

Banach Algebras

Lecture 1

Spectral Theorem in Finite Dimensions

Definition 1.1. Bunch of things. Let A = square matrix.

- Symmetric: $A = A^T$.
- Orthogonal: $AA^T = A^T A = I$.
- Adjoint: $A^* = \overline{A^T}$.
- Self-adjoint: $A = A^*$.
- Unitary: $AA^* = A^* A = I$.
- Normal: $AA^* = A^* A$.
- Diagonal: $A_{ij} = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let A be a normal complex matrix. Then there is a unitary matrix U such that UAU^* is a diagonal matrix. Equivalently, there is an orthogonal basis of eigenvectors for A .*

Example 1.1.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1+i & 1-i \\ 1-i & 1+i \end{pmatrix},$$
$$A^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1-i & 1+i \\ 1+i & 1-i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$AA^* = A^* A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A few days later we get

$$U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$UAU^* = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition 1.2. A Hilbert space is a complete inner product space.

Proposition 1.1. *If H_1, H_2 are Hilbert spaces with orthonormal bases of the same cardinality, they are isometrically isomorphic.*

Definition 1.3. A Hilbert space is separable if it admits a countable orthonormal basis.

Any infinite dimensional Hilbert space is isometrically isomorphic to $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$.

Definition 1.4. A bounded operator $A : H \rightarrow H$ is compact if the closure of the image of the unit ball in H under A is compact.

Example 1.2.

1. Any finite rank operator is compact.
2. Let $H = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ be a sequence of complex numbers. Define $M_{\mathbf{a}}(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (a_1 x_1, a_2 x_2, \dots)$.
 - (a) Bounded if \mathbf{a} is bounded.
 - (b) Adjoint is $M_{\bar{\mathbf{a}}}$ where $\bar{\mathbf{a}} = (\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \dots)$.
 - (c) Normal cause doesn't matter which way you multiply stuff.
 - (d) Self-adjoint if the a_i are real for all i .
 - (e) Compact if $a_i \rightarrow 0$.

Lecture 2

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be a compact normal operator on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space H . Then H contains an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for A , with eigenvalues tending to 0.*

Eigenvectors for $M_{\mathbf{a}}$ in Example 1.2 — $\{\mathbf{x}_i = (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{1}_{i^{\text{th}} \text{ slot}}, 0, \dots)\}$ is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors.

Theorem 2.2. *Let A be a compact normal operator on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Then there exists a unitary operator $U : H \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and a vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$, $a_i \rightarrow 0$, such that $UAU^* = M_{\mathbf{a}}$.*

Proof. Sketch.

1. Pick an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors $\{e_i\}$ with eigenvalues $\{a_i\}$.
2. $U : H \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, with $x \mapsto (\langle x, e_1 \rangle, \langle x, e_2 \rangle, \dots)$.
3. $U^* : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow H$, with $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i e_i$. □

What about non-compact operators? Is every normal operator unitarily equivalent to a multiplication operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$?

Example 2.1. Let $H = L^2([0, 1])$. For f bounded, define $M_f : L^2([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^2([0, 1])$ with $M_f g = fg$. Let $f_0(x) = x$. What are the eigenvalues of M_{f_0} ? We have $M_{f_0} g = \lambda g$ if $xg(x) = \lambda g(x)$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. But then $g(x) = 0$ almost everywhere, so there are no eigenvalues: so M_{f_0} cannot be unitarily equivalent to a multiplication operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$.

Theorem 2.3. (*Spectral Theorem.*)

Let A be a normal operator on a separable Hilbert space. Then A is unitarily equivalent to a multiplication operator M_f on " $L^2(\Omega)$ ".

This Ω will be defined later.

Definition 2.1. An algebra over a field \mathbb{F} is a vector space V with a map $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that (for $a \in \mathbb{F}$, $x, y, z \in V$):

1. $(ax + y)z = a(xz) + yz$.
2. $z(ax + y) = a(zx) + zy$.
3. $(xy)z = x(yz)$.

It is commutative if $xy = yx$, and unital if there exists some $\mathbf{1}$ such that $\mathbf{1}x = x\mathbf{1} = x$ for all x .

Example 2.2. Algebras.

1. \mathbb{F} .
2. $\mathbb{F}[x]$.
3. Functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ — X any set, product done pointwise.
4. $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{F} .
5. All linear operators on a vector space, with composition as the product.
6. Let G be a group. Take a vector space with basis indexed by G , $\{e_g\}$, multiplication on basis $e_g e_h = e_{gh}$.

Definition 2.2. A Banach algebra is an algebra over \mathbb{C} such that the underlying vector space is a Banach space, and $\|x \cdot y\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$ for all x, y .

Lecture 3

Example 3.1. BANACH Algebras.

1. \mathbb{C} .
2. Any Banach space, with $ab = 0$ for all a, b .
3. $C(X)$, continuous functions on a compact metric space with the sup norm and pointwise product.
4. $C_b(X)$, bounded continuous functions on a metric space.
5. $C_0(X)$, continuous functions “vanishing at ∞ ” on some metric space.
6. Disk algebra. Continuous functions on the unit disk which are holomorphic on the interior.
7. For any Banach space E , the space of bounded operators $B(E)$ is a Banach algebra with the operator norm and composition as the product.
8. $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, with matrix product and norm $\|M\| = \sum_{i,j} |M_{ij}|$ (in this case $\|\mathbf{1}\| = \|I_n\| = n$).
9. $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$, with $(a * b)_i = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j b_{i-j}$. This converges as

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_j b_{i-j}| \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |b_{i-j}| |a_j| \leq \sup_k |b_k| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_j| < \infty$$

since $(a_i), (b_i) \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$. Check condition from Definition 2.2:

$$\begin{aligned}\|a * b\| &= \sum_i |(a * b)_i| = \sum_i \left| \sum_j a_j b_{i-j} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j} |a_j b_{i-j}| \\ &= \sum_j \left(|a_j| \sum_i |b_{i-j}| \right) \\ &= \sum_j |a_j| \|b\| \\ &= \|a\| \|b\|.\end{aligned}$$

10. $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, with $(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y)g(x-y) dy$.

Example 3.2. MAYBE BANACH ALGEBRAS.

1. Polynomial functions on $[0, 1]$, with sup norm and pointwise product — not complete.
2. $L^1([0, 1])$, pointwise product — not closed under this multiplication.
3. $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$, pointwise product — should be okay.
4. $C(\mathbb{R})$ has no obvious norm...
5. All bounded functions on \mathbb{R} , sup norm, pointwise product — should be okay.

Invertibility and Spectrum

Definition 3.1. A bounded operator $A : E \rightarrow E$ is invertible if there exists some bounded operator $B : E \rightarrow E$ such that $AB = BA = \text{id}_E$.

Theorem 3.1. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) A is invertible.
- (2) For every $x, y \in E$, $Ax = y$ has a unique solution, that is, A is a bijection.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) is clear, since any invertible map is bijective.

For (2) \implies (1), we need to show that if A is bijective, then A^{-1} is a bounded operator. The graph of A , $\{(x, Ax) : x \in E\}$, is closed in $E \times E$ since A is continuous. Equivalently, $\{(Ay, y)\}$ is closed in $E \times E$, but this is the graph of A^{-1} since A is a bijection, so A^{-1} is bounded. \square

Definition 3.2. The spectrum of an operator $\sigma(A)$ is $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - A \text{ is not invertible}\}$.

Lecture 4

Example 4.1. Shifts.

Let $T : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ be the right unilateral shift, $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$, and $S : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ be the left shift, $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (x_2, x_3, \dots)$. Both fail to be invertible: T is not surjective, and

S is not injective. Note $ST = I$, but $TS(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_2, x_3, \dots)$.

Does T have eigenvalues? No — $T\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x} \implies 0 = \lambda x_1, x_1 = \lambda x_2, \text{ etc....}$ If $\lambda = 0$ then $\mathbf{x} = 0$; otherwise $x_1 = 0$ and $\mathbf{x} = 0$ anyway... so no eigenvalues.

The spectrum of ST (when is $I - \lambda I$ not invertible?) is $\sigma(ST) = \{1\}$.

The spectrum of TS is $\sigma(TS) = \{0, 1\}$. Note TS is the projection onto $\{(0, x_2, x_3, \dots)\}$... let P be any projection onto a Hilbert space. Write $I = P + P^\perp$; when is $P - \lambda I$ invertible? We have $P - \lambda I = P - \lambda(P + P^\perp) = (1 - \lambda)P - \lambda P^\perp$. The inverse is given by

$$\frac{1}{1 - \lambda}P - \frac{1}{\lambda}P^\perp,$$

which is okay as long as $\lambda \notin \{0, 1\}$. Hence $\sigma(P) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$; we can also check that $0 \in \sigma(P)$ if $P \neq I$ and $1 \in \sigma(P)$ if $P \neq 0$.

If

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P_i,$$

where the P_i are non-zero projections, $P_i P_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\sum P_i = I$, then $\sigma(A) = \{\lambda_i\}$.

Fact. $\sigma(AB) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma(BA) \setminus \{0\}$, that is, the spectra are the same if we ignore zero.

This follows from:

Fact. $1 - AB$ is invertible if and only if $1 - BA$ is invertible.

Example 4.2. Spectrum of multiplication map.

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$, and let $M_{\mathbf{a}} : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ with $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (a_1 x_1, a_2 x_2, \dots)$. What is $\sigma(M_{\mathbf{a}})$? We have $\{a_i\} \subseteq \sigma(M_{\mathbf{a}})$, since $M_{\mathbf{a}} - a_i I$ has a non-trivial kernel. Also, for any λ

$$(M_{\mathbf{a}} - \lambda I)(x_1, x_2, \dots) = ((a_1 - \lambda)x_1, (a_2 - \lambda)x_2, \dots).$$

As long as $\lambda \notin \{a_i\}$, we can try to invert with $M_{\mathbf{b}}$, where

$$\mathbf{b} = \left(\frac{1}{a_1 - \lambda}, \frac{1}{a_2 - \lambda}, \dots \right).$$

But $M_{\mathbf{b}}$ is a bounded operator of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ if and only if $\lambda \notin \overline{\{a_i\}}$. It follows that $\sigma(M_{\mathbf{a}}) = \overline{\{a_i\}}$.

Example 4.3. Construct an operator whose spectrum is $[0, 1]$.

Take any countable dense set in $[0, 1]$, look at the corresponding multiplication operator.

Lecture 5

Definition 5.1. An element x in a unital Banach algebra A is invertible if there is some $y \in A$ such that $xy = yx = 1$. The spectrum $\sigma(x) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : x - \lambda \mathbf{1} \text{ is invertible}\}$.

Conventions:

1. Always assume $\|\mathbf{1}\| = 1$.
2. Write $x - \lambda$ for $x - \lambda\mathbf{1}$.

Lemma 5.1.

1. If $\|x\| < 1$, then $\mathbf{1} - x$ is invertible.
2. If $\|x\| < 1$, then $\|(\mathbf{1} - x)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|x\|}$.

Proof. Let

$$z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n.$$

(It converges because $\|x^n\| \leq \|x\|^n$.) Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{1} - x)z &= (\mathbf{1} - x) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \right) \\ &= (\mathbf{1} - x) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^N x^n \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left((\mathbf{1} - x) \sum_{n=0}^N x^n \right) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbf{1} - x^{N+1}) \\ &= \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

So z is a right inverse; it's also a left inverse. Then

$$\|(\mathbf{1} - x)^{-1}\| = \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \right\| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|x\|^n = \frac{1}{1 - \|x\|}.$$

□

Let A^{-1} be the *group* of invertible elements of A .

Theorem 5.1. A^{-1} is an open set, and $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ is a continuous map.

Proof. If x is invertible, then $x + h = x(\mathbf{1} + x^{-1}h)$, so by the previous lemma, $x + h$ will be invertible if $\|x^{-1}h\| < 1$. So, if $\|h\| < \frac{1}{\|x^{-1}\|}$, then $\|x^{-1}h\| < 1$, and $x + h$ is invertible implies A^{-1} is open. For continuity, use estimate on $\|(\mathbf{1} - x)^{-1}\|$. □

Theorem 5.2. For any x , $\sigma(x)$ is a compact set and $\sigma(x) \subseteq \{\lambda : |\lambda| \leq \|x\|\}$.

Proof. We first show $\sigma(x)$ is closed. If $\lambda \notin \sigma(x)$, then $x - \lambda_0$ is invertible. If $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \delta$, then $\|(x - \lambda) - (x - \lambda_0)\| = |\lambda - \lambda_0| < \delta$. Since A^{-1} is open, this means that for δ sufficiently small, λ will be in the “resolvent” ($\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(x)$) as well, which implies that the resolvent is open.

Next, we show that $\sigma(x)$ is bounded by $\|x\|$, that is, any λ with $|\lambda| > \|x\|$ is not in $\sigma(x)$. If $|\lambda| > \|x\|$, then $x - \lambda = \lambda(\frac{x}{\lambda} - \mathbf{1})$. Since $\|\frac{x}{\lambda}\| = \frac{1}{|\lambda|}\|x\| < 1$, we know that $x - \lambda$ is invertible, that is, $\lambda \notin \sigma(x)$. □

Theorem 5.3. $\sigma(x)$ is non-empty.

Proof. Basic idea: if $\sigma(x) = \emptyset$, then $x - \lambda$ is invertible for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We want to show that this doesn't make sense. First approach: use complex analysis for functions from $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow A$, but we need to show that stuff from complex analysis sensibly extends to such functions.

Second approach: look at $f((x - \lambda)^{-1})$ for bounded linear functionals f , and use functional analysis. We'll go with this. Fix x , and suppose for a contradiction that $\sigma(x) = \emptyset$. **Claim:** for any bounded linear functional f on A , $f((x - \lambda)^{-1})$ is a bounded, entire function which tends to 0.

Proof of claim. We have, for a fixed λ_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \frac{(x - \lambda)^{-1} - (x - \lambda_0)^{-1}}{\lambda - \lambda_0} &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \frac{(x - \lambda)^{-1}((x - \lambda) - (x - \lambda_0))(x - \lambda_0)^{-1}}{\lambda - \lambda_0} \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} (x - \lambda)^{-1}(x - \lambda_0)^{-1} \\ &= (x - \lambda_0)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f((x - \lambda)^{-1})$ is analytic for all f (exercise).

Similarly, if $\lambda \neq 0$

$$\|(x - \lambda)^{-1}\| = \left\| \lambda^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda} - 1 \right)^{-1} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\|x\|}{|\lambda|}} \rightarrow 0$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. □

But this means that $(x - \lambda)^{-1}$ is 0 (Hahn-Banach) for all λ which is absurd. □

Definition 5.2. The spectral radius is $r(x) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(x)\}$.

Note $r(x) \leq \|x\|$.

Theorem 5.4.

$$r(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Lecture 6

MIA — see Ben's stuff (or use that anyway if you want something more orderly ☺)

Lecture 7

Proof of Theorem 5.4. (Sketch.)

We show that $r(x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$, and $r(x) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$.

$(r(x) \leq \liminf)$.

If $\lambda \in \sigma(x)$, then $\lambda^n \in \sigma(x^n)$ (see the Spectral Mapping Theorem). Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda^n| &\leq \|x^n\|, \text{ and} \\ |\lambda| &\leq \|x^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\sigma(x)$ is bounded in absolute value by $\|x^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ for every n , which implies that $r(x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$.
 $(r(x) \geq \limsup)$.

It suffices to show that for any $\lambda > r(x)$, $\lambda \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$, so suppose $\lambda > r(x)$.

Claim: $\left\{ \frac{x^n}{\lambda^n} \right\}$ is bounded in norm.

Assume the claim is true. Then

$$\left\| \frac{x^n}{\lambda^n} \right\| = \frac{\|x^n\|}{|\lambda|^n} < M \quad \forall n.$$

Then $\|x^n\| < |\lambda|^n M$, so $\|x^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} < |\lambda| M^{\frac{1}{n}}$. \limsup everything to get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} < |\lambda| \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M^{\frac{1}{n}} = |\lambda|.$$

Proof of claim. It suffices to show that $f(x^n/\lambda^n)$ is bounded for every bounded linear functional f . If $f(x^n/\lambda^n)$ is bounded for each $f \in A^*$, that means $\{x^n/\lambda^n\}$ is bounded pointwise as elements of A^{**} .

Take $f \in A^*$. Look at the function $f[(1 - zx)^{-1}]$. Assume $r(x) \neq 0$. The domain is

$$\{0\} \cup \left\{ z : \frac{1}{z} \notin \sigma(x) \right\},$$

or $|z| < \frac{1}{r(x)}$. On the disk $|z| < \frac{1}{\|x\|}$, we can take a power series for $(1 - zx)^{-1}$ to get

$$f((1 - zx)^{-1}) = 1 + zf(x) + z^2 f(x^2) + \dots$$

On the larger disk $|z| < \frac{1}{r(x)}$, $f((1 - zx)^{-1})$ should still be analytic, so $f((1 - zx)^{-1}) = \sum f(x^n)z^n$. In particular, $f(x^n)z^n$ is bounded when $|z| < \frac{1}{r(x)}$. □

□

Ideals

Definition 7.1. An ideal in a Banach algebra A is a subspace $I \subseteq A$, such that $xi, ix \in I$ for all $x \in A, i \in I$.

Given an ideal I in an algebra A , we can take the quotient A/I . Aside: If $B_0 \subseteq B$ is a closed subspace of a Banach space, then B/B_0 is a Banach space with $\|[b]\| = \inf\{\|b + b_0\| : b_0 \in B_0\}$. We would have seen this in functional analysis last semester.

So for a Banach algebra A , if $I \subseteq A$ is a closed ideal, then A/I is a Banach space and an algebra. But is it... a BANACH ALGEBRA?

Check: is it true that $\|[x][y]\| \leq \|[x]\| \|[y]\|$ for all x, y ?

$$\begin{aligned} \|[x][y]\| &= \|[xy]\| \\ &= \inf_{i \in I} \|xy + i\| \\ &\leq \|xy + \underbrace{i_1 y + i_2 x + i_1 i_2}_{\in I}\| \quad \text{for any } i_1, i_2 \in I \\ &= \|(x + i_1)(y + i_2)\| \\ &\leq \|x + i_1\| \|y + i_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\|[x][y]\| \leq \inf_{i_1, i_2} \|x + i_1\| \|y + i_2\| = \|[x]\| \|[y]\|$.

Lecture 8

True facts about ideals.

Theorem 8.1. *Let I be a closed ideal in a Banach algebra A . Then*

1. A/I is a Banach algebra.
2. If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a bounded homomorphism then $\ker(T)$ is a closed ideal, and there is a unique $\dot{T} : A/\ker(T) \rightarrow B$ such that $A \xrightarrow{T} B$ is equal to $A \rightarrow A/\ker(T) \xrightarrow{\dot{T}} B$. Moreover, $\|\dot{T}\| = \|T\|$.

Definition 8.1. An ideal $I \subseteq A$ is called proper if $I \neq A$. It is maximal if I is proper and there is no ideal lying strictly between I and A .

Theorem 8.2. *Let $I \subseteq A$ be a proper ideal in a unital Banach algebra. Then \bar{I} is a proper ideal.*

Proof. Note that I does not contain any invertible elements, since $I \neq A$. Then for any $x \in I$, by Lemma 5.1, $\|1 - x\| \geq 1$. But then $1 \notin \bar{I}$. \square

Theorem 8.3. *Let A be a unital Banach algebra. Then every proper ideal is contained in a maximal ideal and every maximal ideal is closed.*

Proof. If I is a maximal ideal, it is proper, so \bar{I} is also a proper ideal. But $I \subseteq \bar{I} \subset A$ implies $I = \bar{I}$, since I is maximal. This implies that I is closed. For the first part, let I be a proper ideal of A . Let $X = \{J : J \text{ is a proper ideal containing } I\}$ with the partial ordering of inclusion. Any chain has an upper bound; namely, the union, which is a proper ideal (see proof of Theorem 8.2 — 1 is still too far away!). So by Zorn's lemma, X has a maximal element, which must be a maximal ideal. \square

Definition 8.2. Let A be a unital, commutative Banach algebra. The Gelfand spectrum $\text{sp}(A)$ is the set of non-zero homomorphisms from A to \mathbb{C} .

Theorem 8.4.

1. Every element in $\text{sp}(A)$ is continuous with norm 1.
2. $\text{sp}(A)$ is in bijective correspondence with the set of maximal ideals of A .

Proof.

- 1.
2. Given $\omega \in \text{sp}(A)$, $\ker(\omega)$ is an ideal of codimension 1, so it is maximal (call this ideal I_ω). Conversely, starting with an ideal I of codimension (the dimension of A/I) 1, we can write $A \rightarrow A/I \cong \mathbb{C}$ to get an element of $\text{sp}(A)$ (call this ω_I). (Here we have used the true fact that in a commutative algebra, every maximal ideal has codimension 1. We'll explain this later.)

Claim: $\omega_{I_\omega} = \omega$ and $I_{\omega_I} = I$.

Proof. $I_{\omega_I} = \ker(\omega_I) = I$, and $\omega_{I_\omega} = \omega_{\ker(\omega)} = (A \rightarrow A/\ker(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}) = \omega$, by uniqueness of the map from $A/\ker(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. \square

It remains to show that the ideals of codimension 1 are exactly the maximal ideals. Suppose $I \subset A$ has codimension 1. Suppose $x \notin I$. Then $[x] \neq 0$ in A/I , so we can write $[x] = \lambda[1]$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$, so $x = \lambda 1 + I$. But then the ideal generated by I and x contains $\lambda 1 + I$, so it contains $\lambda 1$ and hence 1 . Conversely, let $I \subset A$ be a proper ideal, and suppose A/I has dimension greater than 1. Choose $x \in A \setminus I$ such that $[x]$ is not invertible in A/I (Theorem 9.1). Consider the ideal $J = I + Ax$ (that it is an ideal depends on commutativity). Then J is a proper ideal — 1 cannot be in J , because if it were, then $1 = i + ax$ for some $i \in I$ and $a \in A$. But then $[a][x] = [x][a] = [1]$ — but we took x so that $[x]$ was not invertible in A/I . So I is not maximal.

□

Lecture 9

Theorem 9.1. *Every Banach division algebra (unital algebra where every non-zero element is invertible) is 1-dimensional.*

Proof. Let A be a unital Banach division algebra, and let $x \in A$. Suppose $\lambda \in \sigma(x)$. Then $x - \lambda$ is not invertible, so $x - \lambda = 0$, which means $x = \lambda 1$. □

Proposition 9.1. *Every 1-dimensional unital Banach algebra is isometrically isomorphic to \mathbb{C} , and this isomorphism is unique.*

Proof. Can construct the obvious isomorphism, just have to check it actually is an isomorphism. For uniqueness, let A be a 1-dimensional unital Banach algebra, and let $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an isomorphism of complex algebras. Then $\phi(1) = \phi(1 \cdot 1) = \phi(1) \cdot \phi(1)$, so $\phi(1) = 0$ or $\phi(1) = 1$. If $\phi(1) = 0$, then ϕ is the zero homomorphism, so it's not an isomorphism — this means that $\phi(1) = 1$. □

Proposition 9.2. *Let A be a unital Banach algebra, and let $I \subset A$ be a proper ideal. Then A/I is a unital Banach algebra (including $\|1\| = 1$).*

Proof. Assume we already know A/I is a Banach algebra (see Lecture 7). The element $[1]$ is a unit for A/I . We need to show that $\|[1]\|_{A/I} = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[1]\|_{A/I} &= \inf_{i \in I} \|1 + i\| \\ &\leq \|1 + 0\| \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

For the other inequality, we want to show that for every $i \in I$, $\|1 + i\| \geq 1$. But if $\|1 + i\| < 1$ for any $i \in I$, then i is invertible (Lemma 5.1), contradicting the fact that I is a proper ideal. □

Lecture 10

Proposition 10.1. *$sp(A)$ is non-empty.*

Proof. Since $\{0\}$ is a proper ideal of A , it is contained in a maximal ideal, which is enough by Theorem 8.4 (2). □

Theorem 10.1. *For all $\omega \in sp(A)$, $\|\omega\| = \omega(1) = 1$.*

Proof. (Sketch.)

For $A \xrightarrow{\omega} \mathbb{C}$, consider $A \xrightarrow{\pi} A/I \xrightarrow{\dot{\omega}} \mathbb{C}$. Use the true fact: $\|\omega\| = \|\dot{\omega}\|$ and the fact that a non-zero homomorphism between one-dimensional algebras is pretty much the identity. \square

Let B be a Banach space and B^* be the Banach space of bounded linear functionals on B . There's an isometry $B \rightarrow B^{**}$ — if $x \in B$, $\rho \in B^*$, define $\hat{x}(\rho) = \rho(x)$.

Definition 10.1. The *weak* topology on B is the coarsest topology which makes every $\rho \in B^*$ continuous. The *weak-** topology on B^* is the topology on B^* which makes every $\rho \in B \subseteq B^{**}$ continuous.

Theorem 10.2. (*Banach-Alaoglu Theorem.*)

The unit ball of B^ is compact in the weak- * topology.*

Theorem 10.3. *Suppose A is a unital, commutative Banach algebra. Then $\text{sp}(A)$ is a compact Hausdorff space in the weak- * topology.*

Proof. (Sketch.)

We know that $\text{sp}(A)$ is a subset of the unit ball of A^* , so by Banach-Alaoglu, we just need to show that $\text{sp}(A)$ is weak- * -closed (exercise). \square

Definition 10.2. The *Gelfand transform* from A to $C(\text{sp}(A))$ is defined by $x \mapsto \hat{x} \in C(\text{sp}(A))$, where $\hat{x}(\omega) = \omega(x)$.

(Note that \hat{x} is continuous by definition of the weak- * topology.)

Theorem 10.4.

1. *The Gelfand transform is a continuous unital homomorphism from A to $C(\text{sp}(A))$.*
2. *For any $x \in A$, $\sigma(x) = \hat{x}(\text{sp}(A))$.*

Proof. True facts about Gelfand stuff:

- It's a homomorphism (need to show $\hat{x} \cdot \hat{y} = \widehat{xy}$ and $\hat{x} + \hat{y} = \widehat{x+y}$). Indeed, we have $\hat{x}\hat{y}(\omega) = \omega(x)\omega(y) = \omega(xy) = \widehat{xy}(\omega)$.
- Unital. $\mathbf{1}$ is the constant function $1 \in C(\text{sp}(A))$. For any $\omega \in \text{sp}(A)$, $\hat{\mathbf{1}}(\omega) = \omega(\mathbf{1}) = 1$, so $\hat{\mathbf{1}}$ is the constant function $\mathbf{1}$.
- $\|\hat{x}\| = \sup_{\omega \in \text{sp}(A)} \|\hat{x}(\omega)\| = \sup_{\omega \in \text{sp}(A)} \|\omega(x)\| \leq 1\|x\|$.
- **Claim:** $x \in A$ is invertible iff \hat{x} is nowhere vanishing.

Proof of claim. If x is invertible then $\hat{x} \cdot \hat{x}^{-1} = \widehat{xx^{-1}} = \hat{\mathbf{1}} = \mathbf{1}$, so \hat{x} is invertible as well, which means that \hat{x} is nowhere vanishing. If \hat{x} is nowhere vanishing then $\hat{x}(\omega) \neq 0$ for all $\omega \in \text{sp}(A)$. Therefore, x is not contained in any maximal ideal. Then x must be invertible (because otherwise xA would be a proper ideal). \square

From the claim:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x) &= \{\lambda : x - \lambda \text{ is not invertible}\} \\ &= \{\lambda : \widehat{x - \lambda} \text{ is somewhere vanishing}\} \\ &= \{\lambda : \hat{x} \text{ is somewhere equal to } \lambda\} \\ &= \{\lambda : \hat{x} \text{ takes the value } \lambda \text{ for some } \omega \in \text{sp}(A)\}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lecture 11

Example 11.1. Let $A = C(X)$, the continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space, e.g. with $X = [0, 1]$.

Let $Y \subseteq X$. Then the set of functions which vanish on Y is an ideal, say, I_Y . If $Y_1 \subseteq Y_2$, then $I_{Y_2} \subseteq I_{Y_1}$. The largest such possible ideal is $I_{\{x\}}$ for some $x \in X$. Now, $I_{\{x\}}$ is maximal — can see it constructively, or because $I_{\{x\}}$ is the kernel of the homomorphism $\omega_x : f \mapsto f(x)$ (since $C(X), \mathbb{C}$ are commutative, \mathbb{C} is a field and ω_x is clearly surjective).

Theorem 11.1. Every maximal ideal of $C(X)$ is of the form $I_{\{x\}}$ for some $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $\omega \in \text{sp}(A)$. Suppose $\omega \neq \omega_x$ for all x . Then

$$\bigcap_{f \in A} \{x \in X : \omega(f) = f(x)\} = \emptyset.$$

Then by compactness, there exist a finite number of functions $\{f_k\}$ such that $\bigcap_k \{x \in X : \omega(f_k) = f_k(x)\} = \emptyset$. So, we have a finite set of functions $\{f_k\}$ such that for each $x \in X$, $\omega(f_k) \neq f_k(x)$ for at least one k . Let $g_k = f_k - \omega(f_k)$ for each k . Then $\omega(g_k) = \omega(f_k) - \omega(f_k) = 0$ for all k , and for each x there is some k such that $g_k(x) \neq 0$. Let $g = \sum_k g_k \overline{g_k}$. Then $\omega(g) = 0$, and for each x , $g(x) > 0$. So g is an invertible element of $C(X)$ which is in $\ker(\omega)$, but this contradicts the fact that $\omega \in \text{sp}(A)$. \square

Theorem 11.2. Let $A = C(X)$, where X is a compact Hausdorff space. For each $x \in X$, let $\omega_x : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the homomorphism sending $f \mapsto f(x)$. Then $x \mapsto \omega_x$ is a homeomorphism from X to $\text{sp}(A)$. When X and $\text{sp}(A)$ are identified via this homeomorphism, the Gelfand transform is the identity map.

Bits of proof. The map $x \mapsto \omega_x$ is injective since $C(X)$ separates points, and is surjective by the previous compactness argument. Since $X, \text{sp}(C(X))$ are compact, it suffices to show continuity in one direction. **True fact:** continuous bijection from a compact space to a Hausdorff space is a homeomorphism¹. Suppose $x_n \rightarrow x$ is a convergent net in X . Then for every $f \in C(X)$, $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$, so $\omega_{x_n}(f) \rightarrow \omega_x(f)$ and $\hat{f}(\omega_{x_n}) \rightarrow \hat{f}(\omega_x)$. Therefore, $\omega(x_n) \rightarrow \omega_x$ in the weak-*topology. \square

Lecture 12

Let's back up a bit and investigate some true facts about general topological junk (which may explain the end of that last proof). Let X be a set, Y a topological space, and a family of functions $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$. The weak topology of $\{f_i\}$ on X is the coarsest topology that makes all the f_i continuous.

Example 12.1.

- If B is a Banach space, and $X = B$, $Y = \mathbb{C}$, $\{f_i\} = B^*$, then we get the weak topology on B .
- If $X = B^*$, $Y = \mathbb{C}$, $\{f_i\} = B \subseteq B^{**}$, then we get the weak-*topology.

True fact: If for some set $\{x_n\}$ and a point x , $f_i(x_n) \rightarrow f_i(x)$ for all i , then $x_n \rightarrow x$ in the weak topology.

For X a compact Hausdorff space, $X \cong \text{sp}(C(X))$ via $x \mapsto \omega_x$. For $f \in C(X)$, $\hat{f}(\omega_x) = \omega_x(f) = f(x)$. This proves that the Gelfand transform is quite boring in some sense. : (

¹http://www.proofwiki.org/wiki/Continuous_Bijection_from_Compact_to_Hausdorff_is_Homeomorphism

Lecture 13

Example 13.1. Let X, Y be compact Hausdorff spaces. Show that if $C(X)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $C(Y)$, then X is homeomorphic to Y .

Proof. $X \cong \text{sp}(C(X)) \cong \text{sp}(C(Y)) \cong Y$. □

Example 13.2. Let $A = \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ with convolution, $(a * b)_i = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j b_{i-j}$. Let $e_i \in A$ be the element in A with 1 in the i^{th} position, and 0 elsewhere. Then

$$(e_i * e_j)_k = \sum_{\ell} (e_i)_\ell (e_j)_{k-\ell} = \delta_k^{i+j},$$

so that $e_i * e_j = e_{i+j}$. True fact: A is commutative and unital (e_0 acts as identity).

What is the Gelfand spectrum? Every homomorphism to \mathbb{C} is determined by what it does to e_1 , since $\omega(e_n) = (\omega(e_1))^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. So the question becomes: for which $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ does $\omega(e_1) = \lambda$ extend to a non-zero homomorphism on A ? We know from Theorem 8.4 (1) that $\|\omega\| = 1$, so $|\lambda| \leq \|\omega\| \|e_1\| = 1$. But we also have $|\lambda^{-1}| \leq \|\omega\| \|e_{-1}\| = 1$, which implies that $|\lambda| = 1$. For any $|\lambda| = 1$, $(a_i) \mapsto \sum_i a_i \lambda^i$ is a homomorphism (check). It's an absolutely convergent sequence since $|\lambda| = 1$ and $\sum_i |a_i| < \infty$. Conclusion: Gelfand spectrum is homeomorphic to the circle ($\omega \in \text{sp}(A) \mapsto \omega(e_1)$ is a continuous bijection).

What is the Gelfand transform? Denote the circle from the previous part by \mathbb{T} . We have $A \rightarrow C(\text{sp}(A)) = C(\mathbb{T})$ with $(a_i) \mapsto (\hat{a}_i)$, with

$$(\hat{a}_i)(\omega_\lambda) = \omega_\lambda((a_i)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i \lambda^i.$$

In other words, a sequence $(a_i) \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ maps to the function $\sum_i a_i z^i \in C(\mathbb{T})$.

Now, $\sum_i a_i z^i = 0$ implies $a_i = 0$ for all i , so the only function in the kernel of the Gelfand transform is 0, and hence it is injective. But it's not surjective — not every continuous function can be written as $\sum_i a_i z^i$ with $\sum_i |a_i| < \infty$.

Definition 13.1. The *Weiner algebra* is the subalgebra of $C(\mathbb{T})$ of functions of the form $\sum_i a_i z^i$ with $\sum_i |a_i| < \infty$.

Theorem 13.1. (*Weiner's Theorem.*)

Let f be a nowhere vanishing function in the Wiener algebra. Then $\frac{1}{f}$ is in the Wiener algebra as well.

Proof. Recall that an element in a unital commutative Banach algebra is invertible if its Gelfand transform is non-vanishing ($\sigma(x) = \text{Range}(\hat{x})$). If f is in the Wiener algebra, then $f = (\hat{a}_i)$ for some $(a_i) \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$. If f is non-vanishing, then (a_i) is invertible. Then $(a_i)(a_i)^{-1} = \mathbf{1}$, and $\widehat{(a_i)(a_i)^{-1}} = \hat{\mathbf{1}}$. Thus $f \cdot \widehat{(a_i)^{-1}} = 1$, so f is invertible in the Wiener algebra. □

Lecture 14

Aside: true facts about Fourier series. $C(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{T})$. For $f \leftrightarrow \sum_i a_i z^i$ (where $a_i = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(z) z^{-i} dz$). Convergence to f ?

- in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ — automatic
- a.e. — true
- pointwise — false in general
- uniformly — false, but true if f is continuous and piecewise smooth
- absolutely — can be false even for piecewise smooth (only maybe a true fact)

Example 14.1. Let $A = C(\mathbb{T})$, $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ where $\zeta(z) = z$, and let B be the Banach subalgebra of A generated by $\mathbf{1}$ and ζ . What are $\sigma_A(\zeta)$ and $\sigma_B(\zeta)$? $\sigma_A(\zeta) = \hat{\zeta}(\text{sp}(A)) = \zeta(\mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{T}$. Also, $\sigma_B(\zeta) = \hat{\zeta}(\text{sp}(B))$, but what is $\text{sp}(B)$?

- Any $\omega \in \text{sp}(B)$ is determined by $\omega(\zeta)$ (for a polynomial $p(z)$, $\omega(p(z)) = p(\omega(\zeta))$).
- $|\omega(\zeta)| \leq \|\omega\| \|\zeta\| = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$.
- For $|\lambda| < 1$, define $\omega_\lambda(p(z)) = p(\lambda)$. Then

$$|\omega_\lambda(p(z))| = |p(\lambda)| \leq \sup_{|z| \leq 1} |p(z)| \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{T}} |p(z)| = \|p(z)\|,$$

by the maximum modulus principle.

- Since ω_λ is a bounded homomorphism on polynomials, it can be extended to a homomorphism on B .
- $\text{sp}(B) \cong \mathbb{D}$, the closed unit disk. $\hat{\zeta} : \omega_\lambda \mapsto \lambda$.
- $\widehat{p(z)}(\omega_\lambda) = \omega_\lambda(p(z)) = p(\lambda)$.

So $\sigma_B(\zeta) = \hat{\zeta}(\text{sp}(B)) = \hat{\zeta}(\mathbb{D}) = \mathbb{D}$.

Lecture 15

Continuing on from last time. (Recall $A = C(\mathbb{T})$, $B = \overline{\{p(z)\}} \subseteq A$. We have an embedding $A = C(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq B(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$. Take a basis $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}z^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for $L^2(\mathbb{T})$, and let $H^2(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{span}\{z^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{T})$. Then $B \subseteq B(H^2(\mathbb{Z}))$. How does ζ act? M_ζ on $H^2(\mathbb{Z})$ is unitarily equivalent to the rightward shift in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$.

So we have $B \subset C(\mathbb{T})$ and $B \subset B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$, but you get weird junk happening, like $\sigma_B(\zeta) = \mathbb{D} = \sigma_{B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))}(\zeta)$, but $\sigma_{C(\mathbb{T})}(\zeta) = \mathbb{T}$. So it's pretty hard to tell what will happen when you move to a larger algebra; C^* algebras will make things nicer.

What are the maximal ideals of $C_0(\mathbb{R})$? Three questions:

- What are the codimension 1 ideals/complex homomorphisms?
- What are the closed maximal ideals?
- What are all the maximal ideals?

We've seen that these are pretty much the same in a unital algebra.

Exercise: Let $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with convolution $(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y)g(x - y) dy$. Should check that:

- It's well defined almost everywhere.
- $\|f * g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1$.
- It's commutative.
- It's non-unital.

What are the complex homomorphisms? For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\omega_t : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by $\omega_t(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-itx} dx, f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then ω_t is a homomorphism, and all homomorphisms to \mathbb{C} are of this form.

What about Gelfand theory? Let $A^+ = L^1(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{C}\delta$, where δ is a formal unit. Then $\text{sp}(A^+) = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$; also, $\omega_\infty(\delta) = 1$ and $\omega_\infty(f) = 0$.

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq A^+$. What is \hat{f} ? It's pretty much a Fourier transform; $\hat{f}(\omega_t) = \omega_t(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-itx} dx$.

Spectral Permanence

If $\mathbf{1}_A \in B \subseteq A$, then $\sigma_A(x) \subseteq \sigma_B(x)$ for all $x \in B$. On the other hand $\sigma_B(x)$ could be bigger. Recall that the boundary ∂S of a subset $S \subset X$, where X is a topological space, is $\overline{S} \cap \overline{X \setminus S}$.

Theorem 15.1. *Let $\mathbf{1}_A \in B \subseteq A$, where A, B are Banach algebras, $x \in B$. Then $\partial\sigma_B(x) \subseteq \sigma_A(x)$.*

Proof. Want to show $\lambda \in \partial\sigma_B(x) \implies \lambda \in \sigma_A(x)$. Suppose $\lambda \in \partial\sigma_B(x)$, that is, $\lambda \in \overline{\sigma_B(x)} \cap \overline{\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_B(x)}$. So $\lambda \in \sigma_B(x)$ and there exist some $\lambda_n \notin \sigma_B(x)$ such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$. So $x - \lambda$ is not invertible in B , but the $x - \lambda_n$ are invertible in B .

Now suppose for a contradiction that $x - \lambda \in A^{-1}$ (that is, it's invertible in A). Then since $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$, we have $x - \lambda_n \rightarrow x - \lambda$. But then $(x - \lambda_n)^{-1} \rightarrow (x - \lambda)^{-1}$ (taking inverses is a continuous map). Since $(x - \lambda_n)^{-1} \in B$, this implies $x - \lambda \in B$ as well, which is a contradiction. \square

Lecture 16

$\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_A(x)$ is an open set, can decompose into countably many connected components, where one component is unbounded, and the rest are bounded (we call these "holes"). Here's a corollary of Theorem 15.1:

Corollary. *Let Y be a hole of $\sigma_A(x)$. Then either $Y \subseteq \sigma_B(x)$, or $Y \cap \sigma_B(x) = \emptyset$.*

That is, every hole gets completely filled or not touched at all.

Proof. (Sketch.)

Suppose $Y \cap \sigma_B(x) \neq \emptyset$, but $Y \cap \sigma_B(x) \neq Y$. Then the boundary of $Y \cap \sigma_B(x)$ in Y is nonempty (otherwise $Y \cap \sigma_B(x)$ and $(\mathbb{C} \setminus Y) \cap \sigma_B(x)$ would be a decomposition of Y into closed and open subsets, contradicting connectedness of Y). Then one (a smart "one") can get a boundary point in $\sigma_B(x)$ which is not in $\sigma_A(x)$. \square

So with the example $B = \overline{\{p(x)\}} \subset C(\mathbb{T}) = A$ before, $\sigma_A(\zeta) = \mathbb{T}$. If C is any subalgebra of A , then $\sigma_C(\zeta)$ is either \mathbb{T} or \mathbb{D} .

Analytic Functional Calculus

If $x \in A$, where A is a unital Banach algebra, we can form $p(x)$ for any polynomial and some power series, e.g. $\sum_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$. Recall Cauchy's integral formula,

$$f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z - a} dz,$$

where Γ is a simple closed curve, and a is in the interior of Γ , and f is analytic on the interior of Γ . Let Γ be a simple closed curve contained in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(x)$. Define

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(z)(z - x)^{-1} dz.$$

- Integrals of functions from \mathbb{C} to A can be defined using Riemann sums.
- Makes sense as long as $z \notin \sigma(x)$.

Theorem 16.1. *If $\sigma(x)$ is contained in the interior of Γ , then*

1. $f_{\Gamma}(x)$ doesn't depend on Γ .
2. $f(x)$ only depends on values of f in some open set containing $\sigma(x)$.

We can define $A(\sigma(x))$, the algebra of locally analytic functions on $\sigma(x)$, as the algebra of all functions which are analytic on some open set containing $\sigma(x)$ modulo the following equivalence: $f \sim g$ if f and g are the same on some open set containing x .

Theorem 16.2. *Fix x in a unital Banach algebra. The analytic functional calculus $f \mapsto f(x)$ is a homomorphism from $A(\sigma(x)) \rightarrow A$. Moreover, for every power series $f(z) = \sum a_i z^i$ which converges on a disk centered at 0 and containing $\sigma(x)$, $f(x) = \sum a_i x^i$.*

Fun things to do. Take Ω to be a σ -finite measure space. Then $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, with pointwise operations and essential supremum norm is a unital commutative Banach algebra. If $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, what is $\sigma(f)$?

An easier question: when is f invertible? f is invertible if and only if there exists some $g \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $fg = 1$ almost everywhere, which is true if $g = \frac{1}{f}$ is defined almost everywhere and bounded, or equivalently, there exists some ε such that $|f| > \varepsilon$ almost everywhere.

So what is $\sigma(f)$? We have $\lambda \in \sigma(f)$ if and only if $f - \lambda$ is not invertible, or, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\mu(\{x : |f(x) - \lambda| < \varepsilon\}) > 0$. This set is called the essential range of range (points that the function gets arbitrarily close to on sets of positive measure).

Lecture 17

Recall that a (complex) Hilbert space is a complex vector space H with a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

1. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is linear in the first component.
2. $\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}$ for all $x, y \in H$.
3. $x \mapsto \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ is a complete norm.

Denote the space of bounded operators from $H \rightarrow H$ by $B(H)$. For any $T \in B(H)$, there exists some $T^* \in B(H)$ such that $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$ for all $x, y \in H$. True facts about the adjoint (here let $U \in B(H)$ as well):

1. $T^{**} = T$.
2. $(\lambda T + U)^* = \bar{\lambda}T^* + U^*$ (where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$).
3. $(TU)^* = U^*T^*$.

Definition 17.1. An involution on a complex algebra A is a map from A to A satisfying (1)-(3) above.

Lemma 17.1. If $T \in B(H)$, then $\|T\| = \|T^*\|$.

Proof. We have

$$\sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Ax\| = \|A\| = \sup_{\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1} |\langle Ax, y \rangle|.$$

(Take $y = Ax/\|Ax\|$ if $\|Ax\| \neq 0$ for \leq , Cauchy-Schwarz for \geq .) But

$$\|A^*\| = \sup_{\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1} |\langle A^*x, y \rangle| = \sup_{\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1} |\langle Ay, x \rangle| = \|A\|.$$

□

Proposition 17.1. If $T \in B(H)$, then $\|T^*T\| = \|T\|^2$.

Proof. First, we have

$$\|T^*T\| \leq \|T^*\| \|T\| = \|T\|^2.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|^2 &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \langle Tx, Tx \rangle \\ &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \langle T^*Tx, x \rangle \\ &\leq \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|T^*Tx\| \|x\| \\ &\leq \|T^*T\|, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Cauchy-Schwarz.

□

Definition 17.2. A C^* -algebra is a Banach algebra with an involution $*$ such that $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$ for all x .

We say x is self-adjoint if $x^* = x$, normal if $x^*x = xx^*$ and unitary if $x^*x = 1 = xx^*$ (if we're in a unital algebra). If A, B are two algebras with involutions, can define an x -homomorphism $\rho : A \rightarrow B$ as a homomorphism of algebras which preserves the involutions, that is, $\rho(x^{*A}) = (\rho(x))^{*B}$.

Example 17.1.

1. \mathbb{C} as an algebra over itself, where $*$ is complex conjugate.
2. $B(H)$, where H is a Hilbert space and $*$ is the adjoint.

3. $C_0(X)$, where X is a locally compact Hausdorff space, where $*$ is pointwise conjugation.
4. $L^\infty(\Omega)$, where Ω is a measure space, again $*$ is pointwise conjugation.
5. $C^*(T)$, where $T \in B(H)$ or $T \in A$, a C^* -algebra.
6. $C^*(T, 1)$.

Example 17.2.

1. What is $C^*(T)$, $C^*(1, T)$? In general, $C^*(\text{blah})$ is made of “words” in blah. If $T = T^* = T^2$ (we call this a projection), $T \neq 0, 1$, $T \in B(H)$, then $C^*(T) = \{\lambda T\}$, that is, scalar multiples of T . Also, $C^*(1, T) = \{\lambda T + \mu 1\} = \{\lambda T + \mu(1 - T)\}$. (The last one is sometimes helpful to use.)
2. Let T be the right shift on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. What is $C^*(T)$? Let $\{e_i\}$ be the standard basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Let f_{ij} be the operator that takes e_j to e_i and kills all other e_k . Then $C^*(T) \supseteq \{f_{ij}\}$ (Exercise). Turns out to be the sum of certain continuous multiplications operators and compact operators of some sort; it's a bit weird. Maybe get to it later.
3. What is $C^*(1, T)$ when $T = M_{\{\frac{1}{n}\}} \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$? Show that $C^*(1, T) = M_{(a_n)}$, where (a_n) is a convergent sequence.

Lecture 18

Proposition 18.1. *If A is a unital C^* -algebra, then $1^* = 1$ and $\|1\| = 1$.*

Proof. We have $1^*x = (x^*1)^* = (x^*)^* = x = x1^*$ for all $x \in A$, which implies that $1^* = 1$. Then

$$\|1\|^2 = \|1^*1\| = \|1\| \quad (\text{by Proposition 17.1}),$$

so $\|1\| \in \{0, 1\}$, but since A is unital, $\|1\| \neq 0$. □

Proposition 18.2. *If A is a C^* -algebra then $\|x^*\| = \|x\|$, and if x is normal, then $\|x^2\| = \|x\|^2$.*

Proof. We have $\|x\|^2 = \|x^*x\| \leq \|x\|\|x^*\|$. This gives $\|x\| \leq \|x^*\|$. Similarly, by considering $\|x^*\|^2$, we have $\|x^*\| \leq \|x\|$, so $\|x\| = \|x^*\|$. If x is normal, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^2\|^2 &= \|(x^2)^*x^2\| && \text{by } C^*\text{-property} \\ &= \|(x^*x)(x^*x)\| && \text{by normality} \\ &= \|x^*x\|^2 && \text{by } C^*\text{-property} \\ &= (\|x\|^2)^2 && \text{by } C^*\text{-property.} \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 18.3. *Any element in a C^* -algebra can be written uniquely as $x = y + iz$ with $y = y^*$ and $z = z^*$.*

Proof. Let $y = \frac{x+x^*}{2}$ and $z = \frac{x-x^*}{2i} = -\frac{i}{2}(x-x^*)$. Then

$$y + iz = \frac{1}{2}(x + x^*) + i \left(-\frac{i}{2}(x - x^*) \right) = x.$$

□

Lecture 19

Theorem 19.1. (*Stone-Weierstrass Theorem.*)

Suppose X is a compact Hausdorff space, and let $A \subseteq C_{\text{real}}(X)$ be a (real) subalgebra which contains $\mathbf{1}$ and separates points: for every $x, y \in X$, there exists some $f \in A$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Then A is uniformly dense in $C_{\text{real}}(X)$.

Example 19.1. Any $f \in C([a, b])$ can be uniformly approximated by polynomials.

Theorem 19.2. (*Stone-Weierstrass, complex version.*)

If $A \subseteq C(X)$ is a $*$ -algebra containing $\mathbf{1}$ and separating points, then A is uniformly dense in $C(X)$.

Example 19.2. In $C([0, 1])$, (complex) polynomials are uniformly dense. On $C(\mathbb{T})$, is $\{p(z)\}$ dense? No: it is not a $*$ -algebra. If we allow negative powers it would be (since the conjugate is the inverse).

Lemma 19.1. Let A be a unital commutative C^* -algebra. The Gelfand transform from A to $C(\text{sp}(A))$ is an isometry onto a subalgebra of $C(\text{sp}(A))$ which contains $\mathbf{1}$ and separates points.

Proof. “Contains $\mathbf{1}$ and separates points” always true: for any $\omega \in \text{sp}(A)$, $\hat{\mathbf{1}}(\omega) = \omega(\mathbf{1}) = 1$, so $\hat{\mathbf{1}} = 1 \in C(\text{sp}(A))$. For any distinct $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \text{sp}(A)$, there exists $x \in A$ such that $\omega_1(x) \neq \omega_2(x)$. Then $\hat{x}(\omega_1) = \omega_1(x) \neq \hat{x}(\omega_2)$.

Isometry follows from $\|x\|^2 = \|x^2\|$ for normal x , since A is commutative. □

What is missing for Gelfand transform to be surjective? If the image is a $*$ -algebra, then the image is dense in $C(\text{sp}(A))$ (S-W), so it is surjective (dense isometry).

Definition 19.1. The exponential map is given by

$$e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!},$$

for $x \in A$.

If $xy = yx$, then $e^{x+y} = e^x e^y$:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x+y)^n}{n!} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{n!} \right).$$

Fix a commutative unital C^* -algebra A .

Lemma 19.2. The Gelfand transform is a $*$ -homomorphism from A to $C(\text{sp}(A))$, that is, $\widehat{x^*} = \overline{\hat{x}}$.

Proof. The statement is equivalent to $\omega(x^*) = \overline{\omega(x)}$ for all $\omega \in \text{sp}(A)$.

Assume x is self-adjoint, and let $u_t = e^{itx} = \sum_n \frac{(itx)^n}{n!} = \sum_n \frac{(it)^n x^n}{n!}$. Then

$$u_t^* = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-it)^n}{n!} x^n = u_{-t},$$

and $u_t u_t^* = u_t^* u_t = e^{itx - itx} = \mathbf{1}$ (since itx and $-itx$ commute). Then $\|u_t\|^2 = \|u_t u_t^*\| = \|\mathbf{1}\| = 1$, so $\|u_t\| = 1$ for all t .

Claim: For any $\omega \in \text{sp}(A)$, $\omega(x) \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof of claim. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\omega(u_t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^n}{n!} \omega(x)^n = e^{it\omega(x)}.$$

So $e^{itx\omega(x)} = |\omega(u_t)| \leq 1$. This implies that $\omega(x)$ is real, since if it had an imaginary part, the t could be scaled to break that inequality. \square

So we have shown that $\omega(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x = x^*$ and $\omega \in \text{sp}(A)$. This implies $\omega(x^*) = \overline{\omega(x)}$ for all x : decompose $x = y + iz$ where y, z are self-adjoint. \square

Theorem 19.3. *Let A be a unital commutative C^* -algebra. The Gelfand transform is an isometric $*$ -isomorphism from A onto $C(\text{sp}(A))$.*

Proof. We proved that it is an isometry onto a subalgebra of $C(\text{sp}(A))$ containing $\mathbf{1}$ and separating points. Since t is a $*$ -homomorphism, the image is a $*$ -algebra. By S-W, the image is dense in $C(\text{sp}(A))$. But since it is an isometry, the image is complete. Therefore the image is all of $C(\text{sp}(A))$. \square

Theorem 19.4. *The category of unital commutative C^* -algebras (with continuous $*$ -homomorphisms) is equivalent to the category of compact Hausdorff spaces and continuous maps.*

If $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$, then for any $g \in C(Y)$, we have $C(X) \xleftarrow{f^*} C(Y)$: $f^*(g) = g \circ f$. Similarly, for $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ a continuous $*$ -homomorphism between unital commutative C^* -algebras, we can take $\text{sp}(A) \xleftarrow{\phi^*} \text{sp}(B)$ with $\phi^*(\omega) = \omega \circ \phi$. Applying the $*$ in both these cases commutes with composition.

Lecture 20

Proposition 20.1. *Suppose V and W are Banach spaces and W is finite-dimensional. Then $T : V \rightarrow W$ is continuous if and only if $\ker(T)$ is closed.*

Why? If $\ker(T)$ is closed, then $T : V/\ker(T) \rightarrow W$ is a linear map between two finite dimensional Banach spaces.

Exercise: If x is a normal element in a unital C^* -algebra A , then $\|x\| = r(x)$ (the spectral radius).

Gelfand transform is an isometry from $C^*(\mathbf{1}, x)$ to $C(\text{sp}(C^*(\mathbf{1}, x)))$. So $\|x\| = \sigma_{C^*(\mathbf{1}, x)}(x)$; what about $\sigma_A(x)$? See the next couple of theorems, everything is okay.

Theorem 20.1. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, $x = x^* \in A$. Then $\sigma(x) = \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Let $B = C^*(\mathbf{1}, x) \subseteq A$. Then $\sigma_B(x)$ is real (since the Gelfand transform of a self-adjoint element is a real function.) So $\sigma_A(x) \subseteq \sigma_B(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. \square

Theorem 20.2. *Let $B \subseteq A$ be unital C^* -algebras (where $\mathbf{1}_A \in B$ — we call this kind of inclusion a unital inclusion). For any $x \in B$, $\sigma_B(x) = \sigma_A(x)$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that if $x \in B$ is invertible in A , then $x^{-1} \in B$. Suppose $x \in B$ is invertible in A . Then xx^* is also invertible in A . Why? We have $xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x = \mathbf{1}$, so $(x^*)(x^{-1})^* = (x^{-1})^*(x^*) = \mathbf{1}^* = \mathbf{1}$. Then $(xx^*)(x^{-1})^*(x^{-1}) = \mathbf{1}$, and similarly for the other way.

Then xx^* is invertible in B , since xx^* is self-adjoint, so $\sigma(xx^*)$ is real and hence has no holes. (The spectrum can't get any bigger.)

It follows that x is invertible in B , since $x \underbrace{x^*}_{\in B} \underbrace{(xx^*)^{-1}}_{\in B} = \mathbf{1}$ (multiplying both sides by x^{-1} on the left shows that $x^{-1} \in B$). \square

Theorem 20.3. *Let T be a normal bounded operator on a Hilbert space. Then there is an isometric $*$ -isomorphism from $C^*(\mathbf{1}, T)$ to $C(\sigma(T))$ which sends T to $\zeta : z \mapsto z$.*

Proof. Let $A = C^*(\mathbf{1}, T)$. Consider $\hat{T} : \text{sp}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, sending $\omega \mapsto \omega(T)$. We claim that \hat{T} is a homeomorphism.

Proof of claim. First, \hat{T} is injective since $\hat{T}(\omega_1) = \hat{T}(\omega_2) \iff \omega_1(T) = \omega_2(T) \iff \omega_1 = \omega_2$. It is continuous by definition (\hat{x} is always continuous in the weak- $*$ topology). So \hat{T} is a continuous bijection from $\text{sp}(A)$ to a subset of \mathbb{C} ; hence, it is a homeomorphism from $\text{sp}(A)$ to $\sigma(T)$. \square

Then...

- Gelfand transform:

$$C^*(\mathbf{1}, T) \leftrightarrow C(\text{sp}(C^*(\mathbf{1}, T))).$$

- $\text{sp}(C^*(\mathbf{1}, T)) \leftrightarrow \sigma(T)$.

Putting this together, we have an isometric $*$ -isomorphism from $C^*(\mathbf{1}, T) \rightarrow C(\sigma(T))$, $\hat{T}(\omega_\lambda) = \lambda$.

(First we go from $C^*(\mathbf{1}, T) \rightarrow C(\text{sp}(C^*(\mathbf{1}, T)))$ via $T \mapsto \hat{T}$, then to $C(\sigma(T))$ via $\hat{T} \mapsto \hat{T}'$, where $\hat{T}'(\lambda) = \hat{T}(\omega_\lambda) = \omega(T) = \lambda$.) \square

Under the above map: $T \leftrightarrow z$, $T^2 \leftrightarrow z^2$ and $T^* \leftrightarrow \bar{z}$. Polynomials $p(T, T^*) \leftrightarrow p(z, \bar{z})$...

Lecture 21

Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space. Then $L^2(\Omega)$ (complex) is a Hilbert space and for any $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, we can define $M_f \in B(L^2(\Omega))$ by

$$M_f(g) = f \cdot g \quad \forall g \in L^2(\Omega).$$

True facts:

1. $\sigma(M_f)$ is the essential range of $f : \{\lambda : \mu(\{x : |f(x) - \lambda| < \varepsilon\}) > 0 \forall \varepsilon > 0\}$.
2. If μ is σ -finite, then $f \mapsto M_f$ is an isometric $*$ -isomorphism from $L^\infty(\Omega)$ to $B(L^2(\Omega))$.

Definition 21.1. A bounded operator T on a Hilbert space H is diagonalizable if it is unitarily equivalent to a multiplication operator, that is, if there exists a measure space (Ω, μ) and a unitary operator $U : H \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ and a function $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $T = U^* M_f U$.

Theorem 21.1. (*Spectral Theorem.*)

Let T be a normal bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space. Then T is diagonalizable.

What about the converse? Also true. We can show $(M_f)^* = M_{\bar{f}}$ and $M_{f \cdot g} = M_f M_g$, so $M_f (M_f)^* = M_{f \bar{f}} = M_{\bar{f} f} = (M_f)^* M_f$, and normality is preserved under unitary equivalence.

Sketch of Spectral Theorem. Recall the following construction:

$$\begin{aligned} C([0, 1]) &\subset L^\infty([0, 1]) \subset B(L^2([0, 1])) \\ f &\mapsto M_f. \end{aligned}$$

We already have a way to think about T as a continuous function ($\zeta : z \rightarrow z$ on $\sigma(T)$); $T \leftrightarrow \zeta \in C(\sigma(T))$. Want to write

$$C(\sigma(T)) \subset L^\infty(\sigma(T)) \subset B(L^2(\sigma(T))).$$

Idea of proof: show that T is unitarily equivalent to $M_\zeta \in B(L^2(\sigma(T)))$. We need a measure on $\sigma(T)$. We use the following:

Theorem 21.2. (*Riesz-Markov*):

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let ρ be a linear functional on $C(X)$ such that $\rho(f) \geq 0$ whenever $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$. Then there is a unique regular Borel measure μ on X such that $\rho(f) = \int f \, d\mu$ for all $f \in C(X)$.

Here the Borel σ -algebra is the smallest σ -algebra containing all open sets, and a regular measure is one where $\mu(Y) = \sup\{\mu(Z) : Z \subseteq Y, Z \text{ compact}\}$ for all $Y \subseteq X$. \square

Lecture 22

Definition 22.1. Let S be a set of operators on a Hilbert space H . A vector $\xi \in H$ is cyclic for S if $\overline{S\xi} = H$.

(So keep applying S and you get everything.)

Lemma 22.1. Let T be a normal bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space H , and suppose that H contains a cyclic vector for $C^*(\mathbf{1}, T)$. Then there is a regular Borel measure μ on $\sigma(T)$ such that T is unitarily equivalent to $M_z \in B(L^2(\sigma(T), \mu))$.

Proof. Consider $\rho : C(\sigma(T)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, given by $f \mapsto \langle f(T)\xi, \xi \rangle$. (Use the identification $C(\sigma(T)) \cong C^*(\mathbf{1}, T)$.)

We claim that ρ is a positive linear functional. To see this:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(af + g) &= \langle (af + g)(T)\xi, \xi \rangle \\ &= \langle [af(T) + g(T)]\xi, \xi \rangle \\ &= a\langle f(T)\xi, \xi \rangle + \langle g(T)\xi, \xi \rangle \\ &= a\rho(f) + \rho(g). \end{aligned}$$

If $f \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f) &= \langle f(T)\xi, \xi \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \left(\sqrt{f}\right)^2(T)\xi, \xi \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sqrt{f}(T)\sqrt{f}(T)\xi, \xi \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sqrt{f}(T)\xi, \left(\sqrt{f}(T)\right)^* \xi \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sqrt{f}(T)\xi, \overline{\sqrt{f}(T)\xi} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sqrt{f}(T)\xi, \sqrt{f}(T)\xi \right\rangle \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Riesz-Markov, there exists some μ on $\sigma(T)$ such that

$$\int f d\mu = \rho(f) = \langle f(T)\xi, \xi \rangle$$

for all $f \in C(\sigma(T))$.

Next, we want a unitary operator from $L^2(\sigma(T), \mu) \rightarrow H$. Define $U_0 : C(\sigma(T)) \rightarrow H$ with $f \mapsto f(T)\xi$.

We claim that for any $f, g \in C(\sigma(T))$, $\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\sigma(T), \mu)} = \langle U_0 f, U_0 g \rangle_H$: we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_0 f, U_0 g \rangle_H &= \langle f(T)\xi, g(T)\xi \rangle_H \\ &= \langle (g(T))^* f(T)\xi, \xi \rangle_H \\ &= \langle \bar{g}(T)f(T)\xi, \xi \rangle_H \\ &= \langle (\bar{g}f)(T)\xi, \xi \rangle_H \\ &= \int f \bar{g} d\mu \\ &= \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\sigma(T), \mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

True facts:

- $C(\sigma(T))$ is dense in $L^2(\sigma(T))$ (analysis result).
- The image of U_0 is $C^*(\mathbf{1}, T)\xi$, which is dense in H , since ξ is cyclic for $C^*(\mathbf{1}, T)$.

Therefore, U_0 extends to a unitary operator U from $L^2(\sigma(T), \mu)$ onto H .

Claim: $TU = UM_z$. Why? For $f \in C(\sigma(T)) \subseteq L^2(\sigma(T), \mu)$,

$$TUf = (Tf(T))\xi = (zf)(T)\xi = Uz f = UM_z f.$$

Since $C(\sigma(T))$ is dense in $L^2(\sigma(T), \mu)$, $TU = UM_z$.

For general T (normal bounded operator on a separable H), the basic idea is to write $H = \bigoplus_i H_i$ and $T = \bigoplus_i T_i$, such that each H_i is invariant for $C^*(\mathbf{1}_{H_i}, T_i)$ and contains a cyclic vector for $C^*(\mathbf{1}_{H_i}, T_i)$. We gotta make some bold claims.

- If H_i , $i \in I$ is a family of Hilbert spaces, can form $\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i$. If $T_i \in B(H_i)$, can form $\bigoplus_i T_i \in B(\bigoplus_i H_i)$ if $\|T_i\| \leq K$ for all i .
- A subspace W of H is invariant for a set of operators S if $T\xi \in W$ for all $\xi \in W, T \in S$.
- If W is invariant for a *-algebra of operators A , then $\xi \in W$ is cyclic for A if $\overline{A\xi} = W$.

Lemma.

1. Let H be separable. If $T \in B(H)$ is the direct sum of $T_i \in B(H_i)$, where $H = \bigoplus_i H_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and if T_i is diagonalizable on a σ -finite measure space for each i , then T is diagonalizable on σ -finite space as well.
2. If A is a *-algebra of operators on H , then H decomposes as a direct sum $H = \bigoplus_i H_i$, where each H_i is invariant for A , and contains a cyclic vector for A .

Proof. (sorta)

1. – Suffices to consider the case that each T_i is already diagonal, i.e. $T_i = M_{f_i} \in B(L^2(\Omega_i, \mu_i))$ with μ_i σ -finite.
- Let $H = L^2(\bigsqcup_i \Omega_i, \oplus_i \mu_i)$ and then $\bigoplus_i T_i = M_f$, where $f|_{\Omega_i} = f_i$.

□

What we actually need: if T is a normal bounded operator on H , then H breaks up as $H = \bigoplus_i H_i$, where each H_i is invariant under $C^*(\mathbf{1}_i, T_i)$, with a cyclic vector for $C^*(\mathbf{1}_i, T_i)$. □

Lecture 23

Theorem 23.1. (*Spectral Theorem.*)

Let T be a normal bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space. Then there is a σ -finite measure space (Ω, μ) and a function $f \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ such that T is unitarily equivalent to $M_f \in B(L^2(\Omega, \mu))$.

Proof. By the previous lemma part (2), we can write $H = \bigoplus_i H_i$, where each H_i is invariant for $C^*(\mathbf{1}, T)$ with a cyclic vector for $C^*(\mathbf{1}, T)$. Let $T_i = T|_{H_i}$. Then $T = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} T_i$ and each H_i is invariant for $C^*(\mathbf{1}, T_i)$ with a cyclic vector for $C^*(\mathbf{1}, T_i)$. Each T_i is diagonalizable. Since $T = \bigoplus_i T_i$, T is diagonalizable as well. □

Where does the measure in the proof of the spectral theorem live?

- If T has a cyclic vector, measure space is $\sigma(T)$.
- In general, the measure space is $\bigcup_i \sigma(T_i) \subseteq \bigcup_i \sigma(T)$ (each $\sigma(T_i) \subseteq \sigma(T)$, so the union is contained in a bunch of copies of $\sigma(T)$).

Example 23.1. Look at $I = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ (already diagonal). Then $\sigma(I) = \{1\}$. There is no way to have $L^2(\sigma(I)) \cong \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ for any measure on $\sigma(I)$. If we do the construction in the proof above, every subspace is invariant:

$$\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) = \ell^2(\{1\}) \oplus \ell^2(\{2\}) \oplus \dots$$

and the measure space we end up with is $\{1\} \cup \{1\} \cup \dots \cong \mathbb{N}$.

Lecture 24

Example 24.1. Let $H = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, and let $T \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}))$ defined by $(T\xi)_n = \xi_{n+1}$, where $\xi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Now, $(\dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ is a cyclic vector for $C^*(\mathbf{1}, T)$. Let $H = L^2(\mathbb{T})$ with Lebesgue measure, with orthonormal basis $\{\frac{z^n}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. We want to take $z^n \mapsto z^{n-1}$. This is just multiplication by $\frac{1}{z}$. Just remains to show T is unitarily equivalent to $M_{\frac{1}{z}}$ (identify $(\dots, 0, \underbrace{1}_{n^{\text{th}}}, 0, \dots) \mapsto \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$). What is $\sigma(T)$?

$$\sigma(T) = \text{ess range}(f) = \mathbb{T}.$$

Example 24.2. (Non-example.)

Let $T \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$, and $(T\xi)_{n+1} = \xi_n$. It is not a normal operator, so it is not diagonalizable. But we can do the following. Let $H^2(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{T})$ be the subspace generated by z^n , $n \geq 0$. Let $M_z \in B(H^2(\mathbb{T}))$ be the multiplication operator of z . Then T is unitarily equivalent to M_z .

Example 24.3. Compact normal operators.

Lemma. Let T be a normal compact operator on a separable Hilbert space. Then every $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma(T)$ is isolated, and has a finite dimensional eigenspace.

Proof. Second part: If $\lambda \neq 0$ has an infinite dimensional eigenspace, then there exists an orthonormal sequence e_1, e_2, \dots , such that $Te_i = \lambda e_i$, which contradicts the compactness of T .

It suffices to consider the case when $T = M_f \in B(L^2(\Omega, \mu))$. Then as before, $\sigma(T) = \text{ess range}(f)$. Suppose $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma(T)$ which is not isolated, i.e. $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$, where $\lambda_n \in \sigma(T)$. Take $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that the disks $|\lambda_n - z| < \varepsilon_n$ are disjoint. Then there exist sets of finite positive measure E_n such that $|f(E_n) - \lambda_n| < \varepsilon_n$ for all n . Then for n large,

$$\|(M_f - \lambda)\chi_{E_n}\| \leq |f - \lambda|\mu(E_n) \leq \varepsilon$$

(for n large). Here we've used $|f - \lambda| \leq |f - \lambda_n| + |\lambda_n - \lambda|$, etc.

Summary: if $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda \neq 0$ in the essential range of f , we can find an orthonormal sequence of vectors in L^2 which are "very close" to being eigenvectors with eigenvalue λ , so their images under M_f are bounded apart, which contradicts compactness. \square

Theorem 24.1. Let T be a compact normal operator on H . Then H admits an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for T . Moreover,

$$T = \sum \lambda_n E_n,$$

where the λ_n are the eigenvalues, the E_n are the corresponding eigenspaces, and convergence is in norm.

Proof. Assume $\sigma(T)$ is an infinite set. Consider $z \in C(\sigma(T))$. Since every $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma(T)$ is isolated, $\chi_{\{\lambda\}}$ is continuous. Claim: $z = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \chi_{\{\lambda_i\}}$ in sup norm.

To see this, use some mad wizardry:

$$\left\| z - \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \chi_{\{\lambda_i\}} \right\| = \sup_{i > N} |\lambda_i| \rightarrow 0$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

From the claim,

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \chi_{\{\lambda_i\}}(T).$$

Now, the $\chi_{\{\lambda_i\}}$ are non-zero, mutually orthogonal projections. Exercise: show that the $\chi_{\{\lambda_i\}}$ ($\lambda_i \neq 0$) are the projections onto the eigenspaces of λ_i . \square

Lecture 25

Some true facts that might be useful.

- Commutative C^* -algebra \leftrightarrow compact Hausdorff space
- Positive linear functional ($\rho(f) \geq 0$ for $f \geq 0$) \leftrightarrow regular Borel measure.

Hint for assignment problem: self-adjoint elements in a C^* -algebra generates commutative C^* -algebras, so all spectrum and norm properties can be described by looking at $C(X)$.

Topologies from seminorms

Definition 25.1. A seminorm ρ on a complex vector space V is a function $\rho : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that:

- $\rho(\lambda v) = |\lambda|\rho(v)$.
- $\rho(v_1 + v_2) \leq \rho(v_1) + \rho(v_2)$.

Definition 25.2. A family $\{\rho_i\}$ of seminorms on V is *separating* if $\rho_i(v) = 0$ for all i implies $v = 0$.

Definition 25.3. Given a separating family of seminorms $\{\rho_i\}$ on V , the initial topology on V is the coarsest topology which makes all the functions $v \mapsto \rho_i(v - v_0)$ continuous.

- This makes V into a locally convex topological vector space.
- A net x_n converges to x if and only if $\rho_i(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ for all i .

(We already know that $x_n \rightarrow x$ if $\rho_i(x_n - x_0) \rightarrow \rho_i(x - x_0)$ for all i, x_0 .)

Topologies on $B(H)$:

1. Operator norm topology (“semi” norm $T \mapsto \|T\|$).
2. Weak topology (makes all bounded linear functionals continuous).
3. Strong operator topology (S.O.T.) — seminorms $\{T \mapsto \|T\xi\|, \xi \in H\}$.
4. Weak operator topology (W.O.T.) — seminorms $\{T \mapsto |\langle T\xi, \eta \rangle|, \xi, \eta \in H\}$.

We have

$$\text{W.O.T.} \subset \text{S.O.T.} \subset \text{Norm topology.}$$

Why? Look at convergence of nets. In W.O.T., $T_n \rightarrow T$ iff

$$|\langle (T_n - T)\xi, \eta \rangle| \rightarrow 0$$

for all ξ, η , and $|\langle (T_n - T)\xi, \eta \rangle| \leq \|T_n - T\| \|\xi\| \|\eta\|$. In S.O.T., $T_n \rightarrow T$ iff

$$\|(T_n - T)\xi\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all $\xi \in H$, and $\|(T_n - T)\xi\| \leq \|T_n - T\| \|\xi\|$. In norm topology, $T_n \rightarrow T$ iff $\|T_n - T\| \rightarrow 0$.

Is $*$ continuous? It is norm continuous, *not* continuous in S.O.T., but continuous in W.O.T.

Proof that $*$ is W.O.T. continuous: If $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{W.O.T.}} T$, then $|\langle (T_n - T)\xi, \eta \rangle| \rightarrow 0$ for all $\xi, \eta \in H$. Then $|\langle \xi, (T_n^* - T^*)\eta \rangle| \rightarrow 0$ for all $\xi, \eta \in H$, or $|\langle (T_n^* - T^*)\eta, \xi \rangle| \rightarrow 0$ for all $\xi, \eta \in H$. So $T_n^* \xrightarrow{\text{W.O.T.}} T^*$.

Proof that $*$ is not S.O.T. continuous: Let S be the right shift on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, and look at the sequence S^n . Exercise: S^n has no strong limit. What about $(S^n)^* = (S^*)^n$? Another exercise: $(S^n)^* \xrightarrow{\text{S.O.T.}} 0$.

In fact, in the norm limit, both S^n and $(S^n)^*$ has no limit. In S.O.T., S^n has no limit while $(S^n)^*$ goes to zero. In W.O.T., they both go to zero.

Lecture 26

Definition 26.1. Let $S \subseteq B(H)$ be a set of bounded operators. The commutant is given by

$$S' = \{T \in B(H) : TR = RT \text{ for all } R \in S\}.$$

Proposition 26.1. *With the above notation,*

1. $S \subseteq S''$.
2. S' is W.O.T. closed.
3. If $S = S^*$, then $(S')^* = S'$.

Proof.

1. If $T \in S$, $R \in S'$, then $TR = RT$ by definition of S' , so $T \in S''$ by definition of S'' .
2. Let $T_n \in S'$ be a net, and suppose $T_n \xrightarrow{\text{W.O.T.}} T$ (that is, $\langle T_n \xi, \eta \rangle \rightarrow \langle T \xi, \eta \rangle$ for all $\xi, \eta \in H$). We want to show that $T \in S'$ as well. Let $R \in S$ and $\xi, \eta \in H$. Then

$$\langle RT_n \xi, \eta \rangle = \langle T_n \xi, R^* \eta \rangle.$$

The LHS equals $\langle T_n R \xi, \eta \rangle$ which goes to $\langle TR \xi, \eta \rangle$, while the RHS goes to $\langle T \xi, R^* \eta \rangle = \langle RT \xi, \eta \rangle$.

3. Exercise.

□

Let A be a $*$ -algebra of bounded operators on H containing I . Then

$$A \subseteq \bar{A}^{\text{W.O.T.}} \subseteq A''.$$

Theorem 26.1. (*von Neumann's Double Commutant Theorem.*)

Let A be a $*$ -algebra of bounded operators on H containing I . The following are equivalent:

1. $A = A''$.
2. A is W.O.T. closed.
3. A is S.O.T. closed.

Definition 26.2. A von Neumann algebra is a $*$ -algebra of bounded operators on a Hilbert space which is closed in the W.O.T.

True fact: Any vN algebra is a C^* -algebra.

Example 26.1.

1. $\mathbb{C}I$.
2. $B(H)$.

3. Let Ω be a σ -finite measure space, so that $L^\infty(\Omega) \subseteq B(L^2(\Omega))$. Then $L^\infty(\Omega)$ is a vN algebra: let $A = L^\infty(\Omega) \subseteq B(L^2(\Omega))$, then $A \subseteq A'$, since A is commutative. Claim: $A' \subseteq A$ (this would imply $A = A' = A''$). To see this, let $T \in A'$, so that $TM_f = M_fT$ for all $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Assume Ω is a finite measure space. Let $h = T(1)$. Then $h \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ (exercise: follows from boundedness of T).

Let $g \in L^\infty(\Omega) \subseteq L^2(\Omega)$. Then

$$T(g) = TM_g(1) = M_gT(1) = M_g h = gh = hg = M_h(g).$$

Since L^∞ is $\|\cdot\|_2$ -dense in L^2 , $T = M_h$.

Exercise: $C([0, 1]) \subseteq L^\infty([0, 1])$ (here $C([0, 1])$ is equipped with sup norm; L^∞ , with essential sup). They're both C^* -algebras, and L^∞ is also a vN algebra.

Theorem 26.2. *Every commutative vN algebra on a separable Hilbert space is isometrically $*$ -isomorphic to $L^\infty(\Omega) \subseteq B(L^2(\Omega))$ for some σ -finite Ω .*

So we have identifications between commutative C^* -algebras and compact Hausdorff spaces, and between commutative vN algebras and σ -finite measure spaces.

Lecture 27

Theorem 27.1. (Spectral Theorem [V1]).

1. Let T be a normal bounded operator on a separable H such that $C^*(1, T)$ has a cyclic vector in H . Then there is a measure μ on $\sigma(T)$ such that T is unitarily equivalent to $M_z \in B(L^2(\sigma(T), \mu))$.
2. Let T be a normal bounded operator on a separable H . Then there is a (finite or infinite) sequence of measures (μ_n) on $\sigma(T)$ such that T is unitarily equivalent to $M_z \in B(L^2(\Omega, \mu))$, where $(\Omega, \mu) = \bigcup (\sigma(T), \mu_n)$. Here Ω is a disjoint union of a bunch of copies of $\sigma(T)$.

Definition 27.1. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. The algebra of bounded Borel functions $B_b(X)$ is a C^* -algebra with supremum norm.

Theorem 27.2. (Spectral Theorem [V2]).

Let T be a normal bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space H . Then there is a unique $*$ -homomorphism π from $B_b(\sigma(T))$ to $B(H)$ such that:

1. π agrees with the continuous functional calculus on $C(\sigma(T)) \subseteq B_b(\sigma(T))$.
2. If f_n is a uniformly bounded sequence of Borel functions converging pointwise to 0, then $\pi(f_n) \xrightarrow{S.O.T.} 0$.

Proof. Problem Sheet 5. □

Say $\Omega = \sigma(T) \cup \sigma(T) \cup \sigma(T)$ (3 copies), $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3$. Then

$$f \in C(\sigma(T)) \rightarrow M_f \in B(L^2(\Omega, \mu)).$$

Is it true that $\|M_f\| = \|f\|_\infty$? It's kinda easier to see $\|M_f\| \leq \|f\|_\infty$, for \geq : if $M = |f(z_0)| = \|f\|_\infty$ then there is some $U \ni z_0$ such that $|f(z) - M| < \varepsilon$ for $z \in U$, then $\|M_f \chi_U\|_2$ is "almost" $M\|\chi_U\|_2$ (this is related to Q2(ii) in PS5).

To look at uniqueness (see Q3) — the idea is to show that $\langle \pi(f)\xi, \xi \rangle$ is determined by (1) and (2) in Theorem 27.2.

Theorem 27.3. (*Spectral Theorem [V2']*). *How many of these are there?!*

Let T be a normal bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space. Then there is a finite measure μ on $\sigma(T)$ and an isometric $*$ -isomorphism from $L^\infty(\sigma(T), \mu)$ to the vN algebra generated by T which extends the continuous functional calculus.

Example 27.1. Remember C^* -algebra $\leftrightarrow C([0, 1])$, vN algebra $\leftrightarrow L^\infty([0, 1])$, one contained in the other. Projection ($p = p^* = p^2$) in a C^* -algebra: if $p \in B(H)$, $p = p^* = p^2$ is equivalent to saying p projects onto a closed subspace of H . Prove that the only projections on $C([0, 1])$ are 0, 1.

Proof. Let $f \in C([0, 1])$ be a projection. Then $f = f^2$, so $f(x) = (f(x))^2$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$, so $f(x) \in \{0, 1\}$ for all x . Since f is continuous and $[0, 1]$ is connected, this implies that $f \equiv 0$ or $f \equiv 1$. \square

In $L^\infty([0, 1])$, projections are χ_E for all measurable E .

Lecture 28

Projections in $B(H)$ correspond to closed subspaces; in L^∞ , to measurable sets modulo sets of measure zero.

True facts about projections in $B(H)$:

1. There is a partial order given by $p_1 \leq p_2 \iff p_1 H \subseteq p_2 H \iff p_1 p_2 = p_1$.
2. They form a lattice: every 2 elements have a supremum (write \vee) and infimum (write \wedge); $p_1 \wedge p_2$ is a projection onto $p_1 H \cap p_2 H$, and $p_1 \vee p_2$ is a projection onto $p_1 H + p_2 H$.
3. Complete: $\bigwedge_{i \in I} p_i$ is a projection onto $\bigcap_{i \in I} p_i H$, and $\bigvee_{i \in I} p_i$ is a projection onto $\overline{\sum_{i \in I} p_i H}$.

Lemma 28.1. Let (p_n) be a sequence of mutually orthogonal projections. Then $\bigvee_n p_n = \sum_{n=1}^\infty p_n$ (convergence in S.O.T.).

Proof. We want to compare $\bigvee_n p_n \xi$ to $\sum_{n=1}^N p_n \xi$ for vectors $\xi \in H$. If $\xi \in (\bigvee_n p_n)^\perp$ then $\bigvee_n p_n \xi = \sum_{n=1}^N p_n \xi = 0$. If $\xi \in (\bigvee_n p_n) H$, then $\sum_{n=1}^N p_n \xi \rightarrow \bigvee_n p_n \xi$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. \square

In fact, for any vN algebra A , the projections in A form a complete lattice. But *not* for C^* -algebras.

Example 28.1. If K is the C^* -algebra of compact operators, K contains all finite rank projections, but no infinite rank projections.

Definition 28.1. A spectral measure on a Hilbert space H is a function $P : \{\text{Borel sets in } C\} \rightarrow \{\text{projections in } B(H)\}$ such that:

1. $P(\emptyset) = 0$.
2. $P(\mathbb{C}) = I$.
3. $P(\bigcup E_n) = \sum_n P(E_n)$ (convergence in S.O.T.) if E_n is a sequence of mutually disjoint Borel sets.

Lemma 28.2. Let P be a spectral measure. Then:

1. If $E_1 \subseteq E_2$, then $P(E_1) \leq P(E_2)$.

2. If $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$, then $P(E_1) \perp P(E_2)$.

3. $P(E_1 \cap E_2) = P(E_1)P(E_2)$.

Proof.

1. $P(E_2) = P(E_1 \cup (E_2 \setminus E_1)) = P(E_1) + P(E_2 \setminus E_1)$.

2. $P(E_1) = P(E_1 \setminus E_2) + P(E_1 \cap E_2) = P(E_1 \setminus E_2) \leq P(\mathbb{C} \setminus E_2) = P(\mathbb{C}) - P(E_2) = I - P(E_2)$.

3.

$$\begin{aligned} P(E_1) &= P(E_1 \setminus E_2) + P(E_1 \cap E_2), \\ P(E_2) &= P(E_2 \setminus E_1) + P(E_1 \cap E_2), \\ P(E_1)P(E_2) &= 0 + 0 + 0 + P(E_1 \cap E_2). \end{aligned}$$

□

Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \chi_{E_i} \in B_b(\mathbb{C})$. We can define

$$\int f \, dP = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i P(E_i) \in B(H).$$

Theorem 28.1. *Given a spectral measure (supported on a compact set $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}$) P , for every $f \in B_b(X)$, there exists some $T_f \in B(H)$ such that*

$$\langle T_f \xi, \xi \rangle = \int f \, dP,$$

where $\mu_\xi(E) = \langle P(E)\xi, \xi \rangle$ is the measure assigned to ξ .

Theorem 28.2. *Spectral Theorem.*

Let T be a normal bounded operator on a separable H . Then there is a spectral measure P supported on $\sigma(T)$ such that

$$\int z \, dP = T.$$

Lecture 29

Lemma 29.1. *Let P be a spectral measure on H . Then for each $\xi \in H$,*

$$\mu_\xi(E) = \langle P(E)\xi, \xi \rangle$$

is a finite measure in \mathbb{C} .

We check additivity — for E_i pairwise disjoint,

$$\mu_\xi \left(\bigcup_i E_i \right) = \left\langle P \left(\bigcup_i E_i \right) \xi, \xi \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_i P(E_i) \xi, \xi \right\rangle = \sum_i \mu_\xi(E_i).$$

Theorem 29.1. *Let P be a spectral measure on H , and let f be a bounded Borel function on \mathbb{C} . Then there exists a unique operator*

$$\int f dP \in B(H)$$

such that

$$\left\langle \left(\int f dP \right) \xi, \xi \right\rangle = \int f d\mu_\xi.$$

Claim: Any operator $T \in B(H)$ is determined by $\xi \mapsto \langle T\xi, \xi \rangle$.

- T is determined by $(\xi, \eta) \mapsto \langle T\xi, \eta \rangle$.
- Polarization:

$$\langle T\xi, \eta \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \left[\sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \langle T(\xi + i^k \eta), \xi + i^k \eta \rangle \right].$$

For existence: start with $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_f = \int f d\mu_\xi$. Then define

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_f := \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 \langle \xi + i^k \eta, \xi + i^k \eta \rangle_f.$$

Check that this is a bounded sesquilinear form. (A sesquilinear form is any $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ linear in the first coordinate and anti-linear in the second. Bounded if $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \leq M \|\xi\| \|\eta\|$ for some M .)

Theorem 29.2. *Let P be a spectral measure on H . The map $f \mapsto \int f dP$ is a continuous $*$ -homomorphism from $B_b(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B(H)$. Moreover, if f_n is a uniformly bounded sequence of functions converging pointwise to 0, then $\int f_n dP$ converges in S.O.T. to 0.*

Theorem 29.3. *(Spectral Theorem for Normal Operators).*

Let T be a normal bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space. Then there is a unique extension of the continuous functional calculus to $B_b(\sigma(T))$ which satisfies the convergence property in the previous theorem. (No longer \cong .)

Theorem 29.4. *(Spectral Theorem for Self-Adjoint Operators).*

There is a spectral measure P supported on $\sigma(T)$ such that $\int z dP = T$.

Proof. What is P ? $P(E) = \chi_E(T) \in B(H)$. Need to check:

- $P(E)$ is a projection. (Since χ_E is a projection in $B_b(\sigma(T))$, ...)
- P is a spectral measure — use the convergence property in Theorem 29.2 to show that if E_i are disjoint sets, then

$$P \left(\bigcup_i E_i \right) = \chi_{\bigcup_i E_i}(T) = \sum_i \chi_{E_i}(T) = \sum_i P(E_i).$$

- For every $f \in B_b(\sigma(T))$, $f(T) = \int f dP$. (True for characteristic functions by definition, then true for simple functions by linearity, then true for all bounded Borel functions by an approximation argument.)

The condition from the theorem that $\int z dP = T$ would just be a special case of the third point above. \square

How do we actually find a spectral measure for an operator T ? $P(E) = \chi_E(T) \leftarrow$ Borel functional calculus. So what's the deal with the Borel functional calculus?

- Agrees with continuous functional calculus (where the function is continuous).
- (*) If f can be bounded pointwise by a uniformly bounded sequence of continuous functions, then $f(T) = \lim_{S.O.T.} f_n(T)$.

Lecture 30

Example 30.1. Let T be a compact normal operator on a separable Hilbert space H . Recall $T = \sum_n \lambda_n P_{\lambda_n}$ where $\{\lambda_n\}$ are eigenvalues and P_{λ_n} are eigenspaces. Then $\sigma(T) = \overline{\{\lambda_n\}}$. Continuous functions \leftrightarrow convergent sequences.

- If $\lambda_n \neq 0$, $\chi_{\{\lambda_n\}}$ is continuous — $\chi_{\lambda_n}(T) = P_{\lambda_n} = P(\{\lambda_n\})$.
- Otherwise, $P(\{0\}) = \chi_{\{0\}}(T) = I - \sum_{\lambda_n \neq 0} P(\{\lambda_n\}) =$ eigenspace of 0.

Example 30.2. Let $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, where Ω is a σ -finite measure space. Consider $M_f \in B(L^2(\Omega))$. What is the Borel/continuous functional calculus, and what is the spectral measure?

$\sigma(M_f)$ = essential range of f . Now, $f(x) \in \sigma(M_f)$ almost everywhere, use a rational density argument. So we might as well assume $f(x) \in \sigma(M_f)$.

Now:

Continuous functional calculus	$C(\sigma(M_f)) \rightarrow B(L^2(\Omega))$
Borel	$B_b(\sigma(M_f)) \rightarrow B(L^2(\Omega))$
Spectral measure	Borel subsets of $\sigma(M_f)$ /Characteristic functions
	\rightarrow
	projections in $B(L^2(\Omega))$.

Let $g = z$, identity function on $\sigma(M_f)$. Then $g(M_f) = M_f$. What about $g = z^2$? It's a $*$ -homomorphism, so

$$g(M_f) = z^2(M_f) = z(M_f) \cdot z(M_f) = M_f \cdot M_f = M_{f^2} = M_{z^2 \circ f}.$$

Similarly, for any polynomial $g = p(z)$, $g(M_f) = p(z)(M_f) = M_{p(z) \circ f}$. For g an arbitrary bounded Borel function on $\sigma(M_f)$, we also have $g(M_f) = M_{g \circ f}$ — for continuous functions, norm approximate by polynomials, and for arbitrary bounded Borel functions, pointwise approximation by continuous functions.

Spectral projections? $P(E) = \chi_E(M_f) = M_{\chi_E \circ f} = M_{\chi_{f^{-1}(E)}}$.

Example 30.3. Let $f = x \in L^\infty([0, 1])$, $M_f \in B(L^2([0, 1]))$, $\sigma(M_f) = [0, 1]$. What is $P([\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}])$? From the previous example,

$$P\left(\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}\right]\right) = M_{\chi_{[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}]}}.$$

Similarly for $f = z \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$, where X is a compact subset of \mathbb{C} .

Example 30.4. Let T be a normal bounded operator. What is $P(\{\lambda\})$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$? May as well assume that $T = M_f$ on some $L^2(\Omega)$. Then

$$P(\{\lambda\}) = \chi_{f^{-1}(\{\lambda\})} = \chi_{\{x \in \Omega: f(x) = \lambda\}} = P \text{ onto eigenspace of } \lambda.$$

Example 30.5. Let $T : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, $e_i \mapsto e_{i+1}$. We saw $\sigma(T) = \mathbb{T}$. What is the spectral measure? T is unitarily equivalent to $M_z \in B(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$. Spectral measure of M_z : $P_z(E) = M_{\chi_E} \in B(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$. To translate to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, need to know how to write χ_E in terms of z^n .

Lecture 31

Trace-Class and Hilbert-Schmidt Operators

Lemma 31.1. Let T be a bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space with basis $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and let $E_n = P(\text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\})$. Then T is compact if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(1 - E_n)T(1 - E_n)\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. If RHS is true, then T is a norm limit of finite rank operators. If RHS is false, can find an orthogonal sequence of vectors in the image of the unit ball under T which is bounded away from 0. \square

For a compact operator, the ‘diagonal’ entries of the (infinite dimensional) ‘matrix’ must go to 0. But that doesn’t mean we can just define a trace by adding them all up, so we’d like to know when we can take a trace. Recall that if $x \in A$, where A is a C^* -algebra, then x is positive if $x = x^*$ and $\sigma(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$.

Lemma 31.2. If $T \in B(H)$, then T is positive if and only if $\langle T\xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$ for all $\xi \in H$.

Proof. \Rightarrow :

Suppose $T \geq 0$. Then the continuous functional calculus takes T to $x \in C(\sigma(T))$. Then $\sqrt{T} = \sqrt{x}(T)$ is self-adjoint, and $T = \sqrt{T} \cdot \sqrt{T}$. Then,

$$\langle T\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle \sqrt{T}\xi, \sqrt{T}\xi \rangle \geq 0.$$

Alternatively, $T \sim M_f \in B(L^2(\Omega))$, where $f \geq 0$. Then

$$\langle T\xi, \xi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f g \bar{g} \geq 0.$$

\Leftarrow :

If $\langle T\xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$, then $\langle T^*\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle \xi, T\xi \rangle = \overline{\langle T\xi, \xi \rangle} = \langle T\xi, \xi \rangle$. This implies that

$$\langle T\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle T^*\xi, \eta \rangle$$

for all ξ, η (polarization). Hence $T = T^*$. Then $T \sim M_f$, and we must have $f \geq 0$ almost everywhere, so $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$. \square

Lemma 31.3. If $T \geq 0$, there exists $R \geq 0$ such that $T = R^2$.

Fix $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, an orthonormal basis for H . For $T \geq 0$, define

$$\text{tr}(T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T e_n, e_n \rangle \in [0, \infty].$$

Then $\text{tr}(T_1 + T_2) = \text{tr}(T_1) + \text{tr}(T_2)$ and $\text{tr}(\lambda T) = \lambda \text{tr}(T)$, for $\lambda \geq 0$.

True fact: For any operator T , $T^*T \geq 0$. Why? $\langle T^*T\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle T\xi, T\xi \rangle \geq 0$. It is true for any normal element x of a C^* -algebra: $x \leftrightarrow z \in C(\sigma(x))$ (the identity). Then $x^*x \leftrightarrow \bar{z}z \in C(\sigma(x))$.

What about non-normal elements in a C^* -algebra? It's still a true fact but it's not so easy to prove.

Lemma 31.4.

1. $\text{tr}(T^*T) = \text{tr}(TT^*)$ for $T \in B(H)$.
2. $\text{tr}(UTU^*) = \text{tr}(T)$ for all $T \geq 0$ and unitary U in $B(H)$.
3. $\text{tr}(T)$ does not depend on $\{e_n\}$.

Proof.

1. Consider $|\langle T e_i, e_j \rangle|^2 = |\langle e_i, T^* e_j \rangle|^2$, where $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(T^*T) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T^*T e_n, e_n \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|T e_n\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\langle T e_n, e_m \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\langle e_n, T^* e_m \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle T^* e_m, e_n \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|T^* e_m\|^2 \\ &= \text{tr}(TT^*). \end{aligned}$$

2. Let $T \geq 0$, U unitary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(UTU^*) &= \text{tr}(\underbrace{UT^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{\text{unitary}} \underbrace{T^{\frac{1}{2}}U^*}_{\text{unitary}}) \\ &= \text{tr}(T^{\frac{1}{2}}U^*UT^{\frac{1}{2}}) \end{aligned}$$

3. Exercise: it will follow from the previous part.

□

Definition 31.1. An operator is *trace-class* if it is a finite linear combination of positive operators of finite trace. We can always write $T = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} i^k T_k$ with each $T_k \geq 0$, $\text{tr}(T_k) < \infty$ if T is trace-class.

Lecture 32

Trace-class operators: $\mathcal{L}^1 = \text{span}\{T \in B(H) : T \geq 0, \text{tr}(T) < \infty\}$.

Hilbert-Schmidt operators: $\mathcal{L}^2 = \{T \in B(H) : T^*T \in \mathcal{L}^1\}$.

Aside: what's the deal with so many spectral theorems? For normal $T \in B(H)$, H separable: continuous functional calculus and Riesz-Markov implies that for all $\xi \in H$, there exists a Borel measure μ_ξ on $\sigma(T)$ such that $\int f d\mu_\xi = \langle f(T)\xi, \xi \rangle$ for all $f \in C(\sigma(T))$. Idea of all the versions of the spectral theorem comes from this collection of measures, where we've seen

- Diagonalization (but here we choose a particular measure so we did all that junk with the cyclic vectors).
- Borel functional calculus.
- Spectral measure/integral.

For $T \in \mathcal{L}^1$, write $T = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k T_k$, $T_k \geq 0$, $\text{tr}(T_k) < \infty$. Define

$$\text{tr}(T) = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \text{tr}(T_k) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T e_n, e_n \rangle \quad (\text{absolutely convergent sum})$$

with some orthonormal basis.

Proposition 32.1. $T \in \mathcal{L}^2$ if and only if $\sum_{m,n} |\langle T e_m, e_n \rangle|^2 < \infty$ for some orthonormal basis.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & T \in \mathcal{L}^2 \\ \iff & T^*T \in \mathcal{L}^1 \\ \iff & \text{tr}(T^*T) < \infty \\ \iff & \sum_n \langle T^*T e_n, e_n \rangle < \infty \\ \iff & \sum_n \|T e_n\|^2 = \sum_{m,n} |\langle T e_m, e_n \rangle|^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 32.2. *We have*

$$\{\text{Finite Rank}\} \subset \mathcal{L}^1 \subset \mathcal{L}^2 \subset \{\text{Compact}\}.$$

Proof. First inclusion: you can pick an orthonormal basis to make the matrix finite, can sum stuff.

Second:

$$\begin{aligned} & T \in \mathcal{L}^1 \\ \implies & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle T e_n, e_n \rangle| < \infty \\ \implies & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle T^*T e_n, e_n \rangle| \leq \|T\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle T e_n, e_n \rangle| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Third:

$$\begin{aligned}
& T \in \mathcal{L}^2 \\
& \implies \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Te_n\|^2 < \infty \\
& \implies T \text{ compact (Exercise.)}
\end{aligned}$$

(Related to Lemma 31.1.)

Are inclusions proper? The chain of inclusions has the following analogy:

$$\dots \subset \ell^1(\mathbb{N}) \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \subset c_0(\mathbb{N}).$$

This ‘analogy’ is exact if we consider normal operators, since we can diagonalise compact operators into some multiplication on $c_0(\mathbb{N})$, etc. The stuff in the statement of the proposition is sort of like the non-commutative generalisation — but that’s enough for us to see why the inclusions are proper. \square

Proposition 32.3. \mathcal{L}^2 is a $*$ -ideal.

Proof.

- $*$ -closed: $\text{tr}(T^*T) = \text{tr}(TT^*) = \text{tr}((T^*)^*T^*) \implies T \in \mathcal{L}^2$ iff $T^* \in \mathcal{L}^2$.
- Addition: $T, R \in \mathcal{L}^2 \implies (T+R)^*(T+R) + (T-R)^*(T-R) = 2T^*T + 2R^*R \implies T+R \in \mathcal{L}^2$.
- Left multiplication: $T \in \mathcal{L}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr}((RT)^*(RT)) &= \text{tr}(T^*R^*RT) \\
&= \sum_n \langle T^*R^*RTe_n, e_n \rangle \\
&= \sum_n \|RTe_n\|^2 \\
&\leq \|R\|^2 \text{tr}(T^*T) \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

\square

Define inner product $\langle T, R \rangle = \text{tr}(\underbrace{R^*T}_{\in \mathcal{L}^1 \text{ by polarization}})$ for $T, R \in \mathcal{L}^2$; $\|T\|_2 = \langle T, T \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $T \in \mathcal{L}^2$.

Proposition 32.4.

1. For $T \in \mathcal{L}^2$, $\|T\|^2 \leq \text{tr}(T^*T) = \|T\|_2^2$.
2. \mathcal{L}^2 is a Hilbert space.

Proof.

1. $\|T\|^2 = \sup_{\|e\|=1} \|Te\|^2 \leq \text{tr}(T^*T)$, since there’s lots of other stuff to add in the trace.
2. If T_n is Cauchy in $\|\cdot\|_2$, it’s also Cauchy $\|\cdot\|$, so converges to some T in $\|\cdot\|$. Show that $T \in \mathcal{L}^2$.

\square

Matrix picture:

$T \in \mathcal{L}^2 \leftrightarrow$ matrix A with respect to $\{e_n\}$ has square summable entries.

$$\|T\|_2^2 = \langle T, T \rangle = \text{tr}(T^*T) \leftrightarrow \text{tr}(A^*A) = \sum |a_{ij}|^2.$$

$$\|\cdot\| \leftrightarrow \text{norm in } \ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}).$$

Let $H = L^2(\Omega)$, usual stuff, σ -finite, separable. Let $k(x, y) = L^2(\Omega \times \Omega)$.

Define

$$(T_k f)_\infty = \int_\Omega k(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

$f \in L^2(\Omega)$. Need to check $T_k f$ is well defined for almost all x , $T_k f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\|T_k\| \leq \|k\|_2$.

Claim: $T_k \in \mathcal{L}^2$. What is $\text{tr}(T_k^* T_k)$?

Let $\{e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\Omega)$. Then $\{e_n(x) \overline{e_m(y)}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\Omega \times \Omega)$ (Exercise). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(T_k^* T_k) &= \sum_n \|T_k e_n\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m,n} |\langle T_k e_n, e_m \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m,n} \int_\Omega \left(\int_\Omega k(y, y) e_n(y) dy \right) \overline{e_m(x)} dx \\ &= \sum_{m,n} \left| \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} k(x, y) e_n(y) \overline{e_m(x)} dy dx \right|^2 \\ &= \|k\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, any H-S operator comes from such a kernel (by specifying matrix coefficients) on $L^2(\Omega)$,

$$\mathcal{L}^2 \leftrightarrow \{T_k : k \in L^2(\Omega \times \Omega)\}.$$

Lecture 33

Gelfand-Naimark-Siegel Construction

Recall: If A is a unital C^* -algebra, then $x \geq 0 \implies x = y^* y$, $xx^* = x^* x \implies x^* x \geq 0$, and if $T \in B(H)$ then $T^* T \geq 0$. Is it true in general $x^* x \geq 0$? Yes — but not obvious; $x \geq 0 \iff x = y^* y$ for some y .

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra.

Definition 33.1. A *state* on A is a linear functional ρ such that

- $\rho(1) = 1$
- $\rho(x^* x) \geq 0$ for all x .

Exercise: If ρ is a state in A , then $\langle x, y \rangle_\rho = \rho(y^*x)$ is a positive semi-definite sesquilinear form on A . Cauchy-Schwarz holds:

$$|\rho(y^*x)|^2 \leq \rho(x^*x)\rho(y^*y).$$

Lemma 33.1. *If ρ is a state, then $\|\rho\| = 1$.*

Proof. Since $\rho(1) = 1$, $\|\rho\| \geq 1$. Assume $\|x\| \leq 1$. Then x^*x is self-adjoint, and $\|x^*x\| \leq 1$. Write $1 = x^*x + y^*y$ by continuous functional calculus. So $1 = \rho(1) = \rho(x^*x) + \rho(y^*y)$, and $\rho(x^*x) \leq 1$. Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$|\rho(x)|^2 = |\rho(1^*x)|^2 \leq \rho(x^*x)\rho(1^* \cdot 1),$$

which implies that $\|\rho\| \leq 1$. □

GNS Construction

Proposition 33.1. *Let ρ be a state on A . Then:*

1. $I_\rho = \{x : \rho(x^*x) = 0\}$ is a left ideal in A , and A/I_ρ becomes a pre-Hilbert space by $\langle [x], [y] \rangle_\rho = \rho(y^*x)$.
2. Let H_ρ be the Hilbert space completion of A/I_ρ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\rho$. For each $x \in A$, define $T_x : A/I_\rho \rightarrow A/I_\rho$, $T_x[y] = [xy]$. Then T extends to a bounded operator in H_ρ .
3. The map $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H_\rho)$, given by $x \mapsto T_x$, is a norm-decreasing $*$ -homomorphism.
4. The vector $[1] \in H_\rho$ is cyclic for $\pi(A)$ and $\rho(x) = \langle \pi(x)[1], [1] \rangle$.

Proof.

Note that $\rho(x^*x) = 0$ if and only if $\rho(y^*x) (\leq \rho(x^*x)\rho(y^*y)) = 0$ for all y . Then I_ρ is a subspace, closed under left multiplication:

$$\rho(y^*x) = 0 \forall y \implies \rho(y^*(ax)) = \rho((y^*a)x) = 0.$$

Need to check that $\langle [x], [y] \rangle_\rho = \rho(y^*x)$ is well-defined. If $x, y \in A$, $i_1, i_2 \in I_\rho$, then

$$\rho((y + i_2)^*(x + i_1)) = \rho(y^*x) + \rho(i_2^*x) + \rho(y^*i_1) + \rho(i_2^*i_1) = 0.$$

Let $x, y \in A$. Claim $\|T_x[y]\|_2 \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|_2$.

$$\|T_x[y]\|_2 = \|[xy]\|_2 = \rho((xy)^*xy)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \rho(y^*x^*xy)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Assume $\|x\| \leq 1$. Then $\rho(y^*x^*xy) + \rho(y^*(\underbrace{1 - x^*x}_{=z^*z})y) = \rho(y^*y)$. This implies that $\rho(y^*x^*xy) \leq \rho(y^*y)$, and hence $\|T_x[y]\|_2 \leq \|y\|_2$. This tells us that if $\|x\| \leq 1$, then $\|T_x\| \leq 1$. More generally, $\|T_x\| \leq \|x\|$.

For the last part: $\pi(A)[1] = \{T_x[1] : x \in A\} = \{[x] : x \in A\} = [A]$, dense in H_ρ . Then

$$\langle \pi(x)[1], [1] \rangle = \langle T_x[1], [1] \rangle = \langle [x], [1] \rangle = \rho(1^*x) = \rho(x).$$

□

Lecture 34

Theorem 34.1. (*Gelfand-Naimark Theorem.*)

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Then there is a Hilbert space H and an isometric $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H)$.

So we get C^* -algebras \leftrightarrow norm-closed $*$ -algebras of operators on a Hilbert space.

Idea of proof: GNS construction and existence of lots of states.

We know that π is norm-decreasing, but when is $\|\pi(x)\| = \|x\|$? For a GNS representation, $\|\pi(x)\| \leq \|x\|$ (“norm-decreasing”). But

$$\|\pi(x)\| \geq \|\pi(x)[1]\|_2 = \|[x1]\|_2 = \rho(x^*x)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

So if $\rho(x^*x) = \|x\|^2$, then $\|\pi(x)\| = \|x\|$. But such a state doesn't really exist, so we'll show instead that for every $x \in A$, there exists some ρ_x such that $\rho(x^*x) = \|x\|^2$.

First, we will show that $x^*x \geq 0$ for all $x \in A$ (if a normal element from continuous functional calculus: if $A \subseteq B(H)$, $T^*T \geq 0$ for all $T \in A$).

Lemma 34.1. If $\sigma(x^*x) \subset (-\infty, 0]$ then $x = 0$.

Proof. If $\sigma(x^*x) \subseteq [-\infty, 0)$, then $\sigma(xx^*) \subseteq (-\infty, 0]$ (see fact after Example 4.1). Then $\sigma(xx^* + x^*x) \subset (-\infty, 0]$. Write $x = y + iz$, $y = y^*$, $z = z^*$. Then

$$xx^* + x^*x = (y + iz)(y - iz) + (y - iz)(y + iz) = 2y^2 + 2z^2.$$

With $x = y + iz$, we have $\sigma(2y^2 + 2z^2) \subset (-\infty, 0]$. So we have $2y^2 \geq 0$, $2z^2 \geq 0$, $-2y^2 - 2z^2 \geq 0$, and hence $\sigma(2z^2) = \{0\}$. This implies $2z^2 = 0$, and so $z = 0$; similarly, $y = 0$ and hence $x = 0$. \square

Lemma 34.2. $x^*x \geq 0$ for all x .

Proof. Define $f(t) = \sqrt{t}$ for $t \geq 0$, 0 otherwise; $g(t) = \sqrt{-t}$ for $t \leq 0$, 0 otherwise. Let $a = f(x^*x)$ and $b = g(x^*x)$. Claim $a = a^*$, $b = b^*$, $ab = ba = 0$, and $x^*x = a^2 - b^2$. Proof: $x^*x \leftrightarrow$ identity function on $\sigma(x^*x) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Then $(bx^*)(bx^*)^* = bx^*xb = b(a^2 - b^2)b = -b^4 \leq 0$. Wut he/the monitor blocked it, then erased it, but the idea is that you use the previous lemma as well to eventually get $b = 0$. \square

For any $x \in A$, there exists ρ_x such that $\rho_x(x^*x) = \|x\|^2$. There is also a GNS representation such that $\|\pi_x(x)\| = \|x\|$. Let $H = \bigoplus \{H_{\rho_x}\}_{x \in A}$, $\pi = \bigoplus \pi_x$. Then $\|\pi(x)\| = \|x\|$.

Exam: *short questions* in general. Give examples/counterexamples of stuff, prove something is true or give a counterexample, calculating spectra of stuff.

Ignore: analytic functional calculus, convolution algebra on \mathbb{R} , proofs of version 2 and 3 of spectral theorem (but know the statements, and the summary). Should be familiar with version 1 of the spectral theorem, because it's kinda cute if anything.