

BTP - Contextual Linear bandit with linear constraints (Implementation)

$$\rightarrow \langle n, v \rangle = n^T v \quad \|n\| = \sqrt{n^T x} \quad n = \text{vector} \quad (d \times 1)$$

$n^T \rightarrow$ lambda - prob. of selecting each encoder
($n = \pi$) (Try other version too!)

Work! $\in (d \times C), R(d \times 1)$

start with uniform x

for $1 \leq T$:

Sample an encoder using n_t

get o or r by using that encoder

assign prob. y_t to channels with o/r

$$x_t = \langle n_t, R \rangle \rightarrow \text{True}$$

$$C_t = \langle n_t, r_t \rangle \rightarrow \text{estimate}$$

$$\# \pi_t \subseteq n_t, \quad r = \text{tol}$$

Do Algorithm

Algorithm: $n_t (d \times 1), r_t, C_t$ (Scalars)

prereq:

$\# \Theta^* - R \rightarrow$ Normalized s.t. $\sum R_i = 1$ (To get s)

$\# C_0 = n_0 / \|n_0\|, n_0$ is safe action

$$[n_{01} \ n_{02} \ \dots \ n_{0d}] \quad \sum n_{0i} = 1$$

each row of $n_0 \in \text{tol}$

find n_0

linear prog

(what if no safe action?)
can we say problem
not feasible?

$S=1, L=1, (R=1 \text{ (doubtful!!)}) \rightarrow$ from assumptions
 # Start with safe policy

$$\# \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} + \hat{\mathbf{n}}^T \mathbf{B} + C \hat{\mathbf{n}}^T \hat{\mathbf{n}} < T$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{x} - \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{Scalar}}}{(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{e})} \mathbf{e} \quad [\mathbf{e} \text{ and } \mathbf{n} \text{ are } \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Same dimension} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{R} - \text{Maximize}$$

$$\sum n_i = 1$$

Yet to figure out!

$$\# \Sigma_{op} = I_{d \times d} - \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{n}_0\|^2} \mathbf{n}_0 \mathbf{n}_0^T \quad \left| \quad \alpha_c \geq 1 \right.$$

$$\# \text{Sigopt} = \lambda \Sigma_{op}, \quad \mathbf{u}_{opt} = 0$$

Start:

$$\# \mathbf{C}_{opt} = \mathbf{C}_t - \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{e}_0 \rangle}{\|\mathbf{n}_0\|} \mathbf{C}_0$$

$$\# \mathbf{n}_{opt} = \mathbf{x}_t - \langle \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{e}_0 \rangle \mathbf{e}_0$$

$$\# \text{Sigopt} += \mathbf{n}_{opt} \mathbf{x} (\mathbf{n}_{opt})^T$$

$$\# \mathbf{u}_{opt} += \mathbf{C}_{opt} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{n}_{opt}$$

$$\# \mathbf{M}_{opt} = (\text{Sigopt}^{-1}) \mathbf{x} \mathbf{u}_{opt}$$

$$\# \hat{\mathbf{C}} \pi_t < T \quad (\text{below are simplification steps})$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\mathbf{C}_0 \mathbf{e}_0}{\|\mathbf{n}_0\|} \mathbf{z}^T + \left(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{e}_0 \right)^T \mathbf{M}_{opt} + \alpha_c \beta_t \frac{\mathbf{C}_t \mathbf{x}_t}{\|\mathbf{x}_t\|}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$(\mathbf{z}^T - \mathbf{e}_0^T \mathbf{z} \mathbf{e}_0^T) \mathbf{M}_{opt}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\mathbf{C}_0}{\|\mathbf{n}_0\|} \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{e}_0 + \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{M}_{opt} + \mathbf{e}_0^T \mathbf{z} (\mathbf{e}_0^T \mathbf{M}_{opt}) + \alpha_c \beta_t \|\mathbf{x}_t\|$$

$$\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad 1 \times 1$$

$$\mathbf{e}_0^T \mathbf{z} \quad \mathbf{M}_{opt}^T \mathbf{z} \quad (\mathbf{e}_0^T \mathbf{M}_{opt}) \mathbf{e}_0^T \mathbf{z}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{C_0}{\|n_0\|} e_0^T + M_{opt}^T + e_0^T n_{opt} e_0^T \right) z + \alpha_c \beta_t \|\hat{x}_{t-1}\| \leq \gamma \rightarrow \text{bound}$$

approx

$$R^T z \rightarrow \text{maximize}$$

$$-1 \leq z_i \leq 1 \quad \forall \quad e_2$$

Notes on Final Implementation:

1) paper assumes

$$r_t = \langle n_t, \theta^* \rangle + \sum_t^r \quad \text{but in our case wk}$$

$$\theta^* = \text{Rate} \quad \& \quad \sum_t^r = 0 \quad \text{precisely so avoided}$$

the calculations of θ_t

2) To get a safe action the min_tol should be higher than the min_tol for previous algorithms

3) In cases like n_0 or n_t close to $[1, 0, 0]$ the M_{opt} is not possible (singular) or blowing up hence performing pseudo inverse

4) Final eqn. $Az + C \leq T$

$$Az \leq \gamma - C$$

here $\gamma = 0.2 / 0.3$,

$$C = \alpha_c \beta_t \|n_{t-1}\| \approx \alpha_c \cdot 3 \cdot 2$$

and coefs A are mostly +ve or slightly -ve

So for $\alpha_c \geq 1$ as given in paper we are getting an infeasible equation

→ For our problem best $\alpha = 0.001$ but any value below 0.01 is working

⇒ The rate is decreasing starting from a high value to adjust error, In prev. algorithms rate used to increase from a lower value may be due to different starting points (no, uniform)

Derivation:

$$\hat{n}^T \hat{n}$$

$$\rightarrow \hat{n} = n - e n^T e$$

$$\rightarrow (n - e n^T e)^T (n - e n^T e)$$

$$(n^T - e^T n e^T) (n - e n^T e)$$

$$n^T n - e^T n e^T n - n^T e n^T e + e^T n e^T e n^T e$$

$$\boxed{(e^T e)^2 + 1) n^T n - 2 e^T n e^T n - 2 (e^T n)^2} \times \alpha C \Delta t$$

Full eqn:

$$A n + b n^T n + d e^T n e^T n \leq \gamma$$

$$n^T \quad b \times I_n \quad n^T \boxed{e e^T} n$$

$$\rightarrow \boxed{A n + n^T (b I + d e e^T) n \leq \gamma}$$

$$f(n) = A n + n^T C n - \gamma \leq 0$$

$$f'(n) = A + 2 C n$$

$$f''(n) = 2 C$$

(2x1)

for modelling
error!

Done!

$$A = \left(\frac{c_0}{\|n_0\|} e_0' + M_{opt}' + e_0' n_{opt} e_0' \right)$$

$$d = -2\alpha c b_t, \quad b = \alpha c B_t (1 + (c^T c)^2)$$

$$C = b \times I_{(en \times en)} + d \times (c c^T)$$

Notes:

→ Most of regret analysis is done on improvement in θ and the the rest assuming $\alpha c \geq 1$ problem!

→ OPB having the same problem

→ Regret Analysis OPB:

$$= \sum_i E_{a \sim \pi^*} [\tilde{r}_a] - E_{a \sim \pi_t} [\tilde{r}_a]$$

$$= \sum_1^T \sum_1^A \underset{R_a}{\theta_a} (\underset{LP}{\pi_a^*} - \underset{LP}{\pi_{t,a}}) \quad \swarrow \quad \searrow \quad LP$$

with $E^* P \rightarrow U$ with

contain

$U(a)$

$$\langle U, \pi^* \rangle \leq T$$

$$\langle U(a_t), \pi_t \rangle \leq T$$

$$\langle U, \pi^* \rangle - \langle U(a_t), \pi_t \rangle \leq T$$