

Question Number	Answer	Mark
5(a)(i)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number N of divisions between 7.1 and 7.3 (1) Use of $t = N \times \text{time per division}$ (1) Use of $s = ut$ (1) u value between 342 and 352 (m s^{-1}) (1) <p>Do not accept use of $v = f\lambda$ for MP3 & MP4</p> <p><u>Example of Calculation</u> $t = 7.2 \times 0.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s} = 3.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}$ $u = 1.25 \text{ m} / 3.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s} = 347 \text{ m s}^{-1}$</p>	4
5(a)(ii)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of $s = ut$ to find time between peaks (1) Use of time per division to calculate maximum time that could be shown on the oscilloscope screen Or use of screen width to calculate time per division required to show both peaks on the oscilloscope screen Or use of time per division to calculate how many divisions would be required to show both peaks on the oscilloscope screen (1) Concludes that time displayed on the screen would be too short (1) Or identifies the correct setting ($50\mu\text{s}$) <p>Do not accept use of $v = f\lambda$ for MP1</p> <p><u>Example Calculation</u> time between peaks = $1.25 / 4000 = 3.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s} = 310 \mu\text{s}$ $20 \mu\text{s} \times 8 = 160 \mu\text{s}$ So, time on display is too short to show both peaks</p>	3
5(b)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Peaks would be wider (1) It would be more difficult to judge the position/time of the peaks, so time between peaks would be less accurate (1) 	2
5(c)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percentage uncertainty of $E = 4\%$ (1) So, the Young modulus is the more significant source of uncertainty (1) (allow a conclusion consistent with comparison using incorrectly calculated $\%U_E$) <p><u>Example of Calculation</u> $\%U_E = (0.5 \text{ GPa} / 11.2 \text{ GPa}) \times 100 = 4.46\%$ $4\% > 3\%$</p>	2
Total for question 5		11