

## Problem Set 1

Due on September 21

**Problem 1.** Consider a new model of preferences, the *PI-model*. The primitives of this model are two binary relations,  $P$  and  $I$ , defined on  $X$ , where  $P$  is interpreted as the "strictly better than" relation, and  $I$  is interpreted as the "indifference" relation. We impose three conditions on  $P$  and  $I$  in this model: (1) for any  $x \in X$ ,  $xIx$  and  $x\bar{P}x$ ; (2) for any  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ , *exactly* one of the following three is true:  $xPy, yPx$  and  $xIy$ ; (3) both  $P$  and  $I$  are transitive. Based on the construction in this model, prove the following results.

- (a)  $I$  is symmetric.
- (b) If  $xPy$  and  $yIz$ , then  $xPz$ . If  $xIy$  and  $yPz$ , then  $xPz$ .
- (c) The *PI-model* is equivalent to the  $\succeq$ -model.

**Problem 2.** Let  $C$  be a choice correspondence defined on the domain  $\mathcal{D}$ . Assume that for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ ,  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{D}$ . Show that if  $C$  satisfies Sen's properties  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , then  $C$  satisfies the weak axiom of revealed preference.

**Problem 3.** Let  $\succeq$  be a preference relation defined on a *finite* set  $X$ , and  $\succ$  is the asymmetric component of  $\succeq$ . Notice that  $\succeq$  is not assumed to be rational. We say  $\succ$  is *acyclic* if there does not exist a list  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n)$  such that  $x_k \in X$  for each  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $x_1 \succ x_2 \succ \dots \succ x_{n-1} \succ x_n \succ x_1$ . For any  $A \subseteq X$ , let

$$C_{\succ}(A) = \{x \in A : \text{there does not exist } y \in A \text{ such that } y \succ x\}.$$

Prove the following results.

- (a)  $C_{\succ}(A) \neq \emptyset$  for all non-empty  $A \subseteq X$  if and only if  $\succ$  is acyclic.
- (b) Assume  $\succ$  is acyclic.  $C_{\succ}$  satisfies Sen's property  $\alpha$ , but may not satisfy property  $\beta$ .

**Problem 4.** Show that if a choice correspondence  $C$  (defined on the domain  $\mathcal{D}$ ) can be rationalized, then it satisfies the *path-invariance* property: for any  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{D}$  such

that  $B_1 \cup B_2 \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $C(B_1) \cup C(B_2) \in \mathcal{D}$ , we have

$$C(B_1 \cup B_2) = C(C(B_1) \cup C(B_2)).$$