If the model's cross validation error J_{cv} is much higher than the training error J_{train} , this is an indication that the model has...

• high variance

• Low bias

• high bias

• Low variance

• Correct

When $J_{cv} >> J_{train}$ (whether J_{train} is also high or not, this is a sign that the model is overfitting to the

degree of polynomial

training data and performing much worse on new examples.

1/1point

Bias/variance examples

Baseline performance : 10.6% | 0.2% | 10.6% | 4.4% | 10.6% | 4.4% | 10.6% | 4.4% | 10.6% | 4.4% | 10.6% | 4.4% | 10.6% | 4.4% | 10.6% | 4.4% | 10.6% | 4.4% | 10.6% | 4.4% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 10.6% | 1

Which of these is the best way to determine whether your model has high bias (has underfit the training data)?

- Compare the training error to the baseline level of performance
- O Compare the training error to the cross validation error.
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline \end{tabular} See if the cross validation error is high compared to the baseline level of performance (a,b) and (a,b) are the constant of the performance of the constant of the c$
- O See if the training error is high (above 15% or so)

Correct. If comparing your model's training error to a baseline level of performance (such as human level performance, or performance of other well-established models), if your model's training error is muchhigher, then this is a sign that the model has high bias (has underfit).

Debugging a learning algorithm

You've implemented regularized linear regression on housing prices

$$J(\vec{\mathbf{w}}, b) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (f_{\vec{\mathbf{w}}, b}(\vec{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2 + \frac{2m}{2m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j^2$$

But it makes unacceptably arge errors in predictions. What do you try next?

- → Get more training examples
 → Try smaller sets of features x, x², x′, x′, x′
 → Try getting additional features (x², x², x₁, x₂, etc)
 → Try adding polynomial features (x², x², x₁, x₂, etc)
- \rightarrow Try decreasing $\lambda \leftarrow$ \rightarrow Try increasing λ

fixes high variance fixes high bias fixes high bias fixes high bias fixes high variance

1/1 point

You find that your algorithm has high bias. Which of these seem like good options for improving the algorithm's performance? Hint: two of these are correct.

 ${\color{red} \, \, \, }$ Decrease the regularization parameter λ (lambda)

⊘ Correct

Correct. Decreasing regularization can help the model better fit the training data.

☐ Collect more training examples

Collect additional features or add polynomial features

Correct. More features could potentially help the model better fit the training examples.

☐ Remove examples from the training set

1/1 point

You find that your algorithm has a training error of 2%, and a cross validation error of 20% (much higher than the training error). Based on the conclusion you would draw about whether the algorithm has a high bias or high variance problem, which of these seem like good options for improving the algorithm's performance? Hint: two of

Collect more training data

Yes, the model appears to have high variance (overfit), and collecting more training examples would help reduce high variance.

lacksquare Increase the regularization parameter λ

⊘ Correct

Yes, the model appears to have high variance (overfit), and increasing regularization would help reduce

 $\hfill\Box$ Decrease the regularization parameter λ

Reduce the training set size