

# Chapter 1

## CLT for inid Sequences

### 1.1 Notations and Definitions

A random variable  $z$  is  $r$ th *integrable* if  $E[|z|^r] < \infty$ . Equivalently,

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} E[|z|^r \mathbb{I}\{|z|^r > M\}] = 0,$$

where  $\mathbb{I}\{\cdot\}$  is the indicator function. Without referring explicitly to the  $r$ th moment, we say  $z$  is *integrable* if  $r = 1$ , and *square integrable* if  $r = 2$ .

A *triangular array*  $\{(x_{1n}, x_{2n}, x_{3n}, \dots, x_{r_n n})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  stacks like a triangular:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{21} & \cdots & x_{r_1 1} & & & \\ x_{12} & x_{22} & \cdots & \cdots & x_{r_2 2} & & \\ x_{13} & x_{23} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & x_{r_3 3} & \\ \vdots & & & & & & \ddots \\ x_{1n} & x_{2n} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & x_{r_n n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Here  $r_n$  is an increasing number in  $n$ , and  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Suppose for each  $n$ , the elements in  $(x_{in})_{i=1}^{r_n}$  are independently non-identically distributed (inid). (Please keep a liberal mind and consider “identically distributed” as a special case of “non-identically distributed”).

Without loss of generality, assume  $E[x_{in}] = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $n$  and denote  $\sigma_{in}^2 = E[x_{in}^2]$ . Define the *partial sum* (up to  $n$ ) as  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} x_{in}$  and (the  $n$ th) *aggregate variance* as  $\tilde{\sigma}_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \sigma_{in}^2$ .

### 1.2 Lindeberg Condition

*Lindeberg-Lévy Central Limit Theorem* is for independently and identically distributed (iid) sequences. In this lecture we consider independent but heterogeneous sequences.

**Definition 1.1.** Lindeberg Condition:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} E[x_{in}^2 \mathbb{I}\{x_{in}^2 \geq \varepsilon \tilde{\sigma}_n^2\}] = 0$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.1** (Lindeberg-Feller CLT). *If the triangular array  $\{(x_{in})_{i=1}^{r_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the Lindeberg condition, then*

$$\frac{S_n}{\tilde{\sigma}_n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

Lindeber-Feller CLT allows heterogeneity across  $i = 1, \dots, r_n$ . It includes *Lindeberg-Lévy CLT* as a special case. To see this fact, under iid let us use  $z$  to represent the homogeneous distribution. Denote  $\text{var}(z) = \sigma_z^2 \in (0, \infty)$ , and equivalently  $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} E[z^2 \mathbb{I}\{z^2 \geq M\}] = 0$  (square integrability). Set  $r_n = n$ , and thus  $\tilde{\sigma}_n^2 = n\sigma_z^2$ . As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E[x_{in}^2 \mathbb{I}\{x_{in}^2 \geq \varepsilon \tilde{\sigma}_n^2\}] &= \frac{1}{n\sigma_z^2} \times n E[z^2 \mathbb{I}\{z^2 \geq n\sigma_z^2 \varepsilon\}] \\ &= \text{const} \times E[z^2 \mathbb{I}\{z^2 \geq n\sigma_z^2 \varepsilon\}] \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

since  $n\sigma_z^2 \varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

With iid and  $r_n = n$ , we can drop the subscript  $n$  and write  $z_i = x_{in}$ . The ratio

$$\frac{S_n}{\tilde{\sigma}_n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n z_i}{\sqrt{n\sigma_z^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{z_i}{\sigma_z}$$

retains its familiar form in CLT.

### 1.3 Lyapunov Condition

*Lindeberg condition* is difficult to interpret. Lyapunov condition is a more interpretable sufficient condition.

**Definition 1.2.** Lyapunov Condition: There exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_n^{2+\delta}} \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} E[|x_{in}|^{2+\delta}] = 0$$

Lyapunov condition implies Lindeberg condition. To see this fact, we use the quantify in the Lindeberg condition as a starting point:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} E[x_{in}^2 \mathbb{I}\{x_{in}^2 \geq \varepsilon \tilde{\sigma}_n^2\}] &= \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} E\left[|x_{in}|^2 \mathbb{I}\left\{|x_{in}|^\delta \geq \varepsilon^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \tilde{\sigma}_n^\delta\right\}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} E\left[\frac{|x_{in}|^{2+\delta}}{|x_{in}|^\delta} \mathbb{I}\left\{|x_{in}|^\delta \geq \varepsilon^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \tilde{\sigma}_n^\delta\right\}\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_n^2} \times \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\delta/2} \tilde{\sigma}_n^\delta} \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} E\left[|x_{in}|^{2+\delta} \mathbb{I}\left\{|x_{in}|^\delta \geq \varepsilon^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \tilde{\sigma}_n^\delta\right\}\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\delta/2}} \times \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_n^{2+\delta}} \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} E\left[|x_{in}|^{2+\delta}\right] \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where the limit follows from Lyapunov condition.

#### 1.3.1 Sufficient Condition for Lyapunov Condition

- Condition1:  $\sup_{i \leq r_n} E[|x_{in}|^{2+\delta}] \leq B < \infty$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ .

Let  $\bar{\sigma}_n^2 = \tilde{\sigma}_n^2 / r_n$  be the *average variance*.

- Condition2:  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\sigma}_n^2 > b > 0$ .

Under Condition 1 and Condition 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\bar{\sigma}_n^{2+\delta}} \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} E[|x_{in}|^{2+\delta}] &\leq \frac{1}{(\sqrt{r_n b})^{2+\delta}} \times r_n \max_{i \leq r_n} E[|x_{in}|^{2+\delta}] \\ &\leq \frac{r_n B}{(\sqrt{r_n b})^{2+\delta}} = \text{const} \times r_n^{-\delta/2} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

If we further assume  $\bar{\sigma}_n^2 \rightarrow \sigma_*^2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then under Condition 1 we have  $\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma_*^2)$ .

### 1.3.2 Uniform CLT

If  $E[|z|^{2+\delta}] \leq B < \infty$  and  $\text{var}(z) \geq b > 0$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , then

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| P_f \left( \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{z}_n - E(z))}{\sqrt{\text{var}(z)}} \leq a \right) - \Phi(a) \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

This is a uniform CLT over a class of distributions in  $\mathcal{F}$ , instead of a single distribution  $f$ . Here  $P_f$  means that the probability is computed under a specific distribution  $f$ .

In a direct proof, the approximation error is controlled by  $B$  and  $b$ . The textbook uses a counter-positive argument: If the statement is false, then there is a sequence  $f_1, f_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$  that violates the convergence. That contradicts with Lyapunov CLT.

## 1.4 Uniform Integrability

**Definition 1.3.** The sequence of random variables  $z_n$  is *uniformly integrable* if

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq 1} E[|z_n| \mathbb{I}\{|z_n| > M\}] = 0.$$

The textbook uses  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}$  instead of  $\sup_{n \geq 1}$  in the definition. These two notations are equivalent in our context here, as  $E[|z_n| \mathbb{I}\{|z_n| > M\}] \searrow 0$  for every  $n$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ . “ $\sup_{n \geq 1}$ ” appears more often in probability theory textbooks, and literally adheres to the notation of “uniformity”.

Uniform integrability requires that the triangular array  $z_n$  is tight under the  $r$ th norm.

**Example 1.1.** Consider a counterexample

$$z_n = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{n} & \text{with probability } 1/n \\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - 2/n \\ \sqrt{n} & \text{with probability } 1/n. \end{cases}$$

Notice that

$$E[z_n^2 \mathbb{I}\{z_n^2 > M\}] = 2 \times (n \mathbb{I}\{n > M\}) \times \frac{1}{n} = 2 \cdot \mathbb{I}\{n > M\}.$$

For each fixed  $n$ , this  $z_n$  is square integrable in that  $2 \cdot \mathbb{I}\{n > M\} = 0$  for all  $M \geq n$ . However, as  $\sup_{n \geq 1} E[z_n^2 \mathbb{I}\{z_n^2 > M\}] = 2 \sup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{I}\{n > M\} = 2$  for any finite  $M$ , and thus

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq 1} E[z_n^2 \mathbb{I}\{z_n^2 > M\}] = 2 \not\rightarrow 0.$$

As a result, this  $z_n$  is NOT uniformly square integrable.

**Definition 1.4.** A triangular array of random variables is *uniformly integrable* if

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq 1} \max_{i \leq r_n} E[|x_{in}| \mathbb{I}\{|x_{in}| > M\}] = 0.$$

Compared with Definition 1.3, we replace  $E[|z_n| \mathbb{I}\{|z_n| > M\}]$  with  $\max_{i \leq r_n} E[|x_{in}| \mathbb{I}\{|x_{in}| > M\}]$  in Definition 1.4 to control the worst case among the heterogeneous  $(x_{in})_{i=1}^{r_n}$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *If Condition 2 and the triangular array  $\{(x_{in})_{i=1}^{r_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniform square integrable, then Lindeberg condition holds.*

*Proof.* For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} E[x_{in}^2 \mathbb{I}\{x_{in}^2 \geq \varepsilon \tilde{\sigma}_n^2\}] &\leq \frac{1}{r_n b} \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} E[x_{in}^2 \mathbb{I}\{x_{in}^2 \geq \varepsilon r_n b\}] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r_n b} \times r_n \max_{i \leq r_n} E[x_{in}^2 \mathbb{I}\{x_{in}^2 \geq r_n \varepsilon b\}] \\ &= \text{const} \times \max_{i \leq r_n} E[x_{in}^2 \mathbb{I}\{x_{in}^2 \geq r_n \varepsilon b\}] \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the definition of uniform integrability since  $r_n \varepsilon b \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . □

### 1.4.1 Uniform Stochastic Bound

**Theorem 1.2.** *If*

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq 1} \max_{i \leq r_n} E[|x_{in}|^r \mathbb{I}\{|x_{in}|^r > M\}] = 0$$

*holds, then*

$$r_n^{-1/r} \max_{i \leq r_n} |x_{in}| \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

*Proof.* We start with the definition of convergence in probability:

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(r_n^{-1/r} \max_{i \leq r_n} |x_{in}| > \varepsilon\right) &= P\left(\max_{i \leq r_n} |x_{in}|^r > r_n \varepsilon^r\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i \leq r_n} P(|x_{in}|^r > r_n \varepsilon^r) \\ &= \sum_{i \leq r_n} E[\mathbb{I}\{|x_{in}|^r > r_n \varepsilon^r\}] \\ &\leq r_n \max_{i \leq r_n} E[\mathbb{I}\{|x_{in}|^r > r_n \varepsilon^r\}] \\ &\leq r_n \times \frac{1}{r_n \varepsilon^r} \max_{i \leq r_n} E[|x_{in}|^r \mathbb{I}\{|x_{in}|^r > r_n \varepsilon^r\}] \\ &= \text{const} \times \max_{i \leq r_n} E[|x_{in}|^r \mathbb{I}\{|x_{in}|^r \geq r_n \varepsilon^r\}] \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

under the uniform  $r$ th integrability, since  $r_n \varepsilon^r \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . □

As a special case, if we set  $r_n = n$ , then  $\max_{i \leq n} |x_{in}| = o_p(n^{1/r})$  if  $x_{in}$  is  $r$ th uniformly integrable.