

# HEAD OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES REPORT ON HDR THESIS EXAMINATION

## PART 1: STUDENT DETAILS

STUDENT NAME	Subir Majumder	DEGREE	PhD
STUDENT NUMBER		HPS	A/Prof Kwan-Wu Chin

## PART 2: GENERAL OVERVIEW OF EXAMINATION REPORTS

**Title: Techno-Economic Analysis of Electricity Networks with Renewable Energy Sources and Storage Devices**

recommends no revision, and special commendation. He/she notes that the thesis is solid and addresses a number of topics that have resulted in high quality publications. In addition, he/she highlights the fact the student is able to identify research problems and develop innovative solutions. Hence, he/she is confident that the thesis meets UoW's examination criteria. He/she outlines some points that require further clarifications.

recommends minor revision. He notes that the thesis has resulted in top-tier journal publications, and that the thesis contains original work and significant contributions.

## PART 3: HPS DRAFT RESOLUTION for TEC

<i>That the candidate:</i>	<i>Tick one (✓)</i>
a) be awarded the degree without revision.	
b) be awarded the degree subject to minor revisions, specified by both examiners, being completed to the satisfaction of the HPS.	✓
c) be awarded the degree subject to substantial revisions, specified by both examiners, being completed to the satisfaction of the HPS.	
d) be awarded the degree subject to (minor/substantial/) revisions, specified by both examiners....., being completed to the satisfaction of the HPS.	
e) be awarded the degree subject to substantial revisions, specified by both examiners, being completed to the satisfaction of the HPS and being sighted by Examiner _____ for confirmation of the revisions to his satisfaction.	
f) be required to resubmit the thesis in revised form for examination after a suitable period of study and/or research.	
g) (in the case of doctoral theses) (i) be awarded the degree of Master of Philosophy - Research without further examination; or (ii) be permitted to submit the revised thesis for examination for a Master of Philosophy - Research	
h) That the thesis be sent to a third examiner.	
i) That the candidate not be awarded the degree.	

**If your draft resolution is different from that provided by the thesis examiners please justify the variation below:**

I have suggested the revised resolution selected above for the following reason(s):

None

**PART 4: JUSTIFICATION OF EXEMPTIONS TO EXAMINERS REQUESTED REVISIONS**

ALL REVISIONS SUGGESTED BY BOTH EXAMINERS ARE REQUIRED TO BE MADE UNLESS CONTRARY ARGUMENTS ARE PUT FORWARD BY THE HPS. IF THIS IS THE CASE, THE JUSTIFICATION MUST BE CLEARLY STATED BELOW: IF THE THESIS HAS HAD A THIRD EXAMINER, ALL THREE EXAMINERS' REPORTS MUST BE ADDRESSED IN THIS REPORT.

I BELIEVE THAT THE FOLOWING REVISIONS SUGGESTED BY THE EXAMINER(S) SHOULD **NOT** BE MADE.

N/A

I have liaised with the supervisors in the preparation of this report. (HPS to tick to confirm)

☒

HPS Name: Kwan-Wu Chin..... Signed:.....

14/11/2019.