

CS4104 Applied Machine Learning

Regression

Regression versus Classification

- Classification: the output variable takes **class labels**
- Regression: the output variable takes **continuous values**

Examples

- Predicting House Value
 - Actual Price: £100,000
 - Predicted 1: £99,950 (Very Good Prediction)
 - Predicted 2: £50,000 (Very Bad Prediction)
- Predicting Car Premium
 - Using Location, Age, History etc

Regression Techniques


- Linear Regression
- Non-Linear Regression
- Logistic Regression

Linear Regression


Regression

Linear Regression

- Theoretically well motivated algorithm: developed from Statistical Learning Theory
- Empirically good performance: successful applications in many fields (stock prices, insurance etc)
- Given $\{(x_i, y_i) \forall i \in (1, n)\}$
- *predict y_k for $x_k \neq x_i \forall i \in (1, n)$*



Regression Line



Formula

- $Y = a + bX$
- where $b = r \frac{SD_y}{SD_x}$
- $a = Y' - bX'$
- $slope = \frac{N \sum XY - (\sum X)(\sum Y)}{N \sum X^2 - (\sum X)^2}$
- b is the slope of regression line
- a is the y intercept of regression line
- r is correlation coefficient: a statistic used to describe the strength of the relationship between two variables
- X' and Y' is the mean of X and Y respectively
- SD_x, SD_y are the standard deviation of x and y

Example

X	Y
60	3.1
61	3.6
62	3.8
63	4
65	4.1
64	?

Step 1: Count

- $N=5$

Step 2: Products

X	Y	X*Y	X*X
60	3.1	186	3600
61	3.6	219.6	3721
62	3.8	235.6	3844
63	4	252	3969
65	4.1	266.5	4225

Step 3: Sum

X	Y	X*Y	X*X
60	3.1	186	3600
61	3.6	219.6	3721
62	3.8	235.6	3844
63	4	252	3969
65	4.1	266.5	4225
311	18.6	1159.7	19359

Step 4: Slope

- $slope = \frac{N \sum XY - (\sum X)(\sum Y)}{N \sum X^2 - (\sum X)^2}$
- $slope = \frac{(5)(1159.7) - (311 \cdot 18.6)}{(5 \cdot 19359 - 311^2)}$
- $slope = 0.1878$

Step 5: Intercept

- $Intercept(a) = \frac{\sum Y - b(\sum X)}{N}$
- $Intercept(a) = \frac{18.6 - 0.1878}{5}$
- $Intercept(a) = -7.964$

Step 6: Regression Equation

- $y = a + bx$
- $y = -7.964 + 0.1878x$

Step 7: Test Computation

- $y = -7.964 + .01878x$
- $y = -7.964 + .01878 * 64$
- $y = 4.068$



Linear Regression Code

- # importing basic libraries
- import numpy as np, pandas as pd
- from sklearn.model_selection
import train_test_split
- train = pd.read_csv('Train.csv')
- test = pd.read_csv('test.csv')
- # importing linear regression from
sklearn
- from sklearn.linear_model import
LinearRegression
- lreg = LinearRegression()
- splitting into training and cv for
cross validation
- x_train, x_cv, y_train, y_cv =
train_test_split(X, Y)
- #training the model
- lreg.fit(x_train, y_train)
- #predicting on cv
- pred = lreg.predict(x_cv)
- #calculating mse
- mse = np.mean((pred - y_cv)**2)


Multivariable Linear Regression

- Estimate y' by a linear function of x :
 - Single variable: $y = a + bx$
 - Multivariable: $y' = a + bx_1 + cx_2 + dx_3 + ex_4$
 - $y' = w_0x_0 + w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + \cdots + w_dx_d$
 - $y' = w^T x$
 - w is the parameter to estimate

Multivariable Linear Regression




Multivariable Linear Regression



Multivariable Linear Regression




Optimal Regressor



Least Mean Square (LMS) Algorithm

- $y' = w_0 + w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + \dots + w_dx_d$
- $\text{predicted}_i = y_i' = w^T x_i$
- $\text{error}_i = E_i = \frac{1}{2}(w^T x_i - y_i)^2$
- $\text{cost} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (w^T x_i - y_i)^2$
- $E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (w^T x_i - y_i)^2$
- $E = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$
- The objective is to optimize w : E is minimum



Evaluation Measure

- Mean Squared Error

Actual (Y)	Predicted (Y')	Y'-Y	Square (Y'-Y)
41	43.6	2.6	6.76
45	44.4	-0.6	0.36
49	45.2	-3.8	14.44
47	46	-1	1
44	46.8	2.8	7.84

Sum of Error = $30.4 / 5 = 6.08$

LMS Algorithm

- By Gradient descent
- $w^{t+1}: w - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial w} E$
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} E = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial w} E_i$
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} E_i = \frac{1}{2} (w^T x_i - y_i)^2$
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} E_i = (w^T x_i - y_i) * x_i$
- $w_i^{t+1} = w_i^t - \alpha (w^T x_i - y_i) * x_i$

Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) Estimate

- $E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (w^T x_i - y_i)^2$
- In vector form
- $E = \frac{1}{2} (Xw - y)^T (Xw - y)$ Multipliable
- $E = \frac{1}{2} (w^T X^T Xw - 2y^T Xw + y^T y)$ Multipliable
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} E = \frac{1}{2} * 2X^T Xw - \frac{1}{2} * 2 X^T y$
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} E = X^T Xw - X^T y$

OLS Estimate

- $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} E = X^T X w - X^T y$
- Setting the derivative (change in error) to zero
- $X^T X w - X^T y = 0$
- $X^T X w = X^T y$
- $w = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$

Ordinary Least Squares (OLS)

- $w = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$
- *if* $(X^T X)^{-1}$:
 - *unique solution*
- *else*:
 - *euclidean norm*
 - *ridge regression*

OLS Summary


Summary

- *solve:*
 - $w = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$
- *to minimize:*
 - $|Xw - y|^2$

Equivalent Equations

- $E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (w^T x_i - y_i)^2$
- $E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - w^T x_i)^2$
- $E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f(x_i|w))^2$
- $E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f(x_i|w, b))^2$
- $E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i \beta_i)^2$

Improving the Linear Model



- We may want to improve the simple linear model by replacing Ordinary Least Square (OLS) estimation with some alternative fitting procedure
- Why use an alternative fitting procedure?
 - Prediction Accuracy
 - Model Interpretability
 - Multi-collinearity
 - Overfitting

Prediction Accuracy

- If $n \gg p$ the OLS estimates have relatively low bias and low variability especially for n observation when the relationship between the response and predictors (p) is linear
- If $n > p$ then the OLS fit can have high variance and may result in over fitting and poor estimates on unseen observations
- If $n < p$, then the variability of the OLS fit increases dramatically, and the variance of these estimates is infinite

Model Interpretability

- When we have a large number of attributes in the model, there will generally be many attributes that have little or no effect on the response
- Including such irrelevant variable leads to unnecessary complexity
- Having these variables in the model makes it harder to see the effect of the important variables
- The model would be easier to interpret by removing (i.e. setting the coefficients to zero) the unimportant variables

Multi-collinearity

- Given dataset
 - With features $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_d$
- $x_2 \cong \text{function}(x_1)$
- Major change in regressor with minor change in data

Overfitting

- Carefully selected features can improve model accuracy, but adding too many can lead to overfitting
 - Overfitted models describe random error or noise instead of any underlying relationship
 - They generally have poor predictive performance on test data

CS4104 Applied Machine Learning

Polynomial Regression


Polynomial Regression

- Linear models become powerful function approximators when we consider non-linear feature transformations.


- $$X_i \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} a_i \\ b_i \\ c_i \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x_{i0} \\ x_{i1} \\ x_{i2} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x_i^0 \\ x_i^1 \\ x_i^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- $$y'_i = w_0 x_i^0 + w_1 x_i^1 + w_2 x_i^2$$


Over-fit: Polynomial Regression



High bias
(underfit)




"Just right"



High variance
(overfit)

Feature/Variable Selection

- For instance, we can use a 15-degree polynomial function to fit the following data so that the fitted curve goes nicely through the data points
- However, a brand new dataset collected from the same population may not fit this particular curve well at all



Subset Selection

- Identify a subset of the p predictors that we believe to be related to the response; then, fit a model using OLS on the reduced set.
- Methods: best subset selection, stepwise selection

Dimension Reduction

- Involves projecting the p predictors into a M -dimensional subspace, where $M < p$, and fit the linear regression model using the M projections as predictors.
- Methods: principal components regression, partial least squares

Shrinkage (Regularization)

- Involves shrinking the estimated coefficients toward zero relative to the OLS estimates
- has the effect of reducing variance and performs variable selection
- Methods: ridge regression, lasso

Ridge Regression

Tikhonov Regularization

$$\underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_i (y_i - w^T x + b)^2$$

Ridge Regression

- $\underset{w,b}{\operatorname{argmin}} \lambda w^T w + \sum_i (y_i - w^T x + b)^2$
- $\text{regularization} = \lambda w^T w$
- $\lambda \gg$ for stable prediction
- $\lambda \gg$ leads to underfit
- Note that $\lambda \geq 0$ is a complexity parameter that controls the amount of shrinkage.
- The idea of penalizing by the sum-of-squares of the parameters is also used in neural networks, where it is known as weight decay.

Ridge Regression...

- $\underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \lambda \beta^T \beta + \sum_i (y_i - \beta_i^T x + \beta_0)^2$
- $\underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \lambda \beta^2 + \sum_i (y_i - \beta_i^T x + \beta_0)^2$
- $\underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \lambda \sum_{j=1} \beta_j^2 + \sum_i (y_i - \beta_i^T x + \beta_0)^2$

Ridge Regression...


- The effect of this equation is to add a shrinkage penalty of the form
- $\lambda \sum_{i=1} \beta_i^2$

where the tuning parameter λ is a positive value.

- This has the effect of shrinking the estimated beta coefficients towards zero. It turns out that such a constraint should improve the fit, because shrinking the coefficients can significantly reduce their variance
- Note that when $\lambda = 0$, the penalty term has no effect, and ridge regression will produce the OLS estimates. Thus, selecting a good value for λ is critical (can use cross-validation for this).

Ridge Regression...

- As λ increases, the standardized ridge regression coefficients shrink towards zero.
- Thus, when λ is extremely large, then all of the ridge coefficient estimates are basically zero; this corresponds to the null model that contains no predictors.




Ridge Regression...

- It turns out that the OLS estimates generally have low bias but can be highly variable. In particular when n and p are of similar size or when $n < p$, then the OLS estimates will be extremely variable
- The penalty term makes the ridge regression estimates *biased* but can also substantially reduce variance
- As a result, there is a bias/variance trade-off.


Ridge Regression...

- Black = Bias
- Green = Variance
- Purple = MSE
- Increased λ leads to increased bias but decreased variance



Ridge Regression...

- In general, the ridge regression estimates will be more biased than the OLS ones but have lower variance.
- Ridge regression will work best in situations where the OLS estimates have high variance.



Ridge Regression...

- In matrix form:
- *solve*:
 - $\beta = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T y$
- *to minimize*:
 - $|X\beta - y|^2$
- The solution adds a positive constant to the diagonal of $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$ before inversion (making the problem non-singular)

Code

- `from sklearn.linear_model import Ridge`
- `#training the model`
- `ridgeReg = Ridge(alpha=0.05, normalize=True)`
- `ridgeReg.fit(x_train,y_train)`
- `pred = ridgeReg.predict(x_cv)`
- `#calculating mse`
- `mse = np.mean((pred_cv - y_cv)**2)`

Lasso



- One significant problem of ridge regression is that the penalty term will never force any of the coefficients to be exactly zero.
- Thus, the final model will include all p predictors, which creates a challenge in model interpretation
- A more modern machine learning alternative is the *lasso*.
- The lasso works in a similar way to ridge regression, except it uses a different penalty term that shrinks some of the coefficients exactly to zero.

Lasso...

- The lasso coefficients minimize the quantity:
- $\underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \lambda \sum_{j=1} |\beta_j| + \sum_i (y_i - \beta_i^T x + \beta_0)^2$
- The key difference from ridge regression is that the lasso uses an ℓ_1 penalty instead of an ℓ_2 , which has the effect of forcing some of the coefficients to be exactly equal to zero when the tuning parameter λ is sufficiently large.
- Thus, the lasso performs variable/feature selection.

Lasso...

- When $\lambda = 0$, then the lasso simply gives the OLS fit.
- When λ becomes sufficiently large, the lasso gives the null model in which all coefficient estimates equal zero.



Lasso vs Ridge

Lasso

- $\lambda \sum_{j=1} |\beta_j|$
- Coefficient can be zero/
subset of predictors
- $\sigma \propto \frac{1}{\lambda}$
- $\text{bias} \propto \lambda$
- High accuracy


Ridge

- $\lambda \sum_{j=1} \beta_j^2$
- Coefficient can be smaller
but not zero
- $\sigma \propto \frac{1}{\lambda}$
- $\text{bias} \propto \lambda$
- Relatively low accuracy

Selecting the Tuning Parameter λ

- As for subset selection, for ridge regression and lasso we require a method to determine which of the models under consideration is best; thus, we required a method selecting a value for the tuning parameter λ or equivalently, the value of the constraint s .
- Select a grid of potential values; use cross-validation to estimate the error rate on test data (for each value of λ) and select the value that gives the smallest error rate.
- Finally, the model is re-fit using all of the variable observations and the selected value of the tuning parameter λ .


Selecting the Tuning Parameter λ : Credit Data Example



Left: Cross-validation errors that result from applying ridge regression to the **Credit** data set with various values of λ .

Right: The coefficient estimates as a function of λ . The vertical dashed lines indicates the value of λ selected by cross-validation.

Selecting the Tuning Parameter λ : Simulated Data Example



Left: Ten-fold cross-validation MSE for the lasso, applied to the sparse simulated data set from Slide 39. *Right:* The corresponding lasso coefficient estimates are displayed. The vertical dashed lines indicate the lasso fit for which the cross-validation error is smallest.

Logistic Regression

Logistic Regression

- It is an approach for calculating the odds of event happening vs other possibilities...Odds ratio is an important concept
- Goal of logistic regression based classification is to fit the regression curve according to the training data collected (dependent vs independent variables)

Why are we studying it?

- To use it for classification
- It is a discriminative classification vs Naïve Bayes' generative classification scheme (what is this?)
- Linear (continuous).. Logistic (categorical): Logit function bridges this gap
- According to Andrew Ng and Michael Jordon logistics regression classification has better error rates in certain situations than Naïve Bayes (eg. large data sets) Big data?

Examples

- Mortality of injured patients
- If a patient has a given disease (Recall that we did this using Bayes) (binary classification using a variety of data like age, gender, BMI, blood tests etc.)
- If a person will vote Democratic or Republican
- The odds of a failure of a process, system or a product
- A customer's propensity to purchase a product

Examples

- Odds of a person staying in the workforce
- Odds of a homeowner defaulting on a loan
- Conditional Random Field (CRF) an extension of logistic regression to sequential data, are used in NLP. It is labeling a sequence of items so that an entity can be recognized (named entity recognition).
- The appropriate regression analysis to conduct when the dependent variable is dichotomous (binary).
- Like all regression analyses, the logistic regression is a predictive analysis. Logistic regression is used to describe data and to explain the relationship between one dependent binary variable and one or more nominal, ordinal, interval or ratio-level independent variables.

Basics

- odds ratio = $\frac{p}{1-p}$
- Basic function is: logit \rightarrow logistic regression
- Definition:
- $\text{logit}(p) = \log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \log(p) - \log(1-p)$
- $\log_b\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_d x_d = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i x_i$
- $p = \frac{1}{1 + b^{\beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i x_i}}$
- The logit function takes x values in the range $[0,1]$ and transforms them to y values along the entire real lines