

# Database Concurrency Control

## 1 Purpose of Concurrency Control

- To enforce Isolation (through mutual exclusion) among conflicting transactions.
- To preserve database consistency through consistency preserving execution of transactions.
- To resolve read-write and write-write conflicts.

Example: In concurrent execution environment if T1 conflicts with T2 over a data item A, then the existing concurrency control decides if T1 or T2 should get the A and if the other transaction is rolled-back or waits.

# Database Concurrency Control

## Two-Phase Locking Techniques

Locking is an operation which secures (a) permission to Read or (b) permission to Write a data item for a transaction. Example: Lock (X). Data item X is locked in behalf of the requesting transaction.

Unlocking is an operation which removes these permissions from the data item. Example: Unlock (X). Data item X is made available to all other transactions.

Lock and Unlock are Atomic operations.

# Database Concurrency Control

## Two-Phase Locking Techniques: Essential components

Two locks modes (a) shared (read) and (b) exclusive (write).

Shared mode: shared lock (X). More than one transaction can apply share lock on X for reading its value but no write lock can be applied on X by any other transaction.

Exclusive mode: Write lock (X). Only one write lock on X can exist at any time and no shared lock can be applied by any other transaction on X.

Conflict matrix

	Read	Write
Read	Y	N
Write	N	N

# Database Concurrency Control

## Two-Phase Locking Techniques: Essential components

Lock Manager: Managing locks on data items.

Lock table: Lock manager uses it to store the identify of transaction locking a data item, the data item, lock mode and pointer to the next data item locked. One simple way to implement a lock table is through linked list.

Transaction ID	Data item id	lock mode	Ptr to next data item
T1	X1	Read	Next

# Database Concurrency Control

## **Two-Phase Locking Techniques: Essential components**

Database requires that all transactions should be well-formed. A transaction is well-formed if:

- It must lock the data item before it reads or writes to it.
- It must not lock an already locked data items and it must not try to unlock a free data item.

# Database Concurrency Control

## Two-Phase Locking Techniques: Essential components

The following code performs the lock operation:

```
B: if LOCK (X) = 0 (*item is unlocked*)  
    then LOCK (X)  $\leftarrow$  1 (*lock the item*)  
    else begin  
        wait (until lock (X) = 0) and  
        the lock manager wakes up the transaction);  
    goto B  
end;
```

# Database Concurrency Control

## Two-Phase Locking Techniques: Essential components

The following code performs the unlock operation:

$\text{LOCK}(X) \leftarrow 0$  (\*unlock the item\*)

if any transactions are waiting then

    wake up one of the waiting the transactions;

# Database Concurrency Control

## Two-Phase Locking Techniques: Essential components

### Lock conversion

#### Lock upgrade: existing read lock to write lock

if  $T_i$  has a read-lock (X) and  $T_j$  has no read-lock (X) ( $i \neq j$ ) then

    convert read-lock (X) to write-lock (X)

else

    force  $T_i$  to wait until  $T_j$  unlocks X

#### Lock downgrade: existing write lock to read lock

$T_i$  has a write-lock (X) (\*no transaction can have any lock on X\*)

    convert write-lock (X) to read-lock (X)



# Database Concurrency Control

## Two-Phase Locking Techniques: The algorithm

**Two Phases:** (a) Locking (Growing) (b) Unlocking (Shrinking).

**Locking (Growing) Phase:** A transaction applies locks (read or write) on desired data items one at a time.

**Unlocking (Shrinking) Phase:** A transaction unlocks its locked data items one at a time.

**Requirement:** For a transaction these two phases must be mutually exclusively, that is, during locking phase unlocking phase must not start and during unlocking phase locking phase must not begin.

# Database Concurrency Control

## Two-Phase Locking Techniques: The algorithm

### T1

read\_lock (Y);  
read\_item (Y);  
unlock (Y);  
write\_lock (X);  
read\_item (X);  
X:=X+Y;  
write\_item (X);  
unlock (X);

### T2

read\_lock (X);  
read\_item (X);  
unlock (X);  
Write\_lock (Y);  
read\_item (Y);  
Y:=X+Y;  
write\_item (Y);  
unlock (Y);

### Result

Initial values: X=20; Y=30  
Result of serial execution  
T1 followed by T2  
X=50, Y=80.  
Result of serial execution  
T2 followed by T1  
X=70, Y=50

# Database Concurrency Control

## Two-Phase Locking Techniques: The algorithm

	<u>T1</u>	<u>T2</u>	<u>Result</u>
Time ↓	read_lock (Y); read_item (Y); <b>unlock (Y);</b>		X=50; Y=50
		read_lock (X); read_item (X); <b>unlock (X);</b> <b>write_lock (Y);</b> read_item (Y); Y:=X+Y; write_item (Y); unlock (Y);	Nonserializable because it. violated two-phase policy.
	<b>write_lock (X);</b> read_item (X); X:=X+Y; write_item (X); unlock (X);		

# Database Concurrency Control

## Two-Phase Locking Techniques: The algorithm

T'1

```
read_lock (Y);  
read_item (Y);  
write_lock (X);  
unlock (Y);  
read_item (X);  
X:=X+Y;  
write_item (X);  
unlock (X);
```

T'2

```
read_lock (X);  
read_item (X);  
Write_lock (Y);  
unlock (X);  
read_item (Y);  
Y:=X+Y;  
write_item (Y);  
unlock (Y);
```

T1 and T2 follow two-phase policy but they are subject to deadlock, which must be dealt with.

# Database Concurrency Control

## Two-Phase Locking Techniques: The algorithm

**Two-phase policy generates two locking algorithms (a) Basic and (b) Conservative.**

**Conservative:** Prevents deadlock by locking all desired data items before transaction begins execution.

**Basic:** Transaction locks data items incrementally. This may cause deadlock which is dealt with.

**Strict:** A more stricter version of Basic algorithm where unlocking is performed after a transaction terminates (commits or aborts and rolled-back). This is the most commonly used two-phase locking algorithm.

# Database Concurrency Control

## Dealing with Deadlock and Starvation

### Deadlock

T'1

read\_lock (Y);  
read\_item (Y);

write\_lock (X);  
(waits for X)

T'2

read\_lock (X);  
read\_item (Y);

write\_lock (Y);  
(waits for Y)

T1 and T2 did follow two-phase policy but they are deadlock

**Deadlock (T'1 and T'2)**

# Database Concurrency Control

## Dealing with Deadlock and Starvation

### Deadlock prevention

A transaction locks all data items it refers to before it begins execution. This way of locking prevents deadlock since a transaction never waits for a data item. The conservative two-phase locking uses this approach.

# Database Concurrency Control

## Dealing with Deadlock and Starvation

### Deadlock detection and resolution

In this approach, deadlocks are allowed to happen. The scheduler maintains a wait-for-graph for detecting cycle. If a cycle exists, then one transaction involved in the cycle is selected (victim) and rolled-back.

A wait-for-graph is created using the lock table. As soon as a transaction is blocked, it is added to the graph. When a chain like:  $T_i$  waits for  $T_j$  waits for  $T_k$  waits for  $T_i$  or  $T_j$  occurs, then this creates a cycle. One of the transaction of the cycle is selected and rolled back.



# Database Concurrency Control

## Dealing with Deadlock and Starvation

### Deadlock avoidance

There are many variations of two-phase locking algorithm. Some avoid deadlock by not letting the cycle to complete. That is as soon as the algorithm discovers that blocking a transaction is likely to create a cycle, it rolls back the transaction. Wound-Wait and Wait-Die algorithms use timestamps to avoid deadlocks by rolling-back victim.

# Database Concurrency Control

## Dealing with Deadlock and Starvation

### Starvation

Starvation occurs when a particular transaction consistently waits or restarted and never gets a chance to proceed further. In a deadlock resolution it is possible that the same transaction may consistently be selected as victim and rolled-back. This limitation is inherent in all priority based scheduling mechanisms. In Wound-Wait scheme a younger transaction may always be wounded (aborted) by a long running older transaction which may create starvation.

# Database Concurrency Control

## Timestamp based concurrency control algorithm

### Timestamp

A monotonically increasing variable (integer) indicating the age of an operation or a transaction. A larger timestamp value indicates a more recent event or operation.

Timestamp based algorithm uses timestamp to serialize the execution of concurrent transactions.

# Database Concurrency Control

## Timestamp based concurrency control algorithm

### Basic Timestamp Ordering

1. Transaction T issues a write\_item(X) operation:
  - a. If  $\text{read\_TS}(X) > \text{TS}(T)$  or if  $\text{write\_TS}(X) > \text{TS}(T)$ , then a younger transaction has already read the data item so abort and roll-back T and reject the operation.
  - b. If the condition in part (a) does not exist, then execute write\_item(X) of T and set write\_TS(X) to TS(T).
2. Transaction T issues a read\_item(X) operation:
  - a. If  $\text{write\_TS}(X) > \text{TS}(T)$ , then a younger transaction has already written to the data item so abort and roll-back T and reject the operation.
  - b. If  $\text{write\_TS}(X) \leq \text{TS}(T)$ , then execute read\_item(X) of T and set read\_TS(X) to the larger of TS(T) and the current read\_TS(X).

# Database Concurrency Control

## Timestamp based concurrency control algorithm

### Strict Timestamp Ordering

1. Transaction T issues a write\_item(X) operation:
  - a. If  $TS(T) > read\_TS(X)$ , then delay T until the transaction T' that wrote or read X has terminated (committed or aborted).
2. Transaction T issues a read\_item(X) operation:
  - a. If  $TS(T) > write\_TS(X)$ , then delay T until the transaction T' that wrote or read X has terminated (committed or aborted).

# Database Concurrency Control

## Timestamp based concurrency control algorithm

### Thomas's Write Rule

1. If  $\text{read\_TS}(X) > \text{TS}(T)$  then abort and roll-back  $T$  and reject the operation.
2. If  $\text{write\_TS}(X) > \text{TS}(T)$ , then just ignore the write operation and continue execution. This is because the most recent writes counts in case of two consecutive writes.
3. If the conditions given in 1 and 2 above do not occur, then execute  $\text{write\_item}(X)$  of  $T$  and set  $\text{write\_TS}(X)$  to  $\text{TS}(T)$ .

# Database Concurrency Control

## Multiversion concurrency control techniques

### Concept

This approach maintains a number of versions of a data item and allocates the right version to a read operation of a transaction. Thus unlike other mechanisms a read operation in this mechanism is never rejected.

Side effect: Significantly more storage (RAM and disk) is required to maintain multiple versions. To check unlimited growth of versions, a garbage collection is run when some criteria is satisfied.

# Database Concurrency Control

## **Multiversion technique based on timestamp ordering**

This approach maintains a number of versions of a data item and allocates the right version to a read operation of a transaction. Thus unlike other mechanisms a read operation in this mechanism is never rejected.

**Side effects:** Significantly more storage (RAM and disk) is required to maintain multiple versions. To check unlimited growth of versions, a garbage collection is run when some criteria is satisfied.



# Database Concurrency Control

## Multiversion technique based on timestamp ordering

Assume  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are the version of a data item  $X$  created by a write operation of transactions. With each  $X_i$  a  $read\_TS$  (read timestamp) and a  $write\_TS$  (write timestamp) are associated.

**$read\_TS(X_i)$ :** The read timestamp of  $X_i$  is the largest of all the timestamps of transactions that have successfully read version  $X_i$ .

**$write\_TS(X_i)$ :** The write timestamp of  $X_i$  that wrote the value of version  $X_i$ .

A new version of  $X_i$  is created only by a write operation.

# Database Concurrency Control

## Multiversion technique based on timestamp ordering

To ensure serializability, the following two rules are used.

If transaction  $T$  issues `write_item (X)` and version  $i$  of  $X$  has the highest  $\text{write\_TS}(X_i)$  of all versions of  $X$  that is also less than or equal to  $\text{TS}(T)$ , and  $\text{read\_TS}(X_i) > \text{TS}(T)$ , then abort and roll-back  $T$ ; otherwise create a new version  $X_i$  and  $\text{read\_TS}(X) = \text{write\_TS}(X_j) = \text{TS}(T)$ .

If transaction  $T$  issues `read_item (X)`, find the version  $i$  of  $X$  that has the highest  $\text{write\_TS}(X_i)$  of all versions of  $X$  that is also less than or equal to  $\text{TS}(T)$ , then return the value of  $X_i$  to  $T$ , and set the value of  $\text{read\_TS}(X_i)$  to the largest of  $\text{TS}(T)$  and the current  $\text{read\_TS}(X_i)$ .

# Database Concurrency Control

## Multiversion technique based on timestamp ordering

To ensure serializability, the following two rules are used.

1. If transaction  $T$  issues `write_item (X)` and version  $i$  of  $X$  has the highest  $\text{write\_TS}(X_i)$  of all versions of  $X$  that is also less than or equal to  $\text{TS}(T)$ , and  $\text{read\_TS}(X_i) > \text{TS}(T)$ , then abort and roll-back  $T$ ; otherwise create a new version  $X_i$  and  $\text{read\_TS}(X) = \text{write\_TS}(X_j) = \text{TS}(T)$ .
2. If transaction  $T$  issues `read_item (X)`, find the version  $i$  of  $X$  that has the highest  $\text{write\_TS}(X_i)$  of all versions of  $X$  that is also less than or equal to  $\text{TS}(T)$ , then return the value of  $X_i$  to  $T$ , and set the value of  $\text{read\_TS}(X_i)$  to the largest of  $\text{TS}(T)$  and the current  $\text{read\_TS}(X_i)$ .

Rule 2 guarantees that a read will never be rejected.