

# Stabilizer Code

A quantum error-correcting code in which the encoding and decoding can be done entirely by stabilizer circuits

December 3, 2024

# Key Definitions

- Stabilizer Gates:

- CNOT, Hadamard, Phase Gate  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$

- Stabilizer Circuits:

- Quantum circuits made entirely of stabilizer gates.

- Stabilizer States:

- States generated by a stabilizer circuit starting from  $|00\dots 0\rangle$ .

# What Does This All Mean?

- Key Concept:
  - A unitary  $U$  stabilizes a pure state  $|\Psi\rangle$  if  $U|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle$ .
    - $|\Psi\rangle$  is an eigenstate of  $U$  with eigenvalue  $+1$ .
- Important Note:
  - Global phase matters!
    - If  $U|\Psi\rangle = -|\Psi\rangle$ , then  $U$  does not stabilize  $|\Psi\rangle$ .
- Properties of Stabilizers:
  - If  $U$  and  $V$  stabilize  $|\Psi\rangle$  then  $UV$ ,  $VU$ ,  $U^{-1}$ ,  $V^{-1}$  and  $I$  (identity) also stabilize  $|\Psi\rangle$ .
  - The set of stabilizers forms a group under multiplication.

# The Pauli Matrices

- Definition: The four Pauli matrices, fundamental to quantum physics.

- $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

- Error Types in Quantum Error Correction:

- No Error:  $I|1\rangle = |1\rangle$
- Bit Flip:  $X|1\rangle = |0\rangle$
- Phase Flip:  $Z|1\rangle = -|1\rangle$
- Both (Bit & Phase Flip):  $Y|1\rangle = -i|0\rangle$

# Stabilizer Groups - Basics

- Definition: Given an  $n$ -qubit pure state  $|\Psi\rangle$ , its stabilizer group is:
  - The group of all tensor products of Pauli matrices that stabilize  $|\Psi\rangle$ .
- Properties:
  - Forms a group since:
    - Pauli matrices are closed under multiplication.
    - Stabilization of  $|\Psi\rangle$  is closed under group operations.
  - Abelian: Stabilizer groups are always commutative.
- Examples:
  - Stabilizer group of  $|0\rangle$ :  $\{I, Z\}$
  - Stabilizer group of  $|+\rangle$ :  $\{I, X\}$
  - Stabilizer group of  $|0\rangle \otimes |+\rangle$ :  $\{II, IX, ZI, ZX\}$  (Omitting  $\otimes$  for simplicity)

# Generating Sets for Stabilizer Groups

- Key Question: How can we succinctly specify the stabilizer group  $G$  of an  $n$ -qubit stabilizer state (size  $2^n$ )?
- Answer: The stabilizer group  $G$  is always generated by  $n$  elements, which are  $\pm$  tensor products of Pauli matrices.
  - To specify  $G$ , it suffices to provide  $n$  such generators.
- Example: Stabilizer group of the Bell pair  $\{II, XX, -YY, ZZ\}$ 
  - Generating set 1:  $\{XX, ZZ\}$
  - Generating set 2:  $\{XX, -YY\}$

# The Gottesman–Knill Theorem

- Theorem: There is a polynomial-time classical algorithm to simulate any stabilizer circuit acting on an initial stabilizer state (e.g.,  $|00\dots 0\rangle$ ).
- Capabilities:
  - Compute probabilities of measurement outcomes.
  - Simulate measurement outcomes using random bits.
- Implication:
  - Positive: Efficient simulation of stabilizer circuits.
  - Negative: Stabilizer circuits alone cannot provide superpolynomial quantum speedups.
- How It Works:
  - Track the stabilizer group's generators.
  - Update the generators for each gate (CNOT, Hadamard, Phase) or measurement.

# The Gottesman–Knill Algorithm

- Initial Stabilizer Representation: For  $|00\dots 0\rangle$ :
  - Stabilizer group includes  $II\dots I$ , implied by default.
  - Generating set:  $ZIII\dots I, IZII\dots I, IIZI\dots I, \dots, IIII\dots Z$
- Tableau Representation
  - Use two  $n \times n$  binary matrices:
    - $X$  Matrix: Tracks  $X$  or  $Y$
    - $Z$  Matrix: Tracks  $Z$  or  $Y$



# Simplifying Stabilizer Formalism: Ignoring Signs

- Cheating a Little:
  - Keeping track of +'s and –'s is complex and often not illuminating.
  - Simplification: Ignore the signs in the stabilizer formalism.
- What Do We Lose?
  - Definite Outcome: +'s and –'s are needed to determine which outcome:  $|0\rangle$  or  $|1\rangle$
- Random vs. Definite:
  - If the goal is only to know whether a measurement gives a definite or random outcome:
  - Ignoring signs is sufficient.

# Gate Operations in Tableau Representation

- Rules for Gate Updates:
- Hadamard Gate ( $H$ ) on  $i$ -th qubit:
  - Swap the  $i$ -th column of the  $X$  and  $Z$  matrices.
- Phase Gate ( $P$ ) on  $i$ -th qubit:
  - XOR  $i$ -th column of the  $X$  matrix into the  $Z$  matrix.
- CNOT Gate:
  - Control  $i$ , target  $j$ :
    - XOR  $i$ -th column of  $X$  into  $j$ -th column of  $X$ .
    - XOR  $j$ -th column of  $Z$  into  $i$ -th column of  $Z$ .

# Measurements and Observations

- Measurement in  $\{ |0\rangle, |1\rangle \}$  Basis:
  - Determinate outcome if  $i$ -th column of the  $X$  matrix is all 0's.
- Why?
  - Columns indicate commutation relationships; all 0's in  $X$  implies only  $Z$  acts, ensuring definite outcomes.
- Efficiency:
  - Tracks stabilizers efficiently.
  - Skips signs unless the specific outcome is needed.

# Rank of the $X$ Matrix and Basis States

- Fact: For a stabilizer state, the number of basis states with nonzero amplitudes is  $2^k$ , where  $k$  is the rank of the  $X$  matrix.
- Example 1: If  $\text{rank}(X) = 0$ , only a single basis state (e.g.,  $|0000\rangle$ ) has a nonzero amplitude.
- Example 2...

# Stabilizer Codes in Quantum Error Correction

- Prevalence in Research:
  - Most quantum error-correcting codes studied are stabilizer codes.
- Why Stabilizer Codes Dominate:
  - Simplifies Calculations: Easier to compute and reason about.
  - No Trade-offs: Retain desired error-correcting properties.
- Comparison to Classical Codes:
  - Analogous to the central role of linear codes in classical error correction.

# The Stabilizer Formalism and Shor's 9-Qubit Code

- Importance of Stabilizer Formalism:
  - *Common language* of quantum error correction.
  - Indispensable in the field.
- Example: Shor's 9-Qubit Code

- States  $\left( \frac{|000\rangle + |111\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{\otimes 3}$  and  $\left( \frac{|000\rangle - |111\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{\otimes 3}$

# Generating set for the states' stabilizer group

- $Z \ Z \ I \ I \ I \ I \ I \ I \ I$
- $I \ Z \ Z \ I \ I \ I \ I \ I \ I$
- $I \ I \ I \ Z \ Z \ I \ I \ I \ I$
- $I \ I \ I \ I \ Z \ Z \ I \ I \ I$
- $I \ I \ I \ I \ I \ I \ Z \ Z \ I$
- $I \ I \ I \ I \ I \ I \ I \ Z \ Z$
- $X \ X \ X \ X \ X \ X \ I \ I \ I$
- $I \ I \ I \ X \ X \ X \ X \ X \ X$
- $\pm \ X \ X \ X \ X \ X \ X \ X \ X \ X$
- The last line is + for  $|0\rangle$ , and - for  $|1\rangle$

# Why Are These Elements in the Stabilizer Group?

- Phase-Flips (Z Operators):
  - Phase-flips on any pair of qubits within the same block cancel each other out.
- Bit-Flips (X Operators):
  - Bit-flips return the system to its initial state, potentially with a global -1 phase.
- Linearly Independent Elements:
  - Verify that the 9 stabilizer elements are linearly independent.
  - Ensures there are no additional stabilizer elements in the group.



# The 5-Qubit Code: An Optimal Error-Correcting Code

- Purpose:
  - Detects and corrects any single-qubit error.
- Codeword States:
  - Explicit representation: Superpositions over 32 different 5-bit strings!
  - Simplified with stabilizer formalism:

- Stabilizer Group (Generators):

- $X \ Z \ Z \ X \ I$
- $I \ X \ Z \ Z \ X$
- $X \ I \ X \ Z \ Z$
- $Z \ X \ I \ X \ Z$
- $\pm \ X \ X \ X \ X \ X$

- Sign of Last Generator:

- $+$ :  $|0\rangle$  (logical 0).
- $-$ :  $|1\rangle$  (logical 1).

# Quantum Computation with Encoded Qubits

- Scenario:
  - Perform a gate on one or two logical qubits encoded using stabilizer codes.
- The “Obvious” Approach:
  - Decode the qubits.
  - Apply the gate to the bare, unencoded qubits.
  - Re-encode the result.
- Problems with This Approach:
  - Costly: Decoding and re-encoding are computationally expensive.
  - Error-Prone: During decoding, qubits are unprotected, making them vulnerable to decoherence and other quantum noise sources

# Transversal Gates and Encoded Qubits

- Ideal Scenario:
  - Applying gates to encoded qubits is as simple as applying them to unencoded qubits.
- Definition: Transversal Gates
  - A gate  $G$  is transversal for a code  $C$  if:
    - To apply  $G$  to encoded qubits:
      - Apply  $G$  to the first qubits of the codewords.
      - Apply  $G$  to the second qubits of the codewords.
      - Continue for all qubits in the codewords.

- Transversality of Hadamard:
  - A logical Hadamard is achieved by applying Hadamard to each physical qubit in the codeword individually.
- Check that the Hadamard gate is transversal for Shor's 9-qubit code.
- Motivation:
  - Transversal gates reduce complexity and error potential when operating on encoded qubits.

# Transversal Gates and Universal Quantum Computation

- Codes with Transversal Stabilizer Gates:
  - CNOT, Hadamard, and Phase gates are transversal for certain quantum codes.
  - Enables cheap and efficient implementation of stabilizer circuits on encoded qubits.
- Limitation of Stabilizer Gates:
  - Stabilizer gates are not universal for quantum computation.
- Theorem: Non-stabilizer gates (e.g., Toffoli,  $R_{\pi/8}$ ) cannot all be transversal.
-

- Implication for Universal Quantum Computing:
  - Non-stabilizer gates are essential for universality.
  - These gates require sequences of expensive operations, increasing complexity and resource use.
- Key Takeaway:
  - While transversal stabilizer gates simplify quantum error correction, achieving universality necessitates costly non-stabilizer gates.

# The Role of Non-Stabilizer Gates in Quantum Computing

- Stabilizer Gates:
  - Considered “free” due to their low implementation cost.
  - Complexity of a quantum circuit is often defined by the number of non-stabilizer gates.
- Challenge:
  - Non-Stabilizer Gates (e.g., Toffoli,  $R_{\pi/8}$ ):
    - Significantly more expensive to implement.
    - Dominates the running time of quantum computations.



- Engineering Focus:
  - Design methods to efficiently incorporate non-stabilizer gates into circuits.
  - Example Technique: Magic State Distillation
    - Magic States: Special non-stabilizer states (e.g.,  $\cos(\pi/8)|0\rangle + \sin(\pi/8)|1\rangle$ ).
    - Stabilizer operations and measurements adaptively simulate non-stabilizer gates.
    - Breaks out of the Gottesman-Knill prison to achieve universality.
- Practical Implication:
  - A large portion of the quantum computer's effort is focused on “magic state factories.”
  - Actual computation on magic states becomes a secondary task.