

# Homework 1: Stat 510

Moulinath Banerjee

September 13, 2020

- (1) (1) Problems from CB: 1.36, 1.39, 1.46. 1.51, 1.19. 1.21, 1.24, 1.31 (a) and (c).
- (2) Consider a multinomial experiment where at any given trial,  $m$  distinct mutually exclusive and exhaustive outcomes can occur (e.g. a die is thrown and one of the six faces has to come up). Let  $p_j$  be the probability that the  $j$ 'th outcome turns up in the trial. Then  $\sum_{j=1}^m p_j = 1$ . Consider repeating the multinomial experiment independently (i.e. you keep throwing the die repeatedly) till  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$  outcomes of types 1 through  $k$  (where  $k < m$ ) are obtained. What is the probability that you need  $N$  trials of the experiment for this to happen?
- (3) **Simpson's paradox:** Consider  $M$  different departments at a university. The chance of a Type 1 student applying to a specific department  $k$  is  $p_k$  and that of a Type 2 student applying to the same department is  $q_k$ . We assume that  $\sum p_k = \sum q_k = 1$ . The probability of a Type 1 student being admitted to the  $k$ 'th department once they apply is  $a_{k,1}$  and that of a Type 2 student being admitted once they apply is  $a_{k,2}$ . Find the probability that a Type 1 student is admitted to the university, and also the probability of a Type 2 student being admitted.

Assume that each department  $k$  is either neutral to type or actually slightly favors Type 2 students, so that  $a_{k,1} \leq a_{k,2}$  for each  $k$  (but not by much). Argue that despite this, the admissions data for the whole university taken together may demonstrate a huge bias towards Type 1 students. Something of this type did actually happen in Berkeley. See, for example, <https://www.refsmmat.com/posts/2016-05-08-simpsons-paradox-berkeley.html>. In other words, global 'biases' can be often be manufactured despite the non-existence of bias (or a reverse bias) simply because of the tension between conditional and unconditional probabilities.