Homework 5 solution

Trong Dat Do*

November 16, 2020

Exercise 1 Lemma: For $a \in (0,1)$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{n} = -\log(1-a),$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na^n = \frac{a}{(1-a)^2}$$

Proof for the lemma:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^a x^{n-1} dx = \int_0^a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{n-1} dx = \int_0^a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-x} dx = -\log(1-a)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(a^n)' = a(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n)' = a(\frac{a}{1-a})' = \frac{a}{(1-a)^2}$$

Now, we come to the question itself.

(a)

As x > 0, $(1-p)^x > 0$ and $\log p < 0$, it is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{P}(X=x) = -\frac{(1-p)^x}{x \log p} > 0, x = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Then, we have

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X = x) = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} -\frac{(1-p)^x}{x \log p} = \frac{1}{\log p} \times \log(1 - (1-p)) = 1 \text{(We use the lemma here.)}$$

Thus, this is a proper probability distribution.

(b)

^{*}Ph.D. Student, Department of Statistics, University of Michigan

It is easy to find that

$$-\frac{(1-p)^x}{x\log p}$$

is a decreasing function w.r.t. x for x>0, because $(1-p)^x>0$ and is decreasing with w.r.t. x, $\frac{1}{x}>0$ and is decreasing with w.r.t. x and $-\frac{1}{\log p}>0$. Thus, the most likely number of sights of the jungle animal is 1.

(c)

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} -x \frac{(1-p)^x}{x \log p} = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} -\frac{(1-p)^x}{\log p} = -\frac{(1-p)}{p \log p}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} -x \frac{(1-p)^x}{\log p} = -\frac{1}{\log p} \frac{1-p}{p^2} = -\frac{1-p}{p^2 \log p}$$
(We use the lemma here)

Thus the variance is

$$Var(X) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2 = -\frac{1-p}{p^2 \log p} - (\frac{(1-p)}{p \log p})^2 = -\frac{1-p}{p^2 \log p} (1 + \frac{1-p}{\log p})$$

Exercise 2 (a)

$$M_{\underline{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(\mathbf{t}^t \underline{X})] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(\sum_{i=1}^p t_i X_i)]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[\prod_{i=1}^p \exp(t_i X_i)] = \prod_{i=1}^p \mathbb{E}[\exp(t_i X_i)] = \prod_{i=1}^p M_{X_i}(t_i)$$

(b) For a standard normal r.v. X, the m.g.f of it is

$$M_X(t) = \exp(\frac{t^2}{2})$$

Then, according to the result in (a), we have

$$M_{\underline{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = \exp(\sum_{i=1}^{p} t_i^2/2)$$

(c) We only need to calculate $M_{\mu,\Sigma}(.)$ here.

$$M_{\underline{Y}}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(\mathbf{t}^{t}\underline{Y})] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(\mathbf{t}^{t}(\mu + B\underline{X}))]$$

$$= \exp(\mathbf{t}^{t}\mu)\mathbb{E}[\exp(\mathbf{t}^{t}B\underline{X})] = \exp(\mathbf{t}^{t}\mu)M_{\underline{X}}((B^{t})\mathbf{t})$$

$$= \exp(\mathbf{t}^{t}\mu)\exp(\mathbf{t}^{t}(B)(B^{t})\mathbf{t}/2)$$

$$= \exp(\mathbf{t}^{t}\mu + \mathbf{t}^{t}\Sigma\mathbf{t}/2)$$

which only rely on μ and Σ .

(d) Define

$$D_{Y,1}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{\partial M_{\underline{Y}}(\mathbf{t})}{\partial \mathbf{t}} = (\mu + \Sigma \mathbf{t}) \exp(\mathbf{t}^t \mu + \mathbf{t}^t \Sigma \mathbf{t}/2)$$
$$D_{Y,2}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{\partial M_{\underline{Y}}(\mathbf{t})^2}{\partial^2 \mathbf{t}} = (\mu + \Sigma \mathbf{t})(\mu + \Sigma \mathbf{t})^T \exp(\mathbf{t}^t \mu + \mathbf{t}^t \Sigma \mathbf{t}/2) + \Sigma \exp(\mathbf{t}^t \mu + \mathbf{t}^t \Sigma \mathbf{t}/2)$$

It is easy to check that

$$D_{Y,1}(\mathbf{0}) = \mu$$

$$D_{Y,2}(\mathbf{0}) - D_{Y,1}(\mathbf{0})D_{Y,1}(\mathbf{0})^T = \Sigma$$

Now, assume there are two r.v.'s Y_1 and Y_2 equipped with μ_1, Σ_1 and μ_2, Σ_2 . If $M_{\mu_1, \Sigma_1} = M_{\mu_2, \Sigma_2}$, then we must have $D_{Y_1, 1}(\mathbf{0}) = D_{Y_2, 1}(\mathbf{0})$ and $D_{Y_1, 2}(\mathbf{0}) - D_{Y_1, 1}(\mathbf{0})D_{Y_1, 1}(\mathbf{0})^T = D_{Y_2, 2}(\mathbf{0}) - D_{Y_2, 1}(\mathbf{0})D_{Y_2, 1}(\mathbf{0})^T$.

However,

$$(D_{Y_1,1}(\mathbf{0}), D_{Y_1,2}(\mathbf{0}) - D_{Y_1,1}(\mathbf{0})D_{Y_1,1}(\mathbf{0})^T) = (\mu_1, \Sigma_1)$$

$$\neq (\mu_2, \Sigma_2) = (D_{Y_2,1}(\mathbf{0}), D_{Y_2,2}(\mathbf{0}) - D_{Y_2,1}(\mathbf{0})D_{Y_2,1}(\mathbf{0})^T)$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, $M_{\mu_1,\Sigma_1} \neq M_{\mu_2,\Sigma_2}$ and Y_1 , Y_2 have different distributions.

(e)

For the \underline{X} in (a), it is easy to see that the density for that is

$$f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{p} f_{X_i}(x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{x_i^2}{2}) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^p} \exp(-\underline{x}^T \underline{x}/2)$$

Now, as $\underline{Y} = \mu + B\underline{X}$, we have

$$|\frac{\partial \underline{X}}{\partial Y}| = |B^{-1}| = |\Sigma|^{-1/2}$$

Thus,

$$f_{\underline{Y}}(\underline{y}) = f_{\underline{X}}(B^{-1}(\underline{y} - \mu))|\Sigma|^{-1/2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^p} \exp(-(B^{-1}(\underline{y} - \mu))^T (B^{-1}(\underline{y} - \mu))/2)\Sigma|^{-1/2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} \Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp(-(\underline{y} - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(\underline{y} - \mu)/2)$$

Exercise (4.33) We have

$$\begin{split} MGF_H(t) &= Ee^{Ht} \\ &= E[E[e^{Ht}|N]] \quad \text{(iterated expectation)} \\ &= E[E[e^{(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i)t}|N]] \quad \text{(from definition)} \\ &= E[E[\prod_{i=1}^N e^{X_it}|N]] \\ &= E[\prod_{i=1}^N E[e^{X_it}|N]] \quad \text{(conditionally independent)} \\ &= E\left[\left(\frac{\log(1-(1-p)e^t)}{\log p}\right)^N\right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left(\frac{\log(1-(1-p)e^t)}{\log p}\right)^n \lambda^n e^{-\lambda}/n! \\ &= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left(\frac{\log(1-(1-p)e^t)}{\log p}\right)^n /n! \\ &= e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda \log(1-(1-p)e^t)/(\log p)} \\ &= e^{r \log p} e^{-r\lambda \log(1-(1-p)e^t)} \quad \text{(set } r = -\lambda/\log p) \\ &= \left(\frac{p}{(1-(1-p)e^t)}\right)^r, \end{split}$$

which is the MGF of Negative Binomial distribution with parameter r and 1-p.

Exercise (4.34) (a) We just integral out P to get the distribution of X.

$$P(X = x) = E[P(X = x|P)]$$

$$= \int_0^1 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha - 1} (1 - p)^{\beta - 1} P(X = x|P = p)$$

$$= \int_0^1 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha - 1} (1 - p)^{\beta - 1} \binom{n}{x} p^x (1 - p)^{n - x}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \binom{n}{x} \int_0^1 p^{x + \alpha - 1} (1 - p)^{n - x + \beta - 1}$$

$$= \binom{n}{x} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + x)\Gamma(\beta + n - x)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n)}.$$

(b) We do the same thing to get the pmf of X

$$P(X = x) = E[P(X = x|P)]$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha - 1} (1 - p)^{\beta - 1} P(X = x|P = p)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha - 1} (1 - p)^{\beta - 1} {n + x - 1 \choose x} p^{x} (1 - p)^{r}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} {n + x - 1 \choose x} \int_{0}^{1} p^{x + \alpha - 1} (1 - p)^{r + \beta - 1}$$

$$= {n + x - 1 \choose x} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + x)\Gamma(\beta + r)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n + r)}.$$

For the expectation, we have

$$EX = E[E[X|P]]$$

$$= E\left[\frac{Pr}{1-P}\right]$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 rp^{\alpha} (1-p)^{\beta-2} dp$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta-1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} r$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{\beta-1} r$$

Similarly, for the variance

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var} X &= \operatorname{Var}(E[X|P]) + E[\operatorname{Var}(X|P)] \\ &= \operatorname{Var} \left(\frac{Pr}{1-P} \right) + E\left[\frac{Pr}{(1-P)^2} \right] \\ &= r^2 \left(\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+2)\Gamma(\beta-2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} - \left(\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta-1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \right)^2 \right) + r \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta-2)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta-1)} \\ &= r^2 \left(\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{(\beta-1)(\beta-2)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise (4.36) (a)

$$EY = \sum_{i=1}^{n} EX_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[E[X_i|P_i]] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} EP_i = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}.$$

(b) Because of the independence,

$$\operatorname{Var} Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var} X_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var} E[X_{i}|P_{i}] + E[\operatorname{Var}(X_{i}|P_{i})]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var} P_{i} + E[P_{i}(1 - P_{i})]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} EP_{i}^{2} - (EP_{i})^{2} + EP_{i} - EP_{i}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} EP_{i} - (EP_{i})^{2}$$

$$= n\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{(\alpha + \beta)^{2}}\right)$$

$$= n\frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha + \beta)^{2}}.$$

To find the distribution of Y, notice that Y is the sum of i.i.d. X_i , and $P(X_i = 1) = E[P(X_i = 1|P_i)] = \int_0^1 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha-1} (1-p)^{\beta-1} p dp = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta)} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}$. Hence Y has binomial distribution.

(c) Again because of the independence of X_i 's,

$$EY = \sum_{i=1}^{k} EX_i, \operatorname{Var} Y = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{Var} X_i.$$

Now, similar to above,

Now, similar to above,
$$EX_i = E[X_i|P_i] = n_i \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta},$$

$$\operatorname{Var} X_i = \operatorname{Var} E[X_i|P_i] + E\operatorname{Var}[X_i|P_i] = \operatorname{Var}(n_i P_i) + E(n_i P_i (1 - P_i))$$

$$= n_i \frac{\alpha\beta(\alpha + \beta + n_i)}{(\alpha + \beta)^2(\alpha + \beta + 1)},$$
 where we use the fact that $EP_i^2 = \frac{\alpha(\alpha + 1)}{(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + \beta + 1)}.$

Exercise (4.40) (1) We have

$$1/C = \int_{x,y \ge 0, x+y \le 1} x^{a-1} y^{b-1} (1 - x - y)^{c-1} dx dy.$$

A way to calculate this integral is to use the Gamma integral. Using change of variables $x = \frac{z_1}{z_1 + z_2 + z_3}, y = \frac{z_2}{z_1 + z_2 + z_3}, t = z_1 + z_2 + z_3$, we have

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c) &= \int_0^\infty dz_1 z_1^{a-1} e^{-z_1} \int_0^\infty dz_2 z_2^{a-1} e^{-z_2} \int_0^\infty dz_3 z_3^{c-1} e^{-z_3} \\ &= \int_{0 \leq x, y, 1 \geq x+y} x^{a-1} y^{b-1} (1-x-y)^{c-1} dx dy \int_0^\infty t^{a+b+c-1} e^{-t} dt \\ &= \int_{0 \leq x, y, 1 \geq x+y} x^{a-1} y^{b-1} (1-x-y)^{c-1} dx dy \Gamma(a+b+c). \end{split}$$

where we use the Jacobian $dz_1dz_2dz_3 = t^2dxdydt$.

(2) We prove that $X \sim Beta(a, b + c)$. Similarly we would have $Y \sim beta(b, a + c)$.

$$f(x) = \int_{0 \le y \le 1-x} f(x,y) dy$$

$$\propto x^{a-1} \int_0^{1-x} y^{b-1} (1-y-x)^{c-1} dy$$

$$= x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b+c-2} \int_0^{1-x} \left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right)^{b-1} \left(\frac{1-x-y}{1-x}\right)^{c-1} dy$$

$$= x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b+c-2} \int_0^1 (z)^{b-1} (1-z)^{c-1} dz (1-x) \quad \text{(change } z = y/(1-x))$$

$$\propto x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b+c-1}.$$

where f \propto g means f/g equals to a constant which does not depend on x, y.

(3) Using the results above, we have

$$f_{Y|X=x}(y) = \frac{f(x,y)}{f(x)}$$

$$\propto x^{a-1}y^{b-1}(1-x-y)^{c-1}/(x^{a-1}(1-x)^{b+c-1})$$

$$\propto \left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right)^{b-1} \left(\frac{1-x-y}{1-x}\right)^{c-1} \frac{1}{1-x}.$$

Hence using the change of variable formula we have

$$f_{Y/(1-X)|X}(t|x) \propto t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-1},$$

which does not depend on x. Hence Y/(1-X) and X are independent, and also $Y/(1-X) \sim Beta(b,c)$.

(4) We have

$$EXY = \frac{\gamma(a+b+c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c)} \int_{0 \le x, y, x+y \le 1} x^a y^b (1-x-y)^{c-1} dx dy$$

$$= \frac{\gamma(a+b+c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c)} \times \frac{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b+1)\Gamma(c)}{\gamma(a+b+c+2)}$$

$$= \frac{ab}{(a+b+c)(a+b+c+1)}.$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{split} E(XY)^2 &= \frac{\gamma(a+b+c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c)} \int_{0 \le x,y,x+y \le 1} x^{a+1}y^{b+1}(1-x-y)^{c-1}dxdy \\ &= \frac{\gamma(a+b+c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c)} \times \frac{\Gamma(a+2)\Gamma(b+2)\Gamma(c)}{\gamma(a+b+c+4)} \\ &= \frac{a(a+1)b(b+1)}{(a+b+c)(a+b+c+1)(a+b+c+2)(a+b+c+3)}. \end{split}$$

and
$$Var(XY) = E(XY)^2 - (E(XY))^2$$

Exercise (4.47) (1) We have

$$f_Z(z) = f_Z(z|XY > 0)P(XY > 0) + f_Z(z|XY < 0)P(XY < 0)$$

= $f_X(z)P(XY > 0) + f_X(-z)P(XY < 0)$
= $f_X(z)$,

because X is symmetric.

(2) If Y and Z are multivariate normal, then because its support is all \mathbb{R}^2 , we must have P(ZY < 0) > 0. But from the definition of Z we have ZY = XY > 0 if XY > 0 and ZY = -XY > 0 if XY < 0. Hence P(YZ > 0) = 1, which implies P(XZ < 0) = 0, a contradiction.

Exercise 3 (a)

$$F(x) = \int_0^x f(u)du \ge \int_0^x f(x)du = f(x)\int_0^x du = xf(x)$$

$$\mu = \int_0^M u f(u) du \le \int_0^M F(u) du$$

To prove $m \leq \frac{M}{2}$, we only need to prove that $\mathbb{P}(X \leq \frac{M}{2}) \geq 0.5$ and this is equivalent to prove $\mathbb{P}(X \leq \frac{M}{2}) \geq \mathbb{P}(X \geq \frac{M}{2})$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X \le \frac{M}{2}) = \int_0^{\frac{M}{2}} f(u) du \ge \int_0^{\frac{M}{2}} f(u + \frac{M}{2}) du = \int_{\frac{M}{2}}^M f(u) du = \mathbb{P}(X \ge \frac{M}{2})$$

Thus, $m \leq \frac{M}{2}$.

According to the result in (a), we have

$$\int_0^M (1 - F(x))dx = \mu \le \int_0^M F(x)dx$$

Thus,

$$M = \int_0^M 1 dx \le 2 \int_0^M F(x) dx$$

Therefore,

$$\mu = \int_0^M (1 - F(x))dx = M - \int_0^M F(x)dx \le \frac{M}{2}$$

According to the steps in (b), we know that if we have $m = \frac{M}{2}$, we must have

$$\int_0^{\frac{M}{2}} f(u)du = \int_0^{\frac{M}{2}} f(u + \frac{M}{2})du$$

Thus,

$$\int_{0}^{\frac{M}{2}} f(u) - f(u + \frac{M}{2}) du = 0$$

Denote $h(u)=f(u)-f(u+\frac{M}{2}), u\in[0,\frac{M}{2}].$ As f(u) is non-increasing and continuous, we have $h(u)\geq 0$ and continuous. But as $\int_0^{\frac{M}{2}}h(u)du=0$, we have $h(u)=0, u\in[0,\frac{M}{2}]$, that is $f(u)=f(u+\frac{M}{2}), u\in[0,\frac{M}{2}].$ For $\forall y\in[0,\frac{M}{2}],$

$$f(y + \frac{M}{2}) = f(y) \ge f(\frac{M}{2}) \ge f(y + \frac{M}{2}).$$

Therefore $f(y) = f(y + \frac{M}{2}) = f(\frac{M}{2})$, which means f(u) is a constant.

If $\mu = \frac{M}{2}$, according to the steps in (b), we must have

$$\int_0^M F(x) = \frac{M}{2}$$

This means we have $\mu \leq \int_0^M F(x)dx$. Then according to the steps in (a), we must have

$$\int_0^x f(u)du \ge \int_0^x f(x)du$$

We can now set x = M and it will be

$$\int_0^M f(u) - f(1)du = 0$$

As f(u) - f(M) is non-negative and continuous, we have f(u) = f(M), which means f(u) is a constant.