

Question Number	Answer	Mark
3(a)(i)	Max TWO from <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The vernier calipers have a smaller resolution (1) Or the vernier calipers have a lower uncertainty (1) The vernier calipers can measure without parallax error (1) Tips of vernier calipers are easier to align with the rings (as surface is curved) (1) 	2
3(a)(ii)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Repeat the measurement and calculate a mean value (1) Measure the diameter in different orientations (1) <p>If no other marks awarded, allow 1 mark for “check for zero error before measuring”</p>	2
3(b)(i)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Calculation of mean value using all three values (1) Mean $a = 1.22 \times 10^{-18} \text{ (m}^2 \text{ V)}$ rounded to 3 s.f. (1) <p><u>Example of calculation</u> Mean value of $a = (1.23 + 1.11 + 1.32) \times 10^{-18} / 3 = 1.22 \times 10^{-18} \text{ m}^2 \text{ V}$</p>	2
3(b)(ii)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of half their range for uncertainty [Accept use of furthest value from the mean] (1) Percentage uncertainty = 9 (%) e.c.f. 3(b)(i) (1) <p><u>Example of calculation</u> Uncertainty = half range = $(1.32 - 1.11) \times 10^{-18} / 2 = 0.105 \times 10^{-18} \text{ m}^2 \text{ V}$ Percentage uncertainty = $(0.105 \times 10^{-18} / 1.22 \times 10^{-18}) \times 100 = 8.6 \%$</p>	2
3(b)(iii)	Max TWO from <ul style="list-style-type: none"> More pairs of values were used (1) Adding a line of best fit acts as an averaging method (1) Adding a line of best fit can identify anomalous values (1) The gradient value will ignore any systematic error Or the line/intercept will identify any systematic error (1) [accept named examples of systematic error, e.g., zero error] 	2
3(c)(i)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of $a = \frac{h^2}{2em_e}$ (1) $h = 6.52 \times 10^{-34} \text{ (J s)}$ (1) <p><u>Example of calculation</u> $h = \sqrt{(2 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \times 9.11 \times 10^{-31} \times 1.46 \times 10^{-18})} = 6.52 \times 10^{-34} \text{ (J s)}$</p>	2
3(c)(ii)	EITHER (1) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Calculation of upper limit of h (1) Conclusion based on comparison to $6.63 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}$ e.c.f. 3(c)(ii) <p>For 1 mark only – accept the calculation of 6% limit of $6.63 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}$</p> <p>OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Calculation of percentage difference from $6.63 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}$ e.c.f. 3(c)(i) (1) Conclusion based on comparison to 6 % (1) <p><u>Examples of calculation</u> Upper limit of $h = 6.52 \times 10^{-34} \times 1.06 = 6.92 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}$ As this is above value of $6.63 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}$ then the calculated value is accurate Percentage difference = $((6.63 - 6.52) \times 10^{-34} / 6.63 \times 10^{-34}) \times 100 = 1.7 \%$ As this is less than 6 % then calculated value is accurate</p>	2
Total for question 3		14