

Independence and Expectation:

$$(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \sim f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \left. \vphantom{(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)} \right\}$$

Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are mutually independent.

$$\text{Then } f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i)$$

$$\underline{E[X_1 X_2 \dots X_n]}$$

$$= \int (x_1 x_2 \dots x_n) f(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

$$= \int \underbrace{(x_1 f_{X_1}(x_1))}_{\text{}} \underbrace{(x_2 f_{X_2}(x_2))}_{\text{}} \dots \underbrace{(x_n f_{X_n}(x_n))}_{\text{}} dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

$$= \left(\int x_1 f_{X_1}(x_1) dx_1 \right) \dots \left(\int x_n f_{X_n}(x_n) dx_n \right)$$

$$= \underline{EX_1 \cdot EX_2 \cdot \dots \cdot EX_n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = 0, i \neq j$$

If X_1, \dots, X_n are mutually indep.
random variables, then $g_1(X_1), g_2(X_2),$
 $\dots, g_n(X_n)$ are also independent.

Look at -

$$P [g_1(X_1) \in A_1, g_2(X_2) \in A_2, \dots, g_n(X_n) \in A_n]$$

$$= P [\underline{X_1 \in g_1^{-1}(A_1)}, \underline{X_2 \in g_2^{-1}(A_2)}, \dots, \underline{X_n \in g_n^{-1}(A_n)}]$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^n P (X_j \in g_j^{-1}(A_j))$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^n P (g_j(X_j) \in A_j)$$

Hence, independent.

Likewise: $g_1(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_r}), g_2(X_{i_1+1}, \dots, X_{i_2}),$
 $g_3(\dots), \dots$ and so on - are
independent.]

Note: $\text{Var}(X) = \text{Cov}(X, X)$.

Immediate from the definition of covariance!

Look at a linear combination of a generic r. vector (X_1, \dots, X_n) :

$$V = a + b_1 X_1 + \dots + b_n X_n.$$

$$E V = a + b_1 E X_1 + \dots + b_n E X_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Var}(V)} &= \text{Var}(a + b_1 X_1 + \dots + b_n X_n) \\ &= \text{Var}(b_1 X_1 + \dots + b_n X_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \underline{\text{Cov}}(b_1 X_1 + \dots + b_n X_n, \underline{b_1 X_1 + \dots + b_n X_n})$$

↙ Bilinear operator

↙ Verify

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n b_i b_j \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \text{Var}(X_i) + 2 \sum_{i < j} \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)$$

$$\text{Var} (b_1 X_1 + \dots + b_n X_n)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n b_i b_j \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)$$

$$= \underline{b}^T \underline{\Sigma} \underline{b} \geq 0$$

$$\underline{\Sigma} = \text{Disp}(\underline{X}) \cdot \underline{X} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$[\text{Disp}(\underline{X})]_{i,j} = \text{Cov}(x_i, x_j) \cdot$$

symmetric

$\underline{\Sigma}$ must necessarily be a non-negative definite matrix.

$$Y_{m \times 1} = A_{m \times n} X_{n \times 1}$$

$$EY = A EX$$

$$\underline{\text{Disp}}(Y) = A \underline{\Sigma} A^T$$

Very
useful
results

Moment-generating functions of random vectors:

$$\underline{X}_{n \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) = \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\underline{t}^T \underline{X}} \right] \quad \underline{t} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\sum t_i x_i} \right]$$

is said to exist if it's finite for all $\|\underline{t}\| < \varepsilon_0$ for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$.

FACTS: (a) If \underline{X} and \underline{Y} are two n dim random vectors with $M_{\underline{X}}$ and $M_{\underline{Y}}$ existing finitely for all sufficiently small \underline{t} (i.e. all $\|\underline{t}\| < \varepsilon_0$ for some ε_0) and $M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) = M_{\underline{Y}}(\underline{t})$ for all such \underline{t} , then $\underline{X} \equiv \underline{Y}$.

(b) $\{\underline{X}_n\}$ of random vectors
and $\{\underline{X}_n\}$ and \underline{X} all have finite
mgf's for all small \underline{t} , then

$\underline{X}_n \xrightarrow{d} \underline{X}$ if and only if-

$$[M_{\underline{X}_n}(\underline{t}) \rightarrow M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) \text{ for all such } \underline{t}.$$

As in the 1 dimensional case,
convergence in distribution is defined

as $\underline{F}_{\underline{X}_n}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

$\rightarrow \underline{F}_{\underline{X}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ at

every continuity point (x_1, \dots, x_n)

of $\underline{F}_{\underline{X}}$.

Let's compute mgf's of some random
vectors.

(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) are i.i.d Ber(p).

Joint p.m.f of (X_1, \dots, X_n) .

$$f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$$

$$= P(X_1 = \varepsilon_1, X_2 = \varepsilon_2, \dots, X_n = \varepsilon_n)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \underbrace{P(X_i = \varepsilon_i)}_{\text{circled}} = \frac{p^{\sum \varepsilon_i} (1-p)^{n-\sum \varepsilon_i}}{1}$$

$$P(S_n = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

$$\downarrow M_{S_n}(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tS_n}]$$

$$S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$$

$$\downarrow \mathbb{E}[e^{t(X_1 + \dots + X_n)}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[e^{tX_1} \cdot e^{tX_2} \cdot e^{tX_n}]$$

are all independent

$$= \prod_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}[e^{tX_j}] \quad \underline{X_j \sim \text{Ber}(p)}$$

$$\text{So } M_{S_n}(t) = [\mathbb{E}(e^{tx_1})]^n$$

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tx_1}] = e^t p + (1-p)$$

$$\text{so: } M_{S_n}(t) = (pe^t + (1-p))^n$$

Exercise: Show using mgf's that if X_1, \dots, X_n are independent and $X_i \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda_i)$, then $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)$.
 Compute $\mathbb{E}[e^{tS_n}]$ and show it is the mgf of $\text{Poi}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)$.

Another related problem:

Suppose Y_1, \dots, Y_n are i.i.d geometric p random variables where Y_i counts the number of trials needed to get the first H.

$$S_x = Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_x$$

What is the distribution of S_x ?

← guesses? S_x is $NB(x, p)$
 counts the number of trials to
 get x Heads.

← x people doing Geometric.

Person 1 . T T T T . . . (H) → $Y_1 = m_1$

Person 2 T T . . . T H Y_2
 m_2 trials.

concatenate these sequences.

$x=2$

T T . . . T H T . . . T H

this is exactly # of trials needed
 for $2H$!

$$\gamma = 2$$

$$T T \dots T H \quad T \dots T H$$

mgt of S_n

$$E \left[e^{t(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_n)} \right]$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n E \left[e^{t\gamma_i} \right]$$

$$= E \left[e^{t\gamma_1} \right]^n$$

$$P(\gamma_1 = j) = (1-p)^{j-1} p, \quad j \geq 1$$

$$\text{So: } E \left[e^{t\gamma_1} \right] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{tj} (1-p)^{j-1} p$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (e^t p) ((1-p)e^t)^{j-1}$$

$$= e^t p \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(1-p)e^t}{1-p} \right]^{j-1} \leftarrow \left[\frac{1 - (1-p)e^t}{1-p} \right]$$

$$\frac{e^t p}{p^*}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-p^*)^{j-1} p^*$$

where $p^* = 1 - (1-p)e^t$

$$= \frac{e^t p}{1 - (1-p)e^t}$$

✓ $\mathbb{E}[e^{tS_r}] = \left(\frac{e^t p}{1 - (1-p)e^t} \right)^r$ ✓

Now need to compute: $W \geq r$

$\mathbb{E}[e^{tW}]$ directly. $W \sim \text{NB}(r, p)$

$P[W = m] = \binom{m-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{m-r}$

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tW}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{t(W-r) + tr}]$$

$$= e^{tr} \mathbb{E}[e^{t\tilde{W}}]$$

\tilde{W} = $W - r$ = # of T 's before r 'th U

From W ,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tS_r}] = e^{tr} \left[\frac{p}{1 - (1-p)e^t} \right]^r$$

you should be able
to show by direct
calculation that
this is $\mathbb{E}[e^{tW}]$

$$\rightarrow P(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_r = m) \quad m \geq r$$

horrible way is to compute it directly

$$= \sum_{\substack{i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_r = m \\ i_j \geq 1}} P[\gamma_1 = i_1, \gamma_2 = i_2, \dots, \gamma_r = i_r]$$

$$P(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = m) = \sum_j P(\gamma_1 = j, \gamma_2 = m-j)$$

Induction Technique:

$$Y_1 + Y_2 \sim NB(2, p)$$

Assume: $Y_1 + \dots + Y_{r-1} \sim NB(r-1, p)$

$$\underbrace{(Y_1 + \dots + Y_{r-1})}_{S_{r-1}} + \underbrace{Y_r}$$

Reproductive Property of the Gamma distribution:

My favored parametrization:

$X \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \lambda)$ if

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-\lambda x} x^{\alpha-1} \mathbb{1}\{x > 0\}$$

where $\alpha > 0, \lambda > 0$.

CB:

$X \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ if:

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-\frac{x}{\beta}} x^{\alpha-1} \mathbb{1}\{x > 0\}$$

Reproductive property:

If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent-

and $X_i \sim \Gamma(\alpha_i, \beta)$,

then $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \Gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i, \beta\right)$

Can show directly using m.g.f's.