STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL DISTRICT **JUDICIAL CIRCUIT** COUNTY

CERTIFICATION TO DEPARTMENT OF STATE (INTERLOCK PROGRAM) Part 1

Court address					Court telephol	ne no.
THE PEOPLE OF		Defendant's name	, address, and tel	ephone no.		
☐ The State of Michigan						
	v	Driver's License N	0.	DOB		
		CTN/TCN		SID		
TO: Department of State	I					
1. The defendant has been admitted into the DWI/sobridevice has been installed in each motor vehicle own MCL 257.625k and MCL 257.625l.						
☐ 2. Travel ☐ is ☐ is not allowed under MCL	257.3	304(4)(b)(xi).				
\square 3. The defendant has successfully completed the DWI/	sobri	ety court progra	ım.			
\square 4. Under MCL 600.1084(6), the Secretary of State is in	form	ed that:				
a. the court ordered that the defendant be remove successfully completed it.	ed fro	m the DWI/sob	riety court prog	ıram befo	ore he or she	
☐ b. the court became aware that the defendant oper device.	erate	d a motor vehic	le that was not	equipped	d with an interlo	ock
$\hfill\Box$ c. the court became aware that the defendant	□ta	ampered with	circumver	nted [removed	
a court-ordered interlock device without prior co	ourt a	approval.				
\square d. the defendant was charged with a new violation	n of N	/ICL 257.625.				
\square 5. The interlock device was removed from the defendar	nt's v	ehicle because	:			
		_				
	Ju	dge signature and c	late			

STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL DISTRICT JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COUNTY

CERTIFICATION TO DEPARTMENT OF STATE (INTERLOCK PROGRAM) Part 2

CASE NO. and JUDGE

Court address Court telephone no.

THE PEOPLE OF	Defendant's name, address, and telephone no.
☐ The State of Michigan	
	V Driver's License No. DOB
TO: Department of State	
	ety court program; and an approved, certified ignition interlock ed or operated, or both, by the defendant as required under
☐ 2. Travel ☐ is ☐ is not allowed under MCL 2	257.304(4)(b)(xi).
\square 3. The defendant has successfully completed the DWI/s	sobriety court program.
\Box 4. Under MCL 600.1084(6), the Secretary of State is inf	formed that:
a. the court ordered that the defendant be remove successfully completed it.	ed from the DWI/sobriety court program before he or she
\square b. the court became aware that the defendant oper device.	erated a motor vehicle that was not equipped with an interlock
\square c. the court became aware that the defendant	☐ tampered with ☐ circumvented ☐ removed
a court-ordered interlock device without prior co	ourt approval.
\square d. the defendant was charged with a new violation	of MCL 257.625.
\Box 5. The interlock device was removed from the defendar	nt's vehicle because:
	Judge signature and date