

Toxicity Analysis Using NLP

Subrat Kumar Samal

Dataset(s)

The data set used is “train.csv” as in Toxicity Project Assessment

Motivation

- This project is an Exploratory Data analysis on the toxic comments using NLP and Machine learning Techniques.
- The unstructured data was cleaned using NLP techniques so as to be made available for analysis using Machine learning algorithms

Findings

- The Toxic: Non-toxic comments as in the input file is approximately 1:10
- Upon tokenization and finding top 5 contextual words as
['please', 'talk', 'wikipedia', 'page', 'article']
- The values for Recall and Precision for class 1 are always 0 on using SVC. The result is same when model is used for Train data as well. This implies that the model seems to focus on the 0s only. So we need to tune the hyperparameters
- Upon using GridSearch and StratifiedKFold we see improvement in Recall values along with optimized accuracy of 91% for the optimized SVM model

- Test

```
{'C': 0.1, 'gamma': 5, 'kernel': 'poly'}  
SVC(C=0.1, cache_size=200, class_weight=None, coef0=0.0,  
    decision_function_shape='ovr', degree=3, gamma=5, kernel='poly',  
    max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True,  
    tol=0.001, verbose=False)
```

```
[[1342  29]
```

```
 [ 122   7]]
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.92	0.98	0.95	1371
1	0.19	0.05	0.08	129
accuracy			0.90	1500
macro avg	0.56	0.52	0.52	1500
weighted avg	0.85	0.90	0.87	1500

- Train

```
: y_pred_sk_grid_train = SVC_best.predict(X_train)
print(confusion_matrix(y_train, y_pred_sk_grid_train))
print(classification_report(y_train, y_pred_sk_grid_train))
print(accuracy_score(y_train, y_pred_sk_grid_train))
```

```
[[3184   8]
 [ 179 129]]
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.95	1.00	0.97	3192
1	0.94	0.42	0.58	308
accuracy			0.95	3500
macro avg	0.94	0.71	0.78	3500
weighted avg	0.95	0.95	0.94	3500

```
0.9465714285714286
```

[illegible]