

---

# Logistic Regression with $L_2$ Regularization

---

Adrian Guthals, David Larson, Jason Yao

CSE 250B: Project #1

University of California, San Diego

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Machine Learning (ML) is a sub-discipline of Artificial Intelligence (AI) that focuses on the development and analysis of methods that produce models from data. An often referenced example application of ML is email spam filtering, but there is a wide variety of real-world problems where ML has been successfully used, from object detection using a Microsoft Kinect sensor [1] to forecasting of power output from a photovoltaic solar farm [2].

ML algorithms are plentiful in number, but some are more suitable than others depending on the type of problem. Logistic regression is a ML algorithm well-suited to problems involving a set of real-valued inputs and a binary (Bernoulli) output. A real-world example of such a problem is whether a baseball player will hit a home run based on a collection of statistics (e.g. batting average, height, weight). The statistics are the real-valued inputs, whether he hits a home run is the binary output (1=yes, 0=no), and the probability of whether a player hits a home run is determined using logistic regression.

In this paper we analyze logistic regression's capability for classification learning using a previously studied baseline [3]. Aside from recreating the previous study's results, we also extend their work to comparing two gradient-based optimization methods for use in conjunction with the logistic regression: Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) and Limited-memory Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shannon (L-BFGS).

## 2 DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS

### 2.1 LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Given a set of real-valued features  $x$  with binary labels  $y$  (a training set), we can define logistic regression model as:

$$p_i = p(y_i|x_i; \beta) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp - [\sum_{j=0}^d \beta_j x_{ij}]} \quad (1)$$

where  $d$  is the dimensionality of a training example, and  $\beta_j$  are parameters of the regression. To learn the logistic regression, we need determine  $\vec{\beta}$  such that the Log Conditional Likelihood (LCL) is maximized. In more formal notation:

$$\hat{B} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\beta} \text{LCL} \quad (2)$$

where LCL is defined as:

$$\text{LCL} = \sum_{i:y_i=1} \log(p_i) + \sum_{i:y_i=0} \log(1 - p_i) \quad (3)$$

and is maximized when its derivative with respect to each parameter  $\beta_j$  is zero. For a single example the partial derivative of the LCL is:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} \log L(\beta; x, y) = (y - p)x_j \quad (4)$$

### 2.2 L2 REGULARIZATION

In order to prevent overfitting of machine learning, a penalty was imposed to regulate the values of the parameters. A regularization constant  $\mu$  was introduced into the LCL objective function:

$$\hat{B} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\beta} \text{LCL} - \mu \|\beta\|_2^2 \quad (5)$$

where  $\|\beta\|_2^2$  is the  $L_2$  norm of the parameter vector. With this revision the derivative of the LCL becomes:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} [\log p(y|x; \beta) - \mu \sum_{j=0}^d \beta_j^2] = (y - p)x_j - 2\mu\beta_j \quad (6)$$

### 2.3 GRADIENT-BASED OPTIMIZATION

To efficiently maximize LCL, two gradient-based optimization methods are used: SGD and L-BFGS. The SGD was coded from scratch in Matlab while we used the popular minFunc toolbox [4] for the L-BFGS. For each of these algorithms, the input training data is formatted as a set of  $n$  examples  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  where each  $x_i$  is a real-valued vector of  $d$  features. Each  $x_i$  is correlated to a binary (Bernoulli) outcome  $y_i$  by a global parameter vector  $\beta$  of length  $d + 1$ .

### 2.3.1 SGD

Our SGD implementation first randomized the order of input examples to avoid repeated computation of random numbers and partitioned the input data into  $x_1 \dots x_k$  *training* examples and  $x_{k+1} \dots x_n$  *validation* examples. Then sequential mini-batches of size  $\kappa < k$  taken from the training set were used to update the parameter vector  $\beta$  (initialized to all zero values) by the following equation. The constant  $\mu$  quantifies the trade-off between maximizing likelihood and minimizing parameter values for  $L_2$  Regularization. The update rule for  $\beta$  is:

$$\beta := \beta + \frac{\lambda}{\kappa} [-2\mu\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} (y_i - p_i)x_i] \quad (7)$$

After each update of  $\beta$ , absolute change in the objective  $\hat{\beta}$  was computed over all validation examples with the following function.

$$\hat{\beta} = \mu\|\beta\|^2 + \sum_{i=k+1}^n -\log(p_i^{y_i}(1-p_i)^{1-y_i}) \quad (8)$$

Convergence was reached when change in the objective reached a value less than  $\omega$ . Convergence was also reached if the total number of epochs was greater than  $\varepsilon$ . With this configuration, the time to run the SGD is  $O(nd)$ .

To improve the performance of the SGD, cross-validation was added. The pseudo-code for SGD with cross-validation is as follows:

1. Initialize all parameter values  $\beta_j$
2. Initialize all conditional probabilities  $p_i$
3. Initialize the objective function and its difference value
4. Randomly divide the example set into two groups: testing set of  $m$  rows and validation set of  $(n-m)$  rows
5. while(objective function difference  $\geq$  threshold AND number of epochs  $<$  maximum epochs allowed
  - (a) Randomly pick a sample of  $s$  rows out of the testing set
  - (b) Update  $\beta_j$  for all features
  - (c) Update the objective function

The algorithm was run ten times to generate 10 vectors of parameters. The ten vectors of parameters were averaged to calculate one vector with cross-validation. The result cross-validated vector was used to calculate the test error and its variance

over all the instances. The test error was defined as the average value of the loss function:

$$\text{test error} = \sum_{i=1}^n -\log(y_i|x_i; \beta) \quad (9)$$

### **2.3.2 L-BFGS**

Limited-memory Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shannon (L-BFGS) is a quasi-Newton optimization method used to find local extrema. L-BFGS provides performance gains over Newton's method by approximating (instead of solving directly) the Hessian matrix of the objective function.

## **3 DESIGN OF EXPERIMENTS**

### **3.1 PRE-PROCESSING TRAINING DATA**

normalization, concatenation?

#### **3.1.1 USPS-N**

Digit 9 vs other digits

#### **3.1.2 Web**

anything special?

### **3.2 HYPERPARAMETERS**

#### **3.2.1 Learning Rate**

SGD uses a fixed learning rate  $\lambda$  to control the change the change in LCL. We ran the SGD method using a range of  $\lambda$  values over a 10% subset of each training data set, using the test error of the SGD as a metric for determining which  $\lambda$  is optimal.

#### **3.2.2 Regularization**

Once we determined an optimal  $\lambda$ , we tried a range of  $\mu$  values with a randomly selected 30% subset of each training data set. We selected  $\mu$  such that the test error was minimized.

#### **3.2.3 Convergence**

Convergence was reached when change in the objective function was less than  $\omega$  or the total number of epochs was greater than  $\varepsilon$  (which ever came first)

Table 1: Hyperparameters.

	$\lambda$	$\mu$
SGD	1.0	1.0
SGD	0.1	1.0
SGD	0.01	1.0

## 4 RESULTS OF EXPERIMENTS

Results of experiments. Comparison of methods and data sets.

### 4.1 USPS-N

How did SGD and L-BFGS perform on the USPS-N data sets.

### 4.2 WEB

How did SGD and L-BFGS perform on the Web data sets.

#### 4.2.1 Figures

Figure 1: Comparison of SGD and L-BFGS for USPS-N (left) and Web (right) data sets.

## 5 FINDINGS AND LESSONS LEARNED

Findings and lessons learned.

## References

- [1] A. Janoch, S. Karayev, Y. Jia, J. Barron, M. Fritz, K. Saenko, and T. Darrell, “A category-level 3-d object dataset: Putting the kinect to work,” in *Computer Vision Workshops (ICCV Workshops), 2011 IEEE International Conference on*, nov. 2011, pp. 1168 –1174.

- [2] H. T. Pedro and C. F. Coimbra, “Assessment of forecasting techniques for solar power production with no exogenous inputs,” *Solar Energy*, vol. 86, no. 7, pp. 2017 – 2028, 2012. [Online]. Available: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0038092X12001429>
- [3] N. Ding and S. Vishwanathan, “t-logistic regression,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 23*, J. Lafferty, C. K. I. Williams, J. Shawe-Taylor, R. Zemel, and A. Culotta, Eds., 2010, pp. 514–522.
- [4] M. Schmidt. [Online]. Available: <http://www.di.ens.fr/~mschmidt/>