Collaborators: None

Sources:

1) https://www.perplexity.ai/

Q4 Dealing with error in randomized computation

4-a) If you have a zero-error algorithm C for f with failure probability 90% (quite high!), show how to convert it to a zero-error algorithm C' for f with failure probability at most 2^{-500} . The "slowdown" should only be a factor of a few thousand.

Algorithm for C':

- 1. Run \boldsymbol{c} repeatedly for \boldsymbol{k} times.
- 2. If any run produces a non-"?" result, return that result.
- 3. If all runs produce "?", return "?".

Analysis:

- Probability of all k runs failing: $p^k \leq 0.9^k$
- We want: $0.9^k \le 2^{-500}$
- Taking logarithms: $k * log(0.9) \le -500 * log(2) \Rightarrow k \ge \frac{(-500 * log(2))}{log(0.9)} \Rightarrow k \ge 3289.4067$

Therefore, we need k=3290 runs to achieve the desired failure probability. The slowdown factor is 3290, which is indeed "a factor of a few thousand" as required.

4-b) Alternatively, show how to convert C to an algorithm C'' for f which: (i) always outputs the correct answer, meaning C''(x) = f(x); (ii) has expected running time only a few powers of 2 worse than that of C. (Hint: look up the mean of a *geometric random variable*.)

Algorithm for C":

- 1. Repeatedly run C until a non-"?" result is obtained.
- 2. Return this result.

Analysis:

- The number of runs follows a geometric distribution with p=1-0.9=0.1 (success probability). This means that the probability that Algorithm returns the result after k runs is $P_k=0.9^{k-1}*0.1$
- Expected number of runs (Average number of runs Algorithm takes to return the result) $= \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{0.1} = 10$

The expected running time of C'' is 10 times that of C, which is only a few powers of 2 worse, as required.

4-C) If you have a no-false-negatives algorithm C for f with failure probability 90% (quite high!), show how to convert it to a no-false-negatives algorithm C' for f with failure probability at most 2^{-500} . The "slowdown" should only be a factor of a few thousand.

Algorithm for C':

- 1. Run C for k times.
- 2. If any run outputs 1, return 1.
- 3. Otherwise, return 0.

Analysis:

- This preserves the no-false-negatives property.
- For f(x) = 0, C' fails only if all k runs output 1.
- Probability of failure: $0.9^k \le 2^{-500}$

Solving for k as in part (a), we get k = 3290. The slowdown factor is 3290, which is "a factor of a few thousand" as required.

4-d) If you have a two-sided error algorithm C for f with failure probability 40%, show how to convert it to a two-sided error algorithm C' for f with failure probability at most 2^{-500} . The "slowdown" should only be a factor of a few dozen thousand. (Hint: look up the Chernoff bound.)

Algorithm for C':

- 1. Run C for k times (k odd).
- 2. Return the majority vote of the k outputs.

Analysis using Chernoff bound:

Let X be the number of correct outputs in k runs.

$$\mu = E[X] = 0.6k$$
 (as the success probability is 60%)

We want: $Pr\left[X \leq \frac{k}{2}\right] \leq 2^{-500}$ (to get the majority votes for successful outcome)

Using the Chernoff bound with $\delta=\frac{1}{6}$ for $Pr[X\leq (1-\delta)\mu]\leq e^{\left(-\frac{\delta^2\mu}{2}\right)}$,

We need:
$$e^{-\left(rac{\delta^2\mu}{2}
ight)} \leq 2^{-500} \, \Rightarrow \left(rac{\delta^2*0.6*k}{2}
ight) \geq 500*log(2)$$

Solving this inequality:

$$k \ge \frac{(2*500*log(2)*6^2)}{(0.6)} \approx 41588.83$$

Therefore, we can use k = 41589 (to make it odd) runs of C. The slowdown factor is 41589, which is "a factor of a few dozen thousand" as required.