HarvardX: PH125.9x Data Science Choose your own Project

Subrahmanyam Aryasomayajula

October 9, 2022

Introduction

Credit Card Fraud detection project is done as a part of Choose your Own (CYO) Data Science Project , HarvardX: PH125.9x. In the project we have obtained creditcard.csv data from kaggle dataset . The dataset has been hosted in github along with other project files in order to facilitate easy execution of the code . The data has been inspected and machine learning models have been applied to evaluate them for the accuracy of predicting the faults in the credit card data set . We are trying to identify the machine learning model which gives the best accuracy out of the models we applied . This course also refers to and draws in on the knowledge developed as a part of "Data Science professional certificate program" by Harvardx.

Overview

The aim of this project is to evaluate K-Nearest Neighbors , Naive Bayes classifying algorithms, Random Forest algorithm and determine which algorithm results in highest accuracy in detecting the fraud in credit card transactions. Models have been trained and tested on the the Credit Card Fraud Detection data set to discover the highest accuracy model between the three models. The credit card transactions data set obtained from the kaggle has been randomly sampled to obtain a subset of the data . The data has been further divided into training and test data sets to build a training model and test the data . Confusion matrix has been generated in testing phase to obtain the metrics to evaluate the accuracy of the models.

Executive Summary

The goal of the project is to apply each of the three models K-Nearest Neighbours , Naive Bayes classifying algorithms, Random Forest on the Credit Card Fraud Detection dataset and calculate the confusion matrix from which we can determine the accuracy of each of the three models .

confusion matrix measures the following four values:

 $\begin{array}{l} tab <- \ matrix(c(`True\ Negative\ (TN)',\ `False\ Negative\ (FN)',\ `False\ Positive\ (FP)',\ `True\ Positive\ (TP)'\),\\ ncol=2,\ byrow=TRUE)\ colnames(tab) <- c(`Actually\ Negactive(0)',`Actually\ Positive\ (1)')\ rownames(tab) <- c(`Predicted\ Negative\ (0)',`Predicted\ Positive\ (1)')\ tab <- \ as.table(tab)\ tab \\ \end{array}$

Total number of True Negative (TN) are the transaction detected as not fraudulent but in reality are also not fraudulent. Total number of False Negative (FN) are the transactions detected as not fraudulent but in reality are fraudulent . Total number of False Positive (FP) are the transaction detected as fraudulent but are not fraudulent in reality . Total number of True Positives (TP) are the transaction detected as fraudulent and really are fraudulent .

```
library(caret)
library(class)
library(e1071)
library(ROCR)
library(dplyr)
library(ggplot2)
library(readr)
library(pROC)
library(randomForest)
library(corrplot)
library(data.table)
library(rpart)
library(stringr)
library(rmarkdown)
library(tinytex)
library(knitr)
tinytex::install_tinytex(force = TRUE)
dir = getwd()
download.file("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/SubraArya/creditcardfraud/main/creditcard.csv","./cred
filename = paste(dir , "/creditcard.csv",sep ="")
filename = str_replace_all(filename , "/","\\\")
credit <- read.csv(filename)</pre>
#Methods Data Exploration and analysis
#Basic structure of the record such as column names , types and sample records examined.
str(credit)
## 'data.frame':
                   284807 obs. of 31 variables:
## $ Time : num 0 0 1 1 2 2 4 7 7 9 ...
## $ V1 : num -1.36 1.192 -1.358 -0.966 -1.158 ...
## $ V2
          : num -0.0728 0.2662 -1.3402 -0.1852 0.8777 ...
## $ V3 : num 2.536 0.166 1.773 1.793 1.549 ...
## $ V4 : num 1.378 0.448 0.38 -0.863 0.403 ...
## $ V5
           : num -0.3383 0.06 -0.5032 -0.0103 -0.4072 ...
           : num 0.4624 -0.0824 1.8005 1.2472 0.0959 ...
## $ V6
## $ V7 : num 0.2396 -0.0788 0.7915 0.2376 0.5929 ...
## $ V8 : num 0.0987 0.0851 0.2477 0.3774 -0.2705 ...
## $ V9
           : num 0.364 -0.255 -1.515 -1.387 0.818 ...
          : num 0.0908 -0.167 0.2076 -0.055 0.7531 ...
   $ V10
## $ V11
          : num -0.552 1.613 0.625 -0.226 -0.823 ...
          : num -0.6178 1.0652 0.0661 0.1782 0.5382 ...
## $ V12
```

: num -0.991 0.489 0.717 0.508 1.346 ...

\$ V13

```
$ V14
                   -0.311 -0.144 -0.166 -0.288 -1.12 ...
            : num
   $ V15
                   1.468 0.636 2.346 -0.631 0.175 ...
##
            : num
    $ V16
            : num
                   -0.47 0.464 -2.89 -1.06 -0.451 ...
   $ V17
                  0.208 -0.115 1.11 -0.684 -0.237 ...
##
            : num
##
     V18
            : num
                   0.0258 -0.1834 -0.1214 1.9658 -0.0382 ...
                  0.404 -0.146 -2.262 -1.233 0.803 ...
##
   $ V19
            : num
                   0.2514 -0.0691 0.525 -0.208 0.4085 ...
   $ V20
            : num
##
   $
     V21
            : num
                   -0.01831 -0.22578 0.248 -0.1083 -0.00943 ...
##
     V22
            : num
                   0.27784 -0.63867 0.77168 0.00527 0.79828 ...
##
   $ V23
            : num
                   -0.11 0.101 0.909 -0.19 -0.137 ...
   $ V24
                  0.0669 -0.3398 -0.6893 -1.1756 0.1413 ...
            : num
     V25
                   0.129 0.167 -0.328 0.647 -0.206 ...
##
   $
            : num
##
     V26
                   -0.189 0.126 -0.139 -0.222 0.502 ...
            : num
                   0.13356 -0.00898 -0.05535 0.06272 0.21942 ...
##
   $ V27
   $ V28
                   -0.0211 0.0147 -0.0598 0.0615 0.2152 ...
##
            : num
   $ Amount: num
                   149.62 2.69 378.66 123.5 69.99 ...
   $ Class : int 00000000000...
```

#Dimensions of the data frame i.e total number of rows and columns .

```
dim(credit)
```

```
## [1] 284807 31
```

factor transformation was on variable class .:

```
credit$Class <- factor(credit$Class)</pre>
```

Summary stats on every columns on the dataframe.

```
summary(credit)
```

```
۷1
                                                V2
                                                                     VЗ
##
         Time
                             :-56.40751
                                                 :-72.71573
##
    Min.
                     Min.
                                          Min.
                                                               Min.
                                                                      :-48.3256
    1st Qu.: 54202
                     1st Qu.: -0.92037
                                          1st Qu.: -0.59855
                                                               1st Qu.: -0.8904
    Median: 84692
                     Median :
                               0.01811
                                          Median :
                                                    0.06549
                                                               Median :
                                                                         0.1799
          : 94814
                               0.00000
                                                    0.00000
                                                                         0.0000
##
    Mean
                     Mean
                                          Mean
                                                               Mean
##
    3rd Qu.:139321
                     3rd Qu.:
                               1.31564
                                          3rd Qu.:
                                                    0.80372
                                                               3rd Qu.:
                                                                         1.0272
##
    Max.
           :172792
                     Max.
                               2.45493
                                          Max.
                                                 : 22.05773
                                                               Max.
                                                                         9.3826
##
          ۷4
                              ۷5
                                                    V6
                                                                       ۷7
##
    Min.
           :-5.68317
                       Min.
                               :-113.74331
                                             Min.
                                                     :-26.1605
                                                                 Min.
                                                                         :-43.5572
##
    1st Qu.:-0.84864
                       1st Qu.: -0.69160
                                             1st Qu.: -0.7683
                                                                 1st Qu.: -0.5541
                                 -0.05434
   Median :-0.01985
                       Median :
                                             Median : -0.2742
                                                                 Median: 0.0401
          : 0.00000
                                   0.00000
                                                    : 0.0000
##
    Mean
                       Mean
                              :
                                             Mean
                                                                 Mean
                                                                        : 0.0000
##
    3rd Qu.: 0.74334
                       3rd Qu.:
                                   0.61193
                                             3rd Qu.:
                                                       0.3986
                                                                 3rd Qu.:
                                                                           0.5704
                                                   : 73.3016
##
          :16.87534
                       Max.
                                 34.80167
                                                                        :120.5895
    Max.
                                             Max.
                                                                 Max.
                              :
##
          8V
                               ۷9
                                                  V10
                                                                       V11
```

```
Min. :-73.21672
                      Min. :-13.43407
                                        Min. :-24.58826
                                                           Min. :-4.79747
   1st Qu.: -0.20863
                                       1st Qu.: -0.53543
                      1st Qu.: -0.64310
                                                           1st Qu.:-0.76249
##
   Median: 0.02236
                      Median : -0.05143
                                        Median : -0.09292
                                                           Median :-0.03276
   Mean : 0.00000
                      Mean : 0.00000
                                        Mean : 0.00000
                                                           Mean : 0.00000
##
##
   3rd Qu.: 0.32735
                      3rd Qu.: 0.59714
                                        3rd Qu.: 0.45392
                                                           3rd Qu.: 0.73959
##
   Max. : 20.00721
                      Max. : 15.59500
                                        Max. : 23.74514
                                                           Max. :12.01891
                                                           V15
      V12
                      V13
                                         V14
                     Min. :-5.79188
                                      Min. :-19.2143
                                                        Min. :-4.49894
##
   Min. :-18.6837
##
   1st Qu.: -0.4056
                     1st Qu.:-0.64854
                                       1st Qu.: -0.4256
                                                        1st Qu.:-0.58288
                                       Median : 0.0506
                                                        Median: 0.04807
##
   Median : 0.1400
                     Median :-0.01357
   Mean : 0.0000
                     Mean : 0.00000
                                      Mean : 0.0000
                                                        Mean : 0.00000
   3rd Qu.: 0.6182
                                       3rd Qu.: 0.4931
                                                        3rd Qu.: 0.64882
                     3rd Qu.: 0.66251
##
   Max. : 7.8484
                     Max. : 7.12688
                                      Max. : 10.5268
                                                        Max. : 8.87774
##
                         V17
##
    V16
                                             V18
##
   Min. :-14.12985
                      Min. :-25.16280
                                        Min. :-9.498746
##
   1st Qu.: -0.46804
                      1st Qu.: -0.48375
                                        1st Qu.:-0.498850
   Median: 0.06641
                      Median : -0.06568
                                        Median :-0.003636
##
   Mean : 0.00000
                      Mean : 0.00000
                                        Mean : 0.000000
   3rd Qu.: 0.52330
                      3rd Qu.: 0.39968
                                        3rd Qu.: 0.500807
##
                      Max. : 9.25353
   Max. : 17.31511
                                        Max. : 5.041069
##
                         V20
##
      V19
                                            V21
   Min. :-7.213527
                      Min. :-54.49772
                                        Min. :-34.83038
                      1st Qu.: -0.21172
                                        1st Qu.: -0.22839
   1st Qu.:-0.456299
##
   Median: 0.003735
                      Median: -0.06248
                                        Median: -0.02945
##
   Mean : 0.000000
                      Mean : 0.00000
                                        Mean : 0.00000
##
                                        3rd Qu.: 0.18638
   3rd Qu.: 0.458949
                      3rd Qu.: 0.13304
##
   Max. : 5.591971
                      Max. : 39.42090
                                        Max. : 27.20284
    V22
                          V23
                                              V24
##
                       Min. :-44.80774
##
   Min. :-10.933144
                                         Min. :-2.83663
                       1st Qu.: -0.16185
   1st Qu.: -0.542350
                                         1st Qu.:-0.35459
   Median: 0.006782
                       Median : -0.01119
##
                                         Median: 0.04098
##
   Mean : 0.000000
                       Mean : 0.00000
                                         Mean : 0.00000
   3rd Qu.: 0.528554
                       3rd Qu.: 0.14764
                                         3rd Qu.: 0.43953
   Max. : 10.503090
                       Max. : 22.52841
                                         Max. : 4.58455
##
##
    V25
                       V26
                                         V27
                      Min. :-2.60455
   Min. :-10.29540
                                       Min. :-22.565679
##
   1st Qu.: -0.31715
                      1st Qu.:-0.32698
                                        1st Qu.: -0.070840
   Median: 0.01659
                      Median :-0.05214
                                       Median: 0.001342
##
   Mean : 0.00000
                      Mean : 0.00000
                                       Mean : 0.000000
##
                      3rd Qu.: 0.24095
   3rd Qu.: 0.35072
                                       3rd Qu.: 0.091045
##
   Max. : 7.51959
                      Max. : 3.51735
                                       Max. : 31.612198
##
      V28
                      {\tt Amount}
                                       Class
   Min. :-15.43008
                      Min. : 0.00
                                       0:284315
##
   1st Qu.: -0.05296
                      1st Qu.: 5.60
                                       1: 492
##
   Median: 0.01124
                      Median: 22.00
   Mean : 0.00000
                      Mean : 88.35
##
                      3rd Qu.:
##
   3rd Qu.: 0.07828
                                77.17
   Max. : 33.84781
                      Max. :25691.16
```

Top 5 records

```
head(credit)
                         ٧2
                                  VЗ
                                           ۷4
                                                      V5
                                                                V6
##
               V1
      0 -1.3598071 -0.07278117 2.5363467 1.3781552 -0.33832077
      0 1.1918571 0.26615071 0.1664801 0.4481541 0.06001765 -0.08236081
      1 -1.3583541 -1.34016307 1.7732093 0.3797796 -0.50319813
      1 -0.9662717 -0.18522601 1.7929933 -0.8632913 -0.01030888
      2 \ -0.4259659 \ \ 0.96052304 \ 1.1411093 \ -0.1682521 \ \ 0.42098688 \ -0.02972755
## 6
            ۷7
                               ۷9
                                         V10
## 1 0.23959855
              ## 2 -0.07880298
              0.08510165 -0.2554251 -0.16697441
                                            1.6127267
    0.79146096  0.24767579  -1.5146543  0.20764287  0.6245015
                                                      0.06608369
    0.23760894 \quad 0.37743587 \ -1.3870241 \ -0.05495192 \ -0.2264873
    0.53819555
    0.35989384
##
          V13
                   V14
                             V15
                                      V16
                                                V17
## 1 -0.9913898 -0.3111694 1.4681770 -0.4704005 0.20797124 0.02579058
    0.4890950 -0.1437723 0.6355581 0.4639170 -0.11480466 -0.18336127
    0.7172927 -0.1659459 2.3458649 -2.8900832 1.10996938 -0.12135931
    0.5077569 -0.2879237 -0.6314181 -1.0596472 -0.68409279 1.96577500
    1.3458516 -1.1196698 0.1751211 -0.4514492 -0.23703324 -0.03819479
## 6 -0.3580907 -0.1371337 0.5176168 0.4017259 -0.05813282
                                                    0.06865315
##
           V19
                     V20
                                V21
                                           V22
                                                      V23
## 1 0.40399296 0.25141210 -0.018306778 0.277837576 -0.11047391
## 2 -0.14578304 -0.06908314 -0.225775248 -0.638671953 0.10128802 -0.33984648
## 3 -2.26185709 0.52497973 0.247998153 0.771679402 0.90941226 -0.68928096
## 4 -1.23262197 -0.20803778 -0.108300452 0.005273597 -0.19032052 -1.17557533
    ## 6 -0.03319379
               0.08496767 - 0.208253515 - 0.559824796 - 0.02639767 - 0.37142658
          V25
                   V26
                              V27
                                         V28 Amount Class
## 1 0.1285394 -0.1891148 0.133558377 -0.02105305 149.62
## 2 0.1671704 0.1258945 -0.008983099 0.01472417
                                                      0
## 3 -0.3276418 -0.1390966 -0.055352794 -0.05975184 378.66
## 4 0.6473760 -0.2219288 0.062722849
                                  0.06145763 123.50
## 5 -0.2060096 0.5022922
                       0.219422230
                                  0.21515315
                                            69.99
## 6 -0.2327938 0.1059148 0.253844225
                                              3.67
                                  0.08108026
                                                      0
```

Methods Insights into Total number of Fraud and Non-Fraud Rows in data

```
rowsTotal <- nrow(credit)
fraudRowsTotal <- nrow(credit[credit$Class == 1,])
nonFraudRowsTotal <- rowsTotal - fraudRowsTotal
fraudRowsTotal</pre>
```

[1] 492

nonFraudRowsTotal

[1] 284315

rowsTotal

[1] 284807

- a. There is high skewness in the data. The number of fraudulent transactions are very less as compared to non fradulent (good) transactions, comprising of only 492 frauds out of 284807 transactions (0.1727486% of the data set). The skewness in the data is expected as the number of fraudulent transactions are generally less compared to good transactions.
- b. The data set consists of numerical values of 28 PCA transformed features, V1 V28 , time , amount and class fields. Further, no metadata about the original features is provided. class fields with value 0 are non fraudulent (good) transactions and class fields with value 1 are fraudulent transactions.

Methods, Dataset preparation

The data set is large to execute in reasonable time on a 16b ram , Intel 7th generation laptop . Hence 20% of the data was randomly sampled. Training and test data sets were generated from randomized data. Training data Set is 75% of sample Test data set is 25% of sample

set seed for random sampling and take 20% sample data approximately.

```
set.seed(2000)
samp <- sample(1:nrow(credit), round(0.2*nrow(credit)))
credit <- credit[samp, ]</pre>
```

Total number of records in the sample 56961

```
nrow(credit)
```

```
## [1] 56961
```

Partition the sample data into training and test data sets. Training data Set 75% of sample and Test data set 25% of sample.

```
index <- createDataPartition(credit$Class, p = 0.75, list = F)

train <- credit[index, ]

nrow(train)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 42722
```

Total number of records in Training data Set in the sample is 42722 which is 75% of sample .

```
test <- credit[-index, ]
nrow(test)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 14239
```

Total number of records in Test data Set in the sample is 14239 which is 25% of sample.

Methods

WE have applied K-Nearest Neighbors , Naive Bayes , Random Forest algorithms on credit card dataset . Models have been trained and tested on the the Credit Card Fraud Detection data set to discover the highest accuracy model between the three models.

K-Nearest Neighbors

The k-nearest neighbors algorithm, also known as KNN or k-NN, is a non-parametric, supervised learning classifier, which uses proximity to make classifications or predictions about the grouping of an individual data point. It can be used for either regression or classification problems, it is typically used as a classification algorithm, working off the assumption that similar points can be found near one another. For us to determine which data points are closest to a given query point, the distance between the query point and the other data points need to be calculated. These distance metrics help to form decision boundaries, which partitions query points into different regions. Euclidean distance, Manhattan distance, Minkowski distance, Hamming distance are various ways of measuring the distance.

The k value in the k-NN algorithm defines how many neighbors will be checked to determine the classification of a specific query point. For example, if k=1, the instance will be assigned to the same class as its single nearest neighbor. Defining k can be a balancing act as different values can lead to overfitting or underfitting. Lower values of k can have high variance, but low bias, and larger values of k may lead to high bias and lower variance. The choice of k will largely depend on the input data as data with more outliers or noise will likely perform better with higher values of k. odd number for k is preferred to avoid ties in classification, and cross-validation techniques can help us choose the optimal k for our dataset.

In our credit card fraud detection data set , as all the variables were of class either "numeric" or "integer", The knn classification with the number of neighbours was set to 5 as a default.

```
knn1 <- knn(train = train[,-31], test = test[,-31], cl = train$Class, k = 5)

cmknn <- confusionMatrix(knn1, test$Class, positive = "1")
cmknn</pre>
```

```
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
##
  Prediction
                   0
                         1
            0 14215
                        24
##
            1
##
##
##
                   Accuracy : 0.9983
                     95% CI: (0.9975, 0.9989)
##
##
       No Information Rate: 0.9983
```

```
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.554
##
##
                     Kappa: 0
##
##
    Mcnemar's Test P-Value: 2.668e-06
##
               Sensitivity: 0.000000
##
               Specificity: 1.000000
##
##
            Pos Pred Value :
##
            Neg Pred Value: 0.998314
##
                Prevalence: 0.001686
            Detection Rate: 0.000000
##
##
      Detection Prevalence: 0.000000
##
         Balanced Accuracy: 0.500000
##
##
          'Positive' Class: 1
##
```

Naive Bayes

Naive Bayes is a simple technique for constructing classifier ie models that assign class labels to problem instances, it is represented as vectors of feature values, where the class labels are drawn from a finite set. It is a family of algorithms training such classifiers, algorithms are based on a common principle: all naive Bayes classifiers assume that the value of a particular feature is independent of the value of any other feature, given the class variable.

The Naive Bayes classification algorithm is a probabilistic classifier. It is based on probability models that incorporate strong independence assumptions. The independence assumptions often do not have an impact on reality that is why they are considered as naive.

We can derive probability models by using Bayes' theorem . Depending on the nature of the probability model, we can train the Naive Bayes algorithm in a supervised learning setting.

A Naive Bayes model consists of a large cube that includes the following dimensions: Input field value for discrete fields, or input field value range for continuous fields. Continuous fields are divided into discrete bins by the Naive Bayes algorithm.

Target field value ,means that a Naive Bayes model records how often a target field value appears together with a value of an input field.

Naive Bayes model based analysis of the data set to obtain the confusion matrix for fault detection.

The model was to adjust for the possibility of experiencing posterior class probability of "0" by "laplace = 1".

```
bayes <- naiveBayes(Class~., data = train, laplace = 1)
bayes$apriori

## Y
## 0    1
## 42647   75

pred <- predict(bayes, test)
cmnb <- confusionMatrix(pred, test$Class, positive = "1")
cmnb</pre>
```

```
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
                  0
## Prediction
                        1
##
            0 13948
                        7
            1
                267
                        17
##
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.9808
                    95% CI: (0.9784, 0.9829)
##
       No Information Rate: 0.9983
##
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 1
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.1076
##
##
    Mcnemar's Test P-Value : <2e-16
##
##
               Sensitivity: 0.708333
##
               Specificity: 0.981217
##
            Pos Pred Value: 0.059859
##
            Neg Pred Value: 0.999498
##
                Prevalence: 0.001686
##
            Detection Rate: 0.001194
##
      Detection Prevalence: 0.019945
         Balanced Accuracy: 0.844775
##
##
##
          'Positive' Class: 1
##
```

Build the Model with the Random Forest with decision trees set to 40.

Random forest consists of a large number of individual decision trees that operate as an ensemble. Each individual tree in the random forest spits out a class prediction and the class with the most votes becomes our model's prediction. The fundamental idea behind random forests is that a large number of relatively uncorrelated models (trees) operating as a committee will outperform any of the individual constituent models. The low correlation between models is the key uncorrelated models can produce ensemble predictions that are more accurate than any of the individual predictions. The reason for this positive effect is that the trees protect each other from their individual errors. While some trees may be wrong, many other trees will be right, so as a group the trees are able to move in the correct direction.

For our credit card data set we have used number of decision trees to 40.

```
random_model_train <- randomForest(Class ~ ., data = train,ntree = 40)

random_pred_test <- predict(random_model_train,test)

cmrf <- confusionMatrix(random_pred_test,test$Class ,positive = "1")

cmrf

## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##

Reference</pre>
```

```
## Prediction
                        1
            0 14215
##
                        11
##
            1
                  0
                        13
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.9992
                    95% CI: (0.9986, 0.9996)
##
       No Information Rate: 0.9983
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.002508
##
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.7024
##
    Mcnemar's Test P-Value: 0.002569
##
##
##
               Sensitivity: 0.541667
##
               Specificity: 1.000000
##
            Pos Pred Value: 1.000000
##
            Neg Pred Value: 0.999227
##
                Prevalence: 0.001686
##
            Detection Rate: 0.000913
##
      Detection Prevalence: 0.000913
##
         Balanced Accuracy: 0.770833
##
          'Positive' Class: 1
##
##
```

Results

K-Nearest Neighbors

In Model the number of nearest neighbors was set to 5. 99.8314488% Accuracy in prediction was acheived as per the above confusion matrix . Out of a total 14239 test cases , Total number of True Negative (TN) are 14215 the transaction detected as not fraudulent but in reality are also not fraudulent. Total number of False Negative (FN) are 24 the transactions detected as not fraudulent but in reality are fraudulent . Total number of False Positive (FP) are 0 the transaction detected as fraudulent but are not fraudulent in reality . Total number of True Positives (TP) are 0 the transaction detected as fraudulent and really are fraudulent

Although 99.8314488% accuracy was obtained with the specified index of k=5, there are still some shortcomings as seen from "confusionMatrix" output .The model has not predicted any True Postive cases as illustrated by the class =1, prediction is 0.

Naive Baiyes

98.0757076% Accuracy in prediction was achieved as per the above confusion matrix . Out of a total 14239 test cases ,

Total number of True Negative (TN) are 13948 the transaction detected as not fraudulent but in reality are also not fraudulent. Total number of False Negative (FN) are 7 the transactions detected as not fraudulent but in reality are fraudulent . Total number of False Positive (FP) are 267 the transaction detected as fradulent but are not fraudulent in reality . Total number of True Positives (TP) are 17 the transaction detected as fradulent and really are fraudulent .

Naive Bayes is under performing for this set.

Naive Bayes is an under performing algorithm in comparison with knn as we can see the accuracy is 98.0757076% with Naive Bayes Vs 99.8314488% for K Nearest neighbors .

Random forest

99.9227474% Accuracy in prediction was achieved as per the above confusion matrix . Out of a total 14239 test cases ,

Total number of True Negative (TN) are 14215 the transaction detected as not fraudulent but in reality are also not fraudulent. Total number of False Negative (FN) are 11 the transactions detected as not fraudulent but in reality are fraudulent. Total number of False Positive (FP) are 0 the transaction detected as fraudulent but are not fraudulent in reality. Total number of True Positives (TP) are 13 the transaction detected as fraudulent and really are fraudulent.

Over all Random forest is performing the best with 99.9227474% accuracy compared to Naive Bayes which is an under performing algorithm in comparison with knn . We can see the accuracy is 98.0757076% with Naive Bayes and then 99.8314488% for K Nearest neighbors.

Conclusion

In conclusion, with regards to creditioned data set, Random forest is better performing than K-Nearest Neighbors algorithm and Naive Bayes in the extraction of the most accurate predictions in terms of whether a credit card will be detected fraud or not.

Random forest has detected 13 True positives , in comparison to 17 from Naive Bayes , 0 from K nearest neighbors . Although we see Naive Bayes has detected 17 True positives , we should also consider false negatives and false positives to evaluate the accuracy of the algorithm . Random Forest having 0 False positives and 11 false negatives, vs Naive Bayes having 267 False positives and 7 False negatives , and K-Nearest Neighbors having 0 false positives and 24 false negatives . This is the reason for overall accuracy of the Random forest the best with 99.93 accuracy compared to Naive Bayes which is an under performing algorithm with 98.08 and then 99.83 for K Nearest neighbors.

However the performance of the Random forest on high volume datasets can be significantly slow.