

# **Experiment- 05**

Student Name: Sukhmandeep Singh UID: 23BCS13741

**Branch:** BE- CSE **Section/Group:** Kargil 2- A

Semester: Fifth Date of Performance: 22/09/2025

Subject Name: ADBMS Subject Code: 23CSP-333

### **Medium Level Problem**

#### Normal View vs. Materialized View

- 1. Create a large dataset:
- Create a table names transaction\_data (id , value) with 1 million records.
- take id 1 and 2, and for each id, generate 1 million records in value column
- Use Generate series () and random() to populate the data.
- 2. Create a normal view and materialized view to for sales\_summary, which includes total\_quantity\_sold, total\_sales, and total\_orders with aggregation
- 3. Compare the performance and execution time of both.

```
Solution:
```

```
CREATE TABLE transaction_data ( id INT, value NUMERIC );

Insert 1 million records for id = 1
INSERT INTO transaction_data (id, value)
SELECT 1, (random() * 100)::numeric
FROM generate_series(1, 1000000);

Insert 1 million records for id = 2
INSERT INTO transaction_data (id, value)

SELECT 2, (random() * 100)::numeric
FROM generate series(1, 1000000);
```

WITH NORMAL VIEW
CREATE OR REPLACE VIEW sales\_summary\_view AS SELECT id,
COUNT(\*) AS total\_orders,
SUM(value) AS total\_sales,
AVG(value) AS avg\_transaction
FROM transaction\_data
GROUP BY id;

EXPLAIN ANALYZE
SELECT \* FROM sales\_summary\_view;

	QUERY PLAN text
1	Finalize GroupAggregate (cost=25226.2925279.46 rows=200 width=76) (actual time=364.318375.012 rows=2 loops=1)
2	Group Key: transaction_data.id
3	-> Gather Merge (cost=25226.2925272.96 rows=400 width=44) (actual time=364.304374.995 rows=6 loops=1)
4	Workers Planned: 2
5	Workers Launched: 2
6	-> Sort (cost=24226.2624226.76 rows=200 width=44) (actual time=289.350289.351 rows=2 loops=3)
7	Sort Key: transaction_data.id
8	Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB
9	Worker 0: Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB
10	Worker 1: Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB
11	-> Partial HashAggregate (cost=24216.1224218.62 rows=200 width=44) (actual time=289.302289.304 rows=2 loops=3)
12	Group Key: transaction_data.id
13	Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 40kB
14	Worker 0: Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 40kB
15	Worker 1: Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 40kB
16	-> Parallel Seq Scan on transaction_data (cost=0.0019226.21 rows=665321 width=36) (actual time=0.02380.878 rows=66
17	Planning Time: 0.276 ms
18	Execution Time: 375.102 ms

## WITH MATERIALIZED VIEW

CREATE MATERIALIZED VIEW sales summary mv AS SELECT

id,

COUNT(\*) AS total orders,

SUM(value) AS total sales,

AVG(value) AS avg\_transaction

FROM transaction data

GROUP BY id;

**EXPLAIN ANALYZE** 

SELECT \* FROM sales\_summary\_mv;

	QUERY PLAN text
1	Seq Scan on sales_summary_mv (cost=0.0017.80 rows=780 width=76) (actual time=0.0140.016 rows=2 loops=
2	Planning Time: 0.858 ms
3	Execution Time: 0.031 ms

## Hard Level Problem

**Question: Securing Data Access with Views and Role-Based Permissions** 

The company TechMart Solutions stores all sales transactions in a central database. A new reporting team has been formed to analyze sales but they should not have direct access to the base tables for security reasons.

The database administrator has decided to:

- 1. Create restricted views to display only summarized, non-sensitive data.
- 2. Assign access to these views to specific users using DCL commands (GRANT, REVOKE).

```
CREATE VIEW vW_ORDER_SUMMARY AS

SELECT

O.order_id,
O.order_date,
P.product_name,
C.full_name,
(P.unit_price * O.quantity) - ((P.unit_price * O.quantity) * O.discount_percent / 100)

AS final_cost

FROM customer_master AS C
```

JOIN sales\_orders AS O

ON O.customer\_id = C.customer\_id

JOIN product\_catalog AS P

ON P.product\_id = O.product\_id;

SELECT \* FROM vW\_ORDER\_SUMMARY;

CREATE ROLE CLIENT\_USER
LOGIN
PASSWORD 'client\_password';

GRANT SELECT ON vW\_ORDER\_SUMMARY TO CLIENT\_USER;

REVOKE SELECT ON vW\_ORDER\_SUMMARY FROM CLIENT\_USER;