

Testing of Hypothesis

Lab: 2

PROJECT 2.1: CONFIDENCE INTERVAL FOR POPULATION MEAN μ , (σ UNKNOWN AND LARGE n)

OBJECT:

THE FOLLOWING VALUES ARE THE LENGTHS OF 40 STEEL RODS SELECTED FOR LAB TEST FROM A FACTORY

LENGTH: 125, 120, 121, 123, 122, 130, 124, 122, 120, 122, 118, 119, 123, 124, 122, 124, 121, 122, 138, 149, 123, 128, 122, 130, 120, 122, 124, 134, 137, 128, 122, 121, 125, 120, 132, 130, 128, 130, 122, 124

TEST WHETHER THIS SAMPLE OF SIZE 40 HAS COME FROM A POPULATION WHOSE MEAN LENGTH IS 125 CM.

WORKING EXPRESSIONS:

To perform parametric test of hypothesis, we follow basic 5 steps. They are:

Step 1: Null hypothesis (H_0)

In a null hypothesis, we assume that there is no significant difference in relationship between variables or groups being compared.

Step 2: Alternative hypothesis (H_1)

In a null hypothesis, we assume that there is significant difference in relationship between variables or groups being compared.

Step 3: Test statistic: We perform the various expressions based on the type of question in test statistics.

Parametric test of hypothesis are of two types. They are:

a) Z – Test (when $n > 30$)

i. Test of significance of Single Mean

$$\text{Formula: } Z_{\text{cal}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\frac{s.d.}{\sqrt{n}}}$$

ii. Test of significance of Double Mean

$$\text{Formula: } Z_{\text{cal}} = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\frac{s.d.}{\sqrt{n}}}$$

iii. Test of significance of Single Proportion

$$\text{Formula: } Z_{\text{cal}} = \frac{p - P}{\sqrt{\frac{PQ}{n}}}$$

iv. Test of significance of Double Proportion

$$\text{Formula: } Z_{\text{cal}} = \frac{P_1 - P_2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_1 Q_1}{n_1} + \frac{P_2 Q_2}{n_2}}}$$

b) T - Test (when $n < 30$)

Step 4: Tabulated value: In this step, we use tabulated values to determine the critical value or p-value for making decision regarding the null hypothesis.

Step 5: Conclusion and decision

In conclusion and decision, we assess the statistical significance of the results and determine whether there is sufficient evidence to accept or reject the null hypothesis.

PROCESS:

Solution: We wish to test the hypothesis that the samples differ significantly from a hypothesized population mean height of 125 cm. So we have

$$(H_0) : \mu = 125 \text{ Versus } (H_1) : \mu \neq 125$$

1. Enter the data in the data editor.
2. Select Analyze → Compare Means → One sample T test. Type in Test Value Box.
3. Click Options → Type 95 in confidence interval percentage box.
4. Click on Continue and then Ok.
- 5.

CALCULATION:

Step 1: Null Hypothesis (H_0), $\mu = 125$

i.e. The sample of size 40 has come from a population whose mean length is 125 cm.

Step 2: Alternative Hypothesis (H_1), $\mu \neq 125$

i.e. There is significant difference in sample of size 40 has come from a population whose mean length is 125 cm.

Step 3: Test Statistics:

From SPSS,

One-Sample Statistics

| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
|--------|----|--------|----------------|-----------------|
| Length | 40 | 125.28 | 6.148 | .972 |

One-Sample Test

Test Value = 125

| | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) | Mean Difference | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference | |
|--------|------|----|-----------------|-----------------|---|-------|
| | | | | | Lower | Upper |
| Length | .283 | 39 | .779 | .275 | -1.69 | 2.24 |

Here, from the table,

$$|Z_{\text{cal}}| = 0.283$$

$$\text{P-value (sig. (2-tailed))} = 0.779$$

At 95% confidence level, i.e. level of significance (α) = 0.05

Step 5: Decision and Conclusion

Since, $P > \alpha$

Decision: We accept H_0 region and reject H_1 region

Conclusion: The sample of size 40 has come from a population whose mean length is 125 cm.

Testing of Hypothesis

Lab: 2

PROJECT 2.2: HYPOTHESIS TESTING BETWEEN TWO POPULATION MEANS FOR MATCHES PAIRED SAMPLES

OBJECT:

THE SALES OF A PRODUCT OF A COMPANY AFTER AND BEFORE ADVERTISEMENT ARE AS FOLLOW:

IS ADVERTISEMENT EFFECTIVE AT 5%?

| Month | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Before X | 120 | 140 | 160 | 140 | 180 | 190 |
| After Y | 200 | 210 | 150 | 200 | 220 | 240 |

WORKING EXPRESSIONS:

To perform parametric test of hypothesis, we follow basic 5 steps. They are:

Step 1: Null hypothesis (H_0)

In a null hypothesis, we assume that there is no significant difference in relationship between variables or groups being compared.

Step 2: Alternative hypothesis (H_1)

In a null hypothesis, we assume that there is significant difference in relationship between variables or groups being compared.

Step 3: Test statistic: We perform the various expressions based on the type of question in test statistics.

Parametric test of hypothesis are of two types. They are:

a) Z – Test (when $n > 30$)

i. Test of significance of Single Mean

$$\text{Formula: } Z_{\text{cal}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\frac{s.d.}{\sqrt{n}}}$$

ii. Test of significance of Double Mean

$$\text{Formula: } Z_{\text{cal}} = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\frac{s.d.}{\sqrt{n}}}$$

- iii. Test of significance of Single Proportion

$$\text{Formula: } Z_{\text{cal}} = \frac{p-P}{\sqrt{\frac{PQ}{n}}}$$

- iv. Test of significance of Double Proportion

$$\text{Formula: } Z_{\text{cal}} = \frac{P1-P2}{\sqrt{\frac{P1Q1}{n1} + \frac{P2Q2}{n2}}}$$

- b) T - Test (when $n < 30$)

Step 4: Critical value: In this step, we use tabulated values to determine the critical value or p-value for making decision regarding the null hypothesis.

Step 5: Conclusion and decision

In conclusion and decision, we assess the statistical significance of the results and determine whether there is sufficient evidence to accept or reject the null hypothesis.

PROCESS:

$H_0 : \mu_x = \mu_y$ versus $H_1 : \mu_x < \mu_y$

1. Enter the data into Data editor
2. Select Analyze → Compare Mean → Paired - samples T Test
3. Move value into Test variable(s) and type into grouping variable
4. Click options → Continue → OK.

CALCULATION:

Step 1: Null Hypothesis (H_0), $\mu_x = \mu_y$

i.e. The sales of a product of a company after advertisement is not effective at 5% significance level

Step 2: Alternative Hypothesis (H_1), $\mu_x < \mu_y$

i.e. The sales of a product of a company after advertisement is effective at 5% significance level

Step 3: Test Statistics:

From SPSS,

| Paired Samples Test | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|---|---------|--------|-----------------|
| | | Paired Differences | | | | | | |
| | | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference | | t | Sig. (2-tailed) |
| | | | | | Lower | Upper | | |
| Pair 1 | Before_X - Before_Y | -48.333 | 31.885 | 13.017 | -81.795 | -14.872 | -3.713 | .014 |

P-value = sig. (2-tailed) = 0.014

$|T_{cal}| = -3.713$

$\alpha = 0.05$

Step 5: Decision and Conclusion

Since, $p < \alpha$

Decision: We accept H_1 region and reject H_0 region

Conclusion: After testing of hypothesis, we can conclude that the sales of a product of a company was effective after advertisement at 5% significance level.

Testing of Hypothesis

Lab: 2

PROJECT 2.3: HYPOTHESIS TESTING WHEN RAW DATA FOR INDEPENDENT SAMPLES IS GIVEN

OBJECTS: THE MONTHLY ADVERTISING COST OF A COMPANY FOR TWO PRODUCTS X AND Y WERE AS FOLLOWS DURING 6 MONTH PERIOD

IS THERE SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO CONCLUDE THAT AVERAGE COST ON ADVERTISING ON PRODUCT Y IS MORE THAN ON PRODUCT X.

| Month | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Cost I (X) | 220 | 240 | 160 | 240 | 280 | 290 | - |
| Cost II (Y) | 100 | 110 | 150 | 100 | 120 | 140 | 145 |

WORKING EXPRESSIONS:

To perform parametric test of hypothesis, we follow basic 5 steps. They are:

Step 1: Null hypothesis (H_0)

In a null hypothesis, we assume that there is no significant difference in relationship between variables or groups being compared.

Step 2: Alternative hypothesis (H_1)

In a null hypothesis, we assume that there is no significant difference in relationship between variables or groups being compared.

Step 3: Test statistic: We perform the various expressions based on the type of question in test statistics.

Parametric test of hypothesis are of two types. They are:

A) Z – Test (when $n > 30$)

i. Test of significance of Single Mean

$$\text{Formula: } Z_{\text{cal}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\frac{s.d.}{\sqrt{n}}}$$

ii. Test of significance of Double Mean

$$\text{Formula: } Z_{\text{cal}} = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\frac{s.d.}{\sqrt{n}}}$$

iii. Test of significance of Single Proportion

$$\text{Formula: } Z_{\text{cal}} = \frac{p - P}{\sqrt{\frac{PQ}{n}}}$$

iv. Test of significance of Double Proportion

$$\text{Formula: } Z_{\text{cal}} = \frac{P_1 - P_2}{\sqrt{\frac{P_1 Q_1}{n_1} + \frac{P_2 Q_2}{n_2}}}$$

B) T – Test (when $n < 30$)

Step 4: Critical value: In this step, we use tabulated values to determine the critical value or p-value for making decision regarding the null hypothesis.

Step 5: Conclusion and decision

In conclusion and decision, we assess the statistical significance of the results and determine whether there is sufficient evidence to accept or reject the null hypothesis.

PROCESS:

Solution:

$$H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 \text{ VS } H_1 : \mu_1 < \mu_2$$

5. Enter the data into Data editor
6. Select Analyze → Compare Mean → Independent samples T Test
7. Move value into Test variable(s) and type into grouping variable
8. Click Define groups and type 1 and 2 into group 2
9. Click options → Continue → OK.

CALCULATION:

Step 1: Null Hypothesis (H_0), $\mu_1 = \mu_2$

i.e. There is no sufficient evidence to conclude that average cost on advertising on product y is more than on product x.

Step 2: Alternative Hypothesis (H_1), $\mu_1 < \mu_2$

i.e. There is sufficient evidence to conclude that average cost on advertising on product y is more than on product x.

Step 3: Test Statistics:

From SPSS,

| Independent Samples Test | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|------|------------------------------|-------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | Levene's Test for Equality of Variances | | t-test for Equality of Means | | | | | |
| | | F | Sig. | t | df | Sig. (2- tailed) | Mean Difference | Std. Error Difference | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference Lower Upper |
| Cost | Equal variances assumed | 1.357 | .269 | 5.862 | 11 | .000 | 114.762 | 19.576 | 71.675 157.848 |
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | 5.548 | 6.775 | .001 | 114.762 | 20.686 | 65.515 164.008 |

From the table,

P-value = 0.269

$\alpha = 0.05$

Step 5: Decision and Conclusion

Since, P-value $> \alpha$

Decision: We accept H_0 region and reject H_1 region.

Conclusion: There is no sufficient evidence to conclude that average cost on advertising on product y is more than on product x as average cost of both product X and Y are equal.