Approximating Metric TSP

Shourya Pandey

April 13, 2019

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 1 / 43

Overview

- Introduction
 - The Hamiltonian Cycle Problem
 - The Travelling Salesman Problem
 - Hardness of approximation of TSP
- Metric TSP
 - Metric
 - Metric TSP
 - Hardness of Metric TSP
- 3 Approximation Algorithms for Metric TSP
 - A 2-approximation for Metric TSP
 - Christofides' Algorithm
- 4 Conclusions

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 2 / 43

Introduction

Introduction

- In this presentation, we will describe the Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP), and we will prove that it is hard to find approximate solutions for TSP.
- We will then talk about the **Metric TSP** problem, prove its hardness, and provide approximation algorithms for it.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 4 / 43

The Hamiltonian Cycle Problem (HC)

• A **Hamiltonian cycle** (or a Hamilton cycle) on a graph G(V, E) on n vertices is a cycle that visits each vertex exactly once, that is, the cycle has length n.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 5 / 43

The Hamiltonian Cycle Problem (HC)

- The Hamiltonian Cycle Problem can be stated as follows:
 - Input: A graph G(V, E).
 - Output: Does there exist a Hamiltonian Cycle in G?
- It is known that HC is NP-hard.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 6 / 43

The Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP)

- The Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP) is, in some ways, an extension of the HC Problem, and can be stated as follows:
 - Input: A graph G(V, E) with a non-negative cost function c on the edges.
 - Output: A Hamiltonian cycle of minimum cost.
- An easy reduction shows that this problem is at least as hard as the previous problem.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 7 / 4

The Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP)

- It is not hard to see that we can reformulate the problem as follows:
 - Input: A **complete** graph G(V, E) with a non-negative cost function c on the edges.
 - Output: A Hamiltonian Cycle of minimum cost.
- TSP is NP-hard.

Can we approximate TSP?

- We do not hope to solve TSP in polynomial time. Can we find an α -approximation for TSP in polynomial time?
- Such problems are called APX problems.
- In complexity theory the class APX (an abbreviation of approximable) is the set of NP-optimization problems that allow polynomial-time approximation algorithms with approximation ratio bounded by a constant.
- It turns out that we cannot even approximate TSP.

Claim: For every $\alpha > 1$, there exists no polynomial time algorithm approximating TSP within a factor of α , unless P = NP.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 10 / 43

Proof: Assume the contrary, that is, suppose that for some $\alpha > 1$, we have a polynomial time algorithm A that gives us an α -approximation for TSP. We show a reduction from HC.

- We are given a graph G(V, E), and we want to decide whether G has a Hamiltonian Cycle.
- Construct a complete graph G' on the same vertex set V, and allot costs to the edges of G' as follows. For each edge e in G:
 - If $e \in G$, give it cost c(e) = 1.
 - If $e \notin G$, give it cost $c(e) = \alpha \cdot n + 1$.
- It is clear that G' is constructible in polynomial time.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 11 / 43

- Suppose G has a Hamiltonian cycle C. Then in G', the same cycle has a cost of n, and this is indeed the smallest possible cost (because each edge in G' has cost at least 1).
- Therefore, the algorithm A, when run on G', outputs an answer $\leq \alpha \cdot n$ in polynomial time.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 12 / 43

- Suppose G does not have a Hamiltonian cycle. Then in G', any Hamiltonian cycle must use at least one edge which is not in G, so any Hamiltonian cycle in G has cost at least $\alpha \cdot n + 1$.
- Therefore, the algorithm A, when run on G', outputs an answer $\geq \alpha \cdot n + 1$ in polynomial time.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 13 / 43

- In all, given G, construct G' and run A on G'.
- If A outputs a number $\leq \alpha \cdot n$, output "yes", else output "no".

Therefore, we now have a polynomial time algorithm for HC, which is an NP-complete problem. Therefore, unless P = NP, TSP cannot have an α -approximation algorithm that runs in polynomial time, for any $\alpha > 1$.

Special TSPs

- Often, the graphs with edge costs that we encounter have other special properties associated with them. For example, the vertices may be houses that an actual salesman has to visit, and the costs represent the distances between houses.
- Such an instance of TSP is called Euclidean TSP, because the edges represent Euclidean distances between the vertices embedded in a plane.
- We can use other metrics to define costs as well.

Metric TSP

Metric

- A metric d on a set X, also called a distance function, is a function that defines a distance between each pair of elements of the set. A set with a metric is called a metric space.
- Formally, $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a metric if it is a function satisfying the following properties for all $x, y, z \in X$:
 - Non-negativity : $d(x, y) \ge 0$
 - Indiscernability : d(x, y) = 0 iff x = y
 - Symmetry : d(x, y) = d(y, x)
 - Subadditivity : $d(x, y) + d(y, z) \ge d(x, z)$

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 17 / 43

Metric

- Example 1: The discrete metric, defined as d(x, x) = 0 and d(x, y) = 1 for all $x \neq y$.
- **Example 2 :** The Euclidean metric. The vertices represent actual points in some Euclidean space.
- Example 3: The Graphic metric, where distance between two vertices is equal to the distance between them on a fixed tree.



Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 18 / 43

Metric TSP

- The **Metric TSP** problem is similar to the TSP problem, except now, the costs on the edges satisfy the triangle inequality.
 - Input: A complete graph G(V, E), and a metric c on V.
 - Output: A Hamiltonian cycle of minimum cost.
- How hard is Metric TSP?

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 19 / 43

Hardness of Metric TSP

Claim: Metric TSP is NP-hard.

Proof: This is also a simple reduction problem from HC. Suppose we are given a graph G(V, E) and we want to decide if it has a Hamiltonian cycle. Construct a complete graph G' on the vertex set V, with cost function c defined as follows:

- If $e \in G$, assign c(e) = 1 in G'.
- If $e \notin G$, assign c(e) = 2 in G'.

Clearly, c is a metric on V. It is not hard to see that G has a Hamiltonian cycle if and only if G' has a Hamiltonian cycle of cost n.

This reduction shows that Metric TSP is NP-hard. By the way, such metric TSPs in which all weights are either 1 or 2 are called **(1,2)-TSPs**.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 20 / 43

Approximation Algorithms for Metric TSP

From now on, we refer to Metric TSP as **MTSP**. We first give a simple, 2-approximation for MTSP.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 22 / 43

2-approximation for MTSP:

• We have a complete graph G(V, E) with a metric c on $V = \{1, 2, 3, \cdots, n\}$. Since G is connected, we can find a **Minimum Spanning Tree (MST)** in polynomial time using, say, Prim's Algorithm. Call this MST T.

• Also, let C^* be the cost of the smallest Hamiltonian cycle in G. Take some edge $e \in C^*$. Then $C^* \setminus e$ is also a spanning tree of G, so

$$c(T) \leq c(C^* \setminus e) \leq c(C^*)$$

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 24 / 43

Root the MST at some vertex, say 1. Perform an Euler Tour on T
(which is the same as finding an Eulerian Circuit in the graph T with
all edges duplicated), and list the vertices that are visited in the order
they are visited. Call this list L, and its cost is defined as the sum of
the cost of the edges in L (with repetition).

- Retain only the first occurrence of each vertex in this list, and also retain the last vertex in the list, which is 1, in G. This list can be interpreted as a cycle C in G, because each vertex occurs exactly once in the list except for the first vertex, which also occurs in the end.
- This procedure will be referred to as **short circuiting**.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 26 / 43

- We claim that $c(C) \leq 2c(C^*)$.
- In an Euler Tour, each edge of T is visited exactly twice, so $c(L) = 2c(T) \le 2c(C^*)$.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 27 / 43

Also, C has been formed by deleting vertices from the list L. Suppose

$$L = 1 \cdots i v j \cdots 1$$

and we delete this v from L to get the list L'. Then

$$c(L') = c(L) - c(iv) - c(vj) + c(ij) \le c(L)$$

by triangle inequality.

• In all, this means $c(C) \le c(L) \le 2c(C^*)$, as required.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 28 / 43

Summarising the 2-Approximation Algorithm

Algorithm:

- lacktriangle Create a minimum spanning tree T of G.
- ② Create a graph H which is the graph T but with all edges duplicated. Note that each vertex in H now has even degree.
- 3 Find an Eulerian circuit E in H.
- **③** Short-circuit E to find the required Hamiltonian cycle C.

Can we do better?

- The 2-approximation for MTSP was rather naive, in some sense. We can do better than this. What we really did was that we found a spanning tree T and duplicated each edge in T to get, say, H.
- This new graph *H* has each vertex of even degree, so it has an Eulerian Circuit *E*.
- We then short-circuit *E* to get a Hamiltonian cycle of cost at most twice the optimal cost.
- How can we improve this algorithm?
- Instead of duplicating each edge of T, we just need to ensure that the super-graph of T has each edge of even degree. Nicos Christofides, in 1976, found a 1.5-approximation to Metric TSP by a small improvement in the algorithm.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 30 / 43

1.5-approximation to Metric TSP:

- As before, we have a complete graph G(V, E) with a metric c on V.
- Let C^* be the optimal cycle in G, and let T be an MST in G.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 31 / 43

- This is the crucial step. Let O be the set of vertices that have an odd degree in T. Note that |O| is even.
- Let M be a minimum cost perfect matching in the subgraph induced by the vertices in O.
- Combine the edges of M and T to get a multigraph H.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 32 / 43

- Note that each vertex in H now has even degree. Therefore, H has an Eulerian Circuit E. E can be found by any popular algorithm, say the Fleury's Algorithm or the Hierholzer's Algorithm.
- Make the cycle *C* as done before by deleting repeated occurrences in *E*, that is, by short-circuiting.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 33 / 43

- Why did this work?
- We have

$$c(C) \leq c(E) \leq c(M) + c(T) \leq c(M) + c(C^*)$$

• We need to show that $c(M) \leq \frac{c(C^*)}{2}$. This is somewhat expected, seeing that M has less than or equal to half the number of edges in C^* .

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 34 / 43

- Consider the optimal cycle C^* . Short-circuit C^* to create a cycle C^O with the vertices of O with a smaller cost than $c(C^*)$.
- Since |O| is even, the edges in the cycle partition into two matchings M_1 and M_2 . Suppose M_1 has a smaller cost than M_2 .

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 35 / 43

Then,

$$c(M) \le c(M_1) \le \frac{c(M_1) + c(M_2)}{2} = \frac{c(C^O)}{2} \le \frac{c(C^*)}{2}$$

as required.

• Therefore $c(C) \leq 1.5 \cdot c(C^*)$.

36 / 43

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019

Summarising the Christofides' Algorithm

Algorithm:

- lacktriangle Create a minimum spanning tree T of G.
- 2 Let O be the set of vertices in T that have an odd degree. Find a minimum cost perfect matching M on the subgraph induced by the vertices of O.
- \odot Create a graph H which is the union of the edges of T and M. This graph has all vertices of even degree.
- Find an Eulerian circuit E in H.
- Short-circuit E to find the required Hamiltonian cycle C.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 37 / 43

Running Time of the Christofides' Algorithm

- Creating an MST $T: O(n^2)$
- Finding the minimum cost perfect matching M in $O: O(n^{2.5})$
- Creating H: O(n)
- Finding an Eulerian circuit E in H: O(n)
- Short-circuiting E : O(n)

The step that takes the longest time is the one to find the minimum cost perfect matching. The best known complexity as of now is $O(n^{2.5})$, given by Micali and Vazirani.

A simple example which shows 1.5 is the best approximation this algorithm can give

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 39 / 43

Conclusions

Summary

- We described the HC and TSP Problems. We showed that it is hard to even approximate TSP.
- We then considered a special yet important class of TSPs called Metric TSPs. We proved that solving Metric TSP is also hard.
- We then discussed a naive 2-approximation to Metric TSP.
- Finally, we discussed the Christofides' Algorithm that gives a 1.5-approximation to Metric TSP.
- We also showed that 1.5 is the best approximation that the Christofides' Algorithm can give.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 41 / 43

What else is known about Metric TSPs?

- Christofides' Algorithm is the best known approximation algorithm for Metric TSPs as of now.
- It is known that Metric TSP cannot be approximated by a ratio better than $\frac{123}{122}$ unless P = NP. [Karpinski, Lampis, Schmied 2013]
- Similar results for many other special TSPs such as Graphic TSPs, (1,2)-TSPs, Cubic Graphic TSPs, etc. are known.

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 42 / 43

The End

Shourya Pandey TSP April 13, 2019 43 / 4