

# Quantum Probability

- Recap of last lecture:
  - Mixed state: “probability  $p_i$  of  $|\Psi_i\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d$ ”,  $i = 1, \dots, m$
  - Encoded by density matrix  $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i |\Psi_i\rangle \langle \Psi_i|$$

- Hermitian matrix  $\rho$  is a density matrix if and only if  $\rho \succeq 0$  and  $\text{tr}(\rho) = 1$

# Actions on mixed states

- Applying unitary transformation  $U \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ :  $\rho \mapsto U\rho U^\dagger$
- Measuring in basis  $|u_1\rangle, \dots, |u_d\rangle$  get outcome  $|u_i\rangle$  with probability  $\langle u_i | \rho | u_i \rangle$
- Adjoining two states: if  $\rho$  is density matrix of a  $d$ -dimensional mixed state, and  $\sigma$  is density matrix of an  $e$ -dimensional mixed state, and we decide to call the whole system one  $de$ -dimensional state, its density matrix is  $\rho \otimes \sigma$  (homework)

# Linear algebra interlude

- Proposition: Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d'}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{d' \times d}$ . Then  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$
- Classic trick:  $\langle u_i | \rho | u_i \rangle = \text{tr}(\langle u_i | \rho | u_i \rangle) = \text{tr}(\rho | u_i \rangle \langle u_i |)$
- Also  $\text{tr}(A^\dagger B) = \dots$
- Definition:  $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(A^\dagger B)$ . Inner product for matrices.

# Quantum Probability 101

- Classical Definition #1: A  $d$ -outcome probability distribution is a vector  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $p \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^d p_i = 1$
- Quantum Definition #1: A  $d$ -dimensional state / density matrix is a Hermitian  $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$  with  $\rho \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^d \rho_{ii} = 1$
- Think of  $\rho$  as a “source of quantum randomness”
- Classical  $\rightarrow$  quantum, quantum  $\rightarrow$  classical

# Example

- Recall: if state  $\rho$  measured in  $\{ |u_1\rangle, \dots, |u_d\rangle \}$  basis, probability of  $|u_i\rangle = \dots$
- Let  $E_i = |u_i\rangle\langle u_i|$
- Remark:  $E_i \geq 0$  and  $E_1 + \dots + E_d = I_{d \times d}$
- Compare: Given probability distribution  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , let  $e_i$  be the standard basis
  - Then  $p_i = \langle p, e_i \rangle$
  - And  $e_i \geq 0$  and  $e_1 + \dots + e_d = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$

# Probability 101 Events

- Given probability distribution  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , let's define some “mutually exclusive, collectively exhaustive” events:  $\text{Event}_1, \dots, \text{Event}_m$
- Naivest:  $m = d$ ,  $\text{Event}_i = i$  is drawn from  $p$
- Lumping: some outcomes grouped together
  - Example:  $\text{Event}_1 =$  the draw from  $p$  is odd,  $\text{Event}_2 = \dots$  even
  - Identify with “indicator vectors”: ...
  - Probability of  $\text{Event}_1 = p_1 + p_3 + \dots = \langle p, ? \rangle$ , and Probability of  $\text{Event}_2 = ?$
  - Note: each  $e_i$  is non-negative and sum to  $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$

# Using additional randomness

- Suppose  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  are any vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $e_i \geq 0$  and  $e_1 + \dots + e_m = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$
- Example:  $e_1 = (0, .2, .3, 0)$ ,  $e_2 = (1, 0.7, 0.2, 0)$ ,  $e_3 = (0, .1, .5, 1)$
- What are Event<sub>1</sub>, Event<sub>2</sub>, Event<sub>3</sub>?
- Probabily of Event<sub>j</sub> equals  $\sum_{i=1}^d p_i(e_j)_i = \langle p, e_j \rangle$

# Quantum Generalization

- How can you measure a state  $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ ?
  - In orthonormal basis  $\rightarrow d$  outcomes
  - Say  $d = 2^q$ ,  $\rho$  has  $q$  qubits. Can do a “partial measurement” of  $r$  qubits: yields  $2^r$  outcomes
  - Additional randomness: can add additional qubits, do a  $2^{r+s}$ -dimensional unitary, partially / fully measure: up to  $2^{r+s}$  outcomes



# Quantum Generalization

- Turns out: the above yields  $m$  Hermitian  $E_1, \dots, E_m \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$  satisfying
  - $E_i \succeq 0$  and  $E_1 + \dots + E_m = I_{d \times d}$
  - And  $\Pr[\text{outcome is "i"}] = \langle \rho, E_i \rangle$
  - Check  $\langle \rho, E_i \rangle \geq 0$  and  $\langle \rho, E_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle \rho, E_m \rangle = 1$
- Conversely: given Hermitian  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  satisfying  $E_i \succeq 0$  and  $E_1 + \dots + E_m = I_{d \times d}$ , can in principle build a physical process with associated behavior.
- POVM (positive operator-valued measurement)  $\{E_1, \dots, E_m\}$  is quantum generalization of mutually exclusive collectively exhaustive events

# Probably 101 Random Variables

- Given probability distribution  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , a random variable is just a real number  $x_i$  for each outcome  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ 
  - Any vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$
  - Expected value is  $E_p[x] = \sum_{i=1}^d p_i x_i = \langle p, x \rangle$
- Quantum generalization: **observable** for  $d$ -dimensional state  $\rho$ 
  - Any Hermitian  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$
  - Recall: can express  $X = \sum_{i=1}^d \dots$

- Physically, could build an instrument that
  - Measured in  $\{|u_1\rangle, \dots, |u_d\rangle\}$  basis
  - On outcome  $|u_i\rangle$ , read out real number  $x_i$
- If you applied this instrument to  $\rho$ , what's the expected value of readout?
- Notation:  $E_\rho[X]$
- Remark:  $X^2$  operator stretches by  $x_i^2$  factor in direction  $|u_i\rangle$ . So expected  
(readout) $^2 = E_\rho[X^2]$

# Quantum probability theory

- Sources of randomness:  $\rho$
- Events: ?
- Random variable: ?
- Done.
- Warning: if  $X$  and  $Y$  are observables (that is, Hermitian matrices)  $XY \neq YX$  necessarily.
- Indeed “quantum probability” often called “non-commutative probability”