Chapter 1

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

1.1 Parametric Model

A parametric model is a complete specification of the distribution. Once the parameter is given, the distribution function is determined. Instead, a semiparametric model only gives a few features rather than a complete description of the distribution.

Example 1.1. Semiparametric model: If we know $X \sim i.i.d.(\mu, \sigma^2)$, we can estimate μ, σ^2 by method of moments.

Parametric model: If we assume $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, we can estimate μ, σ^2 by MLE.

Example 1.2. Conditional model: the conditioning variable can be viewed as if it is fixed and the randomness comes from the error term only.

$$y = X'\beta + \varepsilon$$

x is the conditional variable. The condition $E\left(\varepsilon|X\right)=0$ together with a full rank $E\left[XX'\right]$ can help to identify β . This is semiparametric model. However, if we assume $f\left(\varepsilon\mid X\right)\sim N\left(0,\sigma^2\right)$, then conditional parametric model as it completely describes $f\left(y\mid X\right)$ and it becomes a conditional parametric model.

Definition 1.1. Parametric model. The distribution of the data $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is known up to a finite dimensional parameter.

Let Θ be the parameter space a researcher specifies.

Definition 1.2. A model is **correctly specified**, if the true DGP is $f(X \mid \theta_0)$ for some $\theta_0 \in \Theta$. Otherwise, the model is **misspecified**.

1.2 Likelihood

In this chapter we will mostly talk about unconditional models. The results can be carried over to conditional models. To keep the setting simple, let (X_1, \ldots, X_n) be i.i.d. The **likelihood** of the sample is $\prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i \mid \theta_0)$. The **log-likelihood** is

$$\ell_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(X_i \mid \theta).$$

Here, we put 1/n to average the log-likelihood. This scaling factor does not change the estimation at all.

In practice, we work with the log-likelihood, which is more convenient. the MLE estimator is defined as

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \ell_n \left(\theta\right).$$

To justify the likelihood principle, consider the population version of the

$$\ell(\theta) = E\left[\log f\left(X \mid \theta\right)\right]$$

Theorem 1.1. When model is correctly specified, θ_0 is the maximizer.

Proof. Kullback-Leibler distence

$$E \left[\log p(\theta_0)\right] - E \left[\log p(\theta)\right] = E \left[\log \left(p(\theta_0)/p(\theta)\right)\right]$$
$$= -E \left[\log \left(p(\theta)/p(\theta_0)\right)\right]$$
$$> -\log E \left[p(\theta)/p(\theta_0)\right] = 0$$

where the inequality holds by Jensen's inequality for the convex function $-\log(\cdot)$.

1.3 Score, Hessian, and Information

Score:

$$\psi_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X_i \mid \theta)$$

Hessian:

$$\mathcal{H}_{n}(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \log f(X_{i} \mid \theta)$$

Efficient score:

$$\psi_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f \left(X_i \mid \theta_0 \right)$$

Theorem 1.2. If the model is correctly specified, the support of X does not depend on θ , and θ_0 is in the interior of Θ , then $E(\psi_0) = 0$.

Proof. By the Leibniz rule,

$$E(\psi_0) = E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X_i \mid \theta_0)\right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E\left[\log f(X_i \mid \theta_0)\right] = 0$$

as θ_0 is the maximizer in an interior.

Definition 1.3. Fisher information matrix:

$$\mathscr{I}_0 = E\left[\psi_0 \psi_0'\right]$$

Definition 1.4. Expected Hessian:

$$\mathcal{H}_{0} = -E \left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \log f \left(X \mid \theta_{0} \right) \right]$$

Theorem 1.3. If the model is correctly specified, we have the **information matrix equality**: $\mathscr{I}_0 = \mathscr{H}_0$.

Proof. Start with Hessian,

$$\begin{split} E\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta\partial\theta'}\log f\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right] &= E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta'}\log f\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right] \\ &= E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta'}f\left(\theta\right)}{f\left(\theta\right)}\Big|_{\theta=\theta_{0}}\right] \\ &= E\left[\frac{\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta\partial\theta'}f\left(\theta\right)}{f\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\right] + E\left[\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}f\left(\theta\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta'}f\left(\theta\right)}{f^{2}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\right]. \end{split}$$

The first term:

$$E\left[\frac{\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta\partial\theta'}f\left(\theta\right)}{f\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\right] = \int \frac{\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta\partial\theta'}f\left(\theta\right)}{f\left(\theta_{0}\right)}f\left(\theta_{0}\right)dx = \int \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta\partial\theta'}f\left(\theta\right)dx = \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta\partial\theta'}\int f\left(\theta\right)dx = \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta\partial\theta'}1 = 0.$$

The second term:

$$E\left[\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f\left(\theta\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta'} f\left(\theta\right)}{f^{2}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\right] = E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f\left(\theta_{0}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta'} \log f\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right] = E\left[\psi_{0} \psi_{0}'\right].$$

Notice that the information matrix equality holds only when the model is correctly specified. It fails when the model is misspecified.

1.4 Cramér-Rao Lower Bound

Theorem 1.4. Suppose the model is correctly specified, the support of X does not depend on θ , and θ_0 is in the interior of Θ . If $\widetilde{\theta}$ is unbiased estimator, then $var\left(\widetilde{\theta}\right) \geq (n\mathscr{I}_0)^{-1}$.

Proof. Because of unbiasedness,

$$\theta = E_{\theta} \left[\widetilde{\theta} \right] = \int \widetilde{\theta} f \left(\mathbf{X} \mid \theta \right) d\mathbf{x}$$

for any $\theta \in \Theta$. **X** here is for the entire sample, $f(\mathbf{X} \mid \theta) = f(X_1, ..., X_n \mid \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i \mid \theta)$. Take derivative at the two sides. The LHS is $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \theta'} = \mathbf{I}_p$

. The RHS:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta'} \int \widetilde{\theta} f(\mathbf{X} \mid \theta) d\mathbf{x} = \int \widetilde{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta'} f(\mathbf{X} \mid \theta) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int \widetilde{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta'} f(\mathbf{X} \mid \theta) f(\mathbf{X} \mid \theta) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int \widetilde{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta'} \log f(\mathbf{X} \mid \theta) f(\mathbf{X} \mid \theta) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int \widetilde{\theta} \psi_n(\theta) f(\mathbf{X} \mid \theta) d\mathbf{x}$$

Evaluate at the true θ_0 , and due to i.i.d. data

$$\mathbf{I}_{p} = \int \widetilde{\theta} \psi_{n}(\theta_{0}) f\left(\mathbf{X} \mid \theta_{0}\right) d\mathbf{x} = E\left[\widetilde{\theta} \psi_{n}(\theta_{0})\right] = E\left[\left(\widetilde{\theta} - \theta_{0}\right) \psi_{n}(\theta_{0})\right]$$

where the last equality holds by $E\left[\theta_0\psi_n(\theta_0)\right] = \theta_0 E\left[\psi_n(\theta_0)\right] = \theta_0 E\left[n\psi_0\right] = 0$. We thus have

$$var\left(\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\theta} - \theta_0 \\ \psi_n(\theta_0) \end{array}\right) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} V & \mathbf{I}_p \\ \mathbf{I}_p & n\mathscr{I}_0 \end{array}\right].$$

Pre- and post-multiply $[I_p - (n\mathscr{I}_0)^{-1}]$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{I}_p & -(n\mathscr{I}_0)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{V} & \boldsymbol{I}_p \\ \boldsymbol{I}_p & n\mathscr{I}_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{I}_p \\ -(n\mathscr{I}_0)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{V} - (n\mathscr{I}_0)^{-1} \geq 0.$$

The Cramér-Rao Lower Bound is a lower bound. It may not reachable. When it is reached, an estimator is **Cramér-Rao efficient** if it is unbiased and the variance is $(n\mathscr{I}_0)^{-1}$.

Example 1.3. Normal distribution: Let $\gamma = \sigma^2$

$$\log \ell_n (X \mid \mu, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log \gamma - \frac{n}{2} \log \pi - \frac{1}{2\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2$$

$$\psi_n (\mu, \sigma^2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) \\ -\frac{n}{2\gamma} + \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_n (\mu, \sigma^2) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n}{\gamma} & \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) \\ \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) & -\frac{n}{2\gamma^2} + \frac{1}{\gamma^3} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Expected Hessian:

$$E\left[\mathcal{H}_n\left(\mu,\sigma^2\right)\right] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n}{\gamma} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{n}{2\gamma^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Take inverse:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{\gamma}{n} & 0\\ 0 & 2\frac{\gamma^2}{n} \end{array}\right]$$

This is the lower bound.

Check:

the sample mean:

$$var\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}$$

The sample mean is Cramér-Rao efficient.

$$s_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} X' \left(I - \frac{1}{n} 1_n 1_n' \right) X$$

 $E\left(S_n^2\right) = \sigma^2$ is unbiased

$$(n-1)\frac{s_n^2}{\sigma^2} = \left(\frac{X}{\sigma}\right)' \left(I - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n'\right) \left(\frac{X}{\sigma}\right) \sim \chi^2 (n-1)$$

So,

$$s_n^2 = \frac{\chi^2 (n-1)}{n-1} \sigma^2$$

$$var\left(s_{n}^{2}\right) = \frac{\sigma^{4}}{\left(n-1\right)^{2}}2\left(n-1\right) = \frac{2\sigma^{4}}{n-1} > \frac{2\sigma^{4}}{n}$$

Does not satisfy Cramér-Rao efficient.

1.5 Asymptotic Normality

MLE is a special case of m-estimator. Under regularity conditions, $\hat{\theta} \stackrel{p}{\to} \theta_0$, and asymptotically normal:

 $\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\theta}-\theta_0\right) \stackrel{d}{\to} N\left(0, \mathcal{H}_0^{-1} \mathcal{I}_0 \mathcal{H}_0^{-1}\right)$

When the information equality hods, the asymptotic variance is simplified as $\mathscr{I}_0^{-1}\mathscr{I}_0\mathscr{I}_0^{-1}=\mathscr{I}_0^{-1}$, and thus

 $\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\theta}-\theta_0\right) \stackrel{d}{\to} N\left(0,\mathscr{I}_0^{-1}\right).$

In other words, it achieves asymptotic efficiency.

Caveat:

- 1. need correct specification
- 2. the comparison is restricted to asymptotically unbiased estimator. There are biased estimators with better overall performance.

1.6 Kullback-Leibler Divergence

$$KLIC(f,g) = \int f(x) \log \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} dx$$

Properties:

- 1. KLIC(f, f) = 0
- 2. $KLIC(f, g) \geq 0$
- 3. $f = \arg\min_{g} KLIC(f, g)$

If $f(x) = f(x \mid \theta)$ is a parametric family

$$\theta_0 = \arg\min_{\theta \in \Theta} KLIC(f, f_{\theta})$$

which is correctly specified model.

Pseudo-true parameter:

$$\theta_0 = \arg\min_{\theta \in \Theta} KLIC(f, f_{\theta})$$

which is misspecified model.

KLIC is the distance measure of any two distributions.

$$KLIC(f, f_{\theta}) = \int f(x) \log f(x) dx - \int f(x) \log f(x \mid \theta) dx$$
$$= \int f(x) \log f(x) dx - E[\log f(x \mid \theta)]$$
$$= \int f(x) \log f(x) dx - \ell(\theta)$$

the pseudo-true value

$$\theta^* = \arg\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \ell\left(\theta\right)$$

The information equality was proved under correct specification. When the model is misspecified,

$$E\left[S\left(\theta^{*}\right)S\left(\theta^{*}\right)'\right] \neq E\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta\partial\theta'}\log f\left(\theta^{*}\right)\right].$$

As a result, we will have a sandwich-form asymptotic variance in

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\right) \stackrel{d}{\to} N\left(0, \mathcal{H}_*^{-1} \mathcal{I}_* \mathcal{H}_*^{-1}\right)$$

understand that \mathscr{I}_* and \mathscr{H}_* are evaluated at the pseudo-true value.

Zhentao Shi. Feb 14, 2023. Transcribed by Shu Shen.