

# MICROECONOMIC THEORY II

一般均衡理论

**Bingyong Zheng**

Email: [bingyongzheng@gmail.com](mailto:bingyongzheng@gmail.com)

**Spring 2021**

# EXCHANGE ECONOMY: ENDOGENOUS VARIABLES

只有消费,无生产 交换经济

## ● Exogenous variables

消费者 > Consumers  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, I\}$

种类 > Goods  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$  消费者1的初始禀赋

消费者有初始禀赋 > Endowments

$$\omega = [\omega_1, \dots, \omega_I] = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{11} \cdots \cdots \omega_{1I} \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{L1} \cdots \cdots \omega_{LI} \end{bmatrix}_{L \times I}$$

社会中第1种商品拥有总数

> Preferences

$$\{\succeq\}_{i=1}^I = \{\succeq_1, \succeq_2, \dots, \succeq_I\}$$

# ENDOGENOUS VARIABLES

- Consumption

消费者的消费

$$X = [X_1, \dots, X_I] = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & x_{1I} \\ \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot \\ x_{L1} & \cdots & \cdots & x_{LI} \end{bmatrix}_{L \times I}$$

- Consumption allocation,  $X$ , consumption bundle for agent  $i$ ,  $X_i$
- Prices  $\Rightarrow$  任何两种消费品的价格比例

# IMPLICIT ASSUMPTIONS

完全竞争市场

- Private ownership: 产品全为私有

Every portion of every good is owned by exactly one person and that person has the exclusive right to use it in consumption and exchange (or production).

- No externality 消费品不具有外部性 (污染, 香烟, 教育) x
- Complete information or symmetric information

all 消费者

完全对称信息

面包和水

比如生产日期是否双方均可得知。

不存在某方知更多

# TWO CONCEPTS

- Pareto efficiency 帕累托有效  $X_i$ : consumption allocation  $X_i$ : 变量  
 社会总供给 社会总消费

↓ > Definition:  $X$  is feasible if  $\sum_i X_i \leq \sum_i \omega_i$

与分配有关 (分配可作福利)  
 > Definition: A feasible allocation  $X$  is (Pareto) efficient if there exists no feasible allocation  $X'$  such that  $\forall i$  对所有消费者

$$X'_i \succeq_i X_i$$

至少有一个消费者进行改善  
 瓦尔拉斯均衡 and  $\exists i, X'_i \succ_i X_i$  有一部分人变好(其他人至少不变差)

- Walrasian equilibrium:  $(X^*, P)$  is an equilibrium if  $X_i$  消费者  $i$  的消费组合

↓ >  $\forall i, X_i^*$  is maximal for  $\succeq_i$  in  $\{X_i | PX_i^* \leq P\omega_i\}$ ; 给定预算约束下的

>  $\sum_i X_i^* = \sum_i \omega_i$

与分配方式有关 (分配+价格)  
 每一种消费品价格为 最大消费  
 消费  $\leq$  收入  
 市场上总供给=总需求

价格为

# SOME DEFINITIONS

- $\succeq$  on  $X$  is monotonic if  $x \in X$  and  $y \gg x$  implies  $y \succ x$ .
- It is strongly monotonic if  $y \geq x$  and  $y \neq x$  imply that  $y \succ x$ .
- $\succeq$  is convex if  $\forall x \in X$ , 冲有些消费品比x中多

偏好为凸

$$y \succeq x, z \succeq x \implies \forall \alpha \in [0, 1], \alpha y + (1 - \alpha)z \succeq x.$$

- $\succeq$  is strictly convex if  $\forall x \in X$ ,

$$y \succeq x, z \succeq x, y \neq z \implies \forall \alpha \in (0, 1), \alpha y + (1 - \alpha)z \succ x.$$

# PARETO EFFICIENCY

每个消费者每个消费品

偏好

- Theorem: Suppose  $X^* \gg 0$ , and that  $\forall i, \succeq_i$  is represented by a concave  $u_i$  which is twice continuously differentiable, strongly monotonic around  $X_i^*$ . The following are equivalent

$X^*$  是有效分配

$\supset X^*$  is (Pareto) efficient;

在分配附近

$\supset \forall i,$

因为消费者效用最大化

分配可行

假设不存在效用函数

$$X^* \in \arg \max \{u_i(X_i) | X \geq 0, \sum_i X_i \leq \sum_i \omega_i\}$$

优化问题 (拉格朗日函数)

$$(\forall h \neq i) u_h(X_h) \geq u_h(X_h^*)$$

除开其他消费者效用不能

$\supset \exists q = (q_1, \dots, q_L) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^L$ , shadow prices;  $\exists (s_1, \dots, s_I) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^I$ ;

$\forall i,$

FOC:

消费品约束程度越稀缺  $q_i$

福利权重

$$s_i Du_i(X_i^*) = q,$$

$$\sum_i X_i = \sum_i \omega_i.$$

~ 初始禀赋

什么样的分配有效?

找有效分配用得最多的条件

$\supset \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, I-1\}$  and  $\forall l \in \{1, 2, \dots, L-1\},$

$\rightarrow$  消费者的交换意愿一致  
无理由再私下交换

$$MRS_i^{l, l+1} = MRS_{i+1}^{l, l+1}$$

消费者主观交换意愿  
无差异曲线斜率 MRS

$$\sum_i X_i = \sum_i \omega_i.$$

## TWO CONSUMER ECONOMY 如何找有效分配

二商品情形

- Consumer A has 7 units of  $x_1$ , 3 units of  $x_2$ ; B has 3 units of  $x_1$ , 7 units of  $x_2$ .
- They both have same utility function k.D. preference 偏好→收入投入

$$U_A(x_{1A}, x_{2A}) = (x_{1A}x_{2A})^{1/2}$$

$$U_B(x_{1B}, x_{2B}) = (x_{1B}x_{2B})^{1/2}.$$

- Feasible allocation: any points in the Edgeworth box such that


$$x_{1A} + x_{1B} \leq 10,$$

$$x_{2A} + x_{2B} \leq 10.$$

- Pareto efficient allocation:  $X$  is PE if no feasible  $X'$  that can make one better off without hurting others.
- Contract curve 契约线 gives all efficient allocation in the Edgeworth box. 只与总资源有关, 与初始个人禀赋分配无关.




# ONE EFFICIENT ALLOCATION




# CONTRACT CURVE: ALL P.E.


1) 前已有过:  
固定A的无差异曲线, 不能移动的B的无差异曲线  
B A




# CONTRACT CURVE: ALL P.E.



# CONTRACT CURVE: ALL P.E.



# ANOTHER EXAMPLE



# LINEAR PREFERENCES: CONTRACT CURVE

线性偏好 xconcave 效用函数

- Preferences




+ 若  $u_B = 1x_{1B} + 2x_{2B}$  有效配置为整个平面

$$U_A = x_{1A} + 2x_{2A}, \quad U_B = 2x_{1B} + x_{2B}$$

- Initial endowment

$$\omega^A = (7, 3), \quad \omega^B = (3, 7).$$

# LINEAR PREFERENCE



# LEONTIEF PREFERENCES

- Preferences


$$U_A = \min(2x_{1A}, x_{2A}), \quad U_B = \min(2x_{1B}, x_{2B}).$$

- Initial endowment

$$\omega^A = (7, 3), \quad \omega^B = (3, 7).$$



# DRAW THE CONTRACT CURVE



# SOCIAL PLANNER'S PROBLEM (1)

- Social planner's problem:

国家计委  
(计划经济)

$$\max_X \sum_{i=1}^I s_i u_i(X_i) \quad \text{s.t. feasibility constraint.}$$

效用函数  
福利权重

但不知偏好有多好

- This is equivalent to the optimization problem: ( $\forall i$ )

$$\max_X u_i(X_i) \quad \text{s.t.}$$

$$\sum_i X_i = \sum_i \omega_i$$

$$\forall h \neq i \quad u_h(X_h) \geq u_h(X_h^*)$$

## PLANNER'S PROBLEM (2)

- Take  $i = 2$ , the objective function

$$\max_X u_2(X_2)$$

with  $L \times I$  unknowns, subject to

- Feasibility

$$\sum_i X_i = \sum_i \omega_i$$

- The other  $I - 1$  not worse-off

$$\forall h \neq 2 \quad u_h(X_h) \geq u_h(X_h^*)$$

- The Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = u_2(X_2) + \sum_{l=1}^L q_l \left[ \sum_{i=1}^I \omega_{li} - \sum_{i=1}^I x_{li} \right] + \sum_{i \neq 2} s_i [u_i(X_i) - u_i(X_i^*)]$$

- First-order condition gives

$$\forall i, \quad s_i Du_i(X_i^*) = q$$

# PLANNER'S PROBLEM

2种消费品, 3个消费者

- Suppose  $L = 2, I = 3$
- FOC yields

$$D_{x_{11}}\mathcal{L} = -q_1 + s_1 \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_{11}} = 0$$

$$D_{x_{21}}\mathcal{L} = -q_2 + s_1 \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_{21}} = 0$$

$$D_{x_{12}}\mathcal{L} = -q_1 + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_{12}} = 0$$

$$D_{x_{22}}\mathcal{L} = -q_2 + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_{22}} = 0$$

$$D_{x_{13}}\mathcal{L} = -q_1 + s_3 \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_{13}} = 0$$

$$D_{x_{23}}\mathcal{L} = -q_2 + s_3 \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_{23}} = 0$$


- Set  $s_2 = 1$ , we have

$$s_i Du_i = q.$$

# UTILITY POSSIBILITY FRONTIER

- A curve that connects all the possible combinations of utilities that could arise at the various economically efficient allocations. 效用可能性边界
- UPF gives all possible combinations of utilities at P.E. allocations. 如果个消费者效用比个消费者效用(UPF)
- How to find the UPF: identify all PE allocations. 找到所有PE分配

# DRAW THE UPF



# COMPETITIVE EQUILIBRIUM EXAMPLE

- The two-consumers example with Cobb-Douglas preference

$$\omega_A = (7, e) \quad \omega_B = (3, 7).$$

- Feasible allocation:

$$x_{1A} + x_{1B} \leq 10, \quad x_{2A} + x_{2B} \leq 10.$$

- Utility maximizing for consumers A and B,

$$x_{1A} = \frac{m_A}{2P_1}, \quad x_{2A} = \frac{m_A}{2P_2} \quad \text{where} \quad m_A = 7P_1 + 3P_2$$

$$x_{1B} = \frac{m_B}{2P_1}, \quad x_{2B} = \frac{m_B}{2P_2} \quad \text{where} \quad m_B = 3P_1 + 7P_2.$$

- Plugging  $m_A, m_B$  into the allocations yields

$$\begin{array}{lcl} x_{1A} = \frac{7P_1 + 3P_2}{2P_1}, & x_{2A} = \frac{7P_1 + 3P_2}{2P_2}, \\ \uparrow & \uparrow \\ x_{1B} = \frac{3P_1 + 7P_2}{2P_1}, & x_{2B} = \frac{3P_1 + 7P_2}{2P_2}. \\ \approx 10 & \approx 10 \end{array}$$

## C.E. EXAMPLE CONTINUED

- Market clears

总供给 = 总需求

得相对价格比例

$$5 + \frac{5P_2}{P_1} = 10, \quad 5 + \frac{5P_1}{P_2} = 10.$$

- The competitive equilibrium (let  $P_1 = 1$ )

$$P_1 = 1, P_2 = 1, x_{1A} = x_{2A} = 5, x_{1B} = x_{2B} = 5.$$

瓦尔拉斯法则



# EQUILIBRIUM AND P.E. ALLOCATIONS

证明函数=凹可分

P.E. allocations

帕累托有效 ←

C.E. allocations

市场均衡

① Exchange efficiency:

$$MRS_{1,2}^A = MRS_{1,2}^B$$

② No resources wasted

$$x_{1A} + x_{1B} = \omega_{1A} + \omega_{1B}$$

$$x_{2A} + x_{2B} = \omega_{2A} + \omega_{2B}$$

① Utility-maximization

$$(a) MRS_{1,2}^i = \frac{P_1}{P_2} \implies$$

$$MRS_{1,2}^A = MRS_{1,2}^B$$

多一个条件

$$(b) P_1 x_{1A} + P_2 x_{2A} = m_A$$

$$P_1 x_{1B} + P_2 x_{2B} = m_B$$

② Market clears,  $j = 1, 2$

$$x_{1A} + x_{1B} = \omega_{1A} + \omega_{1B}$$

$$x_{2A} + x_{2B} = \omega_{2A} + \omega_{2B}$$

# MAIN RESULT ON C.E.

- Theorem: Suppose  $X^* \gg 0$  and that  $\forall i, \succeq_i$  is represented by a concave  $u_i$ , which is twice continuously differentiable and strongly monotonic around  $X_i^*$ , the following are equivalent

➤  $(X^*, P)$  is an equilibrium;

➤  $(\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_I) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^I$ :

⇒  $\forall i,$

边际效用 消费者收入1块钱, 最大增加效用

$$Du_i(X_i^*) = \lambda_i P; \quad \text{预算约束}$$

⇒ Market clears

$$\sum_i X_i^* = \sum_i \omega_i;$$

⇒ For each  $i$

$$PX_i^* = P\omega_i. \quad \text{效用max得出}$$

# FIRST WELFARE THEOREM

市场经济

- FWT: Suppose  $\succeq_i$  is locally nonsatiated. Then every equilibrium allocation is efficient. (market is good)
- In market economy, util-maximization by self-interested consumers will result in Pareto efficiency.
- Competitive market economizes on the information that any one consumer needs to possess.
- The only thing that any one consumer needs to know to make consumption decisions are the prices of the good
- Consumers do not need to know anything about how the goods are produced, or who owns what goods, etc.
- If the markets function well enough to determine the competitive price, we are guaranteed an efficient outcome.
- Market dominates other mechanism to allocation resources in an economy.

# LOCAL NON-SATIATION

- **Local non-satiation:** For any consumption bundle  $x$ , for all  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\exists y$  with  $\|x - y\| < \varepsilon$  such that  $y \succ x$ .
- Monotonicity implies local nonsatiation.
- Lemma: Suppose  $\succeq_i$  is locally nonsatiated,  $X_i^*$  is maximal for  $\succeq_i$  in  $\{X_i | PX_i \leq P\omega_i\}$ .
  - If  $X_i \succeq_i X_i^*$ , then  $PX_i \geq PX_i^*$ ;
  - If  $X_i \succ_i X_i^*$ , then  $PX_i > PX_i^*$ .

# PROOF OF THE FWT

- Suppose  $(X^*, P)$  is an equilibrium, but not efficient.
- There must exist  $X'$ :

➤ Feasible:

$$\sum_i X'_i \leq \sum_i \omega_i \implies \sum_i PX'_i \leq \sum_i P\omega_i;$$

➤ Pareto improvement:

$$\forall i, X'_i \succeq X_i^* \implies PX'_i \geq PX_i^*;$$

$$\exists i, X'_i \succ X_i^* \implies PX'_i > PX_i^*.$$

- However, Pareto improvement implies:

$$\sum_i PX'_i > \sum_i P\omega_i.$$

Contradicts the feasibility constraint.




## SECOND WELFARE THEOREM

- ① ● Second Welfare Theorem: Suppose  $X^*$  is an efficient allocation and that an equilibrium exists from  $X^*$ . Then  $X^*$  is an equilibrium allocation.
- Under certain conditions, every P.E allocation can be achieved through market. 其它分配目标可由对初始禀赋调整得以实现
- One condition: preferences are convex.
- SWT implies that problems of distribution and efficiency can be separated:
  - redistribute endowments of goods to determine how much wealth consumers have; use prices to indicate the relative scarcity of goods.
  - To achieve efficiency, each consumer must face the true social cost of his or her actions; choices should reflect those cost.
  - In competitive market, this is achieved through consumers' marginal decision to consume more or less given the price, which measures the relative scarcity of the goods.
- To achieve distribution goal, all that is needed is to transfer the purchasing power of the endowment.



# GRAPHICAL ILLUSTRATION

## UTILITY POSSIBILITY FRONTIER

- A curve that connects all the possible combinations of utilities that could arise at the various economically efficient allocations.



# NON-CONVEX PREFERENCES 比任何一个消费组合都通过努力实现的(凹时)





# CORE 核

小集团/联盟

all 消费者的子集

- A coalition  $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, I\}$  blocks an allocation  $X$  if  $\exists X'$  such that 对联盟中all消费者

➤  $(\forall i \in S), X'_i \succeq X_i;$

➤  $(\exists i \in S), X'_i \succ X_i;$

➤ 找一个

和分配达成

帕累托改进 (coalition 内的)

$$\sum_{i \in S} X'_i \leq \sum_{i \in S} \omega_i.$$

all 不能被阻止的分配

- The **Core** is the set of unblocked allocations.
- Observation:
  - An allocation  $X$  is **unblocked** by  $S = \{1, \dots, I\}$  (coalition of the whole) iff  $X$  is efficient;
  - **Inefficient allocations** are blocked by  $S = \{1, \dots, I\}$ ;
  - **Equilibrium must be in the core.**

+ 均衡分配

# SOME EXAMPLES

- Three individual exchange economy

$x_c = y_c$  资源无浪费

$$U^A = x^{1/2}y^{1/2}, \quad U^B = 2x^{1/2}y^{1/2}, \quad U^C = \min(x, y).$$

初始禀赋

- Endowment

$$\omega = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} A & B & C \end{matrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 9 & 1 \\ 5 & 1 & 9 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{matrix} x \\ y \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} (15) \\ (15) \end{matrix} \end{matrix}$$

偏好A、B单调增

# DETERMINE CORE ALLOCATIONS

- Three allocations:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 6 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \quad X' = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 4 & 4 \\ 7 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X'' = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 6 & 5 \\ 4 & 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Are the 3 allocations in the core?  $\left. \begin{matrix} X'' \\ X' \\ X \end{matrix} \right\} X \text{ 不是 core}$
- If not, find a blocking coalition that will block it.

# CORE AND EQUILIBRIUM

- Theorem: If  $\forall i, \succeq_i$  is locally non-satiated, every equilibrium is in the core.
- *Proof.* Let  $(X^*)$  be an equilibrium, but there exists  $S$  and  $X'$ :
  - $\forall i \in S, X'_i \succeq_i X_i^*$ ;
  - $\exists i \in S, X'_i \succ X_i^*$ ;
  - $X'$  is feasible

$$\sum_{i \in S} X'_i \leq \sum_{i \in S} \omega_i.$$

- From first two conditions:

$$\forall i, X'_i \succeq_i X_i^* \implies PX'_i \geq P\omega_i$$


$$\exists i, X'_i \succ X_i^* \implies PX'_i > P\omega_i.$$

- This implies  $\sum_{i \in S} PX'_i > \sum_{i \in S} P\omega_i$ .
- Contradiction as:

$$\sum_{i \in S} X'_i \leq \sum_{i \in S} \omega_i \implies \sum_{i \in S} PX'_i \leq \sum_{i \in S} P\omega_i.$$

# RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE 3 CONCEPTS

有效分配      核      + 均衡




- Efficiency, core and equilibrium:

$\{\text{Equilibrium allocations from } \omega\} \subseteq \{\text{Core from } \omega\} \subseteq \{\text{Efficient allocation from } \omega\}$

- P.E. requires no waste of scarce resources;
- Core reflects the idea of voluntary exchange;
- Equilibrium is achieved through market exchange.

# CORE OF THE EXAMPLE



# EXCESS DEMAND FUNCTION 超额需求=总需求-总供给

- Excess demand for  $i$ :

$$Z_i(P) = X_i(P, \omega_i) - \omega_i,$$

optimal consuming bundle

$X_i(P, \omega_i)$  is the maximal for  $\succeq_i$  in  $\{X_i | PX_i = P\omega_i\}$

- Aggregate excess demand 社会总超额需求

$$Z(P) = \sum_i Z_i(P).$$

瓦尔拉斯法则

- Walras' Law: If for all  $i$ ,  $\succeq_i$  satisfies LNS, then

局部不饱和

$$pX_i = p\omega_i \quad pX_i - p\omega_i = 0$$

$$PZ(P) = p(X(P) - \omega) = 0.$$

总的超额需求价值之和=0

- Competitive equilibrium:  $P^*$  such that  $Z(P^*) = 0$ .

# EXAMPLE 1: COBB-DOUGLAS

- Consumers A and B:

$$U_A = x_{1A}x_{2A} \quad \omega_A = (4, 1)$$

$$U_B = x_{1B}x_{2B} \quad \omega_B = (1, 4).$$

- Excess demand for A  $x_{1A} - 4$

$$Z_{1A}(P) = \frac{4P_1 + P_2}{2P_1} - 4 = \frac{P_2}{2P_1} - 2;$$

$$Z_{2A}(P) = \frac{2P_1}{P_2} + \frac{1}{2} - 1 = \frac{2P_1}{P_2} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

- Excess demand for B

$$Z_{1B}(P) = \frac{2P_2}{P_1} - \frac{1}{2}; \quad Z_{2B}(P) = \frac{P_1}{2P_2} - 2.$$

- Aggregate excess demand

$$Z(P) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5P_2}{2P_1} - \frac{5}{2} \\ \frac{5P_1}{2P_2} - \frac{5}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

有一个市场出清, 另一个市场自动出清.

- Walras' Law:  $PZ(P) = 0$ .

↗ ∴ 有 Walras' Law



# ROBINSON-CRUSOE ECONOMY

- One consumer

$$U = x_1^{1/2} + x_2^{1/2}, \quad \omega = (1, 1).$$

- Excess demand

$$Z(P) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1+P_2/P_1}{1+P_1/P_2} - 1 & \text{i.e.} \\ \frac{1+P_1/P_2}{1+P_2/P_1} - 1 & \text{i.e.} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{P_2}{P_1} - 1 \\ \frac{P_1}{P_2} - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

*Handwritten notes:*  
需求函数 (above the first fraction)  
 $\frac{P_2}{P_1} = 1$  (above the second fraction)  
相对价格为1 (below the second fraction)

- Walras' Law

$$PZ(P) = 0.$$

# EXAMPLE 3

- Consumers:

$$u_A = \min\{x_{1A}, x_{2A}\},$$

$$u_B = \min\{x_{1B}, x_{2B}\}$$

$$\omega_A = (4, 1), \quad \omega_B = (1, 4).$$

- Excess demand

$$Z(P) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

任意价格都能让市场出清

- Walras' Law

$$PZ(P) = 0.$$

- What are the equilibrium prices?

## EXAMPLE 4

- Consumers:

单调  
A 偏好 monotonic, 递增, 两种商品价格之和大于 0

$$u_A = x_{1A}^{1/2} + x_{2A}^{1/2}, \quad u_B = x_{1B}.$$

$$\omega_A = (0, 1), \quad \omega_B = (1, 0).$$

- Excess demand

$$Z(P) = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \frac{P_2^2}{P_1 P_2 + P_1^2} \\ \frac{P_1^2}{P_1 P_2 + P_1^2} - 1 \end{array} \right] \quad p^Z(p) = 0$$

- Does an equilibrium exist?

无 (因  $z(p) = 0$  均衡不存在)

# ON EXISTENCE OF EQUILIBRIUM

Walrasian / 一般竞争均衡

- Definition: A vector  $P^* \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  is called a Walrasian equilibrium if  $Z(P^*) = 0$ .
- Proposition 17B.2 (MWG): If  $\forall i, X_i \in \mathbb{R}_+^L$ ,  $\succeq_i$  is continuous, strictly convex and strongly monotonic,  $\sum_i \omega_i \gg 0$ , then there exists  $Z : \mathbb{R}_+^L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ 
  - $Z$  is homogeneous of degree zero;
  - Walras's Law:  $(\forall P \in \mathbb{R}_+^L), PZ(P) = 0$ ;
  - $(\exists s \in \mathbb{R}_+), (\forall l) (\forall P), Z_l(P) > -s$ ;
  - $(\forall (P^n)_{n=1}^\infty \rightarrow P \neq 0)$  where  $P_l = 0$  for some  $l$ ,

$$\max_l Z_l(P) \rightarrow +\infty.$$

- Proposition 17C.1 (MWG): A Walrasian equilibrium exists in any pure exchange economy in which  $\sum_i \omega_i \gg 0$  and  $\forall i, X_i \in \mathbb{R}_+^L$ ,  $\succeq_i$  is continuous, strictly convex and strongly monotonic.