

Homework 3 solution

Trong Dat Do*

October 14, 2020

Problem 1 As $T \sim \exp(\beta)$, the CDF of T is

$$F_T(t) = (1 - e^{-\beta t})I(t \geq 0) \quad (1)$$

Thus,

$$P(X \leq x) = P(X \leq x, W = 1) + P(X \leq x, W = -1) \quad (2)$$

$$= P(T \leq x, W = 1) + P(T \geq -x, W = -1) \quad (3)$$

$$= P(T \leq x)P(W = 1) + P(T \geq -x)P(W = -1) \quad (4)$$

$$= \frac{2}{3}P(T \leq x) + \frac{1}{3}P(T \geq -x) \quad (5)$$

□

If $x \geq 0$, then $P(T \leq x) = 1 - e^{-\beta x}$ and $P(T \geq -x) = 1$, thus

$$P(X \leq x) = \frac{2}{3}(1 - e^{-\beta x}) + \frac{1}{3}$$

and the corresponding density function is $f_X(x) = \frac{2\beta}{3}e^{-\beta x}$.

If $x \leq 0$, then $P(T \leq x) = 0$ and $P(T \geq -x) = e^{\beta x}$, thus

$$P(X \leq x) = \frac{1}{3}e^{\beta x}$$

and the corresponding density function is $f_X(x) = \frac{\beta}{3}e^{\beta x}$.

Therefore, the density function is

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\beta e^{\beta x} I(x < 0) + 2\beta e^{-\beta x} I(x \geq 0)}{3}$$

*Ph.D. Student, Department of Statistics, University of Michigan

Problem 2 (a) If we know the distribution is symmetric, then from the definition of symmetric distribution in the question, we have

$$f_V(t) = f_{-V}(t)$$

Using changing variable theorem, by changing $U = -V$, we have $f_{-V}(t) = f_U(t) = f_V(-t)|-1| = f_V(-t)$ and thus $f_V(-t) = f_V(t)$

On the other hand, if we know $f_V(t) = f_V(-t)$, then we could prove $f_V(t) = f_{-V}(t)$ in the same way.

(b)

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} tf(t)dt \quad (6)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^0 tf(t)dt + \int_0^{\infty} tf(t)dt \quad (7)$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} -tf(-t)dt + \int_0^{\infty} tf(t)dt \quad (8)$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} -tf(t)dt + \int_0^{\infty} tf(t)dt \quad (9)$$

$$= 0 \quad (10)$$

(c) We need to assume that B is independent from V .

$$\mathbb{P}(\bar{V} < t) = \mathbb{P}(\bar{V} < t, (2B - 1) = 1) + \mathbb{P}(\bar{V} < t, (2B - 1) = -1) \quad (11)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(V < t, B = 1) + \mathbb{P}(-V < t, B = 0) \quad (12)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(V < t)\theta + \mathbb{P}(V < t)(1 - \theta) \quad (13)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(V < t) \quad (14)$$

Take derivative of t on both sides and we will have

$$f_{\bar{V}}(t) = f(t) \quad (15)$$

□

Problem 3 (a)

$$\mathbb{P}(W = m) = \sum_{i=0}^m \mathbb{P}(P_1 = i, P_2 = m - i) \quad (16)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{e^{-\lambda_1} \lambda_1^i}{i!} \frac{e^{-\lambda_2} \lambda_2^{m-i}}{(m-i)!} \quad (17)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}}{m!} \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} \lambda_1^i \lambda_2^{m-i} \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^m}{m!} \quad (19)$$

Thus, $W \sim Poi(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$

- (b) Through the conclusion in (a), we know that this result holds for the summation of two Poisson random variables.

Now, assume this result holds for the summation of $n-1$ Poisson random variables X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} with parameters $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$, that is $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_i \sim Poi(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i)$.

Then for n random variables X_1, \dots, X_n with parameters $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, denote $T = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_i$. According to the assumption, $T \sim Poi(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i)$. As the result holds for two random variables, $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = T + X_n \sim Poi(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i + \lambda_n) = Poi(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i)$.

- (c) By checking the m.g.f. of Poisson distribution, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tS_n}] = e^{\Lambda_n(e^t - 1)}, t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (20)$$

Let $X = \frac{S_n - \Lambda_n}{\sqrt{\Lambda_n}}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{t \frac{S_n - \Lambda_n}{\sqrt{\Lambda_n}}}] \quad (21)$$

$$= e^{-t\sqrt{\Lambda_n}} \mathbb{E}[e^{t \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{\Lambda_n}}}] \quad (22)$$

$$= e^{-t\sqrt{\Lambda_n}} \exp\{\Lambda_n(e^{t/\sqrt{\Lambda_n}} - 1)\}. \quad (23)$$

By Taylor theorem,

$$e^{t/\sqrt{\Lambda_n}} = 1 + \frac{t}{\sqrt{\Lambda_n}} + \frac{t^2}{2\Lambda_n} + o(\Lambda_n^{-1}). \quad (24)$$

Plugging this equation into $\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]$ yields:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = e^{-t\sqrt{\Lambda_n}} \exp\{\Lambda_n(e^{t/\sqrt{\Lambda_n}} - 1)\} \quad (25)$$

$$= e^{-t\sqrt{\Lambda_n}} \exp\{\Lambda_n(1 + \frac{t}{\sqrt{\Lambda_n}} + \frac{t^2}{2\Lambda_n} + o(\Lambda_n^{-1}) - 1)\} \quad (26)$$

$$= \exp\{\frac{t^2}{2} + o(1)\} \rightarrow \exp\{\frac{t^2}{2}\}, \quad (27)$$

which is the m.g.f. of $N(0, 1)$ distribution. As the convergence is uniform in a neighborhood around 0, we have the limiting distribution to be $N(0, 1)$. \square

Problem 4 We can easily calculate the pmf to be:

$$P(Y = y) = \binom{y+r-1}{y} (1-p)^y p^r, y \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (28)$$

According to the property of pmf, we have

$$\sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \binom{y+r-1}{y} (1-p)^y p^r = p^r \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \binom{y+r-1}{y} (1-p)^y = 1, \quad (29)$$

thus, $p^{-r} = \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \binom{y+r-1}{y} (1-p)^y$. Then we calculate the pmf of Y to be

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tY}] = \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} e^{ty} \binom{y+r-1}{y} (1-p)^y p^r \quad (30)$$

$$= p^r \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \binom{y+r-1}{y} (e^t(1-p))^y \quad (31)$$

$$= p^r (1 - e^t(1-p))^{-r}, \quad (32)$$

where t satisfying $|e^t(1-p)| < 1$, which contains a neighborhood around 0. To calculate the limit for $r \rightarrow \infty$, $p \rightarrow 1$ and $r(1-p) \rightarrow \lambda$, we have

$$\frac{p^r}{(1 - e^t(1-p))^r} = \frac{1}{(\frac{1-e^t}{p} + e^t)^r}. \quad (33)$$

As $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{a}{n})^n = e^a$, we can check the limit of

$$r \left(\frac{1-e^t}{p} + e^t - 1 \right) = r(1-e^t) \left(\frac{1-p}{p} \right) = (1-e^t) \frac{r(1-p)}{p} \rightarrow \lambda(1-e^t). \quad (34)$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{(\frac{1-e^t}{p} + e^t)^r} \rightarrow e^{-\lambda(1-e^t)},$$

which is the m.g.f. of Poisson random variable. Notice that the convergence is uniform for all points in a neighborhood of 0, so we have the limiting distribution is a Poisson distribution.

Note: This is called the Law of rare event. Because p is small, is it rare to have a successful trial. As n is large, to compute the CDF of this Binomial distribution is cumbersome, and this Law allow us to approximate it by distribution of Poisson. See also: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Poisson_limit_theorem. \square

Problem 5 (1) In total we need to choose $\sum_{j=1}^l \epsilon_{i_j}$ R's and $l - \sum_{j=1}^l \epsilon_{i_j}$ D's. Therefore the probability is

$$\frac{N_D(N_D - 1) \dots (N_D - \sum_{j=1}^l \epsilon_{i_j} + 1) N_R(N_R - 1) \dots (N_D - (l - \sum_{j=1}^l \epsilon_{i_j}) + 1)}{N(N - 1) \dots (N - l + 1)}. \quad (35)$$

(2) Because there are $\binom{n}{m}$ way to choose m number to take the value 1 from n number, the probability is

$$\binom{n}{m} \frac{N_D(N_D - 1) \dots (N_D - m + 1) N_R(N_R - 1) \dots (N_D - (n - m) + 1)}{N(N - 1) \dots (N - n + 1)} = \frac{\binom{N_D}{m} \binom{N_R}{n-m}}{\binom{N}{n}}, \quad (36)$$

which follows the Hypergeometric distribution.

(3) When $n = N$, because we choose all the people, we would have $S_N = N_D$ is a deterministic quantity. Hence

$$E(S_N/N) = N_D/N = p_D, \quad \text{Var}(S_N/N) = 0. \quad (37)$$

□

Problem 6 From the question, we have f is continuous, $f(x) = f(-x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, and it is monotonically increasing on $(-\infty, 0]$ and monotonically decreasing on $[0, \infty)$. Write I_h as $(a - h, a + h)$. Now we need to find a to minimize $P(X \in (a - h, a + h))$. We have

$$P(X \in (a - h, a + h)) = \int_{a-h}^{a+h} f(x - \theta_0) dx = \int_{a-\theta_0-h}^{a-\theta_0+h} f(x) dx \quad (38)$$

By Leibniz's derivative rule, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a} \int_{a-\theta_0-h}^{a-\theta_0+h} f(x) dx = f(a - \theta_0 + h) - f(a - \theta_0 - h), \quad (39)$$

which equals 0 when $a = \theta_0$. Whenever $a > \theta_0$, we have

$$f(a - \theta_0 + h) - f(a - \theta_0 - h) = \begin{cases} f(a - \theta_0 + h) - f(h - (a - \theta_0)) < 0, & \text{if } a - \theta_0 \leq h \\ f(a - \theta_0 + h) - f(a - \theta_0 - h) < 0, & \text{if } a - \theta_0 > h, \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

by the symmetry and the monotonically decreasing of f on $(0, \infty)$. Similarly, whenever $a < \theta_0$, we have

$$f(a - \theta_0 + h) - f(a - \theta_0 - h) = \begin{cases} f(-h - (a - \theta_0)) - f(-h + (a - \theta_0)) > 0, & \text{if } a - \theta_0 > -h \\ f(a - \theta_0 + h) - f(a - \theta_0 - h) > 0, & \text{if } a - \theta_0 \leq -h. \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a} P(X \in (a - h, a + h)) \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{if } a < \theta_0, \\ = 0 & \text{if } a = \theta_0, \\ < 0 & \text{if } a > \theta_0. \end{cases} \quad (42) \quad \square$$

Hence the optimal a we need to choose is θ_0 , and $I_h = (\theta_0 - h, \theta_0 + h)$.

Note: This is similar to Theorem 9.3.2. in the textbook, where we try to find the thinnest confident interval. For more information, see chapter 9.3. Methods of Evaluating Interval Estimations.