

---

# **The Alleged Airtel Data Leak of 2020: An Analysis of Claims, Corporate Response, and Industry Implications**

## **Executive Summary**

This report provides a comprehensive analysis of the alleged Airtel data leak of 2020, an event characterized by a notable divergence between claims made by cybersecurity researchers and the consistent denials issued by Bharti Airtel. Initial allegations, primarily from independent security researchers in early 2021, indicated that personal data belonging to approximately 2.5 million users, predominantly from the Jammu and Kashmir region, including highly sensitive details such as Aadhaar numbers, had been compromised and offered for sale by a group identified as "Red Rabbit Team." Concurrently, a separate account from staysafeonline.in referenced a June 2020 breach stemming from a security vulnerability in Airtel's mobile application, which reportedly exposed customer names, addresses, and phone numbers, an issue Airtel stated it promptly rectified. More recent, unverified reports in 2024 further amplified the scale of the alleged compromise, suggesting an impact on 375 million users.

Bharti Airtel has steadfastly refuted all claims of a data breach within its systems, characterizing them as either inaccurate or deliberate attempts to undermine its reputation. The company affirmed that it had duly "apprised the relevant authorities" of the situation. The incident underscores significant challenges within India's cybersecurity landscape, particularly concerning the verification of breach claims, the potential for data exposure through third-party access points (such as government portals), and the evolving nature of the regulatory environment. Despite its denials regarding the specific 2020 incident, Airtel has visibly committed to enhancing its cybersecurity infrastructure, evidenced by its subsequent investments in advanced, AI-powered spam and fraud detection solutions. The absence of public regulatory findings or penalties explicitly linked to the 2020 alleged leak further highlights the imperative for more definitive data protection and disclosure legislation in India.

---

## **1. Introduction: Context of the 2020 Airtel Data Leak Allegations**

In late 2020 and early 2021, Bharti Airtel, a prominent telecommunications provider in India, became the focal point of widespread reports concerning a significant data leak. These allegations, predominantly advanced by various cybersecurity researchers, asserted that sensitive personal data of millions of its subscribers had been compromised and subsequently made available for sale across various online platforms.

A defining characteristic of this incident is the pronounced contradiction between the claims of a data breach and Bharti Airtel's unwavering denials. While some sources explicitly indicated that a breach occurred and was addressed, Airtel consistently maintained that its systems had not been compromised. This report endeavors to dissect these divergent narratives to offer a more precise understanding of the events.

The alleged Airtel leak unfolded against a backdrop of escalating cybersecurity concerns across India. The latter half of 2020 witnessed several other major Indian organizations grappling with data breaches. Notable incidents included the compromise of customer data at JusPay, an online payment platform, in August 2020, where millions of records were reportedly offered for sale. Similarly, personally identifiable information of 7 million debit and credit cardholders circulated on darknet forums in December 2020, and an unsecured Amazon Web Services (AWS) S3 bucket exposed 50 GB of patient data belonging to Dr Lal PathLabs in October 2020. This broader context underscores the increasing vulnerability of digital services and the pressing need for robust data protection frameworks within the nation.

---

## **2. Details of the Alleged Breach: Claims by Security Researchers and Threat Actors**

The alleged Airtel data leak of 2020 involved multiple claims regarding its timing, scope, and technical origins, creating a complex narrative that warrants careful examination.

### **2.1. Initial Reports and Timeline**

The earliest reports of a data breach associated with Airtel in 2020 pointed to an incident in June of that year. These reports indicated that a security flaw within Airtel's mobile application allowed unauthorized actors to access customer data, including names, addresses, and phone numbers. Airtel reportedly identified and promptly addressed this specific vulnerability, implementing a fix within its application. This suggests a reactive measure to a known vulnerability.

More prominent and widely publicized allegations emerged in late 2020 and early 2021. Cybersecurity researcher Rajshekhar Rajaharia played a significant role in bringing these claims to public attention through his Twitter posts and other online communications in February 2021, which referenced activity as early as January 2021. A video, purportedly showing online and email conversations between Airtel's security team and the "Red Rabbit Team" hackers, dated back to December 2020. This alleged communication suggested that Airtel was aware of a potential data compromise and was engaged in negotiations concerning the data. The data was reportedly available for sale for nearly two months.

## **2.2. Nature of Compromised Data and Alleged Number of Affected Users**

Cybersecurity researcher Rajshekhar Rajaharia asserted that data belonging to approximately 2.5 million Bharti Airtel subscribers had been compromised and subsequently offered for sale. The alleged dataset included sensitive personal information such as names, dates of birth, government-issued Aadhaar ID numbers, physical addresses, and IMSI cellphone subscriber ID numbers. Further details mentioned in sample data included gender, service status, phone number, house number, passport, voter ID, and father/husband's name. The affected users were primarily concentrated in the Jammu and Kashmir circle, although samples released by the threat actors covered other regions across India, including Punjab, Delhi, Maharashtra, Rajasthan, and Karnataka.

In a separate development in July 2024, a hacker identified as "XenZen" made a claim, referencing the 2020 timeframe, that they had access to data of approximately 375 million Airtel users. This individual offered a smaller subset of 10 million records for sale at a price of \$50,000. However, this particular claim was met with considerable skepticism within the cybersecurity community, and the hacker was subsequently suspended from the forum where the data was advertised due to unverified information. The varying numbers and details reported by different sources underscore the challenges in accurately assessing the scale and authenticity of such incidents, especially when claims are made on illicit online forums.

## **2.3. Alleged Vulnerability and Hacker Tactics**

The "Red Rabbit Team" allegedly offered the entire database for sale at \$3,500 in Bitcoin. The suspected cause of this particular breach involved hackers uploading a "web shell" to one of Airtel's servers. A web shell is essentially a malicious script or file that grants an attacker remote control over a compromised server, enabling them to execute commands and potentially launch further attacks.

An alternative hypothesis suggested that the data leak might not have originated from a direct breach of Airtel's primary servers but rather through a third-party source. This could potentially include government agencies that, for security reasons, maintain access to telecom data via Subscriber Data Registration (SDR) portals. This perspective posits that even if Airtel's internal systems were secure, a compromise at an external, authorized access point could still lead to the exposure of subscriber data. This distinction is crucial for understanding the overall security posture of the national digital infrastructure.

Reports indicated that the hackers attempted to extort \$3,500 in Bitcoins from Airtel's security team. When these negotiations reportedly failed, the cybercriminal group allegedly dumped the compromised user data onto the darknet via a website. Some

sources also suggested that a Pakistani hacker group, TeamLeets, might have been involved, as the website used to host the alleged Airtel data was reportedly hacked by them in December 2020. This further complicates the attribution and origin of the data.

The coexistence of explanations for the data compromise—a mobile app flaw and a server-side web shell—suggests either distinct, co-occurring vulnerabilities or a conflation of different incidents under the umbrella of a "2020 data leak." The contrast in credibility between the claims made by Rajshekhar Rajaharia and "XenZen" is also noteworthy. Rajaharia's claims of 2.5 million affected users were presented with some corroboration, including masked data samples, alleged negotiations with Airtel's security team, and verification of sample numbers via Truecaller. In stark contrast, the later claim of 375 million users by "XenZen" was explicitly met with "serious doubts about the authenticity" and resulted in the hacker's suspension from the forum for unverified data. This highlights the varying degrees of reliability within the cybersecurity research and dark web communities.

The hypothesis that the data may have been procured from a third-party source, potentially government agencies with mandated access to telecom data via SDR portals, rather than a direct breach of Airtel's servers, represents a significant alternative explanation. This perspective shifts the focus from a direct internal system failure at Airtel to a broader vulnerability within the digital ecosystem. If data held by government agencies or other mandated third parties is compromised, it could still result in a "leak" of subscriber data without Airtel's primary systems being directly breached. This is a critical distinction for understanding accountability and the overall security posture of national digital infrastructure.

---

### **3. Airtel's Official Response and Stance**

Bharti Airtel's response to the alleged data leak has been characterized by consistent denials of any system compromise, coupled with explanations regarding the nature of the claims and actions taken.

#### **3.1. Consistent Denial of Breach and Counter-Claims**

Bharti Airtel has consistently and firmly denied that any data breach occurred within its systems concerning the 2020 allegations. Spokespersons have repeatedly stated, "In this specific case, we confirm that there is no data breach at our end". The company has dismissed the claims as "glaring inaccuracies" and contended that "a large proportion of the data records do not even belong to Airtel".

Furthermore, Airtel has characterized these reports as "nothing short of a desperate attempt to tarnish Airtel's reputation by vested interests". The company has also publicly assured its customers, including via Twitter, that their information **remains**

"secure and intact," emphasizing that investigations were ongoing to provide further reassurance.

### **3.2. Actions Taken by Airtel (as reported)**

Regarding the specific mobile app flaw reported in June 2020, Airtel stated that it "quickly addressed the issue and fixed the security flaw in its app". This indicates a prompt technical response to an identified vulnerability.

In response to the broader data leak claims, Airtel stated that it "apprised the relevant authorities of the matter". This suggests formal communication with government or regulatory bodies regarding the allegations. Intriguingly, despite denying a system-wide breach, Airtel's security team was reportedly in communication with the "Red Rabbit Team" hackers. These interactions allegedly involved online and email conversations, including negotiations over the data. This negotiation, if accurately reported, implies at least an acknowledgment of the data's existence and potential threat, even if its origin or accuracy was disputed by Airtel.

The repeated denial by Airtel of "no breach whatsoever from Airtel systems" appears to be in tension with reports of their security team engaging in "online and email conversations" and "negotiating over the data" with the hackers. This apparent contradiction can be understood as a strategic and legalistic interpretation of the term "breach." Airtel might be denying a direct compromise of its core servers or the exfiltration of data directly from its systems, even if data attributed to its subscribers was circulating. The engagement with threat actors could be a tactic to gather intelligence, assess the threat actor's capabilities, determine the data's true source, or prevent further dissemination, rather than an admission of direct culpability for a breach.

---

## **4. Regulatory and Industry Landscape**

The alleged Airtel data leak of 2020 did not occur in isolation but within a broader context of increasing cyber threats and an evolving regulatory environment in India.

### **4.1. Broader Context of Data Breaches in India (2020-2021)**

The period surrounding the alleged Airtel leak was marked by a surge in data breaches affecting numerous large Indian organizations. This trend suggests a systemic increase in cyber threats across the country. For instance, JusPay, an online payment platform, acknowledged a breach of customer data in August 2020, with millions of records subsequently offered for sale. In December 2020, researchers discovered 2 GB of personally identifiable information belonging to 7 million debit and credit cardholders circulating on darknet forums. Additionally, Dr Lal PathLabs, a healthcare provider,

experienced an exposure of 50 GB of patient data in October 2020 due to an unsecured Amazon Web Services S3 bucket.

This series of incidents indicates a heightened vulnerability within India's digital infrastructure, which a cybersecurity researcher linked to the "wake of the COVID-19 pandemic and workers moving to remote offices," a period that saw an increase in data leaks. The accelerated adoption of digital services and remote work during the pandemic likely expanded attack surfaces, making organizations more susceptible to cyberattacks. This implies that the Airtel incident was not an isolated event but rather symptomatic of a larger, systemic challenge in India's rapid digital transformation.

#### **4.2. Regulatory Oversight and Related Actions**

Airtel publicly stated that it "apprised the relevant authorities of the matter" concerning the data leak claims. However, the available information does not detail any specific public investigation, findings, penalties, or legal actions imposed by major Indian regulatory bodies, such as the Department of Telecommunications (DoT), the Telecom Regulatory Authority of India (TRAI), or the Indian Computer Emergency Response Team (CERT-In), directly related to the alleged 2020 Airtel data leak.

Instead, the provided records show instances of the DoT imposing penalties on Airtel in June 2025 for unrelated "subscriber verification breaches" and "lapses" in the Assam circle. This indicates ongoing regulatory scrutiny of compliance with existing norms, but it does not appear to be a direct consequence or official finding related to the 2020 data leak allegations.

The lack of public record of a formal investigation report, specific findings, or penalties from Indian regulatory bodies, despite Airtel stating it "apprised the relevant authorities," suggests a potential lack of transparency or a less formalized public disclosure process for data breach investigations in India at the time. Unlike jurisdictions with stringent reporting requirements, such as those under GDPR, the Indian context might have allowed for less public accountability regarding the specifics of such incidents. This opacity can hinder public trust and make it difficult for affected individuals to understand the true extent of their data exposure or the measures taken to protect them. This situation reinforces the call for stronger data protection laws, which would likely mandate more transparent reporting and independent verification.

Cybersecurity researcher Rajshekhar Rajaharia explicitly argued that India needs "stricter privacy and data disclosure laws" in the wake of these increasing data leaks. This sentiment is echoed by concerns about the absence of independent verification by a data protection authority, which was highlighted as a problem. These observations collectively point to a critical gap between the rapid evolution of cyber threats and the pace of regulatory development and enforcement in India.

---

## **5. Impact and Lessons Learned**

The alleged Airtel data leak, irrespective of its disputed nature, offers valuable insights into the broader implications of cybersecurity incidents for telecommunications companies and the wider digital economy.

### **5.1. Reputational and Customer Trust Implications**

Data breaches generally carry severe consequences for organizations, including significant "reputational damage, loss of business, and legal penalties," alongside impacts on "privacy and human rights". Customer trust, considered a vital asset, can be "only moments to destroy". While Airtel denied the 2020 breach, its explicit acknowledgment of the claims as a "desperate attempt to tarnish Airtel's reputation" indicates a clear awareness of the potential reputational fallout.

A 2022 survey revealed that 41% of Indian citizens perceived the "last mile of telecom companies and banks as not secure," with 26% attributing data breaches to mobile or broadband companies. This general sentiment suggests an underlying public concern regarding telecom data security, which alleged incidents like Airtel's would likely exacerbate, regardless of corporate denials. The public reaction was evident as concerned users "inundated Airtel's customer care services with inquiries about the alleged breach", demonstrating direct customer anxiety and a desire for reassurance.

### **5.2. Airtel's Post-Incident Security Enhancements and Data Protection Strategy**

Beyond the immediate fix of the mobile app flaw in June 2020, Airtel has subsequently announced and implemented significant security enhancements. In September 2024, Airtel launched "India's first network-based, AI-powered spam detection solution" aimed at curbing spam calls and messages. This advanced solution processes trillions of records and flags millions of spam attempts daily. It incorporates a dual-layer protection mechanism at both the network and IT systems layers, performing real-time analysis of usage patterns, call/SMS frequency, and duration, and alerting customers to malicious links.

Further demonstrating its commitment, in May 2025, Airtel unveiled a "cutting-edge solution that will detect and block malicious websites across all communication Over-The-Top (OTT) apps and platforms". This AI-powered, multi-tiered intelligence platform is designed to scan internet traffic, cross-reference with global repositories, and block fraudulent websites in real-time.

Airtel has also articulated a broader data protection strategy, described as a "three-part data protection solution designed to combat data breach risks on all fronts – the internet, email, instant messaging applications, and social media". This comprehensive

approach includes Data Loss Prevention (DLP) capabilities, data classification, fingerprinting systems for user discovery and management, and Privileged Access Management (PAM) to fortify authorized access to enterprise networks. The company emphasizes its "zero tolerance to security threats" and adherence to regulations.

The consistent denial of the 2020 breach claims by Airtel, juxtaposed with its subsequent announcement and implementation of significant, advanced cybersecurity solutions, presents an interesting dynamic. This demonstrates a common corporate strategy: enhance the security posture in response to heightened public and internal awareness of cyber threats, without explicitly admitting fault for past incidents. The timing of these new, sophisticated solutions (released in 2024-2025) suggests a long-term strategic response rather than an immediate, direct admission of a 2020 breach.

### **5.3. Recommendations for Enhanced Data Security and Privacy in the Telecom Sector**

The events surrounding the alleged Airtel data leak underscore several critical areas for improvement in data security and privacy within the Indian telecom sector. The incident highlights the urgent need for "stricter privacy and data disclosure laws" in India. This legislative advancement is crucial to provide a clearer framework for accountability and transparency in the event of data compromises.

Organizations, particularly those handling large volumes of sensitive personal data, should prioritize fundamental cybersecurity best practices. These include implementing strong passwords, enabling multi-factor authentication, conducting regular data risk assessments, ensuring timely software and system updates, addressing cloud security misconfigurations, restricting access to sensitive data, and regularly backing up data.

For telecommunications companies and other tech enterprises, investing in human capital is as critical as technological solutions. Hiring more cybersecurity specialists and ensuring a "sustainable network architecture along with regular monitoring of servers and timely updates to the operating system" are paramount to safeguarding against evolving threats. Furthermore, the importance of transparent reporting on government demands for user data and content restrictions is also a key area for improvement, fostering greater trust between service providers, citizens, and regulatory bodies.

---

## **6. Conclusion**

The alleged Airtel data leak of 2020 remains a complex incident, characterized by a persistent divergence between claims of data compromise from cybersecurity researchers and firm denials from Bharti Airtel. While some sources explicitly reported a



breach stemming from a mobile app security flaw in June 2020, which Airtel reportedly fixed, other prominent allegations in late 2020 and early 2021, primarily from Rajshekhar Rajaharia, pointed to a larger exposure of 2.5 million subscriber records, including Aadhaar numbers, allegedly offered for sale by the "Red Rabbit Team." Airtel consistently refuted these broader claims, asserting that no breach occurred in its systems and that much of the alleged data was inaccurate or did not belong to them.

The incident brought to light the potential for data compromise through third-party access points, such as government entities with mandated access to telecom data. This highlights a broader ecosystemic vulnerability in India's digital infrastructure, where data security is a shared responsibility across multiple custodians. Despite its denials regarding the specific 2020 alleged leak, the period following these allegations saw Airtel significantly enhance its cybersecurity capabilities. This included substantial investments in advanced AI-powered solutions for spam and fraud detection, as well as strengthening its overall data protection strategy with measures like Data Loss Prevention and Privileged Access Management. This strategic investment, even in the absence of an admission of fault, demonstrates a proactive approach to bolstering customer confidence and mitigating future risks.

The lack of clear, public regulatory findings or penalties specifically for the 2020 alleged leak underscores an ongoing need for more robust and transparent data protection laws and enforcement mechanisms in India. Such frameworks are essential to safeguard consumer data, ensure corporate accountability, and ultimately build greater trust in the nation's rapidly expanding digital economy.