

The idea for a question may originate with the Member, their staff or an agent external to Parliament.

The Member may ask their staff or the library for further research. The question may be submitted by the Member or by a member of their staff. It may be submitted via email or in person on paper. Questions

11 The Table Office will enter any changes made in Word back into LBIS.

cannot - yet - be submitted via PeerHub. Question submission must take place before 5pm either on a sitting day or on a tabling day during

A Member of the House of Lords may table no more than six written questions per day and no more than 12 in a week. A spreadsheet of questions per Lords' Member is checked. This spreadsheet is updated the following day.

The Table Office may spot that the question is a duplicate of a recently tabled question. This is not well enforced.

Assistant. A question being declared inadmissible is referred to as 'carded' in the House of Commons.

The Table Office will check that the question is admissible. Questions are inadmissible if, for example: they are on a subject for which the Government is not responsible, they seek a legal opinion, they ask for agreement from the Government. Rules for admissibility are set out in the Companion to Standing Orders though creative ambiguity may be required. Questions around admissibility may be escalated to the Clerk

7 If the Table Office declare the question is inadmissible, the Member may reformulate the question over correspondence with the Table Office.

The Table Office may reword a question to match House style. Changes may include adding 'To ask Her Majesty's Government', list styling, stripping adjectives and rewording in neutral terms. The Table Office will enter admissible questions into LBIS assigned to what they believe to be the appropriate department. The department may or may not have been indicated by the Member.

From 5pm on a tabling day, the Table Office export submitted questions to Word. The Clerk of the Table Office and the Clerk Assistant edit using track changes. Further edits may be made to match House style at this point. Spell checking also takes place because the textarea in LBIS does not support spell check. Government Whips also have non-edit access and may petition that the question is on a subject that the Government is not responsible for. Sometimes a question may be declared inadmissible at this point.

When the House rises on a sitting day, questions having made it this far are tabled. It is believed the question UID is generated at this point. The question is sent from LBIS to data.parliament. It is not yet clear of LBIS pushes to data.parliament or if data.parliament polls for changes. QnA polls data.parliament and writes changes to Q&A (internal). An email is sent to the tabling Member.

There is a 30 minute delay following the email to the Member, at which point the question is sent to the web database via Send Data and, from there, published on the website. The question is also made available to Search & Indexing and, via QnA, to the external WQA system and therefore made available to the answering department. WQA has an API, on top of which some departments have built their own management tools. Some departments manage questions via the WQA interface, some through external systems. The clock timing how long the department has to answer starts. The department is expected to answer within 10 working days. XML is exported from LBIS - though this could be from Q&A or data.parliament? The XML lacks markup for information which is structured in LBIS such the question number. The print unit right aligns all the numbers in In Design and outputs a PDF as part of Lords' Business Papers. The Departmental Parliamentary Team check if the question should be allocated to a different department. Cross-departmental negotiations take

LBIS, from where it is transferred to data.parliament. QnA picks up the change in data.parliament and propagates to Q&A (and Search and Indexing?) - and from there to the website - and WQA where it's picked up by the Departmental Parliamentary Team in the department the question Should prorogation or dissolution be announced, the gatekeeper will email the Departmental Parliamentary Teams to inform them and request answers are expedited. Should prorogation and / or dissolution happen within the 10 working days allocated for answer, a prorogation / dissolution procedure commences. The Departmental Parliamentary Team enter a boilerplate answer into WQA explaining that there was not time to provide a full answer. The answer then travels, via QnA, to Q&A - and from there to the website - to data, parliament and to Search and Indexing. The

question is sent to the Minister's Red Box. The Minister is expected to answer the Member by letter. The WQA database is wiped at the end of a

place to identify the best home for the question. Once the appropriate home is found, they email the Table Office, who transfer the question in

In order to answer the question, the Departmental Parliamentary Team may need to speak to Special Advisors, policy experts or delivery managers within the department. Or they may also need to communicate with subject matter experts in arms length bodies. Once the information is gathered, the Departmental Parliamentary Team compose an answer. The answer goes to the Minister's Red Box. The Minister may communicate with the Departmental Parliamentary Team in order to clarify the answer. Once the Minister has signed off on the answer, it goes back to the Departmental Parliamentary Team who enter the answer into WQA. Still in WQA, the House of Lords gatekeeper and duty editor check the answer against criteria such as: is it substantive, is it in response to the correct question, does it include a number if the Member asked for a number, are attachments mentioned attached, are links correct. Any tables given in the body text text of the answer are copied into Word to check that they're not too big to work in the two column format of the Daily Report. It is not possible for the gatekeeper to make textual changes to the answer even to correct typos. Minor corrections may be made in the Daily Report. The gatekeeper may accept or reject the answer. There are three options for rejection. Sally only ever uses 'Other' with a free text rejection reason. If the answer is accepted the change in WQA is picked up by QnA and propagated to Q&A. Q&A emails the tabling Member. Following a 30 minute delay the answer is published to the website, data.parliament and

30 minute delay can make the timing of this tricky. Should the 10 working days - during which the answer is expected - expire with no substantive answer received, the Q&A system publishes the

Search and Indexing. It is not yet clear which system processes the 30 minute delay but assumed to be Q&A. At 6pm on a sitting day, a PDF of questions in receipt of an answer since the last sitting day is sent to the repo unit to be printed as part of the Daily Report and placed online. The

question as part of a list of questions - and the departments they were allocated to - all having exceeding the expected time for answer. This is published as part of the Lords' Business Papers, both in print and online. Unlike in the House of Commons, the House of Lords expects corrections to answers in the form of written statements and not in the form of orrecting answers. Hence this step is greyed out. However, between 2014-2017 there were corrections in the form of correcting answers. There were also 95 corrections to written answers in the form of correcting answers following the prorogation that wasn't. Any data model needs to cope with correcting answers in the Lords. Noting this process is not currently used, should it be used, the process would be ... ... should new information come to light within the same session, the Minister may issue a correcting answer which is input into WQA by the Departmental Parliamentary Team. Again the Departmental Parliamentary Team may need to speak to Special Advisors, policy experts or delivery managers within the department. Or they may also need to communicate with subject matter experts in arms length bodies. Once the information is gathered, the Departmental Parliamentary Team compose a correcting answer. The correcting answer goes to the Minister's Red Box. The Minister may communicate with the Departmental Parliamentary Team in order to clarify the correcting answer. Once the Minister has signed off on the correcting answer, it goes back to the Departmental Parliamentary Team who enter it into WQA. The correcting answer is appended to the original answer but highlighted as a correction. Still in WQA, the House of Lords gatekeeper and duty editor check the correcting answer against the same criteria as in 16. Again, the gatekeeper may accept or reject the correcting answer. If the correction is substantial, the gatekeeper may suggest the Minister make a written statement. If the correcting answer is accepted the change in WQA is picked up by QnA and propagated to Q&A. Q&A emails the tabling Member. Following a 30 minute delay the correcting answer is published to the website, data.parliament and Search and Indexing. It is not yet clear which system processes the 30 minute delay. It is not possible to correct an answer given in one session in a following session because WQA is wiped at the end of a session. There is no limit on the number of corrections a department may issue to a given

question in a session. Correcting answers do not appear in the Daily Report. Should new information come to light, the Minister may issue a correcting written statement which is input into WQA by the Departmental Parliamentary Team. Again the Departmental Parliamentary Team may need to speak to Special Advisors, policy experts or delivery managers within the department. Or they may also need to communicate with subject matter experts in arms length bodies. Once the information is gathered, the Departmental Parliamentary Team compose a correcting written statement. The correcting written statement goes to the Minister's Red Box. The Minister may communicate with the Departmental Parliamentary Team in order to clarify the correcting written statement. Once the Minister has signed off on the correcting written statement, it goes back to the Departmental Parliamentary Team who enter it into WQA. There is no data connection in WQA between the correcting written statement and the answer it corrects and therefore no link on the website. This information does not exist until added by IDMS at a later date. Still in WQA, the House of Lords gatekeeper and duty editor check the correcting written statement against the same criteria as in 16. Again, the gatekeeper may accept or reject the correcting written statement. If the correcting written statement is accepted the change in WQA is picked up by QnA and propagated to Q&A. Q&A emails the tabling Member. Following a 30 minute delay the correcting written statement is published on the website, data.parliament and Search and Indexing. It is not yet clear which system processes the 30 minute delay. At 6pm on a sitting day, a PDF of written statements since the last sitting day is sent to the repo unit to be

printed as part of the Daily Report and placed online. The 30 minute delay can make the timing of this tricky.