

nathenharvey	***** MEETING STARTS *****	
	Our agenda for today's meeting is here - https://github.com/chef/chef-community-irc-meet...	12:02 PM
	holoway: might be a few min late so let's just get started	12:02 PM
	we'll have some updates and a few PRs to review. Adam is back from vacation. we can all welcome him back when he joins the room ;)	12:03 PM
	thanks for taking the cookbook survey, we're still seeing some results come in so you still have time to participate	12:04 PM
	http://bit.ly/chefconf2015-cookbook-survey	12:04 PM
	<i>kallistec says hi to Adam's IRC bouncer</i>	12:04 PM
	A reminder that the Chef Community summit will be held Oct 14-15 in Seattle	12:04 PM
	we should have registration available in June. I'm heading to London for some location scouting and expect we'll host a London summit about 2 weeks after Seattle	12:05 PM
jonlives	nice	12:05 PM
nathenharvey	It'll likely be a very short trip for me to London but would love to share a pint with anyone else who might be in town.	12:06 PM
thom	+1	12:06 PM
jonlives	count me in	12:06 PM
nathenharvey	well, not so much "share" as "have a few pints with"	12:06 PM

	That's the quick community update.	12:06 PM
zts	+1	12:07 PM
thom	there was probably a joke about austerity measures there, beer wise	12:07 PM
jonlives	heh	12:07 PM
nathenharvey	I suspect Adam Edwards is at MS Ignite at the moment so won't be joining us here	12:07 PM
	anyone else care to provide an update on chef-client and/or server?	12:07 PM
	<i>kallistec pings ssd7</i>	12:08 PM
ssd7	I can talk about the server.	12:09 PM
nathenharvey	ssd7: awesome, thanks!	12:09 PM
ssd7	In the last meeting I mentioned that we were merging the repositories. That was finished on Friday of last week.	12:09 PM
	All issues were moved to the chef-server repository on github	12:09 PM
	<i>martinisoft_ is now known as martinisoft</i>	12:10 PM
Majost	I think Lamont said the groups for the committers was updated as well	12:10 PM
ssd7	Majost: I'll look into that; I'm not sure off hand	12:10

		PM
thom	for the chef repo, not for the server one i think	12:11
		PM
ssd7	Other than that, we are currently working on rounding out the final bits of the key rotation and API versioning work	12:11
		PM
kallistec	Majost ssd7 thom yes, lamont_oc did sync the github teams.	12:11
		PM
Majost_mbl	Thanks for the refresh, connection dropped.	12:12
		PM
ssd7	Also, all community contributions have been merged and we hope to have much better turn around times for people attempting to contribute to the chef server. We encourage you to put that to the test :D	12:12
	That's it from me.	12:12
		PM
kallistec	Server also has a new dvm tool that lets you do server development in a VM	12:13
		PM
	previously we used a non-open source internal tool	12:13
		PM
	see the dev/ dir in the repo	12:13
		PM
Majost_mbl	Awesome!	12:14
		PM
kallistec	ssd7: what's the status of server 12.1 ?	12:14
		PM
github-bawt	[13chef-rfc] 15jkeiser pushed 1 new commit to 06jk/resource-actions: 02http://git.io/vJjng	12:14
		PM
	13chef-rfc/06jk/resource-actions 14f292d21 15John Keiser: Clarify action inheritance	12:14
		PM

nathenharvey	OK, Let's move on to the PRs we have to review	12:17
		PM
	first up is the Telemetry subsystem - https://github.com/chef/chef-rfc/pull/120	12:17
		PM
ssd7	kallistec: I'll follow up with that over email. Apologies, I wasn't too prepared to give an update. I don't think there any blockers to us doing a release, but we are also doing work on a new release of Chef Server 11 and an upgrade of Hosted, so it is mostly just scheduling/ordering.	12:17
		PM
nathenharvey	ranjib: want to give us the update?	12:17
		PM
ranjib	i have updated the RFC with a publishing API	12:18
		PM
	and clarified that statsd and node attribute based metric publishing will be implemented using that	12:18
		PM
jonlives	i love the publishing API	12:18
		PM
ranjib	this will provide examples for adding external or other publishing mechanism.	12:19
		PM
	its up for review again.	12:19
		PM
	i have not provided details about the actual metrics data structure (expect it to be hash, keys being metric names, values as arrays)	12:20
		PM
	as i think we'll get a better understanding of the data structure while implementing it.	12:20
		PM
	reporting handler can declare endpoints after we have the metrics data structure frozen	12:21
		PM
jonlives	that feels like something we can clarify as it's implemented, bit hard to exactly predict what it'd look like now	12:21
		PM

ranjib	yeah	12:21
		PM
kallistec	agree	12:21
		PM
	<i>NOTICE: [13chef] 15jdmundrawala pushed 2 new commits to 06jdm/winevt: 02http://git.io/vJjln</i>	12:21
		PM
	<i>NOTICE: 13chef/06jdm/winevt 143d65e1d 15Jay Mundrawala: Only require win32/eventlog once</i>	12:21
		PM
	<i>NOTICE: 13chef/06jdm/winevt 146687bae 15Jay Mundrawala: Allow units to for winevt to run everywhere</i>	12:21
		PM
nathenharvey	do we need another week to let everyone weigh in on the updates or do you feel it's ready to be accepted now?	12:22
		PM
kallistec	LGTM	12:22
		PM
jonlives	LGTM too	12:22
		PM
martinisoft	I like it too	12:23
		PM
thom	+1 for accepting from me	12:23
		PM
martinisoft	+1	12:23
		PM
jonlives	nathenharvey: since *technically* adam isn't back yet for the purposes of this meeting, I can further interim-deciderate this one if you like :p	12:23
		PM
nathenharvey	jonlives: I was going to suggest that since he's extended his vacation...	12:23
		PM
	jonlives: gmta :)	12:23

		PM
jonlives	I hereby decide this one is approved then, will comment on the PR	12:24
		PM
nathenharvey	Let's move on to - Define actions directly on resources	12:24
		PM
	https://github.com/chef/chef-rfc/pull/121	12:24
		PM
	jkeiser: give us the quick tl;dr on where we are?	12:25
		PM
jkeiser	Most questions are answered, I think. There is one section that Lamont had a concern about--the default action--and I'm not sure where he is on that now.	12:25
		PM
	It seems like people are broadly +1 but that we have a couple of details to work out	12:26
		PM
	Or had	12:26
		PM
	As long as the definition for allowed_actions / default_action works for everyone, I don't think there is anything left outstanding	12:26
		PM
jonlives	from the look of it all of the questions are answered I think	12:26
		PM
lamont_oc	yeah i'm okay with it	12:27
		PM
someara	+1	12:27
		PM
jonlives	+1 from me too	12:27
		PM
kallistec	coderanger has said there were some unexpected problems when he tried it in real life	12:27
		PM

jkeiser	Once I finish up with some node map goo I'm going to sit and implement :) Excited to finally begin the journey in earnest	12:27 PM
	kallistec: we discussed those and he thinks I've got a solution	12:27 PM
kallistec	yeah, I also think there's a solution	12:28 PM
	just wanted to point it out	12:28 PM
jonlives	anybody -1 approving this one?	12:28 PM
jkeiser	It had to do with how you bring recipe DSL and resource attributes in the action without infecting the resource with recipe DSL	12:28 PM
kallistec	anyway, +1 and we can abort if it doesn't work in reality	12:28 PM
jkeiser	yep!	12:28 PM
jonlives	yeah	12:28 PM
tBunnyMan	sounds good	12:29 PM
ranjib	+1	12:29 PM
Aevin1387	+1	12:29 PM
jonlives	ok, 'tis approved!	12:29 PM
jkeiser	Hooray!	12:29

		PM
ranjib	\m/	12:29
		PM
nathenharvey	let's skip the ohai PR for now and move onto Remove method_missing and make Chef::Resource unspecial	12:30
		PM
	https://github.com/chef/chef-rfc/pull/117	12:30
		PM
jkeiser	I'm fairly certain we've discussed the remaining ins and outs of this one to death, and everyone is on board :)	12:30
		PM
jonlives	it looks that way - lamont_oc / coderanger any remaining issues?	12:30
		PM
lamont_oc	we're heading towards getting the actual code PR in shape for merging, so details are getting worked out there	12:31
		PM
jonlives	rgr that	12:31
		PM
jkeiser	Yeah, I think that's pretty much done too once I make Lamont's last round of suggestions	12:31
		PM
jonlives	anybody -1 on approving this one then?	12:32
		PM
jkeiser	Also, SO MUCH thanks to coderanger and lamont_oc for the insight. The patch and RFC is much less risky thanks to the RFC discussion.	12:33
		PM
jonlives	ok, decided!	12:33
		PM
jkeiser	Huzzah!	12:33
		PM
nathenharvey	ohai configuration specification is next https://github.com/chef/chef-rfc/pull/118	12:33
		PM

jonlives	it's like christmas for jkeiser today :p	12:33
		PM
nathenharvey	btm: is also out today at MS Ignite and unable to join us	12:34
		PM
jonlives	so where we left #118 last week was that the thinking is we should merge that until kallistec's PR is ready to rock	12:34
		PM
	iirc	12:34
		PM
	unless it'll be done quickly enough that it won't block the customer issues this PR is meant to fix	12:35
		PM
	kallistec: update on the PR?	12:35
		PM
nathenharvey	oh, facebook tells me today is btm's birthday	12:35
		PM
kallistec	jonlives: I haven't done any more on the extract config to a gem PR	12:35
		PM
	however I think it's not much work, I've just been busy	12:35
		PM
jonlives	kallistec: do you have a feel for how much time to completion, roughly?	12:35
		PM
kallistec	I can open up that repo to the public (right now only chef-maintainers can see it)	12:36
		PM
jonlives	lamont_oc was concerned about blocking the issues tied to this for too long	12:36
		PM
	<i>NOTICE: [13chef] 15jdmundrawala pushed 1 new commit to 06jdm/smb: 02http://git.io/vJjz3</i>	12:36
		PM
	<i>NOTICE: 13chef/06jdm/smb 1403a8b4c 15Jay Mundrawala: Allow unc to have ip address</i>	12:36

		PM
kallistec	ok, opened it https://github.com/chef/chef-config/issues	12:37
		PM
	I've identified 4 places where it depends on code from elsewhere in Chef, 1 should be deleted, the other 3 we just need agreement on what to do, I've made some suggestions	12:38
		PM
jonlives	essentially, we need to make a call on whether to wait for chef-config, or approve #118 in the interim.	12:39
		PM
	but it's a bit tricky without a good idea of what timelines we're looking at for both :p	12:39
		PM
	how about this	12:39
		PM
nathenharvey	10 minute warning	12:40
		PM
kallistec	my pref is to try to do chef-config, if it takes too long (implementer decides)	12:40
		PM
	then switch to plan b	12:40
		PM
jonlives	I think we need to timebox "too long"	12:40
		PM
	kallistec: how long are you thinking you / others might need?	12:40
		PM
lamont_oc	technically i think the existing ohai we're blocking has dragged on for too long already...	12:41
		PM
jonlives	that was my concern	12:41
		PM
kallistec	the 4 things we need to clean up in chef-config are basically trivial	12:42
		PM

jonlives	do you think it'd be feasible to have those done for next week?	12:42
		PM
	ie, if it's not done by next week we approve #118 as plan B	12:42
		PM
kallistec	that's fine	12:43
		PM
jonlives	lamont_oc: what say ye?	12:43
		PM
	it'd be nice to get chef-config if we can.	12:43
		PM
lamont_oc	yep sounds good	12:43
		PM
jonlives	great!	12:43
		PM
	nathenharvey: let's table that again for next week with the note that it gets approved if chef-config isn't ready by then	12:43
		PM
nathenharvey	jonlives: got it!	12:44
		PM
	that completes our agenda for today then	12:44
		PM
jonlives	whee	12:44
		PM
nathenharvey	with 6 minutes remaining	12:44
		PM
	last call for discussion topics	12:44
		PM
tBunnyMan	Horray another early finish	12:44

		PM
jonlives	I love the smell of an early finishing meeting in the evening.	12:44
		PM
tBunnyMan	But it's morning	12:45
		PM
jonlives	not here it's not :p	12:45
		PM
nathenharvey	***** MEETING ENDS *****	12:45
		PM
		12:45
		PM