## *6-1*

a. No, they don't. They produce different heaps. For example, given an array [1,4,7,8,9,6,3,2,5] building a heap using the two methods produces the following heaps:

**b.** For upper bound:

Each insertion takes at most  $O(\lg(n))$ .

We have n insertions.

Therefore, the runtime for BUILD-MAX-HEAP  $\dot{}$  is  $O(n\lg(n))$ 

For lower bound:

If the array is sorted, each call to "BUILD-MAX-HEAP" makes "HEAP-INCREASE-KEY" to go up to the root. The length of the node i is  $\lfloor lgi \rfloor$ , and the time will be:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Theta(\lfloor \lg i \rfloor) \ge \sum_{i=\lceil n/2 \rceil}^{n} \Theta(\lfloor \lg \lceil n/2 \rceil \rfloor)$$

$$= \sum_{i=\lceil n/2 \rceil}^{n} \Theta(\lfloor \lg n/2 \rceil \rfloor)$$

$$\ge \frac{n}{2} \Theta(\lfloor \lg n - 1 \rfloor)$$

$$= \Omega(n \lg n)$$

Therefore, in the worst-case BUILD-MAX-HEAP `takes  $\Theta(n \lg n)$ .

## 7.2-2

If all elements have the same value the running time will be  $n^2$  because the last element of the array will always be used for partitioning, which yields the worst-case running time of the QUICKSORT algorithm.

## 7.2-3

PARTITION's worst-case running time is when elements are in decreasing order and the pivot is the last and smallest element, where it needs to reduce the size of the subarray by 1 each step, which gives a running time of  $\Theta(n)$ . With this partitioning, the recurrence of the QUICKSORT becomes  $T(n) = T(n-1) + \Theta(n)$ . Solving the recurrence gives  $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$ .