

Transformation-based Learning for Semantic Parsing

F. Jurcicek, M. Gasic, S. Keizer, F. Mairesse, B. Thomson, K. Yu, S. Young

Cambridge University Engineering Department | {fj228, mg436, sk561, f.mairesse, brmt2, ky219, sjy}@eng.cam.ac.uk

Goals & Motivation

- Develop a fast semantic decoder for dialogue systems
- Capability to parse 10 100 ASR hypotheses in real time
- Robust to speech recognition noise
- Semantic parsing maps natural language to formal language
- In current dialogue systems, most of the mapping is fairly simple (cities, times, ...)

what are the	lowes	t airfares from Washington to Boston
GOAL	=	airfare
airfare.type	=	lowest
from.city	=	Washington
to.city	=	Boston

 Transformation based – error driven learning was shown to be efficient and fast on this type of task

Transformations

Triggers	Transformations
"Seattle"	add the slot "to.city=Seattle"
"connecting"	replace the slot "to.city=*" by "stop.city=*"
"from * Francisco"	delete the slot "toloc.city=San Francisco"

Example of parsing


find all flights between Toronto and San Diego that arrive on Saturday

1. Parser assigns initial semantics to input sentence

2. Rules are sequentially applied, whenever a trigger matches the sentence and the hypothesised semantics

<u>#</u>	Trigger	Transformation
1	"between Toronto"	add the slot "from.city=Toronto"
2	"and San Diego"	add the slot "to.city=Sand Diego"
3	"Saturday"	add the slot "departure.day=Saturday"
	COAI	fliabt






3. Rules can correct previous errors

arrive.day

# Trigger 4 "arrive"		Transformation			
		•	replace the slot "departure.day=*" by "arrival.day=*"		
	GOAL from.city to.city	=	flight		
	from.city	=	Toronto		
	to.city	=	San Diego		

Saturday

Learning



- On average 1 million rules is tested in each iteration
- Training takes about 24 hours on an Intel Pentium 2.8 GHz

Efficiency

 Inferred list of rules is very small for domains such as ATIS or TownInfo

Dataset	# of inferred rules	concepts	# of rules/concepts
ATIS	372	83	4.5
TownInfo	195	28	6.9

- Average number of semantic concepts in a sentence is 5
- Python implementation of the parser needs 6ms per sentence

Evaluation

Compare TBL Semantic Parser with:

- A handcrafted Phoenix grammar
- The Hidden Vector State model (He & Young, 2006)
- Probabilistic Combinatory Categorial Grammar Induction (Zettlemoyer & Collins, 2007)
- Markov Logic networks (Meza-Ruiz et al., 2008)
- Semantic Tuple Classifiers (Mairesse et al., 2008)

Evaluation metrics used are precision, recall and F-measure of dialogue act items (e.g. food=Chinese, toloc.city=New York)

Semantic decoder	Item	Item	Item	
	precision	recall	F	
ATIS dataset with transcribed	utterances:			
Semantic Tuple Classifiers	96.7	92.4	94.5	
Hidden Vector State			90.3	
PCCG Induction	95.1	96.7	95.9	
Markov Logic Networks	93.4	89.8	91.6	
TBL Semantic Parser	96.4	95.1	95.7	
TownInfo dataset with transci	ranscribed utterances:			
Semantic Tuple Classifiers	97.4	94.0	95.7	
Phoenix grammar	96.3	94.2	95.3	
TBL Semantic Parser	92.7	94.7	95.4	
TownInfo dataset with ASR or	utput:			
Semantic Tuple Classifiers	94.0	83.7	88.6	
Phoenix grammar	90.3	79.5	85.5	
TBL Semantic Parser	92.7	83.4	87.8	

Conclusion: TBL Semantic Parser is robust to noise and competitive with the state of the art.

Open source

The code is available at http://code.google.com/p/tbed-parser

Acknowledgements

This research was partly funded by the UK EPSRC under grant agreement EP/F013930/1 and by the EU FP7 Programme under grant agreement 216594 (CLASSiC project: www.classic-project.org).

