#### STA286 Lecture 14

**Neil Montgomery** 

Last edited: 2017-02-09 12:35

Model for the number of 1s after n trials of a Bernoulli(p) process.

Model for the number of 1s after n trials of a Bernoulli(p) process.

**pmf**: 
$$P(X = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$
 for  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ 

Model for the number of 1s after n trials of a Bernoulli(p) process.

**pmf:** 
$$P(X = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$
 for  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ 

Path to E(X) is easiest through the mgf:

$$M_X(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n e^{tk} \binom{n}{k} p^k q^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (pe^t)^k q^{n-k} = (q + pe^t)^n$$

Model for the number of 1s after n trials of a Bernoulli(p) process.

**pmf**: 
$$P(X = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$
 for  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ 

Path to E(X) is easiest through the mgf:

$$M_X(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n e^{tk} \binom{n}{k} p^k q^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (pe^t)^k q^{n-k} = (q + pe^t)^n$$

**Theorem:** Let X be the sum of n independent Bernoulli(p) random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ . Then  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$ .

Model for the number of 1s after n trials of a Bernoulli(p) process.

**pmf:** 
$$P(X = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$
 for  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ 

Path to E(X) is easiest through the mgf:

$$M_X(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \mathrm{e}^{tk} inom{n}{k} p^k q^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^n inom{n}{k} (p \mathrm{e}^t)^k q^{n-k} = (q + p \mathrm{e}^t)^n$$

**Theorem:** Let X be the sum of n independent Bernoulli(p) random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ . Then  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$ .

Proof:

$$M_{X_1+\cdots+X_n}(t) = M_{X_1}(t)\cdots M_{X_n}(t) = (q + pe^t)^n$$

So:

$$E(X) = E(X_1) + \cdots + E(X_n) = np$$

So:

$$E(X) = E(X_1) + \cdots + E(X_n) = np$$

and

$$\mathsf{Var}(X) = \mathsf{Var}(X_1) + \cdots + \mathsf{Var}(X_n) = np(1-p)$$

$$SD(X) = \sqrt{np(1-p)}$$

$$p(y) = (1-p)^{y-1}p, y \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$$

$$p(y) = (1-p)^{y-1}p, \quad y \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$$

$$E(Y)=\frac{1}{p}$$

$$p(y) = (1-p)^{y-1}p, \quad y \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$$

$$E(Y)=\frac{1}{p}$$

$$M_Y(t) = rac{pe^t}{1 - qe^t}$$

$$p(y) = (1-p)^{y-1}p, \quad y \in \{1,2,3,\ldots\}$$
 
$$E(Y) = \frac{1}{p}$$
 
$$M_Y(t) = \frac{pe^t}{1-qe^t}$$

Variance is inevitably tedious. 
$$E(Y^2) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} M_Y(t) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{2-p}{p^2}$$
, resulting in  $Var(Y) = \frac{q}{p^2}$ 

We've done most of the work on this distribution.

$$p(y) = (1-p)^{y-1}p, \quad y \in \{1,2,3,\ldots\}$$
 
$$E(Y) = \frac{1}{p}$$
 
$$M_Y(t) = \frac{pe^t}{1-qe^t}$$

Variance is inevitably tedious.  $E(Y^2) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} M_Y(t) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{2-p}{p^2}$ , resulting in  $Var(Y) = \frac{q}{p^2}$ 

We say  $Y \sim \text{Geometric}(p)$ , due to the geometric rate of decay in the pmf.

# cdf and the "reliability function" for a Geometric (p)

The cdf for  $Y \sim \text{Geometric}(p)$  comes in handy sometimes.

$$F_Y(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & : y < 1 \\ 1 - (1 - p)^k & : k \le y < k + 1 \end{cases}$$

# cdf and the "reliability function" for a Geometric(p)

The cdf for  $Y \sim \text{Geometric}(p)$  comes in handy sometimes.

$$F_Y(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & : y < 1 \\ 1 - (1 - p)^k & : k \le y < k + 1 \end{cases}$$

The reliability or survival function of a random variable is defined as

$$R(x) = P(X > x) = 1 - P(X \le x) = 1 - F(x).$$

For *Y* in this case:

$$R_Y(y) = egin{cases} 1 & : y \leqslant 1 \ (1-p)^k & : k < y \leqslant k+1 \end{cases}$$

Bernoulli process is the discrete model for what I will (vaguely) refer to as "complete randomness", which will come to embody these (vague) ideas:

▶ independence (of trials)

Bernoulli process is the discrete model for what I will (vaguely) refer to as "complete randomness", which will come to embody these (vague) ideas:

- independence (of trials)
- homogeneity (p doesn't change)

Bernoulli process is the discrete model for what I will (vaguely) refer to as "complete randomness", which will come to embody these (vague) ideas:

- independence (of trials)
- homogeneity (p doesn't change)
- memoryless (information does not increase with number of trials)

Bernoulli process is the discrete model for what I will (vaguely) refer to as "complete randomness", which will come to embody these (vague) ideas:

- independence (of trials)
- homogeneity (p doesn't change)
- memoryless (information does not increase with number of trials)

Bernoulli process is the discrete model for what I will (vaguely) refer to as "complete randomness", which will come to embody these (vague) ideas:

- ▶ independence (of trials)
- homogeneity (p doesn't change)
- memoryless (information does not increase with number of trials)

The memorylessness of the Bernoulli process can be seen through a property of the Geometric(p) distribution. Suppose  $Y \sim \text{Geometric}(p)$ .

$$P(Y > j + k | Y > j) = \frac{P(Y > j + k, Y > j)}{P(Y > j)}$$

$$= \frac{P(Y > j + k)}{P(Y > j)} = \frac{(1 - p)^{j + k}}{(1 - p)^{j}} = (1 - p)^{k} = P(Y > k)$$

Denote by W the number of trials in a Bernoulli(p) process until the  $r^{th}$  1 occurs.

We'll say W has a "Negative Binomial" distribution, or  $W \sim \text{NegBin}(r, p)$ .

Denote by W the number of trials in a Bernoulli(p) process until the  $r^{th}$  1 occurs.

We'll say W has a "Negative Binomial" distribution, or  $W \sim \mathsf{NegBin}(r,p)$ .

e.g. consider r=4 and W=11. P(W=11) can be derived as follows:

Trial outcomes Probability

Denote by W the number of trials in a Bernoulli(p) process until the  $r^{th}$  1 occurs.

We'll say W has a "Negative Binomial" distribution, or  $W \sim \mathsf{NegBin}(r,p)$ .

e.g. consider r = 4 and W = 11. P(W = 11) can be derived as follows:

Trial outcomes Probability 
$$1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ (1-p)^7 p^3 p$$

Denote by W the number of trials in a Bernoulli(p) process until the  $r^{th}$  1 occurs.

We'll say W has a "Negative Binomial" distribution, or  $W \sim \mathsf{NegBin}(r,p)$ .

e.g. consider r=4 and W=11. P(W=11) can be derived as follows:

Trial auteomos

That outcomes	Frobability
11000001001	$(1-p)^7 p^3 p$
10100100001	$(1-p)^7 p^3 p$

Drobability

Denote by W the number of trials in a Bernoulli(p) process until the  $r^{th}$  1 occurs.

We'll say W has a "Negative Binomial" distribution, or  $W \sim \text{NegBin}(r, p)$ .

e.g. consider r=4 and W=11. P(W=11) can be derived as follows:

Trial outcomes Probability
$$1\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 1$$
 $(1-p)^7p^3p$ 
 $1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1$ 
 $(1-p)^7p^3p$ 
 $\vdots$ 

It will always be 3 1s out of 10 trials, follow by a 1. So the probability is:

$$P(W=11) = {11-1 \choose 4-1} (1-p)^{11-4} p^4$$

Denote by W the number of trials in a Bernoulli(p) process until the  $r^{th}$  1 occurs.

We'll say W has a "Negative Binomial" distribution, or  $W \sim \text{NegBin}(r, p)$ .

e.g. consider r = 4 and W = 11. P(W = 11) can be derived as follows:

Trial outcomes Probability 
$$1\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 1 \qquad (1-p)^7 p^3 p$$
  $1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1 \qquad (1-p)^7 p^3 p$   $\vdots$ 

It will always be 3 1s out of 10 trials, follow by a 1. So the probability is:

$$P(W = 11) = {11 - 1 \choose 4 - 1} (1 - p)^{11 - 4} p^4$$

In general: 
$$P(W = k) = {k-1 \choose r-1} (1-p)^{k-r} p^r$$
,  $k \in \{r, r+1, r+2, \ldots\}$ 

The name comes from this version of the Binomial theorem with negative exponent:

$$(1-a)^{-r} = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} {k-1 \choose r-1} a^{k-r}$$

which can be used to verify that the pmf is legit.

The name comes from this version of the Binomial theorem with negative exponent:

$$(1-a)^{-r} = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} {k-1 \choose r-1} a^{k-r}$$

which can be used to verify that the pmf is legit.

Next up—mgf.

$$M_W(t) = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} e^{tk} {k-1 \choose r-1} (1-p)^{k-r} p^r$$

The name comes from this version of the Binomial theorem with negative exponent:

$$(1-a)^{-r} = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} {k-1 \choose r-1} a^{k-r}$$

which can be used to verify that the pmf is legit.

Next up—mgf.

$$M_W(t) = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} e^{tk} {k-1 \choose r-1} (1-p)^{k-r} p^r$$

$$= (pe^t)^r \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} {k-1 \choose r-1} (qe^t)^{k-r}$$

$$= \left(\frac{pe^t}{1-qe^t}\right)^r$$

**Theorem:** Let W be the sum of r independent random variables  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_r$  with Geometric(p) distributions. Then  $W \sim \text{NegBin}(r, p)$ .

Proof: 
$$M_{Y_1+\cdots+Y_r}(t)=M_{Y_1}(t)\cdots M_{Y_r}(t)=\left(\frac{pe^t}{1-qe^t}\right)^r$$

**Theorem:** Let W be the sum of r independent random variables  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_r$  with Geometric(p) distributions. Then  $W \sim \mathsf{NegBin}(r, p)$ .

Proof: 
$$M_{Y_1+\cdots+Y_r}(t)=M_{Y_1}(t)\cdots M_{Y_r}(t)=\left(\frac{pe^t}{1-qe^t}\right)^r$$

So:

$$E(W) = E(Y_1) + \cdots + E(Y_r) = \frac{r}{p}$$

**Theorem:** Let W be the sum of r independent random variables  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_r$  with Geometric(p) distributions. Then  $W \sim \mathsf{NegBin}(r, p)$ .

Proof: 
$$M_{Y_1+\cdots+Y_r}(t)=M_{Y_1}(t)\cdots M_{Y_r}(t)=\left(\frac{pe^t}{1-qe^t}\right)^r$$

So:

$$E(W) = E(Y_1) + \cdots + E(Y_r) = \frac{r}{p}$$

and

$$\mathsf{Var}(W) = \mathsf{Var}(Y_1) + \cdots + \mathsf{Var}(Y_r) = \frac{rq}{r^2}$$

Reminder:  $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$  is the number of ways to choose k items out of n, without replicement.

Reminder:  $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$  is the number of ways to choose k items out of n, without replicement.

Quality control methods often deal with this sort of situation:

100 items are produced in which 5 are defective. A sample of 10 is selected. Denote by X the number of defective items out of the 10 selected.

Reminder:  $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$  is the number of ways to choose k items out of n, without replicement.

Quality control methods often deal with this sort of situation:

100 items are produced in which 5 are defective. A sample of 10 is selected. Denote by X the number of defective items out of the 10 selected.

X could take on integer values between 0 and 5 inclusive, with probabilities:

$$P(X = x) = \frac{\binom{5}{x}\binom{95}{10-x}}{\binom{100}{10}} = \frac{\binom{k}{x}\binom{N-k}{n-x}}{\binom{N}{n}}$$

N is the population size. n is the sample size. k is the number of "defective" (in this example.)

and we say X has a Hypergeometric distribution with parameters N, n, and k.

I'm not sure where the name comes from. There is no natural relationship to the Bernoulli process, but there is a tangential one.  $\dots$ 

I'm not sure where the name comes from. There is no natural relationship to the Bernoulli process, but there is a tangential one. . .

Hypergeometric is a "sampling without replacement" version of the Binomial. If N and n are both large, it turns out:

$$\frac{\binom{k}{x}\binom{N-k}{n-x}}{\binom{N}{n}} \approx \binom{n}{x} \left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^{x} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{n-x}$$

I'm not sure where the name comes from. There is no natural relationship to the Bernoulli process, but there is a tangential one...

Hypergeometric is a "sampling without replacement" version of the Binomial. If N and n are both large, it turns out:

$$\frac{\binom{k}{N}\binom{N-k}{n-x}}{\binom{N}{n}} \approx \binom{n}{N} \left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^{x} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^{n-x}$$

All calculations involving these distributions are tedious. It can be shown (no easy way):

$$E(X) = n \frac{k}{N}$$
  $Var(X) = n \frac{k}{N} \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right) \left(\frac{N-n}{N-1}\right)$