\sigma_B(\zeta) = \hat{\zeta}(\operatorname{sp}(B))$ , but what is  $\operatorname{sp}(B)$ ?

- Any  $\omega \in \operatorname{sp}(B)$  is determined by  $\omega(\zeta)$  (for a polynomial  $p(z), \omega(p(z)) = p(\omega(\zeta))$ ).
- $|\omega(\zeta)| \le ||w|| ||\zeta|| = 1 \cdot 1 = 1.$
- For  $|\lambda| < 1$ , define  $\omega_{\lambda}(p(z)) = p(\lambda)$ . Then

$$|\omega_{\lambda}(p(z))| = |p(\lambda)| \le \sup_{|z| \le 1i} |p(z)| \le \sup_{z \in \mathbb{T}} |p(z)| = ||p(z)||,$$

by the maximum modulus principle.

- Since  $\omega_{\lambda}$  is a bounded homomorphism on polynomials, it can be extended to a homomorphism on B.
- $\operatorname{sp}(B) \cong \mathbb{D}$ , the closed unit disk.  $\hat{\zeta} : \omega_{\lambda} \mapsto \lambda$ .
- $\widehat{p(z)}(\omega_{\lambda}) = \omega_{\lambda}(p(z)) = p(\lambda).$

So 
$$\sigma_B(\zeta) = \hat{\zeta}(\operatorname{sp}(B)) = \hat{\zeta}(\mathbb{D}) = \mathbb{D}.$$

# Lecture 15

Continuing on from last time. (Recall  $A=C(\mathbb{T}),\ B=\overline{\{p(z)\}}\subseteq A$ . We have an embedding  $A=C(\mathbb{T})\subseteq L^\infty(\mathbb{T})\subseteq B(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$ . Take a basis  $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}z^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  for  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ , and let  $H^2(\mathbb{Z})=\mathrm{span}\{z^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset L^2(\mathbb{T})$ . Then  $B\subseteq B(H^2(\mathbb{Z}))$ . How does  $\zeta$  act?  $M_\zeta$  on  $H^2(\mathbb{Z})$  is unitarily equivalent to the rightward shift in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ .

So we have  $B \subset C(\mathbb{T})$  and  $B \subset B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ , but you get weird junk happening, like  $\sigma_B(\zeta) = \mathbb{D} = \sigma_{B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))}(\zeta)$ , but  $\sigma_{C(\mathbb{T})}(\zeta) = \mathbb{T}$ . So it's pretty hard to tell what will happen when you move to a larger algebra;  $C^*$  algebras will make things nicer.

What are the maximal ideals of  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ ? Three questions:

- What are the codimension 1 ideals/complex homomorphisms?
- What are the closed maximal ideals?
- What are all the maximal ideals?

We've seen that these are pretty much the same in a unital algebra.

**Exercise:** Let  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  with convolution  $(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y)g(x-y) \ dy$ . Should check that:

- It's well defined almost everywhere.
- $||f * g||_1 \le ||f||_1 ||g||_1$ .
- It's commutative.
- It's non-unital.

What are the complex homomorphisms? For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\omega_t : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{C}$  be given by  $\omega_t(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-itx} dx$ ,  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\omega_t$  is a homomorphism, and all homomorphisms to  $\mathbb{C}$  are of this form.

What about Gelfand theory? Let  $A^+ = L^1(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{C}\delta$ , where  $\delta$  is a formal unit. Then  $\operatorname{sp}(A^+) = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ ; also,  $\omega_{\infty}(\delta) = 1$  and  $\omega_{\infty}(f) = 0$ .

Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq A^+$ . What is  $\hat{f}$ ? It's pretty much a Fourier transform;  $\hat{f}(\omega_t) = \omega_t(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-itx} dx$ .

#### Spectral Permanence

If  $\mathbf{1}_A \in B \subseteq A$ , then  $\sigma_A(x) \subseteq \sigma_B(x)$  for all  $x \in B$ . On the other hand  $\sigma_b(x)$  could be bigger. Recall that the boundary  $\partial S$  of a subset  $S \subset X$ , where X is a topological space, is  $\overline{S} \cap \overline{X \setminus S}$ .

**Theorem 15.1.** Let  $\mathbf{1}_A \in B \subseteq A$ , where A, B are Banach algebras,  $x \in B$ . Then  $\partial \sigma_B(x) \subseteq \sigma_A(x)$ .

*Proof.* Want to show  $\lambda \in \partial \sigma_B(x) \implies \lambda \in \sigma_A(x)$ . Suppose  $\lambda \in \partial \sigma_B(x)$ , that is,  $\lambda \in \overline{\sigma_B(x)} \cap \overline{\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_B(x)}$ . So  $\lambda \in \sigma_B(x)$  and there exist some  $\lambda_n \notin \sigma_B(x)$  such that  $\lambda_n \to \lambda$ . So  $x - \lambda$  is no invertible in B, but the  $x - \lambda_n$  are invertible in B.

Now suppose for a contradiction that  $x - \lambda \in A^{-1}$  (that is, it's invertible in A). Then since  $\lambda_n \to \lambda$ , we have  $x - \lambda_n \to x - \lambda$ . But then  $(x - \lambda_n)^{-1} \to (x - \lambda)^{-1}$  (taking inverses is a continuous map). Since  $(x - \lambda_n)^{-1} \in B$ , this implies  $x - \lambda \in B$  as well, which is a contradiction.

# Lecture 16

 $\mathbb{C}\setminus\sigma_A(x)$  is an open set, can decompose into countably many connected components, where one component is unbounded, and the rest are bounded (we call these "holes"). Here's a corollary of Theorem 15.1:

**Corollary.** Let Y be a hole of  $\sigma_A(x)$ . Then either  $Y \subseteq \sigma_B(x)$ , or  $Y \cap \sigma_B(x) = \emptyset$ .

That is, every hole gets completely filled or not touched at all.

Proof. (Sketch.)

Suppose  $Y \cap \sigma_B(x) \neq \emptyset$ , but  $Y \cap \sigma_B(x) \neq Y$ . Then the boundary of  $Y \cap \sigma_B(x)$  in Y is nonempty (otherwise  $Y \cap \sigma_B(x)$  and  $(\mathbb{C} \setminus Y) \cap \sigma_B(x)$  would be a decomposition of Y into closed and open subsets, contradicting connectedness of Y). Then one (a smart "one") can get a boundary point in  $\sigma_B(x)$  which is not in  $\sigma_A(x)$ .

So with the example  $B = \overline{\{p(x)\}} \subset C(\mathbb{T}) = A$  before,  $\sigma_A(\zeta) = \mathbb{T}$ . If C is any subalgebra of A, then  $\sigma_C(\zeta)$  is either  $\mathbb{T}$  or  $\mathbb{D}$ .

### **Analytic Functional Calculus**

If  $x \in A$ , where A is a unital Banach algebra, we can form p(x) for any polynomial and some power series, e.g.  $\sum_{n} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}$ . Recall Cauchy's integral formula,

$$f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z - a} dz,$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a simple closed curve, and a is in the interior of  $\Gamma$ , and f is analytic on the interior of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a simple closed curve contained in  $\mathbb{C}\backslash\sigma(x)$ . Define

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(z)(z-x)^{-1} dz.$$

- Integrals of functions from  $\mathbb{C}$  to A can be defined using Riemann sums.
- Makes sense as long as  $z \notin \sigma(x)$ .

**Theorem 16.1.** If  $\sigma(x)$  is contained in the interior of  $\Gamma$ , then

- 1.  $f_{\Gamma}(x)$  doesn't depend on  $\Gamma$ .
- 2. f(x) only depends on values of f in some open set containing  $\sigma(x)$ .

We can define  $A(\sigma(x))$ , the algebra of locally analytic functions on  $\sigma(x)$ , as the algebra of all functions which are analytic on some open set containing  $\sigma(x)$  modulo the following equivalence:  $f \sim g$  if f and g are the same on some open set containing x.

**Theorem 16.2.** Fix x in a unital Banach algebra. The analytic functional calculus  $f \mapsto f(x)$  is a homomorphism from  $A(\sigma(x)) \to A$ . Moreover, for every power series  $f(z) = \sum a_i z^i$  which converges on a disk centered at 0 and containing  $\sigma(x)$ ,  $f(x) = \sum a_i x^i$ .

Fun things to do. Take  $\Omega$  to be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. Then  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , with pointwise operations and essential supremum norm is a unital commutative Banach algebra. If  $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , what is  $\sigma(f)$ ?

An easier question: when is f invertible? f is invertible if and only if there exists some  $g \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  such that fg = 1 almost everywhere, which is true if  $g = \frac{1}{f}$  is defined almost everywhere and bounded, or equivalently, there exists some  $\varepsilon$  such that  $|f| > \varepsilon$  almost everywhere.

So what is  $\sigma(f)$ ? We have  $\lambda \in \sigma(f)$  if and only if  $f - \lambda$  is not invertible, or, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\mu(\{x : |f(x) - \lambda| < \varepsilon\}) > 0$ . This set is called the essential range of range (points that the function gets arbitrarily close to on sets of positive measure).

# Lecture 17

Recall that a (complex) Hilbert space is a complex vector space H with a map  $\langle , \rangle : H \times H \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

- 1.  $\langle , \rangle$  is linear in the first component.
- 2.  $\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}$  for all  $x, y \in H$ .
- 3.  $x \mapsto \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$  is a complete norm.

Denote the space of bounded operators from  $H \to H$  by B(H). For any  $T \in B(H)$ , there exists some  $T^* \in B(H)$  such that  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in H$ . True facts about the adjoint (here let  $U \in B(H)$  as well):

- 1.  $T^{**} = T$ .
- 2.  $(\lambda T + U)^* = \bar{\lambda} T^* + U^*$  (where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ).
- 3.  $(TU)^* = U^*T^*$ .

**Definition 17.1.** An involution on a complex algebra A is a map from A to A satisfying (1)-(3) above.

**Lemma 17.1.** If  $T \in B(H)$ , then  $||T|| = ||T^*||$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\sup_{\|x\| \le 1} \|Ax\| = \|A\| = \sup_{\|x\|, \|y\| \le 1} |\langle Ax, y \rangle|.$$

(Take  $y = Ax/\|Ax\|$  if  $\|Ax\| \neq 0$  for  $\leq$ , Cauchy-Schwarz for  $\geq$ .) But

$$||A^*|| = \sup_{||x||, ||y|| \le 1} |\langle A^*x, y \rangle| = \sup_{||x||, ||y|| \le 1} |\langle Ay, x \rangle| = ||A||.$$

**Proposition 17.1.** *If*  $T \in B(H)$ , then  $||T^*T|| = ||T||^2$ .

*Proof.* First, we have

$$||T^*T|| \le ||T^*|| ||T|| = ||T||^2.$$

Also,

$$||T||^2 = \sup_{\|x\| \le 1} \langle Tx, Tx \rangle$$

$$= \sup_{\|x\| \le 1} \langle T^*Tx, x \rangle$$

$$\le \sup_{\|x\| \le 1} ||T^*Tx|| ||x||$$

$$\le ||T^*T||,$$

where we have used Cauchy-Schwarz.

**Definition 17.2.** A  $C^*$ -algebra is a Banach algebra with an involution \* such that  $||x^*x|| = ||x||^2$  for all x.

We say x is self-adjoint if  $x^* = x$ , normal if  $x^*x = xx^*$  and unitary if  $x^*x = 1 = xx^*$  (if we're in a unital algebra). If A, B are two algebras with involutions, can define an x-homomorphism  $\rho : A \to B$  as a homomorphism of algebras which preserves the involutions, that is,  $\rho(x^{*A}) = (\rho(x))^{*B}$ .

#### Example 17.1.

- 1.  $\mathbb{C}$  as an algebra over itself, where \* is complex conjugate.
- 2. B(H), where H is a Hilbert space and \* is the adjoint.

- 3.  $C_0(X)$ , where X is a locally compact Hausdorff space, where \* is pointwise conjugation.
- 4.  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is a measure space, again \* is pointwise conjugation.
- 5.  $C^*(T)$ , where  $T \in B(H)$  or  $T \in A$ , a  $C^*$ -algebra.
- 6.  $C^*(T,1)$ .

#### Example 17.2.

- 1. What is  $C^*(T)$ ,  $C^*(1,T)$ ? In general,  $C^*(\text{blah})$  is made of "words" in blah. If  $T = T^* = T^2$  (we call this a projection),  $T \neq 0, 1, T \in B(H)$ , then  $C^*(T) = \{\lambda T\}$ , that is, scalar multiples of T. Also,  $C^*(1,T) = \{\lambda T + \mu 1\} = \{\lambda T + \mu (1-T)\}$ . (The last one is sometimes helpful to use.)
- 2. Let T be the right shift on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ . What is  $C^*(T)$ ?Let  $\{e_i\}$  be the standard basis for  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ . Let  $f_{ij}$  be the operator that takes  $e_j$  to  $e_i$  and kills all other  $e_k$ . Then  $C^*(T) \supseteq \{f_{ij}\}$  (Exercise). Turns out to be the sum of certain continuous multiplications operators and compact operators of some sort; it's a bit weird. Maybe get to it later.
- 3. What is  $C^*(1,T)$  when  $T=M_{\{\frac{1}{n}\}}\in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ ? Show that  $C^*(1,T)=M_{(a_n)}$ , where  $(a_n)$  is a convergent sequence.

# Lecture 18

**Proposition 18.1.** If A is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $\mathbf{1}^* = \mathbf{1}$  and  $\|\mathbf{1}\| = 1$ .

*Proof.* We have  $\mathbf{1}^*x = (x^*\mathbf{1})^* = (x^*)^* = x = x\mathbf{1}^*$  for all  $x \in A$ , which implies that  $\mathbf{1}^* = \mathbf{1}$ . Then

$$\|\mathbf{1}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{1}^*\mathbf{1}\| = \|\mathbf{1}\|$$
 (by Proposition 17.1),

so  $\|\mathbf{1}\| \in \{0, 1\}$ , but since A is unital,  $\|\mathbf{1}\| \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 18.2.** If A is a  $C^*$ -algebra then  $||x^*|| = ||x||$ , and if x is normal, then  $||x^2|| = ||x||^2$ .

*Proof.* We have  $||x||^2 = ||x^*x|| \le ||x|| ||x^*||$ . This gives  $||x|| \le ||x^*||$ . Similarly, by considering  $||x^*||^2$ , we have  $||x^*|| \le ||x||$ , so  $||x|| = ||x^*||$ . If x is normal, then

$$||x^2||^2 = ||(x^2)^*x^2||$$
 by  $C^*$ -property
$$= ||(x^*x)(x^*x)||$$
 by normality
$$= ||x^*x||^2$$
 by  $C^*$ -property
$$= (||x||^2)^2$$
 by  $C^*$ -property.

**Proposition 18.3.** Any element in a  $C^*$ -algebra can be written uniquely as x = y + iz with  $y = y^*$  and  $z = z^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y = \frac{x+x^*}{2}$  and  $z = \frac{x-x^*}{2i} = -\frac{i}{2}(x-x^*)$  Then

$$y + iz = \frac{1}{2}(x + x^*) + i\left(-\frac{i}{2}(x - x^*)\right) = x.$$

# Lecture 19

**Theorem 19.1.** (Stone-Weierstrass Theorem.)

Suppose X is a compact Hausdorff space, and let  $A \subseteq C_{real}(X)$  be a (real) subalgebra which contains 1 and separates points: for every  $x, y \in X$ , there exists some  $f \in A$  such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . Then A is uniformly dense in  $C_{real}(X)$ .

**Example 19.1.** Any  $f \in C([a,b])$  can be uniformly approximated by polynomials.

**Theorem 19.2.** (Stone-Weierstrass, complex version.)

If  $A \subseteq C(X)$  is a \*-algebra containing 1 and separating points, then A is uniformly dense in C(X).

**Example 19.2.** In C([0,1]), (complex) polynomials are uniformly dense. On  $C(\mathbb{T})$ , is  $\{p(z)\}$  dense? No: it is not a \*-algebra. If we allow negtative powers it would be (since the conjugate is the inverse).

**Lemma 19.1.** Let A be a unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebra. The Gelfand transform from A to C(sp(A)) is an isometry onto a subalgebra of C(sp(A)) which contains  $\mathbf{1}$  and separates points.

*Proof.* "Contains 1 and separates points" always true: for any  $\omega \in \operatorname{sp}(A)$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{1}}(\omega) = \omega(\mathbf{1}) = 1$ , so  $\hat{\mathbf{1}} = 1 \in C(\operatorname{sp}(A))$ . For any distinct  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \operatorname{sp}(A)$ , there exists  $x \in A$  such that  $\omega_1(x) \neq \omega_2(x)$ . Then  $\hat{x}(\omega_1) = \omega_1(x) \neq \hat{x}(\omega_2)$ .

Isometry follows from  $||x||^2 = ||x^2||$  for normal x, since A is commutative.

What is missing for Gelfand transform to be surjective? If the image is a \*-algebra, then the image is dense in C(sp(A)) (S-W), so it is surjective (dense isometry).

**Definition 19.1.** The exponential map is given by

$$e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!},$$

for  $x \in A$ .

If xy = yx, then  $e^{x+y} = e^x e^y$ :

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x+y)^n}{n!} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{n!}\right).$$

Fix a commutative unital  $C^*$ -algebra A.

**Lemma 19.2.** The Gelfand transform is a \*-homomorphism from A to C(sp(A)), that is,  $\widehat{x^*} = \overline{\hat{x}}$ .

*Proof.* The statement is equivalent to  $\omega(x^*) = \overline{\omega(x)}$  for all  $\omega \in \operatorname{sp}(A)$ .

Assume x is self-adjoint, and let  $u_t = e^{itx} = \sum_n \frac{(itx)^n}{n!} = \sum_n \frac{(it)^n x^n}{n!}$ . Then

$$u_t^* = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-it)^n}{n!} x^n = u_{-t},$$

and  $u_t u_t^* = u_t^* u_t = e^{itx - itx} = \mathbf{1}$  (since itx and -itx commute). Then  $||u_t||^2 = ||u_t u_t^*|| = ||\mathbf{1}|| = 1$ , so  $||u_t|| = 1$  for all t.

Claim: For any  $\omega \in \operatorname{sp}(A)$ ,  $\omega(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Proof of claim. For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\omega(u_t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^n}{n!} \omega(x)^n = e^{it\omega(x)}.$$

So  $e^{itx\omega(x)} = |\omega(u_t)| \le 1$ . This implies that  $\omega(x)$  is real, since if it had an imaginary part, the t could be scaled to break that inequality.

So we have shown that  $\omega(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $x = x^*$  and  $\omega \in \operatorname{sp}(A)$ . This implies  $\omega(x^*) = \overline{\omega(x)}$  for all x: decompose x = y + iz where y, z are self-adjoint.

**Theorem 19.3.** Let A be a unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebra. The Gelfand transform is an isometric  $^*$ -isomorphism from A onto C(sp(A)).

*Proof.* We proved that it is an isometry onto a subalgebra of  $C(\operatorname{sp}(A))$  containing 1 and separating points. Since t is a \*-homomorphism, the image is a \*-algebra. By S-W, the image is dense in  $C(\operatorname{sp}(A))$ . But since it is an isometry, the image is complete. Therefore the image is all of  $C(\operatorname{sp}(A))$ .

**Theorem 19.4.** The category of unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebras (with continuous \*-homomorphisms) is equivalent to the category of compact Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps.

If  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ , then for any  $g \in C(Y)$ , we have  $C(X) \xleftarrow{f^*} C(Y)$ :  $f^*(g) = g \circ f$ . Similarly, for  $\phi : A \to B$  a continuous \*-homomorphism between unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebras, we can take  $sp(A) \xleftarrow{\phi^*} sp(B)$  with  $\phi^*(\omega) = \omega \circ \phi$ . Applying the \* in both these cases commutes with composition.

# Lecture 20

**Proposition 20.1.** Suppose V and W are Banach spaces and W is finite-dimensional. Then  $T:V\to W$  is continuous if and only if  $\ker(T)$  is closed.

Why? If  $\ker(T)$  is closed, then  $T: V/\ker(T) \to W$  is a linear map between two finite dimensional Banach spaces.

Exercise: If x is a normal element in a unital  $C^*$ -algebra A, then ||x|| = r(x) (the spectral radius).

Gelfand transform is an isometry from  $C^*(\mathbf{1}, x)$  to  $C(\operatorname{sp}(C^*(\mathbf{1}, x)))$ . So  $||x|| = \sigma_{C^*(\mathbf{1}, x)}(x)$ ; what about  $\sigma_A(x)$ ? See the next couple of theorems, everything is okay.

**Theorem 20.1.** Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra,  $x = x^* \in A$ . Then  $\sigma(x) = \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B = C^*(1, x) \subseteq A$ . Then  $\sigma_B(x)$  is real (since the Gelfand transform of a self-adjoint element is a real function.) So  $\sigma_A(x) \subseteq \sigma_B(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 20.2.** Let  $B \subseteq A$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras (where  $\mathbf{1}_A \in B$  — we call this kind of inclusion a unital inclusion). For any  $x \in B$ ,  $\sigma_B(x) = \sigma_A(x)$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that if  $x \in B$  is invertible in A, then  $x^{-1} \in B$ . Suppose  $x \in B$  is invertible in A. Then  $xx^*$  is also invertible in A. Why? We have  $xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x = \mathbf{1}$ , so  $(x^*)(x^{-1})^* = (x^{-1})^*(x^*) = \mathbf{1}^* = \mathbf{1}$ . Then  $(xx^*)(x^{-1})^*(x^{-1}) = \mathbf{1}$ , and similarly for the other way.

Then  $xx^*$  is invertible in B, since  $xx^*$  is self-adjoint, so  $\sigma(xx^*)$  is real and hence has no holes. (The spectrum can't get any bigger.)

It follows that x is invertible in B, since  $x\underbrace{x^*}_{\in B}\underbrace{(xx^*)^{-1}}_{\in B}=\mathbf{1}$  (multiplying both sides by  $x^{-1}$  on the

left shows that  $x^{-1} \in B$ ).

**Theorem 20.3.** Let T be a normal bounded operator on a Hilbert space. Then there is an isometric \*-isomorphism from  $C^*(\mathbf{1},T)$  to  $C(\sigma(T))$  which sends T to  $\zeta: z \mapsto z$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A = C^*(\mathbf{1}, T)$ . Consider  $\hat{T} : \operatorname{sp}(A) \to \mathbb{C}$ , sending  $\omega \mapsto \omega(T)$ . We claim that  $\hat{T}$  is a homeomorphism.

Proof of claim. First,  $\hat{T}$  is injective since  $\hat{T}(\omega_1) = \hat{T}(\omega_2) \iff \omega_1(T) = \omega_2(T) \iff \omega_1 = \omega_2$ . It is continuous by definition ( $\hat{x}$  is always continuous in the weak-\* topology). So  $\hat{T}$  is a continuous bijection from sp(A) to a subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ ; hence, it is a homeomorphism from sp(A) to  $\sigma(T)$ .

Then...

• Gelfand transform:

$$C^*(\mathbf{1},T) \leftrightarrow C(\operatorname{sp}(C^*(\mathbf{1},T))).$$

•  $\operatorname{sp}(C^*(\mathbf{1},T)) \leftrightarrow \sigma(T)$ .

Putting this together, we have an isometric \*-isomorphism from  $C^*(\mathbf{1},T) \to C(\sigma(T))$ ,  $\hat{T}(\omega_{\lambda}) = \lambda$ .

(First we go from 
$$C^*(\mathbf{1},T) \to C(\operatorname{sp}(C^*(\mathbf{1},T)))$$
 via  $T \mapsto \hat{T}$ , then to  $C(\sigma(T))$  via  $\hat{T} \mapsto \hat{T}'$ , where  $\hat{T}'(\lambda) = \hat{T}(\omega_{\lambda}) = \omega(T) = \lambda$ .)

Under the above map:  $T \leftrightarrow z$ ,  $T^2 \leftrightarrow z^2$  and  $T^* \leftrightarrow \bar{z}$ . Polynomials  $p(T, T^*) \leftrightarrow p(z, \bar{z})$ ...

# Lecture 21

Let  $(\Omega, \mu)$  be a measure space. Then  $L^2(\Omega)$  (complex) is a Hilbert space and for any  $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , we can define  $M_f \in B(L^2(\Omega))$  by

$$M_f(g) = f \cdot g \qquad \forall g \in L^2(\Omega).$$

True facts:

- 1.  $\sigma(M_f)$  is the essential range of  $f: \{\lambda : \mu(\{x : |f(x) \lambda| < \varepsilon\}) > 0 \ \forall \varepsilon > 0\}.$
- 2. If  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then  $f \mapsto M_f$  is an isometric \*-isomorphism from  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  to  $B(L^2(\Omega))$ .

**Definition 21.1.** A bounded operator T on a Hilbert space H is diagonalizable if it is unitarily equivalent to a multiplication operator, that is, if there exists a measure space  $\Omega, \mu$  and a unitary operator  $U: H \to L^2(\Omega)$  and a function  $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  such that  $T = U^*M_fU$ .

Theorem 21.1. (Spectral Theorem.)

Let T be a normal bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space. Then T is diagonalizable.

What about the converse? Also true. We can show  $(M_f)^* = M_{\bar{f}}$  and  $M_{f \cdot g} = M_f M_g$ , so  $M_f (M_f)^* = M_{f\bar{f}} = M_{\bar{f}f} = (M_f)^* M_f$ , and normality is preserved under unitary equivalence.

Sketch of Spectral Theorem. Recall the following construction:

$$C([0,1]) \subset L^{\infty}([0,1]) \subset B(L^{2}([0,1]))$$
  
 $f \mapsto M_{f}.$ 

We already have a way to think about T as a continuous function  $(\zeta : z \to z \text{ on } \sigma(T)); T \leftrightarrow \zeta \in C(\sigma(T))$ . Want to write

$$C(\sigma(T)) \subset L^{\infty}(\sigma(T)) \subset B(L^2(\sigma(T))).$$

Idea of proof: show that T is unitarily equivalent to  $M_{\zeta} \in B(L^2(\sigma(T)))$ . We need a measure on  $\sigma(T)$ . We use the following:

#### Theorem 21.2. (Riesz-Markov):

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let  $\rho$  be a linear functional on C(X) such that  $\rho(f) \geq 0$  whenever  $f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then there is a unique regular Borel measure  $\mu$  on X such that  $\rho(f) = \int f \ d\mu$  for all  $f \in C(X)$ .

Here the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all open sets, and a regular measure is one where  $\mu(Y) = \sup\{\mu(Z) : Z \subseteq Y, Z \text{ compact}\}\$  for all  $Y \subseteq X$ .

#### Lecture 22

**Definition 22.1.** Let S be a set of operators on a Hilbert space H. A vector  $\xi \in H$  is cyclic for S if  $\overline{S\xi} = H$ .

(So keep applying S and you get everything.)

**Lemma 22.1.** Let T be a normal bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space H, and suppose that H contains a cyclic vector for  $C^*(\mathbf{1},T)$ . Then there is a regular Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\sigma(T)$  such that T is unitarily equivalent to  $M_z \in B(L^2(\sigma(T),\mu))$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $\rho: C(\sigma(T)) \to \mathbb{C}$ , given by  $f \mapsto \langle f(T)\xi, \xi \rangle$ . (Use the identification  $C(\sigma(T)) \cong C^*(\mathbf{1}, T)$ .)

We claim that  $\rho$  is a positive linear functional. To see this:

$$\begin{split} \rho(af+g) &= \langle (af+g)(T)\xi, \xi \rangle \\ &= \langle [af(T)+g(T)]\xi, \xi \rangle \\ &= a\langle f(T)\xi, \xi \rangle + \langle g(T)\xi, \xi \rangle \\ &= a\rho(f) + \rho(g). \end{split}$$

If  $f \geq 0$ , then

$$\rho(f) = \langle f(T)\xi, \xi \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \left(\sqrt{f}\right)^2(T)\xi, \xi \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \sqrt{f}(T)\sqrt{f}(T)\xi, \xi \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \sqrt{f}(T)\xi, \left(\sqrt{f}(T)\right)^*\xi \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \sqrt{f}(T)\xi, \overline{\sqrt{f}}(T)\xi \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \sqrt{f}(T)\xi, \sqrt{f}(T)\xi \right\rangle$$

$$\geq 0.$$

By Riesz-Markov, there exists some  $\mu$  on  $\sigma(T)$  such that

$$\int f \ d\mu = \rho(f) = \langle f(T)\xi, \xi \rangle$$

for all  $f \in C(\sigma(T))$ .

Next, we want a unitary operator from  $L^2(\sigma(T), \mu) \to H$ . Define  $U_0 : C(\sigma(T)) \to H$  with  $f \mapsto f(T)\xi$ .

We claim that for any  $f, g \in C(\sigma(T)), \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\sigma(T), \mu)} = \langle U_0 f, U_0 g \rangle_H$ : we have

$$\langle U_0 f, U_0 g \rangle_H = \langle f(T) \xi, g(T) \xi \rangle_H$$

$$= \langle (g(T)^* f(t) \xi, \xi \rangle_H$$

$$= \langle \bar{g}(T) f(t) \xi, \xi \rangle_H$$

$$= \langle (\bar{g}f)(T) \xi, \xi \rangle_H$$

$$= \int f \bar{g} d\mu$$

$$= \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\sigma(T), \mu)}.$$

True facts:

- $C(\sigma(T))$  is dense in  $L^2(\sigma(T))$  (analysis result).
- The image of  $U_0$  is  $C^*(\mathbf{1},T)\xi$ , which is dense in H, since  $\xi$  is cyclic for  $C^*(\mathbf{1},T)$ .

Therefore,  $U_0$  extends to a unitary operator U from  $L^2(\sigma(T), \mu)$  onto H.

Claim:  $TU = UM_z$ . Why? For  $f \in C(\sigma(T)) \subseteq L^2(\sigma(T), \mu)$ ,

$$TUf = (Tf(T))\xi = (zf)(T)\xi = Uzf = UM_zf.$$

Since  $C(\sigma(T))$  is dense in  $L^2(\sigma(T), \mu)$ ,  $TU = UM_z$ .

For general T (normal bounded operator on a separable H), the basic idea is to write  $H = \bigoplus_i H_i$  and  $T = \bigoplus_i T_i$ , such that each  $H_i$  is invariant for  $C^*(\mathbf{1}_{H_i}, T_i)$  and contains a cyclic vector for  $C^*(\mathbf{1}_{H_i}, T_i)$ . We gotta make some bold claims.

- If  $H_i$ ,  $i \in I$  is a family of Hilbert spaces, can form  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i$ . If  $T_i \in B(H_i)$ , can form  $\bigoplus_i T_i \in B(\bigoplus_i H_i)$  if  $||T_i|| \leq K$  for all i.
- A subspace W of H is invariant for a set of operators S if  $T\xi \in W$  for all  $\xi \in W, T \in S$ .
- If W is invariant for a \*-algebra of operators A, then  $\xi \in W$  is cyclic for A if  $\overline{A\xi} = W$ .

#### Lemma.

- 1. Let H be separable. If  $T \in B(H)$  is the direct sum of  $T_i \in B(H_i)$ , where  $H = \bigoplus_i H_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and if  $T_i$  is diagonalizable on a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space for each i, then T is diagonalizable on  $\sigma$ -finite space as well.
- 2. If A is a \*-algebra of operators on H, then H decomposes as a direct sum  $H = \bigoplus_i H_i$ , where each  $H_i$  is invariant for A, and contains a cyclic vector for A.

Proof. (sorta)

1. – Suffices to consider the case that each  $T_i$  is already diagonal, i.e.  $T_i = M_{f_i} \in B(L^2(\Omega_i, \mu_i))$  with  $\mu_i$   $\sigma$ -finite.

- Let  $H = L^2(\bigsqcup_i \Omega_i, \bigoplus_i \mu_i)$  and then  $\bigoplus_i T_i = M_f$ , where  $f|_{\Omega_i} = f_i$ .

What we actually need: if T is a normal bounded operator on H, then H breaks up as  $H = \bigoplus_i H_i$ , where each  $H_i$  is invariant under  $C^*(\mathbf{1}_i, T_i)$ , with a cyclic vector for  $C^*(\mathbf{1}_i, T_i)$ .

### Lecture 23

#### Theorem 23.1. (Spectral Theorem.)

Let T be a normal bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space. Then there is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(\Omega, \mu)$  and a function  $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)$  such that T is unitarily equivalent to  $M_f \in B(L^2(\Omega, \mu))$ .

Proof. By the previous lemma part (2), we can write  $H = \bigoplus_i H_i$ , where each  $H_i$  is invariant for  $C^*(\mathbf{1}, T)$  with a cyclic vector for  $C^*(\mathbf{1}, T)$ . Let  $T_i = T|_{H_i}$ . Then  $T = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} T_i$  and each  $H_i$  is invariant for  $C^*(\mathbf{1}, T_i)$  with a cyclic vector for  $C^*(\mathbf{1}, T_i)$ . Each  $T_i$  is diagonalizable. Since  $T = \bigoplus_i T_i$ , T is diagonalizable as well.

Where does the measure in the proof of the spectral theorem live?

- If T has a cyclic vector, measure space is  $\sigma(T)$ .
- In general, the measure space is  $\bigcup_i \sigma(T_i) \subseteq \bigcup_i \sigma(T)$  (each  $\sigma(T_i) \subseteq \sigma(T)$ , so the union is contained in a bunch of copies of  $\sigma(T)$ ).

**Example 23.1.** Look at  $I = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  (already diagonal). Then  $\sigma(I) = \{1\}$ . There is no way to have  $L^2(\sigma(I)) \cong \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  for any measure on  $\sigma(I)$ . If we do the construction in the proof above, every subspace is invariant:

$$\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) = \ell^2(\{1\}) \oplus \ell^2(\{2\}) \oplus \dots$$

and the measure space we end up with is  $\{1\} \cup \{1\} \cup \ldots \cong \mathbb{N}$ .

# Lecture 24

**Example 24.1.** Let  $H = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ , and let  $T \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}))$  defined by  $(T\xi)_n = \xi_{n+1}$ , where  $\xi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Now,  $(\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots)$  is a cyclic vector for  $C^*(1,T)$ . Let  $H = L^2(\mathbb{T})$  with Lebesgue measure, with orthonormal basis  $\{\frac{z^n}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ . We want to take  $z^n \mapsto z^{n-1}$ . This is just multiplication by  $\frac{1}{z}$ . Just remains to show T is unitarily equivalent to  $M_{\frac{1}{z}}$  (identify  $(\ldots,0,\underbrace{1}_{n^{\text{th}}},0,\ldots)\mapsto \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ ). What is  $\sigma(T)$ ?

$$\sigma(T) = \text{ess range}(f) = \mathbb{T}.$$

### Example 24.2. (Non-example.)

Let  $T \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ , and  $(T\xi)_{n+1} = \xi_n$ . It is not a normal operator, so it is not diagonalizable. But we can do the following. Let  $H^2(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{T})$  be the subspace generated by  $z^n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Let  $M_z \in B(H^2(\mathbb{T}))$  be the multiplication operator of z. Then T is unitarily equivalent to  $M_z$ .

**Example 24.3.** Compact normal operators.

**Lemma.** Let T be a normal compact operator on a separable Hilbert space. Then every  $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma(T)$  is isolated, and has a finite dimensional eigenspace.

*Proof.* Second part: If  $\lambda \neq 0$  has an infinite dimensional eigenspace, then there exists an orthonormal sequence  $e_1, e_2, \ldots$ , such that  $Te_i = \lambda e_i$ , which contradicts the compactness of T.

It suffices to consider the case when  $T = M_f \in B(L^2(\Omega, \mu))$ . Then as before,  $\sigma(T) = \text{ess range}(f)$ . Suppose  $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma(T)$  which is not isolated, i.e.  $\lambda_n \to \lambda$ , where  $\lambda_n \in \sigma(T)$ . Take  $\varepsilon_n \to 0$  such that the disks  $|\lambda_n - z| < \varepsilon_n$  are disjoint. Then there exist sets of finite positive measure  $E_n$  such that  $|f(E_n) - \lambda_n| < \varepsilon_n$  for all n. Then for n large,

$$\|(M_f - \lambda)\chi_{E_n}\| \le |f - \lambda|\mu(E_n) \le \varepsilon$$

(for n large). Here we've used  $|f - \lambda| \le |f - \lambda_n| + |\lambda_n - \lambda|$ , etc.

Summary: if  $\lambda_n \to \lambda \neq 0$  in the essential range of f, we can find an orthonormal sequence of vectors in  $L^2$  which are "very close" to being eigenvectors with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , so their images under  $M_f$  are bounded apart, which contradicts compactness.

**Theorem 24.1.** Let T be a compact normal operator on H. Then H admits an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for T. Moreover,

$$T = \sum \lambda_n E_n,$$

where the  $\lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues, the  $E_n$  are the corresponding eigenspaces, and convergence is in norm.

*Proof.* Assume  $\sigma(T)$  is an infinite set. Consider  $z \in C(\sigma(T))$ . Since every  $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma(T)$  is isolated,  $\chi_{\{\lambda\}}$  is continuous. Claim:  $z = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \chi_{\{\lambda_i\}}$  in sup norm.

To see this, use some mad wizardry:

$$\left\| z - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i \chi_{\{\lambda_i\}} \right\| = \sup_{i > N} |\lambda_i| \to 0$$

as  $N \to \infty$ .

From the claim,

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \chi_{\{\lambda_i\}}(T).$$

Now, the  $\chi_{\{\lambda_i\}}$  are non-zero, mutually orthogonal projections. Exercise: show that the  $\chi_{\{\lambda_i\}}$  ( $\lambda_i \neq 0$ ) are the projections onto the eigenspaces of  $\lambda_i$ .

# Lecture 25

Some true facts that might be useful.

- Commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $\leftrightarrow$  compact Hausdorff space
- Positive linear functional  $(\rho(f) \ge 0 \text{ for } f \ge 0) \leftrightarrow \text{regular Borel measure.}$

Hint for assignment problem: self-adjoint elements in a  $C^*$ -algebra generates commutative  $C^*$ -algebras, so all spectrum and norm properties can be described by looking at C(X).

### Topologies from seminorms

**Definition 25.1.** A seminorm  $\rho$  on a complex vector space V is a function  $\rho: V \to \mathbb{R}$  such that:

- $\rho(\lambda v) = |\lambda| \rho(v)$ .
- $\rho(v_1 + v_2) \le \rho(v_1) + \rho(v_2)$ .

**Definition 25.2.** A family  $\{\rho_i\}$  of seminorms on V is separating if  $\rho_i(v) = 0$  for all i implies v = 0.

**Definition 25.3.** Given a separating family of seminorms  $\{\rho_i\}$  on V, the initial topology on V is the coarsest topology which makes all the functions  $v \mapsto \rho_i(v - v_0)$  continuous.

- This makes V into a locally convex topological vector space.
- A net  $x_n$  converges to x if and only if  $\rho_i(x_n x) \to 0$  for all i.

(We already know that  $x_n \to x$  if  $\rho_i(x_n - x_0) \to \rho_i(x - x_0)$  for all  $i, x_0$ .)

Topologies on B(H):

- 1. Operator norm topology ("semi" norm  $T \mapsto ||T||$ ).
- 2. Weak topology (makes all bounded linear functionals continuous).
- 3. Strong operator topology (S.O.T.) seminorms  $\{T \mapsto ||T\xi||, \xi \in H\}$ .
- 4. Weak operator topology (W.O.T.) seminorms  $\{T \mapsto |\langle T\xi, \eta \rangle|, \xi, \eta \in H\}$ .

We have

W.O.T. 
$$\subset$$
 S.O.T.  $\subset$  Norm topology.

Why? Look at convergence of nets. In W.O.T.,  $T_n \to T$  iff

$$|\langle (T_n - T)\xi, \eta \rangle| \to 0$$

for all  $\xi, \eta$ , and  $|\langle (T_n - T)\rangle| \le ||T_n - T|| ||\xi|| ||\eta||$ . In S.O.T.,  $T_n \to T$  iff

$$\|(T_n-T)\xi\|\to 0$$

for all  $\xi \in H$ , and  $\|(T_n - T)\xi\| \le \|T_n - T\|\|\xi\|$ . In norm topology,  $T_n \to T$  iff  $\|T_n - T\| \to 0$ .

Is \* continuous? It is norm continuous, not continuous in S.O.T., but continuous in W.O.T.

Proof that \* is W.O.T. continuous: If  $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{W.O.T.}} T$ , then  $|\langle (T_n - T)\xi, \eta \rangle| \to 0$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in H$ . Then  $|\langle \xi, (T_n^* - T^*)\eta \rangle| \to 0$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in H$ , or  $\|\langle (T_n^* - T^*)\eta, \xi \rangle\| \to 0$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in H$ . So  $T_n^* \xrightarrow{\text{W.O.T.}} T$ .

Proof that \* is not S.O.T. continuous: Let S be the right shift on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ , and look at the sequence  $S^n$ . Exercise:  $S^n$  has no strong limit. What about  $(S^n)^* = (S^*)^n$ ? Another exercise:  $(S^n)^* \xrightarrow{\text{S.O.T.}} 0$ .

In fact, in the norm limit, both  $S^n$  and  $(S^n)^*$  has no limit. In S.O.T.,  $S^n$  has no limit while  $(S^n)^*$  goes to zero. In W.O.T., they both go to zero.

# Lecture 26

**Definition 26.1.** Let  $S \subseteq B(H)$  be a set of bounded operators. The commutant is given by

$$S' = \{ T \in B(H) : TR = RT \text{ for all } R \in S \}.$$

Proposition 26.1. With the above notation,

- 1.  $S \subseteq S''$ .
- 2. S' is W.O.T. closed.
- 3. If  $S = S^*$ , then  $(S')^* = S'$ .

Proof.

- 1. If  $T \in S$ ,  $R \in S'$ , then TR = RT by definition of S', so  $T \in S''$  by definition of S''.
- 2. Let  $T_n \in S'$  be a net, and suppose  $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{W.O.T.}} T$  (that is,  $\langle T_n \xi, \eta \rangle \to \langle T \xi, \eta \rangle$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in H$ ). We want to show that  $T \in S'$  as well. Let  $R \in S$  and  $\xi, \eta \in H$ . Then

$$\langle RT_n\xi,\eta\rangle=\langle T_n\xi,R^*\eta\rangle.$$

The LHS equals  $\langle T_n R \xi, \eta \rangle$  which goes to  $\langle T R \xi, \eta \rangle$ , while the RHS goes to  $\langle T \xi, R^* \eta \rangle = \langle R T \xi, \eta \rangle$ .

3. Exercise.

Let A be a \*-algebra of bounded operators on H containing I. Then

$$A \subseteq \bar{A}^{\text{W.O.T.}} \subseteq A''$$
.

Theorem 26.1. (von Neumann's Double Commutant Theorem.)

Let A be a \*-algebra of bounded operators on H containing I. The following are equivalent:

- 1. A = A''.
- 2. A is W.O.T. closed.
- 3. A is S.O.T. closed.

**Definition 26.2.** A von Neumann algebra is a \*-algebra of bounded operators on a Hilbert space which is closed in the W.O.T.

**True fact:** Any vN algebra is a  $C^*$ -algebra.

Example 26.1.

- 1.  $\mathbb{C}I$ .
- 2. B(H).

3. Let  $\Omega$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, so that  $L^{\infty}(\Omega) \subseteq B(L^2(\Omega))$ . Then  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  is a vN algebra: let  $A = L^{\infty}(\Omega) \subseteq B(L^2(\Omega))$ , then  $A \subseteq A'$ , since A is commutative. Claim:  $A' \subseteq A$  (this would imply A = A' = A''). To see this, let  $T \in A'$ , so that  $TM_f = M_fT$  for all  $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Assume  $\Omega$  is a finite measure space. Let h = T(1). Then  $h \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  (exercise: follows from boundedness of T).

Let  $g \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \subseteq L^2(\Omega)$ . Then

$$T(g) = TM_q(1) = M_qT(1) = M_qh = gh = hg = M_h(g).$$

Since  $L^{\infty}$  is  $\|\cdot\|_2$ -dense in  $L^2$ ,  $T=M_h$ .

Exercise:  $C([0,1]) \subseteq L^{\infty}([0,1])$  (here C([0,1]) is equipped with sup norm;  $L^{\infty}$ , with essential sup). They're both  $C^*$ -algebras, and  $L^{\infty}$  is also a vN algebra.

**Theorem 26.2.** Every commutative vN algebra on a separable Hilbert space is isometrically \*-isomorphic to  $L^{\infty}(\Omega) \subseteq B(L^{2}(\Omega))$  for some  $\sigma$ -finite  $\Omega$ .

So we have identifications between commutative  $C^*$ -algebras and compact Hausdorff spaces, and between commutative vN algebras and  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces.

# Lecture 27

**Theorem 27.1.** (Spectral Theorem [V1]).

- 1. Let T be a normal bounded operator on a separable H such that  $C^*(1,T)$  has a cyclic vector in H. Then there is a measure  $\mu$  on  $\sigma(T)$  such that T is unitarily equivalent to  $M_z \in B(L^2(\sigma(T),\mu))$ .
- 2. Let T be a normal bounded operator on a separable H. Then there is a (finite or infinite) sequence of measures  $(\mu_n)$  on  $\sigma(T)$  such that T is unitarily equivalent to  $M_z \in B(L^2(\Omega, \mu))$ , where  $(\Omega, \mu) = \bigcup \sigma(T)$ . Here  $\Omega$  is a disjoint union of a bunch of copies of  $\sigma(T)$ .

**Definition 27.1.** Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. The algebra of bounded Borel functions  $B_b(X)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra with supremum norm.

Theorem 27.2. (Spectral Theorem [V2]).

Let T be a normal bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space H. Then there is a unique \*-homomorphism  $\pi$  from  $B_b(\sigma(T))$  to B(H) such that:

- 1.  $\pi$  agrees with the continuous functional calculus on  $C(\sigma(T)) \subseteq B_b(\sigma(T))$ .
- 2. If  $f_n$  is a uniformly bounded sequence of Borel functions converging pointwise to 0, then  $\pi(f_n) \xrightarrow{S.O.T.} 0$ .

*Proof.* Problem Sheet 5.

Say  $\Omega = \sigma(T) \cup \sigma(T) \cup \sigma(T)$  (3 copies),  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3$ . Then

$$f \in C(\sigma(T)) \rightarrow M_f \in B(L^2(\Omega, \mu)).$$

Is it true that  $||M_f|| = ||f||_{\infty}$ ? It's kinda easier to see  $||M_f|| \le ||f||_{\infty}$ , for  $\ge$ : if  $M = |f(z_0)| = ||f||_{\infty}$  then there is some  $U \ni z_0$  such that  $|f(z) - M| < \varepsilon$  for  $z \in U$ , then  $||M_f \chi_U||_2$  is "almost"  $M||\chi_U||$  (this is related to Q2(ii) in PS5).

To look at uniqueness (see Q3) — the idea is to show that  $\langle \pi(f)\xi, \xi \rangle$  is determined by (1) and (2) in Theorem 27.2.

**Theorem 27.3.** (Spectral Theorem [V2']). How many of these are there?! Let T be a normal bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space. Then there is a finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\sigma(T)$  and an isometric \*-isomorphism from  $L^{\infty}(\sigma(T), \mu)$  to the vN algebra generated by T which extends the continuous functional calculus.

**Example 27.1.** Remember  $C^*$ -algebra  $\leftrightarrow C([0,1])$ , vN algebra  $\leftrightarrow L^{\infty}([0,1])$ , one contained in the other. Projection  $(p=p^*=p^2)$  in a  $C^*$ -algebra: if  $p \in B(H)$ ,  $p=p^*=p^2$  is equivalent to saying p projects onto a closed subspace of H. Prove that the only projections on C([0,1]) are 0,1.

*Proof.* Let  $f \in C([0,1])$  be a projection. Then  $f = f^2$ , so  $f(x) = (f(x))^2$  for all  $x \in [0,1]$ , so  $f(x) \in \{0,1\}$  for all x. Since f is continuous and [0,1] is connected, this implies that  $f \equiv 0$  or  $f \equiv 1$ .

In  $L^{\infty}([0,1])$ , projections are  $\chi_E$  for all measurable E.