

Peer Evaluations¹

	Kush Shah		Sam Parks		Keith DeSantis	
Competency	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Eggcelent
Motivation	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent
Contributions	Exactly equal to me	Exactly equal to me	Exactly equal to me	Exactly equal to me	Exactly equal to me	Exactly equal to me

	Evaluation	
Keith DeSantis	Keith wrote the majority of the initial drafts of the report. While it was often too much writing, he got all the points across and made editing very easy. Keith was also responsible for collecting CPU and RAM usage statistics, as well as product effectiveness.	Verily, there was much writing and laboring done with me to collect all the results data, yea, even gathered thrice when mishap did befall. And also worked we on the optimizations thereof.
Sam Parks	Sam was incredibly helpful developing our testing plan and writing our report over the course of the term. Sam was the one who helmed the investigation into simulating traffic for testing and for recording latency. He learned how to use Apache JMeter and set up our testing suite for traffic and latency measurements. He wrote sections including but not limited to, part of related works, introduction, abstract, and edited just about every other section.	Sam learned how to use Apache JMeter and set up our testing suite for traffic and latency measurements. He ran the investigation into simulating traffic for testing and for recording latency. Throughout the term, Sam was incredibly helpful in developing our testing plan and writing our report. He wrote sections including part of related works, introduction, abstract, and edited every other section.
Kush Shah	Kush was involved in every aspect of the project and report. He was helpful with debugging during testing and setting up our tests for the term. He wrote sections including but not limited to part of related works, part design and implementation, performance, and edited just about every other part as well. Kush performed the majority of our data analysis, getting	<p>With diligence and skill, Kush did toil To aggregate the results and data spoil That Keith and I had gathered with care And display it in a way that was fair.</p> <p>In writing sections that related so well To the results that we had culled and fell He worked with fervor, never once to tire</p>

¹ Based on **InstructAssist Peer Evaluations** in an obvious attempt to schmooze the advisor for brownie points.

	<p>statistics and generating any graphs and conclusions we needed to get from our data.</p>	<p>To ensure the report was nothing but fire.</p> <p>But not content to simply gather and show Kush worked on optimizations, oh so slow Studying the data with meticulous care To find insights, valuable and rare.</p> <p>A task so laborious, it might seem But Kush did not falter nor did he dream Of anything but success in the end And in the report, his efforts did blend.</p> <p>So we raise a cheer for Kush, our friend Whose work did not falter, nor did it bend For in the end, his work did shine And made our report truly divine.</p>
--	---	--

Grading Policy

<u>Type</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Percentage of Grade</u>	<u>A Range</u>	<u>B Range</u>	<u>C Range</u>
Deliverable	Components of the Warden CFI System for SuS	15%	Functioning code that can be expanded upon	Near/partially functioning code that can be further developed to functioning	Code and deliverable do not function in anyway/there is no code
Report	Formal description of research process, events, and results	20%	Professional, comprehensive, and well written.	Minimal mistakes while communicating clearly enough.	Sloppy/difficult to follow. Serious errors.
Participation	Gauged by Peer Evals and Advisor. Doing your fair share and contributing where you can.	7.5%	Attends meetings regularly, is spoken of highly by teammates, provides insight and contributes	Attends meetings, sometimes contributes.	Misses meetings often, rarely contributes.
Effort	Gauged by Peer Evals and Advisor. Putting in the needed effort and hours every week.	7.5%	Regularly works equivalent or more time to a normal class and is spoken of highly by teammates.	Usually works equivalent time to a normal class.	Puts in significantly less time than a normal class. Spoken of poorly by teammates.
Communication	Gauged by Peer Evals. Keeping each other up to date.	15%	Keeps team members in the loop and expresses any difficulty with their tasks	Puts forth a solid effort to communicate their progress with the team	Regularly fails to alert team members of progress
Research	Quality and usefulness of research	15%	Performs meaningful research that could be of use. Provides good sources that future work could reference.	Collects adequate sources to inform research and project.	Finds mediocre sources/fails to adequately utilize any sources to inform project.
Organization	Organization understandability and scalability	10%	Work is well organized, easy to follow, expand upon.	Mostly organized and work is easy to find	Essential work is missing from the shared directory
Peer Evaluation	To be completed by each team member	10%	Spoken highly of by teammates.	Performed their work adequately	Showed repeated

	at the end of the project			with minimal unnecessary conflicts	inappropriate/unhelpful behavior
--	---------------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	----------------------------------