

# LOGISTIC REGRESSION




# LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression is a form of regression analysis in which the outcome variable is binary






# The Perceptron: Forward Propagation



# The Perceptron: Forward Propagation




### **Activation Functions**

$$\hat{y} = \mathbf{g} (w_0 + \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W})$$


Example: sigmoid function

$$g(z) = \sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$




### Common Activation Functions

### Sigmoid Function




$$g(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$

$$g'(z) = g(z)(1 - g(z))$$




### Hyperbolic Tangent




$$g(z) = \frac{e^{z} - e^{-z}}{e^{z} + e^{-z}}$$

$$g'(z) = 1 - g(z)^2$$




#### Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU)




$$g(z) = \max(0, z)$$

$$g'(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & z > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$




# Importance of Activation Functions

The purpose of activation functions is to **introduce non-linearities** into the network



Linear activation functions produce linear decisions no matter the network size



Non-linearities allow us to approximate arbitrarily complex functions

# LOGISTIC REGRESSION

$$z = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i\right) + b$$


$$y = \sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$

$$P(y=1) = \sigma(w \cdot x + b)$$
$$= \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(w \cdot x + b)}}$$

$$P(y=0) = 1 - \sigma(w \cdot x + b)$$

$$= 1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(w \cdot x + b)}}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-(w \cdot x + b)}}{1 + e^{-(w \cdot x + b)}}$$



#### **Logistic Regression**

$$z = b + a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3$$
  
 $p = 1.0 / (1.0 + e^{-z})$ 

Ex:


$$x_1 = 1.0$$
  $a_1 = 0.01$   
 $x_2 = 2.0$   $a_2 = 0.02$   
 $x_3 = 3.0$   $a_3 = 0.03$   
 $b = 0.05$ 

$$z = (0.05) + (0.01)(1.0) + (0.02)(2.0) + (0.03)(3.0)$$
  
= 0.05 + 0.01 + 0.04 + 0.09  
= 0.19

$$p = 1.0 / (1.0 + e^{-0.19})$$
  
= 0.5474 (predicted class = 1)

#### **Neural Network**

single hidden layer, identity activation f(x) = xsingle output node, logistic sigmoid activation  $f(x) = 1 / (1 + e^{-x})$ 



vai	Deminion	value in Fig. 3.2
$x_1$	$count(positive lexicon) \in doc)$	3
$x_2$	$count(negative lexicon) \in doc)$	2
<i>x</i> <sub>3</sub>	$\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if "no"} \in \text{doc} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	1
$x_4$	count(1st and 2nd pronouns ∈ doc)	3

Value in Fig 52

ln(66) = 4.19

Definition

log(word count of doc)

Var

Let's assume for the moment that we've already learned a real-valued weight for each of these features, and that the 6 weights corresponding to the 6 features are [2.5, -5.0, -1.2, 0.5, 2.0, 0.7], while b = 0.1. (We'll discuss in the next section how

It's hoke. There are virtually no surprises, and the writing is cond-rate. So why was it so novable? For one thing, the cast is greated. Another nice touch is the music Dwas overcome with the urge to get off the couch and start dancing. It sucked main, and it'll do the same to 
$$x_1 = 3$$
.

 $x_2 = 2$ .

So why was it so novable? For one thing, the cast is greated and in the cast is  $x_1 = 3$ .

 $x_2 = 3$ .

 $x_3 = 1$ .

 $x_4 = 3$ .

Figure 5.2 A sample mini test document showing the extracted features in the vector x.

Given these 6 features and the input review x, P(+|x) and P(-|x) can be computed using Eq. 5.5:

$$p(+|x) = P(Y = 1|x) = \sigma(w \cdot x + b)$$

$$= \sigma([2.5, -5.0, -1.2, 0.5, 2.0, 0.7] \cdot [3, 2, 1, 3, 0, 4.19] + 0.1)$$

$$= \sigma(.833)$$

$$= 0.70$$

$$p(-|x) = P(Y = 0|x) = 1 - \sigma(w \cdot x + b)$$

$$= 0.30$$
(5.6)

### Algorithm


- Calculate the prediction  $\hat{y}$  using the current parameters (W and b)
- · Calculate the loss of the current values
- Calculate the gradients of the loss function with respect to the parameters
- Adjust the weights (optimize) using the gradients
- Repeat for the number of epochs, i.e. the number of times to go through the provided examples (dataset)

# LEARNING IN LOGISTIC REGRESSION

How are the parameters of the model, the weights w and bias b, learned?

Two components required:

- Loss function or Cost function: metric for how close the current label (^y) is to the true gold label y.
- 2. Optimization algorithm: for iteratively updating the weights



# LOSS FUNCTION OR COST FUNCTION

For an observation x, how close the classifier output  $(^y=\sigma(w\cdot x+b))$  is to the correct output (y, which is 0 or 1)

 $L(^y, y) = How much^y differs from the true y$ 

# LOSS FUNCTION OR COST FUNCTION

Conditional maximum likelihood estimation prefers the correct class labels of the training examples to be more likely.

We choose the parameters w, b that maximize the log probability of the true y labels in the training data given the observations x.

# MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION

$$P(y=1) = \sigma(w \cdot x + b)$$
$$= \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(w \cdot x + b)}}$$

For samples labeled as '1', we try to estimate (w and b) such that the product of all probability p(x) is as close to 1 as possible.

And for samples labeled as '0', we try to estimate (w and b) such that the product of all probability is as close to 0 as possible in other words (1 - p(x)) should be as close to 1 as possible.

for samples labelled as 1: 
$$\prod_{s \text{ in } y_i = 1} p(x_i)$$

for samples labelled as 0: 
$$\prod_{s \text{ in } y_i = 0} (1 - p(x_i))$$

# MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION

On combining both , (w and b) parameters should be such that the product of both of these products is maximum over all elements of the dataset.

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{s \text{ in } y_i = 1} p(x_i) * \prod_{s \text{ in } y_i = 0} (1 - p(x_i))$$

This function is the one we need to optimize and is called the likelihood function.

# Loss Function

If 
$$y = 1$$
:  $p(y|x) = \hat{y}$   
If  $y = 0$ :  $p(y|x) = 1 - \hat{y}$   

$$P(y|x) = \hat{y}^{y} (1-\hat{y})^{(1-y)}$$

If y = 1 => 
$$\hat{y}$$
  
If y = 0 => 1- $\hat{y}$ 

# LOG LIKELIHOOD

Since there are only two discrete outcomes (1 or 0), this is a Bernoulli distribution.

p(y|x) for one observation:

$$p(y|x) = \hat{y}^y (1-\hat{y})^{1-y}$$

$$\log p(y|x) = \log [\hat{y}^y (1 - \hat{y})^{1 - y}]$$
  
=  $y \log \hat{y} + (1 - y) \log(1 - \hat{y})$ 

whatever values maximize a probability will also maximize the log of the probability.

# LOG LIKELIHOOD

Since there are only two discrete outcomes (1 or 0), this is a Bernoulli distribution.

p(y|x) for n observation:

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{s} \hat{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{y}} \left( 1 - \hat{\mathbf{y}} \right)^{1 - \mathbf{y}}$$

$$l(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y \log \hat{y} + (1 - y) \log(1 - \hat{y})$$

where,  $l(\beta)$  is log - likelihood

# CROSS ENTROPY

Log likelihood should be maximized.

In order to turn this into loss function (something that we need to minimize), we'll just flip the sign.

negative log likelihood loss = cross-entropy loss.

$$L_{CE}(\hat{y}, y) = -\log p(y|x) = -[y\log \hat{y} + (1-y)\log(1-\hat{y})]$$

$$L_{CE}(w,b) = -\left[y\log\sigma(w\cdot x + b) + (1-y)\log(1-\sigma(w\cdot x + b))\right]$$

# BINARY CROSS ENTROPY

negative log likelihood loss = <a href="cross-entropy loss">cross-entropy loss</a>.

Since we are working on 2 classes it becomes

### Binary Cross Entropy Loss

$$L_{CE}(\hat{y}, y) = -\log p(y|x) = -[y\log \hat{y} + (1-y)\log(1-\hat{y})]$$

$$L_{CE}(w,b) = -[y\log\sigma(w\cdot x+b) + (1-y)\log(1-\sigma(w\cdot x+b))]$$

# BINARY CROSS ENTROPY LOSS

$$L_{CE}(\hat{y}, y) = -\log p(y|x) = -[y\log \hat{y} + (1-y)\log(1-\hat{y})]$$

Binary cross-entropy is often calculated as the average cross-entropy across all data examples.

$$L = -\frac{1}{N} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[ y \log \hat{y} + (1 - y) \log(1 - \hat{y}) \right] \right]$$

# WHY NOT MSE?

log(p(x))<0 for all p(x) in (0,1).

Actual label: "1" Predicted: "0"

MSE:  $(1 - 0)^2 = 1$ 


Log loss: -(1 \* log(0) + 0 \* log(1)) = infinity!!

Actual label: "1" Predicted: "1"

MSE:  $(1 - 1)^2 = 0$ 

Log loss: -(1 \* log(1) + 0 \* log(0)) = 0






# WHY NOT MSE?




Mean squared error is a non-convex function. Cross entropy is a convex function.



Gradient descent will converge into global minimum only if the function is convex.


# BINARY CROSS ENTROPY LOSS


$$L_{CE}(\hat{y}, y) = -\log p(y|x) = -[y\log \hat{y} + (1-y)\log(1-\hat{y})]$$



# BINARY CROSS ENTROPY LOSS

$$L_{CE}(\hat{y}, y) = -\log p(y|x) = -[y\log \hat{y} + (1-y)\log(1-\hat{y})]$$






# GRADIENT DESCENT ALGORITHM


### Goal:

- To find the optimal weights (in the case of logistic regression  $\theta = w,b$ )
- Minimize the loss function

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{CE}(y^{(i)}, x^{(i)}; \theta)$$



# GRADIENT DESCENT ALGORITHM



### Gradient Descent steps are:


- choose a starting point (initialisation)
- 2. calculate gradient at this point
- 3. make a scaled step in the opposite direction to the gradient (objective: minimise)
- 4. repeat points 2 and 3 until one of the criteria is met:
- maximum number of iterations reached
- step size is smaller than the tolerance (due to scaling or a small gradient).

# GRADIENT DESCENT ALGORITHM

### Goal:

- To find the optimal weights (in the case of logistic regression  $\theta = w,b$ )
- Minimize the loss function

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{CE}(y^{(i)}, x^{(i)}; \theta)$$




# Gradient Descent

$$J(w,b) = \frac{\sum_{1}^{m} L(\hat{y}^{i}, y^{i})}{m} = \frac{\sum_{1}^{m} y^{i} log \hat{y}^{i} + (1 - y^{i}) log (1 - \hat{y}^{i})}{m}$$

In order to minimize the cost function for minimal error across the training data set to find w and b


The value of the parameters can be achieved using gradient descent technique.

# **Gradient Descent**



# GRADIENT - BACKWARD PROPAGATION

$$L_{CE}(w,b) = -[y\log\sigma(w\cdot x+b) + (1-y)\log(1-\sigma(w\cdot x+b))]$$



### Gradient







z = W1x1+ W2x2+b

 $\frac{\partial L}{\partial a} = \frac{\partial \left(-y \log a - (1-y) \log(1-a)\right)}{\partial a}$   $= -y\left(\frac{1}{a}\right) - (-1)\frac{(1-y)}{(1-a)}$ 





























$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1} = \left( \left( \frac{-y}{a} + \frac{(1-y)}{1-a} \right) \cdot (a)(1-a) \right) \cdot \chi_1$$

$$= (a-y) \cdot \chi_1$$

Here, 
$$(a-y) = \alpha D$$

$$W_1 = W_1 - \sqrt{\partial L}$$

Where,  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = (a - y)$ 

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \omega_1} = (a-y) \cdot \chi_1$$
  
Here,  $(a-y) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z}$ 

update for 
$$\omega_1$$
,
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \omega_1} = (a-y) \cdot \chi_1$$

$$\infty_1$$

 $w_i = w_i - \alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i}$  i = 1, 2, ..., m m = no of parameters

# LOGISTIC REGRESSION - LOSS CALCULATION

Observation	True Label (?y)	Predicted Probability (?p)
1	1	0.9
2	0	0.2
3	1	0.8
4	0	0.4

Total Loss=0.1054+0.2231+0.2231+0.5108=1.0624

Average Loss (BCE)=1.06244/4=0.2656

# LOGISTIC REGRESSION - EXAMPLE DONE IN CLASS

```
w1 = 0.5
w2 = 0.31
b=0.09
x1=5
x2 = 3
y=1
Learning Rate =0.01
```

# LOGISTIC REGRESSION - EXAMPLE DONE IN CLASS

```
Forward propagation:
z=3.52, a=0.97
L=0.305 (Binary Cross Entropy Loss)
dl/dw1=-0.15, dl/dw2=-0.09, dl/dwb=-0.03
W1 new=0.5015
W2 \text{ new} = 0.3109
b new=0.0903
```

2. Consider a classification problem where we are building a logistic regression classifier. The task is to predict if a given city has a risk of a disease epidemic or not. The data is defined using two input

### **Practice Problem 6**

- a. Construct a logistic regression model with two predictors for the riding mower example with  $\beta_0 = -25.9382$ ,  $\beta_1 = 0.1109$ ,  $\beta_2 = 0.9638$ , where  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are for the "Income" and "Lot\_Size" variables, respectively.
- b. Using the logistic regression model with probability cutoff = 0.75, classify the following 6 customers as "Owner" or "Nonowner": if  $p \ge 0.75$  then the case as a "Owner". Present the results in a classification matrix.

Customer#	Income 60.0	Lot_Size	Ownership
1		18.4	Owner
2	64.8	21.6	Owner
3	84.0	17.6	Nonowner
4	59.4	16.0	Nonowner
5	108.0	17.6	Owner
6	75	19.6	Nonowner

## REFERENCES

- https://towardsdatascience.com/logistic-regression-detailed-overview-46c4d a4303bc
- https://arunaddagatla.medium.com/maximum-likelihood-estimation-in-logistic -regression-f86ff1627b67
- https://towardsdatascience.com/cross-entropy-loss-function-f38c4ec8643e
- https://www.marktechpost.com/2021/04/08/logistic-regression-with-keras/
- https://www.datasciencecentral.com/logistic-regression-as-a-neural-network
   L
- https://github.com/SSaishruthi/LogisticRegression Vectorized Implementation/n/blob/master/Logistic Regression.ipynb
- https://towardsdatascience.com/where-did-the-binary-cross-entropy-loss-function-come-from-ac3de349a715
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nzNp05AyBM8