Given that an algorithm A solver the mentioned TSP with edge weights $\in \S1123$ in sposynomial time. Now we toomulate an algorithm too tomiltonian cycle using A -

Algo: Given a graph a totind HC now construct on complete graph of with same vertices. Now assign weight to be 1 if it is present in the original graph and 2 it not.

$$\omega$$
 (e) = $\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e \in E(G) \\ 2 & \text{o.} \omega \end{cases}$

Now apply algorithm A on G' to obtain TSP. It the cost of output is nother G has HC otherwise not.

proof: lets suppose of has HC then this cycle in G' has cost nother is minimum (as replacement of any edge just increases the cost) - If of doesn't have a HC then there must be atleast 1 edge in TSP(6') that doesn't belong to G and this makes the cost of TSP > (n-1/1) +1(2) = n+1 ie (+n)

Lemma-1: TSP can be formulated as linear binary optimization problem

proof: A tinear binary oftimization foodlem is a minimization/marimization of linear objective function (cTx = c(x)+(2x2 -- cnxn) over a arbitrary set s = \{0,1\forage}n.

Consider TSP over a graph of nedges with weights eiler -- en Now consider a variable xi =1 if edge i is present in our path other wise o . Also consider set T: {(no xi, xi -- n n) swhich consists of all hamiltonian cycles . Now our TSP boils down to minimizing etn or einiternia -- en xn where n e T, a Ginear binary optimization froblem.

Lemma-2: Given reasion of TSP is strongly n-p hard.

proof: we know from above formulation that edge weights we coefficients. For the given version - Icil & Silly - which means that coefficients are folynomially bounded (& [o,ne]). From part-a of this question (reduction to Hc) we can say that this version of TSP is NP-hard. So by definition It a problem is nphard even when coefficients being prognomially

bounded then it is strongly NP-hard. In literature this reasion of TSP is used to prove that general TSP is strongly NP-Hard.

Theorem: (et TI be binary opt-problem which is strongly NP-Hard then there doesnot enist an algofort whose enpected run time is bounded by Pol (N, p) for provided instances with coeff. in [-1,1] un (es NP C ZPP (proved in class & notes)

From lemma, and 2 we can see that our version of TSP tits the problem TT in the theorem hence we cannot have a polynomial time algorothm of a perturbed instances unless NP=7PP