Map Area: Bangalore, India

Map Area: Bangalore, India

http://www.openstreetmap.org/way/151676284

I chose Sarjapura, Bengaluru for my analysis as I knew that the dataset will be large enough to do analysis and it will have opportunities on data wrangling.

I am currently living here.

Is there a list of Web sites, books, forums, blog posts, github repositories etc that you referred to or used in this submission (Add N/A if you did not use such resources)? N/A

Problems encountered in your map

The dataset downloaded was for Bangalore. I ran the audit.py program and found very few issues:

- 1. There were a few upper case street types as well as lower case types that contributed to duplicate entries like:
 - 'Cantonment': set(['Bangalore Cantonment']),
 - 'Circle': set(['Aurobindo Circle',
 - 'H Siddiah Circle',
 - 'Seeta Circle',
 - 'Shoolay Circle',
 - 'Siddalingaiah Circle']),
 - 'College': set(['Ambedkar College']),
 - 'Complex': set(['Nagarabhavi BDA Complex']),
 - 'Cross': set(['Kenchapura Cross', 'Mallathalli Cross']),
 - 'Gate': set(['BMTC Depot-12 Gate', 'Lakshmisagar Gate']),
 - 'Gurukul': set(['Swaminarayana Gurukul']),
 - 'Junction': set(['Prof Ashirvadam Junction', 'Townhall Junction']),
 - 'Layout': set(['NGF Layout']),
 - 'PALYA': set(['PAPAREDDY PALYA']),
 - 'Palya': set(['Mariyappana Palya', 'Papareddy Palya']),
 - 'Quarters': set(['Shirke KHB Quarters', 'University Quarters']),
 - 'Road': set(['Escorts Yalahanka Road', 'Outer Ring Road']),

- 'Sarjapura': set(['Sarjapura']),
- 'Service': set(['Nexus Maruti Service']),
- 'Stop': set(['Vinayaka Layout Bus Stop']),
- 'Temple': set(['Ganesha Temple', 'Veeranjaneya Temple'])}

I removed the upper case and made all the types lower case to eliminate the duplicate entries.

- Prof Ashirvadam Junction => prof ashirvadam junction
- Townhall Junction => townhall junction
- Nexus Maruti Service => nexus maruti service
- Papareddy Palya => papareddy palya
- Mariyappana Palya => mariyappana palya
- Vinayaka Layout Bus Stop => vinayaka layout bus streetop
- Mallathalli Cross => mallathalli cross
- Shirke KHB Quarters => shirke khb quarters
- Aladamara => aladamara
- *HAL Airport => hal airport*
- Nagarabhavi BDA Complex => nagarabhavi bda complex
- Ambedkar College => ambedkar college
- Nagarabhavi 9th Block => nagarabhavi 9th block
- PAPAREDDY PALYA => papareddy palya
- BMTC Depot-12 Gate => bmtc depot-12 gate
- Lakshmisagar Gate => lakshmisagar gate
- 2. Secondly, I had to be very careful in creating the mapping as I had defined

Due to this, when there is a value of Bus stop, it used to get replaced with Bustreet stop

• Vinayaka Layout Bus Stop => vinayaka layout bus streetop

Hence I had to remove the abbreviations used in mapping which required a careful study of data.

Vinayaka Layout Bus Stop => vinayaka layout bus stop

- 3. There are a lot of unique tag types in the osm file which requires the code to be adjusted accordingly every time. There is no standardization in the way the address or locality is defined.
- 4. There are cases where ambiguous names like "Cir"
 - 'Cir': set(['Aurobindo Cir']),

Instead of "Circle" which then corrected as "Circle" in the mapping for better clarity.

- 5. Abbrevations noted are expanded.
 - 'Complex': set(['Nagarabhavi BDA Complex']),
 - Nagarabhavi BDA Complex => nagarabhavi bangalore development authority complex
- 6. There were local language usages in naming the tags.

People like to express the type of the street or temples or schools in various formats. Street become Halli. School as Gurukul and Temples as Devalaya. It maybe get us less attention. But for someone as Data Scientist/Web Developer, they expect the dataset to have generic format. Inorder to get such problematic areas we need to audit the file and then fix them by mapping it to generic name

• 'Gurukul': set(['Swaminarayana Gurukul'])

Overview of the Data

This section contains basic statistics about the dataset and the MongoDB queries used to gather them.

File sizes

bengaluru_india.osm 89.2 MB

bengaluru_india.osm.json 190.1 MB

Tag Keys analysis

Code:



I performed the Tag key analysis to understand what are the tags and their occurrences in the sample osm file.

Result

```
{'bounds': 1,
    'member': 3,
    'nd': 48,
    'node': 739,
    'osm': 1,
    'relation': 1,
    'tag': 182,
    'way': 5
  }
```

Types of tags

Code:



The Tag types will let us know if the dataset used has valid values in the "k" tag before loading them in to MongoDB

Result

```
{'lower': 168, 'lower_colon': 14, 'other': 0, 'problemchars': 0}
```

It is clear that there are 14 values where the data is like <tag k="name:kn" v="???? ????"/>

Unique User ids:

Code:



Result:

```
set(['123364',
  '1296080',
  '1306',
  '1319316',
  '136860',
  '1765920',
  '178915',
  '1829683',
  '183942',
  '20181',
  '2179',
  '2477516',
  '256444',
  '337433',
  '3516',
  '354670',
  '35811',
  '392516',
  '398086',
  '398735',
  '492742',
  '508',
   '586822',
  '587',
   '632616',
  '63375',
  '634020',
  '642345',
  '693794',
  '697874',
  '697960',
  '719005',
  '722137'
```

The above result will help us with the insight on how many unique users have contributed to the dataset.

Audit and Insert the input to MongoDB



The processed map has been saved to bengaluru_india_audit.osm.json .we have processed the audited map file(as mentioned as first code above) into array of JSON, to put it into mongodb instance. This will take the map that we have been audited. First we load the script to insert the map

```
data = process_map(bengaluru_india.osm')
pprint.pprint(data[0:6])
Result:
[{'created': {'changeset': '16957521',
       'timestamp': '2013-07-15T08:10:50Z',
       'uid': '634020',
        'user': 'user_634020',
       'version': '4'},
 'id': '17327077',
 'pos': [12.9026964, 77.5949117],
 'type': 'node'},
{'created': {'changeset': '18611831',
       'timestamp': '2013-10-30T05:16:40Z',
       'uid': '634020',
       'user': 'user_634020',
       'version': '32'},
 'id': '17327092',
 'pos': [12.9063367, 77.5950592],
 'type': 'node'},
{'created': {'changeset': '18598983',
       'timestamp': '2013-10-29T11:01:32Z',
       'uid': '634020',
       'user': 'user_634020',
       'version': '32'},
 'id': '17327095',
 'pos': [12.910516, 77.5987265],
 'tvpe': 'node'},
{'created': {'changeset': '2446958',
       'timestamp': '2009-09-11T16:14:48Z',
       'uid': '1306',
       'user': 'PlaneMad',
       'version': '74'},
 'highway': 'traffic_signals',
 'id': '17327106',
 'name': 'Aurobindo Circle',
 'pos': [12.9171587, 77.5858225],
 'type': 'node'},
{'created': {'changeset': '833006',
```

```
'timestamp': '2009-03-19T17:09:30Z',
       'uid': '35811',
       'user': 'Praveen',
       'version': '29'},
 'id': '17327139',
 'pos': [12.9349712, 77.624083],
 'type': 'node'},
{'created': {'changeset': '8054691',
       'timestamp': '2011-05-05T06:28:55Z',
       'uid': '1306',
       'user': 'PlaneMad',
       'version': '21'},
 'id': '17327141',
 'pos': [12.9384996, 77.62914],
 'type': 'node'}]
{u'created': {u'changeset': u'16957521', u'version': u'4', u'user': u'user_634020', u'timestamp': u'2013-
07-15T08:10:50Z', u'uid': u'634020'}, u'_id': ObjectId('5553887d18249360b6026c26'), u'type': u'node',
u'pos': [12.9026964, 77.5949117], u'id': u'17327077'}
```

Queries on the dataset

Top 1 Contributing user

2 data that have palya

After the fix and loading them in MongoDb , I want to make sure we have a generic way of representing Palya.

```
result = db.bengaluru_india.aggregate(pipeline)['result']
pprint.pprint(result)

db.bangalore_india.aggregate.find( { sku: { $regex: /^ABC/i } } )

Result
[{u'_id': u''Mariyappana Palya', u'count': 1},
{u'_id': u''Papareddy Palya', u'count': 1}
]
```

Other ideas about the datasets

- 1. Improve current dataset with Periodic review and correction of data:
 - Create a data dictionary for mapping potential duplicate entries due to usage of abbreviations,wrong format,local language usage For eg: Gurukul= School;st=street. Technical Difficulty: Identifying new entries and Periodic physical inspection and updating data dictionary at regular intervals.
 Modify the business logic to validate the points against data dictionary.
- 2. Very few businesses in dataset were labelled with their type of business. It might be good to flag these. But it would be very tedious and time-consuming to add the appropriate information to all of them
- 3. The language of the contributions does not seem to be under control. Having a dataset in two different languages (influence of local language) makes it impossible to analyze so I think there should be some sort of control there.