Question Number	Answer		Mark
2(a)	Curved line of best fit	(1)	1
2(b)(i)	Minimum p.d. read from their line on the graph	(1)	1
2(b)(ii)	<ul> <li>Use of W = VQ with Q = 1.6×10<sup>-19</sup> C and value of V from (b)(i)</li> <li>Value of W in the range of 2.5×10<sup>-19</sup> to 3.0×10<sup>-19</sup> (J)</li> </ul>	(1) (1)	2
	Example of calculation $W = VQ$ $W = 1.8 \text{ V} \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}$ $W = 2.9 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}$		
2(c)	• Use of $c = f\lambda$ (with $\lambda = 625$ nm) • Use of $E = hf$ • $h = 6.5 \times 10^{-34}$ J s	(1) (1) (1)	3
	(Use of $E = hc/\lambda$ scores MP1 and MP2)  Example of calculation $c = f\lambda$ $3.0 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1} = f \times 625 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}$ $f = 4.8 \times 10^{14} \text{ Hz}$ $E = hf$ $3.1 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J} = h \times 4.8 \times 10^{14} \text{ Hz}$ $h = 6.5 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}$		
2(d)	<ul> <li>There would be an uncertainty in wavelength/frequency         Or there would be a range of wavelengths/frequencies         Or the LED emits different wavelengths/frequencies         If wavelength was longer, the calculated Planck constant would be larger         Or if the frequency was lower, the calculated Planck constant would be larger         There would be an uncertainty in the calculated Planck constant         Or there would be a range of possible values of the Planck constant         MP2 - Accept converse arguments for shorter wavelength or higher frequency</li> </ul>	(1) (1) (1)	3
2(e)	<ul> <li>Take measurements for additional p.d.s between 1.5 and 2.0V Or Take measurements for smaller increments in p.d.</li> <li>This would allow for a more accurate line of best fit to be drawn Or to more accurately identify the p.d. where the line touches the x-axis</li> <li>Accept use of a datalogger for MP1</li> </ul>	(1)	2
	Total for question 2		12