Theory of Corporate Finance: PS1

Shiming Fu

School of Finance, SUFE

- 1. Consider a one-period model of debt overhang. Suppose a firm has assets-in-place that pays x_g or x_b at time 1, with equal probability. Assume $x_g > F > x_b$, where F is the face value of debt to be repaid at time 1. Let interest rate be 0.
 - (a) What are the time-0 market values of the debt, equity, and the firm?
 - (b) Suppose the firm has a project that costs I at time 0. If the firm invests, the project pays R > I for sure at time 1. Suppose $F > x_b + R$ and the equity holders have the decision rights regarding the investment decision, and they finance the investment cost entirely. If the equity holders invest, what are the time-0 equity value, debt value, and the firm value?
 - (c) From the values of corporate securities you worked out in part (a) and (b), under what conditions on the project characteristics will equity not invest? Why underinvestment can occur?
 - Assume the conditions you found in (c). Suppose now the equity holders can renegotiate with the debt holders at time 0 before they make the investment decisions. In particular, equity holders can propose a new face value $F^* < F$. If debt holders reject the proposal, negotiation breaks down and the face value is still F.
 - (d) Intuitively explain what debt holders gain and lose from accepting the new proposal. How about the equity holders?
 - (e) What are the possible values of the new face value F^* will equity propose and will debt accept? Following this idea, can debt overhang problem be resolved?
- 2. A firm needs to raise I dollars to invest in a project that generates a certain return of 1 dollar, where I < 1. The firm has an asset-in-place that worth θ . The value of this asset is private information of the firm (or its founder/manager), and the competitive capital market believes that θ is distributed uniformly on [0,1]. Assuming the firm can only use equity financing, and the manger maximizes the payoff of existing shareholders.

- (a) Suppose now that all firms finance the project by selling a new share $s \in (0,1)$. Following Myers and Majluf (1984), derive the share issurance s.
- (b) What is the existing shareholder's payoff if the manager chooses to issue the new share? what if the manager chooses not to issue?
- (c) Which firms will optimally forego the positive NPV project? Give a simple condition that illustrates your result. Explain briefly the logic behind it.
- (d) For I = 4/5, which types of firm will issue/invest and which types will not?
- (e) Derive a condition under which the manager will always issue new shares and invest regardless of the true θ .
- 3. Show results one to four listed in the class slides that illustrate Townsend (1979).