IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

(Appellate Jurisdiction)

PRESENT:

MR. JUSTICE DOST MUHAMMAD KHAN MR. JUSTICE QAZI FAEZ ISA

Civil Petition No.2117 of 2016

(On appeal from the judgment dated 28.6.2016 passed by the High Court of Sindh at Karachi in M.A. No.35/16)

Pakistan Electronic Media Regulatory Authority

....Petitioner

VERSUS

Independent Media Corporation, Limited

....Respondents

For the petitioner: Mr. Kashif Hanif, ASC

Mr. Mehr Khan Malik, AOR (absent) Mr. Absar Alam, Chairman, PEMRA

For the respondent: Mr. Jam Asif Mehmood Lar, ASC

Mr. Qutab-ud-Din Saim Hashmi, ASC Syed Rifaqat Hussain Shah, AOR

For Respondents 1-3: Mr. Omair Syed Head (Litigation)

Date of hearing: 4.7.2016

<u>ORDER</u>

<u>Dost Muhammad Khan, J.</u>— At the very outset, the learned counsel for the parties agreed that let the main CPLA be decided in the following terms:-

- (i) That so far as the suspension of the show/program for three days by PEMRA is concerned, due to injunction order of the learned High Court of Sindh a very narrow margin of time is left and because the matter is listed for hearing today before the said Court, hence to that extent the petition has almost become infructuous.
- (ii) The rest of the four charges are still alive and to be inquired into/investigated by the COC and after receiving the recommendations the PEMRA (competent authority) would look into it while complying with all the rules and provisions of law

CP 2117/16 2

on the subject and will also consider the effect of the apology to be tendered publically by the

Channel and through print media.

2. During course of hearing, it came to our notice that many

other channels, like the one under consideration, have not installed the

censoring devices, particularly time delaying mechanism to cut off and

expunge the offending part of the live show/program and bring it in

conformity with the PEMRA rules and provisions of the Ordinance.

It was stated at the bar that a team of experts would soon

inspect all the channels to ensure that such device i.e. time delaying

mechanism is in place so that undesirable and offending programs or

spoken words are not aired and the same are blocked.

4. As the rest of the four allegations/charges fall within the

domain of PEMRA, therefore, it may proceed with the same according

to the law and rules on the subject and to conclude the matter as was

agreed at the bar within 30 days, which shall commence after Eid

holidays.

Needless to remark that the respondent may submit reply within

10 days, after Eid vacations.

5. In view of these arrangements, the appeal filed by the

respondent before the High Court of Sindh has become infructuous and

may be disposed of accordingly.

Petition is converted into appeal and disposed of.

Judge

Judge

<u>Islamabad, the</u> 4th July, 2016 Nisar/-

Not Approved For Reporting.