# Assignment 5

Jiaxi Zhang

April 20, 2025

Author: [Jiaxi Zhang, jz5751]

Assignment: HW05

## Problem 2.3

The output in the blue terminal and in the red terminal are different. The output for the blue terminal is:

+ username	fullname	balance
alyssa	Alyssa P. Hacker	79
bitdiddle	Ben Bitdiddle	65
jones	Alice Jones	82
mike	Michael Dole	73
+	<u> </u>	<del> </del>
4 rows in set	$(0.0039  \sec)$	

The output for the red terminal is:

+		<u> </u>
username	fullname	balance
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		<del> </del>
alyssa	Alyssa P. Hacker	79
bitdiddle	Ben Bitdiddle	65
chuck	Charles Robinson	55
jones	Alice Jones	82
mike	Michael Dole	73
++	<del>_</del>	++
5 rows in set	(0.0019  sec)	

The reason for the difference is that the red terminal has not committed the transaction yet, so the changes made in this transaction are not visible to blue terminal, especially when it is also in a transaction. Both of them are currently locked.

## Problem 2.5

No. The output of current blue terminal is:

	_L		L 2
	username	fullname	balance
	1		
	alyssa	Alyssa P. Hacker	79
ı	bitdiddle	Ben Bitdiddle	65
İ	jones	Alice Jones	82
i	mike	Michael Dole	73
	minc	Wildiadi Dolo	10
+	+	•	+
4	rows in set	(0.0072  sec)	

It does not include the changes made in the red terminal even after commit, because the blue terminal is in a transaction and the changes made in the red terminal are not visible to the locked blue terminal until the blue-terminal transaction is committed.

## Problem 2.6

The output of the blue terminal is:

+	username	fullname	balance
	alyssa   bitdiddle	Alyssa P. Hacker Ben Bitdiddle	79
	chuck	Charles Robinson	55
	jones   mike	Alice Jones Michael Dole	82     73
+ 5	rows in set	(0.0057 sec)	++

It is different from the output of Problem 2.5 because the blue terminal has committed the transaction, so the changes made in the red terminal are visible to the blue terminal since the lock is released.

#### Problem 2.9

The second update in the red terminal is stuck because the blue terminal is in a transaction, holding a lock on the row of "mike", which is not released until the blue terminal commits or rolls back the transaction. Therefore, the second update in the red terminal, which is also trying to write to the same row, is blocked and cannot proceed until the blue terminal releases the lock.

## Problem 2.11

The UPDATE in the red terminal is successfully executed.

```
> UPDATE accounts SET balance = balance - 10 WHERE username = 'mike'; Query OK, 1 row affected (5.9192~{\rm sec}) Rows matched: 1 Changed: 1 Warnings: 0
```

## Problem 2.12

The output is the same for both terminals since the red terminal has committed the transaction. It is -10 from the original balance of 73, which means the update in the red terminal is effective while the blue terminal rolled back successfully.

#### Problem 2.14

The result has not changed in the blue terminal. The output of the blue terminal before the transaction started is:

The output of the blue terminal after the transaction started is:

> SELECT username, balance FROM accounts;

+		<u> </u>	+
	username	balance	
+			+
	alyssa	79	
	bitdiddle	65	
	chuck	55	
	jones	82	
	mike	63	
+		<del> </del>	+
5	rows in set	(0.0008	sec)

Since the red terminal has not committed the transaction yet, the changes made are not visible to the blue terminal due to atomicity.

## Problem 2.15

The changes made in the red terminal are visible to the blue terminal when the red terminal commits the transaction. Blue Output after

> UPDATE accounts SET balance = balance + 15 WHERE username = 'alyssa'; from the red terminal.

> SELECT username, balance FROM accounts;

- 1		1		1
	username		balance	
+		_		+
	alyssa		79	
	bitdiddle		65	
	chuck		55	
	jones		82	

Blue Output after

> COMMIT;

from the red terminal:

> SELECT username, balance FROM accounts;

_			-+
	username	balance	
	alyssa	94	
	bitdiddle	50	
	chuck	55	
	jones	82	
	mike	63	
+			+
5	rows in set	(0.0036	sec

So the changes made in the red terminal are visible to the blue terminal after the red terminal commits the transaction. It is because the red terminal has committed the transaction and released the lock on the rows it was holding (in this case, the row of "alyssa" and "bitdiddle"). The DBMS follows the ACID properties of transactions, which ensure that only when a transaction is committed, its changes are made visible to other transactions.

)