

Practice: Performing Multiple Regression Using the Linear Regression Task

Using the **bodyfat2** table, fit a multiple regression model with multiple predictors, and then modify the model by removing the least significant predictors

1. Run a regression of PctBodyFat2 on the variables Age, Weight, Height, Neck, Chest, Abdomen, Hip, Thigh, Knee, Ankle, Biceps, Forearm, and Wrist.

Note: Turn off ODS Graphics.

- 1. In the Navigation pane, select **Tasks and Utilities**.
- Expand Tasks.
- 3. Expand **Statistics** and select the **Linear Regression** task.
- 4. On the DATA tab, select the **stat1.bodyfat2** table.
- 5. Assign **PctBodyFat2** to the Dependent variable role.
- 6. Assign Age, Weight, Height, Neck, Chest, Abdomen, Hip, Thigh, Knee, Ankle, Biceps, Forearm, and Wrist to the Continuous variables role.
- 7. On the MODEL tab, click the **Edit** button to open the Model Effects Builder. Add all the variables as model effects, and click **OK** to close the Model Builder.
- 8. On the OPTIONS tab, clear all check boxes for **Diagnostic and Residual Plots** and **Scatter Plots**.
- 9. Run the code.

Here are the results.

2. Compare the ANOVA table with this one from the model with only **Weight**. What is different?

Analysis of Variance							
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Pr > F		
Model	1	6593.01614	6593.01614	150.03	<.001		
Error	250	10986	43.94389				
Corrected Total	251	17579					

There are key differences between the ANOVA table for this model and the one for the simple linear regression model. The degrees of freedom for the model are much higher, 13 versus 1. Also, the Mean Square model and the *F* ratio are much smaller.

3. How do the R-Square and the adjusted R-Square compare with these statistics for the **Weight** regression?

Root MSE	6.62902	R-Square	0.3751
Dependent Mean	19.15079	Adj R-Sq	0.3726
Coeff Var	34.61485		

Both the R-Square and the adjusted R-Square for the full models are larger than the simple linear regression. The multiple regression model explains almost 75% of the variation in the **PctBodtFat2** variable, versus approximately 37.5% that is explained by the simple linear regression model.

4. Did the estimate for the intercept change? Did the estimate for the coefficient of **Weight** change?

Yes, including the other variables in the model changed both the estimate of the intercept and the slope for **Weight**. Also, the *p*-values for both changed dramatically. The slope of **Weight** is now not significantly different from zero.

5. To simplify the model, rerun the model from step 1, but eliminate the variable with the highest *p*-value. Compare the output with the model from step 1.

Remove **Knee** from the list of continuous variables because it has the largest *p*-value (0.9552). It will be removed from the MODEL statement automatically. Run the regression again.

Here are the results.

6. Did the p-value for the model change?

The *p*-value for the model did not change out to four decimal places.

7. Did the R-Square and the adjusted R-Square values change?

The R-Square showed essentially no change. The adjusted R-Square increased from .7348 to .7359. When an adjusted R-Square increases by removing a variable from the model, it strongly implies that the removed variable was not necessary.

8. Did the parameter estimates and their *p*-values change?

Some of the parameter estimates and their *p*-values changed slightly, but none to any large degree.

9. To simplify the model further, rerun the model from step 5, but eliminate the variable with the highest *p*-value. How did the output change from the previous model?

Remove **Chest** from the list of continuous variables because it is the variable with the highest *p*-value in the previous model. Run the regression again.

Here are the results.

The ANOVA table did not change significantly. The R-Square remained essentially unchanged. The adjusted R-Square increased again. This confirms that the variable **Chest** did not contribute to explaining the variation in **PctBodyFat2** when the other variables were in the model.

10. Did the number of parameters with p-values less than 0.05 change?

The p-value for **Weight** changed more than any other and is now slightly more than 0.05. The p-values and parameter estimates for other variables changed much less. There are no more variables in this model with p-values below 0.05, compared with the previous one.

Hide Solution