

| | | |
|---------------|---|-------|
| | *** Meeting Starts *** | |
| | With Adam out, it would be good if someone could fill-in as secretary | 12:01 |
| | | PM |
| ssd7 | nathenharvey: secretary == take the notes for action items and such? | 12:02 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | ssd7: yes | 12:02 |
| | | PM |
| ssd7 | I can do that | 12:02 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | thank you! | 12:02 |
| | | PM |
| j^2 | hey everyone | 12:02 |
| | | PM |
| tball__ | morning! | 12:02 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | Agenda for this meeting can be found here: https://github.com/opscode/chef-community-irc-m... | 12:02 |
| | | PM |
| | I'll either take the things assigned to Adam or we'll skip them | 12:03 |
| | | PM |
| j^2 | sounds good! | 12:04 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | We'll cover some of the action items from last meeting, talk about merging in a proposal or two, and get an update from some people | 12:04 |
| | | PM |
| | action items from last meeting: | 12:04 |
| | | PM |
| | more people should become RFC editors | 12:04 |
| | | PM |
| coderanger | +2 so far, one more awaiting voting :) | 12:04 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | great! | 12:05 |
| | | PM |
| thom | oh i should do that | 12:05 |

| | | |
|---------------|--|-------|
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | so, moar editors, please :) | 12:05 |
| | | PM |
| coderanger | Remember that you don't have to be an editor to help out with RFCs either, we just handle boring procedural stuffs :) | 12:06 |
| | | PM |
| adamleff | boring, yet important :) | 12:06 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | jonlives sent a message to the ML about the PR for generating the repo with chef-dk in the one repo pattern | 12:06 |
| | | PM |
| | https://github.com/opscode/chef-dk/pull/150 is the PR | 12:06 |
| | | PM |
| | there were some more comments on the PR but it looks like it's ready to merge | 12:07 |
| | | PM |
| | I believe sersut is out so that's likely pending his return | 12:07 |
| | | PM |
| lamont_oc | yeah sersut and kallistic are both out | 12:08 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | RFC 19 - The Great Workflow RFC has been merged - https://github.com/opscode/chef-rfc/blob/master... | 12:08 |
| | | PM |
| juliancdunn | adamleff volunteered to be an editor; there is a pending PR | 12:08 |
| | | PM |
| github-bawt | [chef-rfc] thommay opened pull request #56: Proposing myself as an editor (master...editor) http://git.io/G3ft8A | 12:09 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | I hosted a meeting this week to further discuss the community guidelines | 12:09 |
| | | PM |
| jtimberman | I have chefdk 0.2.2 installed and there's a `chef generate repo` command.. | 12:09 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | https://github.com/opscode/chef-rfc/pull/47 has been updated to reflect those discussions and further comments in the PR | 12:10 |
| | | PM |
| | I suggest adopt the RFC | 12:10 |
| | | PM |
| adamleff | +1 for adopting | 12:11 |
| | | PM |

| | | |
|----------------------|--|-------|
| coderanger | +1 from me, and for those that don't click through we have a +1 from holoway | 12:11 |
| | | PM |
| fnichol | I'm +1 on #47 as well from the discussion this week | 12:12 |
| | | PM |
| coderanger | Any against? | 12:12 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | 1 minute to raise objections | 12:12 |
| | | PM |
| | I will send out a message to the mailing list about the new community guidelines, write a blog post, and get them included in our docs site. | 12:13 |
| | | PM |
| jtimberman | Yay! | 12:13 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | ssd7: those are action items for me ;) | 12:13 |
| | | PM |
| ssd7 | captured | 12:14 |
| | | PM |
| fnichol | reminder that the process for changing/amending is the rfc process, so we're getting versioning here for free :) | 12:14 |
| | | PM |
| j^2 | nathenharvey: i'd like to talk about the subreddit off line. I'm the mod of it | 12:14 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | Adam was going to do a post to the ML about the deprecation of the current web ui. I don't recall seeing that, anyone else? | 12:14 |
| | | PM |
| coderanger | I wrote one instead | 12:15 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | j^2: sounds good. also, you can submit a PR to make yourself the community advocate for that if you like | 12:15 |
| | | PM |
| coderanger | And Nathan added a note to the chef-webui repo | 12:15 |
| | | PM |
| j^2 | nathenharvey: noted | 12:15 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | coderanger: awesome! thank you | 12:15 |
| | | PM |

| | | |
|----------------------|---|----------|
| ssd7 | Re the WebUI, do we think more communication is still needed, or can we close that action item? | 12:16 PM |
| nathenharv... | ssd7: I say we close the issue | 12:16 PM |
| | agree or object? | 12:16 PM |
| coderranger | +1 | 12:17 PM |
| adamleff | As long as it's in a Chef Server release notes document, agree. :) | 12:17 PM |
| ssd7 | +1 | 12:17 PM |
| j^2 | +1 adamleff a great way to state it | 12:17 PM |
| jtimberman | +1, with the Chef Server 12 announcements, i think the message is clear. | 12:17 PM |
| ssd7 | adamleff: I'll double check that it is in the Chef 12 release notes | 12:17 PM |
| | <i>sdelano takes note to make it more apparent in release notes</i> | 12:17 PM |
| holoway | I do still plan on writing a blog post | 12:17 PM |
| kierrr | sdelano: add some blink tags | 12:17 PM |
| nathenharv... | j^2: you had some todos around ubuntu 14.04 | 12:17 PM |
| j^2 | i did! | 12:18 PM |
| holoway | But don't block | 12:18 PM |
| sdelano | kierrr: LOL, okay. There's a default HTML landing page that I'll add them to | 12:18 PM |

| | | |
|---------------|---|----------------------------|
| j^2 | so i put in the a PR to attempt to change the default to Upstart | 12:18 PM |
| nathenharv... | holoway: what are you doing here?! you should be losing your shoes in the mud somewhere, I think | 12:18 PM |
| holoway | Airport :) | 12:18 PM |
| j^2 | https://github.com/opscode/chef/pull/2000 | 12:18 PM |
| | it was closed, and rightly so | 12:18 PM |
| jtimmerman | j^2 yeah i had a PR earlier for doing basically the same thing :0 | 12:19 PM |
| j^2 | I think this issue is going to continue and we need to continue discussing it | 12:19 PM |
| jtimmerman | PR #1412 | 12:19 PM |
| lamont_oc | i tried it on my servers and it broke 11 sysvinit scripts i was using, its a terrible idea | 12:19 PM |
| jtimmerman | I agree, Ubuntu 14.04 is an LTS, and it needs to have services properly supported with "do the most correct thing" and "be the least surprising to the user" | 12:20 PM |
| lamont_oc | creates a much worse problem than the migration to 14.04 where i had to pull the fixed openssh and apache cookbooks and fixed one or two other things i was using | 12:20 PM |
| j^2 | nathenharvey: i have a blog post that i'm almost ready to publish | 12:20 PM |
| jtimmerman | lamont_oc: do all the sysvinit scripts you have that broke use the check for initctl and then [silent] bail out if it exists? (that's what i ran into with rsyslog, openssh, and nginx on my own system) | 12:20 PM 12:20 PM |
| lamont_oc | uh they all broke, because the upstart provider doesn't speak sysvinit | 12:21 PM |
| | postfix, fail2ban, auditd all still use sysvinit in ubuntu 14.04 | 12:21 |

| | | |
|---------------|--|-------|
| | | PM |
| jtimberman | lamont_oc: I like your approach of "ask the system what it thinks and do that" | 12:21 |
| | | PM |
| lamont_oc | plus third-party repos like sensu | 12:21 |
| | | PM |
| | right | 12:22 |
| | | PM |
| | so there's a minimum viable provider resolver for ubuntu i threw together here: https://github.com/opscode/chef/pull/2002 | 12:22 |
| | | PM |
| j^2 | lamont_oc jtimberman: +1 let the machine figure out what it needs to do | 12:22 |
| | | PM |
| jtimberman | i mean that's what hte check for initctl is doing | 12:22 |
| | | PM |
| lamont_oc | that just creates a magical provider for ubuntu that looks at the service and uses upstart or sysvinit depending on what it finds | 12:22 |
| | | PM |
| jtimberman | it's just not graceful because it silently exits 1 | 12:22 |
| | | PM |
| juliancdunn | lamont_oc's proposal would be great for AIX too, since it has two init systems | 12:23 |
| | | PM |
| lamont_oc | yeah, so it was all the other init scripts that broke, jtimberman | 12:23 |
| | | PM |
| | the full provider resolver PR is here: https://github.com/opscode/chef/pull/1596 | 12:23 |
| | | PM |
| coderanger | Sounds like this needs more discussion offline | 12:25 |
| | | PM |
| lamont_oc | that'll let any provider class implement class methods for implements?, enabled? and handles? and the provider resolver will find the provider which answers yes to all three and use it | 12:25 |
| | | PM |
| j^2 | coderanger: yep i'm thinking this is a great topic at Summit | 12:25 |
| | | PM |
| coderanger | One of you want to take on writing a post to the ML summarizing both approaches? | 12:25 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | OK so we'll keep this RFC open for now and continue discussions on the topic | 12:26 |

| | | |
|----------------------|--|----------|
| | | PM |
| jtimberman | i can dig taking it offline. there are a couple different workarounds that cookbook authors can implement until this is fixed in a Chef version. | 12:26 PM |
| nathenharv... | It would be good to have a blog post describing those various workarounds (or are they workarounds?) | 12:26 PM |
| j^2 | nathenharvey: yep | 12:27 PM |
| lamont_oc | yeah there's workarounds, they should be blogged about | 12:27 PM |
| j^2 | I should have something out today/tomorrow ideally | 12:27 PM |
| ssd7 | So, it sounds like our action items are: More discussion about possible solutions on the ML. Blog post on workarounds. Who is taking those? | 12:27 PM |
| j^2 | ssd7: blog post | 12:28 PM |
| coderanger | Not sure a blog post would help here | 12:28 PM |
| nathenharv... | and everyone who cares should participate in the discussion and solutions on the ML and GitHub | 12:28 PM |
| | coderanger: why not? | 12:29 PM |
| coderanger | nathenharvey: Because I don't think there is enough consensus for a broadcast medium like that | 12:29 PM |
| jtimberman | i think a mailing list post is more relevant and appropriate for discussion than a blog post. | 12:29 PM |
| lamont_oc | i can post to the ML but i'm not sure how much that'll help. once people realize that we can't build a bikeshed to solve the problem and need to build the nuclear reactor they kinda disappear. | 12:29 PM |
| jtimberman | a blog post feels more like a "this is how it is" rather than invitation for discussion. | 12:29 PM |
| coderanger | Yeah, ^^ that | 12:29 PM |
| jtimberman | like, when we've decided on a thing, then a blog post is appropriate. | 12:30 |

| | | |
|---------------|--|-------|
| | | PM |
| | i think | 12:30 |
| | | PM |
| j^2 | jtimberman: agreed, it's a work around blog post to get you past this issue untill we have a long term fix | 12:30 |
| | | PM |
| lamont_oc | i need to get a "yes, please execute" along with some prioritization of my time | 12:30 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | blog post that says this is how it is, here are some ways to work around, and here's where you can join the discussion on how to fix | 12:30 |
| | | PM |
| j^2 | nathenharvey: yep | 12:30 |
| | | PM |
| coderanger | Ahh okay | 12:30 |
| | | PM |
| | :) | 12:30 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | ok, I'd like to close this topic and move on then. last call... | 12:31 |
| | | PM |
| j^2 | sounds good | 12:31 |
| | | PM |
| | <i>paulmooring is now known as paulmooring_away</i> | 12:32 |
| | | PM |
| jtimberman | Yes, agreed. | 12:32 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | ok, our next agenda item was juliancdunn's platform support proposal. I think we're going to defer until next meeting though | 12:32 |
| | | PM |
| | juliancdunn: quick update? | 12:32 |
| | | PM |
| juliancdunn | I addressed all outstanding feedback but I forgot to post to the m/l for a last call | 12:33 |
| | | PM |
| | <i>paulmooring_away is now known as paulmooring</i> | 12:33 |
| | | PM |
| | until today. so I suggest we punt for two weeks until the next meeting | 12:33 |
| | | PM |

| | | |
|---------------|--|-------|
| | which I presume we're doing in-person at Summit | 12:33 |
| | | PM |
| | at that point, I hope we can merge it | 12:33 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | juliancdunn: I think we'll send everyone back to their hotel rooms so we can have a proper IRC meeting at the summit | 12:34 |
| | | PM |
| juliancdunn | nathenharvey: yeah, I was thinking we could all just get into a room and IRC... quietest open space ever | 12:34 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | but, seriously, we would need to find a way to include people who aren't at summit | 12:35 |
| | | PM |
| juliancdunn | I was being serious | 12:35 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | perfect, that'll work then | 12:35 |
| | | PM |
| | ok, on to updates then | 12:35 |
| | | PM |
| adamleff | livestream the discussion for those that can watch, and keep IRC active, too? | 12:35 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | adamleff: that might work | 12:36 |
| | | PM |
| adamleff | I volunteer to help watch IRC and relay comments/questions if it's needed. | 12:36 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | Community update....well, there's the summit. I hope you'll all be at one or both. They're coming up quickly | 12:36 |
| | | PM |
| burtlo | (thunderous applause) | 12:37 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | and the Supermarket has 2 new features: Contingent Cookbooks and Fieri | 12:37 |
| | | PM |
| | here's the blog post announcing - https://www.getchef.com/blog/2014/09/15/new-sup... | 12:38 |
| | | PM |
| | And I'm happy to answer any questions you have about community | 12:39 |
| | | PM |

| | | |
|---------------|---|-------|
| | holoway: still here and care to give a Chef Software Update? | 12:39 |
| | | PM |
| | sdelano: can give us a Chef Server Update | 12:40 |
| | | PM |
| sdelano | Of course! | 12:40 |
| | | PM |
| | The Chef Server 12 RC is out and we've been getting great feedback from the community on it | 12:40 |
| | | PM |
| holoway | Lawyers engaged on maint and governance - should schedule IRC MTG concurrent with summit to discuss both | 12:40 |
| | | PM |
| sdelano | I've you've been paying close attention over the past week, it may seem like things have slowed down a bit on development. | 12:41 |
| | | PM |
| holoway | Now airplane | 12:41 |
| | | PM |
| sdelano | Most of the team just took a couple days off to decompress. | 12:41 |
| | | PM |
| nathenharv... | holoway: safe travels! | 12:41 |
| | | PM |
| sdelano | We've got another RC coming out today or tomorrow to address a few of the issues, and we'll begin tackling some of the more major remaining ones next week. | 12:41 |
| | | PM |
| | We're shooting for a final release by the summit, so stay tuned, and continue testing! | 12:42 |
| | | PM |
| c_t | it's a race against time | 12:42 |
| | | PM |
| sdelano | That's all I've got unless there are questions from y'all | 12:43 |
| | | PM |
| juliancdunn | sdelano: germane to what someone was asking me today, do we think we'll have a CS12-compatible push server by then? | 12:43 |
| | | PM |
| coderanger | sdelano: Is there a bug list somewhere so I can make sure the stuff I've reported in bits and pieces is on it? :) | 12:44 |
| | | PM |
| sdelano | coderanger: GitHub issues for the opscore-omnibus project is where we're tracking things | 12:44 |
| | | PM |

| | | |
|----------------------|--|-------|
| coderanger | sdelano: Roger, will look there | 12:44 |
| | | PM |
| sdelano | juliancdunn: is push not compatible with CS12? | 12:45 |
| | | PM |
| juliancdunn | sdelano: it's just what it says in https://www.getchef.com/blog/2014/09/07/chef-12... | 12:45 |
| | | PM |
| | "The Push Jobs package does not currently install on Chef Server 12" | 12:46 |
| | | PM |
| sdelano | juliancdunn: oh yeah, that! Yes, we will and it will be out tomorrow | 12:46 |
| | | PM |
| c_t | it sounds like it ought to be ready by the time chef server 12 is officially released though | 12:46 |
| | | PM |
| | ... or tomorrow | 12:46 |
| | | PM |
| sdelano | That was just a package dependency issue, with Push depending on 'private-chef' | 12:46 |
| | | pm |
| jtimberman | :) | 12:46 |
| | | pm |
| | whereas the package is chef-server-core | 12:46 |
| | | pm |
| | for chef 12. | 12:46 |
| | | pm |
| juliancdunn | sdelano: roger. thanks | 12:47 |
| | | pm |
| sdelano | Dependencies are hard. Story of my life | 12:47 |
| | | pm |
| nathenharv... | OK, that leaves us with about 12 minutes for open discussion | 12:47 |
| | | pm |
| jtimberman | sdelano: the truest of opslife stories. | 12:47 |
| | | pm |
| lamont_oc | sdelano: can we open up the opscore-omnibus chef repo publicly now and have a repo setup for collecting chef-server issues? | 12:47 |
| | | pm |
| sdelano | It IS open publicly | 12:48 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|-------|
| | | pm |
| ssd7 | lamont_oc: already done? | 12:48 |
| | | pm |
| lamont_oc | sweet | 12:48 |
| | | pm |
| | the latter is not done though | 12:48 |
| | | pm |
| sdelano | lamont_oc: you mean another repo just to collect issues? | 12:48 |
| | | pm |
| lamont_oc | yeah right now its all going into the client repo | 12:48 |
| | | pm |
| | and you're split across so many repos its not clear where to submit bugs for 'the server' | 12:49 |
| | | pm |
| ssd7 | It's a rather small RFC to dedicate much meeting time too, but I'd love if we could decide on https://github.com/opscode/chef-rfc/pull/38 | 12:49 |
| | | pm |
| sdelano | Right now, we've intended for opscode-omnibus to serve that purpose. With everything open, we're hoping to formalize that process. Perhaps a good thing for everyone here to discuss over an RFC | 12:49 |
| | | pm |
| lamont_oc | cool | 12:50 |
| | | pm |
| jtimberman | said RFC should include bikeshed color options ;) | 12:50 |
| | | pm |
| | <i>jtimberman kids</i> | 12:50 |
| | | pm |
| sdelano | lamont_oc: if you want to toss all the server issues from chef over to opscode-omnibus (or just open a ticket the for me to do it) that would be awesome | 12:50 |
| | | pm |
| jtimberman | ssd7: i love everything about the idea of this. | 12:50 |
| | | pm |
| paulmooring | ssd7 that sounds amazing | 12:51 |
| | | pm |
| c_t | jtimberman: ANSI says the standard bikeshed color is green, but ISO-44323 dictates red | 12:51 |
| | | pm |
| jtimberman | i don't have much to say about implementation other than it'd be great if the | 12:51 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-------------|
| | verify takes a block as ruby code to evaluate, or a string as a single shell command to run, similar to how <code>only_if</code> and <code>not_if</code> work. | pm |
| lamont_oc | ssd7: yeah, ship the rfc and open a pr against chef. totally want this in chef-12. | 12:51 pm |
| sdelano | ssd7: oh man, <code>nginx -t -c</code> is teh bomb | 12:51 pm |
| jtimberman | which i think what i said is addressed by the RFC | 12:52 pm |
| ssd7 | cool, that looks like <code>4 + 1s</code> , so unless there are objects, I'll reformat it and merge soon. PR not too far after | 12:53 pm |
| juliancdunn | like the idea, maybe not letting people put backticks in, though | 12:53 pm |
| thom | ssd7: yeah i love that rfc so much | 12:53 pm |
| coderanger | ssd7: merge requires holoway signoff, just fyi | 12:53 pm |
| jtimberman | yeah like the <code>nginx -t -c</code> example in the block, could be written as a string | 12:53 pm |
| ssd7 | coderanger: Thanks for the reminder | 12:53 pm |
| juliancdunn | need a safe way to let people run arbitrary commands (funnel them through <code>shell_out</code>) | 12:53 pm |
| jtimberman | in my idea. | 12:53 pm |
| | but i'm not super tied to that or whatever | 12:53 pm |
| lamont_oc | hmmm... i'm calling implementation detail better handled in the PR on that one.... and there's already a FC rule to catch system and backticks and yell at you to use <code>mixlib-shellout</code> ... | 12:54 pm |
| juliancdunn | lamont_oc: totally. | 12:54 pm |
| | I'm +1 on the general concept. | 12:54 pm |

| | | |
|---------------|--|-------------|
| ssd7 | Yup, how to handle system commands is the only sticking point that I've seen. Would love ideas on the RFC | 12:55 pm |
| jtimberman | ssd7: I can post the comment I made above on the RFC if that helps? | 12:55 pm |
| nathenharv... | 5 minute warning | 12:55 pm |
| jtimberman | I'd like to quickly congratulate and thank jonlives on the release of Customizing Chef http://shop.oreilly.com/product/0636920032984.do | 12:55 pm |
| jtimberman | oh , seth Vargo said words like that on Aug 4. | 12:56 pm |
| ssd7 | jtimberman: There is some discussion around string arguments on the RFC so might want to check those out and then respond | 12:56 pm |
| jtimberman | jonlives: Woot! | 12:56 pm |
| ssd7 | jonlives: Excellent Stuff. Been recommending it to new people internally | 12:56 pm |
| juliancdunn | I'm just ordering my copy as we speak! jonlives | 12:56 pm |
| jonlives | :D thanks all! | 12:56 pm |
| thom | jonlives: a friend just got it, he's very impressed | 12:56 pm |
| | <i>Cope cheers</i> | 12:57 pm |
| nathenharv... | I went to NYC yesterday just so I could get jonlives to sign my copy | 12:57 pm |
| juliancdunn | also, I based a lot of the Chef Intermediate training material on jonlives' book, so double thanks :-) | 12:57 pm |
| jonlives | :D | 12:58 pm |
| | vvkrtieukeltgbcuikcecievttfftjudfecitututviig | 12:58 pm |

| | | |
|----------------------|---|-------|
| | whoops :p | 12:58 |
| | | pm |
| | dang yubikey. | 12:58 |
| | | pm |
| sdelano | Man, that's long password, but I think it needs symbols | 12:58 |
| | | pm |
| juliancdunn | thought that was some Scottish saying we didn't parse | 12:59 |
| | | pm |
| coderanger | See (hopefully) y'all in Seattle! | 12:59 |
| | | pm |
| jonlives | haha | 12:59 |
| | | pm |
| c_t | does a password that long really need symbols? | 12:59 |
| | | pm |
| nathenharv... | Ok, time! Thank you everyone! | 12:59 |
| | | pm |
| | *** Meeting End *** | 12:59 |
| | | pm |
| | | 1:00 |
| | | pm |