nathenhar…	#### Meeting begins	
j^2	hey everyone	12:01 PM
nathenhar…	Hello everyone. This is the chef developers' meeting	12:01 PM
	today's agenda is here:https://github.com/opscode/chef-community-irc-m...	12:01 PM
kallistec	zts: let's pick this up after meeting, but versioning is an implemention detail IMO	12:01 PM
nathenhar…	We have a number of action items and RFCs to review from our last meeting	12:02 PM
	We'll chat about attribute syntax, RFC workflow, and Workflow standardiztion	12:02 PM
	We'll also have a couple of updates from the community, chef-client team, etc.	12:03 PM
	Any questions or additions to the agenda before we get started?	12:03 PM
holoway	I have one addition, which is that I want to update folks on where we're at with getting some more governance and project leadership stuff in front of everyone	12:04 PM
nathenhar…	holoway: "Update on Chef governance and maintenance policy proposals" is on the schedule	12:04 PM
holoway	yay	12:04 PM
nathenhar…	so that should suffice :)	12:04 PM
holoway	indeed	12:04 PM
nathenhar…	holoway: coffee in hand?	12:05 PM
holoway	ok - on to previous business	12:05 PM
	(no! iced tea, because the coffee machine needs to heat up, much to my dismay)	12:05 PM
	(still - delicious)	12:05 PM
	ok - previous business	12:05 PM
nathenhar…	Finalize RFC for attribute syntax API. -https://github.com/opscode/chef-rfc/pull/40	12:05 PM
holoway	there was a little bit more conversation on this one, mostly between kallistec	12:06 PM

	and ssd7	
	I think I agree with kallistec here - it makes more sense that if you use the array syntax, you get exactly what you say all the time	12:07 PM
	(ie - don't put dots in the array lookup)	12:07 PM
	kallistec/ssd7/others - do you agree with that, or are there passionate advocates for the way ssd7 wrote it?	12:08 PM
jonlives	I agree with kallistec too	12:08 PM
coderanger	+1	12:08 PM
holoway	ok - in 1 minute I'm calling silence consent	12:09 PM
kallistec	I'm +1 on everything about the current proposal except the attempt to "DWIM" when using the dots syntax, I think it's actually a lot more complicated than it looks	12:09 PM
holoway	yep	12:09 PM
jonlives	kallistec: we're all just voting on agreeing with you :p	12:09 PM
nathenhar...	+1	12:09 PM
j^2	in general standardizeation just seems like a good idea all round	12:09 PM
kallistec	:) just being clear	12:09 PM
holoway	the statement I'm putting forth is we ask ssd7 to remove the DWIM for dots, and then he merges this RFC	12:09 PM
jonlives	+1	12:09 PM
kallistec	+!	12:09 PM
	derp, +1	12:10 PM
btm	+1	12:10 PM
holoway	ok - nathenharvey_, as our beloved keeper of the minutes - take the note that ssd7 can make that change to his PR, and then he can merge it	12:10 PM
	I will reply	12:10 PM
nathenhar...	**Action Item**: ssd7 to remove the DWIM for dots, and then merges the [attribute syntax RFC](https://github.com/opscode/chef-rfc/pull/40)	12:11 PM
holoway	ok, next item of business	12:11 PM

| nathenhar... | Next item then is Finalize RFC for RFC workflow - https://github.com/opscode/chef-rfc/pull/37 | 12:11 PM |

nathenhar... Next item then is Finalize RFC for RFC workflow - https://github.com/opscode/chef-rfc/pull/37   12:11 PM

holoway I say we merge this now, and accept PRs for editors   12:12 PM

thank you for the updates, coderanger   12:13 PM

jonlives +1   12:13 PM

coderanger thumbsup.gif   12:13 PM

holoway: When it get merged can you make sure I get added to the commit acls for the repo so I can do the editory things   12:13 PM

nathenhar... +1   12:13 PM

holoway my additional task for coderanger, if he accepts it, is to write up for the mailing list and his blog a post about the new RFC process, that we will cross-post on the Chef blog   12:13 PM

coderanger Sounds good   12:14 PM

kallistec +1 on merge   12:14 PM

holoway so we make people who aren't in these meetings aware of their newfound RFC submission powers   12:14 PM

paulmooring_away is now known as paulmooring   12:14 PM

and the new role people can play in the community   12:14 PM

also, I would like to put a statement in the minutes thanking coderanger for doing essentially all the work here, including going way over the top with an overview of all the possible options   12:15 PM

good stuff   12:15 PM

I'm merging in 1 minute, to give time for slow typers to make with the clicky   12:15 PM

jtimberman +1   12:15 PM

coderanger: Thank you <3   12:15 PM

holoway ok, merging   12:16 PM

nathenhar... holoway: so noted   12:16 PM

coderanger: hugs! you rock   12:16 PM

j^2 ^5   12:16 PM

github-bawt	[chef-rfc] adamhjk pushed 13 new commits to master: http://git.io/cZrhGQ	12:17 PM
	chef-rfc/master e150afa Noah Kantrowitz: First pass on RFC process.	12:17 PM
	chef-rfc/master 6f3d687 Noah Kantrowitz: Better wording on section name.	12:17 PM
	chef-rfc/master f6de285 Noah Kantrowitz: More section name fixes.	12:17 PM
coderanger	Do we want to retroactively renumber this to RFC1?	12:17 PM
	(that seems to be common amongst such systems)	12:17 PM
jonlives	we already have a 001	12:17 PM
holoway	coderanger: as the newly minted decider - yes!	12:17 PM
coderanger	jonlives: Yeah, but not much references the numbers yet so ... :)	12:17 PM
holoway	actually	12:17 PM
jonlives	coderanger: true :p	12:17 PM
mivok	000 ?	12:17 PM
thom	0 would be better :)	12:17 PM
kallistec	or 0 ?	12:17 PM
holoway	yes, make it -000	12:17 PM
adamedx	0	12:17 PM
coderanger	0 works too	12:17 PM
	Roger	12:17 PM
	I'll move it into place after the meeting	12:18 PM
holoway	cool	12:18 PM
nathenhar…	Next up Finalize RFC to standarize on two workflows - https://github.com/opscode/chef-rfc/pull/34	12:18 PM
holoway	thanks!	12:18 PM
randomca…	would it make more sense to create compact text names for RFCs? it would be more readable.	12:18 PM
holoway	randomcamel: example?	12:18 PM
randomca…	this one could be RFC-RFC, maybe.	12:19 PM

holoway	randomcamel: I'm going to pass on that for now, since I think they are probably readable enough as it is	12:19 PM
	randomcamel: feel free to open a PR if you want to flesh that idea out more, and we can discuss it	12:19 PM
mivok	coderanger: you should have permissions on the chef-rfc repo now	12:20 PM
randomca…	fair enough. just throwing ideas around, I know when I see "JCP-190" and "PEP-271" it stretches my memory sometimes, but for actively discussed RFCs it's probably not needed.	12:20 PM
holoway	on this topic there was a bit more conversation	12:20 PM
randomca…	no worries, I'm new and will get caught up.	12:21 PM
holoway	I think coderanger's comment on chef vendor dependencies is right	12:21 PM
	```chef vendor dependences``` is probably overly verbose, and chef vendor would probably do it	12:21 PM
jonlives	+1	12:22 PM
holoway	I think chef package syntax might need to be worked out when we implement it, but I'm super +1 on the idea that we have an official way of taking a set of assets and bundling them for trivial use without the server	12:22 PM
jtimberman	yes, and is in line with tools folks may be familiar with using already	12:23 PM
holoway	(the comment just below)	12:23 PM
coderanger	holoway: Mostly it wasn't clear to me what that / was	12:23 PM
	Is that a local path?	12:23 PM
holoway	coderanger: totally - I think I'm confused too, and I wrote it. I'm pretty sure I had a good reason.	12:23 PM
	coderanger: :)	12:23 PM
coderanger	Or some kind chef_fs thing	12:23 PM
holoway	coderanger: but I'm going to update it for clarity	12:23 PM
jonlives	yeah I like the idea of the package command too	12:23 PM
kallistec	I think chef-zero can already serve the repo as-is, is this more about creating an artifact-y thing?	12:24 PM
holoway	yes, for distribution	12:24 PM

coderanger	Overall +1 on that command, I just couldn't tell what that invocation was actually doing by looking at it	12:24 PM
holoway	I want people using chef solo/zero (man,w e need an rfc) to be supported in the workflow	12:24 PM
jonlives	definitely	12:24 PM
holoway	I stopped short of a publish command that takes random URIs to push your assets to, but I kind of want one	12:25 PM
	that experience needs to be first class	12:25 PM
Damacus	btw I'm seeing a bit of confusion, what're we doing with -solo? Now zero is so awesome?	12:25 PM
jonlives	holoway: yeah - seems like it might be a bit prone to feature creep if we're not careful tho - lotta ways to distribute artifacts :p	12:25 PM
holoway	Damacus: yeah, I think we need to have that as an agenda item to discuss. I think solo can become zero transparently without drama, but we should talk about it here and in the RFCs	12:26 PM
	jonlives: right? hence I stopped short	12:26 PM
	we have a note for coderanger to work up some supported but not required language	12:27 PM
	I'm assuming we got delightful work on the RFC process rather than that language, yes? :)	12:27 PM
mivok	would this local artefact thing be very similar to the recipe_url that's currently supported (e.g. a tarball)?	12:27 PM
kallistec	hrm, so chef-solo has -r URL, chef-zero doesn't so the artifact create/publish is a bit fuzzy if you switch to zero	12:27 PM
coderanger	Yeah, haven't gotten there yet	12:27 PM
holoway	cool	12:27 PM
jtimberman	holoway: i can open an an issue/pr for discussion about an rfc for solo/zero	12:27 PM
	(after i reread the RFC RFC for the process ;))	12:27 PM
holoway	coderanger: no problem at all. I just made a comment that, if you give me some language in a comment, I'll update with the few other updates I'm taking out of this meeting	12:28 PM

	nathenharvey_ brought up a question about what the generator produces	12:28 PM
	right now, it generates the full skeleton that was in the chef-repo, not the starter kit structure	12:29 PM
nathenhar...	I think that's too much	12:29 PM
holoway	I'm with kallistec that changing it is just a PR to chef	12:29 PM
miketheman	and is this `knife cookbook generate` or `chef generate cookbook`?	12:29 PM
nathenhar...	I am happy to PR against chef-dk though	12:29 PM
jonlives	that's knife generate repo	12:29 PM
holoway	miketheman: this is '''chef generate repo'''	12:29 PM
nathenhar...	chef generate repo	12:29 PM
jonlives	chef, sorry	12:30 PM
	old habits :p	12:30 PM
holoway	jonlives: right?	12:30 PM
jonlives	part of me thinks it would be nice to generate a skeleton repo that has the most commonly used folders - cookbooks, roles, data_bags, environments, and a simple example for each.	12:30 PM
	and skip stuff like Vagrantfile	12:31 PM
holoway	next item	12:42 PM
nathenhar...	Community Update -	12:42 PM
	quick reminder about both the Seattle (http://getchef.com/summit) and London (http://getchef.com/summit-london) community summits	12:43 PM
	We are accepting sponsors for the Seattle summit if you or your company are interested	12:43 PM
	other community update is about implementing and enforcing some sort of terms of service or something for the mailing list	12:43 PM
miketheman	nathenharvey_: where do I direct marketing to look for sponsorship details ?	12:44 PM
nathenhar...	miketheman: on getchef.com/summit there's a "Interested in sponsoring the Community Summit? Download the prospectus here."	12:44 PM
	and / or to summit@getchef.com	12:44 PM

miketheman	Thanks	12:44 PM
holoway	nathenharvey_: I propose we draft an RFC for it, and cover the mailing list and the IRC channels	12:45 PM
nathenhar…	holoway: that's what I was thinking and I can take an action to start one.	12:45 PM
holoway	sweet	12:45 PM
jtimberman	nathenharvey_: we have a general code of conduct, how is this different?	12:45 PM
nathenhar…	we'll leverage the community guidelines we already have in place	12:45 PM
coderanger	nathenharvey_: Let me know when you have a draft, I'm sure I'll have ::jazzhands:: opinions :)	12:46 PM
nathenhar…	jtimberman: part of the way it's different, I think, is that we need to make it explicit on the mailing list and in IRC	12:46 PM
	you can join the mailing list today and never know about our guidelines	12:46 PM
holoway	the additions I would like to see is specifics about what the punitive actions are	12:46 PM
	who you talk to about taking them	12:47 PM
nathenhar…	and definitely what holoway said	12:47 PM
miketheman	nathenharvey_: would it make sense for the 'join" part to show you the guidelines?	12:47 PM
holoway	and how someone affected by punitive action appeals	12:47 PM
jtimberman	nod, i can make with opinions/words when there's an rFC then.	12:47 PM
	(if i have any :))	12:47 PM
nathenhar…	We need to be able to answer the question "Someone should ban this guy from mailing list?"	12:47 PM
holoway	yep - where the questions are	12:48 PM
	mary_grace agrees with miketheman	12:48 PM
	"who is someone", and what criteria makes "should" a thing	12:48 PM
nathenhar…	miketheman: yes. which will likely lead us (again) down the path of migrating off the current mailing list	12:48 PM
	holoway: exactly	12:48 PM

holoway	cool	12:48 PM
nathenhar…	so, I'll start an RFC	12:48 PM
holoway	anything else on this topic?	12:48 PM
nathenhar…	we'll review	12:49 PM
	that's the community update for today	12:49 PM
holoway	next!	12:49 PM
nathenhar…	** 10 minute warning	12:49 PM
	Chef Software Update is next	12:49 PM
holoway	I'm going to collapse the chef software stuff and the last bit	12:49 PM
	on governance and maintenance into the same	12:50 PM
nathenhar…	maybe let sersut do client update first?	12:50 PM
holoway	sure!	12:50 PM
	sersut, take it away	12:50 PM
sersut	Release Calendar wise we've just release 11.14.6 and 10.34.0... Thanks all for helping these releases get out...	12:50 PM
	We will make a minor release of Chef DK soon to ship a couple of fixes…	12:51 PM
fnichol	sersut: any rough ideas on chefdk release timeline?	12:51 PM
sersut	fnichol: expect to have it this week or early next..	12:51 PM
Damacus	sersut have you got a list of the proposed bug fixes?	12:52 PM
sersut	that's pretty much it until the next meeting on the release side :)	12:52 PM
fnichol	sersut: kk, thx :)	12:52 PM
miketheman	sersut: changelog for 11.14.6? https://github.com/opscode/chef/blob/a49ec408d5...	12:52 PM
holoway	ok - I'll let sersut answer Damacus while I talk, since we're getting low on time :)	12:53 PM
	we're working on a chef server 12 release that will drop soon	12:53 PM
kallistec	Damacus: for ChefDK: whatever's been fixed in berks3 since we last shipped, and a fix for `chef exec` not handling PATH correctly	12:53 PM

holoway	it will be backwards compatible to chef 11, and have a few new features	12:54 PM
Damacus	I'll take it to #chef	12:54 PM
sersut	Damacus: For Chef DK minor release? we will ship the bugs that are currently fixed in master… is there anything specific that you would like to see get fixed?	12:54 PM
	miketheman: correct.. I'll fix the title soon :)	12:54 PM
miketheman	thanks	12:54 PM
holoway	I'll talk about those features Real Soon Now (tm), and it will be apparent why I'm not talking about them now when I do	12:54 PM
jonlives	excitement!	12:54 PM
holoway	this will be the last release that will have this kind of non-transparency in whats going in if I can help it	12:55 PM
	so I'm just asking you to trust me for a minute, and all will be revealed	12:55 PM
Damacus	holoway got us this far	12:55 PM
thom	i'm hoping it comes with real live fireworks at the summit	12:56 PM
jonlives	*trustfall*	12:56 PM
	miketheman drops jonlives	12:56 PM
nathenhar...	** 5 minute warning	12:56 PM
holoway	the one topic that folks need to be reminded of is the current chef webui is going to be officially unsupported by Chef Software as of this release	12:56 PM
miketheman	dude's heavy.	12:56 PM
	holoway: will there be any other type of user interface that is not knife?	12:56 PM
holoway	the web ui available in Enterprise Chef will, as of now, be made available to folks for free under a certain node limit	12:57 PM
coderanger	holoway: I've got a post in-progress about the web UI, can cross-post it to the chef blog if you want	12:57 PM
c_t	miketheman: JCL.	12:57 PM
zts	holoway: awesome	12:57 PM
kierrr	c_t: snrk	12:57 PM

holoway	miketheman: not that is open source and supported by Chef Software	12:57 PM
miketheman	holoway: understood.	12:57 PM
holoway	this came up when we released Chef 11 - essentially, we decided we would rather put the much more stable, fast, and easy to install chef server in open source	12:58 PM
miketheman	what will happen with this repo?https://github.com/opscode/chef-server-webui	12:58 PM
holoway	than hold that as differentiation commercially	12:58 PM
miketheman	and should is annound "deprecation" warnings loudly?	12:58 PM
	announce*	12:58 PM
Damacus	miketheman we weirdly already had planned to get rid of it.	12:58 PM
holoway	miketheman: I'll be posting to the list reminding folks of its demise	12:59 PM
c_t	putting a deprecation notice in the README seems sufficient	12:59 PM
nlloyds	miketheman chef-server-webui will continue to receive security updates until Chef 13.	12:59 PM
holoway	and if someone wants to take over its maintenance, we would be happy to do that (obviously - its open source)	12:59 PM
	and what nlloyds said	12:59 PM
Damacus	c_t not for a lot of people probably :/ but then again most people don't update their WebUIs (OK,,, I don't)	12:59 PM
miketheman	nlloyds: thanks - all of these itesm should be delcared in the project's readme and such	12:59 PM
holoway	coderanger: re cross-posting, assuming it's something that makes sense to have on the Chef Software blog, yeah, I'm coolw ith that	12:59 PM
nlloyds	miketheman making a note to do that. thanks!	1:00 PM
holoway	ok	1:00 PM
	last topic	1:00 PM
c_t	(I have a very minor interest in webui, only because I crafted goiardi to be able to work with it, but I don't actually care about it that much)	1:00 PM
Damacus	maybe this is another one of those moments Chef hands the software over to	1:00 PM

the community al la, Nginx Cookbook?

holoway c_t: the goal is that anyone can build a ui, obviously — 1:00 PM

Damacus: yeah - like I said above, is someone wants to run with that code base, they are free to do so — 1:01 PM

Damacus holoway that does also mean we might, get a better Ui from the community (no offence meant) — 1:01 PM

holoway ok — 1:01 PM

we're at time, but I want to get this last bit out — 1:01 PM

mattray Damacus: that would be delightful — 1:01 PM

holoway I have drafts of governance and maintenance policies that I'm circulating with the stakeholders that I need to have approved before I can open it up to conversations — 1:01 PM

in case you missed the first meeting — 1:01 PM

nlloyds c_t you should be able to make Giordi work with Chef Manage (the new, proprietary web ui) without too much trouble. Ping me (smith@getchef.com) if you want to look into that some more — 1:01 PM

holoway the plan is that we're going to have a real governance policy, and a real maintenance policy — 1:02 PM

in order to get that in front of folks, I need to work with some parts of the Chef Software world that are hard to give you guys transparency into — 1:02 PM

for example, the board and our lawyers — 1:02 PM

and while I'm pretty sure my drafts are just going to be the actual proposals — 1:03 PM

I don't control the timeline of, say, my board members, or even really my lawyers :) — 1:03 PM

so progress is happening, but you can't see it (most people can't) — 1:03 PM

we will absolutely have it by the summit, and I'm hoping we have it well before then — 1:04 PM

jonlives nice — 1:04 PM

holoway if anyone has concerns about it, feel free to speak to me, and I can fill you in as much as I can :) — 1:04 PM

Damacus looking forward to it! — 1:04 PM

holoway	but we are absolutely heading to a day where there are multiple, external people with commit rights and stewardship over parts of the code base	1:05 PM
Damacus	holoway just like to know how far it covers, RE: maintenance policy	1:05 PM
holoway	and a policy for how you become one of those folks, etc	1:05 PM
jonlives	awesome, i was gonna ask about that.	1:05 PM
coderanger	holoway: Whats the backup plan if it isn't completed by the summit?	1:05 PM
holoway	coderanger: there is no backup plan, I'm going to get it done (I'm on the board, and I pay the lawyers, so I do have *some* say)	1:06 PM
	coderanger: but I'm sure there is an implicit backup plan, which is people who aren't Chef Software start writing some rules, and things get Weird	1:06 PM
	because people loose trust in what I'm saying in this meeting	1:06 PM
	:)	1:06 PM
coderanger	holoway: Okay, so as an explicit backup plan, if there is not a community maintenance plan in place by the summit, I'll be forking Chef	1:07 PM
holoway	coderanger: because nothing says community like holding people hostage :)	1:07 PM
Damacus	coderanger haha go!	1:07 PM
spheromak	holoway: right!	1:07 PM
c_t	"A Project of the Symbionese Liberation Coding Army"	1:08 PM
spheromak	lol	1:08 PM
	c_t: i prefer Liberation Front	1:08 PM
coderanger	holoway: Just want an explicit back stop in place that we can start to improve the status quo from	1:08 PM
holoway	sure	1:08 PM
c_t	spheromak: yeah, but the original was "Army"	1:08 PM
holoway	look, forking is an important right in open source software	1:08 PM
	and communities are made of people and trust	1:09 PM
	if you feel the need to create a new community and a new basis for that trust, I can't stop you	1:09 PM
	however - I think the reality is that there is quite a bit of movement on all	1:09 PM

	those fronts, but it doesn't run on the timeline of any single individual, including me	
Damacus	holoway but we'd all rather we didn't get that far :)	1:09 PM
coderanger	Hopefully it won't come to that, but I hope you can see how "just take my word for it" is getting spread very thin as this process drags on for many months	1:10 PM
Damacus	coderanger come work in the public sector.	1:10 PM
coderanger	I know thats all you can offer, but forking is also all I can offer	1:10 PM
holoway	coderanger: sure - also, I have perspective on 'drags on' that you don't have. For example, how many times have you arranged for millions of dollars of funding for software, and then had to get people to commit on a legal basis on how that software is no longer under the control of that entity?	1:11 PM
coderanger	So we just have to go with that and the statement the community summit is a hard deadline one way or the other	1:11 PM
holoway	so lets just leave it at the fact that we're both perfectly clear	1:11 PM
	and want the exact same end-game	1:11 PM
	and that progress requires a left-flank, which I appreciate that you (coderanger) provide	1:11 PM
coderanger	holoway: Stick and carrot :)	1:12 PM
nathenhar…	we're almost 15 minutes over schedule. I move we close the meeting and reconvene in 2 week's time	1:12 PM
jonlives	+1	1:13 PM
Damacus	+1 thanks	1:13 PM
holoway	yep :)	1:13 PM
miketheman	+1	1:13 PM
c_t	+1	1:13 PM
nathenhar…	** meeting adjourned	1:13 PM
		1:13 PM