

Pricing exotic path-dependent options

The Singular Points method [GZA10; GZ11]

Sudip Sinha
Supervisor: Prof. Fabio Antonelli

MathMods
Università degli Studi dell'Aquila

23rd October, 2015

2015-10-23

Outline

Motivation

Asian options

Cliquet options

Conclusion

Market models: introduction

- ▶ Assets

- ▶ basic assets

- riskless deterministic: $S_t^0 = e^{rt}$

- risky stochastic process: $(S_t)_t$

- ▶ derivatives – contracts on other assets (underlying)

- futures & forwards symmetric risk

- options asymmetric risk

- ▶ Problem: pricing derivatives – finding a fair price

- ▶ Assumptions

- ▶ viable / no arbitrage / no free lunch

- ▶ frictionless

- ▶ infinitely divisible assets

Option types


- ▶ Simple options – classification of the basis of:
exercise time European or American
right of owner call or put

Example (European call)

payoff: $h(S_T) = (S_T - K)_+ = \max\{S_T - K, 0\}$, exercise at maturity

- ▶ Exotic options – usually path-dependent
 - Asian** payoff: function of average of the underlying.
 - lookback** payoff: function of extrema of the underlying.
 - cliquet** A series of globally or locally bounded at-the-money options.
 - digital** existence depends on pre-set barriers.

Evolution of risky asset: [CRR79] model (discrete)



[BS73] model (continuous)

riskless $S_t^0 = e^{rt}$

risky $S_t = s_0 e^{(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})t + \sigma W_t}$

Theorem (Convergence of prices from CRR to BS)

Prices of basic assets under CRR \xrightarrow{d} prices of basic assets under BS.

Corollary (Convergence of evaluation formulae)

The previous theorem implies that evaluation formulae under CRR converge in distribution to evaluation formulae for BS.

Approximate BS price by using CRR model.

Quest: Find algorithms with reduced computational complexity.

Market models: discrete vs. continuous

Parameter	Discrete	Continuous
Example	[CRR79]	[BS73]
Theoretical complexity	Easy	Hard
Ease of implementation	Hard	Easy
Closed-form formula	No ¹	Yes
Computational complexity	Hard: $O(2^n)$ ¹	Easy: $O(1)$
Versatile	Yes	No

¹CRR: backward recursive

2015-10-23

Outline

Motivation

Asian options

Cliquet options

Conclusion

Asian options: introduction

Payoff: function of some form of average price.

Average	Discrete	Continuous
AM	$A_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n S_n$	$A_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T S_t dt$
GM	$G_n = \left(\prod_{i=0}^n S_n \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$	$G_T = \exp \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \log(S_t) dt \right)$

Example (fixed-strike Asian call of European type)

Given strike-price K , payoff = $(A_T - K)_+$, exercised only at maturity.

Asian option: pre-existing methods

Arithmetic mean

Method	Type	Complex	Remarks
[CRR79]	Tree	$O(2^n)$	simple, accurate, convergence
[HW93]	Tree	$O(n^3)$	accuracy & convergence problems
[BP96]	Tree	$O(n^3)$	accuracy & convergence problems
[Cha+99]	Tree	$O(n^4)$	thin bounds, very large memory
[Vec01]	PDE	$O(n^2)$	not universally applicable
[dFLO5]	PDE		more general than [Vec01]

Geometric mean

Closed-form formula exist under BS.

SPM for Asian options: idea

$N_{i,j}$ Node of the binomial tree

$A_{i,j}$ Average upto $N_{i,j}$, $\binom{i}{j}$ choices

$P_{i,j}$ Option price at $N_{i,j}$

$\left\{ \left(A_{i,j}^I, P_{i,j}^I \right) \right\}_I$ **singular points (SPs) – completely characterise price**

price continuous, convex, piecewise-linear function found by joining SPs

SPM for Asian options: start at maturity ($i = n$)

For $N_{n,j}$: calculate $A_{i,j}^{\min}$ and $A_{i,j}^{\max}$.

Singular points:

1. $j \in \{0, n\}$: $(A, (A - K)_+)$
2. $j \notin \{0, n\}$ and $K \in (A_{n,j}^{\min}, A_{n,j}^{\max})$:



2.1 $(A_{n,j}^{\min}, 0)$

2.2 $(K, 0)$

2.3 $(A_{n,j}^{\max}, A_{n,j}^{\max} - K)$

3. $j \notin \{0, n\}$ and $K \notin (A_{n,j}^{\min}, A_{n,j}^{\max})$:

3.1 $(A_{n,j}^{\min}, (A_{n,j}^{\min} - K)_+)$

3.2 $(A_{n,j}^{\max}, (A_{n,j}^{\max} - K)_+)$

SPM for Asian options: exact price

► Up movement (for $N_{i,j}$):


1. $\forall A_{i+1,j}^l : B^l = \frac{(i+2)A_{i+1,j}^l - S_{i+1,j}}{i+1}$.
2. If $B^l \in [A_{i,j}^{\min}, A_{i,j}^{\max}] \implies B^l$ is SA.
3. For each SA, $B_u^l = \frac{(i+1)B^l + S_{i+1,j+1}}{i+2}$.
4. $v_{i,j}(B^l) = \frac{1}{R} \left[p_u v_{i+1,j+1}(B_u^l) + p_d v_{i+1,j}(A_{i+1,j}^l) \right]$.
5. $(B^l, v_{i,j}(B^l))$ is a SP.

► Down movement (for $N_{i,j}$).

► Aggregate and sort by SAs.


► Repeat for all j .

► Iterate backward till $i = 0$. $P_{0,0}^1$ is the exact binomial price.



2015-10-23


SPM for Asian options: American case



2015-10-23


SPM for Asian options: approximation

Upper estimates



SPM for Asian options: approximation

Lower estimates



2015-10-23

SPM for Asian options: numerical results

Data: $s_0 = 100$, $T = 1$, $r = 0.1$, $q = 0.03$.

		K = 90		K = 110		
		n	$\sigma = 0.2$	$\sigma = 0.4$	$\sigma = 0.2$	$\sigma = 0.4$
Bin	10	14.5912	17.8033	2.5100	6.6523	
	25	15.1535	18.6786	2.6270	7.3451	
SP	10	14.5925	17.8068	2.5090	6.6511	
	25	15.1535	18.6785	2.6270	7.3449	
	50	15.3524	19.0420	2.6673	7.4563	
	100	15.4732	19.2696	2.6886	7.5174	
	200	15.5453	19.4065	2.6996	7.5502	
	400	15.5861	19.4845	2.7053	7.5674	

SPM for Asian options: summary

- Introduced by Gaudenzi et al [GZA10] in 2010.
 - ✓ Convergent to exact CRR and thus BS.
 - ✓ Easily generalised to American case and lookback options.
 - ✓ Approx: unnecessary points \implies selective removal.
 - ✓ Approx: A priori error bounds.
 - ✓ Approx \implies fast: reduction of complexity from exponential time to polynomial time (experimental) of $O(n^3)$.
 - ⊗ Difficult to compute theoretical complexity.
 - ⊗ Depends on the recombinant nature of the underlying's tree.
 - ⊗ Not extensible to GM, since the price function is non-linear.
- $$G_u = \left(G^{i+1} S_{i+1,j} \right)^{\frac{1}{i+2}} \propto G^{\frac{i+1}{i+2}}$$
- ⊗ Constant volatility assumption \implies local volatility models fail.

2015-10-23

Outline

Motivation

Asian options

Cliquet options

Conclusion

Cliquet options: introduction

Definitions

forward start option price option today with payoff $= (S_T - S_u)_+$,
 $0 \leq u < T$.

cliquet option a series of consecutive at-the-money forward start options, with bounded returns.

Pre-existing methods for pricing

- ▶ No prominent tree-based method.
- ▶ [Wil02]: PDE based, FD approach.
- ▶ [WFVO6]: PDE based, FD approach; generalisations.

Cliquet options: terminology


- ▶ Observation times: time points at which the forward start options expire. Assumption: equidistant, count = N .
- ▶ Return: $R_i = \frac{S_i}{S_{i-1}} - 1$.
- ▶ Running sum: $Z_i = \sum_{k=1}^i \max\{F_{\text{loc}}, \min\{C_{\text{loc}}, R_k\}\}$.
- ▶ Payoff = $\max\{F_{\text{glob}}, \min\{C_{\text{glob}}, Z_N\}\}$.

SPM for cliquet options: details

Idea: At the i^{th} interval:

- ▶ m : number of computational time steps
- ▶ 2^m possible paths; probability – binomially distributed.
- ▶ Z depends on paths and their probabilities.
- ▶ $(Z, P) \implies SP$, where $P \implies$ price.

Price function at maturity: piecewise-linear, continuous (not convex).




SPM for cliquet options: previous times ($i < N$)

The realizable paths and associated quantities are denoted by primed variables.

1. From running sums Z_{i+1}^l , subtract possible returns R_j^θ to get $B_{l,j}$.
2. If $B_{l,j} \in [iF_{loc}, iC_{loc}] \implies$ singular point at time i .
3. Price function: $v_i(B_{l,j}) = e^{-\frac{rT}{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} \left[p_j^\theta v_{i+1}(Z + R_j^\theta) \right]$.
4. Linear combination of piecewise-linear continuous functions is piecewise-linear and continuous. Iterate backward.
5. $v_0(B_{0,0})$ is the exact binomial price.

2015-10-23

SPM for cliquet options: approximation



SPM for cliquet options: numerical results

Data


- ▶ $F_{\text{loc}} = 0, C_{\text{loc}} = 0.08, F_{\text{glob}} = 0.16, C_{\text{glob}} = \infty$
- ▶ $T = 5, N = 5, r = 0.03$

σ	m	Price		Time (s)		²
		Bin	SP	Bin	SP	
0.2	200	0.173716366	0.173716366	0.0165	0.00828	
	500	0.173922597	0.173922671	0.0875	0.0437	
	1000	0.174051949	0.174051983	2.38	0.183	
0.02	200	0.150465004	0.150466828	600	6.09	
	500	0.150508871	0.150510526	∞	24.2	
	1000	0.150522368	0.150524027	∞	55	

² ∞ means time taken is more than an hour.

2015-10-23

SPM for cliquet options: complexity $O(m^2)$



SPM for cliquet options: summary

- Introduced by Gaudenzi et al [GZ11] in 2011.
- ✓ Convergent to exact CRR and thus BS.
- ✓ Approximation – A priori error bounds.
- ✓ Significant speed improvement in low volatility cases against binomial model.
- ✓ Can be used for local volatility models and varying interest rates in each period.
- ✓ Fast – experimental order of complexity $O(m^2)$.
- ✗ Difficult to compute theoretical complexity.

2015-10-23

Outline

Motivation

Asian options

Cliquet options

Conclusion

Recapitulation

- ▶ Efficient technique to evaluate path-dependent exotic options.
- ▶ Theory varies with option type.
- ▶ Asian: the method is complicated and is not flexible, although being fast and efficient. Easily generalised to the American case and to lookback options. Fails to be generalised for geometric mean and local volatility models.
- ▶ Cliquet: flexible method; takes care of local volatility and interest rates. We found out that computational complexity (experimental) is approximately $O(m^2)$ for low m .

Further research

- ▶ Theoretical complexity: dependence of singular point redundancy on initial data.
- ▶ Verify complexity for large m .
- ▶ Customising the method for other path-dependent options.

Questions?

Thank you!

Bibliography I



Marcellino Gaudenzi and Antonino Zanette. "Pricing cliquet options by tree methods". In: Computational Management Science 8.1-2 (2011), pp. 125–135.



Marcellino Gaudenzi, Antonino Zanette, and Maria Antonietta Lepellere. "The singular points binomial method for pricing American path-dependent options". In: Journal of Computational Finance 14.1 (2010), p. 29.



HA Windcliff, PA Forsyth, and KR Vetzal. "Numerical methods and volatility models for valuing cliquet options". In: Applied Mathematical Finance 13.4 (2006), pp. 353–386.

Bibliography II



Yann d'Halluin, Peter A Forsyth, and George Labahn. "A semi-Lagrangian approach for American Asian options under jump diffusion". In: SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 27.1 (2005), pp. 315–345.



Paul Wilmott. "Cliquet options and volatility models". In: The best of Wilmott (2002), p. 379.



Jan Vecer. "New pricing of Asian options". In: Preprint, Columbia University (2001).



Prasad Chalasani et al. "A refined binomial lattice for pricing American Asian options". In: Review of Derivatives Research 3.1 (1999), pp. 85–105.



Jérôme Barraquand and Thierry Pudet. "Pricing of American path-dependent contingent claims". In: Mathematical Finance 6.1 (1996), pp. 17–51.

Bibliography III



J. C. Hull and A. White. "Efficient Procedures for Valuing European and American Path-Dependent Options". In: Journal of Derivatives 1.Fall (1993), pp. 21-31.



John C Cox, Stephen A Ross, and Mark Rubinstein. "Option pricing: A simplified approach". In: Journal of financial Economics 7.3 (1979), pp. 229-263.



Fischer Black and Myron Scholes. "The pricing of options and corporate liabilities". In: The journal of political economy (1973), pp. 637-654.