

Chapter 1

Time Series

1.1 Introduction

A random variable is a $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \setminus (\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{B})$ measure function. A time series is a sequence of random variables $(y_1(\omega), y_2(\omega), \dots, y_n(\omega)) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and it can be extended to a doubly infinite sequence $(\dots, y_{t-1}, y_t, y_{t+1}, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times \infty}$. We consider discrete time series (instead of the continuous time series). For each fixed ω , the sequence is a deterministic vector $(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$; for each fixed t , $y_t(\omega)$ is a common random vector in \mathbb{R}^m .

1.2 Stationarity

In reality, we have only one realized sequence, but statistics needs repeated observations. We introduce the concept *stationarity* to produce “repeated” observations.

Definition 1.1. (y_t) is **covariance stationarity** or **weakly stationarity** if the mean $\mu = E[y_t]$, covariance $\Sigma = E[(y_t - \mu)(y_t - \mu)']$ and autocovariance $\Gamma(\ell) = E[(y_t - \mu)(y_{t-\ell} - \mu)']$ are independent of t .

- For a vector-valued weakly stationarity time series, $\Sigma = \Gamma(0)$ is a positive-definite symmetric matrix. The autocovariance $\Gamma(\ell)$, $\ell \neq 0$ is not symmetric in general, and

$$\Gamma(-\ell) = E[(y_t - \mu)(y_{t+\ell} - \mu)'] = E[(y_{t-\ell} - \mu)(y_t - \mu)'] = \Gamma(\ell)'$$

- When $m = 1$ (scalar time series), we use $\gamma(0), \gamma(1), \dots$, for the autocovariance, and we define *autocorrelation* as $\rho(\ell) = \gamma(\ell) / \gamma(0)$. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $\rho(\ell) \in [-1, 1]$.

Definition 1.2. (y_t) is *strictly stationarity*, if for every $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, joint distribution of $(y_t, y_{t+1}, \dots, y_{t+\ell})$ is independent of t .

When mentioning “stationarity”, the default is “strictly stationarity”.

- If (y_t) is i.i.d, then it is strictly stationarity.
- If (y_t) is strictly stationarity, its transformation $x_t \in \phi(y_t, y_{t-1}, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^q$ is also strictly stationarity. In other words, strictly stationarity is preserved by transformation.

Series: $x_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j y_{t-j}$

- The infinite series x_t is convergent if the partial sum $\sum_{j=1}^N a_j y_{t-j}$ has a finite limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$ almost surely.
- If y_t is strictly stationary, $E \|y\| < \infty$ and $\sum_{j=0}^N |a_j| < \infty$ (absolutely summable), then x_t is convergent and strictly stationary.

1.3 Ergodicity

A time series $\{y_t\}$ is *ergodic* if all invariant events are trivial. Any event unaffected by time shift is of probability 0 or 1. “invariant” means the sequence of a random variable gets stuck somewhere. Ergodicity is preserved by transformation. If $\{y_t\}$ is stationary and ergodic, the same is for $x_t \in \phi(y_t, y_{t-1}, \dots)$ (function with infinite terms).

Example 1.1. If $x_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j y_{t-j}$ is convergent and (y_t) is ergodic, then x_t is also ergodic.

(Cesaro means) If $a_j \rightarrow a$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, then $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1.1. If $y_t \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is stationary and ergodic, and $\text{var}(y_t) < \infty$, then $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \text{cov}(y_t, y_{t+\ell}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Definition 1.3. Formal definitions

Let $\tilde{y}_t = (\dots, y_{t-1}, y_t, y_{t+1}, \dots)$ an event $A \in \{\tilde{y}_t \in G\}$ for some $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m \times \infty}$.

The ℓ -th time shift is $\tilde{y}_{t+\ell} = (\dots, y_{t-1+\ell}, y_{t+\ell}, y_{t+\ell+1}, \dots)$ and a time shift of the event is $A_\ell \in \{\tilde{y}_{t+\ell} \in G\}$.

An event is **invariant** if $A_\ell = A$

An event is **trivial** if $P(A) = 0$ or $P(A) = 1$.

Theorem 1.2. A stationary $\{y_t\}$ is ergodic if for all events A and B ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^n P(A_\ell \cap B) = P(A) P(B)$$

Let $B = A$, and then we solve $P(A) = [P(A)]^2 \Rightarrow P(A) = 0$ or 1 .

A “sufficient” condition for ergodicity is $P(A_\ell \cap B) \rightarrow P(A) P(B)$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, according to Cesaro means. This sufficient condition is called “mixing”.

- Mixing says that separate events (any A and B) are asymptotically independent when A is shifted to A_ℓ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$.
- Ergodicity is slightly weaker than mixing (weak dependence), in the sense that the independence is “on average” in the form of $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^n P(A_\ell \cap B)$.

Theorem 1.3. Ergodic Theorem:

$y_t \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is stationary and ergodic, and $E \|y\| < \infty$, then $E \|\bar{y} - \mu\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\bar{y} \xrightarrow{P} \mu$.

Interpretation: Convergence in the 1st mean implies \xrightarrow{P} .

1.4 Information Set

- for a univariate time series, definite $E_{t-1}[y_t] = E[y_t | y_{t-1}, y_{t-2}, \dots]$ as the condition expectation of y_t given the past history $(y_{t-1}, y_{t-2}, \dots)$
- More generally, we write \mathcal{F}_t as the smallest σ -field generated by the information up to time t . \mathcal{F}_t is called an “information set”.

$$E[y_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = E_{t-1}[y_t]$$

- Information sets are nested $\mathcal{F}_{t-1} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{t+1}, \dots$
- Depends on the definition, when multiple random variables are involved

$$\sigma(y_t, y_{t-1}, \dots) \neq \sigma(y_t, x_t, y_{t-1}, x_{t-1}, \dots)$$

1.5 Martingale Difference Sequence (MDS)

- Let $\{e_t\}$ be a time series, and \mathcal{F}_t be an information set, $\{e_t\}$ is **adapted** to \mathcal{F}_t if $E[e_t | \mathcal{F}_t] = e_t$ (\mathcal{F}_t contain the complete information of e_t . A **natural filtration** is $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(e_t, e_{t-1}, \dots)$.)
- MDS: a process $\{e_t, \mathcal{F}_t\}$ is MDS if

1. e_t is adapted to \mathcal{F}_t
2. $E|e_t| < \infty$
3. $E[e_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = 0$

Interpretation: unforeseeable.

Mean independence. But it does not rule our predictability in other moments.

Example 1.2. $e_t = u_t u_{t-1}$, $u_t \sim i.i.d. N(0, 1)$

e_t is MDS, but not i.i.d.

The covariance of e_t^2 and e_{t-1}^2 is not 0.

The filtration here is $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(u_t, u_{t-1}, \dots)$, which subsumes $\sigma(e_t, e_{t-1}, \dots)$

$$\begin{aligned} cov(e_t, e_{t-k}) &= E[e_t e_{t-k}] = E[E[e_t e_{t-k} | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]] \\ &= E[e_{t-k} E[e_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- A MDS (e_t, \mathcal{F}_t) is a homoskedastic martingale difference sequence if $E[e_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = \sigma^2$.
 $e_t = u_t u_{t-1}$ is MDS, but not homoskedastic.

Theorem 1.4. *CLT for MDS: If $\{u_t\}$ is strictly stationary, ergodic and MDS, then*

$$S_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n u_t \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \sum\right)$$

where $\sum = E[u_t u_t']$. There is the t.s. counterpart of the Lindeberg-Lévy CLT.

1.6 Mixing

we will loose the restriction of MDS. The price are stronger assumptions on the dependence than ergodicity.

- $\alpha(A, B) = |P(AB) - P(A)P(B)|$
- Let two σ -fields be $\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^t = \sigma(\dots, y_{t-1}, y_t)$ and $\mathcal{F}_t^\infty = \sigma(y_t, y_{t+1}, \dots)$
- Strong mixing coefficients

$$\alpha(\ell) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^{t-\ell}, B \in \mathcal{F}_t^\infty} \alpha(A, B)$$

y_t is strong mixing if $\alpha(\ell) \rightarrow 0$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$.

- In general, the α -coefficients should have a sup over t

$$\alpha(\ell) = \sup_t \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^{t-\ell}, B \in \mathcal{F}_t^\infty} \alpha(A, B)$$

- A mixing process is ergodic.
- Absolute regularity (β -mixing)

$$\beta(\ell) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}_t^\infty} \left| P\left(A \mid \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^{t-\ell}\right) - P(A) \right|$$

β mixing is stronger than α mixing.

- Strong mixing is preserved by finite transformation.

Theorem 1.5. y_t has mxing coefficients $\alpha_y(\ell)$. $x_t = \sigma(y_t, y_{t-1}, \dots, y_{t-q})$

Then $\alpha_x(\ell) < \alpha_y(\ell - q)$ for $\ell \geq q$.

The α -coefficients satisfy the same rate and summation properties.

- Rate conditions $\alpha(\ell) = O(e^{-r})$. Summation restriction $\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \alpha(e)^r < \infty$ or $\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} e^s \alpha(e)^r < \infty$.
- Thm 14.13 bounds covariances with functions of α -coefficients.

1.7 CLT for Correlated Variables

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{var}(S_n) &= \text{var}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n y_t\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{I}'_N E[YY'] \mathbf{I}_N \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{I}'_N \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & \gamma(1) & \gamma(2) & & \\ \gamma(1) & \sigma^2 & \gamma(1) & & \\ \gamma(2) & \gamma(1) & \sigma^2 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_N \\
&= \frac{1}{n} (n\sigma^2 + 2(n-1)\gamma(1) + 2(n-2)\gamma(2) + \dots + 2\gamma(n-1) + 2 \times 0 \times \gamma(n)) \\
&= \sigma^2 + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\ell}{n}\right) \gamma(\ell)
\end{aligned}$$

As $\gamma(-\ell) = \gamma(\ell)$, $\text{var}(S_n) = \sum_{\ell=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|\ell|}{n}\right) \gamma(\ell)$

In vector case, similarly we have

$$\text{var}(S_n) = \Gamma(0) + \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\ell}{n}\right) (\gamma(\ell) + \gamma(\ell)') = \sum_{\ell=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|\ell|}{n}\right) \gamma(\ell)$$

- For CLT to work, $\text{var}(S_n)$ must be convergent in the limit

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\ell=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\ell}{n}\right) \gamma(\ell) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^n (n - \ell) \gamma(\ell) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \gamma(j) \\
&\rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma(j) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \gamma(\ell)
\end{aligned}$$

by the Theorem of Cesaro means if $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \gamma(\ell)$ is convergent.

Necessary condition: $\gamma(\ell) \rightarrow 0$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$.

Sufficient: $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} |\gamma(\ell)| < \infty$

It can be show if $E\|u_t\|^r < \infty$ and $\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \alpha(\ell)^{1-2/\gamma} < \infty$ for some $\gamma > 2$, then $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} |\Gamma(\gamma)| < \infty$ is absolutely convergent.

Theorem 1.6. (CLT) If y_t is strictly stationarity with α -mixing coefficients $\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \alpha(\ell)^{1-2/\gamma} < \infty$ and $E\|u_t\|^r < \infty$ for some $\gamma > 2$, $E[u_t] = 0$, then $S_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Omega)$ where $\Omega = \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma(\gamma)$ is the long-run variance.

1.8 Linear Projection

- In regression problems, $\mathcal{P}(y | X) = X\beta^* = X'(E[XX'])^{-1}E[XY]$
- Extend to a projection to the infinite past history $\tilde{y}_{t-1} = (y_{t-1}, y_{t-2}, \dots)$

Denote $\mathcal{P}_{t-1}(y_t) = \mathcal{P}[y_t | \tilde{y}_{t-1}]$, and the projection error $e_t = y_t - \mathcal{P}_{t-1}(y_t)$

Theorem 1.7. *Projection Theorem:*

If $y_t \in \mathbb{R}$ is covariance stationarity, then the projection error statistics

- (1) $E[e_t] = 0$
 - (2) $\sigma^2 = E[e_t^2] \leq E[y_t^2]$
 - (3) $E[e_t e_{t-j}] = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$.
- In other words, $\{e_t\}$ is a **white noise**.

- If $\{y_t\}$ is strictly stationarity, then $\{e_t\}$ is strictly stationarity.

Definition 1.4. A time series is a white noise if it is covariance stationarity with 0 autocovariance. It is helpful to imagine the projection as a linear combination

$$y_t = \alpha_1 y_{t-1} + \alpha_2 y_{t-2} + \dots + e_t$$

The nature of projection ensures e_t is uncorrelated with all regressions.

e_{t-j} is a linear combination $y_{t-j} - \alpha_1 y_{t-j-1} - \alpha_2 y_{t-j-2} - \dots$

Then e_t is uncorrelated with e_{t-j} .

1.9 Wold Decomposition

- If y_t is covariance stationarity, and the linear projection error has $\sigma^2 > 0$, then $y_t = u_t + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j e_{t-j}$, $b_0 = 1$, and $u_t = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}_{t-m}(y_t)$

Project y_t onto the orthogonal elements $e_t, e_{t-1}, e_{t-2}, \dots$. For simplicity, we can consider the case $\mu_t = \mu$.

Definition 1.5. Lag operator: $Ly_t = y_{t-1}$, $L^2 y_t = L(Ly_t) = Ly_{t-1} = y_{t-2}$, and so on.

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= \mu + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j e_{t-j} \\ &= \mu + (b_0 + b_1 L + b_2 L^2 + \dots) e_t \\ &= \mu + b(L) e_t \end{aligned}$$

where $b(L)$ is an infinite-order polynomial.

- Autoregressive Wold Representation: If y_t is covariance stationarity with $y_t = u_t + b(L) e_t$, then with some additional technical restrictions, $y_t = \mu + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j y_{t-j} + e_j$.