# **Question 1**)

## Show that for all integers n:

a) If d is an integer such that  $d \mid n + 5$  and  $d \mid n^2 + 9$ , then  $d \mid 34$ .

## Proof:

Let *d* be an integer.

Suppose that  $d \mid n + 5$  and  $d \mid n^2 + 9$ .

Since  $d \mid n + 5$  is true, then we know that  $d \mid (n + 5)^2$  must also be true.

Therefore, we can add  $d \mid (n+5)^2$  to  $d \mid n^2 + 9$  to get  $d \mid (n+5)^2 + n^2 + 9$ .

By expanding the RHS gives:

$$d \mid 2n^2 + 10n + 34$$
.

Given n is an integer so by definition,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , therefore we know that 2n(n+5) is a multiple of (n+5).

This means  $d \mid 2n(n+5)$  must also be true.

Thus, by subtracting  $d \mid 2n(n+5)$  from  $d \mid 2n^2 + 10n + 34$  gives:

$$d \mid 2n^{2} + 10n + 34 - 2n(n+5)$$

$$d \mid 2n^{2} + 10n + 34 - 2n^{2} - 10n$$

$$d \mid 34.$$

Hence,

This completes the proof.

b) If n is a multiple of 34 then n + 5 and  $n^2 + 9$  are coprime.

#### **Proof:**

Let n be a multiple of 34.

Let 
$$d = \gcd(n^2 + 9, n + 5)$$
.

First we can rewrite  $n^2 + 9$  as a multiple of (n + 5) and (n - 5) meaning we can deduce:

$$\gcd((n-5)(n+5)+34,n+5).$$

Assume x, y, z are non negative integers. Therefore we can let x = n - 5, y = n + 5, z = 34.

We now have:

$$gcd(xy + z, y)$$
.

Thus, following the division algorithm, gcd(y, xy + z) can be expressed as

where a is an integer such that a = xy + z.

Therefore, gcd(a, y) can also be expressed as:

$$gcd(y, z)$$
.

Substitute y = n + 5, z = 34 in to get:

$$gcd(n + 5, 34)$$
.

We can then substitute n with 34k, where k is a non negative integer to get:

$$gcd(34k + 5, 34)$$
.

Now applying the property of division, we can derive:

= 1

Hence when n is a multiple of 34, we see that n + 5 and  $d \mid n^2 + 9$  are coprime as  $gcd(n^2 + 9, n + 5) = 1$ .

This completes the proof.

#### **Question 2)**

A relation  $\leq$  is defined on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  by

$$(x_1, x_2) \le (y_1, y_2)$$
 if and only if  $x_1 \ge y_1$  and  $x_1 + x_2 \le y_1 + y_2$ .

Prove that  $\leq$  is a partial order.

## Proof:

In order for a relation to be a partial order, by definition it must be reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive.

First we prove reflexivity:

Given that  $x_1 \ge y_1$  and  $x_1 + x_2 \le y_1 + y_2$ , this means  $x_2 \le y_2$ . Therefore, as we know  $(x_1, x_2), (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , hence it is reflexive.

To prove antisymmetric:

Given that  $x_1 \ge y_1$  and  $x_1 + x_2 \le y_1 + y_2$ , then  $x_2 \le y_2$ . In this case, by definition,  $(x_1, x_2) \le (y_1, y_2)$ . Thus we can see that  $x_1 = y_1$  and  $x_2 = y_2$  and proves it is antisymmetric.

To show that it is transitive:

Suppose we have  $(x_1, x_2)$ ,  $(y_1, y_2)$ ,  $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  where  $(x_1, x_2) \leq (y_1, y_2)$  and  $(y_1, y_2) \leq (z_1, z_2)$ .

Then we know that  $x_1 + x_2 \le y_1 + y_2$  and  $y_1 + y_2 \le z_1 + z_2$ .

This also means  $x_1 \ge y_1$  and  $y_1 \ge z_1$  as  $x_2 \le y_2$  and  $y_2 \le z_2$ .

We can then deduce that  $x_1 \ge z_1$  and  $x_2 \le z_2$ .

Therefore we can also see that  $x_1 + x_2 \le z_1 + z_2$  proving that  $(x_1, x_2) \le (z_1, z_2)$  and showing it is transitive.

This completes the proof.

## **Question 3**)

Prove that  $7 | 13^{4n} + 8^{3n+3} + 5$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let 
$$f(n) = 13^{4n} + 8^{3n+3} + 5$$
.

When n = 0,

$$f(0) = 13^{4\times0} + 8^{3\times0+3} + 5$$
$$= 1 + 512 + 5$$
$$= 518$$
$$= 74 \times 7$$

Therefore we can see that when f(n) when n = 0 is a multiple of 7.

Now we test for values of n up to some k where k > 0 and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

First, let n = k + 1.

Now the function f(k + 1) becomes

$$f(k+1) = 13^{4(k+1)} + 8^{3(k+1)+3} + 5.$$

Expanding the LHS, we get

$$f(k+1) = 13^{4k+4} + 8^{3k+6} + 5$$
$$f(k+1) = 13^{4k} \times 13^4 + 8^{3k+3} \times 8^3 + 5.$$

From this we can derive

$$f(k+1) = 13^{4k} + 8^{3k+3} + 5 + 13^{4k} \times 28561 + 8^{3k+3} \times 512$$
$$f(k+1) = f(k) + 13^{4k} \times 28561 + 8^{3k+3} \times 512.$$

Therefore we have

$$7 \mid f(k), 8^{3k+3} \times 512$$

and

$$7 \mid 13^{4k} \times 28561$$

meaning f(k + 1) ia a multiple of 7.

Theus, we see that as it holds for n = k + 1 we can therefore assume this holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .